scientific data

Check for updates

OPEN Three *de novo* assembled wild DATA DESCRIPTOR Cacao genomes from the Upper Amazon

Orestis Nousias^{1,4}, Jinfang Zheng ^{1,4}, Tang Li¹, Lyndel W. Meinhardt², Bryan Bailey², Osman Gutierrez³, Indrani K. Baruah², Stephen P. Cohen², Dapeng Zhang² & Yanbin Yin ¹

Theobroma cacao, the chocolate tree, is indigenous to the Amazon basin, the greatest biodiversity hotspot on earth. Recent advancement in plant genomics highlights the importance of *de novo* sequencing of multiple reference genomes to capture the genome diversity present in different cacao populations. In this study, three high-quality chromosome-level genomes of wild cacao were constructed, *de novo* assembled with HiFi long reads sequencing, and scaffolded using a reference-free strategy. These genomes represent the three most important genetic clusters of cacao trees from the Upper Amazon region. The three wild cacao genomes were compared with two reference genomes of domesticated cacao. The five cacao genetic clusters were inferred to have diverged in the early and middle Pleistocene period, approximately 1.83–0.69 million years ago. The results shown here serve as an example of understanding how the Amazonian biodiversity was developed. The three wild cacao genomes provide valuable resources for studying genetic diversity and advancing genetic improvement of this species.

Background & Summary

Cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) is an evergreen tree native to the Amazon rainforests^{1,2}. Cacao is a diploid (2n = 2x = 20) species in the *Malvaceae* family. Among the 22 known species in the genus *Theobroma*, *T. cacao* is the only one grown commercially for the production of seeds (beans), which serve as the raw material for chocolate production. Cacao farming predominantly takes place on small-scale farms located in tropical developing nations. It is estimated that five to six million small holder farmers are engaged in cacao farming worldwide, supporting the livelihoods of approximately 40 to 50 million people³. With a global production of 4.75 million metric tons of dry cacao beans (The International Cocoa Organization, 2020), the global chocolate industry had a retail market value of USD 106.2 billion in 2017 and is expected to grow to USD 189.9 billion by 2026⁴.

The domestication of cacao is believed to have occurred around 5,300 years ago⁵. It is proposed that domestication took place at multiple sites by different indigenous groups in tropical America^{5–8}. The fermentation of sweet cacao for the production of an alcoholic beverage preceded the grinding of the seeds and may have played a crucial role in the initial development of cacao farming^{5,7,8}. While cacao was initially classified into three genetic groups (Criollo, Forastero, and Trinitario), subsequent research suggests that cacao germplasms can be divided into ten distinct genetic clusters, including Amelonado, Contamana, Criollo, Curaray, Guianna, Iquitos, Marañon, Nacional, Nanay, and Purús⁹. Recent collecting expeditions in the Amazon have identified additional germplasm groups, expanding our understanding of cacao's genetic diversity^{10,11}. These natural populations represent the primary gene pool of cacao in the Amazon basin, spanning from French Guiana to Bolivia^{2,12,13}. Consequently, cacao is among the tropical plants with natural populations distributed throughout the entire Amazon region. These populations exhibit differentiation and adaptation to diverse environmental conditions, making cacao an ideal species for studying the spatial distribution of genetic diversity in tree species within the Amazon.

The hypothesis of Pleistocene refugia has been proposed to explain the significant intra-specific divergence of T. cacao in the Amazon^{13,14}. According to this theory, the glacial cycles of the Pleistocene period caused forest

¹Nebraska Food for Health Center, Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA. ²U.S. Department of Agriculture, Sustainable Perennial Crops Laboratory, Beltsville, MD, USA. ³U.S. Department of Agriculture, Subtropical Horticulture Research Station, Miami, FL, USA. ⁴These authors contributed equally: Orestis Nousias, Jinfang Zheng. ^{IM}e-mail: dapeng.zhang@usda.gov; yyin@unl.edu





.....

cover to contract to limited areas or refuges, leading to the isolation of populations within climatically suitable regions and facilitating allopatric differentiation¹⁵. However, research by Motamayor *et al.* (2008) has shown that the geographical distribution of cacao genetic clusters does not correspond to the proposed refuge centers for other species in the region^{16,17}. Instead, the differentiation patterns observed in the studied populations appear to align with potential dispersal barriers created by ancient ridges or palaeoarches⁹. Apart from the conflicting hypotheses explaining the geographical distribution of cacao populations, the timing of intra-specific divergence has also been a subject of debate. Richardson *et al.*¹⁸ analyzed dated phylogenies of chloroplast and nuclear DNA sequences and demonstrated that cacao diverged from its most recent common ancestor approximately 9.9 million years ago during the mid-to-late Miocene¹⁸. This suggests that cacao had ample time to generate genetic diversity within the species. In the same study, two individuals of *T. cacao* formed a monophyletic group with stem and crown node ages estimated at 6.5 million years ago and 1.2 million years ago, respectively¹⁸. Conversely, Thomas *et al.*¹⁴ proposed that the last glaciation period (22,000 to 13,000 years BP) had the most significant pre-human impact on the present distribution and diversity of cacao¹⁴.

The genome size of cacao is estimated to be between 430 and 445 Mbp based on reported reference genome assemblies of the two domesticated populations, namely 'B97-61/B2' from the Criollo group and 'Matina 1–6' from the Amelonado^{19–21}. These reference genomes have provided valuable resources for recent studies on the origin, evolution, and adaptation of cacao^{22–24}. They have also facilitated the development of genomic tools for breeding new cacao varieties with improved yield, tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress, and desired quality attributes^{25–29}.

However, in recent years, increased plant genome projects have revealed significant genomic variation among related individuals. This high degree of genomic variation highlights the importance of *de novo* sequencing of multiple reference genomes to capture the genome diversity present within a given plant species^{30,31}. Furthermore, the two cacao varieties with published reference genomes (Criollo and Amelonado) are self-compatible, and represent domesticated varieties from Mesoamerica and East Amazonia, respectively, and are far removed from the putative origin and center of genetic diversity for cacao. Recently, thirty-one high-quality genome assemblies were reported from four genetic populations (Iquitos, Nanay, Marañon, and Guiana)²³. These genomes were *de novo* assembled into contigs using short reads and then scaffolded using a reference-based approach (with the Matina 1–6 reference genome), resulting in assembly sizes smaller (ranging from 30 to 70 Mb) than the estimated k-mer sizes, suggesting sequence data was lost due to the lack of reference-free scaffolding.

In this study, we present three high-quality *de novo* genome assemblies and their annotations for wild cacao populations originating from the Upper Amazon region (Contamana, Iquitos, Nanay, Fig. 1). We produced completely *de novo* chromosome-scale genome assemblies using long reads and Hi-C technology for comparative genomics research in cacao. By analyzing the genomic distance based on single-copy orthologous genes, we provide new insights into the timing of the intra-specific divergence of cacao. This study provides valuable genomic resources for cacao, serving as essential references for future research on the conservation and utilization of cacao genetic diversity.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and long read sequencing. Leaf samples of *T. cacao* clones "SCA 6" (Contamana population), "IMC 67" (Iquitos population), and "POUND 7" (Nanay population) were collected from the greenhouse of USDA-ARS Sustainable Perennial Crops Laboratory (SPCL), Beltsville, Maryland. These three wild cacao clones were originally collected from the Peruvian Amazon (indicated in the map in Fig. 1), the putative center of origin of *T. cacao*. DNA samples were sequenced on PacBio Sequel II 8 M SMRT cells generating 42.6, 38.1, 40.7 gigabases of data for Contamana, Iquitos, and Nanay, respectively, corresponding to more than 100X coverage of each genome. The PacBio HiFi reads were used as an input to Hifiasm1 v0.15.4-r347^{32,33} with default parameters. BLAST results of the Hifiasm output assembly against the nt database were used as input for blobtools2 v1.1.1³⁴ and scaffolds identified as possible contamination were removed from the assembly. Finally, purge_dups v1.2.5³⁵ was used to remove haplotigs and contig overlaps.

Chromatin conformation capture and sequencing. For each of the three cacao varieties, the Omni-C technique from Dovetail Genomics was employed to perform chromatin conformation capture³⁶. In the process, chromatin was initially fixed using formaldehyde within the cell nucleus, followed by extraction. The fixed chromatin underwent DNAse I digestion, after which the ends of the chromatin were repaired and connected to a biotinylated bridge adapter. This was succeeded by a proximity ligation of ends containing the adapter. Post-proximity ligation, the crosslinks were undone, and the DNA was subsequently purified. The purified DNA was then subjected to a biotin removal process, specifically targeting biotin not incorporated within the ligated fragments. Sequencing libraries were created using NEBNext Ultra enzymes from New England Biolabs and adapters compatible with Illumina. Fragments containing biotin were separated using streptavidin beads, followed by PCR amplification of each library. The sequencing of the library was conducted on an Illumina HiSeqX system. Finally, only reads with a mapping quality (MQ) greater than 50 were selected for use in scaffolding.

de novo scaffolding the assembly with HiRise. The *de novo* genome assembly from HiFi long reads and Dovetail OmniC library reads were used as input data for HiRise v2.0.5, a software pipeline designed specifically for using proximity ligation data to scaffold genome assemblies³⁷. Dovetail OmniC library sequences were aligned to the draft input assembly using bwa v0.7.11³⁸. The separations of Dovetail OmniC read pairs mapped within draft scaffolds were analyzed by HiRise to produce a likelihood model for genomic distance between read pairs, and the model was used to identify and break putative misjoins, to score prospective joins, and make joins above a threshold.

Repeat region annotation and comparisons. Repeat regions were identified and annotated with a combination of homology and *ab initio* methods using RepeatModeler v2.0.2³⁹, RepeatMasker v4.1.3⁴⁰, and EDTA v2.0.0⁴¹ on the five cacao genomes. With RepeatMasker, repeats are assigned to different transposable element (TE) families and classes.

The repeat content rates were compared among the five cacao genomes and stratified by chromosomes and TE classes/families (Fig. 2). Overall, the genomes of the three wild cacao varieties have higher repeat contents (61.5%, 61.2%, and 59.7% of the total genome lengths for Contamana, Iquitos, and Nanay, respectively, Fig. 2a) than the genomes of the two domesticated varieties (52.3% and 56.3% for Criollo and Matina, respectively). This may be because the domestication through artificial selection has purged the repetitive elements in the two genomes. These large differences of repeat contents among the five genomes also suggest that they have diverged early in evolution (species tree in Fig. 2a, see details later).

The repeat content profile also varies significantly among chromosomes of the three new genomes and the two reference genomes (Fig. 2b). In all the five genomes, Chr7 and Chr10 tend to have higher repeat contents than other chromosomes; the two chromosomes also vary the most among the five genomes along with Chr6 and Chr8 (>12% difference between the lowest Criollo and the highest Contamana). Chr1 and Chr9 have the lowest repeat contents in the five genomes. These inter-chromosomal variations in terms of repeat contents suggest the evolutionary selection pressure varies on different chromosomes influencing TE repeat content.

Breaking down the repeat contents into TE classes revealed that the three new genomes have higher percentages of long terminal retroelement (LTR) Gypsy repeats (dark green bars in Fig. 2a) as well unclassified TE repeats (dark blue bars) than Criollo and Matina. Further looking at the TE families (Fig. 2c) under each class identified some genome-specific TE families, such as hAT, hAT-Charlie and SINE in Nanay, Ginger-1 in Iquitos, and Maverick in Contamana. There are also TE families significantly expanded in some genomes, such as CMC-EnSpm, L1-Tx1 and Penelope in Contamana, ERV1 and ERVK in Criollo and Matina, Helitron-2 in Iquitos and Contamana.

To study the divergence rate of different TEs, we used the RepeatMasker utility scripts to calculate the kimura distances between the repeats of each TE family in Cacao genomes and the *Arabidopsis thaliana* reference repeat sequences. The *Arabidopsis* repeat sequences are provided by RepeatMasker and chosen at the command line aligning cacao repeats with them. Next we used parseRM.pl⁴² (https://github.com/4ureliek/ Parsing-RepeatMasker-Outputs) to parse the repeat sequence alignments and get the bins of the Kimura distances. These bins contain the counts of the repeat elements of each TE family with the Kimura distance in the range of the bin (e.g., [0,0.1]). We compared the divergence rates of different TE families (between cacao and *A. thaliana*) by plotting their Kimura distance distributions. All analyses were performed with custom python scripts.

Kimura distance for each repeat pair indicates the divergence rate after Criollo and Arabidopsis separated from each other. Taking all the repeat pairs of each TE class and their Kimura distances to make plots (Fig. 2d), we found that the LTR (Copia + Gypsy) repeat distributions had a peak at a lower divergence rate than the peak of DNA and LINE\L1 repeat distributions. This suggests that LTR repeats have evolved slower than other TE



Fig. 2 Repeat content comparisons among the five cacao genomes. (**a**) On the left is the species tree inferred by a super-alignment of 6,630 single-copy orthologous protein sequences from OrthoFinder. Bootstrap support is at 100 for all nodes. In addition to the five cacao genomes, Herrania umbratica is included as an outgroup. MCMCtree was used to infer the species divergence time. On the right is the bar plot of repeat content comparison of major transposable element (TE) classes. (**b**) Repeat content percentages in the 10 chromosomes of the five genomes. Repeats in all genomes were inferred using the same methods. (**c**) Comparison of repeat lengths (in kilobase pairs) at the TE family level among the five genomes. TE families are sorted according to their classes. (**d**) Kernel density (y axis) of sequence divergence rate (x axis) comparisons of the four most abundant TE families (all DNA families together as the DNA class). The Div rate is calculated as Kimura distance between each cacao repeat and their best Arabidopsis repeat match in the repeat library of RepeatMasker. Lower Div rate means the repeats are more similar between cacao and Arabidopsis or have diverged less after the two species separated.

·····

elements since the divergence of cacao and Arabidopsis. Comparing the five cacao genomes also showed that the most abundant repeat family gypsy in Criollo had evolved much faster that in the other cacao genomes (black curve in Fig. 2d with a kimura peak of a higher divergence rate). Compared to the other cacao genomes, Nanay had a peak of lower divergence rate in both Copia and Gypsy plots (blue curve in Fig. 2d). Overall, this means the LTR repeats in the domesticated cacao especially Criollo evolved faster.

Gene model statistics	Contamana	Iquitos	Nanay	Criollo	Matina
Number of protein-coding genes	20,623	21,001	20,942	21,437	27,379
Number of genes overlapping	774	778	784	452	1,829
Number of single exon genes	2,073	3,851	3,817	3,423	5,726
Mean gene length (bp)	3,647	3,560	3,557	3,960	3,530
Gene prediction Busco scores	89.2%	95.1%	93.8%	99.5%	99.3%

Table 1. Gene model prediction statistics.

-

Gene model prediction. To predict protein coding gene models from the three new cacao genomes, MAKER v3.01.04⁴³ was utilized to predict protein-coding genes through a combination of *ab initio* and homology-based techniques. The software allows the use of transcriptome and protein evidence for homology-based gene discovery. In this study, *T. cacao* RNA-seq raw reads were retrieved from GenBank (PRJNA785999, PRJNA471714) and trimmed using Trim_galore v0.6.8⁴⁴. The clean reads were then assembled into transcripts utilizing Trinity v2.15.0⁴⁵. Furthermore, all protein sequences of *T. cacao* were downloaded from Phytozome⁴⁶ and UniProt⁴⁷, using cacao as a search term in the two databases. To facilitate *ab initio* gene prediction, MAKER merged SNAP v0.0.0⁴⁸ and Augustus v3.4.0⁴⁹ outcomes, and the process was iterated three times to enhance accuracy. During the first round, Trinity transcripts, *A. thaliana* protein sequences, and UniProt protein sequences were fed into MAKER. The resulting output was then used to train Augustus models with BUSCOs v4⁵⁰ assistance and SNAP models using gene sequences from maker2gff. During the second round, MAKER employed the Augustus and SNAP models to predict *ab initio* genes, followed by another round of model training and running using the output from the second round. The third MAKER runs outcome was used as the input to PASA v2.5.2 and Evidence modeler v2.0.0^{51,52}. The final gene models were annotated for protein functions with eggNOG-mapper v2.1.6⁵³.

For the two reference genomes, we downloaded their gene models from GenBank: Criollo (GCF_000208745.1) and Matina 1–6 (GCF_000403535.1). In addition, we have also run MAKER on the two reference genomes using the same pipeline described above, in order to verify the disease resistance gene count difference observed in the five genomes (see below).

The BUSCO scores with the gene model input indicated that the gene model predictions were of high quality, ranging from 89.2% to 95.1% for our three cacao genomes (Table 1). Not surprisingly, they are lower than the two reference genomes, whose gene models have undergone continuous improvement in the last decade¹⁹⁻²¹. However, the Matina genome had a substantially higher number of predicted gene models (27,329) than the other four genomes. Moreover, the Matina genome displayed structural differences in the number of single exon genes, the number of overlapping genes, and the mean gene length compared to the other four genomes (Table 1).

Intra-species divergence time estimation. We combined protein sequences of the five cacao genomes and the *Herrania umbratica* (GCF_002168275.1, as an outgroup) to define orthologous groups (orthogroups). Genome annotation files were processed to only keep the longest isoform protein of each gene. Proteins of the six genomes were combined as input to OrthoFinder v2.5.4⁵⁴, which generates orthogroups with the alignment tool MMseqs. 2 v12-113e3⁵⁵.

A total 6,630 orthogroups were identified by OrthoFinder. All of the single-copy orthogroups (containing a single copy of gene from each of the six genomes) were aligned with MUSCLE v5⁵⁶. The alignments of the 6,630 single-copy orthogroups were concatenated into one super alignment. A phylogenetic tree was built using RAxMLv8⁵⁷ to represent the species tree with 100 bootstraps and the evolutionary model -m PROTGAMMAJTT. The species tree (Fig. 2a) with *H. umbratica* as the outgroup shows that the Mesoamerican Criollo separated from the other cultivars the earliest, followed by the East Amazonian Amelonado (Matina 1–6), then the three wild cultivars. The alignment of single copy orthologs (amino acids) that was used to infer the species tree was converted to codon alignment using the nucleotide coding sequences of the single copy orthologs. With the concatenated codon alignments (one sequence for each of the six genomes) inferred by 6,630 single copy orthogroups, we estimated the divergence time for the five cacao genomes plus *H. umbratica* (the closest to Cacao among the 12 species) by MCMCtree⁵⁸ with one calibration: the divergence time of *H. umbratica* and *T. cacao* = 9~12 MYA. The calibration time was obtained from the TimeTree database⁵⁹. We performed 10 MCMCtree runs to ensure the confidence of the results. In all 10 runs, we used a high sampling rate of 10,000 and excluded the missing columns using the option from the.ctl file of MCMCtree set to "1". From all 10 runs, the results were almost identical with the results shown in Fig. 2a.

The cacao speciation time from *Herrania umbratica* at 10 MYA inferred here (Fig. 2a) is in line with the literature^{18,20,22}. Our results showed that the population divergence of the five cacao genetic clusters occurred during the Pleistocene epoch (within 2 MYA). Criollo population emerged at ~1.83 MYA, Matina population at ~1.34 MY, Nanay population at ~0.91 MY and Contamana and Iquitos populations at ~0.69 MY (Fig. 2a). This finding fits the established theory of the Neogene and Pleistocene origin of many neotropical species. It rejects the hypothesis that cacao population differentiation was caused by the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) induced refugia (Thomas *et al.*¹⁴), which happened 24,000 ~15,000 years ago. Accurate estimation of divergence time is essential for understanding the evolutionary history of cacao and provides a framework for making future predictions about the effects of environmental change and human activities on its populations.



Fig. 3 HiRise scaffolding linkage density histograms of the three cacao genome assemblies. The two axes are the positions (in base pairs) of paired Omni-C reads mapped in the genome assembly. The grids separate the major linkage groups corresponding to the 10 pseudo-chromosomes. The gray areas contain scaffolds that are not placed in the 10 pseudo-chromosomes.

Data Records

The raw PacBio HiFi reads (FastQ format) are available in the NCBI SRA database under the project number PRJNA982528 (Nanay: SRR25256512⁶⁰, Contamana: SRR25256510⁶¹, Iquitos: SRR25256511⁶²), so are the raw Omni-C short reads in FastQ format (Nanay: SRR28464384⁶³, Iquitos: SRR28464385⁶⁴, Contamana: SRR28464201⁶⁵). The genome assemblies (Fasta format of DNA and protein sequences) and annotations (GFF format) are available at FigShare⁶⁶ and https://bcb.unl.edu/USDA_genomes_CACAO/.

In addition, the transposable element and repeat annotation (plain text format from RepeatMasker), the assemblies (Fasta format) of the three cacao genomes and gene model annotations (GFF format), the protein function annotation (TSV format from eggNOG-mapper), and the structural variation were deposited in FigShare⁶⁶ with the DOI number https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25066010.v1. The three new cacao genome assemblies can be also found in GenBank: Iquitos GCA_958328385.1⁶⁷, Nanay GCA_958329735.1⁶⁸, Contamana GCA_958329045.1⁶⁹.

Technical Validation

The HiRise linkage density plots of the three new cacao genomes (Fig. 3) revealed 10 chromosomes, the same number as the two reference genomes (Criollo and Matina). The final assemblies had N50 values of 39.46, 39.49, and 34.43 Mbp for Contamana, Iquitos, and Nanay, respectively (Table 2). Compared to the Criollo and Matina reference genomes, Contamana and Iquitos genome assemblies showed better quality with higher N50, L50, N90, and L90 values (Table 2). The genome BUSCO⁵⁰ scores (using eukaryote_odb10) were also slightly better in Nanay, Iquitos, and Contamana, than in the two reference genomes (Table 2).

In addition, Merqury v1.4.1 was run on the DNA reads and genome assemblies of the five cacao cultivars to perform k-mer-based analyses⁷⁰. K-mers shared by the sequencing reads and the genome assembly can be used to calculate the k-mer completeness (recovery rate). K-mers uniquely found in the genome assembly and absent in the sequencing reads can be considered as assembly consensus errors and used to calculate the assembly base-pair quality value (QV). To run Merqury, we used HiFi reads of Nanay, Iquitos, and Contamana that we sequenced (see SRA IDs above). We downloaded Illumina NovaSeq. 6000 reads (SRR21562109) for Criollo, and 454 GS FLX + reads (SRR866472, SRR866474, SRR866481, SRR866483, SRR866484, SRR866485, SRR866487, SRR866488) for Matina. These reads were originally used to build the Criollo and Matina reference genomes.

Comparing the five genomes, Criollo has the highest k-mer completeness at 92.0%, while Matina has the lowest at 74.5% (Table 2). The three new genomes have k-mer completeness (82.9~87.6%) better than Matina but lower than Criollo. For assembly QVs, all the three new genomes have much higher values (53.0~61.6) than Criollo and Matina. Contamana stands out with a QV at 61.0 and a completeness score at 86.4%. Criollo has a much lower QV at 38.8. Matina has QV at 32.4 being the lowest among the five genomes. Lastly, the HiFi reads were quality assessed to obtain the average Phred scores using FastQC v0.12⁴⁴, which confirms that Nanay reads exhibit a lower Phred score (average score of 60.5) compared to Iquitos (69) and Contamana (71.1). However, in general the average quality of all HiFi reads is outstanding. This supports orthogonally the initial k-mer analysis findings.

These k-mer-based assessments underscore the high-quality nature of the three new cacao genome assemblies, which are generally better than the two reference genomes. Despite the inherent challenges in genome assembly, these QV scores and completeness percentages highlight their reliability in genomic data analysis.

Nanay, compared to the other genomes, has a lower genome assembly quality (many more scaffolds, much lower N50 and N90, Table 2). Its much larger total genome length is probably due to the much larger number of unplaced scaffolds in the chromosomes (Fig. 3), although its BUSCO score, QV, and k-mer completeness are comparable to the other genomes. If only consider the ten pseudo-chromosomes, the total genome length was 352.06 Mbp for Contamana, 351.84 Mbp for Iquitos, and 338.4 Mbp for Nanay. In contrast, the total chromosome length of Criollo and Matina was 314.18 Mbp and 330 Mbp, respectively.

Assembly	Contamana	Iquitos	Nanay	Criollo**	Matina 1-6**
Total HiFi long reads (Gigabases)	21.4	19.1	20.4	—	—
Number of scaffolds	175	170	1895	431	711
Total genome length	383,477,156	381,649,652	419,275,778	324,879,930	345,993,675
Length of the 10 chromosomes	352,058,514	351,354,296	338,407,810	314,189,522	330,456,197
GC (%)	33,79	33.8	34.9	32,1	32,5
N50	39,464,999	39,489,205	34,430,447	36,364,294	34,397,752
L50	5	5	6	5	5
N90	24,455,724	23,705,257	41,995	21,614,486	21,543,242
L90	10	10	580	9	10
k-mer completeness (%)	86.4	82.9	87.6	92.0	74.5
k-mer consensus QV (quality value)	61.0	61.6	53.0	38.9	32.4
BUSCO *	98.4%	98.8%	98.4%	98.1%	98.3%

Table 2. Assembly statistics of the three new cacao genomes and the two reference genomes. *BUSCO scores are calculated with genome sequences as input using eukaryota_odb10. **Criollo (GCF_000208745.1) and Matina 1–6 (GCF_000403535.1) genomes are downloaded from GenBank.

.....

In summary, the three new wild cacao genomes, like other recent cacao genome sequencing studies^{23,71}, will further our understanding of cacao's genetic diversity and evolution.

Code availability

No code was developed for implementing a software.

Received: 24 July 2023; Accepted: 3 April 2024; Published online: 11 April 2024

References

- 1. Cuatrecasas, J. Cacao and Its Allies: A Taxonomic Revision of the Genus Theobroma. (Smithsonian Institution, 1964).
- 2. Bartley, B. G. D. The genetic diversity of Cacao and its utilization. (Wallingford: CABI Publishing, 2005).
- Somarriba, E. and López Sampson, A. Coffee and cocoa agroforestry systems: pathways to deforestation, reforestation, and tree cover change. (The World Banki (Washington D.C.) USA, 2018).
- Voora, V., Steffany B. & Cristina L. Global market report: Cocoa. (Winnipeg, MB, Canada: International Institute for Sustainable Development, 2019).
- Zarrillo, S. et al. The use and domestication of Theobroma cacao during the mid-Holocene in the upper Amazon. Nature ecology & evolution 2, 1879-88. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0697-x. Epub 2018 Oct 29. PMID: 30374172 (2018).
- Clement, C. R. et al. Origin and domestication of native Amazonian crops. Diversity 2, 72–106, https://doi.org/10.3390/d2010072 (2010).
- Henderson, J. S. et al. Chemical and Archaeological Evidence for the Earliest Cacao Beverages. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104, 18937–40, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708815104 (2007).
- Powis, T. G. et al. Cacao Use and the San Lorenzo Olmec. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108, 8595-8600, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100620108 (2011).
- Motamayor, J. C. et al. Geographic and Genetic Population Differentiation of the Amazonian Chocolate Tree (Theobroma Cacao L). PloS One 3, e3311, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003311 (2008).
- Arevalo-Gardini, E. et al. Genetic identity and origin of "Piura Porcelana"—A fine-flavored traditional variety of cacao (*Theoborma cacao*) from the Peruvian Amazon. Tree Genetics & Genomes 15, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-019-1316-y (2019).
- Zhang, D. et al. Genetic Diversity and Spatial Structure in a New Distinct Theobroma Cacao L. Population in Bolivia. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 59, 239–52, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-011-9680-y (2012).
- 12. Lachenaud, P. & Salle'e, B. Les cacaoyers spontane's de Guyane. Localisation, e'cologie, morphologie. Cafe', Cacao, The' 37, 101-14, http://agritrop.cirad.fr/396715/ (1993).
- Nieves-Orduña, H. E., Müller, M., Krutovsky, K. V. & Gailing, O. Geographic patterns of genetic variation among cacao (Theobroma cacao l.) populations based on chloroplast markers. *Diversity* 13, 249, https://doi.org/10.3390/d13060249 (2021).
- Thomas, E. et al. Present spatial diversity patterns of *Theobroma cacao* L. in the neotropics reflect genetic differentiation in Pleistocene refugia followed by human-influenced dispersal. PLoS One 7, e47676, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047676 (2012).
- Haffer, J. Speciation in Amazonian Forest Birds: Most species probably originated in forest refuges during dry climatic periods. Science 165, 131–37, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.165.3889.131 (1969).
- Prance, G. T. Phytogeographic support tor the theory of Pleistocene forest refuges in the Amazon Basin, based on evidence from distribution patterns in Caryocaraceae, Chrysobalanaceae, Dichapetalaceae and Lecythidaceae. Acta Amazonica 3, 5–26, https://doi. org/10.1590/1809-43921973033005 (1973).
- Haffer, J. Pleistocene speciation in Amazonian birds. Amazoniana: Limnologia et Oecologia Regionalis Systematis Fluminis Amazonas 6, 161–91, https://hdl.handle.net/21.11116/0000-0004-65B0-3 (1977).
- Richardson, J. E., Whitlock, B. A., Meerow, A. W. & Madriñán, S. The Age of Chocolate: A Diversification History of Theobroma and Malvaceae. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 3, 120, https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2015.00120 (2015).
- 19. Argout, X. et al. The Genome of Theobroma Cacao. Nature Genetics 43, 101-8, https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.736 (2011).
- Argout, X. *et al.* The cacao Criollo genome v2. 0: an improved version of the genome for genetic and functional genomic studies. BMC genomics 18, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4120-9 (2017).
- Motamayor, J. C. et al. The Genome Sequence of the Most Widely Cultivated Cacao Type and Its Use to Identify Candidate Genes Regulating Pod Color. Genome Biology 14, r53, https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-6-r53 (2013).
- Cornejo, O. E. et al. Population Genomic Analyses of the Chocolate Tree, Theobroma Cacao L., Provide Insights into Its Domestication Process. Communications Biology 1, 167, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-018-0168-6 (2018).
- Hämälä, T. et al. Genomic Structural Variants Constrain and Facilitate Adaptation in Natural Populations of Theobroma Cacao, the Chocolate Tree. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118(35), e2102914118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2102914118 (2021).

- Schwarzkopf, E. J., Motamayor, J. C. & Cornejo, O. E. Genetic differentiation and intrinsic genomic features explain variation in recombination hotspots among cocoa tree populations. *Bmc Genomics* 21, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6746-2 (2020).
- Colonges, K. et al. Integration of GWAS, metabolomics, and sensorial analyses to reveal novel metabolic pathways involved in cocoa fruity aroma GWAS of fruity aroma in Theobroma cacao. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 171, 213–25, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2021.11.006 (2022).
- Gutiérrez, O. A. et al. SNP markers associated with resistance to frosty pod and black pod rot diseases in an F1 population of Theobroma cacao L. Tree Genetics & Genomes 17, 28, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-021-01507-w (2021).
- Osorio-Guarín, J. A. et al. Genome-Wide Association Study Reveals Novel Candidate Genes Associated with Productivity and Disease Resistance to Moniliophthora Spp. in Cacao (Theobroma Cacao L.). G3 10, 1713–25. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401153
- Romero Navarro, J. A. *et al.* Application of Genome Wide Association and Genomic Prediction for Improvement of Cacao Productivity and Resistance to Black and Frosty Pod Diseases." *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8(November), 1905, https://doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01905 (2017).
- Royaert, S. et al. Identification of Candidate Genes Involved in Witches' Broom Disease Resistance in a Segregating Mapping Population of Theobroma Cacao L. in Brazil. BMC Genomics 17, 107, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2415-x (2016).
- Bayer, P. E., Golicz, A. A., Scheben, A., Batley, J. & Edwards, D. Plant pan-genomes are the new reference. *Nature plants* 6, 914–920, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0733-0 (2020).
- Michael, T. P. & VanBuren, R. Building near-complete plant genomes. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 54, 26–33, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2019.12.009 (2020).
- Cheng, H., Concepcion, G. T., Feng, X., Zhang, H. & Li, H. Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hiftasm. Nat Methods 18, 170–175, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-01056-5 (2021).
- Cheng, H. et al. Haplotype-resolved assembly of diploid genomes without parental data. Nature Biotechnology 40, 1332–1335, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-022-01261-x (2022).
- Challis, R., Richards, E., Rajan, J., Cochrane, G. & Blaxter, M. BlobToolKit Interactive Quality Assessment of Genome Assemblies. G3 Genes[Genomes]Genetics 10, 1361–1374, https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400908 (2020).
- 35. Guan, D. *et al.* Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2896–2898, https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa025 (2020).
- Putnam, N. H. et al. Chromosome-scale shotgun assembly using an *in vitro* method for long-range linkage. Genome research 26, 342–350, https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.193474.115 (2016).
- Lieberman-Aiden, E. *et al.* Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding Principles of the Human Genome. *Science* 326, 289–93, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1181369 (2009).
- Li, H. Aligning Sequence Reads, Clone Sequences and Assembly Contigs with BWA-MEM. Preprint at arXiv Preprint arXiv:1303.3997. http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997 (2013).
- Flynn, J. M. et al. RepeatModeler2 for Automated Genomic Discovery of Transposable Element Families. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117, 9451–57, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192104611 (2020).
- 40. https://www.repeatmasker.org/ (2022). Smit, AFA, Hubley, R & Green, P. n.d. RepeatMasker Open-4.0 (version 4.1.3).
- Ou, S. *et al.* Benchmarking Transposable Element Annotation Methods for Creation of a Streamlined, Comprehensive Pipeline. *Genome Biology* 20, 275, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1905-y (2019).
- Kapusta, A., Suh, A. & Feschotte, C. Dynamics of Genome Size Evolution in Birds and Mammals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114, E1460–69, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616702114 (2017).
- Cantarel, B. L. et al. MAKER: An Easy-to-Use Annotation Pipeline Designed for Emerging Model Organism Genomes. Genome Research 18, 188-96, https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.6743907 (2007).
- 44. Krueger, F. Trim Galorel: A Wrapper around Cutadapt and FastQC to Consistently Apply Adapter and Quality Trimming to FastQ Files, with Extra Functionality for RRBS data Babraham Institute. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1370294643762929691 (2015).
- Grabherr, M. G. et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nature biotechnology 29, 644–652, https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883 (2011).
- Goodstein, D. M. et al. Phytozome: A Comparative Platform for Green Plant Genomics. Nucleic Acids Research 40, D1178-86, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr944 (2012).
- UP Consortium. UniProt: A Hub for Protein Information. Nucleic Acids Research 43, D204–12, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku989 (2015).
- 48. Korf, I. Gene Finding in Novel Genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 5, 59, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-5-59 (2004).
- Stanke, M. AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic acids research 34, W435–W439, https://doi. org/10.1093/nar/gkl200 (2006).
- Simão, F. A. et al. BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation Completeness with Single-Copy Orthologs. Bioinformatics 31, 3210–12, https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351 (2015).
- Haas, B. J. et al. Improving the Arabidopsis Genome Annotation Using Maximal Transcript Alignment Assemblies. Nucleic Acids Research 31, 5654–66, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg770 (2003).
- Haas, B. J. et al. Automated Eukaryotic Gene Structure Annotation Using EVidenceModeler and the Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments. Genome Biology 9, R7, https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-1-r7 (2008).
- Huerta-Cepas, J. et al. eggNOG 5.0: A Hierarchical, Functionally and Phylogenetically Annotated Orthology Resource Based on 5090 Organisms and 2502 Viruses. Nucleic Acids Research 47, D309–14, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1085 (2019).
- Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic Orthology Inference for Comparative Genomics. *Genome Biology* 20, 238, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y (2019).
- 55. Hauser, M. MMseqs: ultra fast and sensitive clustering and search of large protein sequence databases." PhD diss. https://edoc. ub.uni-muenchen.de/20224/1/Hauser_Maria.pdf (2014).
- Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: Multiple Sequence Alignment with High Accuracy and High Throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32, 1792–97, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340 (2004).
- Stamatakis, A. RAXML Version 8: A Tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-Analysis of Large Phylogenetes. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–13.58, https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu03358 (2014).
- Yang, Z. & Rannala, B. Bayesian Estimation of Species Divergence Times under a Molecular Clock Using Multiple Fossil Calibrations with Soft Bounds. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 23, 212–26, https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msj02459 (2006).
- Kumar, S. TimeTree 5: An Expanded Resource for Species Divergence Times. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 39, https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msac174 (2022).
- 60. NCBI Sequence Read Archive https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.sra:SRR25256512 (2023).
- 61. NCBI Sequence Read Archive https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.sra:SRR25256510 (2023).
- 62. NCBI Sequence Read Archive https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.sra:SRR25256511 (2023).
- 63. NCBI Sequence Read Archive https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.sra:SRR28464384 (2023).
- 64. NCBI Sequence Read Archive https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.sra:SRR28464385 (2023).
- 65. NCBI Sequence Read Archive https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.sra:SRR28464201 (2023).
- 66. Nousias *et al.* Three de novo assembled wild cacao genomes from the Upper Amazon region. *figshare. Dataset.* https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25066010.v1 (2024).
- 67. NCBI GenBank https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.gca:GCA_958328385.1 (2023).

- 68. NCBI GenBank https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.gca:GCA_958329735.1 (2023).
- 69. NCBI GenBank https://identifiers.org/ncbi/insdc.gca:GCA_958329045.1 (2023).
- Rhie, A. et al. Merqury: reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. Genome Biol 21, 245, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02134-9 (2020).
- Argout, X. *et al.* Pangenomic exploration of Theobroma cacao: New Insights into Gene Content Diversity and Selection During Domestication. *bioRxiv* 2023.11.03.565324, https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.03.565324 (2023).

Acknowledgements

This work was partially completed utilizing the Holland Computing Center of the University of Nebraska, which receives support from the Nebraska Research Initiative. No conflicts of interest are declared. This work was primarily supported by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)/Agricultural Research Service (ARS) awards [58-8042-9-089, 58-8042-3-076], and partially by National Science Foundation (NSF) CAREER award [DBI-1933521], National Institutes of Health (NIH) R01 award [R01GM140370], start-up grant of UNL [2019-YIN] to Y.Y. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Author contributions

Y.Y., D.Z., L.W.M. conceived and designed the project. D.Z., B.B., O.G., S.P.C., L.W.M., I.B. collected the plant materials and generated the sequencing data. O.N., J.Z. and T.L. performed all the data analysis under the supervision of Y.Y. O.N., J.Z. and Y.Y. draft the manuscript. All authors contributed and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.Z. or Y.Y.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024