nature medicine

Accelerated Article Preview

Evidence for a role of *Anopheles stephensi* in the spread of drug and diagnosis-resistant malaria in Africa

Received: 22 April 2023

Accepted: 12 October 2023

Accelerated Article Preview Published online: 26 October 2023

Cite this article as: Emiru, T. . et al. Evidence for a role of *Anopheles stephensi* in the spread of drug and diagnosis-resistant malaria in Africa. *Nature Medicine* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-023-02641-9 (2021).

Open access

Tadele Emiru, Dejene Getachew, Maxwell Murphy, Luigi Sedda, Legesse Alamerie Ejigu, Mikiyas Gebremichael Bulto, Isabel Byrne, Mulugeta Demisse, Melat Abdo, Wakweya Chali, Aaron Elliott, Eric Neubauer Vickers, Andrés Aranda-Díaz, Lina Alemayehu, Sinknesh W. Behaksera, Gutema Jebessa, Hunduma Dinka, Tizita Tsegaye, Hiwot Teka, Sheleme Chibsa, Peter Mumba, Samuel Girma, Jimee Hwang, Melissa Yoshimizu, Alice Sutcliffe, Hiwot Solomon Taffese, Gudissa Aseffa Bayissa, Sarah Zohdy, Jon Eric Tongren, Chris Drakeley, Bryan Greenhouse, Teun Bousema & Fitsum G. Tadesse

This is a PDF file of a peer-reviewed paper that has been accepted for publication. Although unedited, the content has been subjected to preliminary formatting. Nature Medicine is providing this early version of the typeset paper as a service to our authors and readers. The text and figures will undergo copyediting and a proof review before the paper is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply. Evidence for a role of *Anopheles stephensi* in the spread of drug and diagnosis-resistant malaria in Africa

29

30 Tadele Emiru^{1#}, Dejene Getachew^{2#}, Maxwell Murphy^{3#}, Luigi Sedda^{4#}, Legesse Alamerie Ejigu¹, Mikiyas

31 Gebremichael Bulto¹, Isabel Byrne⁵, Mulugeta Demisse¹, Melat Abdo¹, Wakweya Chali^{1,6}, Aaron Elliott³,

32 Eric Neubauer Vickers³, Andrés Aranda-Díaz³, Lina Alemayehu¹, Sinknesh W Behaksera¹, Gutema

33 Jebessa¹, Hunduma Dinka², Tizita Tsegaye¹, Hiwot Teka⁷, Sheleme Chibsa⁷, Peter Mumba⁷, Samuel

- 34 Girma⁷, Jimee Hwang⁸, Melissa Yoshimizu⁹, Alice Sutcliffe¹⁰, Hiwot Solomon Taffese¹¹, Gudissa Aseffa
- 35 Bayissa¹¹, Sarah Zohdy¹⁰, Jon Eric Tongren⁸, Chris Drakeley⁵, Bryan Greenhouse³, Teun Bousema⁶,
- 36 Fitsum G Tadesse^{1,5,6*}
- 37

38 ¹Armauer Hansen Research Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; ²Adama Science and Technology University, Adama, Ethiopia; ³EPPIcenter program, Division of HIV, ID and Global Medicine, University 39 of California, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁴Lancaster Ecology and Epidemiology Group, Lancaster Medical 40 School, Lancaster University, United Kingdom; ⁵London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 41 London, UK; ⁶Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ⁷U.S. President's Malaria Initiative, USAID, 42 43 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; ⁸U.S. President's Malaria Initiative, Malaria Branch, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; ⁹U.S. President's Malaria Initiative, USAID, Washington DC; ¹⁰U.S. 44 45 President's Malaria Initiative, Entomology Branch, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; ¹¹Federal Ministry of Health, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 46

47

48 [#]shared first authors *corresponding author (fitsum.girma@ahri.gov.et)

49

50 Abstract

Anopheles stephensi, an Asian malaria vector, continues to expand across Africa. The vector is now 51 52 firmly established in urban settings in the Horn of Africa. Its presence in areas where malaria resurged 53 suggested a possible role in causing malaria outbreaks. Using a prospective case control design, we 54 investigated the role of An. stephensi in transmission following a malaria outbreak in Dire Dawa, 55 Ethiopia in April-July 2022. Screening contacts of malaria patients and febrile controls revealed spatial clustering of P. falciparum infections around malaria patients in strong association with An. stephensi 56 57 presence in the household vicinity. Plasmodium sporozoites were detected in these mosquitoes. This 58 outbreak involved clonal propagation of parasites with molecular signatures of artemisinin and 59 diagnostic resistance. This study provides the strongest evidence to date for a role of An. stephensi in 60 driving an urban malaria outbreak in Africa, highlighting the major public health threat this fast-61 spreading mosquito poses.

62

63 Introduction

The promising decline in malaria burden has slowed since 2015. This is particularly evident in Africa, the continent that carries the largest malaria prevalence¹. Malaria control programs in Africa traditionally focus on rural settings, where most infections occur, but malaria is of increasing concern in urban settings². Given the rapid urbanization in Africa³, urban malaria transmission can result in a considerable health burden⁴. Urban malaria is classically associated with importation from areas of intense transmission⁵ but can be exacerbated by the adaptation of existing malaria vectors to urban environments⁶ and the emergence of urban malaria vectors such as *Anopheles stephensi*⁷.

71

72 An. stephensi is distinct from other Anopheles species that are traditional vectors in (rural) Africa with

its preference for artificial water storage containers that are common in urban settings^{8,9}. Native to the

- Indian sub-continent and the Persian Gulf¹⁰, *An. stephensi* is now rapidly expanding its geographic
 range westward (Fig. 1a)⁷. First detected in Africa in Djibouti in 2012¹¹, *An. stephensi* has spread across
- the Horn of Africa; its range now includes Ethiopia (2016)¹², Sudan (2016)¹³, Somalia (2019)¹⁴, Eritrea
- $(2022)^{15}$ and beyond: Yemen $(2021)^{16}$, Kenya $(2022)^{17}$, Ghana $(2022)^{15}$, and Nigeria $(2020)^{15}$. In the Horn
- of Africa, the vector was found firmly established¹⁸ and abundantly present in manmade aquatic
- habitats in the driest months of the year, when endemic vectors like An. arabiensis are largely absent,

80 demonstrating how well-adapted the mosquito is to perennial persistence and urban ecology. This

81 poses a risk of year-round malaria transmission. In recognition of the potentially devastating

82 consequences of An. stephensi advancing across Africa, the World Health Organization (WHO) urgently

83 requested more data on its distribution and released a strategy to mitigate its spread¹⁹.

84

In addition to the invasive An. stephensi and widespread high prevalence of insecticide resistance, the 85 86 Horn of Africa region is disproportionately affected by other emerging biological threats for malaria control including the emergence of *P. falciparum* parasites with drug resistance (Uganda²⁰, Rwanda²¹, 87 Eritrea²²) and histidine rich protein 2 (pfhrp2) and pfhrp3 gene deletions (Ethiopia²³, Eritrea²⁴, 88 89 Djibouti²⁵) that could compromise the utility of widely used rapid diagnostic tests (RDT). Because of its 90 abundant and species-specific expression by P. falciparum parasites, HRP2-based RDTs are commonly 91 used for the diagnosis of *P. falciparum*. Recent reports of expansion of parasites with *pfhrp2/3* gene 92 deletions and drug resistance together with a highly efficient invasive mosquito in the region threaten 93 the major gains documented in recent decades.

94

In addition to being an efficient vector for both *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* in its
native geographical range¹⁰, *An. stephensi* was recently confirmed to be susceptible to local parasites
in Ethiopia (Fig. 1a)^{9,18} and a resurgence of malaria was reported in Djibouti following its detection in
2012²⁶, although direct evidence for a role of *An. stephensi* in this resurgence was unavailable.
Following a report of a dry-season upsurge in malaria cases in Dire Dawa City, Ethiopia, where *An. stephensi* was recently documented⁸, we prospectively investigated its role in malaria transmission
through responsive epidemiological and entomological surveillance (Fig. 1b).

102 103 **Results**

104 Malaria outbreaks in Dire Dawa city and its university

105 Clinical malaria incidence data (diagnosed by microscopy) collected from public and private health 106 facilities (n=34) showed an overall statistically significant trend of increasing number of malaria 107 positive cases between 2019 and 2022 (Mann-Kendall statistical test $\tau = 0.42$, *p*<0.001). A 12-fold 108 increase was observed (Extended Data Table 1 and Fig. S1) in malaria incidence in Dire Dawa during 109 the dry months (January – May) of 2022 (2,425 cases) compared to 2019 (205 cases). Similar increasing 110 trend was observed using the district health information system 2 (DHIS2) data (Fig. 2a and Fig. S1). 111 Patients reported at both public and private health facilities with the latter contributing to 15.8% of patients diagnosed for malaria in the last four years with an increasing trend from 17.7% in 2019 to 25.9% in 2021, which later declined to 5.7% during the outbreak (2022). In 2022, 76% of all reported malaria cases originated from only three public health facilities: Dire Dawa University (DDU) students' clinic (42%), Sabiyan Hospital (19%) and Goro Health Center (15%). At DDU campus, 94% (1,075/1,141) of clinical malaria episodes occurred in the male student population living in the university single-sex dormitories.

118

We conducted a prospective case control study to identify risk factors associated with this sudden rise 119 120 in malaria in the city (Goro Health Center) and DDU (Fig. 1b). In the city, we recruited 48 microscopy confirmed febrile malaria cases plus 125 case-household members and 109 febrile controls without 121 122 microscopy confirmed malaria who attended the same clinic within 72 hours plus 241 control-123 household members. At DDU, we recruited 53 students with clinical malaria and 110 dormmates and 124 80 uninfected febrile students with 186 dormmates. Details of individual and household characteristics 125 are presented in Table 1. The index cases and controls were febrile either at the time of recruitment 126 or within 48 hours (self-reported) prior to attending the clinics. The family members/dormmates were 127 recruited irrespective of symptoms. Fever was detected in a minority of recruited family/dormitory 128 members of the controls (1.4%, 6/424) and index cases (6.0%, 14/233) (Extended Data Table 2). The 129 responsive case control study unit was the household/dormitory; no plausible risk factors were defined 130 a priori and neither a sex/gender nor Plasmodium species stratification were considered in the study design. The outbreak at the university campus happened at a fine spatial scale (20 dormitory buildings 131 132 in a 45,450 m² area); the dormitories affected by malaria were occupied by male students only 133 (Extended Data Table 1). Despite Dire Dawa being historically co-endemic for P. falciparum and P. vivax, 134 the proportion of malaria cases that were due to P. falciparum increased from 61% in 2015 to 93% in 135 2022 (Fig. 2a). All the index cases we recruited (n=101) and the additional infections detected (n=102)136 in this study were found to be *P. falciparum* with the exception of two *P. vivax* infections detected by 137 18S based qPCR. Plasmodium infection was detected in 14 controls by 18S based qPCR. The parasite 138 density in these infections which were all P. falciparum was very low (median parasitemia was 21 139 parasites/ μ L) and thus lie below the detection limits of the conventional diagnostics. Only two of these 140 infections had parasitemia above 100 parasites/ μ L (278 and 1,822 parasites/ μ L).

141

142 Mosquito exposure and infection prevalence in malaria contacts

143 The results obtained from case control analysis showed that members of the index cases and controls had different levels of mosquito exposure (Extended Data Table 3). In entomological surveillance, all 144 145 households and dormitories were surveyed for adult mosquitoes (indoors, outdoors, and in animal 146 shelters if present) and immature stages of Anopheles in waterbodies that were present within a 100-147 meter radius. Members of a case household/dormitory were more likely to be living close to An. 148 stephensi positive sites, defined as the presence of larvae within a 100-meter radius from the household/dormitory (odds ratio [OR] 5.0, 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.8-9.4, p<0.001), or adult 149 mosquitoes resting sites (OR 1.9, 95% CI 0.9-4.0, p=0.068), or to natural/manmade waterbodies in 150 151 general (OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2-2.2, p=0.002). The odds of using an aerosol insecticide spray were 58% 152 lower among members of the index cases compared to controls (OR 0.42, 95% CI 0.23-0.72, p<0.001). 153

154 In the city, P. falciparum qPCR detected infections were significantly more common (OR 12.0, 95% CI 5.8-25.1, p<0.001; Fig. 2b) among case household members (35.3%, 43/122) than control household 155 156 members (4.3%, 10/233), with a similar trend for microscopy (OR 42.4, 95% CI 5.6-320.8, p<0.001) and 157 RDT detected infections (OR 8.0, 95% CI 3.1-20.4, p<0.001). At DDU, despite all students living in close 158 proximity (20 buildings in a 45,450 m^2 area), dormmates of malaria cases were three times as likely (OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.2-7.4, p=0.020; Fig. 2b) to be P. falciparum positive by RDT (11.8%, 13/110) compared 159 to dormmates of controls (4.3%, 8/185). A quarter of microscopy-positive infections (34/136) were 160 negative by HRP2-based RDT (sensitivity 75.0, 95% CI 72.2-77.8, specificity 97.0, 95% CI 95.9-98.1; 161 162 Extended Data Table 4) with different proportions of HRP2-based RDT negative infections in the city 163 (10.3%, 7/68) and the university (39.7%, 27/68). HRP2-based RDTs are the most commonly used RDTs 164 for the diagnosis of *P. falciparum* in the area. Recent reports of expansion of parasites with *pfhrp2/3* 165 gene deletion threaten the important role these RDTs have in diagnosing malaria. qPCR detected 166 considerably more infections with the likelihood of infections being missed by RDT (Fig. 2c) or 167 microscopy (Fig. 2d) being dependent on parasite density and, for RDT, pfhrp2 gene deletion status 168 (Extended Data Table 5). Parasite densities were higher in the RDT positive infections (geometric mean 169 702 parasites/μL, 95% CI 495-997) than RDT negative infections (24, 14-42, p<0.001). Similarly, parasite 170 densities were higher in microscopy positive infections (683 parasites/µL, 488-956) than in microscopy 171 negative infections (11, 7-19, p<0.001) (Extended Data Table 5). The median parasite density by qPCR 172 for infections that were RDT negative, but microscopy positive was 357,236 parasites/µL (interquartile 173 range [IQR] 51,440-1,790,966, n=31), strongly suggestive of pfhrp2/3 gene deletion in these infections. 174 Parasite density distributions were not different between university students (geometric mean 158) 175 parasites/µL, 95% CI 94-265) and city residents (163 parasites/µL, 91-291, p=0.132, Fig. S2). As expected, parasitemia was higher in the index cases (geometric mean 669 P. falciparum parasites/µL, 176 177 95% CI 442-1012; Fig. 2e) compared to malaria-infected controls (21, 7-67, p<0.001), malaria-infected 178 control family members (29, 9-97, p=0.005), and malaria-infected index family members (53, 27-107, 179 *p*<0.001).

180

181 An. stephensi dominates and carries P. falciparum sporozoites

Anopheles larvae were detected in 3% (26/886) of aquatic habitats, which were either artificial (n=17) 182 or natural (n=9). An. stephensi was the only species detected in the artificial containers (n=414 larvae) 183 184 of which the majority were metal and plastic barrels and Jerrycans and was the predominant species 185 detected at the stream edges (57% larvae, 160/280; Extended Data Table 6). Adult Anopheles spp. 186 mosquitoes were detected in the majority of examined animal shelters (18/24), water storage tankers 187 (4/4), manholes (7/7), inside (22/508) and outside (7/305) the index and control 188 households/dormitories using Prokopack® aspirators, with nearly all identified as An. stephensi (97%, 189 599/618; Extended Data Table 7). All mosquitoes that were morphologically identified as An. stephensi and tested molecularly (n=90) were confirmed to be this species except four for which the ITS2 based 190 191 PCR experiment failed (Fig. S3) – which might be the result of loss of genetic material during extraction. 192 Fully engorged adult caught An. stephensi (195/599) and An. gambiae (5/16) mosquitoes (Extended 193 Data Table 8) were tested for bloodmeal sources in a multiplex PCR assay that amplifies the 194 cytochrome b gene: for cow, dog, goat, and human. Goats or cows were the main recent blood meal 195 sources of An. stephensi (98%, 96/98) and An. gambiae s.l. (80%, 4/5), but only An. stephensi (2/98) had recently fed on humans. Blood meal source was undetermined for half (n=96) of the fully engorged 196 197 (n=199) An. stephensi mosquitoes that were tested in this study. P. falciparum sporozoites, indicative 198 of transmission upon natural blood-feeding, based on sporozoite and PCR-based detection were 199 confirmed only in *An. stephensi* (0.5%, 3/599).

200

201 Overlapping clusters of. *falciparum* and *An. stephensi* abundance

Spatial analysis of *P. falciparum* infection localities within the city demonstrated significant evidence for clustering (Global Moran's *I* 0.020; *p*<0.001; Fig. 3a) in the study area, and 11 significant clusters of *P. falciparum* infections (detectable by microscopy and/or RDT) were detected. *An. stephensi* larvae and/or adult mosquitoes were more often detected near the index cases (14.9%) than controls (4.3%, *p*=0.020; Fig. 3b) and this overlapped with clusters of *P. falciparum* infections (Fig. 3c). The sporozoite infected mosquitoes were also found in close proximity (Fig. 3b). In the city, the clusters of households with higher infection prevalence were all situated within 200-meter of Butiji river.

209

210 An. stephensi presence is strongly linked with P. falciparum positivity

We next evaluated risk factors for being infected with *P. falciparum* (Table 2). Male sex (OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.7-5.4, *p*=0.001) and being above 15 years of age (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.2-15.7, *p*=0.029) were risk factors associated with *P. falciparum* infection positivity whilst using aerosol insecticide sprays was found protective from malaria (OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.1-0.8, *p*=0.016). The results further show that those individuals residing in households/dormitories with *An. stephensi* positivity (larvae/adult/indoor/ 216 outdoor) had a higher risk of malaria infection (OR 3.7, 95% CI 1.7-6.5, *p*<0.001) compared to 217 individuals in households/dormitories where *An. stephensi* was not detected.

218

219 Parasites with signatures of artemisinin and diagnostic resistance

220 We attempted to sequence 18S gPCR positive samples and of these the sequencing was successful for 221 71% (n=131) of the samples. All blood samples were collected from patients before treatment was 222 provided, and thus represent the composition of parasites in the blood. Genotyping of 131 infections 223 at 162 microhaplotype loci by amplicon sequencing uncovered that 90% of infections were monoclonal 224 and nearly all were closely related to other detected infections, with 98% falling into one of two 225 distinct, nearly clonal lineages. Lineage 1 was the most common, almost completely homogeneous, 226 observed throughout the study period, and distributed widely throughout both study sites (Fig. 3d and 227 3e, Table 3). Lineage 2 comprised 15% of infections and contained some genetic diversity, with only 13 228 of 20 infections highly related to each other. Highly related infections within Lineage 2 were not 229 detected until May, 2022, with most (11/13) detected at DDU (Fig. 3c). Infections within dormitories 230 were not restricted to a single lineage; half (7/14) of all dormitories with more than one infection had 231 infections from both lineages detected. Of concern was that 14 out of 20 Lineage 2 infections carried 232 the R622I mutation in the kelch13 gene - which has been associated with reduced susceptibility to artemisinins in Eritrea²² – along with evidence of P. falciparum pfhrp2 and pfhrp3 gene deletions – 233 234 which are associated with false negativity of HRP2-based RDTs. Consistent with evidence of deletions 235 of these genes, the majority of Lineage 2 parasites (70.0%, 14/20) tested negative on HRP2-based RDT 236 but were positive by microscopy. Lineage 1 infections did not contain pfhrp2 deletions, most were 237 detectable by RDT (71.6%, 78/109), and only 2.8% (n=3) contained the kelch 13 R622I mutation, but all 238 had evidence of *pfhrp3* deletions and the quintuple mutation in *pfdhfr* and *pfdhps* associated-with 239 antifolate resistance. Of the successfully sequenced microscopically detectable but RDT negative 240 infections (n=24), some were found to be pfhrp2 and pfhrp3 double gene deleted (37.5%, 9/24) whilst 241 the rest were only *pfhrp3* gene deleted (62.5%, 15/24). Interestingly, most infections from Lineage 2 242 containing the R622I mutation (11/14) exhibited incomplete antifolate resistance, lacking the pfdhfr 243 59 mutation. A single monoclonal infection with low relatedness within Lineage 2 showed unique 244 features: elevated pfmdr copy number, heterozygous for the pfmdr1 184 mutation, whilst being the 245 only infection with a wildtype pfcrt genotype. There was no significant association between Lineage 1 246 and 2 with self-reported uptake of vector control measures (bed net utilization, insecticide residual 247 spray, using repellents), travel history, age, sex, educational level, occupation or infection detection by 248 microscopy (Extended Data Table 9). In contrast, a larger proportion of Lineage 2 infections were 249 undetected by RDT, as described above. These data, showing primarily clonal transmission of two 250 distinct parasite lineages that did not intermix, are consistent with increased transmission occurring 251 on the background of an exceedingly small parasite population, with more recent spread of a parasite 252 lineage containing mutations that are concerning for drug and diagnostic resistance. 253

254 Discussion

Our findings raise concern about urban malaria associated with the presence of An. stephensi. First 255 256 detected in 2018 in Dire Dawa⁸, An. stephensi is now perennially present in the city and was found 257 infected with *P. falciparum*¹⁸. In 2014, no *Anopheles* developmental stages were detected in containers 258 in Dire Dawa²⁷, supporting the notion of its recent introduction in the area. In the years following its 259 first detection (between 2019 and 2022), a 12-fold increase in malaria incidence that was 260 predominantly P. falciparum was observed in the city. The spatial overlap and association between 261 malaria infection and the presence of An. stephensi, the detection of sporozoites in adult mosquitoes 262 and the clonal propagation of parasites that we report here, provide the strongest evidence to date 263 for a role of An. stephensi in driving an urban malaria outbreak in Africa. This, to our knowledge, is the first direct evidence of the role of An. stephensi in transmitting malaria in Africa and corroborates 264 265 recent reports from Djibouti of exponential increases in malaria cases in the years following detection 266 of the species²⁶.

267

The outbreak in the university campus was localised and the dormitories affected by malaria were 268 occupied by male students only. However, in the population of Dire Dawa city, male sex and older age 269 were predictors of malaria positivity. Higher parasite prevalence in males compared to females has 270 been reported in Ethiopia²⁸, other African countries²⁹ and Brazil³⁰, and is commonly described in South 271 272 East Asia³¹. Common explanations are increased risk due to employment and socio-behavioral factors 273 (e.g. use of preventive measures, sleeping times, and forest work). There may be other behavioral 274 differences between males and females involving crepuscular activities consistent with biting times for An. stephensi, which is exophilic and exophagic³². In our setting, chewing khat outdoors is done 275 predominately by men³³ again increasing exposure to vectors. There is limited evidence for sex 276 277 associated biological differences in infection acquisition or infection consequences; with the exception of the well-established role of pregnancy in malaria risk³⁴. The recently described longer infection 278 279 duration in males compared to females³⁵ suggests that there may be differences in infection kinetics/responses to infections between sexes that may in turn impact the epidemiology of malaria 280 281 infection.

282

283 Interestingly, this outbreak only involved P. falciparum infections despite the co-occurrence of P. vivax 284 in the region. We previously demonstrated that An. stephensi is highly susceptible to Ethiopian P. vivax 285 isolates⁹ and an increase in *P. vivax* cases coincided with a rise in *An. stephensi* mosquitoes in Djibouti²⁶. 286 Epidemiological circumstances at the start of the outbreak, notably the extent of the human infectious reservoir for Plasmodium infections, may have been more favorable for P. falciparum in our setting. In 287 288 sympatric settings, it is well known that P. falciparum is more prone to epidemic expansion than P. vivax^{36,37}. There is a large and increasing body of evidence (including our own work from Ethiopia)^{38,39} 289 290 showing that asymptomatic P. falciparum infections can be highly infectious to mosquitoes and that 291 the level of infectivity depends on the circulating parasite biomass (i.e., parasite density in asymptomatic carriers). Related studies on the human infectious reservoir for P. falciparum have also 292 293 demonstrated that a limited number of individuals, sometimes with asymptomatic infections, may be highly infectious to mosquitoes³⁹. This hypothesis is supported by the limited genetic diversity of 294 parasites detected in this study. We speculate, that at the start of the outbreak, the asymptomatic 295 296 infectious reservoir for P. falciparum was larger than for P. vivax and that a small number of infected 297 individuals may have been responsible for initiating the current outbreak. The continued increase in 298 the proportion of *P. falciparum* infections between 2015 and 2022 in Dire Dawa and the timing of the 299 outbreak supports this notion. Although sporozoite rates are difficult to compare between sites, times 300 and species, since they depend on many factors including mosquito age and survival, the 0.5% P. falciparum sporozoite positivity that we observed is similar to that observed previously in An. stephensi 301 in Dire Dawa and other areas in Ethiopia¹⁸ as well as sporozoite rates in An. arabiensis, a native malaria 302 303 vector in Ethiopia⁴⁰. We consider a comparison with other areas with markedly different parasite 304 populations and transmission intensity less relevant although sporozoite rates of An. stephensi in Afghanistan (0.8%) and India (0.6%) are in the same range as we observed⁴¹. Higher sporozoite rates 305 are more likely to be associated with sustained endemicity (with entomological inoculation rate >1) 306 and are typically associated with microscopy parasite prevalence between 10 and 40%⁴². Continuous 307 308 entomological and clinical surveillance would provide further evidence if this was the case in Dire 309 Dawa. In contrast, asymptomatic *P. vivax* infections have typically too low parasite densities to infect mosquitoes^{38,43}. Since *P. vivax* sporozoites have been detected in *An. stephensi* mosquitoes previously 310 from the same setting¹⁸, it is possible that future malaria outbreaks caused by An. stephensi would also 311 involve P. vivax. 312 313

The trends in increased parasite carriage among individuals living in proximity of malaria cases was most apparent for conventional diagnostics (RDT and microscopy) but not for qPCR. This is likely to reflect the age of infections with recent infections (i.e., acquired during the outbreak under examination) being more likely to be of higher parasite density while low-density infections that are detectable by qPCR to mainly reflect old infections that may have been acquired many months prior to the study⁴⁴. Historical transmission levels influence the size of the submicroscopic reservoir through acquired immunity⁴⁵. As Dire Dawa was previously endemic⁴⁶ some low density infections may persist
 and affect the interpretation of the extent of the outbreak. The relatively high-density (microscopy detected) asymptomatic infections provided a better description of the current outbreak³⁸.

323

In addition to the role for the invasive An. stephensi, two other biological threats for the control of P. 324 325 falciparum were identified in our study: drug resistance and diagnostic resistance. The high prevalence 326 of parasites with the R622I mutation in the kelch13 gene is of particular concern. Although it should be noted that parasite strains were not directly tested for resistance *ex vivo* in the current study, a recent 327 study identified this as a variant linked with partial drug resistance in Eritrea²². Following the first report 328 in 2016 from northwest Ethiopia⁴⁷, parasites carrying the R622I variant are reported to be expanding 329 in the same setting⁴⁸, more widely in the country⁴⁹ and elsewhere in the Horn of Africa⁵⁰. In addition 330 331 to evidence for artemisinin-resistant parasites, mutations conferring chloroquine and anti-folate 332 resistance were common in the parasite population responsible for this outbreak. Similarly, pfhrp2 and pfhrp3 gene deletions with phenotypic evidence of RDT negativity were detected in our study. Despite 333 its first report from Peru⁵¹, the Horn of Africa (Ethiopia²³, Eritrea²⁴, Sudan⁵², South Sudan⁵³, and 334 335 Djibouti²⁵) is disproportionately affected by diagnostic challenges of infections with *pfhrp2/3* deletions. 336 Co-occurrence of parasites with pfhrp2/3 gene deletions and the R622I mutation was recently reported from other sites in Ethiopia⁴⁹. To date, no evidence exists if the drug resistance conferring kelch13 337 338 mutation (R622I) and pfhrp2/3 gene deletions have co-evolved in the region or if this is a matter of coincidence. Even without the evidence of co-evolution, the convergence of the three biological 339 340 threats (kelch13 mutation, pfhrp2/3 gene deletion, and An. stephensi playing a role in sustaining 341 transmission of these parasites) is concerning for the region and the entire continent at large. 342

343 In this study we concurrently examined parasite carriage and spatial clustering in humans and 344 mosquitoes as well as genetic linkage analysis to demonstrate a highly plausible role for An. stephensi 345 in an outbreak of *P. falciparum* infections that carry diagnostic and drug resistance markers in Ethiopia. 346 Our data, demonstrating An. stephensi being abundant both in artificial and natural aquatic habitats in 347 the driest months of the year, highlights how well-adapted the mosquito is to perennial persistence 348 and urban ecology. Whilst our outbreak investigation was performed shortly after the mosquito 349 species was first detected in the area⁸, routine vector surveillance was sparse and we cannot draw firm 350 conclusions on the timing of An. stephensi introduction in the area. Additionally, limited sensitivity of 351 methodologies for sampling exophagic adult mosquitoes may have resulted in an underestimation of mosquito exposure and reduced precision of sporozoite prevalence estimates. Common adult 352 353 mosquito collection methods have limited sensitivity for this invasive exophilic/exophagic species. 354 Enhanced surveillance in this study revealed outdoor resting sites (manholes, water storage tankers 355 and animal shelters) that offer opportunities for targeted vector control and highlight the behavioral 356 plasticity of this invasive mosquito which makes it less amenable to conventional control approaches. Our data on the use of protective measures (e.g. repellents) was insufficiently detailed to explore how 357 effective these measures are against An. stephensi. Future studies should address this. Considering the 358 very high level of resistance of An. stephensi to the major insecticides in Ethiopia^{18,54}, the repellent 359 360 effect of the aerosol sprays is one explanation for the protective association observed in this study⁵⁵. 361 Most sprays contain repellents such as DEET (N,N-diethyl-meta-toluamide) or permethrin. Permethrin 362 and DEET have strong repellent effects on both Plasmodium-infected and uninfected An. stephensi mosquitoes⁵⁵. 363 364

365 In terms of public health consequences, the spread of *An. stephensi* in rapidly expanding urban settings 366 could pose a challenge to malaria control programs in Africa for four main reasons: i) its year round 367 persistence due to its ability to exploit manmade containers that are abundantly present in rapidly 368 expanding urban settings; ii) its ability to evade standard vector control tools given its unique ecology 369 and resistance to many of the currently available insecticides; iii) its ability to efficiently transmit both 370 *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* in the region; and iv) its confirmed role in sustaining the transmission of drug 371 and diagnostic resistant parasites demonstrated in this study that highlights a concerning convergence of biological threats for malaria control in the Horn of Africa and beyond. There is an urgent need for intensified surveillance to identify the extent of the distribution of this vector and to develop and implement tailored control measures. Whilst there is an increasing body of high-quality evidence of the spread of *An. stephensi* across the African continent, pragmatic studies on how to address this novel malaria threat are largely absent. Given increasing reports of *An. stephensi* in West and East Africa, the time window during which elimination of this mosquito from (parts of) Africa is possible is rapidly closing.

379

380 Acknowledgements: FGT was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (ACHIDES; INV-005898 and EMAGEN; INV-035257) and Wellcome Trust Early Career Award (102348). LS was 381 382 supported by the Wellcome Trust NIHR–Wellcome Partnership for Global Health Research 383 Collaborative Award (CEASE; 220870/Z/20/Z). TB was supported by a European Research Council 384 Consolidator Grant (ERC-CoG 864180; QUANTUM) and a VICI fellowship from the Dutch Research 385 Council (NWO; grant number 09150182210039). BG was supported by NIH/NIAID K24 AI144048. We 386 would like to acknowledge the World Health Organization and the countries that provided the 387 underlying data for the Global Malaria Threats map that we used to make Fig. 1a. The funders had no 388 role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. 389

Author contributions: FGT, TB, CD, JET, SZ, EG, GA, HSA, JH, MY, SG, PM, SC, HT, HD, and TE conceived
the study; TE, DG, MGB, GJ, TT, and FGT executed the study data and sample collection; MM, MA, WC,
AE, ENV, AAD, LA, SWB, AS, and FGT run the laboratory experiments; TE, MM, LS, LAE, MGB, IB, MD,
CD, BG, TB, and FGT analyzed the data; TE, DG, MM, LS, LAE, MGB, IB, MD, JH, MY, AS, SZ, JET, CD, BG,
TB, and FGT drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

396 **Competing interests**: All authors declare that they do not have competing interests.

390 397

395

398 **Disclaimer**: The findings and conclusions in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily 399 represent the official position of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

400

Table 1: Summary statistics of individual and household level characteristics for members of the cases and controls in the two settings in Dire Dawa.

Characteristics	С	ity	Univ	ersity
	Cases	Controls	Cases	Controls
	Individual char	acteristics, %(n/N)	
Number of participants (n)	173	350	163	266
Malaria incidence	42.1(72/173)	2.3(8/350)	42.9(70/163)	5.3(14/266)
Fever (axillary temperature ≥ 37.5°C)	0.9(1/110)	1.(2/186)	10.6(13/123)	1.7% 94/238)
Male sex	47.9(83/173)	45(157/349)	100(163/163)	100(266/266)
Age (years), median (interquartile range)	23(14,35)	22(11,35)	22(21,23)	21(20,22)
Travel history last month	9.3(16/173)	9.5(33/349)	9.8(16/163)	6.4(17/266)
Long lasting insecticide treated nets use	41.9(67/160)	50.9(169/332)	41.4(67/162)	41.7(105/252)
Use of aerosol insecticide sprays	12.3(19/155)	23.7(75/316)	0.0(0/160)	0.4(1/259)
Wood smoke the house the previous night	25.3(39/152)	21.3(68/320)	0.0(0/163)	0.0(0/266)
	Household cha	racteristics, %(n/N	N)	
Number of households (n)	48	109	53	80
Larvae positivity within 100meter radius around household	14.6(7/48)	4.6(5/109)	17.0(9/53)	5.0(4/80)
Adult An. stephensi presence (indoor/outdoor)	2.1(1/48)	0.0(0/109)	13.2(7/53)	10.0(8/80)
An. stephensi positivity (larvae and/or adult)	16.7(8/48)	4.6(5/109)	30.0(16/53)	15.0(12/80)
Livestock presence	31.9(15/47)	38.3(36/94)	0.0(0/53)	0.0(0/80)
Average distance to river (meter)	666.9	488.9	385.3	394.8
Average distance to artificial containers (meter)	688.7	661.5	68.5	65.2
Eave opened	4.7(2/43)	6.2(6/97)	54.9(28/51)	52.1(37/71)
Modal water body type	Stream	Stream	Pond	Pond
Water body presence within 100meter radius around household	47.9(23/48)	44.0(48/109)	96.2(51/53)	98.8(79/80)
Insecticidal residual spray in the last twelve months	2.3(1/44)	0.0(0/104)	26.9(14/52)	13.2(10/76)

403

Counts over totals for each characteristic are shown in brackets.

	0 0		0		•	
Factors	Category	Proportion parasite	Unadjus	ited	Adjusted	
		positive,	OR (95%CI)	P value	OR (95%CI)	P value
		% (n/N)				
Sex	Female (Ref.)	10.3 (29/281)		~		
	Male	20.2 (134/665)	2.3 (1.4-3.9)	0.001	3.0 (1.7-5.4)	<0.001
Age in years	< 5 years (Ref.)	5.3 (3/57)		2		
	5 -15 Years	16.4 (18/110)	4.1 (1.1-15.3)	0.036	3.7 (0.9-14.9)	0.071
	Above 15 Years	15.2 (142/779)	3.8 (1.1-13.0)	0.035	4.3 (1.2-15.7)	0.029
An. stephensi larvae and/or	Absent (Ref.)	15.3 (132/269)				
adult presence	Present	36.5 (31/85)	3.2 (1.8-5.8)	<0.001	3.3 (1.7-6.5)	<0.001
Natural waterbody	Absent (Ref.)	11.2 (32/269)				
presence	Present	19.7 (133/677)	2.0 (1.2-3.3)	0.007	1.8 (0.9-3.4)	0.089
Usage of aerosol insecticide	Not Using (Ref.)	18.6 (147/790)				
spray	Using	7.4 (7/95)	0.3 (0.1, 0.8)	0.013	0.3 (0.1-0. 8)	0.016
	· ·					

Table 2: Results from a multi-level logistic regression model with nested random effects for being infected with *P. falciparum* in Dire Dawa City 404

Results from univariate and multivariate generalized linear mixed model. Study site, household and case/control were included as nested random effects after 405

adjusting sex and age for study sites. Only those risk factors with p-values lower than 0.1 in univariate analyses were considered for multivariate analysis. The 406

estimated variance between nested household and case control for the final model was 1.06, which corresponds to intra cluster correlation (ICC) of 0.24. Ref. 407

reference category; OR odds ratio; 95% CI 95% confidence interval. 408 ACCELERA

409

Lineage			1				2		
Subset	Overall	All	Monoclonal	Polyclonal	All	Monoclonal	Polyclonal	High Relatedness	Low Relatedness
Ν	131	109	105	4	20	13	7	13	7
RDT+ (%)	84 (64.1)	78 (71.6)	78 (74.3)	0 (0)	6 (30)	3 (23.1)	3 (42.9)	2 (15.4)	4 (57.1)
Microscopy+ (%)	97 (74)	82 (75.2)	82 (78.1)	0 (0)	15 (75)	11 (84.6)	4 (57.1)	11 (84.6)	4 (57.1)
pfhrp2 deleted (%)	12 (9.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	12 (60)	11 (84.6)	1 (14.3)	11 (84.6)	1 (14.3)
pfhrp3 deleted (%)	127 (96.9)	109 (100)	105 (100)	4 (100)	16 (80)	12 (92.3)	4 (57.1)	13 (100)	3 (42.9)
qPCR Geometric Mean, parasite/μL (IQR)	220 (48 - 1800)	210 (51- 1700)	240 (76- 1700)	6.1 (3.4-17)	460 (87- 3400)	950 (280- 2900)	120 (2.1- 6300)	470 (280- 2200)	440 (19- 20000)
pfk13 622I (%)	17 (13.4)	3 (2.8)	3 (2.9)	0 (0)	14 (73.7)	9 (75)	5 (71.4)	12 (100)	2 (28.6)
pfdhps 437/540 (%)	128 (99.2)	107 (100)	103 (100)	4 (100)	19 (95)	12 (92.3)	7 (100)	13 (100)	6 (85.7)
pfdhfr 51/108 (%)	128 (99.2)	107 (100)	103 (100)	4 (100)	19 (95)	12 (92.3)	7 (100)	13 (100)	6 (85.7)
pfdhfr 59/108 (%)	116 (89.9)	107 (100)	103 (100)	4 (100)	7 (35)	2 (15.4)	5 (71.4)	1 (7.7)	6 (85.7)
pfdhfr 51/59/108 (%)	116 (89.9)	107 (100)	103 (100)	4 (100)	7 (35)	2 (15.4)	5 (71.4)	1 (7.7)	6 (85.7)
pfdhps 437/540 + pfdhfr 51/59/108 (%)	115 (89.1)	107 (100)	103 (100)	4 (100)	6 (30)	1 (7.7)	5 (71.4)	1 (7.7)	5 (71.4)
pfcrt CVIET* (%)	130 (99.2)	109 (100)	105 (100)	4 (100)	19 (95)	12 (92.3)	7 (100)	13 (100)	6 (85.7)
<i>pfmdr1</i> 184Y (%)	1 (0.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (5)	1 (7.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (14.3)

Table 3: Summary of diagnostic results and drug resistance genotype prevalence stratified by lineage, clonality, and within lineage relatedness. 410

Lineage 3 (monoclonal) and Lineage 4 (polyclonal) infections were pfhrp3 deleted, negative both by microscopy and RDT, and mutated for all drug resistance 411

variants (except pfk13 622I and pfmdr 184Y). *pfcrt CVIET = pfcrt 72Cys-73Val-74Ile-75Glu-76Thr; IQR = interquartile range. Proportions are shown within 412 ACCELEX

brackets. 413

414

415 Figure Legends

416

417 Figure 1: Global distribution of An. stephensi and the study location. (A) The global distribution of An. 418 stephensi where it is native (green) and invasive (red) is shown together with the sporozoite infection 419 detection outcomes where it was found infected and not infected with P. falciparum (Pf) and P. vivax 420 (Pv). Sites where An. stephensi was observed but mosquitoes were not tested for the presence of 421 sporozoites are also shown (Not determined). Settings where dedicated entomological surveillance did 422 not detect An. stephensi mosquitoes are indicated in grey circles (Negative). (B) The locations of case 423 (red) and control (green) households/dormitories surveyed in this study are shown together with water 424 storage containers (black), water treatment plant (in the university campus), health facilities (H) and 425 Butiji river in Dire Dawa city. Source: The global map (A) was modified based on the malaria threats 426 map⁷ (https://apps.who.int/malaria/maps/threats/#/maps?theme=invasive&map) of the World 427 Health Organization.

428

429 Figure 2: Temporal trend in malaria burden and parasite density distributions in Dire Dawa. Malaria

430 trends using district health information system 2 (DHIS2) data (A) are shown with the prevalence and 431 odds of detecting additional infections in close contacts of cases compared to controls in Dire Dawa, 432 separately for all close contacts, contacts in the city and the university (B). Odds ratios were obtained 433 from univariate logistic regression with diagnostic test results as outcome and site as predictor. 434 Univariate logistic models were fitted for each diagnostic test. Odds ratios are shown on a log10 scale 435 (X-axis) together with their 95% confidence interval bars and respective p values (estimated from a 436 Wald test). The numbers to the right of the forest plot indicate the proportion of positive cases by the 437 respective diagnostic test (color coded and embedded in the figure) among control and index 438 household/dormitory members. Parasite density per µL distributions and their respective averages 439 determined by 18S based qPCR among HRP2-based RDT (C) positive (n=113) and negative (n=88) 440 infections and microscopy (D) positive (n=129) and negative (n=71) infections is shown together with 441 the distribution among index cases (n=99), contacts of index cases (n=61), controls (n=14), and contacts 442 of controls (n=27) (E).

443

455

Figure 3: Spatial distribution and clustering of P. falciparum parasites and An. stephensi. Statistically 444 445 significant evidence for global spatial clustering of household P. falciparum infections prevalence (A) 446 and An. stephensi mosquitoes (B), and an overlap between the two (C) were observed. Eleven clusters 447 of households were found (A) in the city (p<0.05) by one-sided local Anselin Moran's I test (pseudo p-448 values calculated from 9,999 random permutations): high-high (n=6) whereby households had high P. 449 falciparum prevalence, low-low clusters (n=5) whereby households had low P. falciparum prevalence, 450 and high-low outlier clusters (n=2) whereby high P. falciparum prevalence households were 451 surrounded by low P. falciparum prevalence households, or vice-versa. Locations of An. stephensi 452 mosquitoes found infected (n=3) are shown in dark red circles and triangles (B). A map displaying case 453 incidence colored by genetic cluster (Lineage 1 in green and Lineage 2 in orange) are shown along with 454 timelines that cases were identified (D) and their spatial distribution (E).

456	Refere	ences
457	1	World Health Organization. World malaria report 2022,
458		< <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240064898</u> > (2022).
459	2	Wilson, M. L. et al. Urban Malaria: Understanding its Epidemiology, Ecology, and Transmission
460		Across Seven Diverse ICEMR Network Sites. Am J Trop Med Hyg 93, 110-123, (2015).
461	3	The World Bank. Productive, Livable Cities Will Open Africa's Doors to the World,
462		<https: afr="" africa-cities-opening-doors-world="" en="" publication="" region="" www.worldbank.org=""></https:>
463		(2017).
464	4	World Health Organization. Global framework for the response to malaria in urban areas,
465		< <u>https://books.google.com.et/books?hl=en&lr=&id=hF-</u>
466		qEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=urban+malaria+framework+who&ots=wv_CFQdXdP&sig=n_
467		KgU0hKIXS3Hk3ErQtWNliEbrIU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=urban%20malaria%20framewor
468		<u>k%20who&f=false</u> > (2022).
469	5	Molina Gomez, K. et al. Characterizing the malaria rural-to-urban transmission interface: The
470		importance of reactive case detection. <i>PLoS Negl Trop Dis</i> 11, e0005780, (2017).
471	6	Azrag, R. S. & Mohammed, B. H. Anopheles arabiensis in Sudan: a noticeable tolerance to urban
472		polluted larval habitats associated with resistance to Temephos. <i>Malar J</i> 17, 204, (2018).
473	7	World Health Organization. Malaria Threats Map,
474		< <u>https://apps.who.int/malaria/maps/threats/</u> > (2023).
475	8	Balkew, M. et al. Geographical distribution of Anopheles stephensi in eastern Ethiopia.
476		Parasites & Vectors 13, 35, (2020).
477	9	Tadesse, F. G. et al. Anopheles stephensi Mosquitoes as Vectors of Plasmodium vivax and
478		falciparum, Horn of Africa, 2019. Emerg Infect Dis 27, 603, (2021).
479	10	Sinka, M. E. <i>et al.</i> The dominant Anopheles vectors of human malaria in the Asia-Pacific region:
480		occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic precis. <i>Parasites and Vectors</i> 4, 89, (2011).
481	11	Faulde, M. K., Rueda, L. M. & Khairen, B. A. First record of the Asian malaria vector Anopheles
482		stephensi and its possible role in the resurgence of malaria in Djibouti, Horn of Africa. Acta
483	10	Irop 139, 39-43, (2014).
484 405	12	Carter, T. E. <i>et al.</i> First detection of Anopheles stephensi Liston, 1901 (Diptera: culicidae) in
405 106	12	Abmod A at al Invisive Malaria Vector Apopholes stanbonsi Mesquitees in Sudan 2016
400 197	12	2018 Emerg Infect Dis 27, 2052-2054 (2021)
407	1/	Ali S Samake I N Spear I & Carter T E Mornhological identification and genetic
489	14	characterization of Anonheles stephensi in Somaliland Parasites & Vectors 15, 1-6 (2022)
490	15	World Health Organization Partners convening: a regional response to the invasion of
491	10	Anonheles stephensi in Africa: meeting report 8–10 March 2023
492		https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/369368/9789240075535-
493		eng.pdf?sequence=1> (2023).
494	16	Allan, R. <i>et al.</i> Confirmation of the presence of Anopheles stephensi among a conflict-affected
495		host community in Aden City, Yemen. (2022).
496	17	Ochomo, E. O. <i>et al.</i> Molecular surveillance leads to the first detection of Anopheles stephensi
497	\sim	in Kenya. (2023).
498	18	Balkew, M. et al. An update on the distribution, bionomics, and insecticide susceptibility of
499		Anopheles stephensi in Ethiopia, 2018-2020. Malar J 20, 263, (2021).
500	19	World Health Organization. WHO initiative to stop the spread of Anopheles stephensi in Africa.
501		(World Health Organization, 2022).
502	20	Balikagala, B. et al. Evidence of Artemisinin-Resistant Malaria in Africa. N Engl J Med 385, 1163-
503		1171, (2021).
504	21	Uwimana, A. et al. Emergence and clonal expansion of in vitro artemisinin-resistant
505		Plasmodium falciparum kelch13 R561H mutant parasites in Rwanda. Nat Med 26, 1602-1608,
506		(2020).

22 507 Mihreteab, S. et al. Increasing Prevalence of Artemisinin-Resistant HRP2-Negative Malaria in 508 Eritrea. N Engl J Med 389, 1191-1202, (2023). 509 23 Feleke, S. M. et al. Plasmodium falciparum is evolving to escape malaria rapid diagnostic tests 510 in Ethiopia. Nat Microbiol 6, 1289-1299, (2021). 511 24 Berhane, A. et al. Major Threat to Malaria Control Programs by Plasmodium falciparum Lacking 512 Histidine-Rich Protein 2, Eritrea. Emerg Infect Dis 24, 462-470, (2018). 513 25 Iriart, X. et al. Misdiagnosis of imported falciparum malaria from African areas due to an 514 increased prevalence of pfhrp2/pfhrp3 gene deletion: the Djibouti case. Emerg Microbes Infect 515 9, 1984-1987, (2020). 516 26 Seyfarth, M., Khaireh, B. A., Abdi, A. A., Bouh, S. M. & Faulde, M. K. Five years following first 517 detection of Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae) in Djibouti, Horn of Africa: populations 518 established-malaria emerging. Parasitol Res 118, 725-732, (2019). 519 27 Getachew, D., Tekie, H., Gebre-Michael, T., Balkew, M. & Mesfin, A. Breeding Sites of Aedes 520 aegypti: Potential Dengue Vectors in Dire Dawa, East Ethiopia. Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis 521 2015, 706276, (2015). 522 28 Loha, E. & Lindtjørn, B. Predictors of Plasmodium falciparum malaria incidence in Chano Mille, 523 South Ethiopia: a longitudinal study. Am J Trop Med Hyg 87, 450-459, (2012). 524 29 Landgraf, B., Kollaritsch, H., Wiedermann, G. & Wernsdorfer, W. H. Parasite density of 525 Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Ghanaian schoolchildren: evidence for influence of sex 526 hormones? Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 88, 73-74, (1994). 527 30 Camargo, L. et al. Hypoendemic malaria in Rondonia (Brazil, western Amazon region): seasonal 528 variation and risk groups in an urban locality. The American journal of tropical medicine and 529 hygiene 55, 32-38, (1996). Bannister-Tyrrell, M. et al. Forest Goers and Multidrug-Resistant Malaria in Cambodia: An 530 31 Ethnographic Study. Am J Trop Med Hyg 100, 1170-1178, (2019). 531 532 32 Bruce-Chwatt, L. J., Garrett-Jones, C. & Weitz, B. Ten years' study (1955-64) of host selection 533 by anopheline mosquitos. Bull World Health Organ 35, 405-439, (1966). 33 534 Haile, D. & Lakew, Y. Khat Chewing Practice and Associated Factors among Adults in Ethiopia: 535 Further Analysis Using the 2011 Demographic and Health Survey. PLoS One 10, e0130460, 536 (2015). 537 Desai, M. et al. Epidemiology and burden of malaria in pregnancy. The Lancet. Infectious 34 538 diseases 7, 93-104, (2007). 539 35 Briggs, J. et al. Sex-based differences in clearance of chronic Plasmodium falciparum infection. 540 eLife 9, e59872, (2020). 541 36 Montenegro, C. C. et al. Plasmodium falciparum outbreak in native communities of 542 Condorcanqui, Amazonas, Perú. Malar J 20, 88, (2021). 543 37 Obaldia, N., 3rd et al. Clonal outbreak of Plasmodium falciparum infection in eastern Panama. 544 J Infect Dis 211, 1087-1096, (2015). 545 Tadesse, F. G. et al. The Relative Contribution of Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Plasmodium 38 546 vivax and Plasmodium falciparum Infections to the Infectious Reservoir in a Low-Endemic 547 Setting in Ethiopia. Clin Infect Dis 66, 1883-1891, (2018). 548 39 Andolina, C. et al. Sources of persistent malaria transmission in a setting with effective malaria 549 control in eastern Uganda: a longitudinal, observational cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 21, 550 1568-1578, (2021). 551 40 Kibret, S., Wilson, G. G., Tekie, H. & Petros, B. Increased malaria transmission around irrigation 552 schemes in Ethiopia and the potential of canal water management for malaria vector control. 553 Malar J 13, 360, (2014). 554 41 Moin-Vaziri, V. et al. Molecular Detection of Plasmodium Infection among Anophelinae 555 Mosquitoes and Differentiation of Biological Forms of Anopheles Stephensi Collected from 556 Malarious Areas of Afghanistan and Iran. Ethiop J Health Sci 32, 269-278, (2022). 557 42 Smith, D. L., Dushoff, J., Snow, R. W. & Hay, S. I. The entomological inoculation rate and 558 Plasmodium falciparum infection in African children. Nature 438, 492-495, (2005).

55943Kiattibutr, K. *et al.* Infectivity of symptomatic and asymptomatic Plasmodium vivax infections560to a Southeast Asian vector, Anopheles dirus. *Int J Parasitol* 47, 163-170, (2017).

56144Okell, L. C. *et al.* Factors determining the occurrence of submicroscopic malaria infections and562their relevance for control. *Nat Commun* 3, 1237, (2012).

- 563 45 Whittaker, C. *et al.* Global patterns of submicroscopic Plasmodium falciparum malaria
 564 infection: insights from a systematic review and meta-analysis of population surveys. *Lancet*565 *Microbe* 2, e366-e374, (2021).
- 46 Nega, D. *et al.* Baseline malaria prevalence at the targeted pre-elimination districts in Ethiopia.
 567 *BMC Public Health* 21, 1996, (2021).
- Bayih, A. G. *et al.* A Unique Plasmodium falciparum K13 Gene Mutation in Northwest Ethiopia.
 Am J Trop Med Hyg 94, 132-135, (2016).
- 57048Alemayehu, A. et al. Expansion of the Plasmodium falciparum Kelch 13 R622I mutation in571NorthwestEthiopia.(2021).<<u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-</u>572171038/latest.pdf>.
- 57349Fola, A. A. *et al.* Plasmodium falciparum resistant to artemisinin and diagnostics have emerged574in Ethiopia. *Nature Microbiology* 8, 1911-1919, (2023).
- 50 Wang, X. *et al.* Molecular surveillance of Pfcrt and k13 propeller polymorphisms of imported
 576 Plasmodium falciparum cases to Zhejiang Province, China between 2016 and 2018. *Malar J* 19,
 577 59, (2020).
- 57851Gamboa, D. et al. A large proportion of P. falciparum isolates in the Amazon region of Peru lack579pfhrp2 and pfhrp3: implications for malaria rapid diagnostic tests. PLoS One 5, e8091-e8091,580(2010).
- 58152Prosser, C. et al. Plasmodium falciparum Histidine-Rich Protein 2 and 3 Gene Deletions in582Strains from Nigeria, Sudan, and South Sudan. Emerg Infect Dis 27, 471-479, (2021).
- 58353Lynch, E. *et al.* Evaluation of HRP2 and pLDH-based rapid diagnostic tests for malaria and584prevalence of pfhrp2/3 deletions in Aweil, South Sudan. *Malar J* 21, 261, (2022).
- 585 54 Yared, S. *et al.* Insecticide resistance in Anopheles stephensi in Somali Region, eastern Ethiopia.
 586 *Malar J* 19, 1-7, (2020).

58755Robert, L. L., Schneider, I. & Wirtz, R. A. Deet and permethrin as protectants against malaria-588infected and uninfected Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 7, 304-589306, (1991).

590

591 Methods

592 Description of the study area

593 Dire Dawa, located 515km southeast of Addis Ababa (capital of Ethiopia) and 311km west of Djibouti, 594 is a logistics hub for transportation of goods and cargo (Fig. 1b). Of its total population (445,050), 74% 595 live in an urban area which is only 2.3% of the 1,288 km² Dire Dawa city administrative land (UN-596 HABITAT, 2008). The area has a warm and dry climate with low level of precipitation (annual average 597 rainfall of 624mm), and an annual temperature ranging from 19°C to 32°C. Malaria incidence has 598 historically been low (an annual parasite clinical incidence of <5 per 1,000 people between 2014 and 599 2019), with strong seasonality (August to November being the peak season), and sympatric P. 600 falciparum and P. vivax infections.

601

602 We obtained public health data, collected through the district health information system 2 (DHIS2), to 603 analyze the trend in malaria cases between 2015 to 2022. In the Ethiopian malaria case management 604 guideline, microscopy is recommended for diagnosis at the health center level and above. Rapid 605 diagnostic tests (RDTs) are recommended to be used only at the health post level by community health 606 extension workers, in rural settings. In all of the facilities located in Dire Dawa, microscopy was used 607 for diagnosis. The DHIS2 data does not capture cases detected at private health facilities. The recent 608 "Global framework for the response to malaria in urban areas" by the World Health Organization (WHO)⁴ states that "In some urban settings, the private sector is a major source of malaria diagnosis 609 and treatment. However, it is poorly integrated into the surveillance system." To give context on how 610 611 much is being managed by the private sector in Dire Dawa, we have collected four years data (January 612 2019 to May 2022) from 34 out 39 health facilities (both private and public) that are located within the 613 city administration. This included two public and five private hospitals, 15 health centers (funded 614 publicly), and 17 clinics (private). Some private clinics (n=5) refused to provide data or provided 615 incomplete data. Goro health center and Dire Dawa University (DDU) students' clinic were selected for 616 the current study based on the highest number of cases they reported prior to the start of the study 617 (January – February 2022). In fact, together, the two health facilities reported 56% of the total cases in 618 the city in 2022 (January – May). As in all public universities in Ethiopia, students live within campus 619 with full and shared accommodation provided by the government. At DDU, an average of six students 620 of the same sex and year of study share a dormitory on a three-story building that has an average of 621 67 dormitories. Routine healthcare service is provided in a university dedicated students' clinic.

622

623 Study design and procedure

To ascertain the effect of exposure to *An. stephensi* on malaria, we employed a case control study where identification of patients was done prospectively to capture co-occurrent characteristics in terms of exposure and risk factors. We recruited consecutive patients with criteria described below in a 1:2 ratio (one case:two controls) unmatched study design. Study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Board of AHRI/ALERT ethics review committee (AF-10-015.1, PO/07/19). We obtained informed written consent from all participants and guardians or parents for minors.

630

631 Recruitment of participants: Patients with (history within 48 hours) fever that presented at the two 632 health facilities and tested positive for malaria by microscopy were recruited as index cases (index) 633 from April to July 2022. We recruited febrile patients who attended the same clinic and tested negative for malaria as controls within 72 hours of when the index was identified. The index and controls were 634 635 followed to their homes and their household/dormitory members were tested for malaria and their 636 households/dormitories were screened for Anopheles mosquitoes (larvae and adult). 637 Household/dormitory members of cases and controls who were willing to participate in the reactive case detection were included irrespective of their symptoms. Households were surveyed for 638 mosquitoes when the head of the household and members of the dormitory gave consent to allow the 639 640 study team to use mosquito collection methods in their houses/dormitories. Families of cases or 641 controls who were not available within 72 hours of recruitment of the cases or controls irrespective of 642 their symptoms were excluded as well as individuals or family members who were unwilling/refused to give informed written consent. It is noticeable that although the study was unmatched due to the
difficulty in recruiting matched controls in geographical proximity of the cases, their general
characteristics were very similar. Detailed characteristics of study participants are presented in Table
1.

647

Sample size: We planned an unmatched case:control ratio of approximately 1:2⁵⁶ with prospective case 648 649 identification until the stopping rule was achieved. The choice of the case:control ratio was based on a 650 logistic regression model aimed to detect an odds ratio (OR) of at least 2, assuming an exposure of 20% 651 in controls at household level, where the exposure was defined as presence of An. stephensi. The power analysis was conducted in epiR package (R-cran software), and the stopping rule was set to a power of 652 653 70% for the study to be sufficiently powered to detect differences between the presence of malaria on 654 An. stephensi exposure at household level. The controls were selected from the same population as the cases and post-stratification applied. Data from cases and controls were reviewed regularly, and 655 656 final sample size was set to 290 with 101 cases and 189 controls. The recruitment of case-household 657 and control-household members was done to include reactive case detection and improve the power of the study (as well as the OR minimum detection). 658

659

660 **Data collection:** Data on the socio-demographic, epidemiological, intervention, and travel history were 661 collected verbally using pre-tested questionnaires which were uploaded to mobile tablets using 662 REDCap tools. The entomological survey data and intervention availability were scored by the study 663 data collectors. Malaria case incidence data (from January 2019 to May 2022) were collected from the 664 records of both private and public health facilities (n=34).

665

Blood samples collection: Finger prick blood samples (~0.5mL), collected in BD K₂EDTA Microtainer[®]
tubes, were used to diagnose malaria using rapid diagnostic test (RDT) (ABBOTT BIOLINE Malaria Ag
Pf/Pv HRP2/LDH, India) and microscopy, and to prepare dried blood spots (DBS) on 3MM Whatman
filter paper (Whatman, Maidstone, UK). The remaining blood was separated into cell pellet and plasma.
Slide films were confirmed by expert microscopists. Socio-demographic, epidemiological, intervention
utilization, and history of travel and malaria were collected from all study participants.

672

673 Entomological surveys: We surveyed immature stages of Anopheles mosquitoes within a 100-meter 674 radius of the index and control houses/dormitories targeting both manmade water storage containers 675 and natural habitats including riverbeds and stream edges. We checked each aquatic habitat for 10 676 minutes from 9:00-11:00AM and 3:00-5:00PM for the presence of Anopheles mosquitoes' larvae or pupae aiming for ten dips per habitat (using a standard dipper with 350mL capacity). Characteristics of 677 678 water holding containers (permanency of habitat, lid status, purpose, volume, presence of shade, type, 679 turbidity, temperature, and water source) were recorded for each habitat (Extended Data Table 6). We searched adult mosquitoes using Prokopack® aspirators for 10 minutes between 06:00-08:00AM 680 681 indoor, outdoor, and in animal shelters located within the compound of the household or inside and 682 outside the dormitories at the university (Extended Data Table 7). Mosquito surveys (immature and 683 adult) were done within 48-72 hours of when the index/control was recruited.

684 Conventional adult mosquito collection methods such as CDC light traps and pyrethrum spray sheet 685 have limited sensitivity for this invasive species mainly related with its unique resting behaviour²¹. To supplement the evidence generated from the case control study and examine the resting sites of the 686 687 adult Anopheles mosquitoes in detail in the study area, additional adult mosquito surveys were done 688 targeting potential resting sites including animal shelters and manholes within the study time and area. 689 Informed by these preliminary findings, surveys were systematized in three fortnightly rounds during 690 the study period. In the city, households with (n=15) and without (n=15) animal shelters were included (Extended Data Table 7). At DDU, two dormitory buildings which reported the highest number of 691 692 malaria cases, and their surroundings were selected. Adult mosquitoes were surveyed indoor, outdoor, 693 in animal shelters, overhead tanks, and manholes using Prokopack® aspirators for 10 minutes between 694 06:00-08:00AM. Animal shelters were not available at DDU. Adult caught mosquitoes (sorted based on their abdominal status) and those raised from aquatic stages, were morphologically identified to the species level²² (Extended Data Table 8). *Anopheles* mosquitoes were individually preserved in tubes that contained silica gel desiccant in zipped bags and transported to the lab at the Armauer Hansen Research Institute (AHRI) for further analysis. The global positioning system (GPS) coordinates of the households and immature and adult mosquito collection sites were recorded using GARMIN handheld GPS navigator (GARMIN GPSMAP 64S, Taiwan).

701

702 Laboratory procedures

703 Nucleic acid extraction from whole blood and parasite quantification, and genotyping: Blood samples. 704 in EDTA tubes were used to extract genomic DNA using MagMAX[™] magnetic bead-based 705 technology DNA multi-sample kit on KingFisher Flex robotic extractor machine (Thermo Fisher 706 Scientific[™]). 50µL of whole blood input was eluted in a 150µL low-salt elution buffer. Multiplex 707 quantitative PCR (qPCR) targeting the 18S rRNA small subunit gene for P. falciparum and P. vivax was run using primer and probe sequences described by Hermsen⁵⁷ and Wampfler⁵⁸ using TaqMan Fast 708 Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). P. falciparum parasites were quantified using standard 709 710 curves generated from a serial dilution of NF54 ring stage parasites $(10^6 - 10^3 \text{ parasites/mL})$. For P. 711 vivax, parasite quantification was done using plasmid constructs to infer copy numbers by running 712 serial dilutions $(10^7 - 10^3 \text{ copies}/\mu\text{L})$ of plasmids having the amplicon. Serial dilutions of the standard 713 curves were generated in duplicate on each plate. Multiplexed amplicon sequencing was performed 714 on qPCR positive samples with reagents and protocol as in Tessema et al.⁵⁹. DNA was amplified for 15 715 or 20 cycles in multiplexed PCR, depending on parasitemia and ability to amplify, and for 15 cycles for 716 indexing PCR. The primer pools used in this study comprised high-diversity microhaplotype targets 717 (n=162), polymorphisms associated with drug resistance, and targets in and adjacent to pfhrp2 and *pfhrp3* to assess for gene deletion (Primer pools 1A and 5 as described in protocols.io repository)⁶⁰. 718 Amplified libraries were sequenced in a NextSeq 2000 or a MiniSeq instrument using 150PE reads with 719 720 10% PhiX.

721

Nucleic acid extraction from mosquitoes, assessment of infectivity and blood-meal source and 722 723 confirmation of morphological species identification: Infection detection in wild caught mosquitoes 724 is commonly based on an ELISA based protocol that targets circumsporzoite protein (CSP) which is 725 expressed on the surface of Plasmodium sporozoites. Low level expression of CSP at stages of 726 sporogony before the parasites migrate to the salivary gland might interfere with signal detected⁶¹. 727 Several studies have reported false positive results when targeting CSP especially in zoophilic mosquitoes^{62,63}. The false positive results could lead to an overestimation of mosquito infection 728 729 rates. To achieve a conservative estimate of mosquito infection rates, we implemented stringent steps 730 as indicated below:

Bisected mosquitoes: We observed previously⁶¹ that a signal detected from an earlier stage of 731 i). sporogony might interfere with interpretation of sporozoite detection, likely causing false positive 732 results. We bisected the mosquitoes anterior to the thorax-abdomen junction under a stereo 733 microscope before processing them for infection detection⁶⁴. The head and thoraces were processed 734 735 and stored separately from the abdomen of the mosquitoes. We only used the head and thorax part 736 for infection detection following homogenization in a robust semi-high-throughput mini-bead beater protocol we developed previously⁶⁵. The heads and thoraces of the mosquitoes were homogenized in 737 738 150µL molecular grade water that contains 0.2g Zirconium bead (1mm diameter) using a Mini-Bead 739 Beater 96 (Bartlesville, OK, USA). Part of the homogenate (50µL) was used for nucleic acid extraction 740 using Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB)⁶²; 100µL grinding buffer (0.5% w/v Cas-in - Sigma, 741 0.1N NaOH in 10mM PBS, pH 7.4, and 0.5% IGPAL CA-630) was added to the remaining that was used 742 to screen samples for circumsporozoite in bead-based assay.

743 ii). <u>Circumsporozoite bead-based assay</u>: We adopted the most advanced (highly sensitive) bead 744 based assay for infection detection in mosquitoes⁶⁶ by targeting CSP. Antibody-coupled magnetic
 745 beads and biotinylated secondary antibodies were obtained from the Center for Disease Control and
 746 Prevention (CDC), Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria, Entomology Branch, Atlanta, GA, USA and

747 implemented as described before⁷ and were run using MagPix immunoanalyzer (Luminex Corp, CN-0269-01). 748

Quality control to reduce cross-reactivity: The bead-based assay we adopted may eliminate 749 iii). false negatives due to lower limit of detection than previous ELISA based assays⁶⁶ but also brings a 750 751 challenge of enhanced detection of cross-reacting proteins. To reduce this chance, mosquito 752 homogenate was boiled at 100°C before processing to eliminate false positives that may be caused by 753 heat-unstable cross-reactive proteins to strengthen the validity of the results. To ascertain this 754 specificity issue, we have included colony-maintained An. arabiensis and An. stephensi mosquitoes fed 755 on sugar solution and patients' blood in direct membrane feeding assays (had infection status 756 determined morphologically in the same mosquito batches) that were used as negative and positive 757 controls, respectively. *Plasmodium* infected mosquitoes were used as positive controls along with 758 sugar-fed mosquitoes as negative controls in every extraction round (Fig. S3, Table S1 and S2).

759 Retesting and confirmatory 18S based species specific PCR: Samples with higher mean iv). 760 fluorescence intensity (MFI) signal than the negative controls plus 3 standard deviations and a 761 representative set of mosquitoes that gave low signal were re-run to confirm the observations. 762 Genomic DNA extracted from the head and thoraces of all mosquitoes was tested on a PCR that 763 targeted 18S small ribosomal subunit gene as a confirmatory test. Only mosquito samples positive by 764 the CSP based assays and 18S based PCR were considered infected.

765

Nucleic acid was extracted from the abdomen of fully engorged mosquitoes for blood meal source 766 identification following the same procedure⁶⁷. A multiplex PCR assay that amplifies the cytochrome b 767 gene based on Kent and Norris 2005⁶⁸ was used for blood meal source analysis. We have introduced 768 slight modifications to improve product size separation on gel electrophoresis. The multiplex of cow 769 770 and dog was separately done from the multiplex of goat and human. The optimized PCR thermal cycler 771 conditions were: 5 minutes at 95°C as an initial denaturation followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 772 95°C for 60 seconds, annealing at 56°C for 60 seconds for cow and dog multiplex, and 62°C for goat 773 and human multiplex, followed by an extension at 72°C for 60 seconds, and 1 cycle of the final extension at 72°C for 7 minutes. 774

775 Confirmation of the Anopheles morphological identification was done following a recently published 776 protocol that targets the ITS2 gene⁶⁹. An. stephensi diagnostic amplicon of 438 bp size was expected 777 whilst a universal amplicon of varying sizes (>600 bp), depending upon the length of ITS2 in a particular 778 species, was expected in this multiplex protocol (Fig. S4). The universal amplicon was used to serve as 779 an internal control to rule out PCR failure.

780

781 Data management and analysis

782 Data management: Study data collection tools (mobile application version 5.20.11) were prepared and 783 managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at AHRI. CSV files exported from REDCap 784 were analyzed using STATA 17 (StataCorp., TX, USA), RStudio v.2022.12.0.353 (Posit, 2023), QGIS 785 v.3.22.16 (QGIS Development Team, 2023. QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source 786 Geospatial Foundation Project), and GraphPad Prism 5.03 (Graph Pad Software Inc., CA, USA). RStudio 787 with packages Ime4 (generalized linear mixed models) and dcifer (Pairwise relatedness analysis on P. 788 falciparum genotypes in diverse loci).

789 790

791

793

Description of study variables: We collected the following variables in this study:

- Socio demographic: sex, age, educational level, occupation
- 792 _ Household characteristics: main materials used for building the household, fuel source, water source and presence of water bodies near the household/dormitory, presence of livestock.
- 794 Intervention: presence, number, and condition of bed nets, use of bed nets, use of smoke 795 repellents or aerosol mosquito spray, history of insecticide residual spray (IRS)
- 796 Diagnosis and treatment: malaria test result by rapid diagnostic test (RDT) and microscopy, temperature, presence of symptoms and treatment history, pregnancy status 797

Human behavior: travel history, health seeking behavior, sleeping and waking time, sleeping
 place

- 800
- 801 802

 Entomological survey: mosquito collection method and time of collection, mosquito species detected and density, *Anopheles* species detected and density, abdominal status of mosquitoes detected, type of aquatic habitat near the household/dormitory, type and characteristics of water sources detected within 100-meter radius around the household/dormitory.

804 805

803

806 Bioinformatic analysis: FASTQ files from multiplexed amplicon sequencing of P. falciparum were subjected to filtering, demultiplexing and allele inference using a Nextflow-based pipeline 807 808 (https://github.com/EPPIcenter/mad4hatter). We used cut adapt to demultiplex reads for each locus 809 based on the locus primer sequences (no mismatches or indels allowed), filter reads by length (100 810 base pairs) and quality (default NextSeq quality trimming). We used dada2 to infer variants and remove 811 chimeras. Reads with a PHRED quality score of less than 5 were truncated. The leftmost base was 812 trimmed and trimmed reads of less than 75 base pairs were filtered out. Default values were used for 813 all other parameters. We then aligned alleles to their reference sequence and filtered out reads with 814 low alignment. We masked homopolymers and tandem repeats to avoid false positives.

815 **Genetic analysis**: Pairwise relatedness analysis was performed on *P. falciparum* genotypes in diverse

816 loci using Dcifer with default settings. Pairwise relatedness was only considered between samples

817 where the lower 95% confidence interval of estimated relatedness was greater than 0.1. Point 818 estimates of pairwise relatedness that satisfied this threshold were then binned into low, medium, and

high relatedness at greater than 0.2, 0.5, and 0.9 respectively. Samples were then clustered based on

pairwise relatedness. Drug resistance marker genotypes were extracted from loci of interest. Evidence
 of *pfhrp2* and *pfhrp3* deletions were identified from a drop in normalized coverage in amplicons within
 and surrounding *pfhrp2* and *pfhrp3*. Complexity of infection was estimated by taking the 0.97 quantile
 (5th highest number) of observed alleles across loci to minimize the impact of false positives on

824 estimates.

Epidemiological analysis: We used standard Case-Control analyses to examine the association between risk factors and malaria infection. It calculates point estimates and confidence intervals for the OR along with the significance level based on the chi squared test. Continuous variables were presented as median and interquartile range (IQR). Tests of association between two categorical variables were performed using Chi-squared test on contingency tables. Mann-Kendall statistical test was used to test for monotonic (increasing or decreasing) trends of malaria cases using the secondary data obtained from the private and public health facilities at the city and DDU.

Spatial data analysis: As the dormitories within the university study site were located within a small area (20 buildings in 45,450m² area), clustering of prevalence data was assessed in the city only. The prevalence of malaria by RDT and/or microscopy was calculated for each household. Global and local Moran's *I* calculations were used to estimate the level of spatial autocorrelation within household prevalence data. The statistical strength of association for global Moran's *I* was calculated using Monte-Carlo methods based on 9,999 times permutations of the prevalence data. The Euclidean distance from the river to every site where adult or larval *An. stephensi* were located were calculated in meters.

839 Statistical analysis: To identify the association of An. stephensi and other risk factors for malaria 840 positivity in Dire Dawa, we employed a multilevel logistic regression model with nested random effects 841 to account for intra-class correlation (ICC) and quantify the variation in a parasite positive outcome 842 with heterogeneous household and case control group variances (nested random effects)⁷⁰. The 843 covariates included for the multi-level logistic regression analysis with random effect are listed in detail 844 in Table S3. Having more than 30+ potential covariates associated to malaria, more than one billion 845 models for exhaustive best model searching (excluding interactions between covariates), we reduced 846 the number of covariates to a manageable size by considering univariate generalized mixed models 847 (with case index as random effect instead of setting which were not contributing to the differences in 848 malaria positivity for cases and controls) and considering only the covariates with p-value lower than 849 0.3 within these models (Table S3). The decision to use case/control as random effect instead of fixed

effect came from preliminary analysis that considered the best candidate(s) for random effects. 850 Variable selection was performed by testing 2000+ binomial logistic mixed models (number of tested 851 852 models depending on initial screening). During the initial screening, a candidate variable was selected 853 if its *p-value*, obtained from a Wald test applied to the variable's estimated coefficient in logistic 854 regression, was lower than 0.3. The models were ranked based on their Akaike Information Criteria 855 (AIC) and the Bayes information criteria (BIC) values, with the top model being the one with the lowest 856 AIC value⁷¹. Variable selection was repeated for three different response variables: Model 1 with 857 response RDT/Microscopy, Model 2 with response RDT/Microscopy/qPCR, and finally, Model 3 with 858 response qPCR. As a result, only five of the 12 factors assessed for individual and household 859 characteristics (sex, age, An. stephensi larvae and/or adult presence, atural waterbody existence, and use of aerosol insecticide spray) were included for the final model (Table S4). We also explored 860 861 interactions between gender, age and site.

After model selection with several model outcomes and distribution (Table S4), the binomial model with outcome represented by malaria positivity (Positive/Negative) using RDT and/or microscopy best represented the relationship between malaria and risk factors (Table S4)⁷². In this model, the employment of geographic unit's effects such as household and area setting (city vs university) enabled us to control for unknown variations by including them as random effects in the model. In fact, individuals living in the same household may share exposures that can determine similarities in malaria transmission as well as in the larger setting (city versus university).

869 Let y_{ij} denote the malaria outcome of the i^{th} individual in the j^{th} household or cluster, identified by 870 the (RDT and/or microscopy) with probability π_{ij} , where $y_{ij} = 1$ denotes the individual tested positive,

871 while $y_{ij} = 0$ denotes the individual tested negative for malaria. A multilevel logistic regression model

- 872 with random effects for the outcome y_{ij} is given by.
- 873 874

878

883

 $logit(\pi_{ij}) = \beta_{0j} + \beta X_{ij} + u_j$

- 874 875 Where $X_{ij} = (1, x_{1ij}, ..., x_{pij})$ is vector of p explanatory variables or covariates measured on the i individual 876 and on the j household (cluster), β vector of fixed regression coefficients or parameters and u_j is a 877 random effect varying over household and case control.
- Materials and Correspondence: correspondence and material requests can be addressed to Fitsum G
 Tadesse, Malaria and NTD directorate, Armauer Hansen Research Institute (AHRI), Ministry of Health,
 Jimma Road, PO Box 1005, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Email: <u>fitsum.tadesse@ahri.gov.et</u>; Telephone:
 +251912627540
- **Data and code availability**: All the data used in the manuscript are available on dryad (linked with the ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1931-1442</u>). Sequence data are deposited on NCBI with the BioProject accession number PRJNA962166. Raw data of the study will be available in the future upon request following signing of data sharing agreement, abiding to institutional and international data sharing guidelines. The R codes used to run the analyses reported in this study can be found at <u>https://github.com/legessealamerie/DD-Stephensi</u> and <u>https://github.com/EPPIcenter/mad4hatter</u>.
- 890 891

892 References

- 89356Smith, P. G. & Day, N. E. The Design of Case-Control Studies: The Influence of Confounding and894Interaction Effects. Int J Epidemiol 13, 356-365, (1984).
- 89557Hermsen, C. C. *et al.* Detection of Plasmodium falciparum malaria parasites in vivo by real-time896quantitative PCR. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 118, (2001).
- 897 58 Wampfler, R. *et al.* Strategies for detection of Plasmodium species gametocytes. *PLoS One* 8, e76316, (2013).
- 89959Tessema, S. K. *et al.* Sensitive, Highly Multiplexed Sequencing of Microhaplotypes From the900Plasmodium falciparum Heterozygome. J Infect Dis 225, 1227-1237, (2022).
- 90160Aranda-DiazA.& NeubauerVickersE.MAD4HatTeR,902<<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.14egn779mv5d/v3</u>>(2022).
- 90361Hendershot, A. L. *et al.* A comparison of PCR and ELISA methods to detect different stages of904Plasmodium vivax in Anopheles arabiensis. *Parasit Vectors* 14, 473, (2021).
- 90562Durnez, L. *et al.* False positive circumsporozoite protein ELISA: a challenge for the estimation906of the entomological inoculation rate of malaria and for vector incrimination. *Malar J* 10, 195,907(2011).
- 90863Bashar, K., Tuno, N., Ahmed, T. U. & Howlader, A. J. False positivity of circumsporozoite protein909(CSP)-ELISA in zoophilic anophelines in Bangladesh. Acta Trop 125, 220-225, (2013).
- 91064Foley, D. H. *et al.* Mosquito bisection as a variable in estimates of PCR-derived malaria911sporozoite rates. *Malar J* 11, 145, (2012).
- 912 65 Graumans, W. *et al.* Semi-high-throughput detection of Plasmodium falciparum and
 913 Plasmodium vivax oocysts in mosquitoes using bead-beating followed by circumsporozoite
 914 ELISA and quantitative PCR. *Malar J* 16, 356, (2017).
- 915 66 Sutcliffe, A. C. *et al.* Adaptation of ELISA detection of Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium
 916 vivax circumsporozoite proteins in mosquitoes to a multiplex bead-based immunoassay. *Malar*917 J 20, 1-13, (2021).
- 91867Echeverry, D. F. *et al.* Fast and robust single PCR for Plasmodium sporozoite detection in919mosquitoes using the cytochrome oxidase I gene. *Malar J* 16, 230, (2017).
- 92068Kent, R. J. & Norris, D. E. Identification of mammalian blood meals in mosquitoes by a921multiplexed polymerase chain reaction targeting cytochrome B. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73, 336-922342, (2005).
- 92369Singh, O. P. *et al.* Molecular Tools for Early Detection of Invasive Malaria Vector Anopheles924stephensi Mosquitoes. *Emerg Infect Dis* 29, 36-44, (2023).
- 92570Goldstein, H. & Browne, W. Multilevel Factor Analysis Models for Continuous and Discrete926Data. (2003).
- 92771Kenneth P. Burnham, D. R. A. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference A Practical928Information-Theoretic Approach. 2nd edn, (Springer New York, NY, 2002).
- 929 72 Austin, P. C., Goel, V. & van Walraven, C. An introduction to multilevel regression models. *Can.*930 *J. Public Health* 92, 150-154, (2001).







	Mantha		Year, % (total pos	sitive/total tested)		
	wonths	2019	2020	2021	2022	
	January	2.3(43/1884)	5.1(153/3022)	6.5(73/1131)	9.9(371/3735)	
	February	3.0(45/1507)	5.3(152/2843)	6.3(66/1044)	12.5(432/3454)	\sim
	March	3.5(52/1476)	7.0(187/2676)	7.2(75/1048)	9.6(368/3850)	
	April	2.3(31/1374)	11.6(190/1642)	6.4(52/809)	16.6(646/3902)	$\langle \rangle$
	May	2.4(34/1442)	11.8(262/2212)	6 .0(54/895)	12.2(608/5003)	\sim
	June	3.6(74/2035)	6.1(122/1995)	9.0(131/1449)		
	July	3.9(108/2747)	6.5(164/2520)	8.9(131/1474)		b.
	August	3.1(123/3988)	5.9(140/2382)	9.8(176/1794)		
	September	2.3(82/3574)	6.4(190/2948)	7.8(137/1756)		
	October	6.3(165/2638)	5.4(160/2948)	10.6(183/1732)	\sim -	
	November	6.1(171/2790)	3.4(92/2671)	10.9(186/1712)	-	
	December	5.5(211/3834)	3.7(110/2992)	12.3(253/2052)	-	
	Total	3.9(1139/29289)	6.2(1922/30851)	9.0(1517/16896)	12.2(2425/19944)	
				\sim		
			\sim			
		\sim	\mathbf{v}			
		5				
		AV.				
	c V					
C	N N					
	/					
X .						

Exposure	OR (95% CI) P value
Male sex	2.2(1.4,3.4) <0.001
Natural water body presence	1.6(1.2,2.2) 0.002
Usage of aerosol insecticide spray	0.4(0.2,0.7) 0.001
An. stephensi larvae presence	5.0(2.8,9.4) <0.001
An. stephensi larvae/adult presence	3.8(2.3.6.3) <0.008
Long lasting insecticide net use	0.8(0.6,1.1) 0.125
Travel history in the last month	1.2(0.7,1.9) 0.464
Open eaves	0.8(0.6,1.1) 0.118
Livestock presence	1.2(0.9,1.7) 0.254
Distance from manmade container	0.7(0.5,0.9) 0.018
GUILIN	

Study Site	Test Characteristic	Microscopy as a	qPCR as a	reference
		reference	DDT	N.4
		RDT	RDT	Microscopy
	True Positive (n)	61	66	66
	False Positive (n)	9	4	2
	True Negative (n)	433	396	398
City	False Negative (n)	7	39	39
	Sensitivity (95% CI)	89.7 (87.1-92.3)	62.7 (58.6-67.1)	62.9 (58.6-67.1)
	Specificity (95% CI)	97.9 (96.7-99.2)	99.0 (98.1-99.9)	99.5 (98.9-100.0)
	Accuracy	96.7 (494/510)	91.5 (432/505)	91.9 (464/505)
	True Positive (n)	41	47	63
	False Positive (n)	15	10	5
	True Negative (n)	342	322	325
University	False Negative (n)	27	49	32
	Sensitivity (95% CI)	60.3 (55.6-64.9)	48.9 (44.2-53.7)	66.3 (61.8-70.8)
	Specificity (95% CI)	95.8 (93.9-97.7)	96.9 (95.4-98.6)	98.5 (97.3-99.7)
	Accuracy	90.1 (383/425)	86.2 (369/428)	91.2 (388/425)
	True Positive (n)	102	113	129
	False Positive (n)	24	14	7
	True Negative (n)	775	718	723
All	False Negative (n)	34	88	71
	Sensitivity (95% CI)	75.0 (72.2-77.8)	56.2 (53.0-59.4)	64.5 (61.4-67.6)
	Specificity (95% CI)	97.0 (95.9-98.1)	98.1 (97.2-99.0)	99.0 (98.4-99.7)
	Accuracy, % (n/N)	93.8 (877/935)	89.1 (831/933)	91.6 (852/930)

Accuracy, % (n/N) 93.8 (877/935)

Control (n=14)	21.7	(6.9-68.6)	0.132 0.001 0.001
Control family (n=27)	29.2	(8.8-96.8)	
Index (n=99)	669.0	(442.0-1012.0)	
Index family (n=61)	53.4	(26.7-107.0)	
City (n=105)	163.0	(90.9-291.0)	
University (n=96)	158.0	(94.3-265.0)	
Negative (n=88)	24.1	(13.8-42.2)	
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
Control family (n=27)	29.2	(8.8-96.8)	0.132 0.001 0.001
Index (n=99)	669.0	(442.0-1012.0)	
Index family (n=61)	53.4	(26.7-107.0)	
City (n=105)	163.0	(90.9-291.0)	
University (n=96)	158.0	(94.3-265.0)	
Negative (n=88)	24.1	(13.8-42.2)	
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
Index (n=99)	669.0	(442.0-1012.0)	0.132 0.001 0.001
Index family (n=61)	53.4	(26.7-107.0)	
City (n=105)	163.0	(90.9-291.0)	
University (n=96)	158.0	(94.3-265.0)	
Negative (n=88)	24.1	(13.8-42.2)	
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
Index family (n=61)	53.4	(26.7-107.0)	0.132 0.001 0.001
City (n=105)	163.0	(90.9-291.0)	
University (n=96)	158.0	(94.3-265.0)	
Negative (n=88)	24.1	(13.8-42.2)	
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
City (n=105)	163.0	(90.9-291.0)	0.132
University (n=96)	158.0	(94.3-265.0)	
Negative (n=88)	24.1	(13.8-42.2)	
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
University (n=96)	158.0	(94.3-265.0)	0.001
Negative (n=88)	24.1	(13.8-42.2)	
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
Negative (n=88)	24.1	(13.8-42.2)	0.001
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
Positive (n=113)	702.0	(495.0-997.0)	0.001
Negative (n=71)	11.3	(6.9-18.7)	
Positive (n=129)	683.0	(488.0-956.0)	
Negative (n=71) Positive (n=129)		(6.9-18.7) (488.0-956.0)	0.001
Positive (n=129)	683.0		
	RIIC		
2ALLA			
	R		

	Habitats positive for		Number of la	rvae collected		
Habitat type	Anopheles, n/N	An.	An.	An.	An. turkhudi	
Compared sistem	1/4	stephensi	gambiae s.l.	pretoriensis		1
Cemented cistern	1/4	23	0	0	0	\sim
	1/86	0	0	0	0	
Plastic drum	1/2/	16	0	0	0	-
Plastic barrel	12/238	230	0	0	0	
Stream (Butiji river)	9/17	160	67	42	11	
Ditch (Water leak)	1/1	38	0	0	0	
Jerrycan	0/504	0	0	0	0	
Water treatment plant	1/1	101	0	0	0	
Total		574	67	42	11	

All. An. An. <th>Ann. An. An.<th>Resting site positivity, Flace of collection (h(N)) surve y days y days si An. bia An. utrkh pretoriens udi An. is An. us An. field An. defession tit City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 1 0 <td< th=""><th>Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi is An. si An. tit Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae uidi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.6(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal 56 223 0 0 0<!--</th--><th>Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<></th></th></td<></th></th>	Ann. An. An. <th>Resting site positivity, Flace of collection (h(N)) surve y days y days si An. bia An. utrkh pretoriens udi An. is An. us An. field An. defession tit City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 1 0 <td< th=""><th>Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi is An. si An. tit Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae uidi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.6(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal 56 223 0 0 0<!--</th--><th>Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<></th></th></td<></th>	Resting site positivity, Flace of collection (h(N)) surve y days y days si An. bia An. utrkh pretoriens udi An. is An. us An. field An. defession tit City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 1 0 <td< th=""><th>Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi is An. si An. tit Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae uidi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.6(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal 56 223 0 0 0<!--</th--><th>Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<></th></th></td<>	Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi is An. si An. tit Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae uidi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.6(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal 56 223 0 0 0 </th <th>Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<></th>	Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<>				4.0		Numbe	er of adult mo	osquitoes	collected	d	
Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 0 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 0 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae quat turkh pretoriens function neegy C City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 14 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Animal sheiter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 1 0	Incompositivity, Surve Surve hen gam Turk	Incention Surve hen gam turkh pretoriens function	Place of collection Surve (n/N) V days y days si biae unit udi pretoriens udi fumest is identi us si identi tif us us si identi us si identi si identi us si <th< th=""><th></th><th>Resting site</th><th></th><th>AII. sten</th><th>Δn</th><th>An</th><th>An</th><th>An</th><th>Un-</th><th>Aedes</th><th></th></th<>		Resting site		AII. sten	Δn	An	An	An	Un-	Aedes	
Place of collection $%(n/N)$ y days si $biae$ udi is us $fied$ ti si City indoor $0.6(1/157)$ 54 10000146City outdoor $0.6(1/157)$ 54 00100016DDU indoor $7.5(10/133)$ 30120000000DDU outdoor $3.8(5/133)$ 30120000000Animal shelter $100(9/9)$ 5 249 3 000000Manholes/Ditch $100(6/6)$ 4 28 13 0100000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter) $0.0(0/15)$ 4 000000001Outdoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 4 000001922Indoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 6 223 00001922Indoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 6 223 00001922Indoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 6 223 000011000Indoor + Animalsetter 599 16 1 1 <th>Place of collection %(n/N) y days si bice udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 12 0</th> <th>Place of collection$%(n/N)$y dayssibiacudiisusfiedtiCity indoor0.6(1/157)541000001City outdoor0.6(1/157)540010001DU indoor7.5(10/133)3017000000DU utdoor3.8(5/133)3012000000Animal shelter100(6/6)4281301000Manholes/Ditch100(6/6)4281301000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)0.0(0/15)4000000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)6.7(1/15)40000010Indoor * Animal5helter6.7(1/15)64000010Uutdoor * Animal5helter60.0(9/15)6223000010Outdoor * Animal59916111321321Shelter60.0(9/15)62230000000Outdoor100(6/6)3230000000Total5991611132111</th> <th>Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 15 DU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</th> <th>Place of collection sk(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City uidoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</th> <th></th> <th>positivity.</th> <th>Surve</th> <th>hen</th> <th>aam</th> <th>turkh</th> <th>pretoriens</th> <th>funest</th> <th>identi</th> <th>aeavo</th> <th>Cu</th>	Place of collection %(n/N) y days si bice udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 12 0	Place of collection $%(n/N)$ y dayssibiacudiisusfiedtiCity indoor0.6(1/157)541000001City outdoor0.6(1/157)540010001DU indoor7.5(10/133)3017000000DU utdoor3.8(5/133)3012000000Animal shelter100(6/6)4281301000Manholes/Ditch100(6/6)4281301000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)0.0(0/15)4000000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)6.7(1/15)40000010Indoor * Animal5helter6.7(1/15)64000010Uutdoor * Animal5helter60.0(9/15)6223000010Outdoor * Animal59916111321321Shelter60.0(9/15)62230000000Outdoor100(6/6)3230000000Total5991611132111	Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 15 DU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	Place of collection sk(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City uidoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0		positivity.	Surve	hen	aam	turkh	pretoriens	funest	identi	aeavo	Cu
City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 0 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 14 0 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	Place of collection	%(n/N)	v davs	si	biae	udi	is	us	fied	ti	>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 1 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 <td>City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<td>City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU uindoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<!--</td--><td>City indoor</td><td>0.6(1/157)</td><td>54</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>14</td><td>(</td></td></td>	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 <td>City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU uindoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<!--</td--><td>City indoor</td><td>0.6(1/157)</td><td>54</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>14</td><td>(</td></td>	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU uindoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 </td <td>City indoor</td> <td>0.6(1/157)</td> <td>54</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>14</td> <td>(</td>	City indoor	0.6(1/157)	54	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	(
DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 <td>DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>City outdoor</td> <td>0.6(1/157)</td> <td>54</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>15</td> <td>1</td>	DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	City outdoor	0.6(1/157)	54	0	0	1	0	0	0	15	1
DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DDU indoor	7.5(10/133)	30	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0	DDU outdoor	3.8(5/133)	30	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water 3 30 0	Animal shelter	100(9/9)	5	249	3	0	0	0	0	0	
Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0</td> <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0</td> <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0</td> <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 2</td> <td>Manholes/Ditch</td> <td>100(6/6)</td> <td>4</td> <td>28</td> <td>13</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td>	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 2	Manholes/Ditch	100(6/6)	4	28	13	0	1	0	0	0	5
storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	Empty water	(,,,							\sim		
Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 32 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor*+Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 32 1</td><td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 0<td>storage tankers</td><td>100(4/4)</td><td>3</td><td>30</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>(</td></td></td>	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 <td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor*+Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 32 1</td> <td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 0<td>storage tankers</td><td>100(4/4)</td><td>3</td><td>30</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>(</td></td>	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor*+Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 32 1	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 0 <td>storage tankers</td> <td>100(4/4)</td> <td>3</td> <td>30</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>(</td>	storage tankers	100(4/4)	3	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2 2	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 <td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<td>Indoor (without</td><td>(, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td></td>	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 <td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<td>Indoor (without</td><td>(, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td>	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 <td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<td>Indoor (without</td><td>(, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Indoor (without</td> <td>(, ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Indoor (without	(, ,									
Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal 5 5 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor + Animal 5 5 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor (without 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor + Animal	Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor + Animal 5 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 <td>animal shelter)</td> <td>0.0(0/15)</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td>	animal shelter)	0.0(0/15)	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal 5helter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 7	, Outdoor (without										
Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Indoor + Animal 5helter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal 5helter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 :	animal shelter)	6.7(1/15)	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal	Indoor + Animal		-	-	-	-		1.	-	-	
Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter	6.7(1/15)	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor + Animal		-		-	-		× -	-	_	
Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32	Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1	Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter	60.0(9/15)	6	223	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 :	Indoor***	4.2(13/313)	9	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Outdoor	100(6/6)	3	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	
					Total		÷	599	16 🗸	1	1	1	1	32	
	RATER			of the state of th											
	R	LP		CHILL CHILL				7	8						
						RA			8						

All. An. An. <th>Ann. An. An.<th>Resting site positivity, Flace of collection (h(N)) surve y days y days si An. bia An. utrkh pretoriens udi An. is An. us An. field An. defession tit City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 1 0 <td< th=""><th>Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi udi An. is An. uuk An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi identi is An. uuk An. is An</th><th>Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<></th></td<></th></th>	Ann. An. An. <th>Resting site positivity, Flace of collection (h(N)) surve y days y days si An. bia An. utrkh pretoriens udi An. is An. us An. field An. defession tit City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 1 0 <td< th=""><th>Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi udi An. is An. uuk An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi identi is An. uuk An. is An</th><th>Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<></th></td<></th>	Resting site positivity, Flace of collection (h(N)) surve y days y days si An. bia An. utrkh pretoriens udi An. is An. us An. field An. defession tit City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 1 0 <td< th=""><th>Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi udi An. is An. uuk An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi identi is An. uuk An. is An</th><th>Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<></th></td<>	Resting site positivity. Surve hen si An. biae An. uukh An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi is An. si An. identi udi An. is An. uuk An. pretoriens An. funest An. identi identi is An. uuk An. is An	Resting site positivity, City indoor Site 0.6[1/157) Site site An. An. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>4.0</th><th></th><th>Numbe</th><th>er of adult mo</th><th>osquitoes</th><th>collected</th><th>d</th><th></th></t<>				4.0		Numbe	er of adult mo	osquitoes	collected	d	
Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 0 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 0 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae quat turkh pretoriens function neegy C City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 14 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Animal sheiter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 1 0	Incompositivity, Surve Surve hen gam Turk	Incention Surve hen gam turkh pretoriens function	Place of collection Surve (n/N) V days y days si biae unit udi pretoriens udi fumest is identi us si identi tif us us si identi us si identi si identi us si <th< th=""><th></th><th>Resting site</th><th></th><th>AII. sten</th><th>Δn</th><th>An</th><th>An</th><th>An</th><th>Un-</th><th>Aedes</th><th></th></th<>		Resting site		AII. sten	Δn	An	An	An	Un-	Aedes	
Place of collection $%(n/N)$ y days si $biae$ udi is us $fied$ ti si City indoor $0.6(1/157)$ 54 10000146City outdoor $0.6(1/157)$ 54 00100016DDU indoor $7.5(10/133)$ 30120000000DDU outdoor $3.8(5/133)$ 30120000000Animal shelter $100(9/9)$ 5 249 3 000000Manholes/Ditch $100(6/6)$ 4 28 13 0100000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter) $0.0(0/15)$ 4 000000001Outdoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 4 000001922Indoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 6 223 00001922Indoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 6 223 00001922Indoor + Animalshelter $6.7(1/15)$ 6 223 000011000Indoor + Animalsetter 599 16 1 1 <th>Place of collection %(n/N) y days si bice udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 12 0</th> <th>Place of collection$%(n/N)$y dayssibiacudiisusfiedtiCity indoor0.6(1/157)541000001City outdoor0.6(1/157)540010001DU indoor7.5(10/133)3017000000DU utdoor3.8(5/133)3012000000Animal shelter100(6/6)4281301000Manholes/Ditch100(6/6)4281301000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)0.0(0/15)4000000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)6.7(1/15)40000010Indoor * Animal5helter6.7(1/15)64000010Outdoor * Animal5helter60.0(9/15)6223000010Outdoor * Animal59916111321321Shelter60.0(9/15)62230000000Outdoor100(6/6)3230000000Total5991611132111</th> <th>Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 15 DU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</th> <th>Place of collection sk(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City uidoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</th> <th></th> <th>positivity.</th> <th>Surve</th> <th>hen</th> <th>aam</th> <th>turkh</th> <th>pretoriens</th> <th>funest</th> <th>identi</th> <th>aeavo</th> <th>Cu</th>	Place of collection %(n/N) y days si bice udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 12 0	Place of collection $%(n/N)$ y dayssibiacudiisusfiedtiCity indoor0.6(1/157)541000001City outdoor0.6(1/157)540010001DU indoor7.5(10/133)3017000000DU utdoor3.8(5/133)3012000000Animal shelter100(6/6)4281301000Manholes/Ditch100(6/6)4281301000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)0.0(0/15)4000000Indoor (withoutanimal shelter)6.7(1/15)40000010Indoor * Animal5helter6.7(1/15)64000010Outdoor * Animal5helter60.0(9/15)6223000010Outdoor * Animal59916111321321Shelter60.0(9/15)62230000000Outdoor100(6/6)3230000000Total5991611132111	Place of collection %(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 15 DU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	Place of collection sk(n/N) y days si biae udi is us fied ti City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City uidoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0		positivity.	Surve	hen	aam	turkh	pretoriens	funest	identi	aeavo	Cu
City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 0 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 14 0 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	City indoor 0.6(1/157) 54 1 0 0 0 0 14 City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<	Place of collection	%(n/N)	v davs	si	biae	udi	is	us	fied	ti	>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 0 0 0 0 DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 0 0 0 0 Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 1 0 0 0 Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 <td>City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<td>City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU uindoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<!--</td--><td>City indoor</td><td>0.6(1/157)</td><td>54</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>14</td><td>(</td></td></td>	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 <td>City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU uindoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0<!--</td--><td>City indoor</td><td>0.6(1/157)</td><td>54</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>14</td><td>(</td></td>	City outdoor 0.6(1/157) 54 0 0 1 0 0 0 15 DDU uindoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 </td <td>City indoor</td> <td>0.6(1/157)</td> <td>54</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>14</td> <td>(</td>	City indoor	0.6(1/157)	54	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	(
DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0 <td>DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0</td> <td>City outdoor</td> <td>0.6(1/157)</td> <td>54</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>15</td> <td>1</td>	DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	DDU indoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	DDU undoor 7.5(10/133) 30 17 0	City outdoor	0.6(1/157)	54	0	0	1	0	0	0	15	1
DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0	DDU outdoor 3.8(5/133) 30 12 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DDU indoor	7.5(10/133)	30	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0	Animal shelter 100(9/9) 5 249 3 0	DDU outdoor	3.8(5/133)	30	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Manholes/Ditch 100(6/6) 4 28 13 0 1 0 0 0 Empty water 3 30 0	Animal shelter	100(9/9)	5	249	3	0	0	0	0	0	
Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0</td> <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0</td> <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0</td> <td>Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 2</td> <td>Manholes/Ditch</td> <td>100(6/6)</td> <td>4</td> <td>28</td> <td>13</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td>	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	Empty water storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 2	Manholes/Ditch	100(6/6)	4	28	13	0	1	0	0	0	5
storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 0	storage tankers 100(4/4) 3 30 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	Empty water	(,,,							\sim		
Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 32 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32 2 2 1 1 1 1 32	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor*+Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 1 32 1 1</td><td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 32 1 1 1<td>storage tankers</td><td>100(4/4)</td><td>3</td><td>30</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>(</td></td></td>	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 <td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor*+Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 1 32 1 1</td> <td>Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 32 1 1 1<td>storage tankers</td><td>100(4/4)</td><td>3</td><td>30</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>(</td></td>	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor*+Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 1 32 1 1	Indoor (without animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 <td>storage tankers</td> <td>100(4/4)</td> <td>3</td> <td>30</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>(</td>	storage tankers	100(4/4)	3	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2 2	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 <td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<td>Indoor (without</td><td>(, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td></td>	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 <td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0<td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<td>Indoor (without</td><td>(, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td>	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 <td>animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<td>Indoor (without</td><td>(, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	animal shelter) 0.0(0/15) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Indoor (without</td> <td>(, ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Indoor (without	(, ,									
Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal 5 5 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor + Animal 5 5 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor (without 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor + Animal	Outdoor (without animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 50.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1 32 1 1 32 1	animal shelter)	0.0(0/15)	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal 5helter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	animal shelter) 6.7(1/15) 4 0 0 0 1 0 0 Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 7	, Outdoor (without										
Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 32 32 32 32 33 33 33 34 35 36 36 3	Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Indoor + Animal 5helter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal 5helter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Indoor + Animal Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 :	animal shelter)	6.7(1/15)	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 1 0 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter 6.7(1/15) 6 4 0 0 0 0 1 Outdoor + Animal	Indoor + Animal		-	-	-	-		1.	-	-	
Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor + Animal Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter	6.7(1/15)	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 2	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter 60.0(9/15) 6 223 0 0 0 0 2 Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Outdoor + Animal		-		-	-		× -	-	_	
Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32	Indoor**** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1 1 1 32 1	Indoor*** 4.2(13/313) 9 12 0 0 0 1 0 Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 32 1	Shelter	60.0(9/15)	6	223	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0	Outdoor 100(6/6) 3 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32 :	Indoor***	4.2(13/313)	9	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Total 599 16 1 1 1 1 32	Outdoor	100(6/6)	3	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	
					Total		÷	599	16 🗸	1	1	1	1	32	
	RATER			of the state of th											
	R	LP		CHILL CHILL				7	8						
						RA			8						

	Variables LLINs utilization IRS	Chi square (X ²) 0.45 1.26	P value 0.52 0.26					
	Repellent Travel history	0.20 0.24	0.65 0.62					2
	Age Sex Educational level RDT	1.85 1.22 6.26 12.84	0.39 0.27 0.18 0.001				1	
	Microscopy	0.001	0.99				$\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$	
					9	0×		
					. {			
				.0	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$			
				$\langle \rangle$				
			N					
		~	, P					
			A					
	<u> </u>							
		AFE						
	GELLER							
P C								
P C C								

nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Fitsum G Tadesse

Last updated by author(s): 2023/10/04

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	\boxtimes	A description of all covariates tested
	\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> .
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	\boxtimes	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

 Policy information about availability of computer code

 Data collection
 Study data collection tools (mobile application version 5.20.11) were prepared and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools

 Data analysis
 STATA 17 (StataCorp.,TX,USA), Rstudio v.2022.12.0.353(Posit,2023), QGIS v 3.22.16 (QGIS Development Team,2023. QGIS Geographic information system. Open source Geo spatial Foundation Project), and Graph pad prism 5.03 (Graph Pad Software inc., CA, USA). Rstudio v.2022.12.0.353(Posit,2023) was used , with packages Ime4 (generalized linear mixed models) and dcifer (pairwise relatedness analysis on P. falciparum genotypes in diverse loci). The R codes can be found at https://github.com/legessealamerie stephensi_outbreak_DireDawa_ETH_For_Publication.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All the data used in the manuscript are available on dryad (linked with the ORCID: https://orcid/0000-0003-1931-1442). Sequence data are deposited on NCBI with the BioProject accession number PRJNA962166. Raw data of the study will be available in the future upon reasonable request. The R codes used to run the analyses reported in this study can be found at https://github.com/legessealamerie/stephensi_outbreak_DireDawa_ETH_For_Publication and https://github.com/EPPIcenter/mad4hatter.

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with <u>human participants or human data</u>. See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation)</u>, <u>and sexual orientation</u> and <u>race, ethnicity and racism</u>.

Reporting on sex and gender	We followed the guidance on sex and gender reporting of the journal. Sex was self-reported in this study. We presented data disaggregated by sex when analyzed malaria risk in population. Individual level data included in the link provided contains sex as a variable at an individual level. Sex or gender was not considered in the study design. The research findings in this study apply in box sexes. Since the outbreak in the university campus happened at a fine spatial scale the dormitories affected by malaria were occupied by male students only. In the city, we had a predictable mix of sex. In the city 240 male and 282 females participated in the study whilst all participants in the university (n=249) were male students. Sex based malaria risk was analyzed in this study for the population in the city. Consent was obtained to share data not linked with personal identifiers.
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings	N/A
Population characteristics	The median age in the city was 23 years (interquartile range 12-35) and in the university it was 22 years(20-22).
Recruitment	Patients with (history in 48 hours) fever that presented at the two health facilities and tested positive for malaria by microscopy were recruited as index cases (index) from April to July 2022. Febrile patients who attended the same clinic and tested negative for malaria were recruited as controls within 72 hours of when the index was identified. The index and controls were followed to their homes and their households/dormitory members were tested for malaria and their households or dormitories were screened for anopheles mosquitoes (larvae and adult). Patients were requested to join the study as far as the capacity of the field team allowed on a first come first served basis.
Ethics oversight	Study protocol was approved by the institutional ethical review board of AHRI/ALERT ethics review committee (AF-10-015.1,PO/07/19). We provided a statement of informed consent in the manuscript.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

🔀 Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size We planned an unmatched case control ratio 1:2 with prospective case identification until stopping rule was achieved. The choice of the ratio was based on a logistic regression model aimed to detect an odds ratio (OR) of at least 2, assuming an exposure of 20 % in controls at household level, where the exposure was defined as the presence of An. stephensi. The power analysis was conducted in epiR package (R-cran software) and stopping rule was set to a power of 70% for the study to be sufficiently powered to detect differences between the presence of malaria on an. An. stephensi exposure at household level. The controls were selected from the same population as the cases post-stratification applied. Data from cases and controls were reviewed regularly, and final sample size was set to 290 with 101 cases and 189 controls. The recruitment of case-household and control-household members was done to include reactive case detection and improve the power of the study (as well as the OR minimum detection).

Data exclusions No data was excluded from the final analysis

Replication	Infection detection in wild caught mosquitoes that relies on an ELISA based protocol that targets circum sporozoite protein (CSP) is one of the highly informative tools to estimate malaria transmission. However several studies reported false positive results when targeting CSP specially in zoophilic mosquitoes. The false positive results could lead to an overestimation of the entomological inoculation rate. To circumvent this, we implemented stringent steps to determine infection status of mosquitoes. One of the approaches we followed is to rerun the bead based assays and test mosquitoes on a confirmatory PCR. Of the mosquitoes that were scored positive (n=4) and tested again all were confirmed to be positive in the rerun. when tested with 18s based PCR, only 3 of these mosquitoes were confirmed to be positive. We thus implemented a stringent criteria for determining infection status of a mosquito was considered infected only when it was found both CSP and 18S PCR positive which ended up to be only 3 infected An. stephensi mosquitoes.
Randomization	N/A: We recruited consecutive patients with (history within 48 hours) fever that presented at the two health facilities and tested positive for malaria by microscopy were recruited as index cases (index) in a 1:2 ratio (one case: two controls) unmatched study design. So randomization is not relevant for our study since we employed a case control study design.
Blinding	Grouping was determined by the infection status as determined by microscopy slide film investigation for the cases and controls. Once the case or control were identified, the investigators test all their members of the family/dormitory irrespective of their symptoms. Due to this blinding of investigators was not relevant to our study.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

Methods



- \times Dual use research of concern
- \boxtimes Plants

- Involved in the study n/a \boxtimes ChIP-seq \boxtimes
 - Flow cytometry
- \mathbf{X} MRI-based neuroimaging