SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 30 May 2017 Accepted: 5 October 2017 Published online: 23 October 2017

OPEN Facile synthesis and emission enhancement in NaLuF₄ upconversion nano/micro-crystals via Y³⁺ doping

Hao Lin, Dekang Xu, Anming Li, Lu Yao, Zhiren Qiu, Shenghong Yang & Yueli Zhang

A series of Y³⁺-absent/doped NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals were prepared via a hydrothermal process with the assistance of citric acid. Cubic nanospheres, hexagonal microdisks, and hexagonal microprisms can be achieved by simply adjusting the reaction temperature. The effect of Y^{3+} doping on the morphology and upconversion (UC) emission of the as-prepared samples were systematically investigated. Compared to their Y³⁺-free counterpart, the integrated spectral intensities in the range of 445–495 nm from α -, β -, and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ crystals with 40 mol%Y³⁺ doping are increased by 9.7, 4.4, and 24.3 times, respectively; red UC luminescence intensities in the range of 630-725 nm are enhanced by 4.6, 2.4, and 24.9 times, respectively. It is proposed that the increased UC emission intensity is mainly ascribed to the deformation of crystal lattice, due to the electron cloud distortion in host lattice after Y³⁺ doping. This paper provides a facile route to achieve nano/microstructures with intense UC luminescence, which may have potential applications in optoelectronic devices.

Optical upconversion (UC) is an anti-Stokes process that two or more low-energy photons can be converted into a single high-energy photon¹. Rare-earth (RE) doped UC materials show many advantages, including high photochemical stability, low toxicity and long luminescence lifetimes²⁻⁶, which may have great potential applications in fields such as biological imaging, multi-dimensional displays, optical temperature sensors and solar cells⁷⁻¹⁰. However, compared to downconversion materials, the main shortcoming of UC materials is their low luminescence efficiency. Thus, an effective strategy to enhance the UC luminescence intensity is urgently needed. In recent years, many kinds of methods have been used to achieve efficient UC luminescence. For instance, Zhao et al. reported the enhanced red UC emission in Mn²⁺ doped NaYF₄: Yb/Er nanoparticles, due to the efficient energy transfer between Er³⁺ and Mn²⁺¹¹. Tan et al. demonstrated NaYbF₄:Tm³⁺ and NaYbF₄:Er³⁺ nanocrystals with the enhanced red UC luminescence, which is attributed to the cross relaxation effect among the activators at high activator content¹². As is known, the UC emission of RE doped materials is remarkably affected by the crystal field symmetry around activators¹³, and the asymmetric environment of activators can result in the emission enhancement. For instance, Zhao's group reported Li⁺ doped GdF₃:Yb³⁺, Er³⁺ nanocrystals with the enhanced red UC luminescence, which was caused by the decrease of local crystal field symmetry around activators after Li⁺ doping¹⁴. Rai et al. demonstrated the enhanced green UC emission in Li⁺ doped Y₂O₃:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ nanocrystals¹⁵. Yin et al. reported Mo³⁺ doped NaYF₄: Yb/Er nanocrystals with 6 and 8 times enhancement of green and red UC emissions, due to the lattice distortion after Mo³⁺ doping¹⁶. In order to obtain efficient UC emission, the selection of excellent host material is essential. With the similar crystalline plane, $NaYF_4$ and $NaLuF_4$ have been considered as the outstanding host matrix for UC processes, due to their high thermal stability, low phonon energy and high refractive index¹⁷⁻²¹. As is known, the ionic radius of Y^{3+} (0.89 Å) is larger than that of Lu^{3+} (0.85 Å), thus \tilde{Y}^{3+} doping may cause the expansion of NaLuF₄ host lattice, leading to the distortion of local symmetry around activators. Consequently, Y³⁺ doping is an effective approach for enhancing the UC emission intensity in NaLuF₄-based system. In addition, due to the small difference in ionic radius between Y³⁺ and Lu³⁺, the phase transformation does not occur during introducing Y^{3+} in NaLuF₄ crystals, which would be favorable to

State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, School of Materials Science and Engineering, School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, P. R. China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.X. (email: xudek@mail.sysu.edu.cn) or Y.Z. (email: stszyl@mail.sysu.edu.cn)





maintain the stability of crystal structure. However, there is no report on the increase of UC luminescence intensity in $NaLuF_4$ -based system via Y^{3+} doping.

In this paper, in order to obtain different structures of NaLuF₄ nano/micro-crystals before Y³⁺ doping, the influence of reaction temperature on the phase of Y³⁺-absent NaLuF₄ crystals is studied. It is found that cubic nanospheres, hexagonal microdisks and hexagonal microprisms can be achieved with the higher temperature. α -, β -, and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ crystals with Y³⁺ doping show the significant enhancement of UC emissions relative to Y³⁺-absent samples under 980 nm excitation at room temperature. The proposed mechanisms of UC emission enhancement and shape evolution through introducing Y³⁺ are presented.

Results and Discussion

Phase and morphology. First, in order to obtain diverse structures of NaLuF₄ nano/micro-crystals before Y^{3+} doping, the influence of reaction temperature on the crystal structure of Y^{3+} -absent NaLuF₄ crystals is studied. The XRD patterns and the corresponding SEM images of Y³⁺-absent NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals prepared at different reaction temperatures for 12 h are displayed in Figs 1 and 2, respectively. As can be seen from Fig. 1, pure α -NaLuF₄ (JCPDS 27-0725) is formed at 110 °C. The related SEM image (Fig. 2a) shows that the sample is composed of a large number of small cubic nanospheres with an average diameter of 17 nm. At higher reaction temperature of 130 °C, α/β -mixed NaLuF₄ appears in the XRD pattern, indicating that the crystals partially change from α to β phase. Correspondingly, the SEM image of Fig. 2b exhibits two obvious particle morphologies containing small α -NaLuF₄ nanospheres and large β -NaLuF₄ microdisks with a mean diameter of 7.63 μ m. After being treated at 150 °C, the corresponding XRD result demonstrates that pure β -NaLuF₄ (JCPDS 27-0726) can be obtained. The corresponding sample is composed of a large amount of hexagonal microdisks with regularity and smooth surfaces, and the small cubic nanoparticles completely disappear, as presented in Fig. 2c. The average length and diameter of the disks are 0.51 μ m and 4.80 μ m, respectively. When the reaction temperature further increases to 180 °C and 200 °C, there still only exists hexagonal phase in the XRD patterns. The corresponding SEM images (Fig. 2d and e) show the homogeneous short hexagonal microprisms with an average size of 4.36 μ m and 6.06 μ m in length; 12.46 μ m and 10.51 μ m in diameter, respectively. The ratios of length to diameter (L/D ratios) are calculated to be about 0.11 (150 °C), 0.35 (180 °C), and 0.58 (200 °C). From the above analysis, it can be concluded that higher reaction temperature favors the formation of NaLuF₄ crystals with hexagonal phase, which is ascribed to the fact that higher temperature favors the nucleation and the crystal growth²⁵. The L/D ratio of β -NaLuF₄ microcrystals is enhanced as the temperature increases from 150 °C to 200 °C. As is known, β -NaLuF₄ has a high anisotropic structure²⁶. The growth rate along [10ī0] direction is lower than that along [0001] direction at higher temperature due to Cit³⁻ absorbs onto the {1010} facets more strongly than the $\{0001\}$ facets, thus results in the increase of L/D ratio and the shape evolution from disks to prisms.

In order to reveal the effect of Y^{3+} doping on the morphology and UC emission of NaLuF₄ crystals, a series of Y^{3+} doped α -, β - and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals were synthesized.

Figure 3(a and b) show the XRD patterns of α -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nanocrystals and β -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ microcrystals introduced with different Y³⁺ contents prepared at 110 °C and 200 °C for 12 h, respectively. As can be seen, pure cubic phase (Fig. 3a) and pure hexagonal phase (Fig. 3b) can be obtained even Y³⁺ content increases up to 79 mol% (the Y³⁺-free samples have been shown in Fig. 1). No extra peaks can be observed, which indicates that Y³⁺ doping has no influence on the crystal structure of cubic-phase nanocrystals and hexagonal-phase microcrystals. As demonstrated in the insets of Fig. 3(a and b), with the Y³⁺ content increases from 0 to 79 mol%, the main diffraction peaks of α and β phases move to lower angles. According to Bragg's law 2d sin $\theta = n\lambda$, where d represents the interplanar distance, θ represents the diffraction angle, and λ represents the diffraction wavelength. When Y³⁺ doped into the lattice, Lu³⁺ can be substituted by the relatively large Y³⁺, resulting in the expansion of NaLuF₄ host lattice (Fig. 3c), thus the interplanar distance increases and diffraction angle decreases. The values of the lattice constants and unit-cell volumes of α -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ doped with different concentrations



Figure 2. SEM images of Y³⁺-absent NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals prepared at different reaction temperatures for 12 h. (**a**-**e**) Refer to 110 °C, 130 °C, 150 °C, 180 °C, and 200 °C, respectively. Scale bars are 200 nm for (**a**), and 5 μ m for (**b**-**e**).



Figure 3. XRD patterns (**a** and **b**) of different Y³⁺ doped α -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nanocrystals and β -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ microcrystals prepared at 110 °C and 200 °C for 12 h; and possible change in the NaLuF4 crystal lattice after Y³⁺ doping (**c**). The insets of (**a** and **b**) are their main diffraction peaks. The vertical red lines are the standard profiles of α -NaLuF₄ (JCPDS 27-0725) and β -NaLuF₄ (JCPDS 27-0726), respectively.

	0% Y ³⁺	$10\% Y^{3+}$	20% Y ³⁺	$40\% Y^{3+}$	60% Y ³⁺	79% Y ³⁺
a/Å	5.4461	5.4496	5.4515	5.4663	5.4796	5.4852
unit-cell volume (Å3)	161.53	161.84	162.02	163.33	164.53	165.03

Table 1. The lattice constants and unit-cell volumes of α -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ doped with different concentrations of Y³⁺.

of Y^{3+} calculated according to XRD results are shown in Table 1, the higher unit-cell volumes are caused by the larger ionic radius of Y^{3+} substituting Lu³⁺. Importantly, the lattice expansion may cause the distortion of local symmetry around Tm³⁺, which would break the forbidden transition of Tm³⁺, and consequently enhancing the UC emission intensity²⁷. The above XRD results are well consistent with the corresponding SEM images.

As shown in Fig. 4(a–f), the Y³⁺ doped α -NaLuF₄ nanoparticles are composed of a great deal of small cubic nanospheres (the Y³⁺- absent sample has been shown in Fig. 2a). The full width at half maximum (FWHM) was gradually narrowed with the Y³⁺ concentration increases up to 79 mol%, as presented in Fig. 5. The average crystalline sizes can be calculated based on Scherrer's equation: $D = 0.89\lambda/(\beta\cos\theta)$, where D is the crystallite size, λ represents the wavelength of the X-ray, β stands for the corrected half width of the diffraction peak, and θ is the diffraction angle. The factor 0.89 is the characteristic of a spherical particle. Thus, the mean diameters (Table 2) of the spheres were calculated to be about 17 nm, 18 nm, 19 nm, 22 nm, and 24 nm, respectively. From the above results, it can be seen that the replacement of Lu³⁺ by larger Y³⁺ may lead to the increasing size of cubic-phase nanospheres.

The SEM images of Y^{3+} doped β -NaLuF₄ microparticles are displayed in Fig. 6(a–f). As exhibited in Fig. 6a, the Y^{3+} -free sample has been shown in Fig. 2e. As the Y^{3+} concentration increases from 10 to 20 mol%, short hexagonal microprisms with regularity and uniformity are obtained, as presented in Fig. 6(b and c). On average, the prisms have a length of 3.01 μ m and 4.81 μ m; a diameter of 6.72 μ m and 7.42 μ m, respectively. When the Y³⁺ concentration increases to 40 mol%, irregular hexagonal microprisms with coarse surfaces are shown in Fig. 6d. The average length of the prisms is 14.08 μ m, and the average diameter is 11.02 μ m. With the Y³⁺ content further increases to 60 and 79 mol% [Fig. 6(e and f)], the corresponding samples consist of hexagonal microprisms with scrappy ends and concave centers on the top/bottom surfaces. The prisms have a mean size of 7.78 µm and 7.71 μ m in length; 5.98 μ m and 5.10 μ m in diameter, respectively. The L/D ratios are calculated to be about 0.45, 0.65, 1.28, 1.30, and 1.51 when the Y^{3+} content is 10, 20, 40, 60, and 79 mol%. Thus, the L/D ratio of hexagonal microprisms is increased as the Y^{3+} content increases from 10 to 79 mol%. Under our experimental condition, the chelated Lu³⁺-Cit³⁻ complex and Y³⁺-Cit³⁻ complex were formed. As is known, both β -NaLuF₄ and β -NaYF₄ have high anisotropic structures. From Fig. 6a ($Lu^{3+} = 79 \text{ mol}\%$, $Y^{3+} = 0 \text{ mol}\%$) and Fig. 6f ($Lu^{3+} = 0 \text{ mol}\%$, $Y^{3+} = 79$ mol%), it can be clearly seen that the L/D ratio of β -NaYF₄ is larger than that of β -NaLuF₄. Thus, the $\nu_1/2$ v_2 ratio of β -NaYF₄ is higher than that of β -NaLuF₄ under the same experimental conditions (v_1 is the growth rate along [0001] direction, v, is the growth rate along $[10\overline{1}0]$ direction), leading to the enhancement of L/D ratio and the morphology evolution from short hexagonal microprisms to long hexagonal microprisms when the Y³⁺ concentration increases from 10 to 79 mol%. According to Liu et al's report about the density functional theory calculation on Gd³⁺ doped NaYF₄:Yb³⁺, Er³⁺ nanoparticles, the electron charge density in host lattice changes after Y^{3+} is substituted by Gd³⁺ in the crystal lattice²⁸. Under our synthesis conditions, the replacement of Lu³⁺ by larger Y^{3+} is similar to the substitution of Y^{3+} by larger Gd^{3+} . Thus, it is creditable that Y^{3+} doped into NaLuF₄ host lattice may change the electron charge density, leading to the electron cloud distortion in crystal lattice, which would cause the deformation of crystal lattice. The change in crystal lattice may result in the formation of irregular and distorted hexagonal microprisms with coarse surfaces when the Y^{3+} content is 40 mol%.

Figure 7 shows the XRD patterns (a) and the main diffraction peak (b) of different Y^{3+} doped α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals prepared at 130 °C for 12 h. As shown in Fig. 7a, all samples are composed of a mixture of cubic and hexagonal phases (the Y³⁺-free sample has been shown in Fig. 1). Figure 7b displays the main diffraction peak of cubic phase shifts towards lower angles as the Y³⁺ content increases from 0 to 79 mol%, which is mainly attributed to the expansion of crystal lattice after Lu³⁺ is replaced by the relatively large Y³⁺. The shifting peak reveals that Y³⁺ can be doped into the host lattice. The corresponding SEM images [Fig. 8(a-f)] present two distinct particle morphologies including large microdisks (hexagonal phase) and small nanoparticles (cubic phase). It can be obviously seen that numerous spherical nanoparticles are attached on the surfaces of microdisks. The corresponding diameters of the disks are 7.63 µm, 5.64 µm, 4.79 µm, 3.50 µm, 2.66 µm, and 2.33 µm, respectively. The reduced diameter of the disks can be ascribed to the fact that β -NaYF₄ has higher v_1/v_2 ratio than β -NaLuF₄ under the same experimental conditions.

The above results demonstrate that reaction temperature has a significant effect on the crystal structure of the products, and Y^{3+} doping may cause the size-tuning and shape evolution of the crystals. Figure 9 summarizes the formation processes of Y^{3+} -absent/doped NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals synthesized under different experimental conditions.

UC photoluminescence properties. Figure 10(a-c) show the UC luminescence spectra (under 980 nm excitation at room temperature) of different Y³⁺ doped α -, β - and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals prepared at 110 °C, 200 °C and 130 °C for 12 h, respectively. Blue emissions centered at 450 nm and 477 nm are generated from the ¹D₂ \rightarrow ³F₄ and ¹G₄ \rightarrow ³H₆ transitions of Tm³⁺, respectively. Red emissions at approximately 649 nm and 696 nm correspond to the ¹G₄ \rightarrow ³F₄ and ³F₃ \rightarrow ³H₆ transitions of Tm³⁺, respectively. The energy-level diagram of UC mechanisms for blue and red emissions between Yb³⁺ and Tm³⁺ is presented



Figure 4. SEM images of different Y^{3+} doped α -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nanocrystals prepared at 110 °C for 12 h. (**a-f**) Refer to 0, 10, 20, 40, 60, and 79 mol%, respectively. Scale bars = 200 nm.



Figure 5. FWHM of 28.16° peak *vs.* concentrations of Y^{3+} in Y^{3+} doped α -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nanocrystals.

	0% Y ³⁺	10% Y ³⁺	20% Y ³⁺	40% Y ³⁺	60% Y ³⁺	79% Y ³⁺
size (nm)	17	17	18	19	22	24

Table 2. The mean size of different Y^{3+} doped α -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nanospheres prepared at 110 °C for 12 h.

in Fig. 11. For 450 nm emission, the Tm³⁺¹D₂ level is populated by the ET1+ET2+CR processes (ET = energy transfer, CR = cross relaxation). For 477 nm and 649 nm emissions, the Tm³⁺¹G₄ level is populated by the ET1+ET2+ET3 processes. For 696 nm emission, the Tm³⁺³F₃ level is populated by the ET1+ET2 processes. As can be seen from Fig. 10(a-c), the blue and red UC emission intensities are distinctly enhanced as the Y³⁺ content increases from 0 to 40 mol%, and then declined at the content of 40–79 mol%. Thus, the strongest UC luminescence intensities are observed in the samples with 40 mol% Y³⁺ doping. Compared to their Y³⁺-free samples, the integrated spectral intensities in the range of 445–495 nm from α -, β -, and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ crystals with 40 mol% Y³⁺ doping are increased by 9.7, 4.4, and 24.3 times, respectively; red UC luminescence intensities in the range of 630–725 nm are enhanced by 4.6, 2.4, and 24.9 times, respectively. Under our experimental condition, the substitution of Lu³⁺ by the relatively large Y³⁺ distorts the electron charge density



Figure 6. SEM images of different Y^{3+} doped β -NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ microcrystals prepared at 200 °C for 12 h. (**a-f**) Refer to 0, 10, 20, 40, 60, and 79 mol%, respectively. Scale bars are 5 μ m for (**a-c**, **e** and **f**), and 10 μ m for (**d**).



Figure 7. XRD patterns (**a**) and the main diffraction peak (**b**) of different Y^{3+} doped α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals prepared at 130 °C for 12 h. (stands for the peaks of β phase).

in host lattice, causing the lattice expansion. The deformation of crystal lattice may decrease the symmetry of the local crystal field around Tm³⁺, breaking the forbidden transition of Tm³⁺, finally favors the fast energy transfer from Yb³⁺ to Tm³⁺²⁹. Thus, the asymmetric surrounding environment around Tm³⁺ may result in the sharp increase of UC emission intensity. Y³⁺ doping only changes the lattice constants, and the phase transformation does not occur during introducing Y³⁺ in NaLuF₄ host lattice, due to the small difference in ionic radius between Y³⁺ and Lu³⁺. When the Y³⁺ concentration is 0 mol% (Lu³⁺ = 79 mol%) and 79 mol% (Lu³⁺ = 0 mol%), pure NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals and pure NaYF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals are formed, respectively. Consequently, the samples doped with 0 mol% Y³⁺ (Lu³⁺ = 79 mol%) and 79 mol% Y³⁺ (Lu³⁺ = 39 mol%) have the highest crystal field symmetry around Tm³⁺, and the samples doped with 40 mol% Y³⁺ (Lu³⁺ = 39 mol%) have the lowest crystal field symmetry around Tm³⁺. Due to the most asymmetric environment of Tm³⁺, α -, β - and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals with 40 mol% Y³⁺ doping have the maximum UC luminescence intensity. This phenomenon is similar to Kong *et al.*'s report about the enhanced UC emissions in Li⁺ doped NaYF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nanoparticles³⁰. According to the results of the experiments performed by Kong *et al.*³⁰, when the Li⁺ content is below 7 mol%, Li⁺ begins to occupy interstitial site, leading to



Figure 8. SEM images (**a**-**f**) of different Y³⁺ doped α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals prepared at 130 °C for 12 h. Scale bars = 1 μ m.



Figure 9. Schematic illustration for the formation processes of Y^{3+} -absent/doped NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nano/ micro-crystals synthesized under different experimental conditions.

the expansion of crystal lattice; thus the sample with 7 mol% Li^+ doping has the highest UC emission intensity, owing to the lowest crystal field symmetry around activators. Besides, Y^{3+} doping causes the electron cloud distortion in host lattice, resulting in the tunable size of the as-prepared samples. As is known, as for larger-size crystals, the nonradiative energy transfer processes of Tm^{3+} would decrease due to their fewer surface quenching



Figure 10. UC luminescence spectra (under 980 nm excitation) of different Y^{3+} doped α -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nanocrystals (**a**), β -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ microcrystals (**b**), and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nano/micro-crystals (**c**) prepared at 110 °C, 200 °C, and 130 °C for 12 h, respectively.



Figure 11. Energy level diagram showing the UC mechanisms for blue and red emissions between Yb^{3+} and Tm^{3+} under 980 nm excitation. CR and ET are the abbreviation of cross relaxation and energy transfer, respectively.

sites²⁸, which is in favor of UC emission. Thus, as for Y³⁺ doped β -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ microcrystals, the larger-size (relative to Y³⁺-absent samples) of the samples with 40 mol% Y³⁺ doping may have a small contribution to the enhancement of UC luminescence intensity.

Figure 12 presents the decay curves of (a) ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$ and (b) ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$ transitions of Tm³⁺ in α -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nanocrystals doped with 0, 40 and 79 mol% Y³⁺. Based on the function: $\tau = \int I(t) dt/I_{max}$, where I(t) represents the emission intensity at time t, and I_{max} represents the peak intensity in the decay curve. The calculation results (Table 3) show that τ_{1} (0, 40 and 79 mol%/477 nm) = 0.391, 0.330 and 0.541 ms. τ_{2} (0, 40 and 79 mol%/649 nm) = 0.354, 0.250 and 0.353 ms. As can be seen, the sample with 40 mol% Y³⁺ doping has the lowest luminescence lifetime of ${}^{1}G_{4}$ state of Tm³⁺. It is well known that the inverse of lifetime (1/ τ)



Figure 12. Decay curves of (a) ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$ (477 nm) and (b) ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$ (649 nm) transitions of Tm³⁺ in α -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nanocrystals doped with 0, 40 and 79 mol% Y³⁺.

Y ³⁺ content (mol%)	$ au_1/ms ({}^1G_4 \rightarrow {}^3H_6, Tm^{3+})$	$ au_2/ms~({}^1G_4 \rightarrow {}^3F_4, Tm^{3+})$		
0	0.391	0.354		
40	0.330	0.250		
79	0.541	0.353		

Table 3. Lifetimes of ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}H_{6}$ and ${}^{1}G_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}F_{4}$ transitions of Tm³⁺ in α -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nanocrystals doped with 0, 40 and 79 mol% Y³⁺.

is equal to the sum $(A_{r+nr} = A_r + A_{nr})$ of radiative (A_r) and nonradiative (A_{nr}) transition probability. Thus, the lowest luminescence lifetime in the sample with 40 mol% Y³⁺ doping is mainly caused by the maximum emission intensity.

Conclusion

In summary, cubic nanospheres, hexagonal microdisks, and hexagonal microprisms can be achieved by simply adjusting the reaction temperature. It is found that higher temperature favors the nucleation and the crystal growth. The effect of Y^{3+} doping on the morphology and UC emission of the as-prepared samples were systematically investigated. The results demonstrate that Y^{3+} doping may cause the size-tuning and shape evolution of the crystals. Compared to their Y^{3+} -free samples, the integrated spectral intensities in the range of 445–495 nm from α -, β -, and α/β -mixed NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ crystals with 40 mol% Y³⁺ doping are increased by 9.7, 4.4, and 24.3 times, respectively; red UC luminescence intensities in the range of 630–725 nm are enhanced by 4.6, 2.4, and 24.9 times, respectively. It is proposed that the increased UC emission intensity is mainly ascribed to the deformation of crystal lattice, due to the electron cloud distortion in host lattice after Y^{3+} doping. Besides, as for Y^{3+} doped β -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ microcrystals, the larger-size (relative to Y^{3+} -absent samples) of the samples with 40 mol% Y^{3+} doping may have a small contribution to the enhancement of UC luminescence intensity. As a result of their intense UC emission, these phosphors may be suitable for optoelectronic devices.

Methods

Chemicals. All of the chemicals are of analytical grade and used as received without further purification. 1 M of $Lu(NO_3)_3$, 1 M of $Y(NO_3)_3$, 0.5 M of $Yb(NO_3)_3$, and 0.1 M of $Tm(NO_3)_3$ stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the corresponding rare earth oxide (99.99%) in dilute nitric acid (30%) at elevated temperature.

Preparation. All samples were prepared based on our previously reported procedures^{22–24}. As for the synthesis of Y^{3+} -absent α -NaLuF₄:20%Yb³⁺, 1%Tm³⁺ nanocrystals, 3 mmol of citric acid (2 M, 1.5 mL), 5 mmol of NaOH (4 M, 1.25 mL) and 10 mL of deionized water were mixed and stirred for 10 min. Then 1 mmol of RE(NO₃)₃ (0.79 mmol of Lu(NO₃)₃ (1M, 0.79 mL), 0.2 mmol of Yb(NO₃)₃ (0.5 M, 0.4 mL), and 0.01 mmol of Tm(NO₃)₃ (0.1 M, 0.1 mL)) were added to above mixture and then stirred for 30 min to form the RE-Cit³⁻ complex. Subsequently, 16 mL of aqueous solution containing 9 mmol of NaF (1 M, 9 mL) and 7 mL of deionized water were added into the chelated RE-Cit³⁻ complex to form a colloidal suspension and kept stirring for another 30 min. Finally, the suspension was transferred into a 50 ml-Teflon vessel, sealed in autoclave and maintained at 110 °C for 12 h. After the autoclave was cooled to room temperature naturally, the final products separated by centrifugation, washed with ethanol and deionized water several times, and then dried in air at 60 °C for 12 h. Other samples were prepared by a similar process only by tuning the reaction temperature (110–200 °C) and Y³⁺ content (0–79 mol%).

Characterization. The crystal structure of the as-prepared samples was confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns using the D-Max 2200VPC XRD from Rigaku Company (Cu-K α radiation, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). The morphology was observed by Oxford Quanta 400 F Thermal Field Emission environmental Scanning Electronic Microscope (SEM). UC photoluminescence spectra were carried out on an Edinburgh Instrument Company FLS980 combined fluorescence lifetime and steady-state fluorescence spectrometer equipped with a 1 W 980 nm laser diode.

References

- 1. Wu, M. F. et al. Solid-state infrared-to-visible upconversion sensitized by colloidal nanocrystals. Nature Photon 10, 31-34 (2016).
- Zhang, F. et al. Fabrication of Ag@SiO₂@Y₂O₃:Er nanostructures for bioimaging: tuning of the upconversion fluorescence with silver nanoparticles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 2850–2851 (2010).
- 3. Zhang, F. & Wong, S. S. Ambient large-Scale template-mediated synthesis of high-aspect ratio single-crystalline, chemically doped rare-earth phosphate nanowires for bioimaging. ACS Nano 4, 99–112 (2010).
- 4. Li, Z. Q. & Zhang, Y. Monodisperse silica-coated polyvinylpyrrolidone/NaYF₄ nanocrystals with multicolor upconversion fluorescence emission. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 7732–7735 (2006).
- 5. Cheng, L. *et al.* Facile preparation of multifunctional upconversion nanoprobes for multimodal imaging and dual-targeted photothermal therapy. *Angew. Chem.* **123**, 7523–7528 (2011).
- Yi, G. S. & Chow, G. M. Water-Soluble NaYF₄:Yb,Er(Tm)/NaYF₄/polymer core/shell/shell nanoparticles with significant enhancement of upconversion fluorescence. *Chem. Mater.* 19, 341–343 (2007).
- 7. Zhou, J., Liu, Q., Feng, W., Sun, Y. & Li, F. Y. Upconversion luminescent materials: advances and applications. Chem. Rev. 115, 395-465 (2015).
- Li, Y. Y., Guo, J. J., Liu, X. H., Aidilibike, T. & Qin, W. P. White upconversion luminescence in CaF₂:Yb³⁺/Eu³⁺powders via the incorporation of Y³⁺ ions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18, 16094–16097 (2016).
- Liao, J. et al. Preparation and upconversion emission modification of crystalline colloidal arrays and rare earth fluoride microcrystal composites. Sci. Rep. 5, 7636, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07636 (2015).
- Ding, M. *et al.* Simultaneous morphology manipulation and upconversion luminescence enhancement of β-NaYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ microcrystals by simply tuning the KF dosage. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 12745, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12745 (2015).
- Tian, G. et al. Mn²⁺ dopant-controlled synthesis of NaYF₄: Yb/Er upconversion nanoparticles for *in vivo* imaging and drug delivery. Adv. Mater. 24, 1226–1231 (2012).
- Wei, W. et al. Cross relaxation induced pure red upconversion in activator- and sensitizer-rich lanthanide nanoparticles. Chem. Mater. 26, 5183–5186 (2014).
- Huang, Q. M., Yu, J. C., Ma, E. & Lin, K. M. Synthesis and characterization of highly efficient near-infrared upconversion Sc³⁺/Er³⁺/ Yb³⁺ tridoped NaYF₄. J. Phys. Chem. C 114, 4719–4724 (2010).
- 14. Yin, W. Y. *et al.* Enhanced red emission from GdF₃:Yb³⁺, Er³⁺ upconversion nanocrystals by Li⁺ doping and their application for bioimaging. *Chem. Eur. J.* **18**, 9239–9245 (2012).
- Mishra, K., Singh, S. K., Singh, A. K. & Rai, S. B. Frequency upconversion in Er³⁺ doped Y₂O₃ nanophosphor: Yb³⁺ sensitization and tailoring effect of Li⁺ ion. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 48, 4307–4313 (2013).
- Yin, D. G. et al. Enhancing upconversion luminescence of NaYF₄: Yb/Er nanocrystals by Mo³⁺ doping and their application in bioimaging. Dalton Trans. 43, 12037–12043 (2014).
- 17. Krämer, K. W. *et al.* Hexagonal sodium yttrium fluoride based green and blue emitting upconversion phosphors. *Chem. Mater.* **16**, 1244–1251 (2004).
- 18. Wang, L. L. *et al.* Enhanced deep-ultraviolet upconversion emission of Gd³⁺ sensitized by Yb³⁺ and Ho³⁺ in β-NaLuF₄microcrystals under 980 nm excitation. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **1**, 2485–2490 (2013).
- Li, W. B., Tan, C. B. & Zhang, Y. T. Simultaneous phase and shape control of monodisperse NaLuF₄: Yb, Er microcrystals and greatly enhanced upconversion luminescence from their superstructures. *Opt. Commun.* 295, 140–144 (2013).
- 20. Desiraju, G. R. Polymorphism: the same and not quite the same. Cryst. Growth Des. 8, 3-5 (2008).
- Chen, Z. H. et al. Upconversion NaLuF₄ fluorescent nanoprobes for jellyfish cell imaging and irritation assessment of organic dyes. J. Mater. Chem. C 3, 6067–6076 (2015).
- 22. Lin, H. *et al*. Tuning of structure and enhancement of upconversion luminescence in NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Ho³⁺ crystals. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **17**, 19515–19526 (2015).
- Lin, H. et al. Simultaneous realization of structure manipulation and emission enhancement in NaLuF₄ upconversion crystals. J. Mater. Chem. C 3, 11754–11765 (2015).
- 24. Lin, H. *et al.* Enhanced red upconversion emission and its mechanism in $Yb^{3+}-Er^{3+}$ codoped α -NaLuF₄ nanoparticles. *New J. Chem.* **41**, 1193–1201 (2017).
- Wang, Y., Gai, S. L., Niu, N., He, F. & Yang, P. P. Synthesis of NaYF₄ microcrystals with different morphologies and enhanced upconversion luminescence properties. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 15, 16795–16805 (2013).
- Niu, N. *et al.* Tunable multicolor and bright white emission of one-dimensional NaLuF₄:Yb³⁺, Ln³⁺ (Ln = Er, Tm, Ho, Er/Tm, Tm/Ho) microstructures. *J. Mater. Chem.* 22, 10889–10899 (2012).
- Chen, G. Y., Liu, H. C., Liang, H. J., Somesfalean, G. & Zhang, Z. G. Upconversion emission enhancement in Yb³⁺/Er³⁺-codoped Y₂O₃ nanocrystals by tridoping with Li⁺ ions. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 112, 12030–12036 (2008).
- Wang, F. et al. Simultaneous phase and size control of upconversion nanocrystals through lanthanide doping. Nature 463, 1061–1065 (2010).
- Chen, X. Q., Liu, Z. K., Sun, Q., Ye, M. & Wang, F. P. Upconversion emission enhancement in Er³⁺/Yb³⁺-codoped BaTiO₃ nanocrystals by tridoping with Li⁺ ions. Opt. Commun. 284, 2046–2049 (2011).
- Zhao, Ć. Z. et al. Li⁺ ion doping: an approach for improving the crystallinity and upconversion emissions of NaYF₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ nanoparticles. Nanoscale 5, 8084–8089 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11474365, 61176010 and 61172027, Guangdong Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 2014A030311049, Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province (2017B010xxxxx).

Author Contributions

H.L. performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript; H.L. carried out the optical and structural characterizations of the as-synthesized samples; D.K.X. and Y.L.Z. participated in experiment design and helpful recommendations; D.K.X., A.M.L., L.Y., Z.R.Q., S.H.Y. and Y.L.Z. participated in the analysis of experimental data; All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017