SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 8 March 2017 Accepted: 23 May 2017 Published online: 05 July 2017

OPEN A high-speed electro-optic triplemicroring resonator modulator

Jianxun Hong^{1,2}, Feng Qiu¹, Xiaoyang Cheng¹, Andrew M. Spring¹ & Shiyoshi Yokoyama¹

The coupling intensity modulator based on a triple-microring structure was proposed and numerically investigated for a high speed and a low bit error ratio (BER) operation. The modulator consists of a dual-microring optical cavity and a gate-microring energy feedback path. The optical cavity ensures a high energy storing efficiency, and the feedback path enables modulation with little intracavity energy decay. The bandwidth of 103 GHz and modulation depth of 6.2 dB at 2.0 Vpp were theoretically verified by the analysis of the sinusoidal modulation performance. Pulse modulation resulted in a data rate of 160 Gbps, an extinction ratio of 16.84 dB, and a BER of 1×10^{-8} . The proposed modulator is applicable for compact, high-speed, and low-energy photonic integration.

The microring modulators are promising candidates for photonic integrated circuits due to their straightforward fabrication, compact footprint, cost effectiveness, high-sensitivity, and low driving voltage¹⁻⁶. The principle operation of the classical microring modulator is based on a wavelength shift of the resonance due to the minute intracavity refractive index change⁷. Generally, the high quality-factor (Q) microring is sensitive to the intracavity refractive index, so that the device exploits a low power and high-sensitivity tuning operation⁸⁻¹⁰. Nevertheless, very high-Q microring processes a long optical cavity photon lifetime, so that the modulation speed is intrinsically limited by the optical cavity photon lifetime. Consequently, there is a crucial tradeoff between switching energy and modulation bandwidth as an unavoidable issue toward the ultra-high speed analog communications and data processing¹¹.

In order to break the photon lifetime limitation, coupling intensity modulations have been proposed in the past years¹²⁻¹⁵. It has been theoretically predicted that the microring retains constant optical power in the cavity, while the cavity releases the light by tuning the coupling coefficient between the resonator and waveguide¹³. Since the modulator is tuned by the coupling coefficient instead of the intracavity index, the modulation frequency can be improved beyond the cavity photon lifetime limitation. There have been several proposed types of coupling intensity modulators. Mach-Zehnder interference based microring resonators (MZIMR) have demonstrated unlimited bandwidth modulation in theory^{14, 16-18}. The phase-ring-enhanced MZIMR is another approach to improve the modulation frequency by using the additional phase ring adjacent to the arm of the MZI^{1, 19}. These devices exhibited a high extinction and ultra-high speed response to the driving signal. This method has been further investigated for optimization²⁰. Potentially, both the modulation speed and signal extinction can be further increased by using an optimized energy feedback mechanism with a greater storing effective energy and constant optical power.

In this study, we have designed a triple-ring resonator for coupling intensity modulation, and have demonstrated high-speed transmission with a large signal extinction ratio. This structure consists of an optical cavity with high energy storage efficiency and a controllable energy feedback path. The optical cavity ensures a large output intensity. The feedback path ensures a high speed modulation by keeping stable the optical cavity power. By utilizing the numerical calculation, the modulator exhibits a transmission as high as 160 Gbps, a modulation depth of 6.2 dB, an extinction ratio of 16.84 dB, and a BER of 1×10^{-8} .

Results and Discussion

Principle and optical spectrum. The microring modulator is schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). It consists of three rings 1, 2, and 3. Rings 1 and 2 have the same geometry, and work as a dual-microring cavity for optical energy storing¹². Ring 3 is a component of the energy feedback path, and is used to develop the light from port A. When ring 3 is tuned to be on resonance, the traveling light in port B is fed back into the cavity. In this case, the resonance of ring 3 is in the same as that of rings 1 and 2. When ring 3 is tuned to be off resonance by changing

¹Institute for Materials Chemistry and Engineering, Kyushu University, 6-1 Kasuga-koen, Kasuga-city, Fukuoka, 816-8580, Japan. ²School of Information Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, 430070, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.Y. (email: s_yokoyama@cm.kyushu-u.ac.jp)



Figure 1. Schematics of the triple-ring resonator modulator. (a) Structure of the modulator. (b) Crosssection of the waveguide. (c) Fundamental TE mode distribution. The lengths of the waveguides are $L_0 = L_{11} = L_{12} = L_{13} = 40 \,\mu\text{m}, L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = L_4 = 240 \,\mu\text{m}, L_5 = L_6 = 120 \,\mu\text{m}, L_7 = L_8 = 240 \,\mu\text{m}, L_9 = 80 \,\mu\text{m}$, and $L_{10} = 400 \,\mu\text{m}$. The L_1, L_2, L_3 and L_4 are chosen to set the resonance close to the wavelength of 1550 nm. The modal overlap integral factor Γ is 0.525.



Figure 2. Optical spectra of the micro-ring resonator. (a) On resonance in ring 3 and (b) off resonance by adding a refractive index tuning of $\Delta n = 4 \times 10^{-4}$.

the refractive index, the cavity releases the light from the feedback path to port A. Even while in such an off state, rings 1 and 2 retain sufficient photon energy in the optical cavity, which is key for coupling intensity modulation.

In order to operate the refractive index tunable microring resonator, we used a hybrid silicon and electro-optic (EO) polymer microring resonator²¹. We have previously shown that the waveguide modulator provides a large EO effect in device. The measured EO coefficient (r_{33}) was higher than 100 pm/V at a wavelength of 1550 nm. Due to the relatively high refractive index of the hybrid waveguide, 100 µm-diameter ring resonator with a high-Q was realized for the application of the EO ring resonator modulator²². Figure 1(b) shows the cross-section of the hybrid waveguide. We used ultra-thin silicon with a thickness of 48 nm and a width of 1.5 µm as the core. This was then, covered by a 1.2 µm-thick EO polymer layer. Figure 1(c) shows the calculated TE mode distribution across the waveguide. In such geometry, the waveguide enables the light propagation at the boundary of the silicon and EO polymer layers, thus the waveguide exhibits a suitable modal overlap for the EO modulation. The distribution of the silicon exist due to the large refractive index difference between silicon and EO polymer. Based on these experimental and theoretical properties, we utilized the step-segment dynamic method for the calculation of the coupling intensity modulation^{23, 24}.

The self-coupling coefficient (t_m) and cross-coupling coefficient (κ_m) at coupling points of m^{th} microring are denoted by $|t_m|^2 + |\kappa_m|^2 = 1$ for a lossless coupling. For calculation of the optical transmission spectra, we chose $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = -0.4$ i, and transmission loss of $\alpha = 4 \text{ dB/cm}$. The effective refractive index n_{eff} of the waveguide is 1.643. Ring 3 is tuned owing to the EO property of the hybrid waveguide. According to the expected change in the refractive index $(\Delta n = 4 \times 10^{-4})$ in ring 3, the spectral change of the output lights from ports A, B, and C are obtained as shown in Fig. 2. In port A, clear "ON" and "OFF" states can be seen at the center wavelength of 1550.02 nm. In the coupling intensity modulator, the dual-microring cavity stores optical energy. Therefore, the spectra of port B show relatively high-Q properties having 8,333 and 4,403 at the "ON" and "OFF" states, respectively. Furthermore, the modulator overcomes the cavity photon lifetime limitation.



Figure 3. Modulated output signals of the resonator. The modulation frequencies are 20 GHz and 80 GHz. Here, the peak-to-peak voltage of the driving voltage is 2.0 V. The modulator is biased to set the output signals center at 0.5 along the longitudinal coordinate.



Figure 4. Frequency response of the resonator modulator.

Sinusoidal modulation and frequency response. The high frequency modulation was analyzed by using the step-segment dynamic method. In the EO microring modulator, the relationship between the refractive index change and applied field is expressed as $\Delta n = 1/2n_{\text{eff}}^3 r_{33}\Gamma E$, where r_{33} is the in-device EO coefficient, Γ is the modal overlap integral factor, *E* is the applied electric field²⁵. For simplicity, we choose $r_{33} = 150 \text{ pm/V}$ to set $r_{33}\Gamma = 80 \text{ pm/V}$. We assume the electrodes in a coplanar-strip geometry having a gap of 4 µm. The velocity mismatch between the optical wave and the driving microwave can be expressed in terms of index difference. We set the index difference to be 0.2 as a compromise by taking into account the low dielectric constant of EO polymer.

A sinusoidal electric signal with a peak-to-peak voltage of 2.0 V is applied for the modulation. The modulated output signals with frequencies of 20 GHz and 80 GHz are shown in Fig. 3. A bias voltage is also applied to set the modulator at the half output point for linear electric and optic operation. The clear output waveforms can be observed at both frequencies without any distortion. The amplitude of the light intensity at the frequency of 80 GHz is slightly smaller than that at 20 GHz. In Fig. 4, the continuous frequency signals from 0.1 to 500 GHz are applied in order to determine the bandwidth property of the modulator. The maximum modulation depth is found to be 0.23 or 6.4 dB. Such modulation depth is larger than that reported for MZIMR and comparable to the phase ring enhanced MZIMR²⁰. In Fig. 4, there is a plateau region between 10 GHz and 80 GHz, which offers a large bandwidth window for the high-speed modulator application. The modulation depth decreases at higher frequencies, and the modulator exhibits a 3 dB bandwidth of 103 GHz. Though MZIMR theoretically predicted an unlimited bandwidth property¹⁴⁻¹⁸, the frequency limitation in our modulator presumably results from the index modulation in ring 3. It leads to the roll-off in the modulation depth at very high modulation frequencies because the sidebands diminish with increasing modulation frequency^{15,20}. When the frequency increases close to an integer multiple of the free spectra rang (FSR), the modulation causes a significant decrease to the circulating field in the cavity¹⁶. In Fig. 4, the oscillations and resonance points are observed over 160 GHz. Such limitation is similar to that exists in the phase ring enhanced MZIMR^{1,20}. Note that, taking into account the roll-off effect mentioned above, the frequency at a resonance point is not exactly an integer multiple of the FSR.



Figure 5. NRZ pulse train modulation output with pulse intervals of 100 ps and 500 ps. The NRZ pulse train consists of alternate '0' and '1' codes with a voltage of 2.0 Vpp.

Pulse modulation properties. For the analogue sinusoidal modulation, the modulator is properly biased at the half output point as mentioned above. Therefore, the gate ring is always in 'open' status during modulation. In such an open state, the modulation speed is limited due to the decrease of stored energy and *Q*-factor of the cavity. On the other hand, the pulse modulation is the crucial technique, which enables the high-speed digital transmission by simple '0' and '1' signals. Since the bias-voltage control is unnecessary for this modulation, more energy can be stored in the cavity. In order to characterize the response to the digital signals, we firstly applied non-return-to-zero (NRZ) pulse train with alternate '0' and '1' to the microring modulator and calculated the output waveform. Then, we used pseudo random NRZ sequence to investigate the eye diagram and BER.

Figure 5 shows the optical outputs from the modulator by applying the pulse signal with intervals of 100 ps and 500 ps, which correspond to repetition rates of 10 Gbps and 2 Gbps, respectively. The peak-to-peak voltage of the NRZ pulse train is 2.0 V. It can be seen that the amplitudes of the output lights for both signal rates are almost same. The extinction ratio is 20 dB, which is superior to the reported value in previous coupling intensity modulated MZIMR^{11,20}. In both pulse responses, small oscillations are seen at the rising edge of the signals. Such oscillation can be explained by the microring memory effect^{16,20}. In this design, the index of ring 3 is tuned instead of κ_3 . The circulating field in ring 3 suffers continuous index modulation and time delay. Therefore, the ring cannot response to the fast change of the pulse signal at the rising edge, and causes a short oscillation before stabilization. Such an unexpected distortion is conspicuous in the pulse waveform at the higher frequency. Though overserved noise level is small enough to be filtered out by the signal processing technique, using the smaller ring is another solution to cancel such oscillations.

Figure 6 show the eye diagrams of the output signal at the pulse modulation rates of 120, 140 and 160 Gbps. The pulse train consists of a 2^{23} -1 pseudo random bit sequence (PRBS) signal with a peak-to-peak voltage of 2.0 V. The eye diagrams are clearly opened at every modulation rate. The modulator performed a very low BER response with clear rising and falling edges, fine code alignment and a small jitter. The BERs at these rates are calculated to be smaller than 1×10^{-8} . On the other hand, the eye patterns became unclear with increasing BER when the modulation rate increased over 200 Gbps.

In the eye diagram analysis, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) can be obtained from ref. 26

$$SNR = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\sigma_2 + \sigma_1} \tag{1}$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are the eye amplitudes at the bottom and top edges, respectively. σ_1 and σ_2 are noises of μ_1 and μ_2 , respectively. In Fig. 6(a), the *SNR* is estimated to be 33.33 for 120 Gbps, and the extinction ratio is 16.84 from $ER = 10 \log(\mu_2/\mu_1)$. According to the classical Shannon formula defined by $C = W \log_2(1 + SNR)$, the modulation capacity (*C*) of the proposed microring modulator is 525 Gbps using the bandwidth (*W*) of 103 GHz. Though the calculation is theoretically based on the ideal condition, the obtained result supports the potentially available ultra-high speed response of the triple-microring resonator.

Conclusions

We investigated a triple-microring resonator modulator based on coupling intensity modulation. The device strategy is to maintain the intracavity energy by using the dual-microring optical cavity, while the modulation is tuned by adopting a gate-microring energy feedback path. The modulation is realized by switching the feedback gate-microring between on-resonance and off-resonance states. The proposed triple-microring resonator performs a wide 3 dB bandwidth of 103 GHz and a high modulation depth of 6.4 dB at 2.0 Vpp. For digital modulation, the device exhibits a modulation speed beyond 160 Gbps with a BER of 1×10^{-8} and an extinction ratio of 16.84 dB. The proposed device is potentially applicable to ultra-high speed, low-energy, and small-footprint modulators.



Figure 6. Eye diagrams of the 2^{23} -1 PRBS modulation output. The modulation rates are (**a**) 120 Gbps, (**b**) 140 Gbps and (**c**) 160 Gbps, respectively.

Methods

We use the step-segment dynamic method to analyze the modulation properties. Branches of the waveguide were divided into small segments. Fields on branches were calculated by the wave propagation principle while fields at coupling nodes were calculated by the coupled mode theory step by step^{23, 24}. Numerical calculations were performed by C-language programing.

References

- Li, Y., Stewart, L. S. & Dapkus, P. D. High Speed Silicon Microring Modulator Employing Dynamic Intracavity Energy Balance. Opt. Express. 20, 7404–7414 (2012).
- Chen, L., Wood, M. G. & Reano, R. M. 12.5 pm/V Hybrid Silicon and Lithium Niobate Optical Microring Resonator with Integrated Electrodes. Opt. Express. 21, 27003–27010 (2013).
- 3. Bortnik, B. et al. Electrooptic Polymer Ring Resonator Modulation Up to 165 GHz. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. 13, 104–110 (2007).
- Malacarne, A., Gambini, F., Faralli, S., Klamkin, J. & Poti, L. High-Speed Silicon Electro-Optic Microring Modulator for Optical Interconnects. *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* 26, 1042–1044 (2014).
- Wu, J. et al. Compact On-Chip 1 × 2 Wavelength Selective Switch Based On Silicon Microring Resonator with Nested Pairs of Subrings. Photonics Research. 3, 9–14 (2015).
- 6. Xu, Q., Fattal, D. & Beausoleil, R. G. Silicon Microring Resonators with 1.5-Mm Radius. Opt. Express. 16, 4309-4315 (2008).
- 7. Tazawa, H. et al. Ring Resonator-Based Electrooptic Polymer Traveling-Wave Modulator. J. Lightwave Technol. 24, 3514 (2006).
- Qiu, F., Spring, A. M. & Yokoyama, S. Athermal and High-Q Hybrid TiO2–Si3N4 Ring Resonator via an Etching-Free Fabrication Technique. ACS Photonics. 2, 405–409 (2015).
- 9. Cai, D. et al. High Q-factor Microring Resonator Wrapped by the Curved Waveguide. Scientific Reports. 5, 10078 (2015).
- 10. Mehta, K. K. et al. High-Q CMOS-integrated Photonic Crystal Microcavity Devices. Scientific Reports. 4, 4077 (2014).
- 11. Lin, H. T. *et al.* Breaking the Energy-Bandwidth Limit of Electrooptic Modulators: Theory and a Device Proposal. *J. Lightwave Technol.* **31**, 4029–4036 (2013).
- 12. Xu, Q., Dong, P. & Lipson, M. Breaking the Delay-Bandwidth Limit in a Photonic Structure. Nat. Phys. 3, 406–410 (2007).
- Kodanev, A. & Orenstein, M. Charge-Discharge Digital/Analog Microring Modulator with No Intrinsic Speed Limitation. IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. 26, 1522–1525 (2014).
- 14. Yariv, A. Critical Coupling and its Control in Optical Waveguide-Ring Resonator Systems. *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* 14, 483–485 (2002).
- 15. Sacher, W. D. & Poon, J. K. S. Dynamics of Microring Resonator Modulators. Opt. Express. 16, 15741–15753 (2008).
- Sacher, W. D. & Poon, J. K. S. Characteristics of Microring Resonators with Waveguide-Resonator Coupling Modulation. J. Lightwave Technol. 27, 3800–3811 (2009).
- 17. Sacher, W. D. et al. Coupling Modulation of Microrings at Rates Beyond the Linewidth Limit. Opt. Express. 21, 9722–9733 (2013).
- Li, C., Zhou, L. & Poon, A. W. Silicon Microring Carrier-Injection-Based Modulators/Switches with Tunable Extinction Ratios and OR-logic Switching by Using Waveguide Cross-Coupling. *Opt. Express.* 15, 5069–5076 (2007).
- Green, W. M. J., Rooks, M. J., Sekaric, L. & Vlasov, Y. A. Optical Modulation Using Anti-Crossing Between Paired Amplitude and Phase Resonators. Opt. Express. 15, 17264–17272 (2007).
- Hong, J. X., Zhou, J., Wang, L., Liu, Y. & Chen, W. Modeling and Bandwidth Optimization of Microring Resonator Modulators with Phase Ring Enhancements. Opt. Rev. 22, 593–597 (2015).
- 21. Qiu, F. et al. Ultra-Thin Silicon/Electro-Optic Polymer Hybrid Waveguide Modulators. Appl. Phys. Lett. 107, 123302 (2015).
- 22. Qiu, F. et al. Athermal Hybrid Silicon/Polymer Ring Resonator Electro-Optic Modulator. ACS Photonics. 3, 780-783 (2016).
- 23. Hong, J. & Enami, Y. Numerical Solution of the Dynamics of Microring Resonator Modulators. *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* 22, 969–971 (2010).
- Hong, J., Liu, Y. & Chen, W. Researches On Microring Resonator Modulators by Using Signal Flow Graphs. Int. Symp. Photonics and Optoelectronics 2014. Suzhou, China: SPIE, 92331Q (2014).
- Himmelhuber, R. et al. A Silicon-polymer Hybrid Modulator—Design, Simulation and Proof of Principle. J. Lightwave Technol. 31, 4067–4072 (2013).
- Haldar, R., Banik, A. D. & Varshney, S. K. Design of CMOS Compatible and Compact, Thermally-Compensated Electro-Optic Modulator Based On Off-Axis Microring Resonator for Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing Applications. *Opt. Express.* 22, 22411–22420 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the Cooperative Research Programs of "Network Joint Research Center for Material and Device" and "Dynamic Alliance for Open Innovation Bridging Human, Environment, and materials" of MEXT, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Nos 26289108 and 266220712, JST S-innovation (200903006) of JST, and CREST (16815359) of JST.

Author Contributions

Jianxun Hong proposed the idea, performed the calculations and wrote the paper. Feng Qiu and Xiaoyang Cheng provided significant advices on programming. Andrew M. Spring and Shiyoshi Yokoyama provided helpful advices on structure design and refined the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017