## **Author Correction:** Global glacier mass changes and their contributions to sea-level rise from 1961 to 2016

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1889-5

Correction to: Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1071-0

Published online 08 April 2019

M. Zemp, M. Huss, E. Thibert, N. Eckert, R. McNabb, J. Huber, M. Barandun, H. Machguth, S. U. Nussbaumer, I. Gärtner-Roer, L. Thomson, F. Paul, F. Maussion, S. Kutuzov & J. G. Cogley

In this Letter, the regional mass changes for Iceland were erroneously computed from the temporal variability of the glaciological sample from the Low Latitudes (instead of Iceland). Nevertheless, long-term mass changes were correctly derived from geodetic values from Iceland. Recalculation based on the correct data results in a larger inter-annual variability for Iceland (with a minimum mass balance in the hydrological year 2009/10 instead of 2015/16), a larger mass loss over the full observation period from 1961 to 2016 (-3.7 gigatonnes (Gt) yr<sup>-1</sup> instead of -2.4 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>), and a smaller ice loss over the last decade from 2006 to 2016 (-5.3 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> instead of -7.5 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>). At global levels, however, these corrections result in changes of less than 1% and are well within the error bars. As a consequence, this error did not affect any of the conclusions in the Letter. In Table 1, in the '06 Iceland (ISL)' row, the specific mass change (m water equivalent (w.e.) yr<sup>-1</sup>) should have been -0.50  $\pm$  0.37 (instead of  $-0.71 \pm 0.43$ ), and the mass change (Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>) should have been  $-5 \pm 4$  (instead of  $-8 \pm 5$ ); in the 'Total, excl. GRL and ANT' row, the specific mass change should have been  $-0.55 \pm 0.04$  (instead of  $-0.56 \pm 0.04$ ), and the mass change should have been  $-267 \pm 19$ (instead of  $-270 \pm 19$ ); and in the 'Global total' row, the specific mass change should have been  $-0.47 \pm 0.20$  (instead of  $-0.48 \pm 0.20$ ), and the mass change should have been  $-332 \pm 144$  (instead of  $-335 \pm 144$ ). These errors have all been corrected online. For regional comparisons with other studies, we provide a new version with corrected data files in the Zenodo repository (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3557199).