scientific data

Check for updates

OPEN Unified real-time environmentalepidemiological data for multiscale DATA DESCRIPTOR modeling of the COVID-19 pandemic

Hamada S. Badr^{1,2}, Benjamin F. Zaitchik²², Gaige H. Kerr³, Nhat-Lan H. Nguyen⁴, Yen-Ting Chen^{5,6}, Patrick Hinson^{4,5}, Josh M. Colston⁵, Margaret N. Kosek⁵, Ensheng Dong¹, Hongru Du¹, Maximilian Marshall¹, Kristen Nixon¹, Arash Mohegh^{3,7}, Daniel L. Goldberg³, Susan C. Anenberg³ & Lauren M. Gardner¹

An impressive number of COVID-19 data catalogs exist. However, none are fully optimized for data science applications. Inconsistent naming and data conventions, uneven quality control, and lack of alignment between disease data and potential predictors pose barriers to robust modeling and analysis. To address this gap, we generated a unified dataset that integrates and implements guality checks of the data from numerous leading sources of COVID-19 epidemiological and environmental data. We use a globally consistent hierarchy of administrative units to facilitate analysis within and across countries. The dataset applies this unified hierarchy to align COVID-19 epidemiological data with a number of other data types relevant to understanding and predicting COVID-19 risk, including hydrometeorological data, air quality, information on COVID-19 control policies, vaccine data, and key demographic characteristics.

Background & Summary

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has caused widespread illness, loss of life, and societal upheaval across the globe. As the public health crisis continues, there is both an urgent need and a unique opportunity to track and characterize the spread of the virus. This includes improving our understanding of the spatiotemporal sensitivity of disease transmission to demographic, geographic, socio-political, seasonal and environmental factors.

The global research and data science communities have responded to this challenge with a wide array of efforts to collect, catalog, and disseminate data on COVID-19 case counts, hospitalizations, mortality, vaccinations, and other indicators of COVID incidence and burden¹⁻¹⁴. While these databases have supported a tremendous volume of research, risk monitoring, and public discussion, they often have inconsistent structure, naming conventions, values, resolution, quality, and lack alignment between infectious disease data and the potential risk factors. These issues require laborious cleanup to combine data from different sources that delays research progress and may affect its quality. Additionally, critical datasets that quantify risk factors such as climate and human mobility are subject to biases and limited availability, posing further challenges for data processing.

To utilize these disparate types of data from different sources at different levels of granularity, they need to be combined and harmonized. Without proper harmonization, curation, and consistency checks, analyzing these datasets can lead to spurious results. A unified dataset that addresses these issues will help to accelerate our understanding of COVID-19 risk through multiscale spatiotemporal modeling by eliminating the

¹Department of Civil and Systems Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA. ²Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA. ³Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Milken Institute School of Public Health, George Washington University, Washington, DC, 20052, USA. ⁴College of Arts and Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, 22903, USA. ⁵Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, 22903, USA. ⁶Department of Emergency Medicine, Chi-Mei Medical Center, Tainan, Taiwan. ⁷Present address: Health & Exposure Assessment Branch, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, 95812, USA. ^{III}e-mail: zaitchik@jhu.edu

extra time-consuming steps needed to clean, standardize, and merge the different data sources. As an example, we provide a test case with generating estimates of effective reproductive number (R_i) from two different data sources, including reported case counts and estimated daily infections, that are directly imported from our unified dataset without consuming time on unifying the variable names/types and cleaning or georeferencing the data.

Thus, our *Unified COVID-19 Dataset* aims to (1) harmonize naming and coding conventions from credible data sources at multiple administrative levels, (2) implement quality control for COVID-19 case counts of different types, (3) systematically align potential predictors with COVID-19 data, and (4) provides real-time updates and corrections, and incorporates new sources for relevant variables as they become available. Specifically, the *Unified COVID-19 Dataset* set includes key components for epidemiology, including demography, hydrometeorology, air quality, policy, vaccination, and healthcare accessibility, maps all geospatial units globally into a unique identifier, standardizes administrative names, codes, dates, data types, and formats, unifies variable names, types, and categories. We also curate the data to correct for confusing entries that arise from the conflicting names of the same geographic units, different reporting strategies and schedules, and accumulation of epidemiological variables. The dataset is distributed in accessible formats, and optimized for machine learning applications to support reproducible research of high quality. The availability of this dataset has facilitated analyses of COVID-19 risk factors at subnational resolution across multiple countries^{15–18} and studies of changes in risk factors over the course of the pandemicl⁹.

Methods

We compile epidemiological data from different sources, translate the data records, and check the available case types. Then, the variable and unit names are standardized and geo-coded using a unified geospatial identifier (ID) to support aggregation at different administrative levels and consistent merging into a single time-varying epidemiological dataset file. The case types that are not included in the raw data are derived from the existing case types whenever possible (e.g., deriving active cases from confirmed cases, recoveries, and deaths). A lookup table provides key geographic names and codes while the static data fields, including air quality estimates, are combined in a separate dataset file. Time-varying hydrometeorological and policy data are processed to extract the variables and indices for each geospatial ID at a daily resolution. In accordance with FAIR data principles²⁰, we adopt an approach through which the data are *findable* through a persistent DOI, appropriate metadata, and indexing, *accessible* as a free and open resource that can be retrieved through standard protocols, *interoperable* in the use of widely used data formats and structures, and *reusable* through the provision of licensing and provenance information and conformance with data standards.

Data harmonization. The dataset follows the data harmonization flowchart, shown in Fig. 1, to integrate disparate multi-dimensional data across multiple types and resources. Multiple data types will require stand-ardization, ranging from geospatial identification, variable type, variable name, and data structures. We map all geospatial units into a unique identifier. Each unit in the spatial datasets are mapped to a unique geospatial ID which in turn enables merging the datasets by the unified ID, together with other grouping factors such as data source, type, variable, time/date, and other dimensions. The national-level IDs are based on ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 codes, and subnational data use Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) codes (U.S.), Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS) codes (Europe), ISO 3166-2 codes (global provinces or states), and local identifiers (global administrative levels 2 and 3). This also standardizes administrative names, codes, dates, data types, and formats with unified variable names, ids, types, and categories as well as curates the data, link records, and eliminates ambiguity that arise from the conflicting names of the same geographic units and the different reporting strategies and schedules.

To georeference the data, we first use the IDs (identifiers or codes) and shapefiles, if available, from the original data sources to map standardized names in English language with UTF-8 encoding. We implement unification functions using standard conversions from the different coding systems (e.g., Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS) system for Europe, Official municipality key/Amtlicher Gemeindeschlüssel (AGS) for Germany, and Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) codes for the U.S. counties and states) and unit names into the unified geospatial ID system and address any ambiguous names of known duplicates of the same geographic unit, via built-in re-coding functions or lookup tables. Data validation and consistency checks are applied to ensure that the standardized names are mapped correctly and are consistent with the original names and geographic coordinates. If a geographic unit is split into smaller sub-regions, new IDs are assigned to the higher-resolution units. When the IDs and shapefiles are not provided in the initial dataset, the data will be merged by name, and manually mapped into unique identifiers. The unit names will be converted into standardized codes where problematic entries will be detected and manually inspected. The lookup table provides the standardized geographic names and codes, and the unification functions will be updated to address the known issues and re-coding exceptions. Additional approaches are implemented to harmonize the other dataset features such as variable type, variable name, and data structure.

Geospatial ID. The spatial coverage of the dataset is shown in the world map in Fig. 2 and the geospatial ID system is shown in Fig. 3. The national-level IDs are based on ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 codes. The subnational administrative levels for the United States (at the state and county levels) are based on the Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) codes. For Europe, all administrative levels use the Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS) codes. Globally, the principal subdivisions (e.g., provinces or states) use ISO 3166-2 codes while higher resolution units are based on local identifiers (e.g., for Brazil, municipalities use IBGE codes from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics).



Fig. 1 Flowchart of the data harmonization for the unified COVID-19 dataset.

.....

Update frequency. Most components are updated on a daily basis while time-consuming data extraction for hydrometeorological variables, with and without population weighting, are updated monthly. The dataset is disseminated through the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU), the source of the widely accessed JHU Coronavirus Dashboard¹.

Data Records

Table 1 summarizes the lookup table keys with the different unit IDs, names, codes, centroid coordinates, and population. The full unified dataset is available on Zenodo²¹.



Fig. 2 Spatial coverage map for the unified COVID-19 dataset (Admin 0 = National, Admin 1 = First administrative level (e.g., state, province), Admin 2-3 = Second and third administrative levels (e.g., county, district).



* NUTS 1 level represents groups of subregions (or equivalent) for some European countries (e.g., Italy).

** NUTS 2 level represents subregions (or equivalent) for some European countries (e.g., Italy).

*** This administrative level may represent municipalities (or equivalent) for some countries (e.g., Brazil).

Fig. 3 Geospatial ID used for the unified COVID-19 dataset.

.....

Column	Туре	Description	
ID	Character	Geospatial ID, unique identifier (described above)	
Level	Character	Geospatial level (e.g., Country, Province, District, NUTS 0-3)	
ISO1_3N	Character	ISO 3166-1 numeric code, 3-digit, admin. level 0 (countries)	
ISO1_3C	Character	ISO 3166-1 alpha-3 code, 3-letter, admin. level 0 (countries)	
ISO1_2C	Character	ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 code, 2-letter, admin. level 0 (countries)	
ISO2	Character	ISO 3166-2 code, principal subdivisions (e.g., provinces)	
ISO2_UID	Character	ISO 3166-2 code, principal subdivisions, full unique ID	
FIPS	Character	Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS, United States)	
NUTS	Character	Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS, Europe)	
AGS	Character	Municipality key/Amtlicher Gemeindeschlüssel (Germany)	
IBGE	Character	Brazilian municipality code	
ZTCA	Character	ZIP Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA, United States)	
Longitude	Double	Geospatial coordinate (centroid), east-west	
Latitude	Double	Geospatial coordinate (centroid), north-south	
Population	Integer	Total population of each geospatial unit	
Admin	Integer	Administrative level (0-3)	
Admin0	Character	Standard name of administrative level 0 (countries)	
Admin1	Character	Standard name of admin. level 1 (e.g., provinces)	
Admin2	Character	Standard name of admin. level 2 (e.g., counties)	
Admin3	Character	Standard name of admin. level 3 (e.g., districts and ZTCA)	
NameID	Character	Full name ID of combined admin. levels, unique identifier	

Table 1. Lookup table for the unified COVID-19 dataset.

Column	Туре	Description	
ID	Character	Geospatial ID, unique identifier	
Date	Date	Date of data record	
Cases	Integer	Number of cumulative cases	
Cases_New	Integer	Number of new daily cases	
Туре	Character	Type of the reported cases	
Age	Character	Age group of the reported cases	
Sex	Character	Sex/gender of the reported cases	
Source	Character	Data source: JHU ¹ , CTP ² , NYC ³ , NYT ⁴ , SES ⁵ , DPC ⁶ , RKI ⁷ , JRC ⁸ , IHME ¹³	

Table 2. COVID-19 data structure.

.....

Epidemiological data. Daily COVID-19 case counts are taken from the different data sources, including CSSE's JHU Coronavirus Dashboard, and georeferenced to the administrative units in which they were diagnosed¹⁻¹². We merge multiple data sources with different case types. This includes translating variable names from different languages, transforming different data formats (e.g., accumulating daily counts from RKI data for Germany), and checking the aggregated counts against all data sources. Table 2 lists the epidemiological data structure. Table 3 describes the different case types, including confirmed cases, deaths, hospitalizations, and testing results.

Epidemiological estimates. To facilitate analysis of reporting issues, such as underreporting and testing capacity limitations, we also integrated estimated daily infections from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME)¹³. Fig. 4 shows a comparison of epidemiological estimates of daily infections and the reported COVID-19 cases as well as the corresponding effective reproduction number (R_t) estimates for the USA. This is also an example of utilizing the harmonized COVID-19 data in our unified dataset for analysis and epidemiological estimates across different data sources that could use inconsistent location names and identifiers. The epidemiological estimates (cases by infection date and R_t) are provided with the dataset for the United States at both national and state levels. Those estimates are generated using *EpiNow2* and *EpiEstim* R packages^{14,22,23}. *EpiEstim* accounts for uncertainty in the mean and standard deviation of the generation interval by resampling over a range of plausible values. *EpiNow2* uses a Bayesian approach that also accounts for reporting delays. The parameters required for R_t estimates, specifically the distributions of incubation period and serial interval, are obtained from the literature^{24–28}.

Vaccination data. Global and US vaccine data are harmonized and integrated from the Johns Hopkins Centers for Civic Impact for the Coronavirus Resource Center (CRC)²⁹. Cumulative numbers of people fully

Туре	Description
Active	Active cases
Confirmed	Confirmed cases
Deaths	Deaths
Home_Confinement	Home confinement/isolation
Hospitalized	Total hospitalized cases excluding intensive care units
Hospitalized_Now	Currently hospitalized cases excluding intensive care units
Hospitalized_Sym	Symptomatic hospitalized cases excluding intensive care units
ICU	Total cases in intensive care units
ICU_Now	Currently in intensive care units
Infections	Estimated Infections
Negative	Negative tests
Pending	Pending tests
Positive	Positive tests, including hospitalised cases and home confinement
Positive_Dx	Positive cases emerged from clinical activity/diagnostics
Positive_Sc	Positive cases emerging from surveys and tests
Recovered	Recovered cases
Tested	Cases tested = Tests - Pending
Tests	Total performed tests
Ventilator	Total cases receiving mechanical ventilation
Ventilator Now	Currently receiving mechanical ventilation

Table 3. COVID-19 case types.



Fig. 4 Epidemiological estimates and the reported COVID-19 cases for the USA. (**A**) Estimated daily infections (dashed lines) and the reported cases (vertical bars); (**B**) Effective reproduction number (R) estimated from the estimated of daily infections.

or partially vaccinated are provided by vaccine provided, whenever available, and dose types – including doses administered (in general or as first or second dose), allocated, or shipped/arrived to vax sites. Table 4 describes the data structure of the harmonized version of the vaccine dataset while Table 5 lists the different dose types.

Column	Туре	Description	
ID	Character	Geospatial ID, unique identifier	
Date	Date	Date of data record	
Vaccine	Character	Common name of the vaccine provider, or all	
DoseType	Character	Type of the vaccine dose	
DoseValue	Double	Cumulative number of doses	
Vax_Full	Double	Cumulative number of people fully vaccinated	
Vax_Partial	Double	Cumulative number of people partially vaccinated	

Table 4. Vaccine Data Structure.

Туре	Description	
Admin	Doses administered	
Alloc	Doses allocated	
Ship	Doses shipped/arrived to vax sites	
Stage1	Doses administered as first	
Stage2	e2 Doses administered as second	

Table 5. Dose Types.

Hydrometeorological data. Like many viral diseases, the stability of aerosolized SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 transmission are sensitive to hydrometeorological conditions. Human behavior and social interactions, dominant drivers of COVID-19 transmission, are also inextricably connected to local hydrometeorological conditions. For these reasons, the ability of this unified dataset to characterize spatiotemporal variations in hydrometeorological variables is germane to understanding COVID-19 transmission. Numerous studies have found relationships between meteorology and COVID-19 transmission rates^{30–33}. As these studies demonstrate, however, the identified relationships are not always consistent across studies³⁴, there may be differences in meteorological influence across different regions or stages of the pandemic, and the relative importance of hydrometeorological datasets can be challenging for non-experts to work with, and simpler weather station data are not always representative across large geographic units.

To facilitate studies that integrate hydrometeorology to COVID-19 prediction, we include multiple hydrometeorological variables in our unified dataset. Table 6 lists the hydrometeorological variables extracted from NLDAS-2 and ERA5 while Fig. 5 shows maps of the 2020 averages. Population weighting is applied to gridded environmental data (hydrometeorology and air quality) to account for variation in the spatial distribution of the exposed human population within each unit. Gridded Population of the World v4 (GPWv4) population count data with adjustment to match United Nations estimates are obtained from the Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN) Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center SEDAC³⁵. These counts are then applied as weights by calculating the fraction of the population within each unit at each level of the administrative hierarchy contained in each grid cell, multiplying gridded environmental variables by this fraction, and summing for the administrative unit. We derive these variables from the second generation North American Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS-2), using the NLDAS-2 meteorological forcings and Noah Land Surface Model simulated surface hydrological fields, and the fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) atmospheric reanalysis of the global climate (ERA5)^{36,37}. Both ERA5 and NLDAS assimilate observations and model output to provide continuous maps of meteorological variables without gaps or missing values in the data, which cannot be achieved from observations alone. The fine spatial resolution of NLDAS (0.125° latitude $\times 0.125^{\circ}$ longitude) and ERA5 (0.25° latitude $\times 0.25^{\circ}$ longitude) represents significant improvements over earlier datasets, and both datasets have been extensively tested against observations and found to capture the observed quantities³⁶⁻³⁸. ERA5 and NLDAS are available with a 4–6-day latency making these datasets particularly well-suited for forecasting COVID-19 dynamics in near real-time. NLDAS is available only for the contiguous United States, while ERA5 is available globally.

We obtain gridded hourly ERA5 and NLDAS data for January 1, 2020 onwards. Hourly data are transformed to daily mean, maximum, minimum, or total values, depending on the variable. A land-sea mask is applied to the hydrometeorological data such that any water grid cells are excluded from the analysis. Two types of average values are provided for each administrative unit: simple averages and population-weighted averages. A small number of administrative units do not contain ERA5 or NLDAS grid cells due to their having irregular boundaries or small areal extents (e.g., ~15% of NUTS 3 divisions). In this case, we estimate the value of meteorological values at the unit's geographic centroid using an inverse distance weighting interpolation method and thereafter calculate the simple and population-weighted averages using these interpolated values.

Air quality data. Long-term exposure to air pollutants may increase susceptibility to severe COVID-19 outcomes³⁹⁻⁴¹. We provide long-term averages of surface-level annual average nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and fine particulate matter ($PM_{2,5}$) to allow this potential impact to be incorporated into studies. We use a dataset that

Column	Unit	Description	
ID		Geospatial ID, unique identifier (described above)	
Date		Date of data record	
Т	°C	Daily average near-surface air temperature (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
Tmax	°C	Daily maximum near-surface air temperature (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
Tmin	°C	Daily minimum near-surface air temperature (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
Td	°C	Daily average dew point temperature (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
Tdd	°C	Daily average dew point depression (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
RH	%	Daily average relative humidity (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
SH	kg/kg	Daily average specific humidity (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
MA	%	Daily average moisture availability (NLDAS ³⁶)	
RZSM	kg/m2	Daily average root zone soil moisture content (NLDAS ³⁶)	
SM	kg/m2	Daily average soil moisture content (NLDAS ³⁶)	
SM1	m3/m3	Daily average volumetric soil water layer 1 (ERA5 ³⁷)	
SM2	m3/m3	Daily average volumetric soil water layer 2 (ERA5 ³⁷)	
SM3	m3/m3	Daily average volumetric soil water layer 3 (ERA5 ³⁷)	
SM4	m3/m3	Daily average volumetric soil water layer 4 (ERA5 ³⁷)	
SP	Pa	Daily average surface pressure (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
SR	J/m2	Daily average surface downward solar radiation (ERA5 ³⁷)	
SRL	W/m2	Daily average downward longwave radiation flux (NLDAS ³⁶)	
SRS	W/m2	Daily average downward shortwave radiation flux (NLDAS ³⁶)	
LH	J/m2	Daily average surface latent heat flux (ERA5 ³⁷)	
LHF	W/m2	Daily average surface latent heat flux (NLDAS ³⁶)	
PE	m	Daily average evapotranspiration (ERA5 ³⁷)	
PEF	W/m2	Daily average potential evaporation (NLDAS ³⁶)	
Р	mm/day	Daily total precipitation (NLDAS ³⁶ and ERA5 ³⁷)	
U	m/s	Daily average 10-m Zonal wind speed (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
V	m/s	Daily average 10-m Meridional wind speed (NLDAS ³⁶ , ERA5 ³⁷)	
Source		Data source: ERA5, NLDAS ± CIESIN ³⁵⁻³⁷	

Table 6. Hydrometeorological data structure.

observations of aerosol optical depth (AOD) from Earth-observing satellites to global estimates of surface-level $PM_{2.5}$ using geophysical relationships between modeled $PM_{2.5}$ and AOD from a chemical transport model and a Geographically Weighted Regression technique⁴². Global NO₂ estimates are derived by scaling the predicted concentrations from a global land use regression model with annual satellite observations of tropospheric NO₂ columns from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument satellite⁴³⁻⁴⁵.

 $\rm PM_{2.5}$ and NO₂ datasets are regridded from their native resolutions (0.01° latitude \times 0.01° longitude and 1 km \times 1 km, respectively) to 0.05° latitude \times 0.05° longitude and averaged over 2014–2018. We calculate both simple and population-weighted averages of PM_{2.5} and NO₂ for administrative units.

Policy data. The time-varying policy response data described in Table 7 are processed from the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT) for the policy types listed in Table 8, including four categories of policies: (i) **containment and closure policies:** C1: School closing, C2: Workplace closing, C3: Cancel public events, C4: Restrictions on gatherings, C5: Close public transport, C6: Stay at home requirements, C7: Restrictions on internal movement, and C8: International travel controls, (ii) **economic policies**: E1: Income support, E2: Debt/contract relief, E3: Fiscal measures, and E4: International support, (iii) **health system policies**: H1: Public information campaigns, H2: Testing policy, H3: Contact tracing, H4: Emergency investment in healthcare, H5: Investment in vaccines, H6: Facial Coverings, H7: Vaccination Policy, and H8: Protection of elderly people, and (iv) **miscellaneous policies**: M1: Wildcard as well as policy indices for containment health, economic support, and government response. The policies are differentiated depending on whether they apply to everyone (E policy type suffix), non-vaccinated people (NV policy type suffix), vaccinated people (V policy type suffix), or to the majority (M policy type suffix). For more details, see OxCGRT's codebook, index methodology, interpretation guide, and subnational interpretation⁴⁶.

Other data. *Prevalence of comorbid conditions*. National-level data and United States administrative level 1 data on the prevalence of underlying health conditions associated with increased risk of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality as defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) described in Table 9 were compiled from multiple sources. These comorbid conditions included prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, obesity, hypertension, smoking, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and cardiovascular disease (CVD)⁴⁷. In addition, national-level indicators of the proportion of the population at increased risk for COVID-19 due to comorbid conditions were compiled from the estimates of Clark and



Fig. 5 Global geographical distribution of the 10 hydrometeorological variables included in the dataset – average of all daily values for 2020.

.....

colleagues and included in the unified database⁴⁸. Data was collected from sources online associated with reputable health organizations, health research centers, international and national organizations, research journals, and academic institutions^{48–58}. Once compiled, the final data structure was created in Microsoft Excel with all corresponding and available data.

Pandemic preparedness. National numbers of cases from the SARS-CoV-1 and MERS outbreaks, as described in Table 9, were included in the unified database as proxy indicators of pandemic experience, which may be relevant for preparedness^{59,60}.

Accessibility to cities and healthcare facilities. Population-level access to healthcare and other infrastructure may affect the trajectory of pandemics at a local scale by influencing contact rates and the introduction of new infected and susceptible individuals, as well as the speed and likelihood with which new cases are confirmed, treated, and registered in health information systems. Table 10 lists three indicators of accessibility that are included in the unified dataset. Accessibility to nearest cities through surface transport (Access_City), quantified as minutes required for traveling one meter, was obtained by extracting zonal statistics from the "Accessibility to Cities 2015" raster file provided by the Malaria Atlas Project (MAP)⁶¹. The raster file represents the fastest traveling speed from any given point to its nearest city. It was calculated by mapping the travel time at different spatial locations and topographical conditions into grids where the fastest mode of transport took precedence⁶². Using a similar methodology, Weiss and colleagues utilized data from OpenStreetMap, Google Maps, and academic researchers to produce maps of travel time to health care facilities with and without access to motorized transport, from which we obtained the two variables characterizing travel time (minutes) to the nearest healthcare facility by two modes of transport (Access Motor: motorized transport available; Access Walk: no access to motorized transport) as indicators of healthcare access⁶³. While country-specific estimates of comparable accessibility metrics exist^{64,65} and may in some cases offer advantages over the global MAP products, we prioritized the latter for its completeness of coverage and standardized methodology, which offers greater comparability across regions and countries.

Column	Туре	Description
ID	Character	Geospatial ID, unique identifier
Date	Date	Date of data record
PolicyType	Character	Type of the policy
PolicyValue	Double	Value of the policy
PolicyFlag	Logical	Logical flag for geographic scope
PolicyNotes	Character	Notes on the policy record
PolicySource	Character	Data source: OxCGRT45

Table 7. Policy data structure.

Туре	Description	Type	Description
CX	Containment and closure policies	VX	Vaccine policies
C1	School closing	V1	Vaccine prioritization
C2	Workplace closing	V2	Vaccine availability
C3	Cancel public events	V2A	Vaccine availability (summary)
C4	Restrictions on gatherings	V2B	Vaccine availability (age, general)
C5	Close public transport	V2C	Vaccine availability (age, at risk)
C6	Stay at home requirements	V2D	Vaccine availability (medically)
C7	Restrictions on internal movement	V2E	Vaccine availability (education)
C8	International travel controls	V2F	Vaccine availability (frontline)
EX	Economic policies	V2G	Vaccine availability (healthcare)
E1	Income support	V3	Vaccina financial support
E2	Debt/contract relief	IX	Policy indices
E3	Fiscal measures	I1	Containment health index
E4	International support	I2	Economic support index
HX	Health system policies	I3	Government response index
H1	Public information campaigns	I4	Stringency index
H2	Testing policy	IC	Confirmed cases
H3	Contact tracing	ID	Confirmed deaths
H4	Emergency investment in healthcare	IXD	Policy indices (Display)
H5	Investment in vaccines	IXL	Policy indices (Legacy)
H6	Investment in vaccines	IXLD	Policy indices (Legacy, Display)
H7	Vaccination policy	IXS	Policy indices (Simple Average)
H8	Protection of elderly people	IXW	Policy indices (Weighted Average)
MX	Miscellaneous policies		
M1	Wildcard		

Table 8. Policy data types.

Population density and age structure. Table 10 describes population density and age structure from WorldPop⁶⁶. Total population (**WorldPop**), population density (**WorldPop_Density**), the total population over 65 years old (**WorldPop_65**), and total population by both male (**WorldPop_M**) and female (**WorldPop_F**) were obtained by extracting zonal statistics with the 2020 unconstrained global mosaics raster files at 1 km resolution from the WorldPop spatial datasets, an open access harmonized set of gridded geospatial layers with global coverage produced by drawing on census, survey, satellite and cell phone data. The ratio of male-to-female population (**Sex_Ratio**) was calculated by dividing the female population by male population.

Data sources. The data sources are listed in Table 11.

Technical Validation

The unified data are regularly validated before and after processing by checking and comparing all fields with the available authoritative data sources, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the US and European Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and between the different sources^{9–11}. Any significant discrepancy or unrealistic data (e.g., bad data fields or types, negative counts, and implausible values) are automatically detected by checking the type of the data fields (e.g. integer, double, character, or date) and rate of daily changes to investigate and correct the unified data, besides the JHU CSSE's automatic anomaly detection system, which is designed to detect abrupt spikes or negative increases of daily cases counts. The anomaly detection and data corrections are grouped by geospatial ID, considering recent trends and total population, and data source. Moreover, the geospatial IDs are verified with the corresponding ISO codes and shapefiles for all geographic units. All components of the dataset are

Column	Description
Diabetes ^{49,50}	Age-adjusted percent prevalence of adults with diabetes
Obesity ^{51–53}	Percent of obese adults (body mass index of 30+)
Smoking ^{54,55}	Age-adjusted percent prevalence of adults who are smokers
COPD ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease by sex
COPD_F ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Female)
COPD_M ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Male)
CVD ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of CVD by sex
CVD_F ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of CVD (Female)
CVD_M ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of CVD (Male)
HIV ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of HIV/AIDS by sex
HIV_F ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of HIV/AIDS (Female)
HIV_M ⁵⁶	Age-standardized percent prevalence of HIV/AIDS (Male)
Hypertension ^{57,58}	Percent of adults with hypertension by sex (Total)
Hypertension_F ⁵⁶	Percent of adults with hypertension by sex (Female)
Hypertension_M ⁵⁶	Percent of adults with hypertension by sex (Male)
Risk_Tot47	Proportion of individuals in the population that have at least 1 of the 11 identified risk conditions for COVID-19.
Risk_Age ⁴⁷	Age-standardized proportion of the population that are at increased risk for COVID-19.
Risk_High ⁴⁷	Proportion of individuals at high risk, defined as those that would require hospital admission if infected.
Cases_MERS ⁵⁹	Total MERS cases by country (October 2012 - Feb2018)
Cases_SARS ⁶⁰	Total SARS cases by country (1 Nov 2002 - 7 Aug 2003)

Table 9. Static health data structure.

Column	Description
ID	Geospatial ID, unique identifier
PM2.5 ⁴²	Fine particulate matter (PM2.5; µg/m ³) concentration (2014–2018 mean)
PM2.5_PopWtd ^{42,66}	Fine particulate matter (PM2.5; µg/m ³) concentration (2014–2018 mean, population weighted)
NO2 ^{44,45}	Nitrogen dioxide (NO2; ppbv) concentration (2014-2018 mean)
NO2_PopWtd ^{44,45,66}	Nitrogen dioxide (NO2; ppbv) concentration (2014–2018 mean, population weighted)
Access_City ^{61,62}	Travel time to nearest cities (minutes)
Access_Motor ⁶³	Travel time to health care facilities, with motorized transport (minutes)
Access_Walk ⁶³	Travel time to health care facilities, without motorized transport (minutes)
WorldPop ⁶⁶	Total population from WorldPop
WorldPop_Density ⁶⁶	Population density from WorldPop (1/km ²)
WorldPop_65 ⁶⁶	Population over 65 years old from WorldPop
WorldPop_F ⁶⁶	Population by sex (Female) from WorldPop
WorldPop_M ⁶⁶	Population by sex (Male) from WorldPop
Sex_Ratio ⁶⁶	Sex ratio (Male/Female) from WorldPop

Table 10. Static air quality, accessibility, and population data structure.

updated daily to sync all retrospective changes from the original sources, including any corrections or re-assignments of the case counts. The updated dataset offers more accurate and up-to-date information for researchers to model and analyze COVID-19 transmission dynamics and associations with environmental conditions.

Hydrometeorology and air quality data are all drawn from data sources that perform their own extensive evaluation routines. We did not apply additional independent evaluation of these products. Processed variables were checked for consistency with the source data to ensure that no artifacts were introduced during data transfer or spatial averaging. We perform regular checks of time-series hydrometeorological data from select administrative units in order to scan for inconsistencies or discontinuities in the ERA5 or NLDAS data records, as such errors can sometimes appear in operational Earth data products. To date we have not identified any problematic issues, but should they arise, those data will be flagged as preliminary until corrected versions of the hydrometeorological data files are posted by the operational data center.

The accessibility to cities, validated by comparing it to the network distance algorithm within Google Maps, was encouraging ($R^2 = 0.66$; mean absolute difference 20.7 min). The prevalence of comorbid conditions as outlined in Table 9 were taken from online sources directly or associated with reputable health organizations, health research centers, international and national organizations, research journals, and academic institutions. Multiple validation checks were conducted to ensure that our unified dataset matches these input sources. Pandemic preparedness data were taken from similarly internationally-recognized research institutions and global health

Source	Description	Level
JHU ¹	Johns Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE)	Global & County/State, United States (US)
CTP ²	The COVID Tracking Project	State, US
NYC ³	New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	ZCTA/Borough, New York City
NYT ⁴	The New York Times	County/State, US
SES ⁵	Monitoring COVID-19 Cases and Deaths in Brazil	Municipality/State/Country, Brazil
DPC ⁶	Italian Civil Protection Department	NUTS 0-3, Italy
RKI ⁷	Robert Koch-Institut, Germany	NUTS 0-3, Germany
JRC ⁸	Joint Research Centre	Global & NUTS 0-3, Europe
IHME ¹³	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation	National (global) & subnational (US)
CRC ²⁸	Johns Hopkins Centers for Civic Impact	National (global) & subnational (US)
CIESIN ³⁵	Center for International Earth Science Information Network	All levels
NLDAS ³⁶	North American Land Data Assimilation System	County/State, US
ERA537	The fifth generation of ECMWF reanalysis	All levels
Hammer ⁴²	Fine Particulate Matter Concentrations	All levels
Anenberg ^{44,45}	Global surface NO2 concentrations 1990-2020	All levels
OxCGRT46	Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker	Global & subnational (US, UK)
Clark ⁴⁸	Lancet estimates of population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 in 2020	Global, regional, national
MAP ⁶¹⁻⁶³	Accessibility to Cities, Accessibility to Healthcare	All levels
WorldPop ⁶⁶	Open-Source Demographic Data and Research	All levels

Table 11. Data sources of the unified COVID-19 dataset.

organizations. Multiple validation checks were conducted to ensure consistency between the unified datasets and these highly vetted data sources.

Usage Notes

Some US counties, territories, and islands do not have standard FIPS codes or are combined from standard units such as Bristol Bay plus Lake and Peninsula Borough, Dukes and Nantucket counties, Utah jurisdictions, Federal Correctional Institution (FCI), Veterans' Affairs, and Michigan Department of Corrections (MDOC). Those units are given a unique ID as listed in the frequently-updated lookup table on GitHub.

The Covid Tracking Project (CTP) data stopped updating on March 7, 2021, after one year of service². All other time-varying sources are currently updated/synced from the original sources on a daily basis.

The daily new cases for some units might be missing or negative when calculated from the total accumulated cases in the raw data. This can be attributed to reporting issues and reassignment of the cases. We correct and validate the data entries only when we have strong evidence to do so. Otherwise, we keep the original data exactly as obtained from the official sources. In the future, we plan to provide an augmented version of the global data at all administrative levels, derived from all data sources. Here, we maintain consistency between both the unified and raw data.

The short lifetime of $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 and the spatial heterogeneities in their emissions sources can result in substantial differences between simple and population weighted averages at times, depending on the spatial distribution of the population and emission sources within administrative units. Due to limited availability of ground monitors in some locations, the NO_2 concentrations have greater certainty in urban areas compared with rural areas and in North America and Europe compared with other parts of the world⁴⁴.

The population by sex data were entered as missing values for thirty-four subnational areas in Brazil since reported values were incompatible with the total population. The accessibility raster file did not cover Monaco, and the data were manually entered using values in the surrounding area. We exclude small, overseas NUTS administrative divisions (e.g., Guadeloupe, French Guiana, Réunion) from the unified dataset to decrease the computational time needed to update the dataset in near real-time. Of note, the accessibility and population data would be most relevant for analysis at subnational, rather than national level, due to the operational definition of the data.

We claim that the presentation of material therein does not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of JHU concerning the legal status of any country, area or territory or of its authorities. The depiction and use of boundaries, geographic names and related data shown on maps and included in lists, tables, documents, and databases on this website are not warranted to be error free nor do they necessarily imply official endorsement or acceptance by JHU.

Data Format

The data are stored in multiple compressed data formats: RDS and FST binary data files supported by R Statistical Software and CSV data files supported by all other machine learning tools. The R binary data formats efficiently preserve all variable types, attributes and object classes. Moreover, RDS files are highly compressed making it easier for file transfer and storage while the FST format provides lightning-fast multithreaded data serialization and full random access to stored datasets allowing for loading a data subset (selected columns or rows) without reading the complete data file. This offers an advantage over other common data formats, such as comma-separated values (CSV) or its compressed versions, that do not explicitly specify the variable types (e.g., integer vs double). Moreover, the produced files are much smaller in size, facilitating data access and processing.

Code availability

The source code used to clean, unify, aggregate, and merge the different data components from all sources will be available on GitHub at https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19 Unified-Dataset.

Received: 6 May 2022; Accepted: 30 May 2023; Published online: 07 June 2023

References

- 1. Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect. Dis. 20, 533-534 (2020)
- 2. The Atlantic Monthly Group. The COVID Tracking Project. The COVID Tracking Project https://covidtracking.com/ (2023).
- 3. NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. NYC Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Data. (2023).
- 4. The New York Times. Coronavirus (Covid-19) Data in the United States (Archived). (2023).
- 5. Cota, W. Monitoring the number of COVID-19 cases and deaths in Brazil at municipal and federative units level. https://preprints. scielo.org/index.php/scielo/preprint/view/362/version/371, https://doi.org/10.1590/SciELOPreprints.362 (2020). 6. Italian Civil Protection Department. Dati COVID-19 Italia. (2023).
- 7. Robert Koch-Institut. COVID-19 Datenhub. COVID-19 Datenhub https://npgeo-corona-npgeo-de.hub.arcgis.com/.
- 8. Joint Research Centre. Rationale for the JRC COVID-19 website data monitoring and national measures. (2023).
- 9. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19 (2021). 10. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. https://covid19.who.int (2023).
- 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID Data Tracker. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention https://covid.cdc.gov/ covid-data-tracker (2020).
- 12. Xu, B. et al. Epidemiological data from the COVID-19 outbreak, real-time case information. Sci. Data 7, 106 (2020).
- Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. SARS-CoV-2 Daily and Cumulative Infection Estimates 2019-2021. SARS-CoV-2 Daily
- and Cumulative Infection Estimates 2019-2021 https://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/covid_19_cumulative_infections (2021). 14. Cori, A., Ferguson, N. M., Fraser, C. & Cauchemez, S. A New Framework and Software to Estimate Time-Varying Reproduction Numbers During Epidemics. Am. J. Epidemiol. 178, 1505-1512 (2013).
- Colston, J. M. et al. Effects of hydrometeorological and other factors on SARS-CoV-2 reproduction number in three contiguous countries of tropical Andean South America: a spatiotemporally disaggregated time series analysis. IJID Reg. 6, 29-41 (2023)
- Beesley, L. J. et al. Multi-dimensional resilience: A quantitative exploration of disease outcomes and economic, political, and social 16. resilience to the COVID-19 pandemic in six countries. PLOS ONE 18, e0279894 (2023).
- 17. Du, H. et al. Incorporating variant frequencies data into short-term forecasting for COVID-19 cases and deaths in the USA: a deep learning approach. eBioMedicine 89, 104482 (2023).
- Cheam, A., Fredette, M., Marbac, M. & Navarro, F. Translation-invariant functional clustering on COVID-19 deaths adjusted on 18 population risk factors. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C Appl. Stat. qlad014, https://doi.org/10.1093/jrsssc/qlad014 (2023)
- Kerr, G. H. et al. Evolving Drivers of Brazilian SARS-CoV-2 Transmission: A Spatiotemporally Disaggregated Time Series Analysis of Meteorology, Policy, and Human Mobility. GeoHealth 7, e2022GH000727 (2023).
- 20. Wilkinson, M. D. et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Sci. Data 3, 160018 (2016).
- 21. Badr, H. S. et al. COVID-19 Unified Dataset v1.0. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7789960 (2023).
- 22. Abbott, S. et al. EpiNow2: Estimate Real-Time Case Counts and Time-Varying Epidemiological Parameters. (2020).
- Abbott, S. et al. Estimating the time-varying reproduction number of SARS-CoV-2 using national and subnational case counts. 23 Wellcome Open Res. 5, 112 (2020).
- Alene, M. et al. Serial interval and incubation period of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect. Dis. 21, 257 (2021). McAloon, C. et al. Incubation period of COVID-19: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis of observational research. BMJ 25 Open 10, e039652 (2020).
- 26. Lauer, S. A. et al. The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases: Estimation and Application. Ann. Intern. Med. 172, 577-582 (2020).
- 27. Rai, B., Shukla, A. & Dwivedi, L. K. Estimates of serial interval for COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin. Epidemiol. Glob. Health 9, 157-161 (2021).
- 28 Ganyani, T. et al. Estimating the generation interval for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) based on symptom onset data, March 2020. Eurosurveillance 25 (2020).
- 29. Johns Hopkins Centers for Civic Impact. Bloomberg Center for Government Excellence. GitHub https://github.com/govex.
- Sera, F. et al. A cross-sectional analysis of meteorological factors and SARS-CoV-2 transmission in 409 cities across 26 countries. 30. Nat. Commun. 12, 5968 (2021).
- Fontal, A. et al. Climatic signatures in the different COVID-19 pandemic waves across both hemispheres. Nat. Comput. Sci. 1, 655-665 (2021)
- Pan, W. K. et al. Heterogeneity in the Effectiveness of Non-pharmaceutical Interventions During the First SARS-CoV2 Wave in the United States. Front. Public Health 9, 754696 (2021).
- Ma, Y., Pei, S., Shaman, J., Dubrow, R. & Chen, K. Role of meteorological factors in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in the United States. Nat. Commun. 12, 3602 (2021).
- Kerr, G. H., Badr, H. S., Gardner, L. M., Perez-Saez, J. & Zaitchik, B. F. Associations between meteorology and COVID-19 in early 34. studies: Inconsistencies, uncertainties, and recommendations. One Health 12, 100225 (2021).
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network CIESIN Columbia University. Gridded Population of the World, Version 4 (GPWv4): Population Count Adjusted to Match 2015 Revision of UN WPP Country Totals, Revision 11. (2018).
- 36. Xia, Y. et al. Continental-scale water and energy flux analysis and validation for the North American Land Data Assimilation System project phase 2 (NLDAS-2): 1. Intercomparison and application of model products: WATER AND ENERGY FLUX ANALYSIS. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 117, n/a-n/a (2012).
- 37. Hersbach, H. et al. The ERA5 global reanalysis. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 146, 1999-2049 (2020).
- 38. Tarek, M., Brissette, F. P. & Arsenault, R. Evaluation of the ERA5 reanalysis as a potential reference dataset for hydrological modelling over North America. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 24, 2527-2544 (2020).
- 39. Liang, D. et al. Urban Air Pollution May Enhance COVID-19 Case-Fatality and Mortality Rates in the United States. The Innovation 1, 100047 (2020).
- Wu, X., Nethery, R. C., Sabath, M. B., Braun, D. & Dominici, F. Air pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United States: Strengths and limitations of an ecological regression analysis. Sci. Adv. 6, eabd4049 (2020).
- 41. Pozzer, A. et al. Regional and global contributions of air pollution to risk of death from COVID-19. Cardiovasc. Res. 116, 2247-2253 (2020). Hammer, M. S. et al. Global Estimates and Long-Term Trends of Fine Particulate Matter Concentrations (1998-2018). Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 7879-7890 (2020).
- 43. Larkin, A. et al. Global Land Use Regression Model for Nitrogen Dioxide Air Pollution. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 6957-6964 (2017).

- 44. Anenberg, S. C. et al. Long-term trends in urban NO2 concentrations and associated paediatric asthma incidence: estimates from global datasets. Lancet Planet. Health 6, e49–e58 (2022).
- 45. Anenberg, S. Nitrogen Dioxide Surface-Level Annual Average Concentrations V1 (SFC_NITROGEN_DIOXIDE_CONC). (2023).
- Hale, T. *et al.* A global panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker). *Nat. Hum. Behav.* 5, 529–538 (2021).
- 47. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. People with Certain Medical Conditions. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention* https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/people-with-medical-conditions.html (2023).
- Clark, A. et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. Lancet Glob. Health 8, e1003–e1017 (2020).
- 49. The World Bank. Diabetes prevalence (% of population ages 20 to 79). https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.STA.DIAB. ZS?name_desc=false.
- Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. Diabetes. State of Childhood Obesity https://stateofchildhoodobesity.org/demographic-data/adult/ (2023).
- 51. World Health Organization. Prevalence of obesity among adults, BMI ≥ 30, age-standardized. Estimates by country. *Global Health Observatory data repository* https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.CTRY2450A.
- 52. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. Adult Obesity Rates. State of Childhood Obesity https://stateofchildhoodobesity.org/ demographic-data/adult/.
- Central Intelligence Agency. Obesity adult prevalence rate. The World Factbook https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/field/ obesity-adult-prevalence-rate/.
- World Health Organization. Prevalence of current tobacco use. Data by country. Global Health Observatory data repository https:// apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.GSWCAH20v.
- 55. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. BRFSS Prevalence & Trends Data: Smoking Prevalence. https://nccd.cdc.gov/ BRFSSPrevalence/rdPage.aspx?rdReport=DPH_BRFSS.ExploreByTopic&irbLocationType=StatesAndMMSA&islClass= CLASS17&islTopic=TOPIC15&islYear=2018&rdRnd=77675.
- Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. GBD Results Tool. GBD Results Tool https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-results (2023).
 Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. Hypertension in the United States. State of Childhood Obesity https://stateofchildhoodobesity.
- org/demographic-data/adult/ (2023).
 58. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Blood Pressure Evolution of blood pressure over time. https://ncdrisc.org/data-downloads-blood-pressure.html (2017).
- 59. Ramshaw, R. E. et al. A database of geopositioned Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus occurrences. Sci. Data 6, 318 (2019).
- World Health Organization. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS). https://www.who.int/health-topics/severe-acute-respiratory-syndrome (2022).
- 61. Malaria Atlas Project. Accessibility to Cities. https://malariaatlas.org/.
- 62. Weiss, D. J. et al. A global map of travel time to cities to assess inequalities in accessibility in 2015. Nature 553, 333-336 (2018).
- 63. Weiss, D. J. et al. Global maps of travel time to healthcare facilities. Nat. Med. 26, 1835–1838 (2020).
- 64. Carrasco-Escobar, G., Manrique, E., Tello-Lizarraga, K. & Miranda, J. J. Travel Time to Health Facilities as a Marker of Geographical Accessibility Across Heterogeneous Land Coverage in Peru. *Front. Public Health* **8**, 498 (2020).
- 65. Hu, Y., Wang, C., Li, R. & Wang, F. Estimating a large drive time matrix between ZIP codes in the United States: A differential sampling approach. J. Transp. Geogr. 86, 102770 (2020).
- 66. Tatem, A. J. WorldPop, open data for spatial demography. Sci. Data 4, 170004 (2017).

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by NASA Health & Air Quality project 80NSSC18K0327, under a COVID-19 supplement, National Institute of Health (NIH) project 3U19A1135995-03S1 ("Consortium for Viral Systems Biology (CViSB)"; Collaboration with The Scripps Research Institute and UCLA), and NASA grant 80NSSC20K1122. Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory (APL), Data Services and Esri provide professional support on designing the automatic data collection structure, and maintaining the JHU CSSE GitHub repository.

Author contributions

B.F.Z. and L.M.G. conceived and supervised the data collection and quality control. H.S.B. created the unified dataset, standardized the administrative names and codes by geospatial ID, and harmonized the variable names and types, merged all data components, developed the main code, and is maintaining the data structure and real-time updates. B.F.Z. and G.H.K. processed and maintained the hydrometeorological and air quality data. All authors contributed to dataset holdings and to writing and editing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.F.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023