# SCIENTIFIC **REPORTS**

Received: 9 October 2017 Accepted: 8 January 2018 Published online: 23 January 2018

## **OPEN** Effect of Salt Intake on Plasma and **Urinary Uric Acid Levels in Chinese Adults: An Interventional Trial**

Yang Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Chao Chu<sup>1,2</sup>, Ke-Ke Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jia-Wen Hu<sup>1,2</sup>, Yu Yan<sup>1,2</sup>, Yong-Bo Lv<sup>1,2</sup>, Yu-Meng Cao<sup>1</sup>, Wen-Ling Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Xi-Long Dang<sup>1</sup>, Jing-Tao Xu<sup>1</sup>, Wei Chen<sup>3</sup>, Zu-Yi Yuan<sup>1,2</sup> & Jian-Jun Mu<sup>1,2</sup>

Uric acid (UA) has been proposed as an important risk factor for cardiovascular and renal morbidity. We conducted an interventional trial to assess effects of altered salt intake on plasma and urine UA levels and the relationship between UA levels and salt sensitivity in humans. Ninety subjects (18-65 years old) were sequentially maintained on a normal diet for 3 days at baseline, a low-salt diet for 7 days (3.0 g/day, NaCl), and a high-salt diet for an additional 7 days (18.0 g/day of NaCl). Plasma UA levels significantly increased from baseline to low-salt diet and decreased from low-salt to high-salt diet. By contrast, daily urinary levels of UA significantly decreased from baseline to low-salt diet and increased from low-salt to high-salt diet. The 24 h urinary sodium excretions showed inverse correlation with plasma UA and positive correlation with urinary UA excretions. Additionally, salt-sensitive subjects presented significantly higher plasma UA changes in comparison to salt-resistant subjects, and a negative correlation was observed between degree of salt sensitivity and plasma UA difference. The present study indicates that variations in dietary salt intake affect plasma and urine UA levels, and plasma UA may be involved in pathophysiological process of salt sensitivity.

Excess dietary salt induces adverse cardiovascular and renal effects according to epidemiological, interventional, and experimental studies<sup>1-3</sup>. Hypertension prevention studies have proven that moderate salt reduction promotes a 25% long-term reduction of risk for cardiovascular events; thus, salt intake is related to cardiovascular functions<sup>4</sup>. Several mechanisms, including endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, inflammation, insulin resistance, and a neurogenically mediated increase in peripheral resistance, contribute to the harmful effects of dietary salt<sup>5-8</sup>.

Serum uric acid (UA) is an important factor in the causal pathway for gout<sup>9</sup>. Blood UA has also been implicated as a potential risk factor and/or mediator of cardiovascular disease outcomes and mortality in a large number of observational studies<sup>10,11</sup>. Although most clinical trials targeting serum UA reduction have focused on pharmacological interventions<sup>12,13</sup>, diet has long been identified as an important determinant of circulating UA levels. Recently, a few studies have shown that increased sodium intake significantly lowers serum UA<sup>14,15</sup>. However, no research has studied the relationship between dietary salt intake and UA levels, especially urinary UA excretion.

Salt has been linked to hypertension for many years. Heterogeneous blood pressure (BP) response to changes in dietary salt intake, generally referred to as salt sensitivity, is regarded as an intermediate phenotype of essential hypertension and is observed in both hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals<sup>16</sup>. Salt-sensitive (SS) individuals account for more than 50% of hypertensive patients or normotensive individuals with a positive history of hypertension, whereas individuals with a negative history account for approximately 27%<sup>17</sup>. Previous studies indicated that SS subjects are confronted with severe target organ damage and high morbidity and mortality of cardiovascular disease for normotensive or hypertensive individuals<sup>18-21</sup>. An association of ÚA and salt sensitivity has been established in experimental rats for two major phases<sup>22,23</sup>. However, data on the link between UA levels and salt sensitivity are lacking in humans.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China. <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Molecular Cardiology of Shaanxi Province, Xi'an, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, First Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China. Yang Wang, Chao Chu and Ke-Ke Wang contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.-J.M. (email: mujjun@163.com)



Figure 1. Flow diagram showing the intervention programme.

In this study, we prospectively examined the effects of salt intake on plasma and urine UA in normotensive and mildly hypertensive subjects. We also investigated the correlation between UA levels and salt sensitivity.

#### Methods

**Subjects.** Subjects with similar dietary habits were screened from 2 villages in Liquan and Lantian Counties, Shaanxi Province, China. Ninety of the 102 subjects were enrolled in this study. Twelve subjects were excluded due to diabetes and kidney disease or unwilling participation. Sample size was calculated based on the frequency of evacuation and standard deviation of the difference as 0.8 between periods<sup>24</sup>. A total sample size of 90 was sufficient to expect a 95% power with a two-sided significance level of 0.05. A brief medical questionnaire was administered. Hypertension was defined as systolic BP  $\geq$  140 mmHg, diastolic BP  $\geq$  90 mmHg, or both or as the use of antihypertensive medications in concordance with recommendations from the Seventh Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure<sup>25</sup>. BP levels can increase after dietary intervention. Therefore, we excluded those with stage 2 hypertension. The other exclusion criteria comprised secondary hypertension; history of clinical cardiovascular disease, chronic liver and kidney disease, or diabetes; use of antihypertensive medication; pregnancy; and high alcohol intake.

Participants completed questionnaires, which gathered demographic information and detailed information on health-related behaviour, diagnoses of cardiovascular and renal disease, medication use, and family history. In addition to BP measurements, participants were assessed for height and weight and provided fasting blood samples and 24 h urine specimens.

The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Xi'an Jiaotong University (Code: 2015–128) according to the Declaration of Helsinki (2008) of the World Medical Association. All participants provided written informed consent. The trial registration number was NCT02915315 (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov), with date of registration 27/09/2016.

**Dietary Intervention.** The chronic salt intake intervention protocol was performed as previously described (Fig. 1)<sup>20,26</sup>. Briefly, during a 3-day baseline period, trained staff collected data during physical examination, including height, weight, waist circumference, and BP measurements. After the 3-day baseline observation, study participants received a 7-day low-salt diet (3 g of sodium chloride or 51.3 mmol sodium per day) followed by a 7-day high-salt diet (18 g of sodium chloride or 307.8 mmol sodium per day). Dietary potassium intake remained unchanged during the two intervention phases. During the baseline investigational period, each subject was provided detailed dietary instructions to avoid table salt, cooking salt, high-sodium foods, and food rich in nitrites/ nitrates for the subsequent 14 days. All study foods were cooked without salt, and pre-packaged salt was added to the meals of individual study participants when served by the study staff. To ensure compliance with the intervention programme, participants were required to eat their breakfast, lunch, and dinner in the study kitchen under the supervision of the study staff during the entire intervention period.

**BP Measurement and Definition of Salt Sensitivity.** BP levels were measured by three trained staff members using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer. Measurements were performed while subjects were in the sitting position after resting for  $\geq 5 \text{ min}$ . For each patient, BP was measured thrice at 1 min intervals during the 3-day baseline observation period and on days 6 and 7 of each of the two 7-day intervention periods. BP observers were blinded to participants' dietary interventions. Subjects were instructed to avoid alcohol, cigarette smoking, coffee/tea, and exercise for at least 30 min prior to BP measurements. Systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) were determined through the first and fifth Korotkoff sounds, respectively. Pulse pressure was calculated as SBP – DBP. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated as DBP+ ( $1/3 \times$  pulse pressure). BP at baseline and during intervention was calculated as the mean of six measurements from two clinical visits during the 3-day baseline observation period and as the mean of measurements on days 6 and 7 of each of the two 7-day intervention periods, respectively. Given the lack of universal consensus on the definition of the salt sensitivity of BP, subjects with a  $\geq 10 \text{ mmHg}$  increase in MAP from the low- to high-salt diet were classified as SS and those with a <10 mmHg increase as salt resistant (SR)<sup>20,27</sup>.

**Blood Biochemical Analyses.** Blood samples were obtained by peripheral venous puncture, immediately centrifuged at  $3,000 \times g$  for 10 min, and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), serum creatinine, serum Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Cl<sup>-</sup>, and fasting blood glucose levels were evaluated with an automatic biochemical analyser (model 7600; Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Plasma UA levels were measured with a Hitachi clinical chemistry analyser using the uricase HMMPS method. Five plasma samples were used to evaluate intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation, which ranged from 2.1% to 4.5% and from 3.2% to 6.4%, respectively, for UA.

Parameters	Values
Age, y	$50.5 \pm 1.1$
Sex (M/F)	32/58
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$24.2 \pm 0.3$
Waist circumference, cm	$85.7 \pm 1.0$
Smoking (n, %)	23 (25.6)
Hypertension (n, %)	18 (20)
Pulse, bpm	$73.4 \pm 1.1$
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	$116.9 \pm 1.7$
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	$75.3\pm1.0$
Mean blood pressure, mmHg	$89.2 \pm 1.1$
ALT, U/L*	22 (19.9~28.2)
AST, U/L*	19.5 (16.0~31.1)
Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L	$4.58\pm0.11$
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	$4.33\pm0.10$
Triglycerides, mmol/L*	1.19 (0.86~1.56)
LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L	$2.42 \pm 0.07$
HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L	$1.33\pm0.04$
Serum creatinine, µmol/L	$55.5\pm0.9$
Serum Na <sup>+</sup> , mmol/L	$141.2 \pm 0.2$
Serum K <sup>+</sup> , mmol/L	$4.36\pm0.07$
Serum Cl <sup>-</sup> , mmol/L*	104.2 (102.7~105.4)
Plasma UA, µmol/L	$260.5 \pm 8.0$

**Table 1.** Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics. Values are means  $\pm$  SE or percentages; ALT:alanine aminotransferase, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, LDL: low-density lipoprotein, HDL: high-densitylipoprotein, UA: uric acid. \*Expressed as median (25–75%).

.....

**Twenty-four Hour Urinary Electrolytes and Biochemistry.** Samples of 24 h urine were collected at baseline and on day 7 of each intervention period. Any urine collection less than 500 mL or with a creatinine excretion lower than the population mean minus two standard deviations was discarded to ensure completeness of collection<sup>28</sup>. Samples were kept frozen at -40 °C until analysis. All urine samples were shipped in ambient packaging with the use of ice boxes to the clinical laboratory at the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University in Xi'an, China. Urinary concentrations of UA, sodium, and potassium were analysed using an automatic biochemical analyser (Hitachi, Ltd., Japan). The 24 h urinary excretions of UA, sodium, and potassium were quantified by multiplying UA, sodium, and potassium concentrations, respectively, by the total 24 h urine volume.

**Statistical Analyses.** Continuous data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error. Categorical data are expressed as frequency with percentage. Given that the levels of ALT, AST, triglycerides, and chloride are not normally distributed, these parameters were expressed as median values and 25% and 75% interquartile ranges. Differences in repeated measures were analysed by repeated-measure analysis of variance. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to determine the correlations when residuals were normally distributed, and Spearman's correlation coefficient was used otherwise. We also performed prespecified subgroup analyses to assess for effect modification by baseline covariates known to influence changes in UA with changes from a low- to high-salt diet; these covariates include age (<60 and  $\geq$  60 years), sex (male and female), body mass index (BMI) category (<24, 24–28, and  $\geq$  28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), baseline plasma UA concentration (<212.5, 212.5–249, 249–293.75, and  $\geq$  293.75 µmol/L), baseline urinary microalbumin excretion (<6.88 and  $\geq$  6.88 mg/24 h), and baseline hypertension status. These comparisons were explored to interpret and elucidate the primary results. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A two-tailed p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Data availability.** All raw experimental data used in this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

#### Results

**Profiles of Studied Subjects.** All subjects completed intervention trials. Table 1 highlights the demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants. Eighteen subjects (20%) experienced hypertension, and none of them were taking any medication.

Effects of Salt Intake and Potassium Supplementation on BP and 24 h Urinary Sodium and Potassium Excretion. At baseline, 24 h urinary sodium and potassium excretion averaged  $172.1 \pm 7.6$  mmol/day (approximately 10.1 g of salt per day, 1 g salt = 17.1 mmol Na) and  $37.9 \pm 2.0$  mmol/day (approximately 0.97 g of K per day, 1 mmol = 39 mg K), respectively. These data suggest that the dietary pattern of the Northern Chinese population is characterized by a high sodium intake and an insufficient intake of potassium, agreeing with our

	SBP	DBP	MAP	24 h urinary Na <sup>+</sup>	24 h urinary K <sup>+</sup>
Baseline	$116.9\pm1.7$	$75.3\pm1.0$	$89.2\pm1.1$	$172.1\pm7.6$	$37.9 \pm 2.0$
Low-salt diet	$112.4\pm1.3^{\dagger}$	$75.6\pm0.9$	$87.9 \pm 0.9$	$91.2\pm4.0^{\dagger}$	$34.4 \pm 1.6$
High-salt diet	$122.0 \pm 1.9*$	$78.7\pm0.9^*$	$93.1 \pm 1.2*$	$266.7 \pm 7.5^*$	37.6±1.7

**Table 2.** BP Levels (mmHg) and 24-h Urinary Sodium and Potassium Excretions (mmol/d) at Baseline andDuring Dietary Interventions. Values are means  $\pm$  SE. <sup>†</sup>P < 0.05 vs baseline; <sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05 vs low-salt diet. BP, blood</td>pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure.



**Figure 2.** Effects of low-salt and high-salt intake and on plasma (**a**) and urinary (**b**) UA levels in all subjects. Correlations between 24 h urinary sodium excretions and plasma UA levels (**c**), or urinary UA excretions (**d**) in all subjects on a low-salt and on a high-salt.

previous survey<sup>20,21</sup>. Table 2 presents BP responses to low-salt and high-salt interventions. Overall, BP levels significantly increased with the change from low-salt to high-salt intervention (P < 0.05).

The 24h sodium and potassium excretions in urine were calculated after each intervention period to ensure compliance of participants. As shown in Table 2, urinary sodium excretion significantly decreased with the change from baseline to the low-salt diet but increased from the low-salt to the high-salt diet (P < 0.05). Urinary potassium excretion did not significantly change among different periods. These results confirmed compliance of the subjects with the dietary intervention protocol.

**Effects of Salt Intake on Plasma and Urinary UA Levels.** Plasma UA levels significantly increased with the change from baseline to the low-salt diet  $(262.7 \pm 7.6 \text{ vs. } 280.0 \pm 7.4 \text{ µmol/L}, P < 0.001)$  and decreased from the low-salt to the high-salt diet  $(280.0 \pm 7.4 \text{ vs. } 242.6 \pm 7.1 \text{ µmol/L}, P < 0.001)$  (Fig. 2a). By contrast, daily urinary levels of UA significantly decreased with the change from baseline to the low-salt diet  $(2,242.4 \pm 168.3 \text{ vs. } 1,558.2 \pm 101.1 \text{ µmol/} 24 \text{ h}, P < 0.001)$  and increased from the low-salt to the high-salt regime  $(1,558.2 \pm 101.1 \text{ vs. } 1,761.9 \pm 90.2 \text{ µmol/} 24 \text{ h}, P = 0.032)$  (Fig. 2b).

Further analyses showed that 24 h urinary sodium excretions were inversely correlated with plasma UA (r = -0.258, P < 0.001) and positively correlated with urinary UA excretions (r = 0.176, P = 0.019), during both the low-salt and high-salt diet intervention periods (Fig. 2c and d, respectively).

**Salt Sensitivity and Plasma and Urinary UA Levels.** For many years, animal studies have proposed an association between UA and salt sensitivity of BP<sup>29</sup>; however, this area of research remains unclear for humans. Nineteen subjects, who showed increased MAP of  $\geq$  10 mmHg from the low-salt to high-salt periods, were considered SS. The remaining 71 subjects were considered SR. Table 3 displays the baseline characteristics of the

Parameters	Salt sensitive	Salt resistant	P-value
Age, y	$57.4 \pm 1.3$	$48.7 \pm 1.3$	0.001
Sex (M/F)	6/13	26/45	0.683
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$24.7\pm0.8$	$24.1\pm0.4$	0.427
Waist circumference, cm	$86.0 \pm 2.0$	$85.7\pm1.1$	0.904
Smoking (n, %)	5 (26.3)	18 (25.4)	0.932
Hypertension (n, %)	8 (42.1)	10 (14.1)	0.007
Pulse, bpm	$75.4 \pm 2.5$	$72.8\pm1.2$	0.345
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	$114.4 \pm 1.8$	$126.5.9 \pm 4.2$	0.004
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	$79.0 \pm 2.3$	$74.3\pm1.0$	0.05
Mean blood pressure, mmHg	$94.8 \pm 2.7$	$87.7\pm1.2$	0.009
ALT, U/L	$24.2 \pm 1.9$	$25.9\pm1.2$	0.492
AST, U/L	$26.7\pm4.7$	$27.9 \pm 2.2$	0.799
Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L	$4.74 \pm 0.29$	$4.53\pm0.17$	0.635
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	$4.25\pm0.20$	$4.35\pm0.11$	0.653
Triglycerides, mmol/L	$1.37\pm0.18$	$1.36\pm0.09$	0.940
LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L	$2.43 \pm 0.16$	$2.42\pm0.08$	0.940
HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L	$1.22 \pm 0.07$	$1.36\pm0.04$	0.136
Serum creatinine, µmol/L	$56.3\pm1.8$	$55.3\pm1.1$	0.666
Serum Na <sup>+</sup> , mmol/L	$141.3 \pm 0.4$	$141.1 \pm 0.2$	0.672
Serum K <sup>+</sup> , mmol/L	$4.29 \pm 0.13$	$4.38\pm0.08$	0.619
Serum Cl <sup>-</sup> , mmol/L	$104.6 \pm 0.5$	$104.7 \pm 0.7$	0.973
Plasma UA, µmol/L	$233.0 \pm 15.3$	$267.9 \pm 9.1$	0.075

**Table 3.** Characteristics of Salt-Sensitive and Salt-Resistant Subjects. Values are means  $\pm$  SE or percentages;ALT: alanine aminotransferase, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, LDL: low-density lipoprotein, HDL: high-density lipoprotein, UA: uric acid.

study population according to the SS status. At the baseline, SS subjects were older, associated with high BP levels, and showed high prevalence of hypertension (42.1% vs. 14.1%, P = 0.007).

As shown in Fig. 3a, plasma UA levels significantly increased with the change from baseline to the low-salt diet and decreased from the low-salt to the high-salt regime in both SS and SR subjects (P < 0.05 for all). However, no significant difference was observed in plasma UA between SS and SR subjects during any level of salt intake. To further increase the statistical power of the study, we also compared changes in plasma UA while transitioning from baseline to the low-salt diet and from the low- to high-salt diet. SS subjects were associated with significantly higher plasma UA changes compared with SR subjects (low salt response:  $34.9 \pm 7.8$  vs.  $12.5 \pm 4.8 \mu$ mol/L, P = 0.028; high salt response:  $-52.5 \pm 7.7$  vs.  $-33.4 \pm 4.1 \mu$ mol/L, P = 0.034; Fig. 3b). We observed a negative correlation between the degree of salt sensitivity, which is defined as the difference in MAP at the end of the highand low-salt diet periods, and plasma UA difference (r = -0.356, P = 0.001; Fig. 3c).

Figure S1a presents the relationship between urinary UA excretions and salt sensitivity. Daily urinary levels of UA significantly decreased with the change from baseline to the low-salt diet and remained stable with the change from the low-salt to the high-salt regime in both SS and SR participants. However, no difference in the changes in urinary UA excretions was observed between the SS and SR groups (P > 0.05 for all; Fig. S1b). Further analyses showed that the degree of salt sensitivity was not related to urinary UA excretion difference (r = -0.075, P = 0.483; Fig. S1c).

**Subgroup analysis.** Figure 4 shows the effects of sodium intake on plasma UA, stratified by age, sex, BMI, baseline hypertension status, baseline UA level, and 24 h urinary microalbumin excretions at baseline. Participants with a BMI of  $24 \text{ kg/m}^2$  to  $< 28 \text{ kg/m}^2$  demonstrated more significant effects from high versus low salt intake than did participants with a BMI of  $< 24 \text{ kg/m}^2$  (-51.36 vs. -28.25 µmol/L; P = 0.003). The effect of the salt diet on plasma UA was nearly null when baseline plasma UA was either < 212.5 or 212.5 µmol/L to < 249 µmol/L. However, when baseline plasma UA measured from 249 µmol/L to < 293.75 µmol/L, the high-salt diet reduced plasma UA (53.80 µmol/L). This effect was more intensive (53.80 µmol/L) in participants with baseline plasma UA of 249 µmol/L to < 293.75 µmol/L than that (32.56 µmol/L) in participants with baseline plasma UA of  $\geq 293.75 \text{ µmol/L}$  (Fig. 4). Age, sex, baseline hypertension status, and 24 h urinary microalbumin excretions at baseline caused no changes in the relationship between salt intake and plasma UA.

#### Discussion

The results of the present study demonstrate that low salt intake increased plasma UA from the baseline, whereas high salt intake decreased plasma UA levels under a low-salt diet. In addition, an inverse correlation between the 24 h urinary sodium excretion and plasma UA level was demonstrated in these Chinese subjects. These data indicate that variations in dietary salt intake significantly influence plasma UA levels.



**Figure 3.** (a) Comparison of plasma UA levels at baseline, low-salt and high-salt intake periods in salt-sensitive (SS) and salt-resistant (SR) subjects. Vertical dashed lines represent differences between SS and SR subjects at each of the salt intake levels. Horizontal dashed lines represent differences between salt intake levels (baseline versus low and low versus high). (b) Comparison of plasma UA responses to low-salt and high-salt diets in SS and SR subjects. (c) Correlation between plasma UA difference and degree of salt sensitivity in all subjects.

Although the relationship between salt intake and hypertension is well-established, its relationship with UA is controversial. One proposed hypothesis states that higher UA levels represent an evolutionary advantage in *Homo sapiens*, allowing them to maintain BP when access to sodium is scarce<sup>22</sup>. This theory was demonstrated in a uricase-deficient rat model showing an increase in BP from hyperuricaemia in the context of a low-sodium diet<sup>23</sup>. Additional rat models have supported this hypothesis, showing that UA causes upregulation and activation of epithelial sodium channels in nephrons<sup>30</sup>. Hou *et al.*<sup>31</sup> recently reported that high salt intake enhanced associations of blood UA with hypertension and related cardiovascular risk in a cross-sectional study of 1,668 Chinese subjects. However, clinical trials scarcely examine this relationship. One trial performed in 27 men showed that increasing sodium from 20 mEq/day to 200 mEq/day decreased UA levels by 1 mg/dL<sup>32</sup>. In another randomized crossover trial of 103 adults with pre-hypertension or stage I hypertension, 30 days of low versus high sodium intake (60 versus 180 mmol/day) significantly decreased serum UA<sup>14,15</sup>. These observations agree with the results of our study, in which salt loading significantly lowered plasma UA levels, and an inverse association was observed between 24 h urinary sodium and plasma UA. The present study builds upon these previous findings by

Subgroup	Ν	Difference in uric acid, µmol/L	-	P Value
Age				0.698
< 60yr	69	-36.62(-45.0028.24)	⊢∎→	
≥ 60yr	21	-40.05(-56.8323.27)	<b>⊢</b> ∎−−1	
Sex				0.958
Male	32	-37.69(-52.3023.07)	<b>⊢</b> ∎i	
Female	58	-37.28(-45.7528.80)	⊢∎(	
Body mass index,kg/m2				0.008
<24	44	-28.25(-38.7617.74)	⊨∎→	
24-28	36	-51.36(-62.0340.69)	⊢∎→	
≥ 28	10	-37.42(-44.7930.05)	⊢∎⊣	
High blood pressure at baseline				0.128
No	72	-34.60(-42.6826.52)	<b>⊢</b> ∎–1	
Yes	18	-48.72(-67.1430.31)	<b>⊢</b> •−−1	
Baseline uric acid levels,µmol/L				0.123
<212.5	22	-30.05(-46.9623.13)	⊢∎1	
212.5-249	23	-30.74(-46.4615.02)	⊢-∎1	
249-293.75	20	-53.80(-71.3036.30)	<b>⊢_</b> ∎(	
≥ 293.75	25	-32.56(-47.6917.43)	<b>⊢</b> ∎−−1	
24h urinary microalbumin excretion at baseline,mg/24h				0.63
<6.88	45	-35.62(-46.9724.29)	⊢-■1	
≥ 6.88	45	-39.22(-49.0529.39)	<b>⊢</b> ∎–1	
Overall	90	-37.42(-44.7930.05)	⊢∎→	<0.001
		-8	0.0 -60.0 -40.0 -20.0 0	.0

**Figure 4.** Forest plots of the effects of high (18.0 g/day) versus low (3.0 g/day) salt intake on plasma UA levels in subjects stratified by age, sex, BMI, baseline hypertension status, baseline UA level, and 24-h urinary microalbumin excretions at baseline. Values are the mean (95% confidence interval [95% CI]).

also evaluating the effects of low salt intake. Low salt resulted in a marked increase in circulating UA levels from baseline, enhancing our knowledge of urate pathophysiology and risk factors for hyperuricaemia.

To our knowledge, this study is the first report in the literature concerning the association between salt intake and urinary UA. We discovered that urinary excretion of UA substantially decreased as the baseline diet changed to a low-salt one but increased from the low-salt to the high-salt regime. The mechanism by which increased sodium intake decreases plasma UA remains to be determined. Increased sodium intake has been noted to cause increased intravascular volume<sup>33</sup>, and this condition can cause haemodilutional effects of sodium on UA. The relationship between sodium intake and UA reduction possibly results from the effects of sodium intake on the glomerular filtration rate and excretion or absorption of urate. Previous physiology studies have shown that reabsorption of sodium and urate accompanies one another at different sites in nephrons<sup>34,35</sup>. Thus, decreased renal reabsorption of sodium from excess sodium intake possibly contributes to decreased urate reabsorption. This hypothesis has been evidenced by our study, showing that urinary UA excretions were markedly increased during high salt intake, which was further reinforced by the observation that urinary UA was positively correlated with urinary sodium excretion. Finally, this relationship may reflect actions of the renin–angiotensin system, as UA is inversely related to vascular resistance and renal blood flow<sup>36,37</sup>. Similarly, angiotensin II has been shown to decrease urate excretion after acute infusion<sup>38,39</sup>. Determining the molecular mechanism and signalling molecules responsible for the effects of salt intake on plasma and urinary UA is of significant interest.

To our knowledge, our study is the first to demonstrate that the plasma UA responses in SS subjects are more significant than those in SR subjects during low- and high-salt periods. A negative correlation was also observed between the degree of salt sensitivity and plasma UA differences in the studied Chinese population. We also noted that urine UA was not associated with salt sensitivity. These data suggest that blood UA may be involved in the pathogenic mechanisms of salt sensitivity. By contrast, ter Maaten *et al.*<sup>40</sup> showed that serum UA was not associated with salt sensitivity. By contrast, ter Maaten *et al.*<sup>40</sup> showed that serum UA was not associated with salt sensitivity of 21 healthy volunteers (r = 0.31, P > 0.05). This relationship has been confirmed by animal studies. In the late 1990s, Johnson and colleagues developed a mild hyperuricaemic model by using oxonic acid, a uricase inhibitor, to increase UA levels. Over the 7 weeks of oxonic acid and low-salt diet, SBP in hyperuricaemic rats increased by 30–40 mmHg, with increased serum UA. Additionally, an increase in BP is linearly related to the rise in UA (r = 0.75)<sup>23</sup>. After 7 weeks on a low-salt diet and oxonic acid, when oxonic acid was removed, serum UA and BP fell to normal levels over 3 weeks; however, when hyperuricaemic rats were fed a high-salt diet, they became hypertensive and SS<sup>22</sup>.

In exploratory subgroup analyses, we observed the highest UA reduction from low salt intake to high salt intake in participants with a BMI of  $24 \text{ kg/m}^2$  to  $< 28 \text{ kg/m}^2$ . This subgroup also represents a population that can possibly benefit from a low-salt diet for UA management. A number of studies have shown that higher levels of serum UA are associated with higher BMI, and weight loss may be an effective non-medical strategy for reducing serum UA levels<sup>41-43</sup>. Kuwahara *et al.*<sup>44</sup> showed that excessive BMI increases during childhood led to young adult serum UA elevation in a linkage study of 298 Japanese children. In the present study, plasma UA levels were not correlated with BMI at baseline (r = 0.181, P = 0.087; Fig. S2). Different study populations, different sample sizes, and racial differences among these various studies may be causes of discrepant results. Interestingly, participants with a baseline plasma UA of 249 µmol/L to  $< 293.75 \mu mol/L$  showed the most significant UA reduction after salt loading. Future studies should investigate mechanisms underlying this phenomenon.

The present study features some limitations. First, the study population was relatively small and restricted to northern Chinese individuals. Therefore, our results will require replication in other cohorts to determine generalizability to other ethnicities and to populations with different backgrounds. Similarly, the study excluded persons with prior cardiovascular disease, advanced kidney disease, and medication-treated diabetes mellitus or hypertension, thus possibly limiting its generalizability. Furthermore, our subgroup analyses are, by nature, exploratory. Differences between the strata of baseline UA levels (high salt intake versus low salt intake) or strata of BMI warrant confirmation in a dedicated trial.

Notwithstanding these limitations, the present study presents a number of important strengths. We employed a randomized trial to examine the effects of dietary interventions on plasma and urinary levels of UA. Further, participation in the dietary interventions was high, and excellent compliance with the study interventions was noted, as assessed by urinary excretions of sodium during each intervention period. Finally, salt sensitivity was analysed as a dichotomous trait (such as the SS and SR groups) and as a continuous variable (degree of salt sensitivity) to increase this study's power to observe the effects of salt intake on UA within study participants, despite the modest sample size.

For more than 50 years, studies have identified the association between UA and cardiovascular risk. In recent years, increased salt intake has been shown to lower serum UA levels. First, this interventional study shows that low salt intake increased plasma UA and decreased urinary excretion of UA, whereas the high-salt diet decreased plasma UA but increased urine UA. Second, plasma UA and salt sensitivity demonstrated a significant relation-ship. Most importantly, the significant response of plasma UA after salt intake in SS individuals suggested an association of blood UA with the pathogenesis of salt sensitivity of BP. These results support the evidence obtained in animal studies that mild hyperuricaemia results in salt sensitivity and hypertension in two major phases. Our findings are of public health importance because they provide a basis for potential prevention and a possible therapeutic target for hypertension in the future.

#### References

- 1. O'Donnell, M., Mente, A. & Yusuf, S. Evidence relating sodium intake to blood pressure and CVD. Curr Cardiol Rep 16, 529 (2014).
- He, F. J. & MacGregor, G. A. A comprehensive review on salt and health and current experience of worldwide salt reduction programmes. J Hum Hypertens 23, 363–384 (2009).
- 3. O'Donnell, M., Mente, A. & Yusuf, S. Sodium intake and cardiovascular health. Circ Res 116, 1046–1057 (2015).
- 4. Appel, L. J. *et al.* The importance of population-wide sodium reduction as a means to prevent cardiovascular disease and stroke: a call to action from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **123**, 1138–1143 (2011).
- Zhu, J., Mori, T., Huang, T. & Lombard, J. H. Effect of high-salt diet on NO release and superoxide production in rat aorta. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 286, H575–583 (2004).
- 6. Li, J. et al. Salt inactivates endothelial nitric oxide synthase in endothelial cells. J Nutr 139, 447-451 (2009).
- Oberleithner, H. et al. Plasma sodium stiffens vascular endothelium and reduces nitric oxide release. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104, 16281–16286 (2007).
- Lastra, G., Dhuper, S., Johnson, M. S. & Sowers, J. R. Salt, aldosterone, and insulin resistance: impact on the cardiovascular system. Nat Rev Cardiol 7, 577–584 (2010).
- 9. Choi, H. K., Mount, D. B. & Reginato, A. M. Pathogenesis of gout. Ann Intern Med 143, 499-516 (2005).
- 10. Ndrepepa, G. et al. Uric acid and prognosis in angiography-proven coronary artery disease. Eur J Clin Invest 43, 256–266 (2013).
- Ioannou, G. N. & Boyko, E. J. Effects of menopause and hormone replacement therapy on the associations of hyperuricemia with mortality. *Atherosclerosis* 226, 220–227 (2013).
- 12. Becker, M. A. *et al.* Febuxostat compared with allopurinol in patients with hyperuricemia and gout. *N Engl J Med* **353**, 2450–2461 (2005).
- 13. Freis, E. D. & Sappington, R. F. Long-term effect of probenecid on diuretic-induced hyperuricemia. JAMA 198, 127-129 (1966).
- Juraschek, S.P., Choi, H.K., Tang, O., Appel, L.J. & Miller, E.R. III. Opposing effects of sodium intake on uric acid and blood pressure and their causal implication. J Am Soc Hypertens 10, 939-946.e2 (2016).
- Juraschek, S. P., Gelber, A. C., Choi, H. K., Appel, L. J. & Miller, E. R. III Effects of the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) Diet and Sodium Intake on Serum Uric Acid. Arthritis Rheumatol 68, 3002–3009 (2016).
- 16. Weinberger, M. H. Salt sensitivity of blood pressure in humans. Hypertension 27, 481-490 (1996).
- 17. Sharma, A. M. Salt sensitivity as a phenotype for genetic studies of human hypertension. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **11**, 927–929 (1996).
- Weinberger, M. H., Fineberg, N. S., Fineberg, S. E. & Weinberger, M. Salt sensitivity, pulse pressure, and death in normal and hypertensive humans. *Hypertension* 37, 429–432 (2001).
- Wang, Y. *et al.* Salt-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in Dahl salt-sensitive rats is dependent on elevated blood pressure. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 47, 223–230 (2014).
- Fang, Y., Mu, J. J., He, L. C., Wang, S. C. & Liu, Z. Q. Salt loading on plasma asymmetrical dimethylarginine and the protective role of potassium supplement in normotensive salt-sensitive asians. *Hypertension* 48, 724–729 (2006).
- 21. Mu, J., Zheng, S., Lian, Q., Liu, F. & Liu, Z. Evolution of blood pressure from adolescents to youth in salt sensitivies: a 18-year followup study in Hanzhong children cohort. *Nutr J* 11, 70 (2012).
- 22. Watanabe, S. et al. Uric acid, hominoid evolution, and the pathogenesis of salt-sensitivity. Hypertension 40, 355-360 (2002).
- Mazzali, M. *et al.* Elevated uric acid increases blood pressure in the rat by a novel crystal-independent mechanism. *Hypertension* 38, 1101–1106 (2001).
- Waitzberg, D. L. et al. Effect of synbiotic in constipated adult women a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of clinical response. Clin Nutr 32, 27–33 (2013).
- Chobanian, A. V. et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Hypertension 42, 1206–1252 (2003).
- Wang, Y. et al. Effect of salt intake and potassium supplementation on serum renalase levels in Chinese adults: a randomized trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 93, e44 (2014).
- 27. Wright, J. T. Jr *et al.* Determinants of salt sensitivity in black and white normotensive and hypertensive women. *Hypertension* **42**, 1087–1092 (2003).
- Cappuccio, F. P. et al. Geographic and socioeconomic variation of sodium and potassium intake in Italy: results from the MINISAL-GIRCSI programme. BMJ Open 5, e007467 (2015).
- Wang, Y. et al. The Role of Uric Acid in Hypertension of Adolescents, Prehypertension and Salt Sensitivity of Blood Pressure. Med Sci Monit 23, 790–795 (2017).

- 30. Xu, W. *et al.* Hyperuricemia induces hypertension through activation of renal epithelial sodium channel (ENaC). *Metabolism* 65, 73–83 (2016).
- Hou, L. et al. Influence of Salt Intake on Association of Blood Uric Acid with Hypertension and Related Cardiovascular Risk. PLoS One 11, e0150451 (2016).
- Egan, B. M., Weder, A. B., Petrin, J. & Hoffman, R. G. Neurohumoral and metabolic effects of short-term dietary NaCl restriction in men. Relationship to salt-sensitivity status. Am J Hypertens 4, 416–421 (1991).
- Anderson, D. E., Parsons, B. A., McNeely, J. C. & Miller, E. R. Salt sensitivity of blood pressure is accompanied by slow respiratory rate: results of a clinical feeding study. J Am Soc Hypertens 1, 256–263 (2007).
- Ter, M. J. C. et al. Renal handling of urate and sodium during acute physiological hyperinsulinaemia in healthy subjects. Clin Sci (Lond) 92, 51–58 (1997).
- 35. Weinman, E. J., Knight, T. F., McKenzie, R. & Eknoyan, G. Dissociation of urate from sodium transport in the rat proximal tubule. *Kidney Int* **10**, 295–300 (1976).
- 36. Omvik, P. & Lund-Johansen, P. Is sodium restriction effective treatment of borderline and mild essential hypertension? A long-term haemodynamic study at rest and during exercise. J Hypertens 4, 535–541 (1986).
- Messerli, F. H., Frohlich, E. D., Dreslinski, G. R., Suarez, D. H. & Aristimuno, G. G. Serum uric acid in essential hypertension: an indicator of renal vascular involvement. Ann Intern Med 93, 817–821 (1980).
- 38. Ferris, T. F. & Gorden, P. Effect of angiotensin and norepinephrine upon urate clearance in man. Am J Med 44, 359-365 (1968).
- Moriwaki, Y., Yamamoto, T., Tsutsumi, Z., Takahashi, S. & Hada, T. Effects of angiotensin II infusion on renal excretion of purine bases and oxypurinol. *Metabolism* 51, 893–895 (2002).
- 40. ter, M. J. C., Voordouw, J. J., Bakker, S. J., Donker, A. J. & Gans, R. O. Salt sensitivity correlates positively with insulin sensitivity in healthy volunteers. *Eur J Clin Invest* 29, 189–195 (1999).
- 41. Wang, H. et al. Association of Serum Uric Acid with Body Mass Index: A Cross-Sectional Study from Jiangsu Province, China. Iran J Public Health 43, 1503–1509 (2014).
- 42. Yue, J. R., Huang, C. Q. & Dong, B. R. Association of serum uric acid with body mass index among long-lived Chinese. *Exp Gerontol* 47, 595–600 (2012).
- 43. Ishizaka, N. *et al.* Changes in waist circumference and body mass index in relation to changes in serum uric acid in Japanese individuals. *J Rheumatol* **37**, 410–416 (2010).
- 44. Kuwahara, E. *et al.* Increased childhood body mass index is associated with young adult serum uric acid levels: a linkage study from Japan. Pediatr Res. (2016).

### Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the participants in the study for their outstanding commitment and cooperation. Y.W. is grateful to the China Scholarship Council (No: 201506280092) for a PhD fellowship. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 81370357, No. 81570381 (J.-J.M.), No. 81600327 (Y.W.) and No. 81700368 (C.C.), the Clinical Research Award of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University of China No. XJTU1AF-CRF-2015-006, Grant 2017YFC1307604 from the Major Chronic Non-communicable Disease Prevention and Control Research Key Project of the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China, and Grant 2017ZDXM-SF-107 from the Key Research Project of Shaanxi Province.

### **Author Contributions**

Y.W. and J.-J.M. conceived and designed the experiments; J.-J.M. and Z.-Y.Y. were responsible for subject recruitment; C.C., K.-K.W., J.-W.H., Y.Y., Y.-B.L., Y.-M.C., W.-L.Z., X.-L.D., J.-T.X. and W.C. performed the experiments; Y.W. and C.C. analyzed the data; Y.W. and K.-K.W. wrote the paper. All authors read, critically revised and approved the final manuscript.

### **Additional Information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20048-2.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018