SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 8 June 2017 Accepted: 30 June 2017 Published online: 1 August 2017

OPEN MiR-202-5p is a novel germ plasmspecific microRNA in zebrafish

Jing Zhang, Wei Liu, Yilin Jin, Peng Jia, Kuntong Jia & Meisheng Yi

Gametogenesis is a complicated biological process by which sperm and egg are produced for genetic transmission between generations. In many animals, the germline is segregated from the somatic lineage in early embryonic development through the specification of primordial germ cells (PGCs), the precursors of gametes for reproduction and fertility. In some species, such as fruit fly and zebrafish, PGCs are determined by the maternally provided germ plasm which contains various RNAs and proteins. Here, we identified a germ plasm/PGC-specific microRNA miR-202-5p for the first time in zebrafish. MiR-202-5p was specifically expressed in gonad. In female, it was expressed and accumulated in oocytes during oogenesis. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR and whole mount in situ hybridization results indicated that miR-202-5p exhibited a typical germ plasm /PGC-specific expression pattern throughout embryogenesis, which was consistent with that of the PGC marker vasa, indicating that miR-202-5p was a component of germ plasm and a potential PGC marker in zebrafish. Our present study might be served as a foundation for further investigating the regulative roles of miRNAs in germ plasm formation and PGC development in zebrafish and other teleost.

Primordial germ cells (PGCs), the progenitor cells of gametes, play critical roles in genetic information transmission from generation to generation¹. In most animals, PGCs segregate from somatic cells at early developmental stages and migrate to genital ridge where PGCs and gonadal somatic precursors together form primordial gonad². Germ plasm is an amorphous, non-membrane-bound, electron-dense structure comprising of abundant RNAs, proteins and organelles, which is essential for PGC development in most animals³⁻⁵. By presence or absence of maternal germ plasm, two modes of PGC specification, preformation and induction, are proposed in animals⁶. In worms, flies and zebrafish, PGC specification is dependent on the maternal germ plasm accumulated in eggs (preformation)⁷. In urodele amphibians and mammals, extracellular signals induce PGC specification during gastrulation (induction). Many components of germ plasm have been revealed to play important roles in PGC development. The RNA binding protein Vasa regulates localization of another germ plasm component nanos which mediated transcriptional repression is critical for PGC maintenance⁸. Daz, Dazl and Boule are three key factors in regulating germ cells entering meiosis^{9,10}. Dnd protects critical germ plasm mRNA from degradation in germ cells by inhibiting miR-430^{11, 12}

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are about 22 nucleotide endogenous non-coding RNAs that play important roles in posttranscriptional regulation by targeting mRNA for degradation or translational repression¹³. Recently, it has been reported that miRNAs play an important regulatory role in germ cell proliferation, specification, migration and maintenance in flies and mammals¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Targeted depletion of the miRNA-processing enzyme Dicer1 in germ cell of worms, flies and mice led to PGC reduction and gametogenesis defects¹⁷⁻²⁰. In chicken, microarray assay revealed some germ cell-enriched miRNAs, suggesting that miRNAs might regulate germ cell development²¹. In human, it had been found that a miR-372/let-7 axis regulated germ cell maintenance and differentiation²². In zebrafish, little is known about the role of miRNAs in germ cell development, and it has been remained uncertain whether there are germ plasm/PGC-specific miRNAs in zebrafish.

MiR-202-5p is a miRNA abundantly expressed in gonad of some mammals and lower vertebrates. In mouse, miR-202-5p is specifically expressed in Sertoli cells of embryonic gonads²³. In human, the Sertoli cell-specific miR-202-5p might play an interaction role between somatic cells and germ cells in spermatogenesis²⁴. In frog, miR-202-5p is a germ cell-enriched miRNA in testis and ovary, indicating miR-202-5p might also be involved in germ cell development in amphibians^{25, 26}. Recently, it has been reported that miR-202-5p and miR-202-3p are expressed throughout gonad development in zebrafish by transcriptomic analysis of miRNA expression in

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Resources and Coastal Engineering, Zhuhai Key Laboratory of Marine Bioresources and Environment, School of Marine Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangdong, China. Jing Zhang and Wei Liu contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.J. (email: jiakt3@mail.sysu.edu.cn) or M.Y. (email: jiakt3@mail.sysu.edu.cn)





gonads²⁷. Previously we reported that miR-202-5p was highly expressed in spermatozoa and developing male germ cells in zebrafish²⁸. However, the expression patterns of miR-202-5p and -3p are remained unknown in embryos. In this study, the expression patterns of miR-202-5p and -3p were characterized in tissues and embryos, and found that miR-202-5p rather than miR-202-3p was highly and specifically expressed in gonads of zebrafish. Moreover, whole mount *in situ* hybridization (WISH) and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) revealed that miR-202-5p was a maternal component of germ plasm and was specifically expressed in PGCs throughout embryogenesis. Therefore, for the first time, we identified a germ plasm-specific miRNA miR-202-5p in zebrafish, and it might be a potential marker for PGCs.

Results

Gonad-specific expression of miR-202-5p in zebrafish. In zebrafish, the pri-miR-202 encodes a pair of mature miRNAs, miR-202-5p and miR-202-3p (Fig. 1A). The tissue distribution patterns of miR-202-3p and -5p were examined by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in eight tissues including heart, liver, spleen, kidney, brain, muscle, testis and ovary. As shown in Fig. 1B, miR-202-3p was universally expressed in all examined tissues, and its expression level was comparatively high in heart, moderate in ovary, muscle and spleen, low in liver, testis, brain and kidney. However, miR-202-5p was specifically expressed in gonad, with a higher expression level in testis than in ovary. The dynamic expression patterns of miR-202-3p and -5p in oocytes at different developmental stages were further examined. As shown in Fig. 1C, miR-202-3p was almost undetectable in oocytes at stages I-III until stage IV, and the highest expression level was observed in mature oocytes. Whereas, the expression level of miR-202-5p in stage I and II oocytes was low, but the expression level gradually increased from stage III, and peaked in mature oocytes. In comparison with miR-202-3p, the expression of miR-202-5p was much higher and exclusive in mature oocytes.

MiR-202-5p is a maternal miRNA in zebrafish. Subsequently, the embryonic expression pattern of miR-202-5p was examined by quantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 2, miR-202-5p was highly expressed in mature oocytes, and there was a slight up-regulation in 1-cell embryos after fertilization. During blastulation, the expression of miR-202-5p gradually decreased, and only very low expression was detected from gastrulation to long pec stage. These results indicated that miR-202-5p was a maternal miRNA.

MiR-202-5p is a germ plasm-specific miRNA. Furthermore, the spatial and temporal localization of miR-202-5p was revealed by WISH. As shown in Fig. 3A, miR-202-5p signals were present in a wide cortical band around the animal pole in mature oocytes. After fertilization, miR-202-5p signals were present in numerous granules throughout the cortex of 1-cell embryos (Fig. 3B). Subsequently, miR-202-5p signals were dominantly located at the cleavage furrows in embryos at 2 and 4 cells stages (Fig. 3C and D). At 128 cells stage, some granular miR-202-5p signals were detected at the animal pole (Fig. 3E). At dome stage, miR-202-5p-expressing cells were distributed at the marginal region of the blastoderm (Fig. 3G). At 3 somites stage, miR-202-5p-expressing cells aggregated into two lines along the yolk syncytial layer (Fig. 3H). At prim-5 stage, miR-202-5p-expressing cells located in the genital ridge (Fig. 3I). This expression pattern of miR-202-5p was consistent to that of the



Figure 2. Temporal expression of miR-202-5p in mature oocytes and embryos at different development stages. U6 was used as an internal control. Asterisk indicated significant difference (p < 0.05).

.....

known PGC marker *vasa* throughout early embryonic development (Fig. 3J–R). Meanwhile, we observed that miR-202-3p was maternally and universally expressed in almost all cells but not in PGCs during embryogenesis (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Co-localization of miR-202-5p and vasa mRNA in germ plasm and PGCs. To further demonstrate the specific distribution of miR-202-5p in germ plasm and PGCs, dual-color FISH was performed to examine the co-localization of miR-202-5p and vasa mRNA during embryogenesis (Fig. 4). At 4-cell stage, miR-202-5p and vasa mRNA co-localized at the cleavage furrows where the maternal germ plasm distributed (Fig. 4A–C). At prim-5 stage, miR-202-5p and vasa mRNA co-localized at the perinuclear germ granules of PGCs (Fig. 4D–F'). Therefore, similar to vasa mRNA, miR-202-5p was also a maternal germ plasm component, and specifically localized in zebrafish PGCs throughout embryogenesis.

Discussion

In this study, we characterized the expression patterns of miR-202-5p and -3p. The expression patterns of these two miRNAs were quite different in tissues and embryos. Comparison to the universe expression of miR-202-3p, miR-202-5p was specifically expressed in gonads and was accumulated during oocyte maturation in female. Importantly, miR-202-5p was a maternal miRNA that was specifically expressed in germ plasm and subsequently in PGCs throughout embryogenesis. MiR-202-5p is the first miRNA identified in germ plasm and PGCs in zebrafish.

In vertebrates, pri-miR-202 encoded two opposing miRNAs, miR-202-3p and -5p. In mammals, miR-202-3p was not only involved in gonad development, but also identified as an important tumor repressor in inhibiting tumor progression²⁹⁻³¹. It has been reported that miR-202-5p was highly expressed in Sertoli cells in embryonic mouse gonads²³. In human, miR-202-5p was specifically localized in Sertoli cells and its expression significantly differed between fertile and sterile men, suggesting a novel interaction for regulating miRNA expression between the somatic and germ cell components of the seminiferous epithelium²⁴. Therefore, the somatic cells expressing miR-202 regulated spermatogenesis in mammals. Differently, in lower vertebrates such as frog and zebrafish, miR-202 was expressed in germ cells. In frog, miR-202-5p was highly expressed in testis and was dramatically down-regulated in sterile males, suggesting a role of miR-202-5p in spermatogenesis²⁶. Meanwhile, frog miR-202-5p was a gonad-specific miRNA and enriched in oocytes, indicating miR-202-5p also regulated oogenesis²⁵. In zebrafish, dynamics of miRNA transcriptome showed that the expression of miR-202-3p and -5p were increased during oogenesis and spermatogenesis²⁷. Our previous study revealed that miR-202-5p was highly expressed in spermatozoa and developing male germ cells at various stages in zebrafish²⁸. Our present study demonstrated the different expression patterns between miR-202-5p and miR-202-3p in zebrafish, indicating the different functions of miR-202-3p and miR-202-5p. The low and universe expression of miR-202-3p in many tissues suggested a broad function. However, the gonad-specific expression pattern of miR-202-5p and its dynamic expression during oocyte maturation suggested its potential role in germ cell development. The high expression level of miR-202-5p in early embryos inherited from mature eggs and spermatozoa by fertilization strongly suggested that miR-202-5p might be an important regulator in PGC development.

So far, little is known about the embryonic expression pattern of miR-202 in lower vertebrates. In this study, RT-PCR examination revealed that miR-202-5p was maternally exist in unfertilized eggs, and there was a little up-regulation of miR-202-5p in 1-cell embryos, which might be due to an additional amount of miR-202-5p from spermatozoa upon fertilization. WISH and FISH detection further showed that miR-202-5p was localized in cortex around the animal pole in mature oocyte and was exclusively expressed in germ plasm and PGCs post fertilization. The decreased expression of miR-202-5p detected by RT-PCR was inconsistent with the increased number of miR-202-5p positive cells because of a lower proliferation rate of PGC than that of somatic cells. In zebrafish, miR-34 was the only reported maternal miRNA, which was involved in embryonic neurogenesis³². This study showed that miR-202-5p was the second maternal miRNA and it was the first germ plasm-specific miRNA in zebrafish. In animals with preformation mode, the specification of PGCs depends on the germ plasm, which comprises of various maternal proteins and RNAs. It had been found that germ plasm-specific long non-coding



Figure 3. Spatial and temporal localization of miR-202-5p in mature oocytes and embryos at different development stages by WISH. A-I, localization of miR-202-5p; J-R, localization of *vasa* mRNA; S, negative control. The black arrows indicated the positive signals of miR-202-5p and *vasa* mRNA.



Figure 4. Co-localization of miR-202-5p and *vasa* mRNA by FISH. (**A** and **D**), *vasa* mRNA (green), (**B** and **E**) miR-202-5P (red); (**C** and **F**), merged. (**A**–**C**), embryo at 4-cell stage; D–F', embryo at prim-5 stage. The smaller panels on top right of (**A**–**C**) indicated the high magnification of the white dotted areas, and D'–F' are the high magnification of the white dotted areas in (**D**–**F**). Scale bars: $50 \,\mu$ m in (**A**–**C**), and $100 \,\mu$ m in (**D**–**F**).

RNA *pgc* was important for transcriptional silence and chromatin structure^{33, 34}. Since miRNA-mediated post-transcriptional regulation always led to gene silence, much attention was paid to miRNAs that might be involved in germ cell development. In animals with inductive mode, many miRNAs related to germ cell development had

been identified and investigated. In mouse, the LIN28/let-7/BLIMP1 axis, in which RNA binding protein LIN28

negatively regulated let-7 to protect the expression of BLIMP1, was essential for PGC induction *in vitro*^{35, 36}. In human, the miR-372/let-7 axis regulated germ cell formation from embryonic stem cells¹⁸. In chicken, several PGC-enriched miRNAs were identified by microarray, and these miRNAs were highly expressed in male germ cells and even PGCs¹⁷. In preformation mode, although it had been demonstrated that miRNA pathways were important for germ cell development, no germ plasm-specific miRNA was identified¹⁸. Our present study showed that miR-202-5p was a novel germ plasm-specific miRNA in zebrafish. These results indicated the importance to further investigate the functions of miRNAs in germ cell development in animals with PGC preformation mode.

In present study we characterized the expression pattern of miR-202, and found that miR-202-5p was a novel germ plasm-specific miRNA in zebrafish. The unique expression pattern of miR-202-5p suggested that it might play an important role in PGC development in zebrafish, and further work was needed to investigate the function of miR-202-5p and the molecular mechanism by which miR-202-5p works.

Methods

Fish. Zebrafish, AB line wild type, were raised at 28 °C with 10 hours (h) darkness and 14 h light. All embryos were collected after natural spawning and staged as previous reported³⁷. All procedures with zebrafish were approved by the Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-Sen University and the methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

Sample collection. Embryo samples were collected at different developmental stages including mature oocytes, and embryos at 1-cell stage, 4-cell stage, sphere, dome, shield, bud, somite stage, prim-5 (24 hours post fertilization, 24 hpf), prim-25 (36 hpf) and long pec (48 hpf) stages into Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) for RNA isolation. Embryos at above stages were also collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS at 4 °C overnight and stored in 100% methanol at -20 °C for WISH. Tissues including heart, liver, spleen, kidney, brain, muscle, ovary and testis, were collected from adult zebrafish into Trizol reagent for RNA isolation. Oocytes at different developmental stages were separated under a Leica stereomicroscope as previously described³⁸.

RNA isolation and RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated by Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The total RNA was reverse-transcripted using Mir-XTM miRNA Frist-Strand Synthesis Kit (Takara). The quantitative RT-PCR was performed in a Roche LightCycle 480 II (Roche) and SYBR[®] Premix Ex TaqTM II (Tli RNaseH Plus) as previously described³⁹. The RT-PCR cycling conditions were: 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 12 s, 60 °C for 12 s, 72 °C for 15 s. U6 was used as the internal control (The U6 primers were provide by Mir-XTM miRNA Frist-Strand Synthesis Kit). The relative gene expression was calculated with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ methods. Data were shown as mean ± SD from three independent experiments in triplicates. The primer sequences were listed in Table S1.

Whole mount in situ hybridization (WISH). The vasa cDNA sequence for synthesis of digoxin (DIG) or fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) labelled vasa sense and anti-sense RNA probes was as previously described⁴⁰. The 1.1-kb vasa cDNA were cloned and sequenced by using a pair of vasa primers which containing a T7 core promoter at the 5' end of the reverse primers. The primer sequences were listed in Table S1. The probes were synthesized by using DIG- or FITC-RNA labeling kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche). The sense and anti-sense DIG labelled RNA probes of miR-202-5p (Genbank accession number: MIMAT0003406) were synthesized by Ribobio (Guangzhou, China). WISH was performed as previously described⁴¹. Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA at 4 °C overnight, dehydrated in methanol at -20 °C overnight, rehydrated by a series of methanol/PBS gradient into 100% PBST, and treated with proteinase K and re-fixed in 4% PFA. Embryos were prehybridized with hybridization mix at 59 °C for 2-4h, and hybridized with DIG-labelled miR-202-5p or vasa anti-sense probe at 59 °C overnight. After hybridization, embryos were washed in a series of SSCT gradient at 64 °C, and in PBST at room temperature. Subsequently, the embryos were blocked PBST with 2 mg/mL BSA and 2% normal goat serum for 2 hours at room temperature, and then incubated in alkaline phosphatase conjugated anti-DIG antibody (1:5000 diluted in blocking solution, Roche) at 4°C overnight. Finally, the embryos were washed in PBST for 15 min six times, and the signal was detected using NBT/BCIP as the chromogenic substrate. The images were documented with a Leica stereomicroscope MZ10F equipped with a digital camera.

Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH). The dual-color FISH was performed as previously described⁴². The procedure before hybridization and washing steps were same to that of WISH. Differently, embryos were hybridized with DIG-labelled miR-202-5p and FITC-labelled *vasa* probe at 59 °C overnight. Embryos were washed in MABT (0.1 M Maleic acid, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, PH 7.5) for 10 min twice at room temperature before blocking, and blocked with 2% blocking reagent (Roche) in MABT for 2 h at room temperature, and then incubated in anti-fluorescein-POD sheep monoclonal antibody (1:2000 diluted in blocking solution, Roche) at 4 °C overnight. The signal was detected by using the TSATM Plus Fluorescence Systems according to the product manual (NEL756, NEN Life Science). FISH images were acquired with a Leica TCS-SP8 confocal microscope (Leica), and confocal Z-series image stacks collected at 1 µm intervals were assembled by LAS X basic software.

Statistics analysis. All statistics were calculated using SPSS version 20. Differences between control and treatment groups were assessed by one-way ANOVA. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

References

- Tang, W. W. C., Kobayashi, T., Irie, N., Dietmann, S. & Surani, M. A. Specification and epigenetic programming of the human germ line. Nat. Rev. Genet. 17, 585–600, doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.88 (2016).
- Richardson, B. E. & Lehmann, R. Mechanisms guiding primordial germ cell migration: strategies from different organisms. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 37–49, doi:nrm2815 (2010).

- 3. Al-Mukhtar, K. A. K. & Webb, A. C. An ultrastructural study of primordial germ cells, oogonia and early oocytes in *Xenopus laevis*. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* **26**, 195–217 (1971).
- 4. Toyooka, Y. *et al.* Expression and intracellular localization of mouse Vasa-homologue protein during germ cell development. *Mech. Dev.* **93**, 139–149, doi:10.1016/S0925-4773(00)00283-5 (2000).
- 5. Wylie, C. Germ cells. Cell 96, 165–174, doi:S0092-8674(00)80557-7 (1999).
- Extavour, C. G. & Akam, M. Mechanisms of germ cell specification across the metazoans: epigenesis and preformation. *Development* 130, 5869–5884, doi:10.1242/dev.00804 (2003).
- 7. Xu, H. Y., Li, M. Y., Gui, J. F. & Hong, Y. H. Fish germ cells. Sci. China Life Sci. 53, 435-446, doi:10.1007/s11427-010-0058-8 (2010).
- Wang, C., Dickinson, L. K. & Lehmann, R. Genetics of nanos localization in Drosophila. Dev. Dynam. 199, 103–115, doi:10.1002/ aja.1001990204 (1994).
- 9. Kee, K., Angeles, V. T., Flores, M., Nguyen, H. N. & Pera, R. A. R. Human *DAZL*, *DAZ* and *BOULE* genes modulate primordial germcell and haploid gamete formation. *Nature* 462, 222–225, doi:10.1038/nature08562 (2009).
- Maines, J. Z. & Wasserman, S. A. Post-transcriptional regulation of the meiotic Cdc25 protein Twine by the Dazl orthologue Boule. Nat. Cell. Biol. 1, 171–174, doi:10.1038/11091 (1999).
- 11. Kedde, M. et al. RNA-binding protein Dnd1 inhibits microRNA access to target mRNA. Cell 131, 1273–1286, doi:10.1016/j. cell.2007.11.034 (2007).
- 12. Weidinger, G. *et al. dead end*, a novel vertebrate germ plasm component, is required for zebrafish primordial germ cell migration and survival. *Curr. Biol.* **13**, 1429–1434, doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(03)00537-2 (2003).
- 13. Bartel, D. P. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell 136, 215-233, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002 (2009).
- Kotaja, N. & Sassone-Corsi, P. The chromatoid body: a germ-cell-specific RNA-processing centre. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8, 85–90, doi:10.1038/nrm2081 (2007).
- Melton, C., Judson, R. L. & Blelloch, R. Opposing microRNA families regulate self-renewal in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nature* 463, 621–626, doi:10.1038/nature08725 (2010).
- McIver, S. C., Roman, S. D., Nixon, B. & McLaughlin, E. A. miRNA and mammalian male germ cells. *Human Reproduction Update* 18, 44–59, doi:10.1093/humupd/dmr041 (2012).
- Hayashi, K. et al. MicroRNA biogenesis is required for mouse primordial germ cell development and spermatogenesis. PloS One 3, e1738, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001738 (2008).
- Megosh, H. B., Cox, D. N., Campbell, C. & Lin, H. The role of PIWI and the miRNA machinery in *Drosophila* germline determination. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 1884–1894, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.08.051 (2006).
- Park, J. K., Liu, X., Strauss, T. J., McKearin, D. M. & Liu, Q. The miRNA pathway intrinsically controls self-renewal of *Drosophila* germline stem cells. *Curr. Biol.* 17, 533–538, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.01.060 (2007).
- Knight, S. W. & Bass, B. L. A role for the RNase III enzyme DCR-1 in RNA interference and germ line development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Science 293, 2269–2271, doi:10.1126/science.1062039 (2001).
- 21. Bhin, J. et al. PGC-enriched mirnas control germ cell development. Mol. Cells 38, 895–903, doi:10.14348/molcells.2015.0146 (2015).
- 22. Tran, N. D. et al. A miR-372/let-7 axis regulates human germ versus somatic cell fates. Stem Cells 34, 1985–1991, doi:10.1002/ stem.2378 (2016).
- Wainwright, E. N. *et al.* SOX9 regulates microRNA miR-202-5p/3p expression during mouse testis differentiation. *Biol. Reprod.* 89, 34, doi:10.1095/biolreprod.113.110155 (2013).
- 24. Dabaja, A. A. *et al.* Possible germ cell-Sertoli cell interactions are critical for establishing appropriate expression levels for the Sertoli cell-specific microRNA, miR-202-5p, in human testis. *Basic Clin. Androl.* **25**, 2, doi:10.1186/s12610-015-0018-z (2015).
- Armisen, J., Gilchrist, M. J., Wilczynska, A., Standart, N. & Miska, E. A. Abundant and dynamically expressed miRNAs, piRNAs, and other small RNAs in the vertebrate *Xenopus tropicalis. Genome Res.* 19, 1766–1775, doi:10.1101/gr.093054.109 (2009).
- Michalak, P. & Malone, J. H. Testis-derived microRNA profiles of African clawed frogs (*Xenopus*) and their sterile hybrids. *Genomics* 91, 158–164, doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2007.10.013 (2008).
- Presslauer, C., Bizuayehu, T. T., Kopp, M., Fernandes, J. M. & Babiak, I. Dynamics of miRNA transcriptome during gonadal development of zebrafish. Sci. Rep. 7, doi:10.1038/srep43850 (2017).
- 28. Jia, K. T. et al. Identification of micrornas in zebrafish spermatozoa. Zebrafish 12, 387-397, doi:10.1089/zeb.2015.1115 (2015).
- 29. Ma, G., Zhang, F., Dong, X., Wang, X. & Ren, Y. Low expression of microRNA-202 is associated with the metastasis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **11**, 951–956, doi:10.3892/etm.2016.3014 (2016).
- Meng, X. et al. MicroRNA-202 inhibits tumor progression by targeting LAMA1 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 473, 821–827, doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.03.130 (2016).
- Zhang, Y. et al. miR-202 suppresses cell proliferation in human hepatocellular carcinoma by downregulating LRP6 posttranscriptionally. FEBS Lett. 588, 1913–1920, doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2014.03.030 (2014).
- 32. Soni, K. et al. miR-34 is maternally inherited in Drosophila melanogaster and Danio rerio. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, 4470-4480, doi:10.1093/nar/gkt139 (2013).
- Martinho, R. G., Kunwar, P. S., Casanova, J. & Lehmann, R. A noncoding RNA is required for the repression of RNA polII-dependent transcription in primordial germ cells. *Curr. Biol.* 14, 159–165, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.036 (2004).
- Nakamura, A., Amikura, R., Mukai, M., Kobayashi, S. & Lasko, P. F. Requirement for a noncoding RNA in *Drosophila* polar granules for germ cell establishment. *Science* 274, 2075–2079 (1996).
- Viswanathan, S. R., Daley, G. Q. & Gregory, R. I. Selective blockade of microRNA processing by Lin28. Science 320, 97–100, doi:10.1126/science.1154040 (2008).
- West, J. A. et al. A role for Lin28 in primordial germ-cell development and germ-cell malignancy. Nature 460, 909–913, doi:10.1038/ nature08210 (2009).
- Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. & Schilling, T. F. Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev. Dyn.* 203, 253–310, doi:10.1002/aja.1002030302 (1995).
- 38. Lubzens, E., Young, G., Bobe, J. & Cerda, J. Oogenesis in teleosts: How fish eggs are formed. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol, doi:S0016-6480(09)00231-7 (2009).
- Liu, W. et al. Complete depletion of primordial germ cells in an All-female fish leads to sex-biased gene expression alteration and sterile all-male occurrence. BMC Genomics 16, 1, doi:10.1186/s12864-015-2130-z (2015).
- Yoon, C., Kawakami, K. & Hopkins, N. Zebrafish vasa homologue RNA is localized to the cleavage planes of 2- and 4-cell-stage embryos and is expressed in the primordial germ cells. *Development* 124, 3157–3165 (1997).
- Thisse, C. & Thisse, B. High-resolution in situ hybridization to whole-mount zebrafish embryos. Nat. Protoc. 3, 59–69, doi:10.1038/ nprot.2007.514 (2008).
- Xu, H., Li, Z., Li, M., Wang, L. & Hong, Y. Boule is present in fish and bisexually expressed in adult and embryonic germ cells of medaka. PLoS One 4, e6097, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006097 (2009).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31271576), the Zhuhai Scholar Professor Program (2015) and the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (2015A030308012).

Author Contributions

M.Y., K.J. and W.L. designed the research and wrote the manuscript. J.Z., W.L., Y. J. and P.J. carried out the experiments. Y.J. and P.J. and W.L. analyzed the data. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07675-x

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017