SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 17 November 2016 Accepted: 17 July 2017 Published online: 31 August 2017

OPEN Epigenetic Regulation of *PLIN1* in **Obese Women and its Relation to** Lipolysis

Lucia Bialesova¹, Agné Kulyté², Paul Petrus², Indranil Sinha¹, Jurga Laurencikiene², Chunyan Zhao¹, Karin Dahlman Wright¹, Peter Arner² & Ingrid Dahlman²

Increased adipocyte lipolysis links obesity to insulin resistance. The lipid droplet coating-protein Perilipin participates in regulation of lipolysis and is implicated in obesity. In the present study we investigate epigenetic regulation of the PLIN1 gene by correlating PLIN1 CpG methylation to gene expression and lipolysis, and functionally evaluating PLIN1 promoter methylation. PLIN1 CpG methylation in adipocytes and gene expression in white adipose tissue (WAT) was quantified in two cohorts by array. Basal lipolysis in WAT explants and adipocytes was quantified by measuring glycerol release. CpG-methylation of the PLIN1 promoter in adipocytes from obese women was higher as compared to never-obese women. PLIN1 promoter methylation was inversely correlated with PLIN1 mRNA expression and the lipolytic activity. Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) differentiated in vitro into adipocytes and harboring methylated PLIN1 promoter displayed decreased reporter gene activity as compared to hMSCs harboring unmethylated promoter. Treatment of hMSCs differentiated in vitro into adipocytes with a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor increased levels of PLIN1 mRNA and protein. In conclusion, the PLIN1 gene is epigenetically regulated and promoter methylation is inversely correlated with basal lipolysis in women suggesting that epigenetic regulation of PLIN1 is important for increased adipocyte lipolysis in insulin resistance states.

Obesity is associated with adverse metabolic consequences including insulin resistance and development of type 2 diabetes¹. One factor linking excess adipose tissue to metabolic disease is increased adipocyte lipolysis resulting in elevated circulating levels of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in the circulation which in turn induce insulin resistance in other organs as reviewed^{2, 3}

During lipolysis, intracellular triacylglycerides (TAGs) undergo hydrolysis through the action of lipases. The regulation of lipolysis is complex. Hormones exert a tight control on lipolysis. In human the important hormones are catecholamines and heart-derived natriuretic peptides which stimulate, and insulin which inhibits, lipolysis⁴. Proteins covering lipid droplets in adipocytes also participate in regulation of lipolysis. Perilipin, encoded by the PLIN1 gene, is the most extensively studied lipid droplet protein and inhibits basal lipolysis⁵. Lipolytic stimuli cause phosphorylation of Perilipin which facilitates hydrolysis of TAG by recruitment of hormone sensitive lipase to the lipid droplet^{6,7}.

Human adipocyte levels of Perilipin protein are inversely correlated with lipolytic rate⁸ supporting an important role of Perilipin in lipolytic regulation in vivo. Furthermore, obesity, which is associated with increased basal lipolysis, has repeatedly been shown to be associated with decreased levels of Perilipin protein in adipose tissue⁸⁻¹⁰. Adipose PLIN1 mRNA has also been reported to be lower in obese as compared to lean subjects, although this has not been confirmed in all studies9. An intronic PLIN1 gene-allele has been associated with higher basal lipolysis, as well as with reduced PLIN1 content in adipocytes^{8, 10, 11}, but the results are preliminary and need to be replicated in additional larger cohorts as discussed¹². In addition, the transcription factors PPARG, NFkappaB, and LXRA control PLIN1 gene transcription¹³⁻¹⁵. Beyond these transcriptional and possible genetic effects, the in vivo control of PLIN1 levels is poorly defined. Adipocyte gene transcription is modulated by epigenetic mechanisms. Recently we reported dysregulated CpG-methylation of lipolytic genes as a major feature of the adipocyte epigenetic signature from obese woman; PLIN1, however, did not display significant differences in DNA-methylation in analysis of the global DNA methylome comparing obese and never-obese women¹⁶.

¹Department of Biosciences and Nutrition, Karolinska Institutet, S-141 83, Stockholm, Sweden. ²Department of Medicine, Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, S-141 86, Stockholm, Sweden. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to I.D. (email: ingrid.dahlman@ki.se)

	Explorative cohort			Validation cohort			
	Never-obese	Obese	P^1	Never obese	Obese	P^1	
n	14	15		38	31		
Age (years)	45 ± 11	46 ± 11	0.93	40 ± 14	42 ± 11	0.65	
Weight (kg)	69 ± 7	115 ± 11	$5.1 imes10^{-6}$	66 ± 8	103 ± 20	1.0×10^{-12}	
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.2 ± 2.5	41.4 ± 4.5	$5.1 imes10^{-6}$	23.5 ± 1.9	39.9 ± 6.3	1.0×10^{-11}	
Waist to hip ratio	0.85 ± 0.06	0.98 ± 0.06	$8.4 imes 10^{-5}$	0.86 ± 0.07	0.97 ± 0.05	0.05	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	123 ± 19	138 ± 22	0.052	123 ± 17	128 ± 12	0.10	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	74 ± 6	85 ± 9	$6.0 imes10^{-4}$	74±7	80 ± 7	0.0005	
P-Glucose (mmol/L)	5.1 ± 0.4	5.7 ± 1.2	0.069	4.8 ± 0.5	5.1 ± 0.5	0.0014	
P-Insulin (mU/l)	4.6 ± 2.3	16.0 ± 10.3	$1.1 imes 10^{-4}$	5.1 ± 2.5	13.9 ± 8.6	$5.8 imes10^{-8}$	
P-Cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.7 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 0.7	0.57	4.7 ± 0.9	5.0 ± 1.0	0.34	
P-NEFA (mmol/l)	0.57 ± 0.17	0.83 ± 0.16	$2.4 imes 10^{-4}$	0.64 ± 0.18	0.78 ± 0.27	0.02	
Basal lipolysis in isolated fat cells (micromoles of glycerol/2 h/10 ⁷ adipocytes) ²	1.34 ± 1.18	3.18 ± 1.47	0.0012	3.44 ± 2.24	8.67±7.34	0.0004	
Basal lipolysis in adipose tissue (micromoles of glycerol/2 h/10 ⁷ adipocytes) ³	1.12 ± 0.64	3.43±0.91	$1.0 imes 10^{-4}$	2.96 ± 1.52	5.59 ± 2.77	$2.3 imes 10^{-5}$	
Average adipocyte volume, picolitres	442 ± 169	994 ± 184	$5.5 + 10^{-8}$	471 ± 169	907 ± 194	$1.0 imes 10^{-10}$	

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of subjects. ¹Comparison of never-obese and obese group with unpaired t-test
or Kruskal-Wallis test as indicated in the Statistical analysis section. Values are mean \pm SD. ²Data available for
14 never-obese and 14 obese women in the explorative cohort, and for 37 never-obese and 31 obese women in
the validation cohort. ³Data available for 5 never-obese and 12 obese women in the explorative cohort, and for
32 never-obese and 29 obese women in the validation cohort.

Considering the importance of *PLIN1* for lipolysis, in the present study we used a candidate gene approach to address epigenetic regulation of the *PLIN1* gene in relation to lipolysis. We performed a comprehensive descriptive analysis of CpG methylation in relation to obesity and lipolysis *in vivo*, made a functional evaluation of CpG-methylation in the *PLIN1* promoter, and demonstrate that global demethylation increases levels of *PLIN1* mRNA and Perilipin protein.

Results

Clinical data. Clinical data for explorative and validation cohorts are presented in Table 1. Expected differences between obese and never obese women were observed. Thus, the obese women displayed a higher basal lipolysis in white adipose tissue (WAT) explants and in isolated adipocytes, which was accompanied by higher NEFA in the general circulation as compared to never-obese women. There was no significant difference in age between groups.

PLIN1 in relation to obesity. We confirmed that obese women displayed lower expression of *PLIN1* mRNA in subcutaneous adipose tissue (P = 0.0058) (Fig. 1a), whereas CpG-methylation of the *PLIN1* gene in adipocytes was higher (Fig. 1b), as compared to never-obese women. Differential methylation was most pronounced in the promoter and 5' region of the gene, and CpG-methylation of adipocyte DNA, quantified as beta-value, was higher in obese as compared to never-obese women of both the explorative and validation cohorts (Table 2). CpG methylation of the *PLIN1* gene was not dependent on age in the explorative cohort, whereas a positive correlation was observed in the validation cohort (Table 2). Age did not impact the correlation between CpG-methylation and any other investigated phenotype such as BMI or lipolysis. We validated differentially methylated sites (DMS) by Pyrosequencing in a subset of samples from the explorative cohort (5 obese and 9 never-obese women) and were able to analyze assays for two out of three tested CpG-sites. Results were directionally consistent for cg04998447 (Fig. 1c), and directionally consistent as well as significant for cg01035422 (P 0.0041) (Fig. 1d). The assay for cg08749443 failed in the clinical cohort.

PLIN1 in relation to lipolysis. We further studied adipocyte CpG-methylation of *PLIN1* in relation to lipolysis in more detail. There were inverse correlations between *PLIN1* mRNA and basal lipolysis in isolated adipocytes (Fig. 2a,c) and WAT explants (Fig. 2b,d) in both the explorative and validation cohorts. Methylation of all examined CpG-sites in the *PLIN1* gene promoter and 5' region in adipocytes was inversely related to *PLIN1* mRNA, as well as WAT *ex vivo* and adipocyte basal lipolysis (Table 3). By contrast, only one of five examined CpG-sites in the *PLIN1* gene body and 3' UTR was nominally associated with these phenotypes.

To assess whether CpG-methylation of *PLIN1* affects promoter activity, a luciferase reporter gene assay was used. hMSCs were transfected with a plasmid containing the *PLIN1* promoter region cloned into a CpG free backbone vector (pCpGL-PLIN). Cells transfected with methylated pCpGL-PLIN plasmid displayed a significant and marked decrease (>60%) of luciferase activity in comparison to those transfected with unmethylated pCpGL-PLIN plasmid (P<0.001). The methylated and unmethylated control plasmid showed no difference in reporter gene activity (Fig. 3).



Figure 1. *PLIN1* mRNA levels and CpG-methylation in obese and never-obese women from the explorative cohort. (a) *PLIN1* mRNA in WAT of obese (n = 9) and never-obese (n = 9) women. *PLIN1* mRNA quantification was performed with microarray. (b) Average methylation of several CpG-sites in the *PLIN1* gene assessed by microarray in adipocytes from obese (n = 15) and never-obese (n = 14) women. (c) Methylation of cg04998447 in adipocytes from obese (n = 5) and never-obese (n = 9) women. (d) Methylation of cg01035422 in adipocytes from obese (n = 5) and never-obese (n = 9) women. Quantification of CpG methylation in c. and d. was performed with Pyrosequencing. Values are mean \pm SD. ***P* < 0.01.

To further evaluate epigenetic regulation of *PLIN1*, hMSCs differentiated *in vitro* to adipocytes were treated with 50 or 200 μ M of DNA methyltransferase inhibitor RG108 for 24 h. The higher concentration of RG108 (200 μ M) was determined to be cytotoxic (results not shown), therefore all subsequent experiments were performed using 50 μ M RG108. As a consequence of RG108 treatment, levels of *PLIN1* mRNA (Fig. 4a) and Perilipin protein (Fig. 4b,c) were upregulated by about 140% and 30%, respectively. Demethylation activity of RG108 was confirmed by Global DNA methylation Imprint[®] Methylated DNA Quantification Kit, and showed that treatment of adipocytes with RG108 decreased global DNA methylation by 4% and 24%, respectively (Fig. 4d). To confirm that RG108 demethylated *PLIN1*, two CpG sites in the promoter were selected for analysis by Pyrosequencing (cg08749443 and cg04998447). The results showed that 50 μ M RG108 decreased methylation at both CpGs by 5% (Fig. 4e).

Discussion

We herein report that *PLIN1*, a key regulator of basal lipolysis, is subject to functional regulation by epigenetic modifications. It is demonstrated that CpG methylation of the *PLIN1* gene promoter inhibits promoter activity *in vitro*, and that adipocyte promoter methylation is inversely correlated with *PLIN1* mRNA levels in clinical cohorts. Furthermore, a positive correlation between *PLIN1* CpG methylation and adipose basal lipolysis *ex vivo* was found. This indicates that epigenetic regulation is important for the regulation of lipolysis in human WAT.

Differential CpG methylation of *PLIN1* was not evident in our original published global methylome analysis of obese versus never-obese women. This is most likely due to the use of a stringent threshold to adjust for multiple testing¹⁶. However, the validation of DMS in the *PLIN1* promoter in the present study using two cohorts with array data, as well as an independent method (Pyrosequencing) clearly establishes the presence of differential methylation of *PLIN1* in obesity. Furthermore, there is a positive correlation between *PLIN1* CpG-methylation in intact WAT and BMI (see supplementary tables in Rönn T *et al.*¹⁷). The reported relationship is weaker than the one observed here, which might be due to the fact that we study isolated adipocytes and hereby avoid the confounding effects of other cell types in intact WAT. By contrast CpG-methylation of *PLIN1* has not been associated with BMI in reported epigenome-wide association studies on whole blood or leukocytes suggesting that the effect is specific to adipocytes or WAT where *PLIN1* influences lipolysis^{18, 19}. We observed some correlation between CpG methylation of the *PLIN1* promoter and age in the validation, but not in the explorative cohort.

		Gene	Relation to CpG	Obesity status	Age			
Probe	Position	region	Island	Never-obese	Obese	P^1	Std beta	P^4
Explorative coho	rt							
cg08749443	90224098	TSS1500	Open sea	0.114 ± 0.045	0.255 ± 0.113	$1.1 imes 10^{-4}$	-0.119	0.54
cg11526413 ²	90223712	TSS1500	Open sea	0.122 ± 0.056	0.233 ± 0.100	$2.7 imes 10^{-4}$	-0.107	0.58
cg01348757 ²	90223301	TSS1500	Open sea	0.244 ± 0.095	0.505 ± 0.142	$1.1 imes 10^{-4}$	0.046	0.81
cg01035422	90222555	5′UTR	Open sea	0.181 ± 0.067	0.407 ± 0.122	$2.5 imes 10^{-5}$	-0.045	0.82
cg23205660 ³	90212699	Body	S_Shelf					
cg20927724	90209326	Body	S_Shore	0.200 ± 0.030	0.226 ± 0.047	0.012	0.044	0.92
cg04998447	90209223	Body	Island	0.078 ± 0.018	0.117 ± 0.027	$1.1 imes 10^{-4}$	0.078	0.68
cg19571617	90209190	Body	Island	0.038 ± 0.009	0.046 ± 0.015	0.16	-0.007	0.97
cg26703661	90208915	Body	Island	0.064 ± 0.009	0.065 ± 0.021	0.62	0.08	0.68
cg05009389	90208810	3'UTR	Island	0.041 ± 0.017	0.037 ± 0.008	0.68	0.052	0.79
cg26585724 ³	90208739	3'UTR	N_Shore					
Validation cohort								
cg08749443	90224098	TSS1500	Open sea	0.254 ± 0.105	0.331 ± 0.069	0.0008	0.318	0.0077
cg11526413 ²	90223712	TSS1500	Open sea	0.268 ± 0.120	0.331 ± 0.084	0.0078	0.279	0.020
cg01348757 ²	90223301	TSS1500	Open sea	0.421 ± 0.177	0.517 ± 0.091	0.004	0.384	0.0011
cg01035422	90222555	5′UTR	Open sea	0.379 ± 0.135	0.483 ± 0.078	0.0004	0.332	0.0054
cg23205660 ³	90212699	Body	S_Shelf					
cg20927724	90209326	Body	S_Shore	0.212 ± 0.040	0.229 ± 0.032	0.066	0.380	0.0013
cg04998447	90209223	Body	Island	0.089 ± 0.016	0.101 ± 0.018	0.021	0.364	0.0021
cg19571617	90209190	Body	Island	0.053 ± 0.010	0.058 ± 0.009	0.014	0.112	0.359
cg26703661	90208915	Body	Island	0.048 ± 0.012	0.044 ± 0.007	0.24	-0.017	0.89
cg05009389	90208810	3'UTR	Island	0.035 ± 0.009	0.032 ± 0.006	0.15	-0.0074	0.95
cg26585724 ³	90208739	3'UTR	N_Shore					

Table 2. DMS in *PLIN1* in relation to obesity and age. ¹Comparison of never-obese and obese group withKruskal-Wallis test. Values are mean \pm SD. ²SNP within 10 bps of interrogated CpG-site. ³SNP with MAF >10%in probes. ⁴Relationship between age and CpG-methylation was assessed by simple regression.

.....

This is in agreement with reported finding for WAT that, although the average methylation of CpG-sites covering the genome is positively correlated with age, only a minor proportion of individual CpG-sites display significant correlation between methylation and age¹⁷. Specifically, CpG-methylation of *PLIN1* did not correlate with age in the large cohorts of men and women studied by Rönn *et al.*

Increased lipolysis is implicated in insulin resistance, as well as in a number of other conditions including cachexia, hepatosteatosis, and cardiovascular disease^{2, 20-22}. In addition, there is evidence for epigenetic dysregulation in these disease states^{23–25}. Despite the importance of *PLIN1* for lipolytic regulation⁵, the *PLIN1* gene locus has not come out as a susceptibility locus in genome-wide association studies for e.g. obesity or insulin resistance²⁶⁻²⁸. The finding that CpG methylation controls the activity of the PLIN1 promoter thus shed new light on the regulation of adipocyte lipolysis and potentially why lipolysis is altered in metabolic diseases. The degree of global DNA demethylation, 4-24%, reported here is in the same range as has been reported previously when treating non-dividing cells with a global methyltransferase inhibitor²⁹. Future work is needed to define how CpG methylation interacts with other known regulators of lipolysis⁴. In the present study methylation of all examined CpG-sites in the PLIN1 promoter were positively associated with obesity and lipolysis in the clinical cohorts. Transcriptional regulation of PLIN1 is incompletely defined; the transcription factors PPARG, NFkappaB and LXRA have been shown to regulate PLIN1 transcription¹³⁻¹⁵. The reported binding motifs for PPARG and LXRA do not contain any CpG-sites, nor the predicted binding site for NFkappaB. As regards the studied CpG-sites in the PLIN1 promoter, cg08749443 overlaps a predicted binding site for Sp1, whereas the other CpG-sites show no overlap with predicted transcription factor binding sites according to AliBaba using the public Transfac database to predict transcription factor binding matrices (http://www.gene-regulation.com/pub/databases.html). The results, thus, do not permit us to draw any conclusion if methylation of some part(s) of the promoter is more important than others.

What might in turn regulate CpG-methylation of the *PLIN1* promoter? It is established that external factors such as diet and physical exercise influence the methylome^{30–32}; however, in the few available human intervention studies that have the DNA methylome as one outcome neither physical exercise nor high-fat overfeeding are associated with differential CpG methylation of *PLIN1*^{30, 32}. Thus, it remain to establish if and which behavioral factors influence CpG-methylation of the *PLIN1* promoter. In addition, it is possible that metabolic factors such as fat mass (obesity) and enlarged fat cells are more important.

There are some limitations with the present study. We only examined women and one adipose region. Perilipin protein in WAT has been reported to be higher in men than in women⁹. Unfortunately, we do not have isolated adipocytes from men and therefore cannot investigate in clinical cohorts if epigenetic regulation of *PLIN1* is





gender specific. It is possible that findings in men and fat cells from other depots such as visceral WAT are somewhat different although it is unlikely that such variations are of qualitative nature. Furthermore, investigations of visceral adipose tissue necessitate the use of general surgery procedures which by themselves alter WAT gene expression³³.

In conclusion, the *PLIN1* gene is epigenetically regulated and promoter methylation is inversely correlated with basal lipolysis in women suggesting that epigenetic regulation of *PLIN1* is important for increased adipocyte lipolysis in insulin resistant states such as obesity.

Methods

Subjects. The subjects in this study have been described before¹⁶. Briefly, the discovery cohort comprised fifteen obese women (body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m²) and fourteen never-obese healthy control women (BMI <30 kg/m²) who were recruited in association with planned visits to our surgical units for gastric by-pass surgery because of obesity and through local advertisement for the purpose of studying WAT factors regulating body weight. Clinical data are presented in Table 1. All 14 never-obese women were healthy. Three of the obese women had type 2 diabetes, out of which two were treated with diet and metformin, and one subject with diet alone. CpG methylation of examined CpG-sites did not differ significantly between metformin treated and other women. For six CpG-sites methylation beta-values in the metformin; for remaining three CpG-sites the methylation beta-value of $1 \ge$ subject was outside this region, but not in a consistent direction. Overall, this suggests that metformin does not influence methylation of *PLIN1*. Nine of the obese individuals were treated for hypertension and one patient had stable multiple sclerosis and did not receive any drugs. The women undergoing gastric by-pass surgery participated in a trial on the effect of bariatric surgery (NCT01785134 at www.clinicaltrials.gov) and were investigated before surgery. The study was approved by the regional ethics board in Stockholm and written

PLIN1 CpG methylation ²		PLIN1 mRNA ³		Basal lipolysis in adipocytes ⁴		Basal lipolysis in WAT explants ⁴	
Probe	Gene region	Std beta	Р	Std beta	Р	Std beta	Р
Detection cohort							
cg08749443	TSS1500	-0.531	0.023	0.515	0.0051	0.437	0.079
cg11526413 ⁵	TSS1500	-0.649	0.0036	0.454	0.015	0.477	0.053
cg01348757 ⁵	TSS1500	-0.612	0.007	0.543	0.0028	0.612	0.0091
cg01035422	5′UTR	-0.592	0.0096	0.635	0.0003	0.625	0.0073
cg23205660 ⁶	Body						
cg20927724	Body	-0.368	0.133	0.47	0.012	0.238	0.357
cg04998447	Body	-0.471	0.048	0.503	0.0064	0.61	0.0093
cg19571617	Body	-0.415	0.087	0.342	0.074	0.427	0.087
cg26703661	Body	-0.045	0.859	0.146	0.457	0.249	0.335
cg05009389	3'UTR	0.181	0.472	0.239	0.221	0.013	0.96
cg26585724 ⁶	3'UTR						
Validation cohort							
cg08749443	TSS1500	-0.293	0.027	0.354	0.0024	0.432	0.0004
cg11526413 ⁵	TSS1500	-0.36	0.006	0.281	0.017	0.376	0.0022
cg01348757 ⁵	TSS1500	-0.315	0.017	0.256	0.032	0.385	0.0017
cg01035422	5′UTR	-0.318	0.016	0.317	0.0071	0.412	0.0007
cg23205660 ⁶	Body						
cg20927724	Body	-0.134	0.32	0.132	0.27	0.286	0.022
cg04998447	Body	-0.153	0.26	0.285	0.012	0.386	0.0016
cg19571617	Body	-0.018	0.9	0.223	0.061	0.278	0.026
cg26703661	Body	-0.042	0.76	0.018	0.88	0.002	0.98
cg05009389	3'UTR	-0.086	0.53	0.068	0.57	-0.0062	0.96
cg26585724 ⁶	3'UTR						

Table 3. Relationship between methylation of CpG-sites in the *PLIN1* gene, *PLIN1* mRNA levels and lipolysis¹. ¹Relationship between degree of CpG-methylation and quantitative phenotypes was assessed by simple regression. ²*PLIN1* CpG methylation was analyzed in DNA extracted from adipocytes using the Infinium Human Methylation 450 (explorative cohort) or EPIC (validation cohort) arrays. ³*PLIN1* mRNA in WAT was quantified by microarray. ⁴Log10 micromoles of glycerol/2 h/107 adipocytes. ⁵SNP within 10 bps of interrogated CpG-site. ⁶SNP with MAF > 10% in probes.



Figure 3. *PLIN1* promoter methylation inhibits promoter activity. *PLIN1* promoter activity is decreased after (hatched bar) versus without (black bar) DNA methylation by SssI methyltransferase. hMSCs were transfected with methylated and unmethylated pCpGL-*PLIN1* plasmid. As negative control, cells were transfected with empty vector, pCpGL-basic. Each sample was prepared in quadruplicates and the experiment was repeated three times. Y axis is the ratio between firefly and renilla luciferase. Renilla luciferase is expressed from a second plasmid as an internal control. RLU = Relative luciferase units. ***P < 0.001.

.....

informed consent was obtained from each subject. The experiments conformed to the principles set out in the WMA Declaration of Helsinki and the Department of Health and Human Services Belmont Report.

Transcriptome analysis on WAT specimens was conducted for 18 of the above individuals (9 obese, and 9 never-obese). For the remaining subjects included in this study we did not have sufficient amount of WAT for transcriptome analysis.



Figure 4. Global demethylation affects Perilipin levels in adipocytes. (a) *PLIN1* mRNA as determined by RT-qPCR and (**b**,**c**) Perilipin protein as determined by Western blot were increased after treating hMSCs with DNA methyltransferase inhibitor RG108 (50μ M) (hatched bars) as compared to vehicle (black bars). The experiment was repeated three times. Representative Western blots are shown. These blot pictures were cropped and the full-length blot pictures are presented in Supplementary Fig. S1. Results are presented as relative fold change \pm SD vs. vehicle-treated cells. (**d**) Global DNA methylation in adipocytes was decreased after 24 h treatment with DNA methyltransferase inhibitor RG108 at a concentration of 50 μ M (hatched bar) or 200 μ M (white bar) compared to non-treated control cells (black bar). The experiment was repeated twice. (**e**) The methyltransferase inhibitor RG108 decreased methylation of specific CpG-sites in the *PLIN1* promoter in adipocytes. Methylation of cg08749443 and cg04998447 was determined by Pyrosequencing after adipocytes were treated with 50 μ M RG108 (hatched bar) compared to non-treated control cells (black bar). The analysis was repeated twice, n > 3. ****P* < 0.001, ***P* < 0.05.

.....

For validation of *PLIN1* results we studied an independent group of women with a wide variation in BMI (n = 69, age 40 ± 12 years, BMI 30.9 + 9.3 kg/m²) who were examined in the same manner as the explorative cohort. Global transcriptome profiles was available on subcutaneous WAT from 57 of these women as reported³⁴.

Clinical examination. Participants were investigated at 8 AM after an overnight fast. All subjects had been weight stable ($<\pm 2$ kg body weight change) during at least 6 months prior to investigation according to self-report Anthropometric measurements were performed followed by venous blood sampling. Plasma and serum were used for analysis of NEFA and other clinical chemistry variables as described³⁵. An abdominal subcutaneous WAT biopsy was obtained by fine needle aspiration as described³⁶.

WAT handling. The adipose tissue was brought to the laboratory, rinsed repeatedly in saline and visual blood vessels and cell debris were removed. Adipose tissue specimen (about two grams) were divided into portions, one of which was subjected to collagenase treatment to obtain isolated adipocytes as previously reported³⁷. The mean weight and volume of these cells were determined as previously described^{38, 39}. 200 µl of packed isolated adipocytes and 300 mg unfractionated WAT pieces were frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -70 °C for subsequent DNA (cells) or RNA (tissue) preparation, whereas remaining tissue was used immediately for cell culture experiments.

Lipolysis assay. Basal lipolytic activity was determined in adipose tissue explants as described⁴⁰. In brief, pieces of adipose tissue (200 or 300 mg) were incubated for 2 h (100 mg/ml) at 37 °C with air as the gas phase in Krebs–Ringer phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with glucose (8.6 mmol/l), ascorbic acid (0.1 mg/ml) and bovine serum albumin (20 mg/ml). Glycerol release into the medium was measured using a sensitive bioluminescence method and expressed as amount of glycerol release per 2 h and 10⁷ adipocytes. Adipocytes are the only adipose source of glycerol, which is an end product of lipolysis and only metabolized by adipose tissue to a minimal extent. Methodological experiment revealed that glycerol release in these type of experiments is linear with

incubation time for at least 4 h. Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies have demonstrated that basal lipolytic activity is strongly and negatively related to *in vivo* insulin sensitivity.

Basal lipolysis in isolated adipocytes was investigated using the same protocol as described above in diluted adipocyte suspensions (2% v/v).

Quantification of *PLIN1* **CpG methylation by array.** *PLIN1* CpG methylation in the explorative cohort was analyzed in DNA extracted from adipocytes in a previously reported dataset applying the Infinium Human Methylation 450 BeadChip assay (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA)¹⁶. The beta value (β), which represents the ratio of intensities between methylated and unmethylated alleles, was used to quantify methylation at specific CpG loci. The β -values vary from 0 (no methylation) to 1 (100% methylation). Of 11 probes mapping to the *PLIN1* gene, we excluded two containing SNPs with MAF >10% within the probes according to Illumina annotation.

CpG-methylation in adipocytes from the validation cohort was analyzed by EPIC arrays. Briefly, 500 ng of genomic DNA was bisulfate converted with EZ-96 DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) and genome wide DNA methylation analysis was performed using the Infinium Human Methylation EPIC BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The laboratory procedures were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. For analysis, visualization and extraction of methylation data, the GenomeStudio software version 2011.1 (Illumina Inc.) was used. The analysis reported here was limited to CpG-sites in the *PLIN1* gene region that should be confirmed from the explorative cohort. A comprehensive analysis of the full EPIC data is ongoing.

Pyrosequencing assay. Five of the obese and nine of the never-obese women were used for validation of DMS by pyrosequencing; from remaining women we did not have any cells left. Genomic DNA was prepared from adipocytes using the QiAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The DNA purity and quality was confirmed by A260/280 ratio >1.8 on a Nanodrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, US). The DNA concentration was measured by Qubit (Life technologies, Stockholm, Sweden).

Quantification of *PLIN1* **expression.** *PLIN1* mRNA in the clinical samples was quantified by microarray using Gene 1.1 ST arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, US) in 20 of the individuals in the explorative cohort, and by Gene 1.0 or 1.1 ST arrays in 57 of the women in the validation cohort; both groups formed parts of larger studies as described^{16, 34}. For remaining subjects we did not have sufficient amount of WAT for transcriptome analysis.

Total RNA and DNA was extracted from cell culture samples using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Kit (Qiagen). Concentration and purity of nucleic acids was measured using a Nanodrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Lafayette, CO). cDNA synthesis was performed using iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) together with random hexamer primers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Assessment of *PLIN1* mRNA levels was performed using SYBR Green Mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and primers: *PLIN1* forward 5'-TGGAAACTGAGGAGAACAAG-3' and reverse 5'-ATGTCACAGCCGAGATGG-3'. Expression was normalized to the internal reference gene *18S* forward 5'-TGGCTCCAACAGGGAAACC-3' and reverse 5'-TCGCTCCACCAACTAAGAAC-3' using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method⁴¹.

Construction of reporter vector. *PLIN1* promoter was PCR amplified using KAPA HotStart ReadyMix (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA) from human genomic DNA (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using primers, forward 5'-TATTGGATCCGTACAGCCCAGCACATTCACAACT-3' and reverse 5'-TATTAAGCTTGCCCCAGGACCCCAACAC-3' (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK) and cloned into the pCpGL-basic vector (kindly provided by prof. M. Rehli, Regensburg, Germany) via *BamH*I and *Hind*III (Thermo Scientific) sites, which yielded the pCpGL-PLIN vector. The correct insertion of a construct was controlled by sequencing. The cloned genomic sequence covered 1,731 base pairs upstream of the *PLIN1* transcription start site, and included all CpG-sites in the promoter region of *PLIN1* whose methylation status was assayed by microarray.

In vitro methylation of plasmid DNA. Both plasmids, pCpGL-PLIN and pCpGL-basic (no insert), were methylated using *SssI* methyltransferase (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) according to the manufacturer's recommendation. In brief, 10–15 µg of plasmid DNA was incubated with or without *SssI* methyltransferase ($20 U/\mu$ l; $2 U/\mu$ g DNA) in the presence of 640μ M S-Adenosylmethionine (SAM) (New England Biolabs) for four hours at 37 °C, with another 640μ M SAM being added after the first two hours of incubation. Plasmid DNA was purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Methylation of plasmid DNA was controlled by digestion using methylation sensitive restriction enzyme *HpaII* (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for four hours at 37 °C.

PLIN1 promoter reporter assays. Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) isolated from adipose tissue and differentiated *in vitro* to adipocytes were used for the transfection with plasmids⁴². Day 9 of differentiated cells were transfected using Neon electroporator (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cell amount per 10µl electroporation tip was 100.000 together with 500 ng of methylated or unmethylated pCpGL-basic or pCpGL-PLIN plasmids and 10 ng of a plasmid containing Renilla luciferase gene (Promega, Madison, WI). Electroporation conditions were 1400 Volts, 20 ms width, and 2 pulses. Following electroporation the cells were cultured in 48-well plates for 24 hours there after luciferase activities were measured in cell lysates using Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according manufacturer's instructions. Each sample was prepared in quadruplicates and the experiment was repeated three times.

Global demethylation assays in vitro. hMSCs differentiated *in vitro* to adipocytes were treated with $50 \,\mu$ M or $200 \,\mu$ M of DNA methyltransferase inhibitor RG108 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) at differentiation day 11–12 for 24h. Medium was collected and the cells were used to isolate RNA/DNA (AllPrep DNA/RNA Kit, Qiagen) and proteins. Isolated DNA was used for measuring global shifts in DNA methylation (Imprint[®] Methylated DNA Quantification Kit, Sigma Aldrich,) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 60 ng of DNA was diluted in DNA Binding Solution and incubated at 37 °C for 60 mins. After washing, Capture Antibody at dilution 1:1000 was added and incubated at RT for 60 mins. After washing, Detection Antibody was added to each well at dilution 1:1000 and incubated at RT for 30 mins. Thereafter Developing Solution was added and incubated at 450 nm (NanoQuant spectrophotometer, Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). Cytotoxicity of RG108 was determined in cell culture medium using Cytotoxicity determination kit (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) according to the instruction of the manufacturer.

Analysis of protein expression. Approximately 250,000 hMSCs were lysed in 140 µl RIPA buffer (150 mM sodium chloride, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0). 15–20 µg of total protein was separated by SDS-PAGE and Western blot was performed according to standard procedures. The membranes were blocked in 3% ECL AdvanceTM Blocking Agent (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). Primary antibodies against Perilipin (GP29, Progen, Heidelberg, Germany) and β -actin (Sigma Aldrich) were used. Secondary guinea-pig/rabbit-IgG antibodies were conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich). Protein bands were detected by chemiluminescence using ECLTM Select Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Healthcare) in Chemidoc XRS system (Bio-Rad Larobarotries) and quantified by Quantity One software (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed in JMP v. 12. Shapiro-Wilks test was used to test whether the distribution of analyzed quantitative variables deviated from normality. Two-group comparisons of normally distributed variables were performed by two-sided Student's t-test (age, diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, NEFA, lipolysis measures, adipocyte volume, and *PLIN1* mRNA and protein) and otherwise by Kruskal-Wallis test. Five of nine analyzed CpG-sites were not normally distributed; for simplicity all DNA meth-ylation results were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis test. Pyrosequencing results were due to small sample size analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Relationship between degree of CpG-methylation and quantitative phenotypes was assessed by simple regression. Relationship between *PLIN1* mRNA and quantitative phenotypes was assessed by multiple regression adjusting for batch. Lipolysis values were log₁₀-transformed before analysis to become normally distributed. Values are mean + SD.

Data availability. The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- 1. Langenberg, C. *et al.* Long-term risk of incident type 2 diabetes and measures of overall and regional obesity: the EPIC-InterAct case-cohort study. *PLoS Med* 9, e1001230, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001230PMEDICINE-D-11-02575 (2012).
- Arner, P. & Langin, D. Lipolysis in lipid turnover, cancer cachexia, and obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 25, 255–262, doi:10.1016/j.tem.2014.03.002S1043-2760(14)00044-7 [pii] (2014).
- Morigny, P., Houssier, M., Mouisel, E. & Langin, D. Adipocyte lipolysis and insulin resistance. *Biochimie* 125, 259–266, doi:10.1016/j. biochi.2015.10.024S0300-9084(15)00344-2 [pii] (2016).
- Fruhbeck, G., Mendez-Gimenez, L., Fernandez-Formoso, J. A., Fernandez, S. & Rodriguez, A. Regulation of adipocyte lipolysis. Nutr Res Rev 27, 63–93, doi:10.1017/S095442241400002XS095442241400002X [pii] (2014).
- Brasaemle, D. L. Thematic review series: adipocyte biology. The perilipin family of structural lipid droplet proteins: stabilization of lipid droplets and control of lipolysis. J Lipid Res 48, 2547–2559, doi:R700014-JLR200 [pii]10.1194/jlr.R700014-JLR200 (2007).
- Souza, S. C. *et al.* Modulation of hormone-sensitive lipase and protein kinase A-mediated lipolysis by perilipin A in an adenoviral reconstituted system. J Biol Chem 277, 8267-8272, doi:10.1074/jbc.M108329200M108329200 [pii] (2002).
- Granneman, J. G. et al. Analysis of lipolytic protein trafficking and interactions in adipocytes. J Biol Chem 282, 5726–5735, doi:M610580200 [pii]10.1074/jbc.M610580200 (2007).
- Mottagui-Tabar, S. *et al.* Evidence for an important role of perilipin in the regulation of human adipocyte lipolysis. *Diabetologia* 46, 789–797, doi:10.1007/s00125-003-1112-x (2003).
- Wang, Y. et al. Perilipin expression in human adipose tissues: effects of severe obesity, gender, and depot. Obes Res 11, 930–936, doi:10.1038/oby.2003.128 (2003).
- Ray, H., Pinteur, C., Frering, V., Beylot, M. & Large, V. Depot-specific differences in perilipin and hormone-sensitive lipase expression in lean and obese. *Lipids Health Dis* 8, 58, doi:10.1186/1476-511X-8-581476-511X-8-58 [pii] (2009).
- Kern, P. A., Di Gregorio, G., Lu, T., Rassouli, N. & Ranganathan, G. Perilipin expression in human adipose tissue is elevated with obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 89, 1352–1358, doi:10.1210/jc.2003-031388 (2004).
- 12. Soenen, S. *et al.* Relationship between perilipin gene polymorphisms and body weight and body composition during weight loss and weight maintenance. *Physiol Behav* **96**, 723–728 (2009).

- Dalen, K. T. et al. Adipose tissue expression of the lipid droplet-associating proteins S3-12 and perilipin is controlled by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma. Diabetes 53, 1243–1252 (2004).
- Laurencikiene, J. et al. NF-kappaB is important for TNF-alpha-induced lipolysis in human adipocytes. J Lipid Res 48, 1069–1077, doi:M600471-JLR200 [pii]10.1194/jlr.M600471-JLR200 (2007).
- Stenson, B. M. et al. Liver X receptor (LXR) regulates human adipocyte lipolysis. J Biol Chem 286, 370–379, doi:10.1074/jbc. M110.179499M110.179499 [pii] (2011).
- Arner, P. et al. The epigenetic signature of subcutaneous fat cells is linked to altered expression of genes implicated in lipid metabolism in obese women. Clin Epigenetics 7, 93, doi:10.1186/s13148-015-0126-9126 [pii] (2015).
- 17. Ronn, T. *et al.* Impact of age, BMI and HbA1c levels on the genome-wide DNA methylation and mRNA expression patterns in human adipose tissue and identification of epigenetic biomarkers in blood. *Hum Mol Genet*, doi:ddv124 [pii]10.1093/hmg/ddv124 (2015).
- Dick, K. J. et al. DNA methylation and body-mass index: a genome-wide analysis. Lancet, doi:S0140-6736(13)62674-4 [pii]10.1016/ S0140-6736(13)62674-4 (2014).
- Demerath, E. W. *et al.* Epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) of BMI, BMI change and waist circumference in African American adults identifies multiple replicated loci. *Hum Mol Genet* 24, 4464–4479, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddv161 (2015).
- Agustsson, T. et al. Mechanism of increased lipolysis in cancer cachexia. Cancer Res 67, 5531–5537, doi:67/11/5531 [pii]10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4585 (2007).
- Frayn, K., Bernard, S., Spalding, K. & Arner, P. Adipocyte triglyceride turnover is independently associated with atherogenic dyslipidemia. J Am Heart Assoc 1, e003467, doi:10.1161/JAHA.112.003467jah3115 [pii] (2012).
- Wueest, S. et al. Mesenteric Fat Lipolysis Mediates Obesity-Associated Hepatic Steatosis and Insulin Resistance. *Diabetes* 65, 140–148, doi:10.2337/db15-0941db15-0941 [pii] (2016).
- Dupre-Aucouturier, S., Castells, J., Freyssenet, D. & Desplanches, D. Trichostatin A, a histone deacetylase inhibitor, modulates unloadedinduced skeletal muscle atrophy. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 119, 342–351, doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01031.2014japplphysiol.01031.2014 [pii] (2015).
- Kammel, A. et al. Early hypermethylation of hepatic Igfbp2 results in its reduced expression preceding fatty liver in mice. Hum Mol Genet, doi:ddw121 [pii]10.1093/hmg/ddw121 (2016).
- Arner, P. et al. The epigenetic signature of systemic insulin resistance in obese women. Diabetologia, doi:10.1007/s00125-016-4074-510.1007/s00125-016-4074-5 [pii] (2016).
- Scott, R. A. et al. Large-scale association analyses identify new loci influencing glycemic traits and provide insight into the underlying biological pathways. Nat Genet 44, 991–1005, doi:10.1038/ng.2385 (2012).
- Locke, A. E. et al. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. Nature 518, 197–206, doi:10.1038/ nature14177nature14177 [pii] (2015).
- Shungin, D. et al. New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. Nature 518, 187–196, doi:10.1038/ nature14132nature14132 [pii] (2015).
- 29. Yamagata, Y. et al. Rapid turnover of DNA methylation in human cells. Epigenetics 7, 141-145, doi:10.4161/epi.7.2.18906 (2012).
- 30. Ronn, T. *et al.* A six months exercise intervention influences the genome-wide DNA methylation pattern in human adipose tissue. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003572, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003572PGENETICS-D-13-00070 [pii] (2013).
- Boque, N. *et al.* Prevention of diet-induced obesity by apple polyphenols in Wistar rats through regulation of adipocyte gene expression and DNA methylation patterns. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 57, 1473–1478, doi:10.1002/mnfr.201200686 (2013).
- Gillberg, L. et al. Adipose tissue transcriptomics and epigenomics in low birthweight men and controls: role of high-fat overfeeding. Diabetologia 59, 799–812, doi:10.1007/s00125-015-3852-910.1007/s00125-015-3852-9 [pii] (2016).
- Mutch, D. M. *et al.* Needle and surgical biopsy techniques differentially affect adipose tissue gene expression profiles. *Am J Clin Nutr* 89, 51–57, doi:10.3945/ajcn.2008.26802ajcn.2008.26802 [pii] (2009).
- Dahlman, I. et al. Numerous Genes in Loci Associated With Body Fat Distribution Are Linked to Adipose Function. Diabetes 65, 433–437, doi:10.2337/db15-082865/2/433 [pii] (2016).
- Andersson, K., Eneroth, P. & Arner, P. Changes in circulating lipid and carbohydrate metabolites following systemic nicotine treatment in healthy men. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 17, 675–680 (1993).
- Kolaczynski, J. W. et al. A new technique for biopsy of human abdominal fat under local anaesthesia with Lidocaine. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 18, 161–166 (1994).
- Rodbell, M. & Krishna, G. Preparation of isolated fat cells and fat cell "ghosts"; methods for assaying adenylate cyclase activity and levels of cyclic AMP. *Methods Enzymol* 31, 103–114 (1974).
- Lofgren, P., Hoffstedt, J., Naslund, E., Wiren, M. & Arner, P. Prospective and controlled studies of the actions of insulin and catecholamine in fat cells of obese women following weight reduction. *Diabetologia* 48, 2334–2342, doi:10.1007/s00125-005-1961-6 (2005).
- 39. Hirsch, J. & Gallian, E. Methods for the determination of adipose cell size in man and animals. J Lipid Res 9, 110-119 (1968).
- 40. Girousse, A. *et al.* Partial inhibition of adipose tissue lipolysis improves glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity without alteration of fat mass. *PLoS Biol* **11**, e1001485, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001485PBIOLOGY-D-12-02729 [pii] (2013).
- Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408, doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262S1046-2023(01)91262-9 [pii] (2001).
- 42. Pettersson, A. M. *et al.* LXR is a negative regulator of glucose uptake in human adipocytes. *Diabetologia* **56**, 2044–2054, doi:10.1007/s00125-013-2954-5 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the SRP Diabetes program at Karolinska Institutet, CIMED, the Swedish Research Council, Novo Nordic Foundation, the Swedish Diabetes Foundation, and the Stockholm County Council.

Author Contributions

I.D. and P.A. planned the study and recruited patients. L.B., C.Z., A.K., and K.D.W. planned and performed the *in vitro* studies. P.P. performed the Pyrosequencing. I.D. and I.S. analyzed data. I.D. wrote the draft manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of data and revision of the manuscript draft, and approved the final version.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-09232-y

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017