nature ecology & evolution

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02013-z

Current levels of microplastic pollution impact wild seabird gut microbiomes

Received: 21 April 2022

Accepted: 14 February 2023

Published online: 27 March 2023

Check for updates

Gloria Fackelmann $\mathbb{O}^1 \boxtimes$, Christopher K. Pham \mathbb{O}^2 , Yasmina Rodríguez \mathbb{O}^2 , Mark L. Mallory \mathbb{O}^3 , Jennifer F. Provencher \mathbb{O}^4 , Julia E. Baak \mathbb{O}^5 & Simone Sommer $\mathbb{O}^1 \boxtimes$

Microplastics contaminate environments worldwide and are ingested by numerous species, whose health is affected in multiple ways. A key dimension of health that may be affected is the gut microbiome, but these effects are relatively unexplored. Here, we investigated if microplastics are associated with changes in proventricular and cloacal microbiomes in two seabird species that chronically ingest microplastics: northern fulmars and Cory's shearwaters. The amount of microplastics in the gut was significantly correlated with gut microbial diversity and composition: microplastics were associated with decreases in commensal microbiota and increases in (zoonotic) pathogens and antibiotic-resistant and plastic-degrading microbes. These results illustrate that environmentally relevant microplastic concentrations and mixtures are associated with changes in gut microbiomes in wild seabirds.

Microplastics represent an emerging threat to wildlife and human health^{1,2}. These small (<5 mm) plastic particles contaminate bodies of water, soils and the air^{1,3}. The omnipresence of microplastics has fostered broad research aimed at determining potential negative health effects on exposed animals, including humans^{1,3}. Research has demonstrated that microplastics can deleteriously affect animals and their health³. Despite this work, our understanding of the effects of microplastic ingestion on gut microbiome communities is poor.

The microbiome is the collection of microbes in a given area of the body that has formed an evolutionary symbiotic relationship with its host species⁴. Thus, microbiomes are essential to host nutrition, physiology, immune function, development and even behaviour, and many diseases have been associated with altered gut microbiomes⁵. Microbiomes can change in taxonomic and functional diversity in animals subjected to anthropogenic stressors such as environmental pollution^{6,7}. Along this line, laboratory studies revealed that microplastics may cause changes in gut microbiomes with negative health implications^{8–10}. As a field in its infancy, however, effects of microplastics of microplastic pollution are expected to rise and accumulate with time¹¹,

it is imperative to understand how wildlife health, reflected by the gut microbiome, is impacted.

In this Article, we studied the gut microbial response to varving degrees of microplastic ingestion, quantified by counting and weighing microplastics, in two different seabird species: Cory's shearwaters (*Calonectris borealis*), n = 58 individuals, collected on the Azores archipelago in Portugal and northern fulmars (Fulmarus glacialis), n = 27 individuals, collected in Baffin Bay, Canada. Their distributions span both hemispheres (Extended Data Fig. 1). Both species ingest plastic debris, and in particular the fulmar is established as a plastics bioindicator¹²⁻¹⁵. By extending the focus from solely the gut microbiome (which in birds is usually determined by sampling the cloaca) to also include the microbiome of the proventriculus, we further aimed to determine if microplastic ingestion carries similar consequences on the microbiomes of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) as it progresses along the digestive tract. Using 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing, we found that the most abundant phyla across the dataset were Proteobacteria (49.9%), Firmicutes (33.1%), Actinobacteriota (6.2%), Fusobacteriota (4.2%) and Bacteroidota (3.7%; Extended Data Fig. 2), which accounted for over 97% of the 4,602,578 reads.

¹Institute of Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation Genomics, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany. ²Institute of Marine Sciences - Okeanos, University of the Azores, Horta, Portugal. ³Biology, Acadia University, Wolfville, Nova Scotia, Canada. ⁴Ecotoxicology and Wildlife Health Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. ⁵Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada. ^IConada. International Climate Change Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. ⁵Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada. International Climate Change Canada. International Climate Change Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. ⁵Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada. International Climate Change Canada. International Climate Cl



Fig. 1 | **Correlations between microplastics (MP) and the alpha diversity of the proventricular and cloacal microbiomes in northern fulmar and Cory's shearwater individuals. a–f**, Each dot represents a microbiome sample that is coloured by the location within the GIT, either from the proventricular (blue dots, *n* = 85) or cloacal microbiome (orange dots, *n* = 84). Alpha diversity metrics: observed number of ASVs (note that the scale is non-linear due to the square root

transformation of the alpha diversity values) (**a** and **b**), Shannon index (**c** and **d**) and Faith's PD (**e** and **f**) are plotted in relation to the proportion of MP counts (MP count/individual bird mass; left) and the proportion of MP mass (MP mass/ individual bird mass; right). The lines in each plot denote the predicted values based on the linear mixed model for that alpha diversity metric, and the shaded areas flanking the lines indicate the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.

Results and discussion

Using linear mixed models and accounting for other biological and experimental variables (Supplementary Results), we tested if microbial alpha diversity (observed number of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), Shannon index, Faith's phylogenetic diversity (PD) and Allen's H metric) of proventricular and cloacal microbiomes in the two species was associated with microplastics (counts and mass; Supplementary Results) and, by including interaction terms, if the effects of microplastics were similar between seabird species and throughout the GIT. For all alpha diversity metrics, microplastic count was significantly positively correlated with microbial alpha diversity in the proventriculus (observed number of ASVs: $\beta = 0.67$, $t_{81} = 2.96$, P = 0.004; Shannon index: $\beta = 0.27, t_{81} = 2.85, P = 0.006$; Faith's PD: $\beta = 1.68, t_{81} = 3.46, P < 0.001$; Allen's H metric: $\beta = 0.07$, $t_{s1} = 2.73$, P = 0.007; Fig. 1, Extended Data Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 1). These associations were significantly greater in the proventriculus than the cloaca (observed number of ASVs: P = 0.011; Faith's PD: P = 0.001; with a trend for Shannon index: P = 0.084 and Allen's H metric: P = 0.089), where this effect was close to zero (observed number of ASVs: $\beta = 0.01$; Shannon index: $\beta = 0.08$; Faith's PD: $\beta = -0.06$; Allen's H metric: $\beta = 0.02$).

In relation to mass of microplastics, birds with greater microplastic mass had significantly lower Shannon index ($\beta = -0.20$, $t_{s1} = -2.38$, P = 0.020), Faith's PD ($\beta = -1.12$, $t_{s1} = -2.47$, P = 0.016) and Allen's H metric ($\beta = -0.06$, $t_{s1} = -2.54$, P = 0.013) and a trending negative correlation with the observed number of ASVs ($\beta = -0.40$, $t_{s1} = -1.95$, P = 0.055) in the proventricular microbiome (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). These associations significantly differed between the proventricular and cloacal microbiome when considering Faith's PD (P = 0.006) and Allen's H metric (P = 0.020), and showed a trend for the observed number of ASVs (P = 0.073) and Shannon index (P = 0.090). In the cloaca, the association with cloacal Faith's PD was—in contrast to the proventriculus—positive ($\beta = 0.36$), whereas it was close to zero for the observed number of ASVs ($\beta = 0.05$), Shannon index ($\beta = -0.02$) and Allen's H metric ($\beta = 0.01$). Removing samples that could be considered outliers (less than the first percentile or greater than the 99th percentile) did not substantially change results (Supplementary Table 2). Similarly, models using microplastic count or mass without standardizing by bird mass also did not substantially change results (Supplementary Table 3).

In general, both microplastic count and mass were significantly correlated (positively and negatively, respectively) with alpha diversity, with greater correlations anteriorly (proventriculus) than posteriorly (cloaca), suggesting that effects of microplastics on microbial alpha diversity wane as they travel through the GIT. If microplastics act as vectors for pathogenic and/or foreign microbes^{2,16}, then this mode of action could decrease along the GIT as hitchhiking microbes come into contact and compete with more resident microbes and have to survive

stacking host immune defences¹⁷. Additionally, although the role of microplastics as vectors for hydrophobic organic chemicals remains unclear¹⁸, recent studies have shown their desorption in microplastics decreases exponentially over time in artificial gut solutions¹⁹ and are higher at greater temperatures and lower pH (ref. ²⁰), which–at least in chickens–in lowest in the proventriculus²¹.

Further supporting the conclusion that microplastics could act as microbial vectors was the observation that Faith's PD explained the highest amount of variation (Supplementary Results) and was most affected by microplastics. This suggests that microplastics can introduce not only a greater amount of microbes to GIT microbiomes (reflected by richness that also increased) but also a greater diversity of microbes from different evolutionary lineages. Notably, how the quantity of microplastics was measured revealed different potential impacts of microplastics on the GIT microbiome. While microplastic count was generally positively associated with alpha diversity, microplastic mass was generally negatively associated. Though microplastic count could increase alpha diversity by acting as vectors^{2,16}, microplastic mass takes into account volume and density, the latter of which can be influenced by polymer type and properties²². Thus, mass probably reflects differences in polymer properties more than count. Since antimicrobial additives can be added to plastic polymers²², an increase in the mass of such a polymer could decrease microbial alpha diversity. Parsing out these differences would require more knowledge about the microplastic polymer types and their additives, and appears a fertile avenue for future research.

Model selection did not support the interaction between microplastics (count and mass) and host seabird species (Methods); thus, any correlations between microplastics and gut microbial alpha diversity were similar between northern fulmars and Cory's shearwaters. This suggests that the effects observed in this study may apply widely in Procellariiformes that ingest microplastics.

Next, we investigated if microplastics correlated with GIT microbial composition between individuals (beta diversity) and if these correlations were similar between seabird species and throughout the GIT. We did this using permutation tests implemented in vegan::adonis with 9,999 permutations (Supplementary Results)²³. For visualization purposes, microplastics results were plotted in principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plots (Extended Data Figs. 4-8), which are only able to represent two dimensions at a time, whereas these statistical results were evaluated across all the dimensions of the beta diversity matrices. When considering microplastic count, we found count to be significantly correlated with beta diversity when using weighted (P < 0.001) and unweighted (P < 0.001) UniFrac distances, as well as Euclidean distances within Aitchison's log-ratio approach for compositional data (P < 0.001; Extended Data Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 4). However, this depended not only on location of the microbiome within the GIT (weighted UniFrac: P = 0.008; unweighted UniFrac: P < 0.001; Aitchison: p = 0.001; Extended Data Fig. 5), but also on host seabird species (weighted UniFrac p = 0.043; unweighted UniFrac: p < 0.001; Aitchison: P < 0.001; Extended Data Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 4). This means that microplastic count has different associations with beta diversity in the proventriculus versus cloaca, and between the species.

Moving from microplastic count to mass, we found mass to be significantly correlated with beta diversity when using unweighted UniFrac distances (P < 0.001) and Aitchison's approach (P < 0.001) and a trend when using weighted UniFrac distances (P = 0.069; Extended Data Fig. 4). This depended on location of the microbiome within the GIT when considering weighted UniFrac distances (P = 0.016; Extended Data Fig. 7a,b) and Aitchison's approach (P = 0.011; Extended Data Fig. 7e,f), as well as the host species being investigated (weighted UniFrac: P < 0.001; unweighted UniFrac: P < 0.001; Aitchison: P < 0.001; Extended Data Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 4). Consequently, microplastic mass was associated with microbial composition of the proventriculus and cloaca differently only when considering weighted

UniFrac distances and Aitchison's approach, but not unweighted Uni-Frac distances. Moreover, this association depended on the host species in question. Results from models using microplastic count or mass without standardizing by bird mass showed similar results (Supplementary Table 5). Since the host species we investigated were collected in distant locations, belong to different genera and represented different age groups (adults versus fledglings), it was not surprising that their GIT microbiome compositions differed²⁴, meaning the microbial taxa available to be shifted might not be the same. Future studies will be necessary to disentangle the roles of host phylogeny, age and geographic location in modulating effects of microplastics on wildlife gut microbiomes.

To understand which taxa could be driving the associations between microplastics and microbial beta diversity, we performed an ANCOM test²⁵, which determined 17 ASVs to be differentially abundant (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Results). Of these, ten were associated with microplastic count (Fig. 2a) and five were associated with microplastic mass (Fig. 2b). The genera Catellicoccus, Cetobacterium and Pseudoalteromonas were associated with both microplastic count and microplastic mass. Notably, as microplastic count increased, the abundance of resident microbiota associated with healthy hosts decreased, while the abundance of microbes known to be involved in disease, antibiotic resistance and plastic degradation and those considered to be zoonotic pathogens increased. For example, Pseudoalteromonas, which comprises marine bacteria usually associated with healthy organisms²⁶, was negatively associated with microplastic count, as were known members of (sea)bird microbiota, such as Psychrobacter^{26,27}, Enterococcus^{28,29}, Catellicoccus^{30,31} and Staphylococcus^{32,33}. In contrast, Corynebacterium xerosis was positively associated with microplastic count and has been identified as an emerging pathogen with potential to become zoonotic^{34,35}, with its genus having shown plastic-degrading capabilities (database in ref.³⁶). Moreover, although Lactobacillus aviarius is a common member in avian microbiota³⁷, an increased abundance is indicative of poor development in birds²⁹. Positively correlated with microplastic count were Parvimonas, a predictor of colorectal cancer in humans³⁸, and *Cetobacterium*, which is resistant to the antibiotic vancomycin³⁹. Clostridium perfringens, which had the greatest positive association with microplastic mass and a larger association with the cloacal versus proventricular microbiome, is a pathogen in chickens that produces extracellular toxins that can cause avian necrotic enteritis as well as life-threatening gas gangrene and food poisoning in humans⁴⁰. Other potential pathogens associated with microplastic mass were Fusobacterium⁴¹ and Edwardsiella^{42,43}.

The genera *Cetobacterium* and *Fusobacterium* were also associated with plastic ingestion in captive loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) rescued from the Northwestern Adriatic Sea⁴⁴. This suggests that microplastics may have similar impacts on gut microbial communities not only between closely related species such as the seabirds in our study, but also between more distantly related species that inhabit similar environments.

Of the 17 differentially abundant ASVs in total, 3 were negatively associated with the interaction between microplastic count and GIT location (Fig. 2c; *Flaviflexus, Edwardsiella* and *Corynebacterium*). Two ASVs were associated with the interaction between microplastic mass and GIT location: *Clostridium perfringens*, which was positively correlated, and *Acinetobacter*, which was negatively correlated (Fig. 2d). For both ASVs, the magnitude of the correlation was greater in the cloacal than the proventricular microbiome. ANCOM revealed one ASV belonging to the genus *Catellicoccus* to be negatively associated with the interaction between microplastic count and host seabird species, but no ASVs to be associated with the interaction between microplastic mass and host species (Fig. 2e).

We explored if the effects of microplastics were tied to host body condition using the scaled mass index calculated using individual tarsus length and body mass. However, the only significant predictors of



Fig. 2 | **Differentially abundant ASVs associated with microplastics (counts and mass) identified by ANCOM. a–e**, Each dot represents an ASV plotted by its taxonomic assignment on the *y* axis and in decreasing order of its centred log-ratio (clr) coefficient on the *x* axis. Thus, dots to the right of centre zero show a positive correlation with microplastics, whereas dots to the left show a negative correlation. Plotted ASVs were identified as differentially abundant by ANCOM (at $w_0 = 0.70$) according to microplastic count (**a**), microplastic mass (**b**), the interaction between microplastic count and sample type (blue dots represent the proventriculus, red dots represent the cloaca) (**c**), the interaction between

microplastic mass and sample type (blue dots represent the proventriculus, orange dots represent the cloaca) (**d**) and the interaction between microplastic counts and species (green dots represent Cory's shearwaters, purple dots represent northern fulmars) (**e**). ANCOM identified 17 differentially abundant ASVs; however, 21 dots are shown here because 3 of the 17 ASVs are associated with microplastic counts (**a**) as well as microplastic mass (**b**; annotated as *Catellicoccus* sp., *Cetobacterium* sp. and *Pseudoalteromonas* sp.) and one ASV is associated with both microplastic mass (**b**) and the interaction between microplastic counts and sample type (**c**; annotated as *Edwardsiella* sp.).

body condition were host species ($\beta = -0.76$, t = -3.98, p = < 0.001) and the interaction between species and microplastic count ($\beta = -0.94$, t = -3.62, $P \le 0.001$), but not microplastic count alone (Supplementary Table 6). Thus, any effects that microplastics may have on host health were not captured by our measurement of body condition. Moreover, body condition was not a significant predictor in any alpha diversity models (Supplementary Table 7). In our beta diversity models, body condition significantly predicted unweighted UniFrac (P = 0.007) and Aitchison distances (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table 8). Therefore, although microplastics are linked with aspects of microbial composition, the mechanism by which microplastics could cause microbial changes does not seem to be linked to body condition.

To summarize, we found that ingested microplastics correlated with microbial diversity and composition throughout seabird GITs. Associations between microplastics and alpha diversity did not depend on host seabird species, whereas their correlations with beta diversity were host species specific. Microplastics were more greatly correlated with proventricular versus cloacal microbiomes. Moreover, an increase in microplastics was associated with a decrease in commensal microbiota and an increase in (zoonotic) pathogens and antibiotic-resistant and plastic-degrading microbes. Though we note that these results were obtained from 16S rRNA gene sequencing, which has limitations in terms of reaching taxonomic identity at the strain level and identifying microbial functions, our study supports previous predictions that chronic microplastic ingestion is associated with gut dysbiosis².

Our study illustrates that environmentally relevant microplastic concentrations and mixtures correlate with gut microbial diversity, highlighting the potential for gut dysbiosis in remotely living wild seabirds with large-scale migration routes and known to ingest microplastics debris in the wild¹²⁻¹⁴. Northern fulmars are well-known bioindicators of microplastic pollution⁴⁵. Our results provide the basis upon which future research can examine the cumulative negative impacts of microplastics due to chronic exposure, especially considering that microplastics can be retained for weeks or months in Procellariiformes and thus unlikely to depend entirely on the last meal⁴⁶. The implications are far-reaching: for one, humans are also exposed to micro- (and nano-) plastics⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹, raising the question of how humans and their (gut) health might be affected by plastic ingestion. For another, the gut microbiome plays a central role in host health, and as zoonoses and the state of wildlife health in a globalized world gain more attention⁵⁰, the search for causes and origins of possible future zoonoses is gaining importance.

Methods

Sample collection

This study was conducted within the framework of two ongoing projects that, respectively, monitor Cory's shearwaters (C. borealis) at the edge of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre on the Azores archipelago (Portugal) and northern fulmars (F. glacialis) near Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut in the Northwest Atlantic (Extended Data Fig. 1; BirdLife International⁵¹). Both species belong to the Procellariidae family, are surface-feeders and ingest microplastic debris^{12-14,52,53}. Collections of northern fulmars were done during the breeding season between July and August 2018. A total of 27 northern fulmar adults were shot away from breeding sites¹³. Collections of Cory's shearwaters were done during the take-off season when fledglings are known to collide with buildings and other manmade structures when abandoning the nest. often due to sensitivity towards artificial night light pollution, which can lead to death. Fresh fledgling corpses were then collected near colonies on the Azores between October and November 2017 and 2018. Birds were frozen at -20 °C until time of dissection and microbiome sampling, leading to a total of 58 collected fledgling individuals.

The collected seabirds were dissected and sampled under sterile conditions following a standardized protocol^{15,54}. In addition to this protocol, sterile swabs were used to sample the proventriculus and cloaca of each individual bird (with the exception of one northern fulmar individual, which was mistakenly sampled only once at the proventriculus). Each swab was placed in nucleic acid preservation buffer⁵⁵ and kept at -20 °C until DNA extraction. Plastic debris collected from the GIT over a 1 mm sieve was collected, examined under a light microscope and characterized following the protocols outlined in ref. ⁵⁶. For brevity, we refer to this plastic debris as microplastic, even though not every piece measured was smaller than 5 mm (Rodríguez et al., in preparation)¹³. Thus, for each of the 85 seabird individuals, we collected data on the body mass and tarsus length of the individual, the number and total mass of microplastics in its GIT (using a balance with an accuracy of ± 0.0001 g), and its proventricular (n = 85) and cloacal (n = 84) microbiome.

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

DNA from whole swabs was extracted using Macherey-Nagel's NucleoSpin 'DNA from soil' extraction kit (Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol. An additional bead-beating step was incorporated into the protocol to mechanically lyse bacterial cells⁶. Throughout this step, 16 extraction blanks containing only the extraction reagents were included and subsequently sequenced to be able to identify and remove possible contamination.

Following DNA extraction, we targeted the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene using the bacterial primers 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHV GGGTWTCTAAT-3') (ref. ⁵⁷), to which we added forward-primer (CS1-515F) and reverse-primer adapters (CS2-806R) to be able to use Fluidigm sequencing chemistry (Access Array System for Illumina Sequencing Systems, Fluidigm Corporation). We amplified this target region in two polymerase reaction chain steps (two-step PCR; Supplementary Information), ensuring to include PCR blanks comprising only the PCR reagents throughout this process. In the first step, a total PCR volume of 10 µl composed of 1 µl extracted DNA (5-10 ng), 1.5 µl (200 nM) pooled forward and reverse primers, 5 µl AmpliTaq Gold 360 Master Mix and 2.5 μ l ultrapure dH₂O was run under the following PCR conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min; 40 cycles including denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 45 s; final elongation at 72 °C for 7 min. Gel electrophoresis for each sample was conducted to ensure PCR success. The second PCR step consisted of a 20 µl PCR volume that included 2 µl amplified DNA from the first PCR step, 4 µl (400 nM) pooled forward and reverse barcode primers, 10 µl AmpliTaq Gold 360 Master and 4 µl ultrapure dH₂O, which was run under the same PCR conditions as previously described in ten cycles. Barcoded samples were bead-purified (1:1 ratio), quantified and pooled to 8 nM. We paired-end sequenced a total of 169 swab samples, 16 extraction blanks and 5 PCR blanks in one run using our own in-house Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform at the Institute of Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation Genomics, Ulm University, Germany.

Bioinformatic processing

Reads resulting from the Illumina MiSeq amplicon sequencing were processed in QIIME 2 (version 2020.8.0) using the DADA2 plug-in to generate ASVs^{58,59}. Taxonomy was assigned with the QIIME 2 classify-sklearn function (and its default confidence value settings) using the SILVA (version 138) classifier trained using our target primers⁶⁰. ASVs unassigned to bacteria at the domain level along with those identified as chloroplast or mitochondrial sequences were removed. We built a rooted phylogenetic tree as described in ref.⁶. The phylogenetic tree, taxonomy and ASV tables along with sample metadata were imported into R (version 3.6.1) (ref.⁶¹) to create a phlyoseq object using the phyloseq package (version 1.28.0) (ref.⁶²) for subsequent analyses.

In R, we first explored the extraction and PCR blanks that contained 185 out of a total of 2,956 ASVs. Of these 185 ASVs, 93 were unique to the blanks and subsequently removed. Using the decontam package (version 1.4.0) (ref. ⁶³) with its prevalence-based contaminant identification and default threshold of 0.1, 18 additional ASVs were identified as possible contaminants and removed. We then considered samples with a sequencing depth of less than 2,900 reads as having failed and removed them and any ASVs unique to them from the dataset. Moreover, we applied a prevalence filter of 2% and an abundance filter of ten reads across the whole dataset to remove very rare ASVs that are likely to be sequencing artefacts. This removed 254 ASVs from the dataset and deleted all ASVs from extraction and PCR blanks. Following filtering, our dataset consisted of 4,602,578 reads across 2,517 ASVs and 169 samples, resulting in an average sequencing depth of 27,234 \pm 5,999 reads per sample.

Statistical analyses

To better reflect the effects microplastics may have on each individual, we expressed microplastic count and mass as proportions of each individual bird's mass by dividing each individual's microplastic count and mass by its body mass, though we also present results from using only the microplastic count or mass, without standardizing by bird mass. We used these variables throughout the analysis, though for brevity we refer to them as microplastic count and microplastic mass.

Alpha diversity. We first calculated intra-individual microbial diversity (alpha diversity) using the following metrics and packages: Faith's PD, which reflects PD, (btools package, version 0.0.1) (ref.⁶⁴); Shannon index (log_e), which takes both microbial richness and evenness into account; the observed number of ASVs, which reflects richness (the latter two both using the phyloseq package); and Allen's H metric^{65,66}. Then, using linear mixed effects models from the nlme package (version 3.1.141) (ref. ⁶⁷), we modelled each alpha diversity metric as a function of the following: the interaction between microplastic count (scaled) and GIT location (either proventriculus or cloaca); the interaction between microplastic mass (scaled) and GIT location; host seabird species; and sequencing depth (scaled). We initially included the interaction between microplastic counts (scaled) and host seabird species plus the interaction between microplastic mass (scaled) and host seabird species to test if any microplastics effects on the gut microbiome are host species specific. However, not only did models with these two interactions have a worse fit than those without the interactions (using the Akaike information criterion (AIC), Δ AIC >2), neither interaction was statistically significant (P < 0.05), regardless of alpha diversity metric. Thus, we dropped these two interactions from our final models, kept host bird species alone as an explanatory factor, and concluded

that any effect of microplastics on gut microbial alpha diversity was similar between fulmars and shearwaters, and not specific to either species. Moreover, we accounted for non-independence due to repeated sampling of the same individual at different points in the GIT (proventriculus and cloaca) by setting individual bird ID as a random factor (random intercept). The best model fit was obtained by square root transforming the observed number of ASVs and Allen's H metric⁶⁸. The remaining two alpha diversity metrics were not transformed. We accounted for different variances in alpha diversity between proventricular and cloacal microbiome samples, along with differences in variance according to sequencing depth by adding a varComb variance structure to the models, following the protocol outlined in ref.⁶⁸. We checked for multicollinearity between the explanatory variables using variance inflation factors from the car package (version 3.0.3) (ref.⁶⁹). which did not reveal any problematic variables⁷⁰. Marginal ($R^2_{1MM(m)}$) and conditional $(R^2_{IMM(c)}) R^2$ values⁷¹ for each model were calculated using the piecewiseSEM package (version 2.1.0) (ref.⁷²).

Beta diversity. To analyse the GIT microbial community composition in both seabird species, we generated distance matrices using the function phyloseq::distance based on weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances, since these were developed specifically for microbiome data⁷³. In addition, we applied Aitchison's log-ratio approach for compositional data⁷⁴, which consists of centre-log transforming the ASV table after adding a pseudo count of one and generating a distance matrix using Euclidean distances. We then tested for effects of microplastics on microbial composition using null hypothesis testing with the permutation test implemented in the function vegan::adonis (version 2.5-5) (ref.²³). We defined one model per distance matrix (weighted and unweighted UniFrac, Aitchison) and used the same model formula as described in the previous section, with individual bird ID set within the 'strata' argument. To visualize the results of the multidimensional data, we used unconstrained ordination techniques PCoA for weighted and unweighted UniFrac matrices and principal component analysis for Aitchison's approach using the function phyloseq::ordinate. To visually represent the effects of our discrete and continuous microplastics variables (count and mass), we fit these as vectors onto our ordination plots using the function vegan::envfit function based on the first two ordination axes.

Differential abundance analysis. To determine which microbial taxa could be driving the microplastics-associated differences in beta diversity, we performed an analysis of composition of microbiomes (ANCOM) test²⁵. By adding linear mixed effects model functionality from the nlme package, we used the same model formula as previously described to determine which ASVs were associated with microplastic count and mass, with the interaction between microplastics and GIT location, and with the interaction between microplastics and host seabird species. Because zero inflation is a hallmark of microbiome data that can lead to false discovery rates in these types of analyses²⁵, we applied an additional filter to keep only ASVs that were present in at least 15 samples. This reduced the total number of ASVs to 81. We then ran ANCOM using a significance level of 0.05, selected a moderate correction parameter to apply the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure that corrects for multiple testing²⁵, and used the default cut-off value $w_0 = 0.70$ so that only ASVs for which the null hypothesis was rejected at a rate of 70% or more were determined to be differentially abundant. To plot the differentially abundant ASVs and show which ASVs were positively or negatively correlated with microplastics, we adapted code from the QIIME 2 plug-in q2-composition⁵⁹ for compositional data analysis to run in R and calculated model parameter estimates from the linear mixed model run on the ratio of each ASV-pair in the centred log-ratio transformed (clr) ASV table²⁵.

Host body condition. To explore links between host body condition, microplastic ingestion and host gut microbiomes, we calculated body

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Sequencing data and corresponding metadata are available on the National Center for Biotechnology Information under the accession number PRJNA930758. Additionally, the metadata are also stored on GitHub (https://github.com/gfackelmann/Current-levels-of-micro plastic-pollution-impact-wild-seabird-gut-microbiomes).

Code availability

The scripts for our analysis are stored on GitHub (https://github.com/gfackelmann/Current-levels-of-microplastic-pollution-impact-wild-seabird-gut-microbiomes).

References

- Street, M. E. & Bernasconi, S. Microplastics, environment and child health. *Ital. J. Pediatr.* 47, 47–49 (2021).
- Fackelmann, G. & Sommer, S. Microplastics and the gut microbiome: how chronically exposed species may suffer from gut dysbiosis. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 143, 193–203 (2019).
- Anbumani, S. & Kakkar, P. Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics on biota: a review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 14373–14396 (2018).
- 4. Zilber-Rosenberg, I. & Rosenberg, E. Role of microorganisms in the evolution of animals and plants: the hologenome theory of evolution. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **32**, 723–735 (2008).
- Valdes, A. M., Walter, J., Segal, E. & Spector, T. D. Role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. *Br. Med. J.* 361, 36–44 (2018).
- 6. Fackelmann, G. et al. Human encroachment into wildlife gut microbiomes. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 800 (2021).
- Gillingham, M. A. F. et al. Bioaccumulation of trace elements affects chick body condition and gut microbiome in greater flamingos. Sci. Total Environ. 761, 1–17 (2021).
- 8. Li, B. et al. Polyethylene microplastics affect the distribution of gut microbiota and inflammation development in mice. *Chemosphere* **244**, 125492 (2019).
- 9. Tamargo, A. et al. PET microplastics affect human gut microbiota communities during simulated gastrointestinal digestion, first evidence of plausible polymer biodegradation during human digestion. Sci. Rep. **12**, 528 (2022).
- Jiang, P. et al. Effects of microplastics (MPs) and tributyltin (TBT) alone and in combination on bile acids and gut microbiota crosstalk in mice. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 220, 112345 (2021).
- 11. Wilcox, C., Van Sebille, E., Hardesty, B. D. & Estes, J. A. Threat of plastic pollution to seabirds is global, pervasive, and increasing. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 11899–11904 (2015).
- Provencher, J. F., Vermaire, J. C., Avery-Gomm, S., Braune, B. M. & Mallory, M. L. Garbage in guano? Microplastic debris found in faecal precursors of seabirds known to ingest plastics. *Sci. Total Environ.* 644, 1477–1484 (2018).
- Baak, J. E., Provencher, J. F. & Mallory, M. L. Plastic ingestion by four seabird species in the Canadian Arctic: comparisons across species and time. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **158**, 111386 (2020).

Article

- 14. Rodríguez, A., Rodríguez, B. & Carrasco, M. N. High prevalence of parental delivery of plastic debris in Cory's shearwaters (*Calonectris diomedea*). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **64**, 2219–2223 (2012).
- 15. Van Franeker, J. A. et al. Monitoring plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis* in the North Sea. *Environ. Pollut.* **159**, 2609–2615 (2011).
- Bowley, J., Baker-Austin, C., Porter, A., Hartnell, R. & Lewis, C. Oceanic hitchhikers—assessing pathogen risks from marine microplastic. *Trends Microbiol.* 29, 107–116 (2021).
- Pickard, J. M., Zeng, M. Y., Caruso, R. & Núñez, G. Gut microbiota: role in pathogen colonization, immune responses and inflammatory disease. *Immunol. Rev.* 279, 70–89 (2017).
- Koelmans, A. A., Bakir, A., Burton, G. A. & Janssen, C. R. Microplastic as a vector for chemicals in the aquatic environment: critical review and model-supported reinterpretation of empirical studies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 3315–3326 (2016).
- Lee, H., Lee, H. J. & Kwon, J. H. Estimating microplastic-bound intake of hydrophobic organic chemicals by fish using measured desorption rates to artificial gut fluid. *Sci. Total Environ.* 651, 162–170 (2019).
- Bakir, A., Rowland, S. J. & Thompson, R. C. Enhanced desorption of persistent organic pollutants from microplastics under simulated physiological conditions. *Environ. Pollut.* 185, 16–23 (2014).
- 21. Recoules, E. et al. Digestion dynamics in broilers fed rapeseed meal. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 3052 (2019).
- Lambert, S. & Wagner, M. in Freshwater Microplastics. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry (eds Lambert, S. & Wagner, M.) 1–24 (Springer, Cham, 2018).
- 23. Oksanen, J. et al. *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package version 2.5-5. (2019).
- Bahrndorff, S., Alemu, T., Alemneh, T. & Lund Nielsen, J. The microbiome of animals: implications for conservation biology. *Int.* J. Genomics 2016, 5304028 (2016).
- 25. Mandal, S. et al. Analysis of composition of microbiomes: a novel method for studying microbial composition. *Microb. Ecol. Heal. Dis.* **26**, 27663 (2015).
- 26. Offret, C. et al. Spotlight on antimicrobial metabolites from the marine bacteria *Pseudoalteromonas*: chemodiversity and ecological significance. *Mar. Drugs* **14**, 129 (2016).
- Welter, D. K. et al. Free-living, psychrotrophic bacteria of the genus *Psychrobacter* are descendants of pathobionts. *mSystems* 6, e00258-21 (2021).
- 28. Wang, W. et al. Characterization of the gut microbiome of black-necked cranes (*Grus nigricollis*) in six wintering areas in China. *Arch. Microbiol.* **202**, 983–993 (2020).
- 29. Danzeisen, J. L. et al. Succession of the turkey gastrointestinal bacterial microbiome related to weight gain. *PeerJ* https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.237 (2013).
- Green, H. C., Dick, L. K., Gilpin, B., Samadpour, M. & Field, K.
 G. Genetic markers for rapid PCR-based identification of gull, Canada goose, duck, and chicken fecal contamination in water. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78**, 503–510 (2012).
- Lee, C., Marion, J. W. & Lee, J. Development and application of a quantitative PCR assay targeting *Catellicoccus marimammalium* for assessing gull-associated fecal contamination at Lake Erie beaches. *Sci. Total Environ.* **454–455**, 1–8 (2013).
- Johnson, T. J. et al. A consistent and predictable commercial broiler chicken bacterial microbiota in antibiotic-free production displays strong correlations with performance. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84, e00362-18 (2018).
- Capunitan, D. C., Johnson, O., Terrill, R. S. & Hird, S. M. Evolutionary signal in the gut microbiomes of 74 bird species from Equatorial Guinea. *Mol. Biosyst.* 29, 829–847 (2020).

- Hernández-León, F., Acosta-Dibarrat, J., Vázquez-Chagoyán, J. C., Rosas, P. F. & de Oca-Jiménez, R. M. Identification and molecular characterization of *Corynebacterium xerosis* isolated from a sheep cutaneous abscess: first case report in Mexico. *BMC Res. Notes* 9, 1–6 (2016).
- Santos, C. S. et al. Efficient differentiation of Corynebacterium striatum, Corynebacterium amycolatum and Corynebacterium xerosis clinical isolates by multiplex PCR using novel species-specific primers. J. Microbiol. Methods 142, 33–35 (2017).
- 36. Gambarini, V. et al. Phylogenetic distribution of plastic-degrading microorganisms. *mSystems* **6**, e01112-20 (2021).
- 37. Feng, Y. et al. Metagenome-assembled genomes and gene catalog from the chicken gut microbiome aid in deciphering antibiotic resistomes. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 1305 (2021).
- Wirbel, J. et al. Microbiome meta-analysis and cross-disease comparison enabled by the SIAMCAT machine learning toolbox. *Genome Biol.* 22, 93 (2021).
- Foster, G. et al. Cetobacterium ceti gen. nov., sp. nov., a new Gram-negative obligate anaerobe from sea mammals. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 21, 202–206 (1995).
- 40. Keyburn, A. L. et al. NetB, a new toxin that is associated with avian necrotic enteritis caused by *Clostridium perfringens*. *PLoS Pathog*.
 4, 1–11 (2008).
- Nagaraja, T. G. Ã., Narayanan, S. K., Stewart, G. C. & Chengappa, M. M. *Fusobacterium necrophorum* infections in animals: pathogenesis and pathogenic mechanisms. *Anaerobe* 11, 239–246 (2005).
- 42. Leung, K. Y., Wang, Q., Yang, Z. & Siame, B. A. Edwardsiella piscicida: a versatile emerging pathogen of fish. *Virulence* **10**, 555–567 (2019).
- 43. Luan, N. T., Ha, H. & Thi, P. in *Pan-genomics: Applications, Challenges, and Future Prospects* (eds Barh, D. et al.) Ch. 8 (Elsevier, 2020).
- 44. Biagi, E. et al. Impact of plastic debris on the gut microbiota of *Caretta caretta* from Northwestern Adriatic Sea. *Front. Mar. Sci.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.637030 (2021).
- van Franeker, J. A. et al. New tools to evaluate plastic ingestion by northern fulmars applied to North Sea monitoring data 2002– 2018. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 166, 112246 (2021).
- Provencher, J. F. et al. Quantifying ingested debris in marine megafauna: a review and recommendations for standardization. *Anal. Methods* 9, 1454–1469 (2017).
- 47. Schwabl, P. et al. Detection of various microplastics in human stool: a prospective case series. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **171**, 453–457 (2019).
- Senathirajah, K. et al. Estimation of the mass of microplastics ingested—a pivotal first step towards human health risk assessment. J. Hazard. Mater. 404, 124004 (2021).
- Toussaint, B. et al. Review of micro- and nanoplastic contamination in the food chain. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 36, 639–673 (2019).
- 50. Keatts, L. O. et al. Implications of zoonoses from hunting and use of wildlife in North American Arctic and boreal biomes: pandemic potential. *Monit. Mitig. Front. Public Heal.* **9**, 627654 (2021).
- 51. IUCN Red List for birds. *BirdLife International* http://www.birdlife. org (2022).
- Poon, F. E., Provencher, J. F., Mallory, M. L., Braune, B. M. & Smith, P. A. Levels of ingested debris vary across species in Canadian Arctic seabirds. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **116**, 517–520 (2017).
- 53. Yamashita, R. et al. Plastic additives and legacy persistent organic pollutants in the preen gland oil of seabirds sampled across the globe. *Environ. Monit. Contam. Res.* **1**, 97–112 (2021).
- Van Franeker, J. A. Save the North Sea Fulmar-Litter-EcoQO Manual Part 1: collection and dissection procedures. Alterra-rapport 672 (Wageningen Alterra, 2004).

- 55. Menke, S., Gillingham, M. A. F., Wilhelm, K. & Sommer, S. Home-made cost effective preservation buffer is a better alternative to commercial preservation methods for microbiome research. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1–12 (2017).
- Provencher, J. F. et al. Recommended best practices for plastic and litter ingestion studies in marine birds: collection, processing, and reporting. *Facets* 4, 111–130 (2019).
- 57. Caporaso, J. G. et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 4516–4522 (2011).
- Callahan, B. J. et al. DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13, 581–583 (2016).
- Bolyen, E. et al. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857 (2019).
- Quast, C. et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 590–596 (2013).
- 61. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2017).
- McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE* 8, 1–11 (2013).
- Davis, N. M., Proctor, Di. M., Holmes, S. P., Relman, D. A. & Callahan, B. J. Simple statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics data. *Microbiome* 6, 1–14 (2018).
- 64. Battaglia, T. btools: a suite of R function for all types of microbial diversity analyses. *GitHub*. https://github.com/twbattaglia/btools/ (2019).
- 65. Allen, B., Kon, M. & Bar-Yam, Y. A new phylogenetic diversity measure generalizing the shannon index and its application to phyllostomid bats. *Am. Nat.* **174**, 236–243 (2009).
- Alberdi, A. & Gilbert, M. T. P. A guide to the application of Hill numbers to DNA-based diversity analyses. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 19, 804–817 (2019).
- 67. Pinheiro, J. C. & Bates, D.M. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*. (Springer, 2000); https://doi.org/10.1007/b98882
- Zuur, A., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A. A. & Smith, G. M. Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R (Springer Science & Business Media, 2009).
- 69. Fox, J. & Weisberg, S. An R companion to applied regression, Third edn. (Sage, 2019).
- Graham, M. H. Confronting multicollinearity in ecological multiple regression. *Ecology* 84, 2809–2815 (2003).
- Nakagawa, S., Johnson, P. C. D. & Schielzeth, H. The coefficient of determination R² and intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and expanded. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 14, 20170213 (2017).
- Lefcheck, J. S. piecewiseSEM: piecewise structural equation modeling in R for ecology, evolution, and systematics. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 7, 573–579 (2016).
- 73. Lozupone, C. A., Hamady, M., Kelley, S. T. & Knight, R. Quantitative and qualitative β diversity measures lead to different insights into factors that structure microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **73**, 1576–1585 (2007).
- 74. Aitchison, J. The statistical analysis of compositional data. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B **44**, 139–177 (1982).
- Peig, J. & Green, A. J. New perspectives for estimating body condition from mass/length data: the scaled mass index as an alternative method. *Oikos* **118**, 1883–1891 (2009).

Acknowledgements

Northern fulmars were collected with animal care permits (Acadia University Animal Care Committee Permit 02-18), federal

permits for work on seabirds and in National Wildlife Areas (ECCC NUN-NWA-18-02 and NUN-SCI-18-02), and territorial permits (GN-WL-2018-004, NIRB-17YN069 and NPC-148645). Cory's shearwaters were collected within the framework of the SOS Cagarro Campaign organized by Regional Directorate of Marine Policies and the Regional Directorate for the Environment and Climate Change of the Government of the Azores. Okeanos received national funds through the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) and Instituto Público (I.P.), under the project UIDB/05634/2020 and UIDP/05634/2020 and through the Regional Government of the Azores (M1.1.A/REEQ.CIENTÍFICO UI&D/2021/010). We thank J. Pereira and S. Blasco for their support during sample collection. G.F. was funded by the German Academic Scholarship Foundation (Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes), and all laboratory work related to 16S rRNA gene sequencing was funded by the Institute of Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation, Ulm University. C.K.P. was co-financed by the Operational Program AZORES 2020, through the Fund 01-0145-FEDER-000140 'MarAZ Researchers: Consolidate a body of researchers in Marine Sciences in the Azores' of the European Union. Y.R. was funded by a PhD scholarship from the Regional Fund of Science and Technology, Government of the Azores (M3.1.a/F/022/2020). J.E.B. was funded by NSERC Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarship and a Weston Family Award in Northern Research. J.F.P. and M.L.M. were supported by the Northern Contaminants Program (Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada).

Author contributions

G.F. conceptualized and designed the study, performed the laboratory work, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript under the supervision of S.S. C.K.P. secured funding and permits to sample *C. borealis.* Y.R. collected the data associated with *C. borealis.* M.L.M. and J.F.P. secured funding and permits to sample *F. glacialis.* M.L.M., J.F.P. and J.E.B. collected the data associated with *F. glacialis.* S.S. secured funding for all microbiome-related laboratory analyses within her Wildlife Health program supported by Ulm University. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Funding

Open access funding provided by Universität Ulm.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02013-z.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02013-z.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Gloria Fackelmann or Simone Sommer.

Peer review information *Nature Ecology & Evolution* thanks Lauren Roman, Antton Alberdi and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Article

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023



Longitude

Extended Data Fig. 1 | **Sampling location and distribution of the study species** *C. borealis* **and** *F. glacialis.* Cory's shearwaters were collected at the edge of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre on the Azores archipelago (Portugal; dark green dot; distribution shown in green) and northern fulmars were collected near Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut in the Northwest Atlantic (dark purple dot; distribution shown in purple).



Samples (n = 169)

Extended Data Fig. 2 | Microbiota composition at the phylum rank for the proventricular and cloacal microbiomes of Cory's shearwaters and northern fulmars. The phyla within each sample (n = 169 samples obtained from

85 individual seabirds) are plotted by their relative abundance on the y-axis. Lowabundance phyla (prevalence < 0.1 and abundance <10) are grouped together and labelled as "Other".



Extended Data Fig. 3 | Correlations between microplastics (MP) and the alpha diversity of the proventricular and cloacal microbiomes in northern fulmar and Cory's shearwater individuals. Each dot represents a microbiome sample that is colored by the location within the GIT, either from the proventricular (blue dots, n = 85) or cloacal microbiome (orange dots, n = 84). Alpha diversity metric

Allen's H metric is plotted in relation to **a** the proportion of MP counts (MP count/ individual bird mass) and **b** the proportion of MP mass (MP mass/individual bird mass). The lines in each plot denote the predicted values based on the linear mixed model for the alpha diversity metric and the shaded areas flanking the lines indicate the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.



Extended Data Fig. 4 | **Ordination plots showing the correlations between microplastic (MP) count and mass and seabird GIT microbial beta diversity.** Principle coordinate analysis (PCoA) ordination plots with **a**,**b** weighted UniFrac distances, **c**,**d** unweighted UniFrac distances, and **e**,**f** principle component analysis (PCA) ordination plots with Euclidean distances (Aitchison's approach).



Each dot represents a microbiome sample colored on a continuous scale by $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e})$ the proportion of MP count (MP count/individual bird mass; n = 169) and $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f})$ the proportion of MP mass (MP mass/individual bird mass; n = 169) and magenta arrows show the direction of the MP effects.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | Ordination plots showing the correlations beween MP count and seabird proventricular versus cloacal microbial beta diversity. PCoA plots with a,b weighted UniFrac distances, c,d unweighted UniFrac distances, and e,fPCA plots with Euclidean distances (Aitchison's approach)



illustrate the effects of MP counts on seabird proventricular ($\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}; n = 85$) versus cloacal ($\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}; n = 84$) microbial beta diversity. Each dot represents a microbiome sample colored on a continuous scale by the proportion of MP count (MP count/ individual bird mass) and magenta arrows show the direction of the MP effects.



Extended Data Fig. 6 | Ordination plots showing the correlations between MP count and northern fulmar versus Cory's shearwater GIT microbial beta diversity. PCoA plots with a,b weighted UniFrac distances, c,d unweighted UniFrac distances, and e,fPCA plots with Euclidean distances (Aitchison's approach) illustrate the effects of MP count on GIT microbial beta diversity in



northern fulmars (**a**,**c**,**e**; n = 27) versus Cory's shearwaters (**b**,**d**,**f**; n = 58). Each dot represents a microbiome sample colored on a continuous scale by the proportion of MP count (MP count/individual bird mass) and magenta arrows show the direction of the MP effects.



Extended Data Fig. 7 | Ordination plots showing the correlations between MP mass and seabird proventricular versus cloacal microbial beta diversity. PCoA plots with a,b weighted UniFrac distances, c,d unweighted UniFrac distances, and e,fPCA plots with Euclidean distances (Aitchison's approach)

illustrate the effects of MP mass on seabird proventricular (**a**,**c**,**e**; *n* = 85) versus cloacal (**b**,**d**,**f**; *n* = 84) microbial beta diversity. Each dot represents a microbiome sample colored on a continuous scale by the proportion of MP mass (MP mass/ individual bird mass) and magenta arrows show the direction of the MP effects.



Extended Data Fig. 8 | Ordination plots showing the correlations between MP mass and northern fulmar versus Cory's shearwater GIT microbial beta diversity. PCoA plots with a,b weighted UniFrac distances, c,d unweighted UniFrac distances, and e,f PCA plots with Euclidean distances (Aitchison's approach) illustrate the effects of MP mass on GIT microbial beta diversity in



northern fulmars (**a**, **c**, **e**; n = 27) versus Cory's shearwaters (**b**, **d**, **f**; n = 58). Each dot represents a microbiome sample colored on a continuous scale by the proportion of MP mass (MP mass/individual bird mass) and magenta arrows show the direction of the MP effects.

nature portfolio

GI Corresponding author(s): Sin

Gloria Fackelmann Simone Sommer

Last updated by author(s): Feb 3, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For a	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	\boxtimes	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	\boxtimes	A description of all covariates tested
	\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	\boxtimes	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	\boxtimes	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	\boxtimes	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code								
Data collection	MS Excel							
Data analysis	QIIME 2 (version 2020.8.0), R (version 3.6.1), Dendroscope (version 3.5.10).							

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Sequencing data are available on NCBI under the accession number PRJNA930758.

Field-specific reporting

Life sciences

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Behavioural & social sciences 🛛 🗙 Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	We investigated if accumulated microplastics are associated with changes in the proventricular and cloacal microbiomes in two seabird species that chronically ingest microplastics, northern fulmars (Fulmarus glacialis, n=27 individuals) and Cory's shearwaters (Calonectris borealis, n=58 individuals). Each bird was swabbed twice (with one exception) at the proventriculus (n = 85 microbiome proventricular samples) and cloacal (n = 84 cloacal microbiome samples). We modeled the interaction between microplastic count (scaled) and GIT location (either proventriculus or cloaca); the interaction between microplastic mass (scaled) and GIT location; the interaction between microplastic counts (scaled) and species plus the interaction between microplastic mass (scaled) and host bird species to test if any microplastics effects on the gut microbiome are GIT location robust species-specific.
Research sample	One population of northern fulmars (Fulmarus glacialis) collected near Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut, Canada and one population of Cory's shearwaters (Calonectris borealis) collected at the edge of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre on the Azores archipelago (Portugal), both with samples from both sexes. Northern fulmars were breeding adults and Cory's shearwaters were fledglings. These species were chosen because they are known to ingest microplatics. Moreover, the northern fulmar is a bioindicator of environmental microplastic pollution.
Sampling strategy	Collections of Cory's shearwaters were done during the take-off season when fledglings are known to collide with buildings and other manmade structures when abandoning the nest, often due to sensitivity towards artificial night light pollution, which can lead to death. Collections of northern fulmars were done during the breeding season, when they are more easily accessible for collection. Microbiome sampling was integrated into these two existing seabird monitoring and sampling projects to minimize the need for additional loss of life.
Data collection	Microbial swabs were collected during laboratory necroposies of the seabird individuals conducted by Yasmina Rodríguez, Jennifer F. Provencher, and Julia E. Baak.
Timing and spatial scale	Collections of northern fulmars were done during the breeding season between July and August 2018. Collections of Cory's shearwaters were done during the fledgling take-off season between October and November 2017 and 2018. Collected dates were determined by seabird breeding and take-off seasons and in order to maximize sample sizes.
Data exclusions	In R, we first explored the extraction and PCR blanks that contained 185 out of a total of 2,956 ASVs. Of these 185 ASVs, 93 were unique to the blanks and subsequently removed. Using the decontam package (version 1.4.0) with its prevalence-based contaminant identification and default threshold of 0.1, 18 additional ASVs were identified as possible contaminants and removed. We then considered samples with a sequencing depth of less than 2,900 reads as having failed and removed them and any ASVs unique to them from the dataset. Moreover, we applied a prevalence filter of 2% and an abundance filter of 10 reads across the whole dataset to remove very rare ASVs that are likely to be sequencing artifacts. This removed 254 ASVs from the dataset and deleted all ASVs from extraction and PCR blanks. Following filtering, our dataset consisted of 4,602,578 reads across 2,517 taxa and 169 samples, resulting in an average sequencing depth of 27,234 ± 5,999 reads per sample.
Reproducibility	All attempts to repeat the experiment were successful.
Randomization	Swab samples were extracted in a random order.
Blinding	Authors who collected swab samples did not conduct the microbiome analysis.
Did the study involve field	d work? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No

Field work, collection and transport

Field conditions	Seabirds were collected near Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut, Canada between July and August 2018 and at the edge of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre on the Azores archipelago (Portugal) between July and August 2018.			
Location	Sampling was conducted near Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut, Canada and at the edge of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre on the Azores archipelago (Portugal).			
Access & import/export	Northern fulmars were collected with animal care permits (Acadia University Animal Care Committee Permit 02-18), federal permits for work on seabirds and in National Wildlife Areas (ECCC NUN-NWA-18-02, NUN-SCI-18-02), and territorial permits (GN-WL-2018-004; NIRB-17YN069; NPC-148645). The dead corpses of shearwaters were collected within the framework the SOS Cagarro Campaign organized by the Direção Regional dos Assuntos do Mar (DRAM), with help from the Direção Regional do Ambiente (DRA) and the Parques Naturais de Ilha (PNI).			

Northern fulmars were collected while feeding away from breeding colonies in collaboration with local Inuit hunters near Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut. Cory's shearwaters were already dead upon collection.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems			Methods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study	
\boxtimes	Antibodies	\boxtimes	ChIP-seq	
\boxtimes	Eukaryotic cell lines	\boxtimes	Flow cytometry	
\boxtimes	Palaeontology and archaeology	\times	MRI-based neuroimaging	
	Animals and other organisms			
\boxtimes	Human research participants			
\boxtimes	Clinical data			
\boxtimes	Dual use research of concern			

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals	This study did not involve laboratory animals.			
Wild animals	Cory's shearwaters were already dead upon collection. Northern fulmars were shot using 12-gauge shotguns while feeding away from breeding colonies in collaboration with local Inuit hunters near Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut. Carcasses were transported in coolers until they could be stored in freezers. Both species had individuals from both sexes. Northern fulmars were breeding adults and Cory's shearwaters were fledglings.			
Field-collected samples	Seabird carcasses were transported in coolers until they could be stored in freezers.			
Ethics oversight	Northern fulmars were collected with animal care permits (Acadia University Animal Care Committee Permit 02-18), federal permits for work on seabirds and in National Wildlife Areas (ECCC NUN-NWA-18-02, NUN-SCI-18-02), and territorial permits (GN-WL-2018-004; NIRB-17YN069; NPC-148645). The dead corpses of shearwaters were collected within the framework the SOS Cagarro Campaign organized by the Direção Regional dos Assuntos do Mar (DRAM), with help from the Direção Regional do Ambiente (DRA) and the Parques Naturais de Ilha (PNI). Okeanos received national funds through the FCT – Foundation for Science and Technology, I.P., under the project UIDB/05634/2020 and UIDP/05634/2020 and through the Regional Government of the Azores (M1.1.A/ REEQ.CIENTÍFICO UI&D/2021/010). JFP and MLM were supported by the Northern Contaminants Program (Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada).			

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.