Author Correction: Innovations present in the primate interneuron repertoire

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2874-8>

Correction to: *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2781-z>

Published online 30 September 2020

Check for updates

Fenna M. Krienen, Melissa Goldman, Qiangge Zhang,
Ricardo C. H. del Rosario, Marta Florio, Robert Machold,
Arpiar Saunders, Kirsten Levandowski, Heather Zaniewski,
Benjamin Schuman, Carolyn Wu, Alyssa Lutservitz,
Christopher D. Mullally, Nora Reed, Elizabeth Bien, Laura Bortolin,
Marian Fernandez-Otero, Jessica D. Lin, Alec Wysoker,
James Nemesh, David Kulp, Monika Burns, Victor Tkachev,
Richard Smith, Christopher A. Walsh, Jordane Dimidschstein,
Bernardo Rudy, Leslie S. Kean, Sabina Berretta, Gord Fishell,
Guoping Feng & Steven A. McCarroll

In Figure 2b of this Article, two labels were inadvertently swapped: the brown dot should be labelled ‘*PVALB*’, and the yellow dot should be labelled ‘*SST*’. In addition, the y-axis label of Fig. 4g should read “Percentage of striatal interneurons” not “Striatal interneurons (%)” and the numbers on the axis should be 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 (rather than 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4). In the sentence “The *TAC3*⁺ interneuron population appeared to be shared between marmosets and humans (Fig. 4g), and constituted 30% and 38% of the interneurons sampled in marmoset and human striatum, respectively”, ‘38%’ should read ‘34%’. These errors have been corrected online.