

Timing of puberty — body size or reproductive optimization?

Yehuda Limony¹ and Slawomir Koziel²

In the July 2020 issue of *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* Ben Bar-Sadeh and colleagues reviewed the up-to-date knowledge about early childhood epigenetic changes of the reproductive system in response to environmental events (Bar-Sadeh, B. et al. Unravelling the role of epigenetics in reproductive adaptations to early-life environment. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **16**, 519–533 (2020))¹. One of the changes described is the change in the time of onset of puberty. This change is described by the authors as adaptive change, part of multiple adaptation changes in the reproductive system, to “optimize success.”

We would like to add another point of view regarding this change. We think that the change in the timing of puberty is aimed primarily at achieving target height and so at optimizing body size. We have reached this conclusion in our recently published auxological study based on two cohorts: Israeli and Polish². In our study, we found a correlation between the timing of puberty and the child's target height (calculated as the average of normalized parental heights) and described it in a mathematical model. We found that children who grow in childhood along a height percentile higher than their target height percentile (a situation termed by us as positive height gap) will start puberty earlier than average. Children who grow along a height percentile shorter than their target height percentile (termed negative height gap) will start puberty later than average. When a pubertal growth spurt starts earlier than average, the final height percentile is lower than the height percentile at its start³. Thus, whenever early puberty accompanies a positive height gap, it narrows the height gap at the end of the pubertal growth spurt, resulting in a final height closer to the target height (that is, body size similar to that of parents). It is therefore probable that this association between the height gap and timing of puberty is part of the targeted process of growth that might have an evolutionary advantage.

In their article, Bar-Sadeh and colleagues cite studies that demonstrated the advancement of the timing of puberty in immigrant populations. Indeed, as shown in many studies, the risk of precocious

puberty is greater in children who have been adopted or immigrated with a relocation from a developing country to a developed one^{4,5}. It should be noted, however, that the advancement of the age of puberty is preceded by a period of accelerated growth and the greater this catch-up growth, the more advanced is the timing of puberty⁶. It is possible that the catch-up growth created a positive height gap, but unfortunately, these studies lack data on parental height.

We agree with Bar-Sadeh and colleagues who emphasize the need for multifaceted approaches to elucidate this intriguing aspect of human biology. However, in our opinion, research on the possible association between the timing of puberty and epigenetic changes should also be directed to genes associated with height growth.

Reply to: Timing of puberty — body size or reproductive optimization?

Gillian R. Bentley¹, Barry A. Bogin¹, Ben Bar-Sadeh, Reinhard Stöger¹ and Philippa Melamed¹

We appreciate the comments by Limony and Koziel on our Review (Bar-Sadeh, B. et al. Unravelling the role of epigenetics in reproductive adaptations to early-life environment. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **16**, 519–533 (2020))¹ in suggesting that pubertal development might be related to achieving a target height (Limony, Y. & Koziel, S. Timing of puberty — body size or reproductive optimization? *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-021-00501-5> (2021))².

The authors state that: “the change in the timing of puberty is aimed primarily at achieving target height and so at optimizing body size.” Our approach is taken from the perspective of life history theory, which argues that energy availability is allocated between growth, maintenance and reproduction, and that trade-offs exist to optimize Darwinian fitness as in reproductive success^{3,4}. However, in their correspondence, Limony and Koziel suggest that height is the currency of optimization in the process of pubertal maturation as opposed to fitness. We would

There is a reply to this letter by Bentley et al. Reply to: Timing of puberty — body size or reproductive optimization? *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-021-00502-4> (2021).

Yehuda Limony¹ and Slawomir Koziel²

¹Clalit Health Services, Community Medicine, Migdaley-Kenyon-Hanegev, Be'er-Sheva, Israel.

²Hirsfeld Institute of Immunology and Experimental Therapy, Wrocław, Poland.

✉e-mail: limony@zahav.net.il

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-021-00501-5>

1. Bar-Sadeh, B. et al. Unravelling the role of epigenetics in reproductive adaptations to early-life environment. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **16**, 519–533 (2020).
2. Limony, Y., Koziel, S. & Friger, M. Association between the onset age of puberty and parental height. *PLoS ONE* **14**, e0211334 (2019).
3. Limony, Y., Koziel, S. & Friger, M. Age of onset of a normally timed pubertal growth spurt affects the final height of children. *Pediatr. Res.* **78**, 351–355 (2015).
4. Teilmann, G., Pedersen, C. B., Skakkebaek, N. E. & Jensen, T. K. Increased risk of precocious puberty in internationally adopted children in Denmark. *Pediatrics* **118**, e391–399 (2006).
5. Virdis, R. et al. Precocious puberty in girls adopted from developing countries. *Arch. Dis. Child.* **78**, 152–154 (1998).
6. Proos, L. A. Growth & development of Indian children adopted in Sweden. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **130**, 646–650 (2009).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

first decades of the twentieth century^{7,8}. The concept of a ‘height gap’ was also discussed by Tanner⁶ and revisited by Barry Bogin in 1980 (REF.⁹). However, a mechanistic explanation for Limony’s theory is lacking, as clinical studies do not support direct effects of accelerated increase in bone length on the timing of pubertal onset¹⁰, though other aspects of the metabolic state clearly do exert such effects, as discussed in our Review¹. Furthermore, we would draw attention to the still valid and crucially important comments stressed repeatedly by Frank Shuttleworth that, “Correlations between menarcheal ages and physical data do not imply causal interactions. Rather they point to antecedent factors presumably in the endocrine organization of the individual”⁸. We believe that our epigenetics Review stands on the shoulders of such major figures in auxology in emphasizing and pushing

forward scholarship precisely to identify these antecedent factors and determine how they explain the mechanisms responsible for endocrine architecture of individuals during development.

Gillian R. Bentley ¹, Barry A. Bogin ²,
Ben Bar-Sadeh³, Reinhard Stöger ⁴ and
Philippa Melamed ⁵✉

¹Department of Anthropology, Durham University, Durham, UK.

²School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK.

³Faculty of Biology, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

⁴School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK.

⁵Faculty of Biology, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

✉e-mail: philippa@tx.technion.ac.il

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-021-00502-4>

1. Bar-Sadeh, B. et al. Unravelling the role of epigenetics in reproductive adaptations to early-life environment. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **16**, 519–533 (2020).

2. Limony, Y. & Koziel, S. Timing of puberty — body size or reproductive optimization? *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-021-00501-5> (2021).
3. Roff, D. A. *Life History Evolution*. (Sinauer Associates, 2002).
4. Stearns, S. C. *The Evolution of Life Histories* (Oxford University Press, 1992).
5. Limony, Y., Koziel, S. & Friger, M. Association between the onset age of puberty and parental height. *PLoS ONE* **14**, (2019).
6. Tanner, J. M. Regulation of growth in size in mammals. *Nature* **199**, 845–850 (1963).
7. Boas, F. Studies in growth. *Hum. Biol.* **4**, 307–350 (1932).
8. Shuttleworth, F. K. *Sexual Maturation and the Skeletal Growth of Girls Age Six to Nineteen. Monographs for the Society for Research in Child Development Society for Research in Child Development* (National Research Council, 1938).
9. Bogin, B. Catastrophe theory model for the regulation of human growth. *Hum. Biol.* **52**, 215–217 (1980).
10. Rogol, A. D., Cohen, P., Weng, W., Kappelgaard, A. M. & Germak, J. A. Prepubertal children with growth hormone deficiency treated for four years with growth hormone experience dose-dependent increase in height, but not in the rate of puberty initiation. *Horm. Res. Paediatr.* **80**, 28–37 (2013).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.