Publisher Correction: Real-time feedback promotes energy conservation in the absence of volunteer selection bias and monetary incentives

Verena Tiefenbeck , Anselma Wörner, Samuel Schöb, Elgar Fleisch and Thorsten Staake

Correction to: *Nature Energy* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0282-1>, published online 19 November 2018.

Owing to a technical error, in the version of this Article originally published some proof corrections were missing.

In Table 3, the first value for consumption feedback read -0.123 and should have read -0.124 . The standard error for the second value of flow rate read 0.005 and should have read 0.006 . The first value for constant read 0.412 and should have read 0.413 . The second and third values for observations read $8,826$ and should have read $8,824$.

In Table 4, the value for consumption feedback read -0.196 and should have read -0.197 . The value for hotel 2 read 0.038 and should have read 0.037 . The value for hotel 3 read -0.071 and should have read -0.072 . The value and standard error for hotel 1, the constant, read 1.917 (0.046) and should have read 1.918 (0.045). The value for observations read $19,602$ and should have read $19,596$.

In the Methods section, in the third paragraph of the “Data” subsection, the values $19,602$ and $8,826$ should have read $19,596$ and $8,824$, respectively.

These errors have now been corrected.

Published online: 8 March 2019

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0358-6>