SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 23 March 2017 Accepted: 10 July 2017 Published online: 16 August 2017

OPEN The Candida albicans HIR histone chaperone regulates the yeast-tohyphae transition by controlling the sensitivity to morphogenesis signals

Sabrina Jenull¹, Michael Tscherner^{1,4}, Meqha Gulati², Clarissa J. Nobile², Neeraj Chauhan³ & Karl Kuchler¹

Morphological plasticity such as the yeast-to-hyphae transition is a key virulence factor of the human fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Hyphal formation is controlled by a multilayer regulatory network composed of environmental sensing, signaling, transcriptional modulators as well as chromatin modifications. Here, we demonstrate a novel role for the replication-independent HIR histone chaperone complex in fungal morphogenesis. HIR operates as a crucial modulator of hyphal development, since genetic ablation of the HIR complex subunit Hir1 decreases sensitivity to morphogenetic stimuli. Strikingly, HIR1-deficient cells display altered transcriptional amplitudes upon hyphal initiation, suggesting that Hir1 affects transcription by establishing transcriptional thresholds required for driving morphogenetic cell-fate decisions. Furthermore, ectopic expression of the transcription factor Ume6, which facilitates hyphal maintenance, rescues filamentation defects of $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells, suggesting that Hir1 impacts the early phase of hyphal initiation. Hence, chromatin chaperone-mediated fine-tuning of transcription is crucial for driving morphogenetic conversions in the fungal pathogen C. albicans.

Chromatin plays fundamental roles in gene regulation during most cellular differentiation processes. Of note, chromatin architecture and functions have been conserved from human embryonic development to morphogenetic cell fate decisions in unicellular eukaryotes including the fungal pathogen Candida albicans¹⁻³. C. albicans, a normal commensal colonizer of most healthy individuals, is present on skin, gut and mucosal surfaces, and thus well-adapted to host niches with distinct immune surveillance⁴⁻⁶. However, immunosuppression can trigger C. albicans to switch into an invasive pathogenic mode, causing more than 400,000 life-threating invasive infections worldwide per year. Remarkably, together with other major pathogens, fungal infections claim about 1.5 million lives each year⁷.

The ability to undergo morphogenesis arguably constitutes one of the major virulence traits of C. albicans. The genomic plasticity is manifested by a transcriptional plasticity that enables a switch between a unicellular yeast-like morphology and the pseudohyphal/hyphal growth phases, which display characteristic septated filaments⁸⁻¹⁰. Hyphal formation is triggered by various environmental host signals. For instance, elevated temperatures, serum, nutrient availability, carbon dioxide, certain amino acids or N-acetyl glucosamine (GlcNAc) activate a complex signaling network, including the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades and the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)/protein kinase A (PKA) signaling pathway, resulting in the activation

¹Medical University of Vienna, Max F. Perutz Laboratories, Department of Medical Biochemistry, Campus Vienna Biocenter, Dr.-Bohr-Gasse 9/2, A-1030, Vienna, Austria. ²Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, School of Natural Sciences, University of California-Merced, Merced, CA, USA. ³Public Health Research Institute, Department of Microbiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, New Jersey Medical School – Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey, USA. ⁴Present address: Department of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.K. (email: karl.kuchler@meduniwien.ac.at)

of a panel of dedicated transcription factors such as Efg1^{9,11-15}. Of note, besides the induction of transcriptional activators, hyphal triggers occlude transcriptional repressors of hyphal-specific genes (HSGs) such as Nrg1 from target promoters¹⁶. To sustain hyphal development, additional transcription factors such as Ume6 and Brg1 are recruited to HSGs, whereas prolonged binding of Nrg1 is inhibited. Thus, two distinct transcriptional phases modulate filamentation, involving both decoration and exclusion of transcriptional modulators to initiate and maintain hyphal growth^{3, 17}.

Recent studies suggest a pivotal role for chromatin modification and organization in controlling fungal morphogenesis^{18–20}. Moreover, Efg1 cooperates with the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase (HAT) complex and the ATP dependent chromatin remodeling complex SWI/SNF during hyphal initiation²¹. In addition, the Set3 histone deacetylase (HDAC) complex controls the threshold sensitivity of the cAMP/PKA pathway to hyphal stimuli²², while the Hda1 HDAC functions in prolonged hyphal growth¹⁷. Hence, the dynamic interplay of transcription factors and chromatin modification status integrates diverse triggers from upstream signaling cascades to set levels of coordinated transcriptional responses required for morphogenetic cell fate decisions.

The functional status of chromatin as well as its architecture can be altered by chromatin modifications including chromatin remodeling through the concomitant assembly and disassembly of nucleosomes. This process is guarded and facilitated by conserved histone chaperones acting in replication-dependent and -independent pathways²³. The central hub for histone H3 and H4 turnover in the cytoplasm is the histone chaperone Asf1²⁴. Newly synthesized histone H3/H4 dimers are acetylated by the HAT Hat1 at H4K5 and K12 and delivered to $Asf1^{25}$. The dimer is further acetylated by the Rtt109 HAT at H3K56 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae²⁶. Asf1 shuttles modified H3/ H4 dimers into the nucleus to a panel of other histone chaperones for chromatin assembly coupled to DNA replication, DNA damage repair, heterochromatin maintenance or transcription^{24, 27}. For instance, the CAF-1 histone chaperone complex incorporates histones H3 and H4 into chromatin coupled to DNA-replication²⁸. Notably, CAF-1 is involved in epigenetic white-opaque switching and in the oxidative stress response in C. albicans^{29, 30}. The HIR histone chaperone complex consists of four subunits in S. cerevisiae and assembles nucleosomes in a replication-independent manner \overline{s}^{1-33} . The complex was originally discovered in S. cerevisiae as transcriptional repressor for 6 out of 8 histone genes³⁴. Of note, functions of HIR related to non-histone gene regulation have been proposed, including cryptic promoter repression and suppression of Ty elements^{32, 35}. Interestingly, loss of the HIR complex subunit Hir1 decreases nucleosome occupancy at various gene promoters³⁶. Hence, Hir1 might affect the fine-tuning of transcriptional responses by regulating local chromatin architecture in target genes, thereby altering affinities of dedicated transcriptional regulators to cognate *cis*-acting sites, as well as the decoration by and displacement of transcriptional co-factors and modulators.

Initiation of C. albicans morphogenesis is accompanied by massive transcriptional changes affecting up to 15% of the genome³⁷. Hence, we hypothesized that defects in replication-independent chromatin assembly may deregulate HSG expression and thus, influence the C. albicans yeast-to-hyphae transition. Indeed, here we show that chromatin assembly during filamentation is facilitated by *HIR1*, but not by the replication-dependent CAF-1 machinery. We find that HSG transcriptional amplitudes dramatically change upon genetic removal of HIR1. Furthermore, we provide compelling evidence that the HIR complex acts downstream of cAMP/PKA signaling, possibly in concert with other transcriptional activators such as Efg1, to regulate the initial onset of hyphal growth. Surprisingly, transcriptomics of HIR1-deficient cells revealed that the gene expression profile in cells lacking HIR1 was qualitatively very similar to the wild type (WT) following hyphal induction. However, the maximal transcriptional amplitudes are remarkably altered in the HIR1 mutant compared to the WT, which results in strongly decreased sensitivity of cells to filamentation signals. Strikingly, ectopic expression of Ume6 bypasses the requirement of Hir1-mediated transcriptional initiation for hyphal differentiation, which further substantiates our conclusion that Hir1 plays an important role during early steps of hyphal initiation. Our data are of general relevance, since they suggest a novel mechanism whereby chromatin chaperones like the HIR complex can impact fine-tuning of transcription to establish minimal threshold transcriptional levels required for triggering developmental changes or morphogenetic cell fate decisions independently of DNA replication or cell cycle control.

Results

The HIR complex facilitates hyphal initiation. Several previous studies suggest that chromatin alterations contribute to yeast-to-hyphae transitions^{16, 17, 19, 21, 37, 38}. Moreover, recent work also uncovered a role of the HIR histone chaperone member Hir1 in white-opaque switching²⁹ and azole tolerance³⁰ in *C. albicans*. Hence, we reasoned that a functional connection may exist between hyphal formation and chromatin assembly mediated by the HIR histone chaperone complex. Thus, we genetically removed *HIR1*³⁰ and subjected the *hir1* Δ/Δ mutant to filament-inducing conditions. Strikingly, loss of Hir1 strongly decreased hyphal formation on solid YPD containing 10% serum at 37 °C as indicated by smooth colony morphology (Fig. 1A). Reintegration of *HIR1* into its original genomic locus fully restored the WT phenotype. In addition, *hir1* Δ/Δ cells were defective for hyphal formation in response to other stimuli such as GlcNAc or Spider medium (Figure S1A). To investigate the filamentation defects of mutant cells in greater detail, we examined the hyphal initiation rates of *HIR1*-deficient cells in liquid YPD medium with 10% serum at 37 °C. The *hir1* Δ/Δ mutant showed severe hyphal formation defects at all time points, where only 30% of the *hir1* Δ/Δ cells initiated hyphal induction (Figs 1B and S1B).

Hyphal initiation is triggered by the so-called hyphal-specific transcriptional program³⁷. Therefore, we examined the dynamics of HSG expression in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells using qRT-PCR. Induction of HSGs encoding the cell wall proteins Ece1 and Hwp1 or the G1-cyclin Hgc1⁹ was remarkably reduced in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells after 30 min growth in YPD plus 10% serum at 37 °C (Figs 1C and S1D). This result suggested that Hir1 is required for the activation of hyphal-specific transcriptional programs. Since hyphal induction not only drives gene activation³⁹, we also tested expression of the β -mannosyltransferase Rhd1 which is normally repressed upon the hyphal switch⁴⁰. Indeed, *RHD1* mRNA abundance was decreased during hyphal initiation in WT cells. A qualitatively similar response was observed in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells, although the amplitude of *RHD1* transcriptional repression was decreased (Fig. 1C).



Figure 1. Regulation of hyphal formation by the HIR complex. (A) $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells are defective in seruminduced hyphal formation on solid medium. Colony morphology was inspected after 3 days. Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. (B) Loss of HIR1 decreases hyphal formation in liquid medium. Hyphal formation of WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells was evaluated in YPD supplemented with 10% FCS at 37 °C after the indicated time points. (C) Hir1 controls hyphal-specific gene (HSG) expression. ECE1 and RHD1 gene expression was measured after 30 min of hyphal induction via RT-qPCR. Transcript levels were normalized to the expression of the reference gene *RIP1*²². (**D**) HIR complex mutants display decreased hyphal formation. Colony morphology of $hpc2\Delta/\Delta$, $hir2\Delta/\Delta$ and $hir3\Delta/\Delta$ was examined as in (A). Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. (E) Hir1 associates with Hir2 and Hir3 in C. albicans. Hir1 was epitope-tagged with 9myc in either WT background or in strains lacking one HIR complex component and subjected to native immunoprecipitation. Precipitated proteins were separated through a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and visualized by silver staining. Detected protein bands were cut out and identified via mass spectrometry analysis. The indicated molecular weight for Hir3 and Hir2 are derived from mass spectrometry analysis. (F) Hyphal formation is Cac2-independent. Colony morphology of WT cells and cells lacking CAC2 and RTT106 was analyzed as above (A). Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. (B,C) Data are presented as mean + SD of three independent experiments. For significance testing, $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells were compared to WT cells. n.s. not significant, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 with Student's t-test.

In addition, Ywp1, a yeast phase cell wall protein⁴¹, was not downregulated in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells in response to hyphal induction. Interestingly, basal *YWP1* expression was already substantially increased in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells during yeast phase growth (Figure S1D). These data strongly suggest that the transcriptional program during hyphal initiation cannot be fully activated in the absence of *HIR1*, thus impairing the yeast-to-hyphae transition. Since Hir1 might act globally during replication-independent chromatin assembly, we tested whether transcriptional amplitudes in response to other specific environmental cues are affected upon the loss of *HIR1*. Therefore, WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells were exposed to oxidative stress with H₂O₂ and the mRNA expression rate of the catalase *CAT1*⁴² was quantified using RT-qPCR. The amplitude and kinetics of *CAT1* induction were almost identical in the WT and the *HIR1* mutant (Figure S2), showing that Hir1 function is dispensable for at least one stress response mechanism that requires rapid transcriptional reprogramming.

The HIR histone chaperone complex consists of the four subunits Hir1, Hir2, Hir3 and Hpc2³² encoded in the *C. albicans* genome²⁹. The removal of complex subunits such as Hir2, Hir3 and Hpc2 phenocopied the *hir1* Δ/Δ deletion (Figs 1D and S1C). This demonstrates that the HIR complex is conserved between *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans*. Additionally, mass spectrometry analysis of the immunopurified HIR complex from *C. albicans* showed that Hir3 and Hir2 co-purify with functionally myc-tagged Hir1 (Fig. 1E). Of note, we did not detect Hpc2 in our Co-IP experimental set-up. This might be due to a low silver staining efficiency of Hpc2 as reported earlier³³. Since the loss of each individual complex subunit had the same effect on hyphal formation, we also tested how complex formation is affected by the loss of one member. Therefore, Hir1 was myc-tagged in *hir2* Δ/Δ , *hir3* Δ/Δ and *hpc2* Δ/Δ strains and subjected to co-immunoprecipitation. Upon loss of *HPC2*, Hir2 and Hir3 remained associated with Hir1-myc, while deletion of *HIR2* abolishes binding of Hir3 to Hir1-myc. Furthermore, Hir1-myc and Hir2 interaction was still maintained in the absence of *HIR3* (Fig. 1E). These data suggest that specific HIR complex subunits may execute distinct functions, ranging from assembly (Hir2) to the recruitment to target genes (Hpc2), as previously speculated in *S. cerevisiae*³⁵.

Since chromatin assembly uses two distinct pathways⁴³, we analyzed the specificity of the HIR complex for regulating the yeast-to-hyphae transition. Cells lacking the CAF-1 subunit Cac2 implicated in the replication-coupled chromatin assembly⁴⁴, showed no defects in hyphal formation (Fig. 1F). By contrast, deletion of *RTT106*, a histone chaperone involved in both pathways⁴⁵, phenocopied Hir1 ablation on solid medium, albeit filamentation defects were less pronounced than in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells, since hyphal formation was not disrupted in liquid medium (data not shown). Hence, hyphal induction rather requires mainly HIR-mediated replication-independent chromatin assembly.

Loss of Hir1 mimics lack of Efg1. HSG induction depends on a complex signaling network. Two major signaling pathways, the cAMP/PKA and a MAPK pathway converge at the activation of downstream transcription factors such as Efg1 and Cph1^{9, 46}, and relief from Tup1 and Nrg1 repression^{16, 47} as shown in Fig. 2A. In *S. cerevisiae*, the Hir1-containing HIR complex acts as transcriptional repressors^{34, 36, 48}. Hence, we reasoned that transcriptional repressors of HSGs may become deregulated and thus overexpressed in the absence of *HIR1*. A well-characterized transcription factor for hyphal formation is Nrg1, which represses filamentation. Consequently, its removal leads to constitutive filamentation^{9, 49}. First, we tested whether deletion of *HIR1* could alleviate the hyperfilamentation phenotype of $nrg1\Delta/\Delta$ cells. Epistasis analysis of the $hir1\Delta/\Delta nrg1\Delta/\Delta$ double mutant revealed that deletion of *HIR1* in the $nrg1\Delta/\Delta$ background failed to ameliorate the hyperfilamentation phenotype of $nrg1\Delta/\Delta$ cells (Figure S3A). Of note, transcriptional downregulation of *NRG1* was not impaired in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells upon hyphal triggers, whereas the activation of HSGs like *ECE1* was strongly decreased (Figure S3C). Monitoring protein levels of functionally myc-tagged Nrg1 mirrored these data. Nrg1 was degraded independently of *HIR1*, as soon as cells activated the hyphal program (Figure S3D). These results suggest that $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells are still able to sense hyphal-inducing conditions as *NRG1* mRNA downregulation and Nrg1 degradation was fully functional in the mutant.

As stated before, loss of *NRG1* leads to a massive hyperfilamenting phenotype due to de-repression of HSGs. To uncover more subtle effects of possible interactions between Hir1 and Nrg1, we tested the effect of the deletion of one *NRG1* allele on Hir1-mediated filamentation. In liquid medium, *NRG1* heterozygosity in the *hir1* Δ/Δ background had no effect on hyphal formation after 60 minutes of serum-induced filamentation (Figure S3B). On solid YPD medium at 37 °C, unlike the smooth colony appearance of WT cells, *NRG1/nrg1* cells formed wrinkled colonies, indicating filamentous growth. This phenotype was reverted upon genetic removal of *HIR1* in the *NRG1/nrg1* strain (Fig. 2B). In addition, the *NRG1/nrg1* hir1 Δ/Δ mutant showed reduced hyphal formation in response to serum when compared to *NRG1/nrg1* cells (Fig. 2B). Thus, a subtle de-repression of HSGs by decreased *NRG1* gene dosage is not sufficient to trigger full filamentous growth of *HIR1*-deficient cells.

As mentioned above, major positive regulators of hyphal formation are Cph1 and Efg1, as their deletion results in hyphal formation defects^{47, 50}. We speculated that Hir1 could act in concert with Cph1 or Efg1 to adjust hyphal initiation. Therefore, we directly compared the behavior of cells lacking *HIR1*, *EFG1* or *CPH1* during growth in serum-containing YPD at elevated temperatures. Like *hir1* Δ/Δ cells, *efg1* Δ/Δ and *cph1* Δ/Δ cells downregulated *NRG1* levels upon hyphal induction (Fig. 2C). However, only *hir1* Δ/Δ and *efg1* Δ/Δ knock-out cells lacked full activation of the HSG *ECE1*. Moreover, loss of *CPH1* had no influence on hyphal formation on serum-containing YPD (data not shown) or *ECE1* transcript abundance (Fig. 2C). Genetic removal of *EFG1* altered *ECE1* expression and hyphal formation more severely than a *HIR1* deletion (Fig. 2C). Of note, *efg1* Δ/Δ cells are locked in the yeast phase during serum-induced filamentation^{15, 51}. Furthermore, *NRG1* heterozygosity failed to enhance hyphal growth in *efg1* Δ/Δ cells (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, *hir1* Δ/Δ cells in part phenocopied *efg1* Δ/Δ cells under embedded conditions, where Efg1 can act as a repressor of filamentation. Consequently, loss of *EFG1* results in hyperfilamentation under these conditions^{52, 53}. Likewise, *HIR1*-deficient cells showed enhanced hyphal formation during embedded growth (Fig. 2E). Of note, *EFG1* transcription in yeast morphology growth was not affected by a *HIR1* deletion (Figure S4).

Because cAMP/PKA signaling is essential for hyphal formation^{3, 54}, we tested the integrity of this pathway in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells. If loss of *HIR1* debilitates cAMP generation, exogenous cAMP should restore hyphal formation in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells. However, exogenous cAMP in hyphal-inducing medium failed to trigger the yeast-to-hyphae transition in *HIR1*-deficient cells or in cells lacking *EFG1* (Fig. 2F). Cdc35, also known as Cyr1, is the adenylate cyclase synthesizing cAMP⁵⁵. Unlike $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ and $efg1\Delta/\Delta$ cells, hyphal defects seen in a $cdc35\Delta/\Delta$ mutant are fully restored upon the addition of exogenous cAMP (Fig. 2F). Notably, cAMP/PKA signaling is also involved in transcriptional downregulation of Nrg1^{16, 56}. Thus, *NRG1* expression analysis (Figure S3C) suggests that the unresponsiveness of *HIR1*-deficient cells to cAMP signals (Fig. 2F) cannot be a consequence of defective cAMP/PKA



Figure 2. Loss of *HIR1* phenocopies deletion of *EFG1*. (A) Simplified scheme of the major signaling cascades regulating the hyphal transcriptional program. The MAPK cascade and the cAMP/PKA pathway activate transcription factors such as Efg1 and Cph1 to initiate HSG expression. Additionally, transcriptional repressors such as Nrg1 and Tup1 control HSG expression and are themselves regulated by upstream signaling cascades such as cAMP/PKA. (B) Reduction in *NRG1* gene dosage alleviates hyphal formation defects of *hir1* Δ/Δ cells on solid medium. Colony morphology was inspected after 3 days at 37 °C. Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. (C) *NRG1* downregulation is independent of *EFG1*, *CPH1* and *HIR1*. Gene expression of *NRG1* and *ECE1* was measured after 30 min of hyphal induction in YPD with 10% FCS by RT-qPCR. Transcript levels were normalized to the expression of the reference gene *RIP1*. Data are presented as mean + SD of three independent experiments. (D) Reduction of *NRG1* gene dosage does not affect *efg1* Δ/Δ hyphal formation. Colony morphology was assessed after 3 days at 37 °C. Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. (E) Loss of *HIR1* partially phenocopies *efg1* Δ/Δ under embedded growth conditions. Colony pictures were taken after 5 and 7 days at 25 °C. Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. (F) *HIR1* mutant cells are irresponsive to exogenous cAMP supplementation. Colony morphology was assessed after 3 days at 37 °C. Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm.

signaling. These results suggest that Hir1 might affect hyphal formation downstream of cAMP/PKA signaling in the same pathway as Efg1.

HIR1-deficiency impacts the amplitude of hyphal-specific gene transcription. We³⁷ and others⁴⁰ demonstrated that the yeast-to-hyphae transition is accompanied by massive transcriptional changes. To

determine how removal of *HIR1* affects transcriptional responses, we performed RNA-seq of $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ versus WT cells under yeast phase promoting conditions and after 30 minutes in YPD plus 10% serum at 37 °C. During yeast phase growth, some 189 genes were at least 1.5-fold upregulated, while 100 genes were downregulated to the same extent in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells when compared to WT cells. This indicates that Hir1 is acting not only as a transcriptional repressor, but is also implicated in transcriptional activation. The amplitude of the majority of differentially expressed genes between $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ and WT almost doubled upon hyphal initiation when compared to the yeast phase. We found 284 genes at least 1.5-fold upregulated and 280 genes downregulated (Fig. 3A). As already observed for the yeast growth phase, the amplitude of transcriptional changes after removing *HIR1* ranged from a fold-change of 1.5 and 2.8 (Fig. 3A). These data strongly suggest that Hir1 affects fine-tuning of transcriptional amplitudes upon hyphal formation.

Detailed inspection of transcriptomes from the WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells during the yeast-to-hyphae transition revealed qualitatively similar transcriptional responses concerning the nature and type of genes regulated in both strains (Fig. 3B). For instance, *ECE1* and *HWP1* showed the highest induction in WT cells following hyphal growth stimulation. The same was true for *HIR1*-deficient cells (Fig. 3B, top right corner). Similarly, *FET3* and *CFL2* were the most repressed genes in the WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant (Fig. 3B, bottom left corner). Remarkably, the same genes (*ECE1*, *HWP1*, *FET3*, *CFL2*) were also among the most up- as well as downregulated genes in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ hyphae when compared to WT hyphae (Fig. 3C). However, it was obvious that genes with increased expression in WT during the yeast-to-hyphae transition showed much lower transcript levels in the absence of *HIR1* and *vice versa*. In summary, these data demonstrate that transcriptional amplitudes of both activated and repressed HSG sets dramatically change during morphogenesis-associated transcriptional reprogramming upon loss of the Hir1 chaperone complex subunit (Fig. 3C). Hence, Hir1 can function both as transcriptional activator and repressor, thereby affecting the transcriptional fine-tuning in response to hyphal stimuli.

The loss of *HIR1* affects different biological processes upon hyphal induction. The RNA-seq data of $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells in the yeast growth phase revealed that major transcriptional changes already occurred during this growth phase (Figure S5A). Gene ontology (GO) analysis identified the gene sets affected by HIR. Differentially expressed genes in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells fall into fatty acid metabolism, cell surface remodeling and oxido-reduction processes, including genes involved in iron acquisition (*FRP1* and *CFL22*) and anti-oxidative enzymes (*SOD5* and *GPX2*). Furthermore, genes associated with DNA repeat maintenance and various transcription factors showed altered expression upon *HIR1* deletion (Figure S5A,C). Of note, less than 30% of all upregulated genes in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells (either during yeast growth or hyphal induction) were mapped to a well-characterized GO category.

Interestingly, transcriptional changes owing to the loss of *HIR1* were more severe during hyphal initiation than during the yeast phase (Fig. 3A). Further characterization of this gene set showed that upregulated transcripts encoded metabolism genes involved in glycolysis, fatty acid catabolism and the glyoxysome function (Figure S5B,D).

Downregulated genes are implicated in ER to Golgi vesicle trafficking, cell wall remodeling, filamentous growth and thus, fungal virulence (Figure S5B,D). The GO term analysis suggests that similar gene clusters are differentially regulated during $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ yeast and hyphal growth. This was substantiated by the fact that many of the genes included in one GO group for hyphal $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells were found differentially expressed in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ yeast growth (Figure S5A,B, gene*). However, the total number of overlapping genes with altered expression in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ yeast or hyphal phase is lower than suggested by the GO term analysis. Roughly one third of upregulated genes in HIR1-deficient hyphal cells were already elevated during yeast growth (106 out of 289). Moreover, only one fifth of all downregulated genes upon hyphal initiation in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells were also found in the yeast phase of the mutant (57 out of 280; Figure S5E,F). The majority of differentially expressed genes in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells were detected specifically upon hyphal induction. Hence, Hir1 function becomes more important for sustaining transcriptional responses to changing growth conditions, thereby affecting various biological processes, ranging from transcription control of metabolism, ER-Golgi trafficking to cell wall modulation.

In addition to common biological functions of Hir1-regulated genes, we analyzed common genomic sequence elements of gene sets. Of note, previous studies reported unusually long intergenic regions upstream of HSGs in *C. albicans* and upstream of developmentally regulated genes in *S. cerevisiae*⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. To evaluate whether Hir1-affected genes harbor unusually long upstream intergenic regions, we analyzed those sequences of genes differentially regulated in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells during yeast phase growth (hir1-y) and in response to hyphal stimuli (hir1-h). We found that the median upstream intergenic regions of hir1-y- and hir1-h-affected genes are above-average in size when compared to all expressed genes in our RNA-seq dataset (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, almost 50% of differentially regulated transcripts in hir1-y and hir1-h cells belonged to the top 25% upstream intergenic length quartile (4th quartile) (Fig. 4B). These data provide compelling evidence that Hir1-regulated genes tend to have above-average upstream intergenic regions, which is implying complex transcriptional control by chromatin architecture as well as by a variety of transcriptional regulators^{57, 60}.

HIR1 deficiency alters the histone densities at the HWP1 and UME6 upstream intergenic

regions. Transcriptomic analysis of $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells suggested that *HIR1*-deficiency strongly affects the transcriptional amplitudes of regulated genes during hyphal formation. Hir1 and homologues are thought to act in promoter regions of actively transcribed genes to aid deposition of histone H3/H4 dimers³². Therefore, we hypothesized that the loss of *HIR1* might result in an altered chromatin state at gene promoters including HSGs. Since histone enrichment in the *HWP1* promoter revealed chromatin dynamics during hyphal formation^{16, 17}, and because *HWP1* showed highest induction upon hyphal stimuli in WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells (Fig. 3B), we determined histone H3 occupancy in the *HWP1* promoter. The *HWP1* upstream intergenic region of about 2 kb contains

Α

В

С

	FC	downregulated	upregulated
hir1∆/∆-y vs WT-y	<2.8	83	145
	2.8-8	15	42
	>8	2	2
	total	100	189
<i>hir1∆/∆-</i> h vs WT-h	<2.8	256	215
	2.8-8	19	66
	>8	5	3
	total	280	284





Figure 3. Hir1 affects the transcriptional amplitude during initiation of hyphal formation. (**A**) Absolute numbers of differentially expressed transcripts between WT and the *hir1* Δ/Δ mutant during yeast (y) or hyphal (h) growth. Differentially expressed genes were defined by FDR < 0.05. FC, fold change. (**B**) The transcriptional profile of *hir1* Δ/Δ cells showed high similarity to WT cells. log2-fold changes in RNA expression in WT cells during the yeast-to-hyphae transition (x-axis) were plotted against the log2-fold change in mRNA levels in *hir1* Δ/Δ cells during the yeast-to-hyphae transition (y-axis). (**C**) Loss of *HIR1* causes transcriptional deregulation during hyphal initiation. Each dot corresponds to one ORF. The log2-fold changes in RNA expression in WT cells during the yeast-to-hyphae transition (x-axis) were plotted against the log2-fold changes between *HIR1* mutant and WT cells in response to hyphal-inducing conditions (y-axis). (**B**,**C**) Significantly differentially expressed genes in the *hir1* Δ/Δ knock-out versus WT are depicted in green and were defined by an at least 1.5-fold change and FDR < 0.05.

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | 7: 8308 | DOI:10.1038/s41598-017-08239-9

Α



Figure 4. Hir1-affected genes harbor above-average intergenic upstream regions. (**A**) Intergenic upstream regions were extracted from the *C. albicans* genome assembly 22 and length distribution of those elements is displayed for all genes detected in the RNA-seq (all genes), for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells during yeast growth (hir1-y vs WT-y) and upon hyphal induction (hir1-h vs WT-h). Boxplot whiskers represent the 5–95 percentiles and the dotted line the median upstream intergenic length in "all genes". Statistical analysis was carried out using Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post hoc test. ***P < 0.001. (**B**) The "all genes" data set was split into quartiles based on the overall upstream intergenic length distribution. The relative gene number and the proportions (%) of DEGs in hir1-y vs WT-y and hir1-h vs WT-h in each quartile are displayed. (**A**,**B**) Differentially expressed genes were defined by an at least 1.5-fold change with an FDR value < 0.05.

several putative binding sites for transcription factors implicated in hyphal formation, including Efg1, Brg1 and Nrg1^{17, 59, 61} (Fig. 5D). Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis of histone H3 density at the *HWP1* promoter in close proximity to the start codon and near a putative Nrg1 binding site showed a significantly increased histone density in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ yeast cells when compared to WT yeast cells (Fig. 5A, yeast and Fig. 5D). When cells were subjected to hyphal-inducing conditions, histone levels significantly decreased in the WT, reflecting the onset of *HWP1* transcription. In contrast, histone density was only slightly affected in the mutant (Fig. 5A, hyphae). A similar behavior was observed upstream in the *HWP1* promoter region about 1.25 kb upstream from the translational start site (Fig. 5B, yeast and hyphae). This *HWP1* promoter element has been described as crucial region activating transcription⁶¹, since it harbors putative binding sites for hyphal activators, including Brg1 and Efg1, as well as for the Nrg1 repressor (Fig. 5D). Based on these results, we hypothesized that altered histone occupancy in the *HWP1* promoter affects the induction rate of transcription of HSGs such as *HWP1*. Indeed, the maximal amplitude of *HWP1* expression was altered in *hir1* Δ/Δ cells, while the overall pattern *per se* was qualitatively similar to WT cells (Fig. 5C).

The UME6 gene encodes a transcription factor that sustains hyphal induction^{60, 62}. Ume6, unlike Hwp1, is essential for hyphal maintenance^{63, 64}. Interestingly, the RNA-seq data showed that UME6 is significantly downregulated in $hir_1\Delta/\Delta$ hyphal cells when compared to the WT. This was confirmed by quantifying UME6 mRNA levels in the WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells upon hyphal induction for 2 hours (Fig. 5E). Like HWP1, UME6 expression followed the same kinetics in WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells. However, *UME6* transcript induction rate remained lower in *hir1* Δ/Δ cells (Fig. 5E). We then analyzed the histone density of the *UME6* promoter at around 5.3 kb upstream of the start codon. This region harbors a potential binding site for Brg160, Efg1 and Tec1 (Fig. 5H). As seen for the HWP1 promoter, loss of HIR1 increased histone occupancy at the UME6 promoter site in the yeast phase (Fig. 5F, yeast). Upon hyphal induction, WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells decreased histone enrichment, whereas $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ hyphal cells retained a significantly higher histone density than the WT (Fig. 5F, hyphae). Inspection of the UME6 promoter around 4.6 kb upstream of the start codon showed a similar pattern albeit histone density was increased significantly only after hyphal induction in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells (Fig. 5G). This region contains putative binding sites for the Nrg1 repressor, as well as for Brg1 (Fig. 5H). Taken together, these results indicate that the HIR chaperone complex affects the local chromatin density in HSGs already during yeast phase growth, which might impact the recruitment of transcriptional regulators to their target promoters⁶⁵. We identified several putative regulators involved in the control of genes differentially expressed only in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ yeast or hyphal cells and of genes deregulated in both growth phases (Figure S6A). Thereby, six regulators (Ndt80, Tec1, Sfl2, Fkh2, Mrr1 and Tye7) were associated with all three gene sets. Strikingly, four of these factors, Ndt80, Tec1, Sfl2 and Fkh2, are known



Figure 5. Hir1 affects the chromatin density in the HWP1 and UME6 promoter. (A,B) Loss of HIR1 increases the histone density in the HWP1 promoter. Histone density was measured using histone H3 ChIP and qPCR at different HWP1 promoter regions. (C) HWP1 expression is reduced in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells. WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells were grown in YPD with 10% FCS at 37 °C. Cultures were collected at the indicated time points followed by RNA extraction. Gene expression of HWP1 was measured via RT-qPCR and transcript levels were normalized to the reference gene *RIP1*. (**D**) Representative illustration of transcription factor binding sites in the *HWP1* upstream intergenic sequence. Efg1 (yellow) and Nrg1 (red) binding motifs were taken from ref. 59 and Tec1 (green) and Brg1 (blue) from ref. 13. Note that no putative Tec1 binding site was identified in our in silico scan. (E) The same as in (C), but for UME6. (F,G) Genetic removal of HIR1 alters histone occupancy at distinct UME6 promoter regions. Histone density was measured using histone H3 ChIP and qPCR at different UME6 promoter regions. (H) Putative transcription factor binding sites for the upstream intergenic region of UME6 are represented as in (D). Transcription factor sites with asterisk (*) indicate multiple sites for a given regulator within less than 100 bp. (I) *HIR1*-deficient cells require stronger signal intensity to initiate hyphal formation. WT and $hir_1\Delta/\Delta$ cells were spotted on YPD supplemented with a continuous GlcNAc concentration gradient of 0-10 mM. Colony morphology was inspected after growth for 3 days at 37 °C. (A,B,F,G) The qPCR signals from Input and IP were normalized to an intergenic region on chromosome R. The ratio of normalized Input/IP values is shown on the y-axis labeled as "H3 density". (A-C,E-G): Data are presented as mean + SD of three independent experiments. For significance testing, $hir I\Delta/\Delta$ cells were compared to WT cells. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 with Student's t-test.

regulators of the yeast-to-hyphae transition^{13, 66, 67}. Of note, *TEC1* and *SFL2* are downregulated upon the loss of *HIR1* (Figure S5A,B) both in the yeast and hyphal growth phase.

In addition to *HIR1*-affected genes such as *UME6* and *HWP1*, we also assessed histone occupancy in *NRG1* and *CAT1* promoter elements. The transcriptional regulation of these genes did not require Hir1 (as shown in Figures S2 and S3C). We found slightly increased histone levels in the *NRG1* and *CAT1* promoter only upon hyphal induction in *HIR1*-deficient cells (Figure S6B,C), which could be a consequence of general chromatin alterations in the *HIR1* mutant that might not necessarily affect transcription.

Due to increased basal histone densities at the *HWP1*, *UME6* and possibly other gene promoters, the threshold sensitivity to signals required for switching to the hyphal growth phase could be increased in *HIR1*-deficient cells. To test this notion, we spotted WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells onto YPD plates containing a GlcNAc concentration gradient as the main filamentation trigger (Fig. 51). Indeed, the WT was dramatically more sensitive to morphogenetic signals along the entire concentration range of GlcNAc. In contrast, $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells required much higher GlcNAc concentrations to initiate hyphal growth. This result provides evidence that, *HIR1* deficiency alters the responsiveness to hyphal growth signals.

Ectopic expression of UME6 restores hyphal formation in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells. Hyphal induction entails transcriptional activation of circuits acting at different layers. For example, Efg1 is one of the first transcription factors to integrate primary signals, which are transduced to another set of regulators required to maintain HSG expression and hence, filamentation^{3, 9, 46}. One of the key downstream regulators is Ume6, which suppresses defective hyphal formation of $efg1\Delta/\Delta$ cells^{68, 69}. Our expression and ChIP analysis showed that UME6 expression was compromised upon genetic removal of HIR1. Given that Ume6 is indispensable for sustaining hyphal programs, we investigated whether ectopic overexpression of UME6 can rescue defective $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ hyphal formation. Therefore, we used the conditional tet-ON system⁷⁰, where UME6 expression is under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter, allowing for UME6 transcription by adding tetracycline. The tet-ON system had no effect on hyphal formation of WT or $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells without tetracycline (Fig. 6A, upper panel). Tetracycline addition increased hyphal formation in WT cells when compared to the respective background control strain on serum-containing YPD at 37 °C (Fig. 6A, lower panel). Strikingly, hyphal induction of the tet-UME6 expressing $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells was fully restored upon tetracycline supplementation. To determine how sensitive $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells are to transcriptional induction of UME6, we cultivated WT and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells in the presence of different tetracycline concentrations to modulate UME6 levels. Remarkably, WT cells responded to 5 µg/ml tetracycline and $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells required at least a four-fold higher concentration of tetracycline (20 µg/ml) for triggering hyphal induction (Fig. 6B).

In summary, ectopic overexpression of Ume6 rescued filamentation defects of $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells, suggesting that Hir1 acts at the primary transcriptional valve or molecular clutch, possibly acting in concert with Efg1 upstream of Ume6. These data provide compelling evidence for a tightly interlocked dual-layer regulatory mechanism, which involves both dedicated transcription factors and chromatin architecture to control developmental changes such as morphogenetic cell fate decisions in response to environmental stimuli.

Discussion

Here, we demonstrate a genetic link between replication-independent chromatin assembly and the transcriptional control of morphogenesis in the human fungal pathogen *C. albicans*. We show that Hir1, a subunit of the conserved HIR histone chaperone complex, affects transcriptional amplitudes during the yeast-to-hyphae transition, as activator and repressor of gene expression. The HIR complex is an evolutionary conserved complex initially discovered as a cell cycle-dependent repressor for 6 out of the 8 core histone genes in *S. cerevisiae*^{34,71}. In multicellular organisms, HIR orthologues are implicated in embryonic as well as plant development^{72–74}. In *S. cerevisiae*, bulk chromatin alterations that affect heterochromatin maintenance, chromosome segregation and DNA damage sensitivity have only been observed in combinatorial deletions of *HIR1* and the CAF-1 complex subunit *CAC2*^{75,76}. Recently, the conservation of these overlapping functions has been revealed in *C. albicans*. It was further demonstrated that Hir1 only affects the morphogenetic opaque to white cell switching upon deletion of the CAF-1 complex subunit *CAC2*²⁹. We previously demonstrated a CAF-1-independent role of Hir1 in antifungal azole tolerance³⁰. Here, we explore further novel functions of Hir1 in fungal morphogenesis, which are independent of CAF-1. We reveal a plausible mechanism for HIR-mediated expression control of genes required for cell fate decisions during morphogenetic alterations in *C. albicans*.

The ability to undergo and regulate hyphal formation has been viewed as an important virulence factor of C. albicans^{10,77}. The main pathway integrating environmental stimuli to drive HSG transcription is the cAMP/ PKA pathway, which is, among many other functions, essential for hyphal growth^{54, 55, 78}. To translate environmental stimuli into cell-fate changes, this pathway induces a hyphal-specific transcriptional program by activating Efg1 thereby relieving repression by Nrg19, 56, 79. Additionally, earlier reports demonstrated that sustained hyphal growth requires the concerted action of transcriptional activators such as Brg1 and Ume6, the full relief of Nrg1-mediated repression, but also chromatin-associated activities^{16, 17}. Thus, at least two regulatory mechanisms execute the yeast-to-hyphae transition, namely hyphal initiation and its maintenance as long as the appropriate signals are present¹⁶. Our data suggest that Hir1 is involved in the first transcriptional wave, acting downstream of cAMP/PKA signaling. First, although defective in hyphal formation, $hir_1\Delta/\Delta$ cells maintain normal cAMP/ PKA signaling, since exogenous supplementation of cAMP fails to restore hyphal growth of $hir_1\Delta/\Delta$ mutants. Moreover, the PKA subunit Tpk2 and the adenylate cyclase Cyr1 are required for transcriptional downregulation of Nrg1⁵⁶, which is not affected by the loss of *HIR1* following hyphal stimuli. Second, ectopic expression of UME6, which is required for hyphal elongation and constitutes a key element of the second transcriptional phase to maintain the morphological stage, can rescue the filamentation defect of HIR1-deficient cells, as well as of the $efg1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant⁶⁸. Interestingly, $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells hyperfilament under embedded conditions, which closely



Figure 6. Ectopic expression of *UME6* restores hyphal formation in the absence of *HIR1*. (**A**,**B**) The *UME6* gene was placed under conditional expression control using the tet-ON system⁷⁰. Expression was triggered by adding 50 µg/ml tetracycline (**A**) or various concentrations of tetracycline (**B**). Colony morphology was assessed after growth for 2 days at 37 °C. Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm.

resembles the lack of EFG1 and $CYR1^{80}$. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the action of Hir1 is linked to Efg1-mediated hyphal initiation.

Hir1 is part of a histone chaperone complex, which incorporates histones onto chromatin in a replication-independent manner³². Hence, the chromatin architecture at gene promoters could be specifically affected upon the loss of *HIR1*. Here, we show that $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells have increased histone levels already during the yeast growth phase at distinct promoter elements of the HSGs HWP1 and UME6. Moreover, both genes displayed elevated histone densities at relevant promoter regions in the $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant in response to hyphal induction, which is reflected in decreased transcript abundance. At this point, we do not know whether increased histone occupancy at these promoters is the cause or the consequence of reduced transcriptional induction of UME6 or HWP1. Moreover, $hir_1\Delta/\Delta$ hyphal cells showed slightly increased histone densities at promoter regions of NRG1 and CAT1, for which transcriptional control is unaffected by HIR1-deletion. Elevated histone levels in those regions only occurred in response to hyphal induction. Since the HIR complex is a transcriptional repressor of histone genes, we cannot entirely exclude subtle effects of HIR1-deficiency on the global chromatin architecture. Given that these effects exist, they most likely do not influence bulk gene transcription, as otherwise expression of the entire genome would become deregulated in $hir_1\Delta/\Delta$ cells, which was clearly not the case. Strikingly, in contrast to constitutively expressed genes, highly regulated genes differ in their promoter constellation and often harbor multiple transcription factor binding sites. Hence, they are more likely to be influenced by subtle changes in nucleosome pattern in promoters rather than by the total nucleosome occupancy^{81, 82}. Therefore it is plausible that the deregulation of chromatin architecture upon loss of HIR1 may primarily affect genes with complex regulation. Due to the limited number of loci for which we could inspect nucleosome occupancy, conclusions about a global impact of the HIR complex on chromatin organization are speculative at this point. Thus, further experiments are required to unravel a possible role for the HIR complex in chromatin homeostasis in *C. albicans*. However, *in silico* analysis of intergenic upstream regions from Hir1-dependent genes during yeast or hyphal growth showed that those regions are surpassingly long compared to the median length in the *C. albicans* genome. Genes implicated in developmental changes such as HSGs often harbor long 5 prime intergenic regions with multiple binding sites for transcriptional regulators, which imply complex transcriptional control, including chromatin remodeling^{57, 59}. Hence, it is tempting to speculate that transcription of HSGs, which are regulated by long promoter regions, are more likely to be subject to transcriptional de-regulation when chromatin homeostasis is disturbed. This potentially impacts early transcriptional fine-tuning following hyphal induction stimuli. Defective transcriptional amplitudes can then be compensated by enhancing upstream signaling intensity for hyphal induction. Thus, the interplay of chromatin state and transcriptional control could provide a platform to efficiently adjust the sensitivity towards stimulating cues, and thus, modulate transcriptional threshold levels required for developmental changes or environmental responses.

Here we show that although $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells induce the hyphal-specific transcriptional program in response to hyphal triggers, efficient hyphal formation is impaired due to altered amplitudes of this response. Indeed, we demonstrate that *HIR1*-deficient cells are less sensitive to hyphal-inducing conditions and that this defect can be compensated by stronger trigger for hyphal development or by ectopic overexpression of the *UME6* transcription factor for hyphal maintenance. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that Hir1 affects initial fine-tuning of transcription by assisting in homeostasis of the chromatin template and thereby safe-guarding the transition from the yeast to the hyphal growth phase. A similar mechanism has been shown for the mammalian homologue of Hir1, HIRA, which deposits the histone variant H3.3 preferentially at gene promoters⁸³. In a mouse oogenesis model, HIRA is required for normal chromatin homeostasis and transcriptional fine-tuning. Similarly, binding of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) to developmental genes upon differentiation stimuli depends on HIRA-mediated H3.3 deposition in mouse embryonic stem cells⁸⁴.

Hir1/HIRA may be recruited via different components, including transcription factors^{84, 85}, RNA Polymerase II and potentially even naked DNA⁸⁶. We identified six transcription factors (Ndt80, Tec1, Sfl2, Fkh2, Mrr1 and Tye7) with target genes differentially regulated only in $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ yeast or hyphal cells and also genes that are differentially expressed in both growth phases. Interestingly, Ndt80, Tec1, Sfl2 and Fkh2 are implicated in hyphal formation and *TEC1* and *SFL2* expression seems to depend on Hir1 action, since both genes are downregulated upon *HIR1*-deletion. Further experiments are still required in order to reveal to what extent HIR modulates the affinity of transcription factors or the general transcription machinery to HSGs. Since only half of all differentially expressed genes in the $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ mutant are altered in a hyphal-specific context, it will be of great interest to investigate how Hir1 affects the chromatin architecture and to unravel the precise mechanism of Hir1 recruitment to target genes in *C. albicans*. Of note, we were unable to perform ChIP experiments with the Hir1-myc tagged strain to show a direct interaction of Hir1 with HSG promoters. However, this could be explained by transient nature of interactions, insufficient cross-linking of Hir1 and target DNA caused by a possible as yet unknown intermediate regulatory factor recruiting Hir1, as well as by high off rates of regulators.

Taken together, our study provides evidence for the necessity of the tight control of gene expression amplitudes upon encounter of changing environmental conditions exemplified here with the *C. albicans* yeast-to-hyphae transition. The HIR complex seems to contribute to the regulation of the initial phase of hyphal formation, where it might control signal sensitivity by acting as a valve or clutch that controls transcriptional loads via chromatin homeostasis. This way, the HIR complex could assist in the swift execution of responses to stimuli, and in the case of *C. albicans*, ascertains rapid adaptions to changing host environments. Importantly, the replication-independent nature of HIR function offers a major advantage for fungal cells, since it enables adaptive changes in gene expression without involving excessive and energy-intensive replication or cell cycle control. Hence, further exploring the general relevance of HIR-dependent transcriptional regulation will provide exciting new insights into *C. albicans* pathophysiology and host adaption as well as fungal virulence.

Materials and Methods

Media, chemicals and growth conditions, colony morphology and microscopy. Rich medium (YPD) was prepared as described previously⁸⁷. Spider medium contained 1% Bacto yeast extract (BD Biosciences, Vienna, Austria), 1% mannitol (Merck, Vienna, Austria), 0.2% KH2PO4, 2% histidine, 2% leucine (all Sigma-Aldrich, Vienna, Austria) and 2% Bacto agar (BD Biosciences) for solid medium. *C. albicans* strains were routinely grown on YPD at 30 °C. For hyphal induction, a fresh saturated overnight culture was harvested, washed once with distilled water, resuspended in an equal volume corresponding to the starting overnight culture and diluted 1:10 in pre-warmed fresh YPD containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; serum)³⁹. These cultures were then further grown at 37 °C for the indicated periods of time. Cultures were either used directly for further analysis or snap-frozen at -80 °C.

To investigate hyphal induction on solid medium, overnight cultures were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 in fresh YPD and then propagated to the logarithmic growth phase. Cells were counted on a CASY[®] cell counter and approximately 50 (10 cm dishes) or 10 (6 cm dishes) cells were plated on YPD agar plates supplemented with FCS, N-acetyl glucosamine (GlcNAc), 3',5'-Cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) (all Sigma-Aldrich) or Spider medium.

Colony morphology and microscopic inspection of single cells was performed as described previously²². For quantification of the yeast and hyphal fraction of a population, at least 200 cells were counted.

Plasmid and strain constructions. The *C. albicans* strains, primers and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1. All *C. albicans* strains were derived from the *MTL* \mathbf{a}/α clinical isolate SC5314⁸⁸. The strains lacking *HIR1*, *CDC35*, *CAC2*, *RTT106*, *CPH1* and *EFG1* have been reported earlier^{22, 30, 89}.

The *HPC2* and *HIR3*-deficient mutants were generated using a modified *SAT1*-flipper technique⁹⁰. Briefly, approximately 500 bp up- and downstream sequences of the target gene ORF were PCR amplified and cloned into the plasmid pSFS3b using ApaI, KpnI and BgIII, NotI, respectively. Deletion of *NRG1* was done in a similar way using the plasmid pSFS2a⁹¹. The plasmid for *HIR2* deletion was constructed by fusing approximately 500 bp up- and downstream region of the target gene, the FLP-FRT-*NAT1*-FRT marker from pSFS3b and the pSFS3b backbone by an *in vivo* cloning approach in *E. coli* EL350 as described in ref. 92. Ectopic overexpression of *UME6* was accomplished by the tet-ON system using the pNIM1 plasmid described earlier⁷⁰. Gene-complemented strains were generated by cloning the *HIR1* ORF with ApaI and KpnI upstream of the FLP recombinase and *NAT1* into pSFS3b. 9myc epitope-tagging of *HIR1* and *NRG1* alleles was carried out by fusion PCR⁹³ using plasmid pFA6a-9myc-*NAT1* as a template. Correct genomic integration of the deletion cassette and re-integration constructs was verified with colony PCR, Southern Blot (for *HIR1* knock-out, 9myc-tagged and complementation strain only) and/or immunoblotting (for epitope-tagged strains only).

Immunoblotting, immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis. Whole-cell-free extracts and immunoblotting was performed exactly as described earlier⁹⁰. For detection of Nrg1-9myc, a mouse monoclonal anti-myc antibody (clone 4A6, MFPL monoclonal antibody facility, Vienna, Austria) was used. An anti-PSTAIRE antibody recognizing Cdc28 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was used as a protein loading control. Protein bands on the nitrocellulose membrane were visualized using an Odysee[®] CLx scanner (Li-Cor[®], Lincoln, NE). Cells were prepared for immunoprecipitation exactly as described previously⁹⁰. Whole-cell extracts corresponding to 50 OD₆₀₀ units were incubated with 4µl of the monoclonal anti-myc antibody. After an overnight incubation at 4°C, 30µl of Protein-G-coupled Dynabeads (Invitrogen, Vienna, Austria) were added for 2 hours at 4 °C. Subsequent washing steps were performed as described for the ChIP experiments, except that PBS instead of 1xTE was used for the final washing steps. The beads were then resuspended in Laemmli buffer for SDS-PAGE analysis. Silver staining of 10% SDS-PAGE gels was performed essentially as described elsewhere⁹⁴. To identify resolved proteins, protein bands were cut out from the silver-stained gel, digested with trypsin and separated using an LC system for subsequent mass spectrometry analysis. Mass spectrometry analysis was carried out at the mass spectrometry facility at the Vienna Biocenter. The raw spectra were matched against the *Candida albicans* genome database (http://www.candidagenome.org/).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). ChIP was performed essentially as described earlier³⁷. For histone ChIP, 1 mg cell free protein extract was incubated with 1 µl of anti-Histone H3 antibody (#1791, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). After overnight incubation at 4 °C, 30 µl of Protein-G-coupled Dynabeads (Invitrogen) were added for 2 hours at 4 °C. Subsequent washing steps and DNA purification were performed as described previously using minor modifications⁹⁵. Input and ChIP DNA were incubated with 5 µl of 10 mg/ml DNase-free RNase A at 65 °C for 16 hours and recovered using a MiniElute[®] PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Input DNA was quantified on a NanoDrop-2000 spectrophotometer (Peglab, Erlangen, Germany) and ChIP DNA concentration was measured using the Quantifluor® dsDNA System (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) in accordance to the manufacture's protocol. Histone density at the HWP1 promoter was analyzed using primers amplifying the region ranging from -243 to -106 and from -1299 to -1195 with respect to the start codon. To analyze the UME6 promoter region, primers ranging from -4534 to -4676 and from -5210 to -5371 relative to the start codon were used. For the NRG1 and CAT1 promoter, a region ranging from -1702 to -1502^{37} and -162to -306^{30} , respectively, was inspected. Input and IP qPCR signals were normalized to an intergenic region on chromosome R (RT5_tC_inter and RT3_tC_inter primers), before calculating the Input/IP ratio. The displayed "H3 density" in Figs 5A,B,F,G and S6B,C represents the enrichment over Input relative to the aforementioned intergenic region.

RNA isolation, **RT-qPCR** analysis, **RNA-seq** analysis and bioinformatics. RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qPCR analysis was performed exactly as described previously⁹⁰. Relative quantification of mRNA levels was done by qPCR using the efficiency corrected $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method⁹⁶. *RIP1* was used as a reference gene²². Statistical analysis was performed in Excel (Microsoft). DNase-treated RNA was subjected to rRNA depletion using the RiboMinusTM Eukaryote System v2 (Life Technologies, Vienna, Austria) adhering to the recommended protocol. The efficiency of rRNA depletion was checked using a Bioanalyzer with a RNA6000 Nano chip (Agilent, Vienna, Austria). Fragmentation of rRNA-depleted RNA was done using the NEBNext Magnesium RNA fragmentation module (New England BioLabs, Vienna, Austria) following the manufactures instructions. Samples were incubated at 94 °C for 4 minutes in a thermocycler. Fragmented samples were recovered using the RNeasy MiniElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen) and subjected to 1st strand synthesis using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies) and 3 µg random primers (Life Technologies, Vienna, Austria). Mini Quick Spin columns (Qiagen) were used to clean up the single-stranded cDNA, which was subsequently subjected to 2nd strand synthesis using the NEBNext mRNA Second Strand Synthesis Module (New England BioLabs) following the manual. Additionally, T4 DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs) was added for the last 5 minutes to polish overhanging ends. Double-stranded cDNA was cleaned up using a MiniElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). DNA concentration was measured using the PicoGreen[®] dsDNA quantification reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, Vienna, Austria).

Double-stranded cDNA was further processed and sequenced on a HiSeq. 2500 instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA) by the Vienna Biocenter Campus Support Facility CSF (NGS unit, http://www.csf.ac.at). Two biological replicates for the WT and the $hir1\Delta/\Delta$ cells during yeast growth or hyphal induction were subjected to RNA-seq analysis.

RNA-seq reads were mapped to the *C. albicans* genome Assembly 21 using TopHat, allowing for only uniquely mapped reads⁹⁷. All reads mapping to rRNA loci were removed. Read counts were determined with HTSeq using

the union mode⁹⁸ and a reference annotation (C_albicans_SC5314_version_A21-s02-m07-r10; http://www.candidagenome.org). Differential gene expression was analyzed using edgeR⁹⁹. P-values were adjusted to determine differentially regulated genes¹⁰⁰. Venn diagrams were created by Venny 2.0.2 (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/ venny/index.html)¹⁰¹. GO analysis was carried out with FungiFun2 (https://elbe.hki-jena.de/fungifun/fungifun. php)¹⁰². The whole dataset of detected protein-coding genes and their expression can be found in Table S2.

In silico upstream intergenic sequence analysis. Sequence information for all *C. albicans* ORFs plus intergenic up- and downstream sequences were retrieved from the *Candida* genome database (CGD; http://www.candidagenome.org; C_albicans_SC5314_version_current_orf_plus_intergenic.fasta accessed on April 24th, 2017). Putative transcriptional regulators of differentially expressed genes in *HIR1*-deficient yeast and hyphal cells were identified using the PathoYeastract Database "Rank by TF" tool, allowing only for hits with verified target binding and expression data¹⁰³.

Data availability. The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restrictions. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

References

- 1. Ho, L. & Crabtree, G. R. Chromatin remodelling during development. Nature 463, 474-484, doi:10.1038/nature08911 (2010).
- Boskovic, A. et al. Higher chromatin mobility supports totipotency and precedes pluripotency in vivo. Genes & development 28, 1042–1047, doi:10.1101/gad.238881.114 (2014).
- 3. Lu, Y., Su, C. & Liu, H. Candida albicans hyphal initiation and elongation. *Trends in microbiology* 22, 707-714, doi:10.1016/j. tim.2014.09.001 (2014).
- Koh, A. Y., Kohler, J. R., Coggshall, K. T., Van Rooijen, N. & Pier, G. B. Mucosal damage and neutropenia are required for Candida albicans dissemination. *PLoS pathogens* 4, e35, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0040035 (2008).
- Yan, L., Yang, C. & Tang, J. Disruption of the intestinal mucosal barrier in Candida albicans infections. *Microbiological research* 168, 389–395, doi:10.1016/j.micres.2013.02.008 (2013).
- Barelle, C. J. et al. Niche-specific regulation of central metabolic pathways in a fungal pathogen. Cellular microbiology 8, 961–971, doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00676.x (2006).
- 7. Brown, G. D. *et al.* Hidden killers: human fungal infections. *Science translational medicine* **4**, 165rv113, doi:10.1126/ scitranslmed.3004404 (2012).
- Gow, N. A., van de Veerdonk, F. L., Brown, A. J. & Netea, M. G. Candida albicans morphogenesis and host defence: discriminating invasion from colonization. *Nature reviews. Microbiology* 10, 112–122, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2711 (2012).
- 9. Sudbery, P. E. Growth of Candida albicans hyphae. Nature reviews. Microbiology 9, 737-748, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2636 (2011).
- Carlisle, P. L. et al. Expression levels of a filament-specific transcriptional regulator are sufficient to determine Candida albicans morphology and virulence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106, 599–604, doi:10.1073/pnas.0804061106 (2009).
- 11. Brown, A. J. & Gow, N. A. Regulatory networks controlling Candida albicans morphogenesis. *Trends in microbiology* 7, 333–338 (1999).
- Enjalbert, B. & Whiteway, M. Release from quorum-sensing molecules triggers hyphal formation during Candida albicans resumption of growth. *Eukaryotic cell* 4, 1203–1210, doi:10.1128/EC.4.7.1203-1210.2005 (2005).
- Nobile, C. J. et al. A recently evolved transcriptional network controls biofilm development in Candida albicans. Cell 148, 126–138, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.048 (2012).
- Shapiro, R. S., Robbins, N. & Cowen, L. E. Regulatory circuitry governing fungal development, drug resistance, and disease. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews: MMBR 75, 213–267, doi:10.1128/MMBR.00045-10 (2011).
- Stoldt, V. R., Sonneborn, A., Leuker, C. E. & Ernst, J. F. Efg1p, an essential regulator of morphogenesis of the human pathogen Candida albicans, is a member of a conserved class of bHLH proteins regulating morphogenetic processes in fungi. *The EMBO journal* 16, 1982–1991, doi:10.1093/emboj/16.8.1982 (1997).
- Lu, Y., Su, C., Wang, A. & Liu, H. Hyphal development in Candida albicans requires two temporally linked changes in promoter chromatin for initiation and maintenance. *PLoS biology* 9, e1001105, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001105 (2011).
- 17. Lu, Y., Su, C. & Liu, H. A GATA transcription factor recruits Hda1 in response to reduced Tor1 signaling to establish a hyphal chromatin state in Candida albicans. *PLoS pathogens* 8, e1002663, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002663 (2012).
- Wang, X., Chang, P., Ding, J. & Chen, J. Distinct and redundant roles of the two MYST histone acetyltransferases Esa1 and Sas2 in cell growth and morphogenesis of Candida albicans. *Eukaryotic cell* 12, 438–449, doi:10.1128/EC.00275-12 (2013).
- Lee, J. E. et al. Ssn6 has dual roles in Candida albicans filament development through the interaction with Rpd31. FEBS letters 589, 513–520, doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2015.01.011 (2015).
- Wurtele, H. *et al.* Modulation of histone H3 lysine 56 acetylation as an antifungal therapeutic strategy. *Nature medicine* 16, 774–780, doi:10.1038/nm.2175 (2010).
- Lu, Y. et al. Efg1-mediated recruitment of NuA4 to promoters is required for hypha-specific Swi/Snf binding and activation in Candida albicans. Molecular biology of the cell 19, 4260–4272, doi:10.1091/mbc.E08-02-0173 (2008).
- Hnisz, D., Majer, O., Frohner, I. E., Komnenovic, V. & Kuchler, K. The Set3/Hos2 histone deacetylase complex attenuates cAMP/ PKA signaling to regulate morphogenesis and virulence of Candida albicans. *PLoS pathogens* 6, e1000889, doi:10.1371/journal. ppat.1000889 (2010).
- Kim, H. J., Seol, J. H., Han, J. W., Youn, H. D. & Cho, E. J. Histone chaperones regulate histone exchange during transcription. *The EMBO journal* 26, 4467–4474, doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601870 (2007).
- Hammond, C. M., Stromme, C. B., Huang, H., Patel, D. J. & Groth, A. Histone chaperone networks shaping chromatin function. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology, doi:10.1038/nrm.2016.159 (2017).
- Campos, E. I. et al. The program for processing newly synthesized histones H3.1 and H4. Nature structural & molecular biology 17, 1343–1351, doi:10.1038/nsmb.1911 (2010).
- Han, J., Zhou, H., Li, Z., Xu, R. M. & Zhang, Z. Acetylation of lysine 56 of histone H3 catalyzed by RTT109 and regulated by ASF1 is required for replisome integrity. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 282, 28587–28596, doi:10.1074/jbc.M702496200 (2007).
- Campos, E. I. & Reinberg, D. New chaps in the histone chaperone arena. Genes & development 24, 1334–1338, doi:10.1101/gad.1946810 (2010).
- Verreault, A., Kaufman, P. D., Kobayashi, R. & Stillman, B. Nucleosome assembly by a complex of CAF-1 and acetylated histones H3/H4. Cell 87, 95–104 (1996).
- Stevenson, J. S. & Liu, H. Nucleosome assembly factors CAF-1 and HIR modulate epigenetic switching frequencies in an H3K56 acetylation-associated manner in Candida albicans. *Eukaryotic cell* 12, 591–603, doi:10.1128/EC.00334-12 (2013).
- Tscherner, M. *et al.* The Candida albicans Histone Acetyltransferase Hat1 Regulates Stress Resistance and Virulence via Distinct Chromatin Assembly Pathways. *PLoS pathogens* 11, e1005218, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005218 (2015).

- Silva, A. C. et al. The replication-independent histone H3-H4 chaperones HIR, ASF1, and RTT106 co-operate to maintain promoter fidelity. The Journal of biological chemistry 287, 1709–1718, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.316489 (2012).
- Amin, A. D., Vishnoi, N. & Prochasson, P. A global requirement for the HIR complex in the assembly of chromatin. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1819, 264–276 (2013).
- Green, E. M. et al. Replication-independent histone deposition by the HIR complex and Asf1. Current biology: CB 15, 2044–2049, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.10.053 (2005).
- Spector, M. S., Raff, A., DeSilva, H., Lee, K. & Osley, M. A. Hir1p and Hir2p function as transcriptional corepressors to regulate histone gene transcription in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cell cycle. *Molecular and cellular biology* 17, 545–552 (1997).
- Vishnoi, N. *et al.* Separation-of-function mutation in HPC2, a member of the HIR complex in S. cerevisiae, results in derepression of the histone genes but does not confer cryptic TATA phenotypes. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1809, 557–566, doi:10.1016/j. bbagrm.2011.07.004 (2011).
- Fillingham, J. et al. Two-color cell array screen reveals interdependent roles for histone chaperones and a chromatin boundary regulator in histone gene repression. *Molecular cell* 35, 340–351, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2009.06.023 (2009).
- Hnisz, D. et al. A histone deacetylase adjusts transcription kinetics at coding sequences during Candida albicans morphogenesis. PLoS genetics 8, e1003118, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003118 (2012).
- Kim, J., Lee, J. E. & Lee, J. S. Histone deacetylase-mediated morphological transition in Candida albicans. *Journal of microbiology* 53, 805–811, doi:10.1007/s12275-015-5488-3 (2015).
- Kadosh, D. & Johnson, A. D. Induction of the Candida albicans filamentous growth program by relief of transcriptional repression: a genome-wide analysis. *Molecular biology of the cell* 16, 2903–2912, doi:10.1091/mbc.E05-01-0073 (2005).
- Nantel, A. et al. Transcription profiling of Candida albicans cells undergoing the yeast-to-hyphal transition. Molecular biology of the cell 13, 3452–3465, doi:10.1091/mbc.E02-05-0272 (2002).
- Granger, B. L., Flenniken, M. L., Davis, D. A., Mitchell, A. P. & Cutler, J. E. Yeast wall protein 1 of Candida albicans. *Microbiology* 151, 1631–1644, doi:10.1099/mic.0.27663-0 (2005).
- Wysong, D. R., Christin, L., Sugar, A. M., Robbins, P. W. & Diamond, R. D. Cloning and sequencing of a Candida albicans catalase gene and effects of disruption of this gene. *Infection and immunity* 66, 1953–1961 (1998).
- Burgess, R. J. & Zhang, Z. Histone chaperones in nucleosome assembly and human disease. *Nature structural & molecular biology* 20, 14–22, doi:10.1038/nsmb.2461 (2013).
- Huang, S., Zhou, H., Tarara, J. & Zhang, Z. A novel role for histone chaperones CAF-1 and Rtt106p in heterochromatin silencing. *The EMBO journal* 26, 2274–2283, doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601670 (2007).
- Ferreira, M. E., Flaherty, K. & Prochasson, P. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae histone chaperone Rtt106 mediates the cell cycle recruitment of SWI/SNF and RSC to the HIR-dependent histone genes. *PloS one* 6, e21113, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021113 (2011).
- Biswas, S., Van Dijck, P. & Datta, A. Environmental sensing and signal transduction pathways regulating morphopathogenic determinants of Candida albicans. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews: MMBR* 71, 348–376, doi:10.1128/MMBR.00009-06 (2007).
- Braun, B. R. & Johnson, A. D. TUP1, CPH1 and EFG1 make independent contributions to filamentation in candida albicans. Genetics 155, 57–67 (2000).
- Amin, A. D. et al. The mitotic Clb cyclins are required to alleviate HIR-mediated repression of the yeast histone genes at the G1/S transition. Biochimica et biophysica acta 1819, 16–27, doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.09.003 (2012).
- Braun, B. R., Kadosh, D. & Johnson, A. D. NRG1, a repressor of filamentous growth in C.albicans, is down-regulated during filament induction. *The EMBO journal* 20, 4753–4761, doi:10.1093/emboj/20.17.4753 (2001).
- Liu, H., Kohler, J. & Fink, G. R. Suppression of hyphal formation in Candida albicans by mutation of a STE12 homolog. Science 266, 1723–1726 (1994).
- 51. Doedt, T. et al. APSES proteins regulate morphogenesis and metabolism in Candida albicans. Molecular biology of the cell 15, 3167–3180, doi:10.1091/10.1091/mbc.E03-11-0782 (2004).
- 52. Giusani, A. D. & Vinces, M. & Kumamoto, C. A. Invasive filamentous growth of Candida albicans is promoted by Czf1p-dependent relief of Efg1p-mediated repression. *Genetics* **160**, 1749–1753 (2002).
- Langford, M. L. et al. Candida albicans Czf1 and Efg1 coordinate the response to farnesol during quorum sensing, white-opaque thermal dimorphism, and cell death. Eukaryotic cell 12, 1281–1292, doi:10.1128/EC.00311-12 (2013).
- 54. Rocha, C. R. *et al.* Signaling through adenylyl cyclase is essential for hyphal growth and virulence in the pathogenic fungus Candida albicans. *Molecular biology of the cell* **12**, 3631–3643 (2001).
- Davis-Hanna, A., Piispanen, A. E., Stateva, L. I. & Hogan, D. A. Farnesol and dodecanol effects on the Candida albicans Ras1-cAMP signalling pathway and the regulation of morphogenesis. *Molecular microbiology* 67, 47–62, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06013.x (2008).
- Lu, Y., Su, C., Unoje, O. & Liu, H. Quorum sensing controls hyphal initiation in Candida albicans through Ubr1-mediated protein degradation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111, 1975–1980, doi:10.1073/ pnas.1318690111 (2014).
- Argimon, S. et al. Developmental regulation of an adhesin gene during cellular morphogenesis in the fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Eukaryotic cell 6, 682–692, doi:10.1128/EC.00340-06 (2007).
- Rupp, S., Summers, E., Lo, H. J., Madhani, H. & Fink, G. MAP kinase and cAMP filamentation signaling pathways converge on the unusually large promoter of the yeast FLO11 gene. *The EMBO journal* 18, 1257–1269, doi:10.1093/emboj/18.5.1257 (1999).
- Martin, R. et al. A core filamentation response network in Candida albicans is restricted to eight genes. PloS one 8, e58613, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058613 (2013).
- Childers, D. S. & Kadosh, D. Filament condition-specific response elements control the expression of NRG1 and UME6, key transcriptional regulators of morphology and virulence in Candida albicans. *PloS one* 10, e0122775, doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0122775 (2015).
- Kim, S., Wolyniak, M. J., Staab, J. F. & Sundstrom, P. A 368-base-pair cis-acting HWP1 promoter region, HCR, of Candida albicans confers hypha-specific gene regulation and binds architectural transcription factors Nhp6 and Gcf1p. *Eukaryotic cell* 6, 693–709, doi:10.1128/EC.00341-06 (2007).
- Childers, D. S., Mundodi, V., Banerjee, M. & Kadosh, D. A 5' UTR-mediated translational efficiency mechanism inhibits the Candida albicans morphological transition. *Molecular microbiology* 92, 570–585, doi:10.1111/mmi.12576 (2014).
- Banerjee, M. et al. UME6, a novel filament-specific regulator of Candida albicans hyphal extension and virulence. Molecular biology of the cell 19, 1354–1365, doi:10.1091/mbc.E07-11-1110 (2008).
- Žeidler, U. *et al.* UME6 is a crucial downstream target of other transcriptional regulators of true hyphal development in Candida albicans. *FEMS yeast research* 9, 126–142, doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00459.x (2009).
- Radman-Livaja, M. & Rando, O. J. Nucleosome positioning: how is it established, and why does it matter? *Developmental biology* 339, 258–266, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.06.012 (2010).
- Znaidi, S., Nesseir, A., Chauvel, M., Rossignol, T. & d'Enfert, C. A comprehensive functional portrait of two heat shock factor-type transcriptional regulators involved in Candida albicans morphogenesis and virulence. *PLoS pathogens* 9, e1003519, doi:10.1371/ journal.ppat.1003519 (2013).

- Greig, J. A. et al. Cell cycle-independent phospho-regulation of Fkh2 during hyphal growth regulates Candida albicans pathogenesis. PLoS pathogens 11, e1004630, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004630 (2015).
- Banerjee, M. *et al.* Expression of UME6, a key regulator of Candida albicans hyphal development, enhances biofilm formation via Hgc1- and Sun41-dependent mechanisms. *Eukaryotic cell* 12, 224–232, doi:10.1128/EC.00163-12 (2013).
- Lu, Y., Su, C., Solis, N. V., Filler, S. G. & Liu, H. Synergistic regulation of hyphal elongation by hypoxia, CO(2), and nutrient conditions controls the virulence of Candida albicans. *Cell host & microbe* 14, 499–509, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2013.10.008 (2013).
- Park, Y. N. & Morschhauser, J. Tetracycline-inducible gene expression and gene deletion in Candida albicans. *Eukaryotic cell* 4, 1328–1342, doi:10.1128/EC.4.8.1328-1342.2005 (2005).
- Zunder, R. M. & Rine, J. Direct interplay among histones, histone chaperones, and a chromatin boundary protein in the control of histone gene expression. *Molecular and cellular biology* 32, 4337–4349, doi:10.1128/MCB.00871-12 (2012).
- Sadasivam, D. A. & Huang, D. H. Maintenance of Tissue Pluripotency by Epigenetic Factors Acting at Multiple Levels. PLoS genetics 12, e1005897, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005897 (2016).
- Duc, C. et al. The histone chaperone complex HIR maintains nucleosome occupancy and counterbalances impaired histone deposition in CAF-1 complex mutants. The Plant journal: for cell and molecular biology 81, 707–722, doi:10.1111/tpj.12758 (2015).
- 74. Zhu, Z. *et al.* PHB Associates with the HIRA Complex to Control an Epigenetic-Metabolic Circuit in Human ESCs. *Cell stem cell*, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2016.11.002 (2016).
- Sharp, J. A., Rizki, G. & Kaufman, P. D. Regulation of histone deposition proteins Asf1/Hir1 by multiple DNA damage checkpoint kinases in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 171, 885–899, doi:10.1534/genetics.105.044719 (2005).
- Lopes da Rosa, J., Holik, J., Green, E. M., Rando, O. J. & Kaufman, P. D. Overlapping regulation of CenH3 localization and histone H3 turnover by CAF-1 and HIR proteins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 187, 9–19, doi:10.1534/genetics.110.123117 (2011).
- Mayer, F. L., Wilson, D. & Hube, B. Candida albicans pathogenicity mechanisms. *Virulence* 4, 119–128, doi:10.4161/viru.22913 (2013).
- Bahn, Y. S. & Sundstrom, P. CAP1, an adenylate cyclase-associated protein gene, regulates bud-hypha transitions, filamentous growth, and cyclic AMP levels and is required for virulence of Candida albicans. *Journal of bacteriology* 183, 3211–3223, doi:10.1128/JB.183.10.3211-3223.2001 (2001).
- Kadosh, D. Shaping up for battle: morphological control mechanisms in human fungal pathogens. *PLoS pathogens* 9, e1003795, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003795 (2013).
- Cao, F. et al. The Flo8 transcription factor is essential for hyphal development and virulence in Candida albicans. Molecular biology of the cell 17, 295–307, doi:10.1091/mbc.E05-06-0502 (2006).
- Tirosh, I. & Barkai, N. Two strategies for gene regulation by promoter nucleosomes. *Genome research* 18, 1084–1091, doi:10.1101/ gr.076059.108 (2008).
- Cairns, B. R. The logic of chromatin architecture and remodelling at promoters. *Nature* 461, 193–198, doi:10.1038/nature08450 (2009).
- Pchelintsev, N. A. et al. Placing the HIRA histone chaperone complex in the chromatin landscape. Cell reports 3, 1012–1019, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.03.026 (2013).
- Banaszynski, L. A. et al. Hira-dependent histone H3.3 deposition facilitates PRC2 recruitment at developmental loci in ES cells. Cell 155, 107–120, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.061 (2013).
- Soni, S., Pchelintsev, N., Adams, P. D. & Bieker, J. J. Transcription factor EKLF (KLF1) recruitment of the histone chaperone HIRA is essential for beta-globin gene expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 13337–13342, doi:10.1073/pnas.1405422111 (2014).
- Ray-Gallet, D. et al. HIRA is critical for a nucleosome assembly pathway independent of DNA synthesis. Molecular cell 9, 1091–1100 (2002).
- Kaiser, C., Michaelis, S. & Mitchell, A. Methods in Yeast Genetics. A Laboratory Course Manual. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1994).
- Gillum, A. M., Tsay, E. Y. & Kirsch, D. R. Isolation of the Candida albicans gene for orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase by complementation of S. cerevisiae ura3 and E. coli pyrF mutations. Molecular & general genetics: MGG 198, 179–182 (1984).
- Hnisz, D., Schwarzmuller, T. & Kuchler, K. Transcriptional loops meet chromatin: a dual-layer network controls white-opaque switching in Candida albicans. *Molecular microbiology* 74, 1–15, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06772.x (2009).
- Tscherner, M., Stappler, E., Hnisz, D. & Kuchler, K. The histone acetyltransferase Hat1 facilitates DNA damage repair and morphogenesis in Candida albicans. *Molecular microbiology* 86, 1197–1214, doi:10.1111/mmi.12051 (2012).
- 91. Reuss, O., Vik, A., Kolter, R. & Morschhauser, J. The SAT1 flipper, an optimized tool for gene disruption in Candida albicans. *Gene* 341, 119–127, doi:10.1016/j.gene.2004.06.021 (2004).
- Lee, E. C. et al. A highly efficient Escherichia coli-based chromosome engineering system adapted for recombinogenic targeting and subcloning of BAC DNA. Genomics 73, 56–65, doi:10.1006/geno.2000.6451 (2001).
- Noble, S. M., French, S., Kohn, L. A., Chen, V. & Johnson, A. D. Systematic screens of a Candida albicans homozygous deletion library decouple morphogenetic switching and pathogenicity. *Nature genetics* 42, 590–598, doi:10.1038/ng.605 (2010).
- Blum, H., Beier, H. & Gross, H. J. Improved silver staining of plant proteins, RNA and DNA in polyacrylamide gels. *Electrophor* 8, 93–99, doi:10.1002/elps.1150080203 (1987).
- Hernday, A. D., Noble, S. M., Mitrovich, Q. M. & Johnson, A. D. Genetics and molecular biology in Candida albicans. *Methods in enzymology* 470, 737–758, doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(10)70031-8 (2010).
- 96. Pfaffl, M. W. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic acids research 29, e45 (2001).
- Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. & Salzberg, S. L. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1105–1111, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120 (2009).
- Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31, 166–169, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638 (2015).
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616 (2010).
- Benjamini, Y. & Hochber, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 289–300 (1995).
- 101. Oliveros, J. C. Venny. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's diagrams. (2007-2015).
- Priebe, S., Kreisel, C., Horn, F., Guthke, R. & Linde, J. FungiFun2: a comprehensive online resource for systematic analysis of gene lists from fungal species. *Bioinformatics* 31, 445–446, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu627 (2015).
- Monteiro, P. T. et al. The PathoYeastract database: an information system for the analysis of gene and genomic transcription regulation in pathogenic yeasts. Nucleic acids research 45, D597–D603, doi:10.1093/nar/gkw817 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We thank all laboratory members for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Joachim Morschhäuser for providing tools for the tet-ON conditional expression system. This work was supported by a grant from the Austrian Science Found FWF (FWF-P25333-B22) to KK, and by NIH-R01 Grant R01AI124499 to NC and KK. MG and CJN were

supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R00AI100896 and by a Biomedical Scholar Award from the Pew Charitable Trusts. Sequence data for *C. albicans* were retrieved from the Stanford Genome Center (http://www.candidagenome.org).

Author Contributions

Wrote the manuscript: S.J. and K.K. Conceived and designed experiments: S.J., M.T., K.K. Performed experiments: S.J., M.G., C.J.N. and N.C. Analyzed data: S.J. and K.K. Contributed materials: N.C.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-08239-9

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017