SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 2 March 2017 Accepted: 13 April 2017 Published online: 31 May 2017

OPEN Pilot Study of ⁶⁴Cu(I) for PET **Imaging of Melanoma**

Lei Jiang^{1,2}, Yingfeng Tu², Xiang Hu², Ande Bao³, Hao Chen², Xiaowei Ma², Tim Doyle², Hongcheng Shi¹ & Zhen Cheng²

At present, ⁶⁴Cu(II) labeled tracers including ⁶⁴CuCl₂ have been widely applied in the research of molecular imaging and therapy. Human copper transporter 1 (hCTR1) is the major high affinity copper influx transporter in mammalian cells, and specially responsible for the transportation of Cu(I) not Cu(II). Thus, we investigated the feasible application of ⁶⁴Cu(I) for PET imaging. ⁶⁴Cu(II) was reduced to ⁶⁴Cu(I) with the existence of sodium L-ascorbate, DL-Dithiothreitol or cysteine. Cell uptake and efflux assay was investigated using B16F10 and A375 cell lines, respectively. Small animal PET and biodistribution studies were performed in both B16F10 and A375 tumor-bearing mice. Compared with ⁶⁴Cu(II), ⁶⁴Cu(I) exhibited higher cellular uptake by melanoma, which testified CTR1 specially influx of Cu(I). However, due to oxidation reaction in vivo, no significant difference between ⁶⁴Cu(I) and ⁶⁴Cu(II) was observed through PET images and biodistribution. Additionally, radiation absorbed doses for major tissues of human were calculated based on the mouse biodistribution. Radiodosimetry calculations for ^{64/67}Cu(I) and ^{64/67}Cu(II) were similar, which suggested that although melanoma were with high radiation absorbed doses, high radioactivity accumulation by liver and kidney should be noticed for the further application. Thus, ⁶⁴Cu(I) should be further studied to evaluate it as a PET imaging radiotracer.

Copper (Cu) is an essential micronutrient required for many biological processes in vivo, such as respiration, iron transport, oxidative stress protection, peptide hormone production, pigmentation, blood clotting, and normal cell growth and development¹⁻⁴. Although Cu is not always abundant in the *in vivo* environment, cells have evolved a complex system of Cu transporters and chaperones that accumulate Cu³. Members of copper transporter (CTR) family have been reported to be the copper uptake machinery. Human copper transporter 1 (CTR1), a 190-amino acid protein of 28 kDa with three transmembrane domains, mainly acts as a copper transporter in mammal^{5, 6}. Copper metabolism has also been known to be critical for cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and tumor growth⁷⁻⁹. CTR1 has been proven to be overexpressed in many types of cancer cells, including melanoma, prostate cancer, liver cancer, and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)^{7, 10-12}. Therefore, copper metabolism has been explored as an imaging biomarker for tumor detection^{10, 12, 13}

Copper radionuclides, including 60 Cu, 61 Cu, 62 Cu, 64 Cu, and 67 Cu, offer versatile choices for applications in imaging and therapy¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The short-lived 60 Cu ($t_{1/2} = 23.4 \text{ min}$), 61 Cu ($t_{1/2} = 3.32 \text{ h}$) and 62 Cu ($t_{1/2} = 9.76 \text{ min}$) decay by electron capture and β^+ emission, and they have been used as to prepare perfusion agents such as Cu-pyruvaldehyde bis(N4-methylthiosemicarbazone) (PTSM) and Cu-ethylglyoxal bis(thiosemicarbazone) ETS^{17, 18}. The longer-lived ⁶⁷Cu ($t_{1/2}$ = 62.01 h) decays exclusively by β^- emission and has been used to label mon-oclonal antibodies and antibody fragments for radioimmunotherapy^{16, 19}. Interestingly, ⁶⁴Cu has an intermediate half-life of 12.7 h and unique decay prolife (β^+ : 18%, β^- : 38%, and electron capture: 44%), making it a favorable option for radiolabeling nanoparticles, antibodies, antibody fragments, peptides, and small molecules for PET imaging and radionuclide therapy^{20, 21}.

Copper is redox-active, and both cupric (II) and cuprous (I) oxidation states are relevant in the biological systems. Of the different oxidation states, Cu(II) is the most common one presenting in ⁶⁴Cu radiopharmaceuticals. ⁶⁴CuCl₂ has been reported as a promising PET probe for imaging liver cancer, prostate cancer, melanoma, etc.^{10, 12, 22}. However, more recently, with the better understanding the role of CTR1 in tumor biology, CTR1 has been found to mainly and specifically transport Cu(I) instead of Cu(II)^{3,23}. Therefore, we hypothesize that ⁶⁴Cu(I)

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, 180 Fenglin Road, Shanghai, 200032, China. ²Molecular Imaging Program at Stanford (MIPS), Department of Radiology and Bio-X Program, Canary Center at Stanford for Cancer Early Detection, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA. ³Department of Radiation Oncology, Case Western Reserve University, University Hospitals, Case Medical Center, 11100 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH, 44106, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.S. (email: shi.hongcheng@ zs-hospital.sh.cn) or Z.C. (email: zcheng@stanford.edu)





could serve as a novel and promising probe for tumor PET imaging and therapy. In this study, 64 Cu(I)Cl was prepared by reduction of 64 Cu(I)Cl₂, and it was then evaluated *in vitro* and *in vivo* in both B16F10 and A375 tumor models which are known for their high CTR1 expression levels¹².

Results

Cell Uptake. As displayed in Fig. 1A, during 4 h incubation period at 37 °C, ⁶⁴CuCl₂ exhibited the steadily increasing accumulation by B16F10 cells over the observation period, and the maximum uptake was seen at 4 h within the follow up time. The B16F10 cell uptake values of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ at 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 h at 37 °C were $1.86 \pm 0.09\%$, $3.13 \pm 0.82\%$, $7.43 \pm 0.36\%$, and $11.30 \pm 0.91\%$, respectively. In comparison, the B16F10 cell uptake trend and performance of ⁶⁴CuCl (prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC or DTT) was similar to that of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ but with 1.5-2 folds increasing uptake of the radioactivity. The B16F10 cells uptake values of ⁶⁴CuCl (prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC) were $3.01 \pm 0.36\%$, $4.52 \pm 0.75\%$, $9.29 \pm 1.13\%$, and $18.83 \pm 0.56\%$, and of ⁶⁴CuCl (prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC) were $4.63 \pm 0.43\%$, $9.06 \pm 0.97\%$, $15.86 \pm 2.24\%$, and $28.11 \pm 0.23\%$, respectively. Interestingly, for the B16F10 cells incubation with ⁶⁴CuCl prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with both DTT and cysteine the radioactivity uptake is much lower, and the values at 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 h were $0.37 \pm 0.03\%$, $0.60 \pm 0.08\%$, $1.75 \pm 0.06\%$, and $2.22 \pm 0.39\%$, respectively. There was significant difference among above four groups (P < 0.05).

The A375M cell uptake results of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl were shown in Fig. 1B. ⁶⁴CuCl₂, ⁶⁴CuCl prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC, and ⁶⁴CuCl prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with DTT exhibited rapid A375M cell accumulation at the first 30 min, followed by a steady increasing, and the highest uptake was also achieved at 4 h, reaching $3.37 \pm 0.03\%$, $10.66 \pm 0.37\%$, and $10.73 \pm 0.04\%$, respectively. There was significant difference between ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl (*P* < 0.05). Similarly, for the A375M cells incubation with ⁶⁴CuCl prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with both DTT and cysteine, the radioactivity accumulation is low, and the values at 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 h were only $0.39 \pm 0.04\%$, $0.50 \pm 0.06\%$, $0.70 \pm 0.06\%$, and $0.68 \pm 0.04\%$, respectively.

For the blocking experiments in either B16F10 or A375M cells, the uptake of ${}^{64}CuCl_2$, ${}^{64}CuCl$ prepared by ${}^{64}CuCl_2$ with VitC, and ${}^{64}CuCl$ prepared by ${}^{64}CuCl_2$ with DTT, was significantly lower than the corresponding non-blocking groups at each time point (P < 0.05) (Fig. 1A and B). However, there was no significant difference between the blocking and non-blocking groups of ${}^{64}CuCl$ prepared by ${}^{64}CuCl_2$ with both DTT and cysteine.

Cell Efflux. The radioactivity of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC groups was quickly cleared from both B16F10 and A375M cells. As displayed in Fig. 2A, over a 0.5 h incubation period, $42.36 \pm 3.56\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and $38.72 \pm 3.59\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl presented in B16F10 cells, respectively. At 24 h, only $15.16 \pm 3.04\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl² and $13.74 \pm 1.74\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl were remained (Fig. 2A). Similar to the cell efflux studies in B16F10 cells, $30.38 \pm 3.93\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and $27.77 \pm 2.91\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl presented in A375M cells over a 0.5 h incubation period, respectively, indicating that the radioactivity was quickly cleared from cells for both ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl. And only $13.16 \pm 1.32\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and $11.13 \pm 0.40\%$ of ⁶⁴CuCl were remained in A375M cells at 24 h (Fig. 2B).



Figure 2. Cell efflux assay from B16F10 and A375M cells after 2 h incubation with 64 Cu(II) and 64 Cu(I) at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 16 and 24 h, respectively.

Biodistribution Studies of Mice Bearing B16F10 Tumor. The *in vivo* biodistribution of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC in mice bearing B16F10 tumors was determined at various time points after injection (Table 1). ⁶⁴CuCl displayed similar *in vivo* biodistribution as ⁶⁴CuCl₂. ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl displayed rapid and similar level of accumulation in the tumor at the early time point ($10.81 \pm 0.22\%$ ID/g and $11.21 \pm 1.06\%$ ID/g at 1 h p.i. for two probes, respectively, *P* > 0.05). At later time points, B16F10 tumor uptake of both ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl slightly increased and reached 12.80 ± 0.53\%ID/g and 11.26 ± 1.76%ID/g at 4 h (*P* > 0.05), respectively. B16F10 tumor accumulation of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl remained 3.14 ± 0.24%ID/g and 3.15 ± 0.23%ID/g, respectively, at 72 h p.i.

Both ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl displayed rapid blood clearance, as determined by the radioactivity remaining in the blood from 1 h ($3.18 \pm 0.16\%$ ID/g vs. $3.08 \pm 0.53\%$ ID/g, P > 0.05) to 24 h ($1.72 \pm 0.16\%$ ID/g vs. $1.56 \pm 0.12\%$ ID/g, P > 0.05). Moreover, ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl also showed low muscle uptake of $1.30 \pm 0.22\%$ ID/g vs. $1.00 \pm 0.05\%$ ID/g at 1 h after injection, which further decreased to $0.89 \pm 0.04\%$ ID/g vs. $0.80 \pm 0.07\%$ ID/g at 24 h p.i. Relative high uptakes of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl was found in several normal organs such as liver, kidney, lung, stomach and intestine (Table 1). Except the liver and kidney, the accumulation of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl in other normal organs and tissues obviously decreased at 72 h p.i. The accumulation of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl in the liver was observed with values of $31.66 \pm 3.06\%$ ID/g and $36.92 \pm 2.47\%$ ID/g at 1 h p.i. (P > 0.05), and decreased to $17.88 \pm 0.29\%$ ID/g and $18.33 \pm 0.98\%$ ID/g at 24 h p.i., respectively(P > 0.05). The accumulation of 6^{64} CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl in kidneys was also high, with values of $17.06 \pm 1.42\%$ ID/g at $17.95 \pm 1.38\%$ ID/g at 1 h p.i. (P > 0.05), and decreased to $11.47 \pm 0.73\%$ ID/g vs. $10.56 \pm 0.43\%$ ID/g at 24 h p.i. (P > 0.05), respectively. These data indicated that ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl were excreted through both the liver and kidneys.

Both ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl displayed moderate to high tumor-to-blood and tumor-to-muscle ratios (Table 2). For example, at 4 h after injection, the tumor-to-blood ratios of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl were 4.55 ± 0.02 and 4.48 ± 0.39 (P > 0.05), and the tumor-to-muscle ratios of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl were 11.75 ± 0.84 and 10.46 ± 3.26 (P > 0.05), respectively.

Biodistribution Studies of Mice Bearing A375M Tumor. The *in vivo* biodistribution of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl in mice bearing A375 tumor was determined at 72 h after injection (Table 3). Similar to biodistribution data in mice bearing B16F10 tumor, ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl also showed similar *in vivo* performance. A375M tumor accumulation of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl was 3.59 ± 0.36 %ID/g and 3.44 ± 0.52 %ID/g (P > 0.05), respectively,

| Organ | 1 h | | 2 h | | 4h | | 24 h | | 48 h | | 72h | |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| (%ID/g) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) |
| Tumor | 10.81 ± 0.22 | 11.21 ± 1.06 | 11.34 ± 1.79 | 10.95 ± 0.23 | 12.80 ± 0.53 | 11.26 ± 1.76 | 6.56 ± 0.61 | 5.95 ± 0.24 | 3.55 ± 0.14 | 3.69 ± 0.20 | 3.24 ± 0.24 | 3.15 ± 0.23 |
| Blood | 3.18 ± 0.16 | 3.08 ± 0.53 | 2.67 ± 0.48 | 2.72 ± 0.35 | 2.81 ± 0.13 | 2.53 ± 0.48 | 1.72 ± 0.16 | 1.56 ± 0.12 | 1.45 ± 0.05 | 1.84 ± 0.28 | 1.50 ± 0.29 | 1.49 ± 0.38 |
| Heart | 3.95 ± 0.30 | 3.63 ± 0.29 | 3.98 ± 0.50 | 4.50 ± 0.64 | 4.45 ± 0.65 | 3.95 ± 0.18 | 4.11 ± 0.23 | 4.02 ± 0.46 | 4.95 ± 0.20 | 4.96 ± 0.31 | 4.37 ± 0.61 | 4.29 ± 0.46 |
| Lungs | 12.12 ± 1.18 | 15.15 ± 0.39 | 14.50 ± 2.40 | 13.00 ± 1.25 | 15.54 ± 1.53 | 14.04 ± 2.50 | 9.19 ± 0.78 | 8.77 ± 0.72 | 7.52 ± 0.11 | 6.13 ± 0.10 | 6.44 ± 0.86 | 6.33 ± 0.38 |
| Liver | 31.66 ± 3.06 | 36.92 ± 2.47 | 29.21 ± 3.39 | 30.27 ± 4.90 | 29.19 ± 3.61 | 27.31 ± 4.11 | 17.88 ± 0.29 | 18.33 ± 0.98 | 14.43 ± 1.34 | 14.25 ± 1.19 | 13.06 ± 1.43 | 12.56 ± 1.03 |
| Spleen | 3.24 ± 0.78 | 4.9 ± 0.15 | 3.32 ± 0.49 | 5.05 ± 0.21 | 3.33 ± 1.45 | 4.98 ± 0.27 | 3.07 ± 0.24 | 3.51 ± 0.44 | 2.81 ± 0.00 | 3.23 ± 0.90 | 3.46 ± 0.42 | 3.36 ± 0.34 |
| Stomach | 11.52 ± 1.89 | 13.38 ± 1.72 | 14.33 ± 3.24 | 12.48 ± 2.53 | 13.90 ± 0.73 | 10.76 ± 0.99 | 6.18 ± 0.38 | 5.80 ± 0.70 | 4.52 ± 0.34 | 4.57 ± 0.77 | 4.02 ± 0.38 | 4.43 ± 0.25 |
| Pancreas | 3.64 ± 0.53 | 3.63 ± 0.18 | 5.44 ± 2.71 | 3.83 ± 0.86 | 4.09 ± 0.62 | 3.55 ± 0.40 | 2.70 ± 0.09 | 2.60 ± 0.13 | 2.88 ± 0.10 | 2.80 ± 0.17 | 2.46 ± 0.37 | 2.25 ± 1.30 |
| Intestine | 19.89 ± 1.99 | 24.54 ± 2.39 | 19.64 ± 3.76 | 19.63 ± 5.28 | 16.69 ± 0.60 | 16.82 ± 0.84 | 8.12 ± 0.34 | 7.13 ± 1.09 | 5.58 ± 0.53 | 5.24 ± 0.52 | 2.45 ± 0.19 | 2.99 ± 0.43 |
| Kidneys | 17.06 ± 1.42 | 17.95 ± 1.38 | 15.38 ± 0.96 | 14.37 ± 2.61 | 15.84 ± 1.85 | 12.75 ± 2.15 | 11.47 ± 0.73 | 10.56 ± 0.43 | 9.90 ± 1.21 | 8.77 ± 0.96 | 11.06 ± 0.86 | 10.00 ± 0.55 |
| Brain | 0.62 ± 0.10 | 0.68 ± 0.07 | 0.67 ± 0.08 | 0.61 ± 0.03 | 0.78 ± 0.08 | 0.66 ± 0.13 | 0.86 ± 0.04 | 0.77 ± 0.06 | 0.91 ± 0.04 | 0.90 ± 0.05 | 0.90 ± 0.09 | 0.92 ± 0.08 |
| Skin | 2.48 ± 0.46 | 2.21 ± 0.28 | 2.40 ± 0.30 | 2.09 ± 0.53 | 1.66 ± 0.22 | 1.21 ± 0.12 | 0.92 ± 0.08 | 0.89 ± 0.05 | 0.88 ± 0.07 | 0.94 ± 0.19 | 1.18 ± 0.65 | 1.14 ± 0.43 |
| Muscle | 1.30 ± 0.22 | 1.00 ± 0.05 | 1.15 ± 0.05 | 1.00 ± 0.07 | 1.09 ± 0.08 | 1.21 ± 0.65 | 0.89 ± 0.04 | 0.80 ± 0.07 | 1.01 ± 0.08 | 1.17 ± 0.02 | 1.03 ± 028 | 0.70 ± 0.13 |
| Bone | 3.13 ± 0.46 | 3.73 ± 0.86 | 3.43 ± 0.78 | 3.00 ± 0.67 | 2.55 ± 0.25 | 2.57 ± 0.20 | 2.30 ± 0.43 | 2.20 ± 0.05 | 1.71 ± 0.07 | 2.17 ± 0.23 | 1.46 ± 0.25 | 1.35 ± 0.14 |

 Table 1. Biodistribution Results of ⁶⁴Cu(II) and ⁶⁴Cu(I) in B16F10 Tumor-Bearing Mice (n = 4).

| | 1 h | | 2 h | | 4h | | 24 h | | 48 h | | 72 h | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Ratio | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) | | |
| Tumor-to-blood | 3.40 ± 0.13 | 3.67 ± 0.32 | 4.28 ± 0.49 | 4.19 ± 0.39 | 4.55 ± 0.02 | 4.48 ± 0.39 | 3.85 ± 0.51 | 3.82 ± 0.41 | 2.44 ± 0.18 | 2.02 ± 0.20 | 2.79 ± 0.42 | 2.40 ± 0.29 | | |
| Tumor-to-lung | 0.90 ± 0.07 | 0.74 ± 0.05 | 0.79 ± 0.16 | 0.77 ± 0.06 | 0.83 ± 0.10 | 0.81 ± 0.10 | 0.72 ± 0.04 | 0.68 ± 0.07 | 0.47 ± 0.01 | 0.60 ± 0.02 | 0.63 ± 0.07 | 0.50 ± 0.01 | | |
| Tumor-to-liver | 0.34 ± 0.03 | 0.30 ± 0.02 | 0.39 ± 0.03 | 0.34 ± 0.06 | 0.44 ± 0.06 | 0.41 ± 0.01 | 0.37 ± 0.04 | 0.32 ± 0.01 | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 0.26 ± 0.01 | 0.30 ± 0.04 | 0.25 ± 0.02 | | |
| Tumor-to-muscle | 8.45 ± 1.36 | 11.22 ± 1.38 | 9.86 ± 1.31 | 9.99 ± 0.47 | 11.75 ± 0.84 | 10.46 ± 3.26 | 7.44 ± 1.05 | 7.43 ± 0.62 | 3.52 ± 0.40 | 3.14 ± 0.23 | 4.11 ± 0.07 | 4.60 ± 0.67 | | |

Table 2. Tumor-to-non tumor Ratios of ⁶⁴Cu(II) and ⁶⁴Cu(I) in B16F10 Tumor-Bearing Mice (n = 4).

| ٠ | • • | * - | • • | • • | • • | • | • • | • • | * | • • | • | • • | • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • | • • | • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • | • • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | • • | * | • • | • • | * 1 | • • | • | • • | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | 72 h | |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Organ (%ID/g) | Cu(II) | Cu(I) |
| Tumor | 3.59 ± 0.36 | $3.44 \!\pm\! 0.52$ |
| Blood | 1.94 ± 0.56 | 1.90 ± 0.50 |
| Heart | 5.86 ± 0.55 | 6.03 ± 0.48 |
| Lungs | 6.15 ± 0.83 | 5.78 ± 0.60 |
| Liver | 13.33 ± 1.27 | 13.29 ± 2.51 |
| Spleen | 3.49 ± 0.15 | 3.41 ± 0.36 |
| Stomach | 5.46 ± 0.76 | 4.78 ± 1.25 |
| Pancreas | 2.84 ± 0.60 | $2.98 \!\pm\! 0.22$ |
| Intestine | 3.82 ± 0.42 | 3.63 ± 0.65 |
| Kidneys | 9.45 ± 0.34 | 8.87 ± 0.60 |
| Brain | 1.00 ± 0.07 | 0.89 ± 0.14 |
| Skin | 1.95 ± 0.36 | 1.92 ± 0.45 |
| Muscle | 1.16 ± 0.30 | 1.01 ± 0.14 |
| Bone | 1.08 ± 0.22 | 1.04 ± 0.34 |
| | Ratio | |
| Tumor-to-blood | 1.85 ± 0.27 | 1.81 ± 0.16 |
| Tumor-to-lung | 0.58 ± 0.16 | 0.59 ± 0.08 |
| Tumor-to-liver | 0.27 ± 0.03 | 0.25 ± 0.10 |
| Tumor-to-muscle | 3.09 ± 1.46 | 2.79 ± 0.58 |

Table 3. Biodistribution Results and Tumor-to-non tumor Ratios of ${}^{64}Cu(II)$ and ${}^{64}Cu(I)$ in A375M Tumor-Bearing Mice (n = 4).

at 72 h p.i. The high accumulation of 64 CuCl₂ and 64 CuCl by the liver and kidney was observed, with values of 13.37 \pm 1.32%ID/g and 13.29 \pm 2.51%ID/g (P > 0.05), and 10.34 \pm 0.53%ID/g and 8.87 \pm 0.60%ID/g (P > 0.05), respectively. These data also demonstrated that in mice bearing A375M tumor models, both 64 CuCl₂ and 64 CuCl



Figure 3. (A) Decay-corrected whole-body coronal small-animal PET images of B16F10 tumor-bearing mice at 1, 2, 4, 24, 48, and 72 h after intravenous injection of ${}^{64}Cu(II)$ and ${}^{64}Cu(I)$, respectively (Tumors are indicated by arrows). (B and C) Small-animal PET quantification of tumors and major organs (liver, kidney and muscle) at 1, 2, 4, 24, 48, and 72 h after injection of ${}^{64}Cu(II)$ and ${}^{64}Cu(I)$, respectively (n = 4).

were cleared through hepatobiliary and renal systems. Moreover, both 64 CuCl₂ and 64 CuCl displayed moderate tumor-to-muscle ratio at 72 h after injection (Table 3). The tumor-to-muscle ratios of 64 CuCl₂ and 64 CuCl were 3.46 ± 1.25 and 2.79 ± 0.58 (P > 0.05), respectively.

Small Animal PET of Mice Bearing B16F10 Tumor. Representative coronal and transverse small animal PET images of B16F10 tumor-bearing mice (n = 4) at different time points (1, 2, 4, 24, 48 and 72 h) after injection of 64 CuCl₂ or 64 CuCl were displayed in Fig. 3A. For both probes, the tumors were clearly delineated at 1 h p.i., and persisted to 24 h after injection, which were no longer visible at 48 and 72 h p.i. High liver and kidney uptakes were observed at early time points and beyond, verifying the hepatobiliary and renal clearance route of the two probes. Moreover, other normal organs and tissues displayed relatively low accumulation of 64 CuCl₂ or 64 CuCl at the early time points, and the radioactivity was further decreased after 24 h p.i.

Further quantification analysis (Fig. 3B and C) showed that the tumor uptake of $^{64}CuCl_2$ and $^{64}CuCl_2$ was $11.20 \pm 2.18\%ID/g$ and $11.35 \pm 2.02\%ID/g$ (P > 0.05), respectively, at 1 h p.i., of which values were $3.21 \pm 1.72\%ID/g$ and $3.69 \pm 1.34\%ID/g$ (P > 0.05), respectively, at 48 h p.i. The liver and kidney accumulation of $^{64}CuCl_2$ was $35.96 \pm 4.04\%ID/g$ and $17.68 \pm 3.02\%ID/g$, respectively, at 1 h p.i., and $11.96 \pm 1.11\%ID/g$ and $10.37 \pm 1.21\%ID/g$, respectively, at 72 h p.i. The liver and kidney uptake of $^{64}CuCl$ was similar to that of $^{64}CuCl_2$ at various time points, and there was nosignificant difference (P > 0.05). Both $^{64}CuCl_2$ and $^{64}CuCl$ displayed low muscle uptake after the injection, which was $1.25 \pm 0.15\%ID/g$ and $1.05 \pm 0.33\%ID/g$ (P > 0.05), respectively, at 1 h p.i.

Small Animal PET Imaging of Mice Bearing A375M Tumor. Similarly, Fig. 4A showed representative coronal and transverse small animal PET images of A375M tumor-bearing mice (n = 4) at different time points (1, 2, 4, 24, 48 and 72 h) after injection of ${}^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ or ${}^{64}\text{CuCl}$. For both ${}^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ and ${}^{64}\text{CuCl}$, the tumor could be clearly imaged at 1 h p.i. and the high contrast was persisted to 24 h after injection. The tumor was no longer visualized at 48 and 72 h p.i. High liver and kidney uptakes were also observed at all the time points, again indicating the hepatobiliary and renal clearance routes. Moreover, most of the normal organs and tissues also displayed relatively low accumulation of radioactivity after the injection of ${}^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ or ${}^{64}\text{CuCl}$ at the early time points.



Figure 4. (A) Decay-corrected whole-body coronal small-animal PET images of A375M tumor-bearing mice at 1, 2, 4, 24, 48, and 72 h after intravenous injection of ⁶⁴Cu(II) and ⁶⁴Cu(I), respectively (Tumors are indicated by arrows). (**B** and **C**) Small-animal PET quantification of tumors and major organs (liver, kidney and muscle) at 1, 2, 4, 24, 48, and 72 h after injection of ⁶⁴Cu(II) and ⁶⁴Cu(I), respectively (n=4).

The quantification results of small animal PET image analysis were shown in Fig. 4B and C. The tumor uptake of 64 CuCl₂ and 64 CuCl was $6.12 \pm 1.38\%$ ID/g and $6.51 \pm 1.62\%$ ID/g (P > 0.05), respectively, at 1 h p.i., and $3.15 \pm 1.22\%$ ID/g and $3.13 \pm 1.13\%$ ID/g (P > 0.05), respectively, at 48 h p.i. The liver and kidney accumulation of 64 CuCl₂ was $32.59 \pm 4.20\%$ ID/g and $16.68 \pm 2.95\%$ ID/g, respectively, at 1 h p.i., and $12.16 \pm 2.01\%$ ID/g and $10.03 \pm 1.67\%$ ID/g, respectively, at 72 h p.i. The liver and kidney uptake of 64 CuCl was similar to that of 64 CuCl₂ at 1, 2, 4, 24, 48 and 72 h, and there was no significant difference (P > 0.05). Moreover, both 64 CuCl₂ and 64 CuCl displayed low and similarmuscle uptake after the injection, which was around 1%ID/g at 1 h p.i.

Radiation Absorbed Dose Distribution in Human. The calculated radiation absorbed dose distributions in major organs of a human adult male are shown in Table 4. For both ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl probe, the liver and kidneys showed the highest theoretical radiation absorbed doses; thus, the liver and kidneys would be the dose-limiting organ to carry out cancer-targeted radionuclide therapy. ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl had the similar tumor radiation absorbed dose (1.621 *vs.* 1.808 ID/g·h). They were anticipated to be promising agents for radionuclide therapy of tumors with CTR1 overexpression. Compared with ⁶⁴Cu based probes, ⁶⁷Cu-probes showed higher tumor doses, e.g. ⁶⁷CuCl₂ (2.695 ID/g·h) and ⁶⁷CuCl (2.971 ID/g·h). However, the ⁶⁷Cu-probes radiodose in normal organs and tissues were also higher than those of ⁶⁴Cu based probes. For example: the radiation absorbed dose by liver for ⁶⁷CuCl₂ and ⁶⁷CuCl was 1.03 and 0.947 cGy/mCi, respectively, whereas for ⁶⁴CuCl₂ and ⁶⁴CuCl, it was 0.514 and 0.466 cGy/mCi, respectively.

Discussioin

Copper has many important biological roles *in vivo*, such as electron transfer, catalysis, and structural shaping². Many copper-containing compounds are biologically active, and have anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative properties^{24, 25}. On the other hand, Cu can be toxic because of its ability to generate reactive dioxygen species by cycling between Cu(I) and Cu(II) under physiological conditions²⁶. Therefore, Cu homeostasis is tightly regulated by the delicate and complex network *in vivo* of influx copper transporter (CTR1), efflux copper transporters (ATP7A and ATP7B), copper chaperons (ATOX1, Cox17, CCS), and other copper binding molecules²⁷.

⁶⁴Cu is a cyclotron-produced radionuclide with an intermediate half-life that decays by both β^+ and β^- emission, which makes it suitable for both PET imaging and radionuclide therapy of cancer^{28, 29}. Traditionally,

| Target Organ (cGy/mCi) | ⁶⁴ Cu(II) | ⁶⁷ Cu(II) | ⁶⁴ Cu(I) | ⁶⁷ Cu(I) | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Adrenals | 0.0388 | 0.0525 | 0.0387 | 0.0517 | | | | |
| Brain | 0.011 | 0.0259 | 0.0106 | 0.0241 | | | | |
| Gallbladder wall | 0.0576 | 0.0763 | 0.0553 | 0.0729 | | | | |
| Large Intestine Wall | 0.244 | 0.477 | 0.264 | 0.488 | | | | |
| Small Intestine Wall | 0.17 | 0.331 | 0.184 | 0.339 | | | | |
| Stomach Wall | 0.164 | 0.303 | 0.165 | 0.307 | | | | |
| Heart Wall | 0.0896 | 0.204 | 0.0891 | 0.207 | | | | |
| Kidneys | 0.573 | 1.3 | 0.66 | 1.46 | | | | |
| Liver | 0.514 | 1.03 | 0.466 | 0.947 | | | | |
| Lungs | 0.0872 | 0.175 | 0.0949 | 0.193 | | | | |
| Muscle | 0.0104 | 0.0127 | 0.0106 | 0.0128 | | | | |
| Pancreas | 0.174 | 0.38 | 0.197 | 0.397 | | | | |
| Red Marrow | 0.0164 | 0.0219 | 0.0172 | 0.0209 | | | | |
| Osteogenic Cells | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0216 | 0.0306 | | | | |
| Skin | 0.00818 | 0.0125 | 0.00865 | 0.0125 | | | | |
| Spleen | 0.121 | 0.251 | 0.0897 | 0.189 | | | | |
| Testes | 0.00687 | 0.0112 | 0.00764 | 0.0114 | | | | |
| Thymus | 0.0112 | 0.017 | 0.0117 | 0.017 | | | | |
| Thyroid | 0.00634 | 0.0111 | 0.00697 | 0.0112 | | | | |
| Bladder Wall | 0.0121 | 0.0178 | 0.0132 | 0.0181 | | | | |
| Uterus | 0.0185 | 0.0259 | 0.02 | 0.0263 | | | | |
| Total Body | 0.0329 | 0.0611 | 0.0329 | 0.06 | | | | |
| (ID/g·h) | ⁶⁴ Cu(II) | ⁶⁷ Cu(II) | ⁶⁴ Cu(I) | ⁶⁷ Cu(I) | | | | |
| Tumor | 1.621 | 2.695 | 1.808 | 2.971 | | | | |

Table 4. Estimated radiation absorbed doses of $^{67/64}$ Cu(II/I) in major organs of an adult male patient based onthe biodistribution data obtained from B16F10 tumor-bearing mice.

⁶⁴Cu(II) has been widely applied in radiolabeling small molecules, peptides, proteins, antibodies and nanoparticles through various biofunctional chelators, such as 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid (NOTA), 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N, N', N", N"'-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) and Triethylenetetramine (TETA), and AmBaSar, which have been proven to display *in vivo* good metabolism in animal models. Some of ⁶⁴Cu(II) labeled probes have been translated into clinical applications, such as successfully using ⁶⁴Cu-DOTATATE for imaging of human neuroendocrine tumors³⁰. Recently, with the better understanding the role of CTR1 as a new biomarker for tumor, ⁶⁴CuCl₂ has been reported to be a novel and promising PET probe for imaging several types of cancers including melanoma, human head and neck cancer, and prostate cancer^{12, 22, 31, 32}. However, previous reports indicate that CTR1 is the specific influx copper transporter for Cu(I)^{3, 23}. In our previous study, melanoma cell lines B16F10 as well as A375M displayed high level of CTR1 expression, which could be clearly visible by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ PET imaging¹². Thus, in this study, both B16F10 and A375M cell lines were continued to use for evaluation of ⁶⁴Cu(I) probe.

It is reported that with the existence of antioxidants VitC or DTT, ⁶⁴Cu(II) can be reduced to ⁶⁴Cu(I)^{33–36}. Compared with ⁶⁴Cu(II) uptake by melanoma cell lines, both B16F10 and A375M showed significantly higher ⁶⁴Cu(I) uptake (P < 0.05). Wang C *et al.* reported ⁶⁴Cu(II) was also reduced to ⁶⁴Cu(I) under the existence of both DTT and cysteine, which could help the cell uptake of ⁶⁴Cu via CTR1³⁴. Interestingly, obvious decreasing cell uptake of copper radioactivity was observed in our study, which may be caused by different tumor cell lines used in different studies. The cell efflux of radioactive copper was further studied in our study. There was small difference of cellular retention of ⁶⁴Cu between ⁶⁴Cu(II) and ⁶⁴Cu(I), and this observation could be attributed to that the cellular efflux of copper was mainly mediated by copper transporters (ATPases)^{27, 37, 38}. ATPases, ATP7A and ATP7B, translocate to the cell membrane and function as efflux pumps to excrete copper from cytosol.

B16F10 tumor-bearing mice were well visualized by small animal PET at 1 h after the intravenous administration of ${}^{64}Cu(II)$ or ${}^{64}Cu(I)$ via tail vein. Although ${}^{64}Cu$ was existed in the form of ${}^{64}Cu(I)$ under the conditions mixed with VitC *in vitro*, the quick changing from unstable Cu(I) to stable Cu(II) could happen because of the dilution of VitC, and next oxidation reaction under physiological conditions *in vivo*^{2, 5}. Therefore, overall, the results of PET image quantitative analysis of ${}^{64}Cu$ radioactivity in tumor and other normal tissues of ${}^{64}Cu(I)$ were highly similar to those of ${}^{64}Cu(II)$. In addition, the biodistribution data for both ${}^{64}Cu(II)$ and ${}^{64}Cu(I)$, in general, agreed well with the small animal PET quantification results. Moreover, for A375M tumor-bearing mice, the PET images and biodistribution results of ${}^{64}Cu(II)$ and ${}^{64}Cu(I)$ were similar.

Čonsidering the combination therapy with imaging, the radiation absorbed dose distribution of ⁶⁴Cu(I/II) and ⁶⁷Cu(I/II) in a human adult male was analyzed in this study. For ^{64/67}Cu(II/I), theoretical radiation absorbed dose distributions in major organs of a human adult male further suggest that the melanoma have high radiation absorbed dose. However, because of the high accumulation of copper in normal organs, such as liver and kidney, and high costs of this kind of radionuclide, ^{64/67}Cu could not be recommended for melanoma therapy use unless

targeted therapy can be great potentials if with good ideas. It also should be noted that this dose calculation does not mean the exactly same dose distribution results in patient studies. The real patient-specific dosimetry needs to be performed in patient studies.

In conclusion, compared with ⁶⁴Cu(II), ⁶⁴Cu(I) exhibited higher cellular uptake by melanoma, which further testified CTR1 specially influx of Cu(I). However, due to oxidation reaction *in vivo*, no significant difference between ⁶⁴Cu(I) and ⁶⁴Cu(II) was observed through PET images and biodistribution. The *in vivo* stability of ⁶⁴Cu(I) should be further studied to evaluate it as a PET imaging radiotracer.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and Cell Culture. ⁶⁴CuCl₂ was purchased from the Department of Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin at Madison (Madison, WI). The pH was adjusted to 7.0 and ⁶⁴CuCl₂ solution was diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer (PBS, 0.01 M, pH 7.4). Sodium L-ascorbate (VitC), DL-dithiothreitol (DTT, >99%), cysteine, and copper(II) chloride (CuCl₂) (97%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

B16F10 murine melanoma cells and A375M human melanoma cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA), and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's high-glucose medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (P/S). The cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified 95% air and 5% CO_2 incubator.

In Vitro Cell uptake and Efflux Studies. Cell uptake and efflux studies were performed on B16F10 and A375M cells, respectively. Briefly, melanoma cells $(0.3 \times 10^6 \text{ per well}, \text{triplicate for each group})$ were plated in 12-well plates and incubated at 37 °C overnight. The cells were then incubated for various times (0.5, 1, 2 and 4h) at 37 °C with 37 KBq $(1 \mu \text{Ci})^{64}$ CuCl₂, 37 KBq $(1 \mu \text{Ci})^{64}$ CuCl [prepared by 64 CuCl₂ with VitC (2.5 mM)], 37 KBq $(1 \mu \text{Ci})^{64}$ CuCl₂ with and DTT (2.5 mM)], and 37 KBq $(1 \mu \text{Ci})^{64}$ CuCl [prepared by 64 CuCl₂ with DTT (2.5 mM) and cysteine (20 mM)] in serum-free medium, respectively. Due to much excess of VitC, DTT or cysteine, Cu(II) could be instantaneously reduced to Cu(I) at the moment of the mixed together and be maintained the reduction statement. Moreover, non-radioactive Cu(II/I) (20 nmol/mL, 0.5 mL per well) was added to block the uptake of 64 Cu radioactivity in the blocking groups. At designated time points, radioactive medium was aspirated and cells were washed 3 times with ice-cold PBS and lysed with 0.1 M NaOH for 5 min at room temperature. The radioactivity of the cell lysates was counted by a Wallac 1480 automated γ -counter (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

For efflux studies, cells were initially incubated with 64 CuCl₂ or 64 CuCl (prepared by reducing 64 CuCl₂ with VitC) for 2 h under the conditions described above, respectively. Then radioactive medium was aspirated and the cells were washed 3 times with PBS buffer. Fresh medium was added and cells were maintained at 37 °C. At different time points (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 16 and 24 h), the supernatant and cell lysate were collected separately and their radioactivity was counted. Cellular retention was calculated by dividing the radioactivity of the cells by the total radioactivity added into the cells at 0 h.

Subcutaneous Tumor Model. Female C57BL/6 mice and female athymic nude mice (nu/nu) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Boston, MA, USA) at 5–6 weeks old and kept under sterile conditions. About 3×10^6 B16F10 and 1×10^7 A375M cells suspended in $100 \,\mu$ L of PBS were implanted subcutaneously into the right shoulders of C57BL/6 mice and nude mice, respectively. Tumors were grown to a size of 0.5–1 cm in diameter (2–4 weeks). All animal experiments were performed under the approval of Stanford University's Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care (APLAC). All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Small Animal PET Imaging. PET of tumor-bearing mice was performed using a small animal PET scanner (Siemens Invenon). B16F10 tumor-bearing mice (n = 4 for each group) were injected via the tail vein with 2.96–3.33 MBq ($80-90 \mu$ Ci)⁶⁴CuCl₂ and 2.96–3.33 MBq ($80-90 \mu$ Ci)⁶⁴CuCl (prepared by ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC (2.5 mM)], respectively. At 1, 2, 4, 24, 48 and 72 h post-injection (p.i.), mice were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane (5% for induction and 2% for maintenance in 100% O₂) for imaging experiments. With the help of a laser beam attached to the scanner, the mice were placed in the prone position and near the center of the field of view of the scanner. Static scans at 24, 48 and 72 h after injection (scanning time, 10 min) and at other time points (scanning time, 5 min) were obtained. The images were reconstructed with two-dimensional ordered-subset expectation maximization (OSEM 2D) algorithm. The method for quantification analysis of small-animal PET images was the same as previously reported³⁹. Small Animal PET Imaging and quantification analysis of mice bearing A375M tumors was similar to that of B16F10.

Biodistribution Studies. Anesthetized B16F10 tumor-bearing mice (n = 4 for each group) were injected with approximately ⁶⁴CuCl₂ (2.96–3.33 MBq [80–90 μ Ci]) and ⁶⁴CuCl (prepared by adding 2.96–3.33 MBq [80–90 μ Ci]) ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with VitC (2.5 mM)], respectively, via the tail vein and sacrificed at different time points from 1 to 72 h p.i. Tumor and normal tissues of interest (blood, muscle, heart, liver, lungs, kidneys, spleen, brain, intestine, skin, stomach, pancreas and so on) were removed and weighed, and their radioactivity levels were measured with a γ -counter. The radioactivity uptake in the tumor and normal tissues was expressed as a percentage of the injected radioactive dose per gram of tissue (%ID/g).

Similar to the biodistribution in B16F10 tumor-bearing mice, A375M tumor-bearing mice (n = 4 for each group) were injected with approximately ⁶⁴CuCl₂ (2.96–3.33 MBq [80–90 μ Ci]), and ⁶⁴CuCl₂ [prepared by adding 2.96–3.33 MBq [80–90 μ Ci] ⁶⁴CuCl₂ with Vit C (2.5 mM)], respectively, via the tail vein and sacrificed at 72 h p.i.

Radiation Absorbed Dose Calculation. To evaluate the possibly clinical application in future, radiation absorbed dose distribution of ⁶⁴Cu(I/II) in a human adult male studied within a 48-h period was calculated based on mice models with B16F10 tumor, using OLINDA/EXM (RADAR, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA) software code based on the same % injection dose (ID)/organ⁴⁰. In brief, the accumulated activity in each organ (%ID × time) from 0 to 48 h was obtained by calculating the area under the %ID-time curve. Percent ID in each organ was decay-corrected before the application for accumulated activity calculation. The number of disintegrations in each major organ, (cumulated activity in %ID × h)/100, then was input into OLINDA/EXM software for radiation absorbed dose calculation. Moreover, the radiation absorbed dose distribution of ⁶⁷Cu(I/II) in a human adult male was also analyzed on the basis of ⁶⁴Cu(I/II) data.

Statistical Analysis. SPSS 18.0 software for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago) was used for statistical analysis. The quantitative data were expressed as mean \pm SD, and analyzed and compared using the Student *t* test. The 95% confidence level was chosen to determine the significance between groups, with *P* < 0.05 indicating a significant difference.

References

- Linder, M. C. & Hazegh-Azam, M. Copper biochemistry and molecular biology. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 63, 797S-811S (1996).
- 2. Puig, S. & Thiele, D. J. Molecular mechanisms of copper uptake and distribution. *Current opinion in chemical biology* **6**, 171–180, doi:10.1016/S1367-5931(02)00298-3 (2002).
- 3. Tsigelny, I. F. *et al.* An all-atom model of the structure of human copper transporter 1. *Cell biochemistry and biophysics* **63**, 223–234, doi:10.1007/s12013-012-9358-x (2012).
- Ruiz, L. M. et al. Non-cytotoxic copper overload boosts mitochondrial energy metabolism to modulate cell proliferation and differentiation in the human erythroleukemic cell line K562. *Mitochondrion* 29, 18–30, doi:10.1016/j.mito.2016.04.005 (2016).
- Sharp, P. A. Ctr1 and its role in body copper homeostasis. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology* 35, 288–291 (2003).
 Schwab, S., Shearer, J., Conklin, S. E., Alies, B. & Haas, K. L. Sequence proximity between Cu(II) and Cu(I) binding sites of human copper transporter 1 model peptides defines reactivity with ascorbate and O2. Journal of inorganic biochemistry 158, 70–76, doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2015.12.021 (2016).
- Theophanides, T. & Anastassopoulou, J. Copper and carcinogenesis. Critical reviews in oncology/hematology 42, 57–64, doi:10.1016/ \$1040-8428(02)00007-0 (2002).
- Turski, M. L. & Thiele, D. J. New roles for copper metabolism in cell proliferation, signaling, and disease. The Journal of biological chemistry 284, 717–721, doi:10.1074/jbc.R800055200 (2009).
- Zatulovskaia, Y. A., Ilyechova, E. Y. & Puchkova, L. V. The Features of Copper Metabolism in the Rat Liver during Development. PloS one 10, e0140797, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140797 (2015).
- Cai, H. et al. Reduced ⁶⁴Cu uptake and tumor growth inhibition by knockdown of human copper transporter 1 in xenograft mouse model of prostate cancer. Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine 55, 622–628, doi:10.2967/ jnumed.113.126979 (2014).
- Holzer, A. K. et al. Expression of the human copper influx transporter 1 in normal and malignant human tissues. The journal of histochemistry and cytochemistry: official journal of the Histochemistry Society 54, 1041–1049, doi:10.1369/jhc.6A6970.2006 (2006).
- Qin, C. et al. Theranostics of malignant melanoma with ⁶⁴CuCl₂. Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine 55, 812–817, doi:10.2967/jnumed.113.133850 (2014).
- Wachsmann, J. & Peng, F. Molecular imaging and therapy targeting copper metabolism in hepatocellular carcinoma. World journal of gastroenterology 22, 221–231, doi:10.3748/wjg.v22.i1.221 (2016).
- Cai, Z. & Anderson, C. J. Chelators for copper radionuclides in positron emission tomography radiopharmaceuticals. Journal of labelled compounds & radiopharmaceuticals 57, 224–230, doi:10.1002/jlcr.3165 (2014).
- 15. Williams, H. A., Robinson, S., Julyan, P., Zweit, J. & Hastings, D. A comparison of PET imaging characteristics of various copper
- radioisotopes. European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging 32, 1473–1480, doi:10.1007/s00259-005-1906-9 (2005).
 16. Novak-Hofer, I. & Schubiger, P. A. Copper-67 as a therapeutic nuclide for radioimmunotherapy. European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging 29, 821–830, doi:10.1007/s00259-001-0724-y (2002).
- Zhang, T. et al. PET with 62Cu-ATSM and 62Cu-PTSM is a useful imaging tool for hypoxia and perfusion in pulmonary lesions. AJR. American journal of roentgenology 201, W698–706, doi:10.2214/AJR.12.9698 (2013).
- Wong, T. Z. et al. PET of hypoxia and perfusion with 62Cu-ATSM and 62Cu-PTSM using a 62Zn/62Cu generator. AJR. American journal of roentgenology 190, 427–432, doi:10.2214/AJR.07.2876 (2008).
- Smith, N. A., Bowers, D. L. & Ehst, D. A. The production, separation, and use of 67Cu for radioimmunotherapy: a review. Applied radiation and isotopes: including data, instrumentation and methods for use in agriculture, industry and medicine 70, 2377–2383, doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2012.07.009 (2012).
- 20. Qaim, S. M. Decay data and production yields of some non-standard positron emitters used in PET. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 52, 111–120 (2008).
- Smith, S. V. Molecular imaging with copper-64 in the drug discovery and development arena. *Expert opinion on drug discovery* 2, 659–672, doi:10.1517/17460441.2.5.659 (2007).
- Zhang, H., Cai, H., Lu, X., Muzik, O. & Peng, F. Positron emission tomography of human hepatocellular carcinoma xenografts in mice using copper (II)-64 chloride as a tracer with copper (II)-64 chloride. *Academic radiology* 18, 1561–1568, doi:10.1016/j. acra.2011.08.006 (2011).
- Lee, J., Pena, M. M., Nose, Y. & Thiele, D. J. Biochemical characterization of the human copper transporter Ctr1. The Journal of biological chemistry 277, 4380–4387, doi:10.1074/jbc.M104728200 (2002).
- Tardito, S. & Marchio, L. Copper compounds in anticancer strategies. Current medicinal chemistry 16, 1325–1348, doi:10.2174/092986709787846532 (2009).
- Iakovidis, I., Delimaris, I. & Piperakis, S. M. Copper and its complexes in medicine: a biochemical approach. *Molecular biology* international 2011, 594529–13, doi:10.4061/2011/594529 (2011).
- Lutsenko, S. Human copper homeostasis: a network of interconnected pathways. Current opinion in chemical biology 14, 211–217, doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.01.003 (2010).
- Bryan, J. N. et al. Comparative uptakes and biodistributions of internalizing vs. noninternalizing copper-64 radioimmunoconjugates in cell and animal models of colon cancer. Nuclear medicine and biology 32, 851–858, doi:10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2005.05.006 (2005).
- Cutler, C. S. et al. Labeling and in vivo evaluation of novel copper(II) dioxotetraazamacrocyclic complexes. Nuclear medicine and biology 27, 375–380, doi:10.1016/S0969-8051(00)00101-3 (2000).
- Pfeifer, A. et al. Clinical PET of neuroendocrine tumors using ⁶⁴Cu-DOTATATE: first-in-humans study. Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine 53, 1207–1215, doi:10.2967/jnumed.111.101469 (2012).

- Wang, H. & Chen, X. Visualization of copper metabolism by ⁶⁴CuCl(2)-PET. Molecular imaging and biology: MIB: the official publication of the Academy of Molecular Imaging 14, 14–16, doi:10.1007/s11307-011-0483-5 (2012).
- Peng, F., Lu, X., Janisse, J., Muzik, O. & Shields, A. F. PET of human prostate cancer xenografts in mice with increased uptake of ⁶⁴CuCl₂. *Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 47, 1649–1652 (2006).
 Li, F., Jorgensen, J. T., Forman, J., Hansen, A. E. & Kjaer, A. ⁶⁴Cu-ATSM Reflects pO2 Levels in Human Head and Neck Cancer
- Li, F., Jorgensen, J. T., Forman, J., Hansen, A. E. & Kjaer, A. ⁶⁴Cu-ATSM Reflects pO2 Levels in Human Head and Neck Cancer Xenografts but Not in Colorectal Cancer Xenografts: Comparison with ⁶⁴CuCl₂. *Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 57, 437–443, doi:10.2967/jnumed.115.155663 (2016).
- Thiel, K., Klamroth, T., Strauch, P. & Taubert, A. On the interaction of ascorbic acid and the tetrachlorocuprate ion [CuCl4]2- in CuCl nanoplatelet formation from an ionic liquid precursor (ILP). *Physical chemistry chemical physics: PCCP* 13, 13537–13543, doi:10.1039/c1cp20648f (2011).
- Wang, C. et al. Fluorescence sensor for Cu(II) in the serum sample based on click chemistry. The Analyst 139, 656–659, doi:10.1039/ c3an01262j (2014).
- Peng, F., Lutsenko, S., Sun, X. & Muzik, O. Positron emission tomography of copper metabolism in the Atp7b -/- knock-out mouse model of Wilson's disease. *Molecular imaging and biology: MIB: the official publication of the Academy of Molecular Imaging* 14, 70-78, doi:10.1007/s11307-011-0476-4 (2012).
- Glaser, M. & Arstad, E. "Click labeling" with 2-[18f]fluoroethylazide for positron emission tomography. *Bioconjugate chemistry* 18, 989–993, doi:10.1021/bc060301j (2007).
- Gonzalez-Guerrero, M., Raimunda, D., Cheng, X. & Arguello, J. M. Distinct functional roles of homologous Cu⁺ efflux ATPases in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Molecular microbiology* 78, 1246–1258, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07402.x (2010).
- Hueting, R. Radiocopper for the imaging of copper metabolism. Journal of labelled compounds & radiopharmaceuticals 57, 231–238, doi:10.1002/jlcr.3155 (2014).
- Jiang, L. et al. Evaluation of a (64)Cu-labeled cystine-knot peptide based on agouti-related protein for PET of tumors expressing alphavbeta3 integrin. Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine 51, 251–258, doi:10.2967/ jnumed.109.069831 (2010).
- Stabin, M. G., Sparks, R. B. & Crowe, E. OLINDA/EXM: the second-generation personal computer software for internal dose assessment in nuclear medicine. Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine 46, 1023–1027 (2005).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported, in part, by the Office of Science (BER), U.S. Department of Energy (DE-SC0008397), NCI *In Vivo* Cellular Molecular Imaging Center (ICMIC) grant P50 CA114747, National Science Foundation for Scholars of China (Grant No. 81571703), and funding sponsored by Shanghai Pujiang Talent Program (Grant No. 2015PJD006) and Zhongshan Hospital Outstanding Youth Talent Program (Grant No. 2015ZSYXQN17).

Author Contributions

Lei Jiang, Yingfeng Tu, Xiang Hu, Hao Chen, Xiaowei Ma carried out experiments. Tim Doyle helped small animal PET experiment. Ande Bao did the calculation of radiation absorbed dose distribution in human. Lei Jiang wrote the manuscript. Zhen Cheng and Hongcheng Shi commented the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017