

Reply to: Hypothetical model ignores many important pathophysiologic mechanisms in fibromyalgia



We read with great interest the comments by Clauw et al. (Clauw, D.J. et al. Hypothetical model ignores many important pathophysiologic mechanisms in fibromyalgia. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-023-00951-3> (2023))¹ on our Perspective article presenting the Fibromyalgia: Imbalance of Threat and Soothing Systems (FITSS) model (Pinto, A. M. et al. Emotion regulation and the salience network: a hypothetical integrative model of fibromyalgia. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **19**, 44–60 (2023))². The issues raised are familiar to us, in part because they were reiterated in similar terms during the long and detailed revision process. This process contributed to ensuring the published article deliberately rejects the reduction of fibromyalgia to any one simple causal mechanism. We acknowledge the heterogeneity of fibromyalgia, the multifactorial nature of the myriad of mechanisms involved, and that peripheral nociceptive, autonomic and brain processes play interlocking roles as triggers, modulators and consequences of fibromyalgia (for example, in the section Multidirectionality and the causality conundrum on p. 53)².

Thus, we are surprised with Clauw et al.'s statement that we claim that “psychological stress is the sole cause of fibromyalgia” or that “adverse childhood events ... are key drivers in the development of fibromyalgia”¹. Such statements, and the unidirectional causality they imply, are absent in our paper. We acknowledge that there are “very few, if any, prospective longitudinal studies that conclusively demonstrate that psychological stress causes fibromyalgia”¹. Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence; neither are there studies that conclusively exclude psychological distress as a potential cause or driver of fibromyalgia. Longitudinal studies addressing any risk factors of fibromyalgia are rare. Clauw et al. mention a few risk factors for fibromyalgia that are putatively stronger than psychological distress. All of these factors

have a strong relationship with stress and persistent negative emotion, raising questions about their unique causal contributions. The observation that “psychological factors often improve dramatically when pain improves”¹ is not surprising to us and is consistent with our statements: “The aetiological interactions among stress, sleep disturbances and pain are multidirectional,” and “Stress and depression can also be initiated or aggravated by chronic pain”².

We also agree that precision-medicine approaches that personalize treatment are crucial. However, measures of nociceptive, autonomic and brain pathophysiology are not yet sufficient to enable effective precision treatment. While we wait for ‘precision’ studies to “align treatments with the underlying mechanisms that are operative in each individual patient”, we note that currently available pharmacological treatments are far from personalized. Furthermore, they share psychotropic effects aligned with the concept of diminishing threat and augmenting soothing perceptions. The FITSS model is consistent with personalized medicine and will hopefully inspire such research. In fact, most (if not all) ‘precision’ observations made so far in fibromyalgia highlight changes in central nervous system structures and dynamics that are associated with both pain and emotion^{3–6}: it seems unlikely that they might be related solely to pain and not to emotion.

In sum, we do not disagree with the substantive points in the correspondence from Clauw et al.¹, but we do disagree with how our paper² is summarized. We primarily aimed for a balanced paper and so invited the critical contribution of many authors with potentially different views. Consensus is often difficult to achieve, but we believe that engaging different views can both establish common ground and reveal areas where more scientific evidence is needed to resolve ambiguity. We are grateful that the paper, and this correspondence, enables us to further that goal.

Ana Margarida Pinto^{1,2,3}, Rinie Geenen^{4,5}, Tor D. Wager⁶, Winfried Häuser⁷, Eva Kosek^{8,9}, Jacob N. Ablin^{10,11}, Kirstine Amris¹², Jaime Branco^{13,14}, Dan Buskila¹⁵, João Castelhan¹⁶, Miguel Castelo-Branco¹⁶, Leslie J. Crofford¹⁷, Mary-Ann Fitzcharles¹⁸, Marina López-Solà¹⁹, Mariana Luís²⁰, Tiago Reis Marques^{21,22}, Philip J. Mease^{23,24}, Filipe Palavra^{25,26}, Jamie L. Rhudy²⁷, Lucina Q. Uddin²⁸, Paula Castilho¹, Johannes W. G. Jacobs²⁹ & José A. P. da Silva^{2,20,26} ✉

¹University of Coimbra, Center for Research in Neuropsychology and Cognitive and Behavioral Intervention (CINEICC), Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Coimbra, Portugal. ²University of Coimbra, University Clinic of Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine, Coimbra, Portugal. ³University of Coimbra, Psychological Medicine Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Coimbra, Portugal.

⁴Department of Psychology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁵Altrecht Psychosomatic Medicine Eikenboom, Zeist, The Netherlands. ⁶Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA.

⁷Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ⁸Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁹Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ¹⁰Internal Medicine H, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel. ¹¹Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel. ¹²The Parker Institute, Department of Rheumatology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg, Denmark. ¹³Rheumatology Department, Egas Moniz Hospital — Lisboa Ocidental Hospital Centre (CHLO-EPE), Lisbon, Portugal. ¹⁴Comprehensive Health Research Center (CHRC), Chronic Diseases Research Centre (CEDOC), NOVA Medical School, NOVA University Lisbon (NMS/UNL), Lisbon, Portugal. ¹⁵Ben Gurion University of

Correspondence

the Negev Beer-Sheba, Beersheba, Israel.

¹⁶University of Coimbra, Coimbra Institute for Biomedical Imaging and Translational Research (CIBIT), ICNAS, Coimbra, Portugal.

¹⁷Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA. ¹⁸Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.

¹⁹Serra Hunter Programme, Department of Medicine and Health Sciences, Institute of Neuroscience, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ²⁰Rheumatology Department, Coimbra Hospital and University Centre, Coimbra, Portugal. ²¹Psychiatric Imaging Group, MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences (LMS), Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College London, London, UK. ²²Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and

Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK. ²³Swedish Medical Center/ Providence St. Joseph Health, Seattle, WA, USA. ²⁴University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA. ²⁵Centre for Child Development, Neuropediatric Unit, Paediatric Hospital, Coimbra Hospital and University Centre, Coimbra, Portugal. ²⁶University of Coimbra, Coimbra Institute for Clinical and Biomedical Research (i.CBR), Faculty of Medicine, Coimbra, Portugal. ²⁷Department of Psychology, University of Tulsa, Tulsa, OK, USA. ²⁸Department of Psychology, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL, USA. ²⁹Department of Rheumatology & Clinical Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands.

✉ e-mail: jdasilva@chuc.min-saude.pt

Published online: 24 March 2023

References

1. Clauw, D. J. et al. Hypothetical model ignores many important pathophysiologic mechanisms in fibromyalgia. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-023-00951-3> (2023).
2. Pinto, A. M. et al. Emotion regulation and the salience network: a hypothetical integrative model of fibromyalgia. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **19**, 44–60 (2023).
3. Wager, T. D. et al. An fMRI-based neurologic signature of physical pain. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **368**, 1388–1397 (2013).
4. Malfliet, A. et al. Brain changes associated with cognitive and emotional factors in chronic pain: a systematic review. *Eur. J. Pain.* **21**, 769–786 (2017).
5. Ellingsen, D-M. et al. A picture is worth a thousand words: linking fibromyalgia pain widespreadness from digital pain drawings with pain catastrophizing and brain cross-network connectivity. *Pain* **162**, 1352–1363 (2021).
6. Labrenz, F. et al. Temporal dynamics of fMRI signal changes during conditioned interoceptive pain-related fear and safety acquisition and extinction. *Behav. Brain Res.* **427**, 113868 (2022).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.