SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Correction: Author Correction

Received: 10 February 2017 Accepted: 24 July 2017 Published online: 31 August 2017

OPEN LSD1 modulates the non-canonical integrin β 3 signaling pathway in non-small cell lung carcinoma cells

So-Young Lim^{1,2}, Iris Macheleidt^{1,2}, Priya Dalvi^{1,2}, Stephan C. Schäfer^{1,3}, Martin Kerick⁴, Luka Ozretić¹, Sandra Ortiz-Cuaran^{3,5,6}, Julie George^{3,5}, Sabine Merkelbach-Bruse^{1,3,7}, Jürgen Wolf^{3,7,8}, Bernd Timmermann⁹, Roman K. Thomas^{1,3,5,10}, Michal R. Schweiger⁴, Reinhard Buettner^{1,2,3,7} & Margarete Odenthal^{1,2,7}

The epigenetic writer lysine-specific demethylase 1 (LSD1) is aberrantly upregulated in many cancer types and its overexpression correlates with poor survival and tumor progression. In this study, we analysed LSD1 function in non-small cell lung cancer adenocarcinomas. Expression profiling of 182 cases of lung adenocarcinoma proved a significant correlation of LSD1 overexpression with lung adenocarcinoma progression and metastasis. KRAS-mutated lung cancer cell clones were stably silenced for LSD1 expression. RNA-seq and comprehensive pathway analysis revealed, that genes related to a recently described non-canonical integrin β 3 pathway, were significantly downregulated by LSD1 silencing. Hence, invasion and self-renewal capabilities were strongly decreased. Notably, this novel defined LSD1/integrin β 3 axis, was also detected in human lung adenocarcinoma specimens. Furthermore, the linkage of LSD1 to an altered expression pattern of lung-lineage specific transcription factors and genes, which are involved in alveolar epithelial differentiation, was demonstrated. Thus, our findings point to a LSD1-integrin β 3 axis, conferring attributes of invasiveness and tumor progression to lung adenocarcinoma.

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. The high mortality associated with lung cancer is partly due to metastasis before surgical removal of the primary tumor¹. Lung cancer is classified into non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and pulmonary carcinoids. NSCLC comprises the majority of lung cancers and is further divided into adenocarcinoma (AC), squamous cell carcinoma (SQ) and large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC)². Each subtype of lung cancer has been shown to derive from different cells of origin and carries distinct somatic genetic alterations. SCLC originates from neuroendocrine cells and harbors typically two genetic alterations that inactivate both alleles of TP53 and RB³, whereas AC develops from transformed alveolar epithelial cells and often harbor EGFR mutations, KRAS mutations, or EML4-ALK fusions^{2,4}.

Recent reports have shown that in a wide variety of epithelial cancers including lung cancer the expression of the integrin $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3 complex is associated with a poor outcome and higher incidences of metastasis^{5,6}. Integrin complexes provide a mechanistic link between the actin cytoskeleton and the extracellular matrix, leading to morphogenic changes and cell migration. Interestingly, Seguin *et al.* showed that activation of the integrin $\alpha\nu\beta\beta$ complex by non-canonical ligand galectin-3 binding recruits KRAS to the tumor cell plasma membrane, which in turn results in a prominent RalB and NF- κ B activation⁷. This non-canonical integrin $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3-KRAS-NF- κ B signal axis serves as a driving force for breast, lung and pancreatic carcinomas with stem-like properties, that are highly aggressive and resistant to receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as erlotinib⁷.

¹Institute of Pathology, University Hospital of Cologne, 50931, Cologne, Germany. ²The Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), 50931, Cologne, Germany. ³Center of Integrative Oncology, University Clinic of Cologne and Bonn, 50937, Cologne, Germany. ⁴Functional Epigenomics, University of Cologne, 50931, Cologne, Germany. ⁵Department of Translational Genomics, Medical Faculty, University of Cologne, 50931, Cologne, Germany. ⁶Centre Léon Bérard, 69008, Lyon, France. ⁷Lung Cancer Group Cologne, University Hospital of Cologne, 50937, Cologne, Germany. ⁸Clinic for Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Cologne, 50937, Cologne, Germany. ⁹Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, 14195, Berlin, Germany. ¹⁰German Cancer Research Center, German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), 69120, Heidelberg, Germany. So-Young Lim, Iris Macheleidt and Priya Dalvi contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.-Y.L. (email: so-young.lim@uk-koeln.de)

Characteristic	No. of Patients (N = 182)	%
Age		
<70	95	52
≥70	87	48
Sex		
Male	110	61
Female	70	39
Grade		
1	7	4
2	114	69
3	45	27
Nodal status		
Negative	113	62
Positive	69	38
KRAS-mutation status		
KRAS wild type	104	59
KRAS mutation	73	41
EGFR-mutation status		
EGFR wild type	161	90
EGFR mutation	18	10

 Table 1. Patient Characteristics.

Epigenetic dysregulation has been demonstrated to be involved in the initiation and progression of many cancer types^{8,9}. In NSCLC, histone modifications such as histone acetylation and methylation are crucial prognostic indicators for tumor progression and malignancy⁹. The lysine specific demethylase 1, LSD1, also known as KDM1A, is a key epigenetic writer and specifically demethylates mono- and di- methylated histone H3K4 and H3K9^{10,11}. LSD1 is aberrantly upregulated in many human cancer types, such as prostate, breast and lung carcinoma as well as neuroblastoma and leukemia^{12–16}. Importantly, cancer-related LSD1 overexpression is associated with increased cell proliferation, invasion and migration underscoring the therapeutic potential of LSD1 inhibition^{13,16,17}. In a proliferation screen of cell lines representing various tumor types, acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and SCLC cell lines have been shown to be particularly sensitive to pharmacological LSD1 inhibitors, resulting in cytostatic growth inhibition and advanced differentiation^{15,18}.

In the present study, we demonstrate that LSD1 is specifically upregulated in poorly differentiated and metastasized lung AC. Surprisingly, LSD1 knockdown induced a broad transcriptome change as demonstrated by comprehensive RNA-seq gene expression profiling. In particular, LSD1 knockdown resulted in downregulation of genes, which are involved in the previously described non-canonical integrin β 3 affecting the stem-like phenotype with invasion and self-renewal capacities⁷. Mechanistically, LSD1 was critical for integrin β 3 and galectin-3 expression. Furthermore, overexpression of LSD1 significantly correlated with integrin β 3 expression in lung AC. Therefore, our findings provide a rationale to overcome cancer stemness and metastasis by targeting LSD1 in NSCLC in future therapeutic strategies.

Results

High LSD1 expression indicates lung cancer malignancy. We first determined LSD1 expression in the previously published transcriptome sequencing data comprising 198 lung cancer specimens (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Table S1)^{3,19-21}. Interestingly, the highest LSD1 expression levels were detected in SCLC, which is associated with the worst prognosis²² (Fig. 1A). Albeit LSD1 expression was lower in AC than in other lung cancer types, immunohistology on lung AC compared with non-tumorous lung tissues implies that LSD1 is also overexpressed in AC (Fig. 1B). To determine the implication of LSD1 expression in NSCLC AC, LSD1 expression levels were assessed by comprehensive immunohistochemistry analyses of 182 lung AC specimens (Fig. 1B and Table 1). All ACs were derived from patients who did not receive any preoperative or postoperative treatment and were classified according to the tumor grade, lymph node metastasis, and the KRAS and EGFR mutational status (Table 1). A markedly more intense LSD1 staining was observed in poorly differentiated, high grade AC (Fig. 1B and C)²³. Moreover, LSD1 expression was significantly higher in primary AC with lymph node metastasis than the ones which had not developed metastasis (Fig. 1D). In addition, in NSCLC specimens with high LSD1 expression, we observed a slightly less KRAS mutation frequency. However, no statistical significance was found for an inverse correlation between the KRAS mutation status and LSD1 expression (Fig. 1E). Further analyses of LSD1 protein expression on various NSCLC cell lines also suggested a possible inverse link between LSD1 expression and constitutive KRAS activation by mutation (Fig. 1F).

LSD1 is critical for an invasive phenotype of A549 cells by regulating the non-canonical integrin pathway. To investigate the function of LSD1 in NSCLC, stable LSD1 knockdown (KD) cells from A549 lung AC cell line were generated using retroviral transduction of a shLSD1 construct primarily tested to be highly efficient. Two monoclonal cell populations (KD9 and KD15) (Fig. 2A) were established and used for RNA-seq



Figure 1. Overexpression of LSD1 in high grade and metastasized lung adenocarcinoma. (A) The bar graph with 5–95 percentiles showing LSD1 mRNA expression in different types of lung tumors as determined by previously published transcriptome sequencing data for AC = lung adenocarcinoma $(n = 40)^{19,20}$, SQ = squamous lung carcinoma $(n = 9)^{19}$, CA = carcinoid $(n = 69)^{21}$, SCLC = small cell lung cancer $(n = 80)^3$. LSD1 expression is represented by Fragments Per Kilobase of exon per Million fragments mapped (FPKM). Original data are provided in Supplementary Table S1. Mann-Whitney U test was used to calculate the statistical significance. ***P<0.001. (B) Representative images of immunostainings with LSD1 antibodies on TMAs including 182 NSCLC AC and 11 non-tumor lung tissues. Immunostaining showed only moderate LSD1 expression in normal lung tissues, but elevated LSD1 expression in NSCLC-AC. The LSD1 expression in NSCLC-AC was classified using a low, medium and high immunostaining score as shown exemplarily for low and high LSD1 expression. Scale bars indicate 100 µm. (C-E) LSD1 expression analysed by immunohistochemistry on 182 lung adenocarcinomas was correlated with tumor grades (C), lymph node metastasis (D) and KRAS mutation (E). Chi-Square tests were used to calculate the statistical significance for linear-by-linear association. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 and n.s. = not significant. Original data for C-E can be found in Supplementary Table S2. (F) LSD1 expression in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines with various mutational background determined by immunoblot.

.....

experiment. Although in the A549 KD cells LSD1 was not among the top 100 downregulated genes, RNA-seq analysis revealed that LSD1 silencing in A549 cells resulted in a dramatic change in the transcriptome profile. A total of 917 genes were upregulated, whereas 423 genes were downregulated (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Table S3). Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) showed that pathways such as clathrin- or caveolar-mediated endocytosis, $G\alpha 12/13$, RhoA and integrin signaling, which are all associated with invasive migration, were affected by LSD1 silencing (Fig. 2C). The influence of LSD1 on altered expression profiles of invasion and migration related pathways, were confirmed by transwell migration assays demonstrating impaired invasive capacities of LSD1 silenced A549 cells (Fig. 2D). In order to validate these findings, we established additional LSD1 KD clones from the KRAS Q61H mutated H460 and H1975 carrying the EGFR L858R, T790M mutation (Fig. 2A). Similarly to LSD1 silencing of A549 cells, LSD1 knockdown decreased invasion capacity of H1975 cells (Fig. 2D). Surprisingly, LSD1 silencing had only a moderate effect on cell growth and no signs of apoptosis were observed in A549 cells (Supplementary Fig. S1A).

LSD1 contributes to self-renewal by modulating integrin β **3-KRAS-NF-\kappaB pathway.** IPA Upstream Regulator analysis suggested that transcriptional target genes of the EGF/EGFR and KRAS signaling pathway were negatively regulated in LSD1 silenced A549 cells (Fig. 3A). In particular, signaling components related to the integrin β 3-KRAS-NF- κ B signaling axis, previously described by Seguin *et al.*⁷, were affected. Thus, galectin-3 (*LGALS3*), RalA binding protein (*RALBP1*) and integrin β 3 (*ITGB3*) were significantly downregulated





-Log (p-value)

Pathways	Molecules
Clathrin-mediated Endocytosis	ITGB2,ALB,TF,FGF14,SERPINA1, ITGB4,F2,RBP4,ITGB3
Caveolar-mediated Endocytosis	ITGB2,ALB,ITGA11,ITGB4,ITGB3
Gα12/13 Signaling	MYL9,LPAR1,CDH5,LPAR5,F2,CDH19
Regulation of EMT	FZD8,HGF,FGF14,ZEB2,FGFR2,WNT6,WNT5A
RhoA Signaling	MYL9,NEDD4,LPAR1,LPAR5,SEMA3F
Integrin Signaling	MYL9,ITGB2,WIPF1,ITGA11,DIRAS3, ITGB4,ITGB3

D



Figure 2. LSD1 is critical for the invasive phenotype of A549 cells by regulating the integrin pathway. (**A**) Knockdown efficiency of LSD1 in cells stably expressing LSD1 shRNA (KD9-clone 9, KD15-clone 15 in A549 cells, KD21-clone 21, KD22-clone 22 in H460 cells and KD2-clone 2 in H1975 cells) relative to shGFP control cells was shown by western blot. LSD1 protein levels were shown by western blot and the β -actin protein was used as loading controls. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (**B**) The RNA-seq of A549 cells. Differentially regulated genes after LSD1 shRNA knockdown (shGFP vs KD15) were shown by the Volcano blot. 917 up-regulated genes ($p < 0.05 \& \log_2$ -fold change > 2) are shown in red, while 423 down-regulated genes are shown in green ($p < 0.05 \& \log_2$ -fold change < -2). (**C**) The bar graph showing the representative canonical pathways affected by LSD1 knockdown. The Ingenuity activation z-score is a statistical measure of the match between expected relationship direction from literature and observed gene expression in RNA-seq. The z-score of Integrin Signaling (z-score <-2) predicts the significant inhibition state of the integrin signaling pathway in A549 shLSD1 (KD15) relative to A549 shGFP. The differentially regulated genes

in the pathways are shown in a table (right panel). (**D**) Representative images of invasion assay of A549 cells expressing GFP shRNA control or LSD1 shRNA (left panel). Bar graphs showing the quantification of Crystal Violet staining of Boyden Chamber transwell filters (right panel). The bar graphs represents the mean \pm SEM for n = 4 (A549) and n = 5 (H1975). **P* < 0.05 (Student's t-test). Scale bars, 50 µm.

by LSD1 knockdown of A549 cells (Fig. 3B). In order to validate downregulation of members involved in the non-canonical integrin β 3 signaling, we generated LSD1 overexpressing A549 cells by stable transfection with a Flag-LSD1 construct (Supplementary Fig. S1B) and analyzed the expression profiles by quantitative RT-PCR. Whereas A549 LSD1 knockdown cells showed downregulation of the integrin β 3 signaling member genes, we observed an upregulation of these genes in A549 cells stably expressing the flag-LSD1 construct (Fig. 3B). The effect of LSD1 on gene expression of galectin-3, *RALBP1*, and integrin β 3 could be further confirmed on H460 NSCLC LSD1-knockdown cells (Fig. 3B).

Previous work showed that the non-canonical integrin β 3 pathway is involved in stem cell like properties and erlotinib resistance⁷. In order to test self-renewal capacity, we analyzed tumor cell growth in 3-dimension (3D) cell culture conditions. Knockdown of LSD1 impaired tumorsphere formation, whereas stable overexpression of LSD1 clearly enhanced 3D colony formation (Fig. 3C). Since it has been shown that an integrin β 3 positive stem-like tumor cell population is selectively resistant to receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as erlotinib, we exposed A549 cells to erlotinib⁷. In agreement with these previous findings, we observed that knockdown of LSD1 sensitized A549 cells to erlotinib treatment, decreasing the 3D cell growth capability (Supplementary Fig. S1C).

Subsequently, signaling components related to the integrin β 3-KRAS-NF κ B signaling axis were analyzed in LSD1 silenced A549 monolayers and 3D tumorspheres, respectively (Fig. 3D). The integrin β 3 protein level was significantly diminished upon LSD1 knockdown in A549 cells as well as in other integrin β 3 positive cells such as H460 or H1975 (Fig. 3E). Galectin-3 and RalBP1 of which basal levels were higher in A549 spheroids than in the monolayer culture, were prominently downregulated in the sphere condition of LSD1 silenced A549 cells (Fig. 3D). Knockdown of LSD1, however, failed to abrogate KRAS downstream MEK1/2 signaling in the sphere cultures, suggesting that KRAS-MEK1/2 signaling is not essential for LSD1-mediated 3D colony formation (Fig. 3D).

Next, we investigated whether LSD1 affects the NF- κ B mediated transcriptional response using a luciferase reporter expression system containing NF- κ B responsive elements. Knockdown of LSD1 significantly decreased basal NF- κ B transcriptional activity (Fig. 3F). In addition, transcription of NF- κ B target genes was greatly inhibited upon LSD1 knockdown (Supplementary Fig. S1D). Galectin-3, the non-canonical ligand of integrin β 3, has been reported to facilitate the integrin β 3-KRAS interaction leading to NF- κ B activation⁷. Addition of recombinant galectin-3 slightly enhanced NF- κ B activity, however, did not recover the LSD1 knockdown-mediated blockage of NF- κ B pathway possibly due to the strong downregulation of integrin β 3 in LSD1 silenced cells (Fig. 3F).

The LSD1-integrin β **3 axis in NSCLC-AC.** After having demonstrated the impact of LSD1 on integrin β 3 expression, we studied whether LSD1 expression might influence the integrin β 3 level in clinical specimens from lung AC patients. Indeed, the association between LSD1 and integrin β 3 was shown to be highly significant. Negative integrin β 3 staining was observed prominently in low LSD1 expression group but very rarely in high LSD1 expression group (Fig. 4A and B), which supports a functional link between LSD1 and integrin β 3 expression *in vivo*.

LSD1 knockdown impacts differentiation of A549 cells. As detailed above, LSD1 knockdown induced significant changes in gene expression profiles of key mediators of cell morphogenesis in differentiation (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Fig. S2A). Further, LSD1 conferred tumor cells a stem-like phenotype by modulating the integrin β 3-KRAS-NF- κ B pathway. We thus hypothesize that LSD1 might be a critical factor affecting the differentiation state of lung AC A549 cells. Targets of the lung lineage transcription factors FOXA1/2 and NKX2-1 were highly affected by LSD1 silencing (Fig. 5A)^{24,25}. In addition, the transcriptional responsive genes of dexamethasone, a synthetic glucocorticoid and C/EBP α/β , both of which are involved in late alveolar maturation, were greatly regulated by LSD1 knockdown (Fig. 5A)²⁴.

Furthermore, a survey of lung epithelial marker genes revealed that many hallmarks of alveolar type 2 (AT2) and bronchial clara cell markers were altered reflecting a change in cell differentiation state upon LSD1 knockdown (Fig. 5B). A549 cells primarily originated from AT2 cells²⁶, appear to have distorted molecular signatures such as the loss of AT2 marker genes and the aberrant gain of clara cell marker genes. The expression of the AT2 cell marker genes, e.g. *NKX2-1* and *SFTPB* is silenced in A549 cells indicating that the transformed AT2 cells lost their cell identity and are not capable of producing surfactant proteins like SFTPC (Fig. 5B,C)²⁶. Inhibition of LSD1 partially reactivated AT2 cell marker gene expression while on the other hand it decreased expression of genes responsible for the clara cell phenotype (Fig. 5B,C and Supplementary Fig. S2B). Finally, some of AT2 and clara cell marker genes were suggested to be directly targeted by LSD1, as gene regulation upon LSD1 knockdown was reversed by overexpression of LSD1 in A549 (Fig. 5D).

Discussion

In our study, we found that LSD1 expression level varied considerably among the different subtypes of lung cancer. RNA-seq analysis of 198 lung cancer specimens showed highest LSD1 mRNA levels in SCLC, which might explain the marked effect of the LSD1 inhibitor GSK2879552 in SCLC cell lines¹⁸. In comparison to SCLC, AC presented with lower LSD1 mRNA levels. However, analysis of LSD1 expression in 182 AC specimens showed that high LSD1 expression correlated with enhanced lung tumor malignancy. Strong LSD1 expression co-occurred with higher tumor grade and lymphatic invasion, suggesting a crucial role of LSD1 in cellular dedifferentiation and metastasis in lung AC.



Figure 3. LSD1 contributes to self-renewal by modulating integrin β3-KRAS-NF- κ B pathway. (**A**) The predicted upstream regulators of the LSD1 knockdown. Significant overlap (Log₁₀ p-values) between differentially expressed genes in A549 RNA-seq and known target genes regulated by each transcriptional regulator (Upstream Regulator) was assessed by Ingenuity Upstream Regulators analysis. The activation state (activation z-score) of an upstream regulator to the differentially regulated genes in RNA-seq datasets. The negative activation z-scores infer the "inhibiting" regulation direction of described Upstream Regulators. (**B**) Influence of the LSD1 knockdown or overexpression on the expression of members of the non-canonical integrin β3 pathway. RNA-seq data of LSD1 stably silenced A549 KD15 vs. A549 shGFP cells (RNA-seq A549) demonstrate downregulation of the *RALBP1*, *LGALS3*, *ITGB2*, *ITGB3*, *and ITGB4*. LSD1 mediated regulation of genes, involved in integrin β3 signaling, was confirmed by qRT-PCR comparing transcript levels of A549 KD15 vs. A549 shGFP cells (A549-KD15), the A549 LSD1 overexpressing cells vs. the mock control

(A549-Flag-LSD1) and the LSD1 knockdown H460 cells vs. shGFP H460 cells (H460-KD21). Changes in transcript levels were calculated by $\Delta\Delta$ Ct values and expressed as Log₂ fold change (FC). **(C)** Phase contrast microscope images of self-renewal tumorspheres of A549 expressing non-silencing GFP shRNA or specific LSD1 shRNA (left panel). Effect of LSD1 on tumorsphere formation in A549 and H1975 cells overexpressing or lacking LSD1 measured by quantifying the number of primary tumorspheres (right panel). The bar graph represents the mean ± SEM for n = 3 independent experiments. Scale bar, 200 µm. **(D)** Effect of LSD1 knockdown on galectin-3-integrin β 3-RalBP1 pathway in A549 cells. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates from monolayer and 3D tumorsphere culture was done. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments. **(E)** Effect of stable LSD1 knockdown on integrin β 3 protein expression in H460 (KD21, KD22) and H1975 (KD2) cells in comparison to the corresponding shGFP control cell line. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates was done. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments. **(F)** Effect of LSD1 knockdown on NF- κ B transcriptional activity measured by luciferase assay. Data are expressed in relative luciferase units (RLU). The bar graph represents the mean ± SEM for n = 3. The *P*-value was estimated using Student's t-test in D and F. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001.

Kong *et al.* reported that NSCLCs carrying KRAS mutations have a lower LSD1 expression than those harboring EGFR mutations¹⁷. In our study we observed only a slightly reduced LSD1 expression in AC with an activating KRAS mutation compared to AC, which did not harbor a KRAS mutation. For our further studies we established stable LSD1 knockdown cells from NSCLC cell types. Although LSD1 knockdown-mediated influence seems to have less effect on the cellular proliferation in early passages, cell growth was inhibited in late passages. In A549 and H1975 KD cells, we show a pronounced impact on the oncogenic transformational capacities such as invasion and self-renewal by LSD1 knockdown. Accordingly, the constitutive activation of MEK1/2-ERK pathways, known to be crucial for cell proliferation, was not blocked by LSD1 knockdown in A549 cells. Instead, galectin-3 and integrin β 3 expression was severely downregulated by LSD1 silencing. This suggests that LSD1 is rather implicated in cancer stem cell function by regulating galectin-3-integrin β 3-KRAS signaling⁷. Interestingly, galectin-3 has been identified as the marker gene for alveolar type 1 (AT1) cells²⁷ and damage in AT1 cells can induce the activation of KRAS signal axis invoking a stemness phenotype in lung alveolar progenitor cells²⁸. Similarly to galectin-3, galectin-1 has recently been identified to promote lung cancer metastasis by potentiating integrin α 6 β 4 and Notch1/Jagged2 signaling²⁹. This indicates an important role of galectins as signal modulators that activate various oncogenic signal pathways during tumor progression.

Whereas the contribution of LSD1 to proliferation is not clear in KRAS mutated AC, there is accumulating evidence that LSD1 is significantly associated with cell invasion, migration and metastasis in NSCLC^{16,17}. Previous studies showed that LSD1 is required for upregulation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) markers including twist family bHLH transcription factor 1 (TWIST1), E-cadherin and N-cadherin and downregulation of metallopeptidase inhibitor TIMP3, thereby contributing to cellular invasion and migration^{16,17}. Here, we performed a comprehensive expression profiling using RNA-seq showing that LSD1 knockdown in A549 cells induced a global transcriptome change. EMT signaling pathways and EMT markers such as Zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 2 (*ZEB2*), KIT proto-oncogene receptor tyrosine kinase (*KIT*), and cadherins (*CDHs*) were differentially regulated in agreement with the previous studies (Fig. 2C)^{16,17}. Furthermore, pathways associated with invasive phenotypes such as endocytosis, RhoA and integrin signaling were affected by LSD1 knockdown.

Most importantly, LSD1 knockdown interfered with integrin β 3-KRAS signaling axis by a pronounced integrin β 3 repression. Recently, a number of integrin subunits, particularly β 3 and β 4 have been highlighted as markers and functional regulators of normal adult stem and progenitor cells, as well as cancer stem cells³⁰. Integrin β 3 is enriched in mammary luminal progenitors, whereas differentiated luminal cells lack integrin β 3³¹. In breast and pancreatic tumors, expression of integrin $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3 is necessary to drive tumor cell anchorage-independence and metastasis by recruiting and activating Src kinase³². In the Kras^{G12D};Trp53^{fl/fl} lung cancer model, integrin β 4 has been characterized as a new cancer stem cell marker involved in self-renewal, tumor propagation and cisplatin resistance³³. Integrin $\alpha\beta$ 64 positive cell subpopulation from mouse lung could expand clonally as progenitors but also differentiate into mature airway and alveolar cells^{34,35}.

In light of this data, blocking LSD1 appears to be critical to impair integrin β 3-KRAS mediated stemness along with invasive phenotype by downregulating integrin β 3. In agreement, LSD1 expression was higher in poorly differentiated and metastasized adenocarcinomas and correlated with the expression of integrin β 3 *in vivo*. In agreement to the previous studies of Seguin *et al.*⁷, the integrin β 3-KRAS signal axis is also important for the invasive and self-renewal ability of H1975 cells due to their activation by the EGFR/KRAS pathway. Since we show that LSD1 affects integrin β 3 expression, as well as self-renewal and invasion capacities of H1975 cells, the LSD1 influence on this non-canonical integrin β 3 signaling seems to be also valid for the EGFR mutant cell context. While potentiating stemness, LSD1 suppresses pro-differentiation processes as indicated by the altered expression of genes involved in cell morphogenesis in differentiation and late alveolar maturation upon LSD1 knockdown. A549 cells which are derived from AT2 cells, have distorted molecular signatures such as the aberrant gain of airway cell marker genes. This suggests that transformed A549 AC cells mimic a cell type with partially bronchio-alveolar progenitor-like gene signature expression of silenced AT2 marker genes, whereas decrease expression of bronchial marker genes, altering cell differentiation state in A549 cells, a mechanism similar to the observed pro-differentiation effect in SCLC and leukemia upon loss of LSD1^{15,18}.

Taken together, our data show that LSD1 confers lung adenocarcinoma cells with invasive and dedifferentiated attributes by modulating a non-canonical integrin β 3-KRAS signaling pathway. Pharmacological LSD1 inhibition



Figure 4. The LSD1-integrin β 3 axis in NSCLC adenocarcinoma. (**A**) Immunohistostaining of integrin β 3 on 182 lung adenocarcinomas was classified into three integrin β 3 expression groups (negative, low, and high). Chi-Square tests were used to calculate the statistical significance for linear-by-linear association. *p* = 0.001. Original data can be found in Supplementary Table S2. (**B**) Representative images of negative, moderate and strong immunohistochemical stainings of integrin β 3. Scale bars, 50 µm.

in combination with anti-proliferative cancer drugs might be a rational strategy for the treatment of lung adenocarcinoma to suppress tumor proliferation while preventing metastatic phenotype.

Methods

Patients' tissue specimens and TMA preparation. Lung adenocarcinoma tissue specimens were collected from the archive of the Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Cologne, Germany. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues of 182 lung adenocarcinomas were used to prepare tissue microarrays as previously described³⁶. Clinicopathological parameters were obtained from patient records. In addition, the KRAS and EGFR mutation status of tumor specimens was determined by routine diagnostic processing using next generation sequencing as described previously³⁷. The characteristics of the cohort are summarized in Table 1. The study was approved by the ethics committee (KEK Nr. 200/2014) and informed consent was obtained from all patients. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of the institution.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical staining was done on 4μ M sections of paraffin-embedded tumors from TMA as described previously^{13,36} using the primary α -LSD1 antibodies (1:250) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and α -integrin β 3, (1:500) (Abcam). Immunohistochemistry was then performed on an automated staining system (Lab Vision Autostainer 480 S, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to standardized protocol of the supplier. Nuclear immunostaining results for LSD1 were scored between 1 and 3 (1 = low, 2 = medium, 3 = high) by two experienced pathologists (SS, LO) (Fig. 1). Integrin β 3 staining intensity was scored on a scale of 0–3 (0 = negative, 1, 2 = low, 3 = high).

Cell culture. A549, H460, PC9, H1975, HCC827, H3122 and H2228 cells were cultivated in DMEM or RPMI medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, L-glutamine and antibiotics (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). PSAE and NuLi-1 cells were purchased from ATCC and cultivated according to the protocol of ATCC.

Genetic knockdown and LSD1 overexpression. A549, H460, H1975, lung cancer cell lines were transfected with GFP shRNA control (shGFP) or LSD1 shRNA using a pSUPER retroviral system. Using the puromycin resistance, cells were screened for recombination and from single cells monoclonal cell populations were established. After checking the LSD1 knockdown, A549, H460, and H1975 monoclonal cell cultures expressing shLSD1 or shGFP were used only in passages 5 to 8 to ensure stability of the cell culture system. Therefore, not all KD clones could be used in the study, but from A549 cell line the KD clones 9 and 15, from the H460 the clones KD21 and 22, and from H1975 clone KD2 were applied to LSD1 functional analysis.

Furthermore, for LSD1 overexpression, cells were transfected with empty vector control or flag-LSD1 expression vector using a lentiviral system. Gene silencing and overexpression was confirmed by immunoblot analysis. The following plasmids were used: pSUPER.retro.shGFP.puro (a kind gift from Michael Hölzel, University Hospital Bonn, Germany) and pSUPER.retro.shLSD1.puro, pSIN-flag-LSD1-puro and pSIN-empty-puro. pSIN plasmids were modified from pSIN-EF2-Nanog-puro vector (Addgene plasmid #16578) and pCMX-flag-LSD1 (a kind gift from Roland Schüle, University Freiburg Medical Center, Germany)¹¹. The shRNA sequence for LSD1 (AAGGAAAGCTAGAAGAAAA) was cloned to pSUPER.retro.puro plasmid (OligoEngine, Seattle, USA) according to manufacturer's protocol.

RNA sequencing and data analysis. Expression levels of LSD1 were determined by referring to the RNA-seq data of lung ACs $(n = 40)^{19,20}$, SQs $(n = 9)^{19}$, pulmonary carcinoids $(n = 69)^{21}$, and SCLC $(n = 81)^3$.



Figure 5. LSD1 knockdown impacts differentiation of A549 cells. (**A**) The bar graph showing the *Upstream Regulators* involved in lung development affected by LSD1 knockdown in A549 cells assessed by IPA. (**B**) A heatmap showing differential gene expression of known markers for AT2, clara and ciliated clara cells measured by RNA-seq. Upregulation of gene upon LSD1 knockdown is indicated in orange and downregulation of gene is indicated in blue. (**C**) Effect of LSD1 knockdown on SFTPC expression determined by western blot. (**D**) The bar graph showing the change in mRNA expression level of AT2 and clara cell marker genes upon LSD1 knockdown or overexpression in A549 cells determined by quantitative real-time PCR. Log₂(A549 KD15/A549 shGFP) in blue, Log₂(A549 flag-LSD1/A549 empty) in orange.

.....

Expression values were determined with Cufflinks and represented as fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped (FPKM).

For transcriptome sequencing, total RNA from three biological replicates of each A549 shLSD1 KD15 cells and A549 shGFP cells was isolated using the RNeasyMini kit (Qiagen Inc, Hilden, Germany). The Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit (Cat#FC-122-1001, Illumina, San Diego, USA) was used with 1 μ g of total RNA for the construction of sequencing libraries. RNA libraries were prepared for sequencing using standard Illumina protocols. Fastq files were obtained after demultiplexing using Illuminas CASAVA v1.8.2 pipeline with default parameters. Reads were mapped against the human genome GRCh37/hg19 using BWA v0.5.9-r16 with default parameters. Exon read coverages were obtained with coverageBed (Bedtools-v2.17.0) using exon coordinates from Ensembl database v73. Subsequent analyses were done using the edgeR package in R³⁸. Expression changes were calculated as log2 ratios and *p*-values were adjusted for multiple testing by controlling the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate at 5%. Ingenuity (Qiagen Inc) pathway analysis was used to find enriched canonical pathways, and Upstream Regulators. Furthermore, the database for annotation, visualization and integrated discovery (DAVID) was used to identify the enriched gene ontology terms³⁹.

Proliferation and transwell invasion assay. Cell proliferation assays were carried out as described previously¹³. Briefly, cells were seeded at a density of 2,500 cells per well in 96 well microplates and cultured in standard medium. Viable cell numbers were determined 0, 1, 2, 3 and 6 days after cell plating using CellTiter 96 Aqueous Cell Proliferation assay kit (Promega, Madison, USA). For invasion assay, Boyden Chambers (Cell Biolabs, San Diego, USA) were layered with 2 mg/ml extracellular matrix gel from Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm mouse sarcoma (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) overnight at 37 °C. Cells were seeded at a density of 100,000 per well. Wells were then filled with standard medium. After 48 h, Boyden chambers were washed twice with PBS, followed with fixation of the cells using 4% formaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich). Subsequently, cells were stained with 1% Crystal Violet (Sigma Aldrich), photographed and counted.

Tumor-sphere cell culture. Tumorsphere assays were carried out as described by Seguin *et al.*⁷. Single cells were seeded on ultralow attachment plates (Corning, New York, USA) at a concentration of 2,000 cells/ml in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with insulin–transferrin–selenium, 50 ng/ml EGF and 20 ng/ml bFGF (Invitrogen). Tumorspheres larger than 50 μ m in diameter were counted 7 days after seeding. To analyze erotinib resistance in A549 cells, cells were seeded at a density of 3,000 cells per well into ultralow adherent 96-well plates (Corning). The cells were then treated with 1 μ L DMSO and erlotinib (1 μ M–20 μ M) (Sigma-Aldrich) for three days. Viable cell numbers were determined using the CellTiter 96 Aqueous Cell Proliferation assay kit (Promega).

Luciferase assay. Cells were transfected with NF-kB luciferase reporter vector (pNF-kB-luc, Clontech, Mountain View, USA) together with pRL Renilla luciferase control reporter vector (pRL-null, Promega) using lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the recommendation of the manufacturer. After 24 h incubation, cells were transferred into the ultra-low adherent well plates (Corning). Next day, cells were treated with 2.5 µg/ml human recombinant galectin-3 (PepproTech, Rocky Hill, USA) for 4 hours. Luciferase assays were then carried out using the Dual Luciferase Reporter assay kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Each assay consisted of three or four replicates and each experiment was repeated at least three times. Data were presented as relative luciferase units (RLU) normalized to the Renilla luciferase signal.

Western blot analysis. Protein lysates were extracted from cells using the cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling, Danvers, USA) and blotted as described in Lim *et al.*¹³. The membranes were incubated overnight using the following antibodies and dilutions: α -LSD1 (Abcam) 1:1000; α -Galectin-3 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) 1:1000; β -actin (Sigma-Aldrich) 1:5000; α -Integrin β 3 (Abcam) 1:1000; α -RalBP-1 and α -SFTPC (Santa-Cruz, Dallas, USA) 1:200; pMEK1/2 and pERK1/2 (Cell Signaling) 1:1000.

Quantitative real time-PCR. Complementary DNA was synthesized using the AB Reverse Transcription kit using random primers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and quantitative PCR was carried out on real-time PCR machine (BioRad, Hercules, USA) with SYBR reagent (Promega). Expression values were normalized to the mean of HPRT1 and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was applied to calculate relative gene expression levels. A list of primers used for qRT-PCR validation is available in Supplementary Table S4.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis for immunohistochemistry was performed using SPSS 17.0 program (SPSS) and Chi-Square tests were used to calculate the statistical significance for linear-by-linear association. Mann-Whitney U test or Student's T-tests were used to calculate statistical significance using GraphPad Prism software. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered to be significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, **P < 0.001.

Accession numbers. The RNA-seq data of A549 cells has been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus under accession code GSE86874.

References

- 1. Klein, C. A. Parallel progression of primary tumours and metastases. Nat. Rev. Cancer 9, 302-312 (2009).
- 2. A genomics-based classification of human lung tumors. Sci. Transl. Med. 5, 209ra153 (201p3).
- 3. George, J. et al. Comprehensive genomic profiles of small cell lung cancer. Nature 524, 47-53 (2015).
- Leeman, K. T., Fillmore, C. M. & Kim, C. F. Lung stem and progenitor cells in tissue homeostasis and disease. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 107, 207–233 (2014).
- Adhikari, A. S., Agarwal, N. & Iwakuma, T. Metastatic potential of tumor-initiating cells in solid tumors. Front Biosci. (Landmark Ed) 16, 1927–1938 (2011).

- Desgrosellier, J. S. & Cheresh, D. A. Integrins in cancer: biological implications and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 10, 9–22 (2010).
- 7. Seguin, L. *et al.* An integrin beta(3)-KRAS-RalB complex drives tumour stemness and resistance to EGFR inhibition. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **16**, 457–468 (2014).
- 8. Baylin, S. B. & Jones, P. A. A decade of exploring the cancer epigenome biological and translational implications. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **11**, 726–734 (2011).
- 9. Barlesi, F. et al. Global histone modifications predict prognosis of resected non small-cell lung cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 25, 4358–4364 (2007).
- 10. Shi, Y. et al. Histone demethylation mediated by the nuclear amine oxidase homolog LSD1. Cell 119, 941-953 (2004).
- Metzger, E. *et al.* LSD1 demethylates repressive histone marks to promote androgen-receptor-dependent transcription. *Nature* 437, 436–439 (2005).
- 12. Kahl, P. *et al.* Androgen receptor coactivators lysine-specific histone demethylase 1 and four and a half LIM domain protein 2 predict risk of prostate cancer recurrence. *Cancer Res.* **66**, 11341–11347 (2006).
- Lim, S. et al. Lysine-specific demethylase 1 (LSD1) is highly expressed in ER-negative breast cancers and a biomarker predicting aggressive biology. Carcinogenesis 31, 512–520 (2010).
- Schulte, J. H. et al. Lysine-specific demethylase 1 is strongly expressed in poorly differentiated neuroblastoma: implications for therapy. Cancer Res. 69, 2065–2071 (2009).
- Harris, W. J. et al. The histone demethylase KDM1A sustains the oncogenic potential of MLL-AF9 leukemia stem cells. Cancer Cell 21, 473–487 (2012).
- 16. Lv, T. et al. Over-expression of LSD1 promotes proliferation, migration and invasion in non-small cell lung cancer. PLoS One 7, e35065 (2012).
- 17. Kong, L. et al. KDM1A promotes tumor cell invasion by silencing TIMP3 in non-small cell lung cancer cells. Oncotarget 7, 27959–27974 (2016).
- Mohammad, H. P. et al. A DNA Hypomethylation Signature Predicts Antitumor Activity of LSD1 Inhibitors in SCLC. Cancer Cell 28, 57–69 (2015).
- 19. Fernandez-Cuesta, L. *et al.* Identification of novel fusion genes in lung cancer using breakpoint assembly of transcriptome sequencing data. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 7 (2015).
- 20. Fernandez-Cuesta, L. et al. CD74-NRG1 fusions in lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Discov. 4, 415-422 (2014).
- 21. Fernandez-Cuesta, L. *et al.* Frequent mutations in chromatin-remodelling genes in pulmonary carcinoids. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3518 (2014).
- 22. Battafarano, R. J. *et al.* Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: an aggressive form of non-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 130, 166–172 (2005).
- 23. Barletta, J. A., Yeap, B. Y. & Chirieac, L. R. Prognostic significance of grading in lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer 116, 659–669 (2010).
- 24. Maeda, Y., Dave, V. & Whitsett, J. A. Transcriptional control of lung morphogenesis. Physiol. Rev. 87, 219-244 (2007).
- 25. Warburton, D. et al. Lung organogenesis. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 90, 73-158 (2010).
- Watanabe, H. *et al.* Integrated cistromic and expression analysis of amplified NKX2-1 in lung adenocarcinoma identifies LMO3 as a functional transcriptional target. *Genes Dev.* 27, 197–210 (2013).
- 27. Treutlein, B. *et al.* Reconstructing lineage hierarchies of the distal lung epithelium using single-cell RNA-seq. *Nature* **509**, 371–375 (2014).
- Desai, T. J., Brownfield, D. G. & Krasnow, M. A. Alveolar progenitor and stem cells in lung development, renewal and cancer. Nature 507, 190–194 (2014).
- 29. Hsu, Y. L. *et al.* Galectin-1 promotes lung cancer tumor metastasis by potentiating integrin alpha6beta4 and Notch1/Jagged2 signaling pathway. *Carcinogenesis* **34**, 1370–1381 (2013).
- Seguin, L., Desgrosellier, J. S., Weis, S. M. & Cheresh, D. A. Integrins and cancer: regulators of cancer stemness, metastasis, and drug resistance. Trends. Cell Biol. 25, 234–240 (2015).
- Asselin-Labat, M. L. et al. Gata-3 is an essential regulator of mammary-gland morphogenesis and luminal-cell differentiation. Nat. Cell. Biol. 9, 201–209 (2007).
- 32. Desgrosellier, J. S. et al. An integrin alpha(v)beta(3)-c-Src oncogenic unit promotes anchorage-independence and tumor progression. Nat. Med. 15, 1163–1169 (2009).
- Zheng, Y. et al. A rare population of CD24(+)ITGB4(+)Notch(hi) cells drives tumor propagation in NSCLC and requires Notch3 for self-renewal. Cancer Cell 24, 59–74 (2013).
- 34. Chapman, H. A. *et al.* Integrin alpha6beta4 identifies an adult distal lung epithelial population with regenerative potential in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* **121**, 2855–2862 (2011).
- McQualter, J. L., Yuen, K., Williams, B. & Bertoncello, I. Evidence of an epithelial stem/progenitor cell hierarchy in the adult mouse lung. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 1414–1419 (2010).
- 36. Schultheis, A. M. et al. PD-L1 expression in small cell neuroendocrine carcinomas. Eur. J. Cancer 51, 421-426 (2015).
- Konig, K. et al. Implementation of Amplicon Parallel Sequencing Leads to Improvement of Diagnosis and Therapy of Lung Cancer Patients. J. Thorac. Oncol. 10, 1049–1057 (2015).
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140 (2010).
- 39. Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 44–57 (2009).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC) to RB and MO and by the German Cancer Aid as part of the Interdisciplinary Oncology Centers of Excellence program to the Center for Integrated Oncology Köln Bonn. In addition, the project was supported by the Federal German Ministry of Science and Education (BMBF) as part of the e-Med program (grant no. 01ZX1303A and 01ZX1603A to RB and RKT) and the German federal state North Rhine Westphalia (NRW) and by the European Union (European Regional Development Fund: Investing in Your Future) as part of the PerMed.NRW initiative (grant 005-1111-0025 to RKT and RB) as well as the EFRE initiative (grant LS-1-1-030 to RKT, RB, MS and MO), by the German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research (DKTK) Joint Funding program (RKT) and a grant from the Volkswagenstiftung (Lichtenberg program) to MS. We highly appreciate the excellent technical assistance of Michael Gentz, Olivia Käsgen and Marion Müller.

Author Contributions

S.L., J.W., R.B. and M.O. contributed to the study concept. S.C.S., L.O. and S.M.B. performed histology analyses and NSCLC characterisation. I.M. collected and prepared TMA and qPCR. I.M. and P.D. performed invasion

assay and plasmid cloning. M.K., B.T. and M.R.S. carried out RNA-seq and data analysis. S.O., J.G. and R.K.T. contributed to data interpretation and illustration. S.L., R.B. and M.O. interpreted data, designed experiments and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to discussion and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09554-x

Competing Interests: R.K.T. is a consultant of NEO New Oncology GmbH and received honoraria from AstraZeneca, Bayer, NEO New Oncology GmbH, Boehringer Ingelheim, Clovis Oncology, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Johnson & Johnson, Merck KGaA, MSD, Puma, Roche and Sanofi.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017