CASE REPORT OPEN Persistent *STAG2* mutation despite multimodal therapy in recurrent pediatric glioblastoma

Christopher S. Hong¹, Juan C. Vasquez², Adam J. Kundishora ^[b], Aladine A. Elsamadicy¹, Jason M. Beckta³, Amrita Sule ^[b], Asher M. Marks², Nalin Leelatian⁴, Anita Huttner⁴, Ranjit S. Bindra³, Michael L. DiLuna¹, Kristopher T. Kahle ^[b] and E. Zeynep Erson-Omay¹[⊠]

Similar to their adult counterparts, the prognosis for pediatric patients with high-grade gliomas remains poor. At time of recurrence, treatment options are limited and remain without consensus. This report describes the genetic findings, obtained from wholeexome sequencing of a pediatric patient with glioblastoma who underwent multiple surgical resections and treatment with standard chemoradiation, as well as a novel recombinant poliovirus vaccine therapy. Strikingly, despite the variety of treatments, there was persistence of a tumor clone, characterized by a deleterious *STAG2* mutation, whose deficiency in preclinical studies can cause aneuploidy and aberrant mitotic progression, but remains understudied in the clinical setting. There was near elimination of an *EGFR* mutated and amplified tumor clone after gross total resection, standard chemoradiation, and poliovirus therapy, followed by the emergence of a persistently *STAG2* mutated clone, with rare mutations in *PTPN11* and *BRAF*, the latter composed of a novel deleterious mutation previously not reported in pediatric glioblastoma (p.D594G). This was accompanied by a mutation signature shift towards one characterized by increased DNA damage repair defects, consistent with the known underlying *STAG2* deficiency. As such, this case represents a novel report following the clinical and genetic progression of a *STAG2* mutated glioblastoma, including treatment with a novel and emerging immunotherapy. Although *STAG2* deficiency comprises only a small subset of gliomas, this case adds clinical evidence to existing preclinical data supporting a role for *STAG2* mutations in gliomagenesis and resistance to standard therapies.

npj Genomic Medicine (2020)5:23; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41525-020-0130-7

INTRODUCTION

High-grade gliomas in the pediatric population represent approximately 6.5% of all newly diagnosed childhood brain tumors¹. Similar to adults, prognosis remains poor with 5-year survival rates under 20%². Standard of care therapy is comprised of maximal safe surgical resection followed by adjuvant temozolomide and radiation therapy (RT), based on the Stupp protocol originally established in the adult setting³. Despite prior clinical trials showing varying degrees of therapeutic efficacy, there are no standard treatment options at time of recurrence⁴.

Stromal Antigen-2 (*STAG2*) encodes a subunit within the cohesin complex, whose inactivation has been shown to cause aneuploidy through sister chromatid cohesion, and increased DNA damage that may promote further mutagenesis^{5,6}. While *STAG2* deficiency characterizes a small subset of glioblastoma, increasing preclinical evidence supports a driver role of this mutation in tumor formation and resistance to standard therapies in isogenic STAG2 deficient cell lines^{7,8}.

In this report, we describe the genetic evolution of a glioblastoma in a pediatric patient who underwent standard chemoradiation followed by recombinant poliovirus therapy as a part of his treatment regimen (Fig. 1). Whole-exome sequencing (WES) of surgically resected specimens at time of diagnosis and subsequent multiple recurrences revealed striking persistence of the *STAG2* mutation, accompanied by a shift towards a mutational signature that is seen in cancers with DNA repair defects, and the emergence of new secondary mutations, including an inactivating,

non-V600E (i.e., class III) *BRAF* mutation⁹, previously not reported in pediatric glioma.

RESULTS

Case description

A 14-year-old male with no past medical history was brought to an outside hospital with an acute episode of confusion and nonsensical speech in the context of progressively worsening headaches. Computed tomography (CT) demonstrated a left temporal parenchymal hematoma with surrounding edema effacing the temporal horn. He suffered a generalized seizure necessitating intubation and was transferred to our institution for further care. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with MR angiography showed a 2.2 cm enhancing predominantly cystic lesion adjacent to the hematoma and within the left anterior temporal pole, concerning for an underlying neoplasm (Supplementary Fig. 1a, b). He was subsequently extubated and underwent functional MRI to localize language function, which did not reveal tumor involvement of the language area, prior to resection of the lesion. Intraoperatively, a well-demarcated plane was observed between the tumor capsule and edematous white matter, and a gross total resection (GTR) was achieved. Final pathology was consistent with WHO grade IV glioblastoma (Supplementary Fig. 2a) with a Ki67% index over 20%. Routine genetic and molecular analyses demonstrated IDH1 and ATRX wild-type status, intact 1p/19q chromosomal arms, and partial

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ²Department of Pediatrics, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ³Department of Therapeutic Radiology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ⁴Department of Pathology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ^{Semilic} zeynep. erson@yale.edu



Fig. 1 Clinical progression of the index case. A timeline of the patient's clinical course is shown with representative imaging at the top and corresponding medical and radiation treatments below.

MGMT methylation. The patient recovered uneventfully from surgery and underwent adjuvant RT (5940 cGy delivered in 33 fractions) with concurrent temozolomide (90 mg/m²).

After completion of chemoradiation, surveillance imaging obtained 3 months after surgery showed progressive FLAIR abnormalities along the anterior left temporal lobe, albeit without new nodular enhancement, concerning for progression. Subsequently, the decision was made to give maintenance chemotherapy with lomustine and temozolomide per ACNS0423¹⁰. Nine months after surgery and four cycles of maintenance chemotherapy, repeat imaging demonstrated new nodular enhancement within the inferior aspect of the resection bed with mild surrounding FLAIR abnormalities (Supplementary Fig. 1c, d). At this point, he was referred to an outside institution for consideration of immunotherapy trials, and he subsequently underwent recombinant poliovirus therapy via convection-enhanced delivery. Subsequent surveillance imaging did not demonstrate regression of enhancing disease.

Three months after receiving the poliovirus, he presented with acute worsening of headaches that had progressed over the past few weeks despite an otherwise neurologically intact exam. Repeat MRI showed an increased size of the rim-enhancing mass measuring up to 5.3 cm with a prominent cystic component (Supplementary Fig. 1e, f). After multidisciplinary discussion including from the outside institution where he underwent poliovirus therapy, the patient underwent repeat surgery for cyst decompression and GTR of the solid superior and posterior enhancing nodules along the cyst wall. Pathology redemonstrated recurrent glioblastoma (Supplementary Fig. 2b) with marked absence of any immune inflammatory response (Supplementary Fig. 3). Postoperatively, he recovered uneventfully but within weeks after surgery developed worsening headaches accompanied by radiographic evidence of increased peri-tumoral edema, which improved with corticosteroid therapy. Subsequently, the decision was made to initiate bevacizumab therapy (7.5 mg/kg every 3 weeks) to treat the inflammation, and to facilitate steroid taper. However, on repeat imaging 2 months after starting bevacizumab therapy, there was evidence of a recurrent enhancement within the resection bed, as well as a new focus of enhancement within the occipital lobe with signs of internal hemorrhage, prompting cessation of bevacizumab therapy (Supplementary Fig. 1g, h). Within days of stopping treatment, the patient became acutely obtunded and was found to have significant intratumoral hemorrhages within the temporal and occipital lobes (Supplementary Fig. 1i, j), requiring emergent decompressive hemicraniectomy and hematoma evacuation. Pathology showed areas of viable tumor within largely hemorrhagic material (Supplementary Fig. 2c). He was discharged to a rehabilitation center with significant right-sided hemiplegia.

One month after surgery, the patient underwent radiation (3500 cGv delivered in ten fractions) to the new occipital focus, as well as the previous temporal bed, but he continued to suffer persistent headaches. Repeat imaging one month after completing RT showed a growing left temporal tumor-related cyst, and due to the severity of his symptoms, he underwent a fourth surgery for left temporal lobectomy and tumor cyst fenestration into the basal cisterns with histopathological confirmation of progressive tumor (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Within days after discharge, he represented with concern for cerebrospinal fluid leakage from his incision. This was managed conservatively with lumbar drainage, but his hospital course was significant for repeat surveillance imaging demonstrating significant growth of the tumor from the temporal focus, crossing over the corpus callosum into the contralateral hemisphere (Supplementary Fig. 1k, I). After extensive discussions, the decision was made to cease further invasive interventions, and the patient went home with hospice services. He passed away within one month after discharge.

Genomic analysis

WES was performed on tumor samples from the first, second, and the fourth surgeries, together with matching normal blood to identify somatic single nucleotide variations (SNV), insertion/ deletions (INDEL), and copy-number variations (CNV). Inadequate specimen was available from the third surgery (emergent decompressive hemicraniectomy) for genetic analysis. Tumor purities for these 3 tumors were computationally predicted as 98.5%, 97.5%, and 73.8%, for specimen from surgery 1, surgery 2, and surgery 4, respectively. The CNV profile for the specimens from the second and fourth surgeries were similar, while specimens from the first surgery harbored additional alterations including large scale amplification on chromosome 7, including the amplification of EGFR, that was lost prior to the second surgery (Fig. 2a). Other CNV events, such as the amplification on chromosome 1g, deletion of chromosomes 8,11p, 15, 16, and 19 were lost after the first tumor resection. There was also emergence



Fig. 2 Genomic analysis. a CNV of the tumor from the first and second surgeries, in the upper and lower panel respectively, depict the loss of amplification on chromosome 7, beside other events. **b** Representation of clonal evolution of tumors from three distinct surgeries using fishplot⁴⁶, where the width of each different colored clone represents clonal fraction at the given timepoint, in relationship to the patient's surgeries and treatments. **c** Mutation signatures of three specimens represent the most aberrant COSMIC signatures. **d** Distribution of 96 mutation signatures of all specimens.

of other CNV events in the specimen from second surgery, such as deletions on chromosomes 3, 4, 20, and 22 as well as amplifications on chromosomes 6 (focal), 11q and 12 (focal) depicting the complex evolution of the tumor under the progression and treatment pressure. Interestingly, focal amplification on chromosome 12 overlapped with CDK4 gene, which was previously reported to be amplified with high ploidy in gliomas¹¹. Longitudinal analysis of the somatic SNVs/INDELs in all three specimens revealed a monoclonal cancer formation model (Fig. 2b), where throughout disease progression a single clone was preserved; driven by a stop-gain mutation on *STAG2* gene (p.

K554X). *STAG2* encodes a subunit of a cohesin complex, and its targeted inactivation has been shown to cause aneuploidy through sister chromatid cohesion⁵. Further analysis of the clonal evolution of these three specimens, revealed a branching structure, where a clone harboring an activating *EGFR* (p. A289D) mutation overlapping with chromosome 7 amplification was lost during disease progression. Specimen from the second surgery also harbored a private clone composed of mutations not shared by other specimens, including *TP53* (p.R43H) and *PTPN11* (p.E69K). The loss of a clonal mutation cluster and emergence of new ones when comparing specimens from the first to second

surgeries, depict how the tumor evolved under the pressure of treatment from first-line temozolomide and radiation, salvage therapy with lomustine and temozolomide, and lastly with the recombinant poliovirus therapy. Interestingly, the specimen from the last surgery had a new emerging clone, harboring the oncogenic *BRAF* (D594G) mutation, which is categorized as class III *BRAF* mutation with impaired kinase activity and dependency to RAS activation⁹. Even though this mutation was subclonal, this indicated the tumor was still evolving in addition to preserving the *STAG2* mutation present in the founding clone.

We also performed mutational signature analysis on somatic SNV and INDEL data and investigated the enrichment of wellestablished cancer signatures¹². Based on this analysis, signature-1 was the most aberrant signature across all specimens as expected, which has been seen across all cancer types (Fig. 2c, d). However, interestingly this analysis clustered specimens from the second and fourth surgeries together, consistent with the clonality analysis, showing that these tumors genomically diverged from the first surgery specimen. Signature 3 was the second most frequent signature in specimens from the second and fourth surgeries (Fig. 2c, d). Signature 3 has been shown to correlate with defects in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair by homologous recombination (HR), and reported frequently in BRCA-mutated breast, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers¹². This finding was consistent with the clonal evolution of the somatic mutations, as with the loss of a major clone harboring EGFR, the major oncogenic clone in specimens from the second and fourth surgeries still harbored the STAG2 mutation, whose normal function in addition to maintaining sister chromatid cohesion, involves localization to DNA DSBs to repress aberrant transcription^{13,14}, as well as signaling and recruitment of DNA damage checkpoint proteins^{15–18}

To further investigate the prevalence of *STAG2* mutations in glioblastoma, we investigated the TCGA database, which revealed *STAG2* as one of the 71 significantly mutated genes in 291 adult cases¹⁹. In a recent study of 326 pediatric high-grade gliomas, there were 3 cases with *STAG2* alterations, only one of which was a truncating mutation $(<1\%)^{20}$. We also investigated the current TCGA PanCancer Atlas cohort, where we identified 18 (14 truncating) *STAG2* mutant cases, out of 355 IDH1 wild-type glioblastomas (5.3%). Subsequently, we performed mutational signature analysis on these cases to compare to the COSMIC mutation signature in almost all adult cases was signature 1, with only one case showing a dominant signature-3; similar to the distinction between the primary tumor and two recurrences in the presented case (Supplementary Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Like in the adult population, treatment for recurrent pediatric glioblastoma remains limited and as such, our patient underwent multiple forms of medical therapy and re-irradiation prior to his passing. Specimens acquired from his multiple surgeries allowed us to characterize the genetic evolution of his tumor, including in response to certain treatments. Between his first and second surgeries, we observed near abolishment of the EGFR-mutated and amplified clone that was present in the original tumor. It is not possible to attribute the loss of this tumor clone to any particular therapy, as our patient underwent initial temozolomide and radiation, followed by lomustine and temozolomide, and lastly delivery of the recombinant poliovirus, all between his first and second surgeries. With subsequent resections, new tumor clonal populations emerged, harboring pathogenic loss-of-function mutations in TP53 and PTPN11, and later, a key mutation in the oncogene, BRAF. However, through multiple recurrences, a key mutation in STAG2 that was present in the initial specimen, persisted despite multimodal therapies, raising the possibility that the *STAG2* mutation was an early mutagenic event with potential tumor-driving activity.

STAG2 encodes a protein integral to the cohesin complex, which has a key role in sister chromatid cohesion during mitosis^{21,22}. Although there has been little correlation between aneuploidy and STAG2 deficiency in naturally occurring human tumors²³, defects in the cohesin complex secondary to STAG2 inactivation has been shown to cause aneuploidy in human cancer lines⁵. In normal cells, STAG2 inactivation leads to stalling and collapse of replication forks, subsequent DNA DSBs, followed by checkpoint activation and cellular senescence. In STAG2 deficient tumor cells, the incurred DNA DSBs may promote further mutagenesis and tumor formation, particularly in TP53 deficient cells in which checkpoint activation is avoided⁶. STAG2 mutations have been reported in a variety of cancers, most frequently with robust preclinical and clinical data in Ewing sarcoma and urothelial carcinoma^{24,25}. Within glioblastoma, approximately 4-6% of tumors harbor STAG2 mutations, according to large-scale genomic databases such as TCGA and COSMIC^{19,26}. To our knowledge, our case is the first reported case of STAG2 mutation in pediatric glioblastoma. We hypothesize the STAG2 mutation was key in promoting a defective DNA damage repair phenotype, contributing to further genetic changes and emergence of new tumor clones. This was corroborated by our mutational signature analysis, which revealed development of a cancer signature, characterized by DNA damage repair defects, and typically associated with BRCA mutations. Additional mutational signature analysis of 18 samples from the TCGA cohort revealed a predominance of signature 1 with only one case showing a dominant signature 3. However, these samples were all obtained at time of initial resection, raising the possibility that after standard chemoradiation and as observed in our patient, emerging tumor clones may exhibit greater DNA damage repair defects, consistent with mutational signature 3. However, it should be noted that there are some limitations to the mutational signature analysis, as only a few of them are suggestive of etiology or biological mechanisms. Having said that, for the signatures that are consistently shown to be related to certain mechanisms, such as signature 3 being attributed to DNA double strand break repair by HR, this analysis provides supportive data to show the impact of a proposed mechanism on mutation profiles. Further genetic characterization of recurrent STAG2 deficient glioblastomas is needed to answer this question.

While the clinical significance of STAG2 deficiency in human cancers remains under investigation, preclinical data have shown a synthetic lethality conferred by STAG2 mutations with DNA DSB repair genes, including increased sensitivity to PARP and ATR inhibitors^{6,27}. This has been previously studied in other biomarkers of defective DNA damage repair, including IDH1/2 mutational status and MGMT promoter methylation²⁸⁻³⁰. On the other hand, STAG2 deficient cancer cells have also been shown to exhibit increased sensitivity in vitro to ionizing radiation and traditional chemotherapeutic agents, including temozolomide⁶, which contrasts with the aggressive clinical course of our patient. Perhaps, enhanced mutagenesis secondary to an unstable genome from STAG2 deficiency may have led to additional mutations promoting resistance to standard chemoradiation, highlighting the complexities in translating preclinical studies to clinical practice. Further data demonstrating exploitation of defective DSB repair with targeted DNA damage repair inhibitors may lead to similar clinical studies in STAG2 defective tumors and also delineate mechanisms of resistance to standard chemoradiation in STAG2 deficient glioblastoma.

Immunotherapies have emerged in glioma treatment as a promising therapeutic approach for advanced, progressive disease, including use of the recombinant poliovirus, as seen in our patient. Desjardins et al. published their results of a dose-finding and toxicity study for adult patients with recurrent glioblastoma who underwent convection-enhanced, intratumoral delivery of

the recombinant nonpathogenic polio-rhinovirus chimera³¹

which recognizes the poliovirus receptor CD155 that is expressed

in many different tumors, including glioblastoma^{32,33}. Over a 5

year period, 61 patients were enrolled in the study, and the

treatment was generally well-tolerated with the majority of

patients experiencing only grade 1 or grade 2 events as their

most severe adverse event and no evidence of viral neuropatho-

genicity or virus shedding. Median overall survival among patients

receiving recombinant poliovirus was 12.5 months, compared to

11.3 months in the historical control group. Furthermore, survival

at 24 and 36 months plateaued at 21% in patients treated with the

recombinant poliovirus, compared to a progressive decline of 14%

and 4% in the historical controls. Currently, there is an ongoing

phase 2 randomized trial of the recombinant poliovirus alone or in

combination with lomustine in patients with recurrent glioblas-

toma (NCT02986178). Although our patient was not enrolled in

the original clinical trial, given his pediatric age, an additional

novelty of this report lies in the genetic characterization of tumor

changes after administration of the recombinant poliovirus

therapy. However, considering other chemotherapies and radia-

tion were also implemented between the first and second

surgeries, it is not possible to attribute the changes in the genetic

landscape of the tumor at time of first recurrence to the poliovirus

treatment alone. Additionally, the investigation of the tumoral

response to the recombinant poliovirus was limited only to

routine immunohistochemistry for standard immune markers. A

more in-depth analysis such as sequencing of the immune

infiltrate may have provided further insight into the patient's

response to immunotherapy. Taken together, further studies are

needed to delineate the clonal populations within glioblastoma

that may resist recombinant poliovirus therapy and lead to clinical

populations after chemoradiation and recombinant poliovirus

therapy, a third distinct tumor clone developed, present in the

resected specimen from the fourth surgery and defined by the

BRAF D594G mutation. BRAF is a known oncogene, whose

mutation most frequently at the V600 hotspot drives constitutive

activation of the MAP kinase/ERK signaling pathway, and has been

well-characterized in multiple cancers including melanoma,

thyroid cancer, lung cancer and brain tumors including glioblastoma and pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma³⁴. Mutations at the D594 site are far less common, rarely reported in melanoma³⁵ but more frequently in lung and colorectal cancers^{9,36}. Unlike the V600

mutation, the D594 mutation, one of oncogenic class III BRAF

mutations, is kinase-impairing and may drive ERK signaling

through a complex with CRAF leading to hyperactivation of the

CRAF/MEK/ERK cascade and may subsequently be more sensitive

to MEK inhibitors³⁷. Within pediatric gliomas, BRAF mutations have

only been reported at the V600 hotspot^{38–40} whose therapeutic inhibition has been studied in clinical trials with varying degrees

of efficacy^{41,42}. As such, this case represents the first report of a

non-V600 BRAF mutation in pediatric glioma. While this clone was

only detected after the patient's fourth surgery, which included re-

irriadiation after recombinant poliovirus administration, it is

plausible that the clone may have existed in lower numbers at

the time of second and third surgeries, at levels too low to detect

with routine WES. Therapeutically, targeting the kinase-dead V594

mutant BRAF mutation may not be effective with direct BRAF

inhibitors; however it is reported that cases with class III BRAF

mutations may be sensitive to MEK inhibitors that inhibit downstream signaling³⁷ and also to combination therapies with

EGFR inhibitors targeting the RAS dependency⁹. Interestingly, RAS

dependency in class III BRAF mutated lung and colorectal cancers

required activation of receptor tyrosine kinases⁹, which may have

been the case in our patient where receptor tyrosine kinase

activation was mediated through the EGFR mutation and

In addition to emergence of the aforementioned subclonal

progression.

amplification observed in the specimen from the first surgery. Although the *BRAF* mutation was not detected until later on in the clinical course, it is plausible that the *BRAF* mutated clone may have existed in lower numbers at earlier resections at levels too low to detect with routine WES. Further studies are needed to determine the clinical significance of non-V600 mutations in pediatric glioma and their potential for therapeutic targeting.

The striking clonal divergence among these three tumors with eradication and emergence of clinically significant sub clones is perceived from the temporal dimension by three distinct time point sampling. However, we should emphasize that our understanding of this complex evolution of the tumor would have benefitted immensely by the spatial data as well. However, due to clinical urgencies surrounding the patient's subsequent surgeries, there was only limited specimen available only from a single sector of the tumor, limiting the possibility of the multiregion analysis.

In summary, we report the genetic alterations of a STAG2 deficient pediatric glioblastoma after undergoing first-line temozolomide and radiation, salvage lomustine and temozolomide, recombinant poliovirus treatment, and lastly re-irradiation. The STAG2 mutation was persistent across all specimens, signifying a potential key role for STAG2 deficiency in gliomagenesis, including a role in promotion of further genetic alterations that may drive tumor progression. In our patient, this included development of a non-V600, D594G BRAF mutation, previously uncharacterized in pediatric glioma, whose kinase-activating properties may be sensitive to MEK inhibition. Additionally, we found a striking elimination of the EGFR-mutated and amplified tumor clone after first-line chemoradiation followed by novel recombinant poliovirus therapy and subsequent emergence of a new clonal population, characterized by a DNA damage repair defect signature. With increasing preclinical evidence of STAG2 deficiency playing a key role in tumor formation, further genetic characterization of STAG2 mutated gliomas after standard-of-care surgical and medical therapies are needed to understand the genetic evolution of these tumors and potential mechanisms of resistance. This study also emphasizes the importance of comparative genomic characterization throughout the disease progression, to better understand the drivers and how they evolve especially in highly heterogenous tumors such as glioblastoma.

METHODS

Patient approval

This study was approved by Yale University's Human Investigations Committee and Human Research Protection Program. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the patient. Specimens from resected tumors were evaluated microscopically by a board-certified neuropathologist.

Whole exome sequencing and analysis

Genomic DNA from the resected tumor specimens and blood were isolated, and exome capture was performed with IDT xGen Exome Research Panel v1 with the additional spike-in of ~2500 regions totaling ~620 kb of RefGene coding regions. Sequencing was performed at Yale Center for Genome Analysis (YCGA) using Illumina NovaSeq6000 with 2×100 bp reads. We achieved mean coverage of 207×, 286.7×, and 306.9× for tumors from surgery 1, surgery 2, and surgery 4, respectively. Mean coverage of 133.6× was achieved for matching blood (Supplementary Table 1). Processing of the raw reads, alignment, polymerase chain reaction duplicate identification, re-alignment, base quality score recalibration, somatic variant calling and annotation was performed as previously described in reports from our institution⁴³. For SNV/INDELs, matching normal data was used to perform somatic analysis. Copy number alterations were identified following the guidelines in the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) Best Practices. For Somatic CNV identification, we have utilized the panel of normal controls from the Yale Brain Tumor WES cohort, instead of just using the corresponding matching normal data.

Mutation signature analysis for both the patient data and the TCGA data was performed using MutationalPatterns R package⁴⁴. Clonality analysis on the somatic SNV/INDELs for all three specimens was performed using ClonEvol package⁴⁵ to calculate the cellular fraction in a CNV sensitive manner, to identify clones, and to infer the tumor phylogeny. Visualization of the inferred phylogeny was performed using fishplot R package⁴⁶.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The somatic variant call files (vcf) for all three tumors are submitted to European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA) with accession number EGAS00001004340.

Received: 24 January 2020; Accepted: 20 April 2020; Published online: 01 June 2020

REFERENCES

- Tamber, M. S. & Rutka, J. T. Pediatric supratentorial high-grade gliomas. *Neuro-surg. Focus* 14, e1 (2003).
- Braunstein, S., Raleigh, D., Bindra, R., Mueller, S. & Haas-Kogan, D. Pediatric highgrade glioma: current molecular landscape and therapeutic approaches. *J. Neurooncol.* **134**, 541–549 (2017).
- Stupp, R. et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 352, 987–996 (2005).
- Guerra-Garcia, P. et al. Challenging the indiscriminate use of temozolomide in pediatric high-grade gliomas: a review of past, current, and emerging therapies. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 67, e28011 (2020).
- 5. Solomon, D. A. et al. Mutational inactivation of STAG2 causes aneuploidy in human cancer. *Science* **333**, 1039–1043 (2011).
- Mondal, G., Stevers, M., Goode, B., Ashworth, A. & Solomon, D. A. A requirement for STAG2 in replication fork progression creates a targetable synthetic lethality in cohesin-mutant cancers. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1686 (2019).
- Nie, Z. et al. STAG2 loss-of-function mutation induces PD-L1 expression in U2OS cells. Ann. Transl. Med. 7, 127 (2019).
- Shen, C. H. et al. Loss of cohesin complex components STAG2 or STAG3 confers resistance to BRAF inhibition in melanoma. *Nat. Med.* 22, 1056–1061 (2016).
- Yao, Z. et al. Tumours with class 3 BRAF mutants are sensitive to the inhibition of activated RAS. *Nature* 548, 234–238 (2017).
- Jakacki, R. I. et al. Phase 2 study of concurrent radiotherapy and temozolomide followed by temozolomide and lomustine in the treatment of children with highgrade glioma: a report of the Children's Oncology Group ACNS0423 study. *Neuro Oncol.* 18, 1442–1450 (2016).
- deCarvalho, A. C. et al. Discordant inheritance of chromosomal and extrachromosomal DNA elements contributes to dynamic disease evolution in glioblastoma. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 708–717 (2018).
- Alexandrov, L. B. et al. Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature 500, 415–421 (2013).
- Meisenberg, C. et al. Repression of transcription at DNA breaks requires cohesin throughout interphase and prevents genome instability. *Mol. Cell* 73, 212–223 e217 (2019).
- Bauerschmidt, C. et al. Cohesin promotes the repair of ionizing radiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks in replicated chromatin. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, 477–487 (2010).
- Gelot, C. et al. The cohesin complex prevents the end joining of distant DNA double-strand ends. *Mol. Cell* 61, 15–26 (2016).
- Kong, X. et al. Distinct functions of human cohesin-SA1 and cohesin-SA2 in double-strand break repair. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 34, 685–698 (2014).
- Luo, H. et al. Regulation of intra-S phase checkpoint by ionizing radiation (IR)dependent and IR-independent phosphorylation of SMC3. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 19176–19183 (2008).
- Watrin, E. & Peters, J. M. The cohesin complex is required for the DNA damageinduced G2/M checkpoint in mammalian cells. *EMBO J.* 28, 2625–2635 (2009).
- Brennan, C. W. et al. The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. *Cell* 155, 462–477 (2013).
- Mackay, A. et al. Integrated molecular meta-analysis of 1,000 pediatric high-grade and diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. *Cancer Cell* 32, 520–537 e525 (2017).
- Nasmyth, K. & Haering, C. H. Cohesin: its roles and mechanisms. Annu Rev. Genet 43, 525–558 (2009).

- Dorsett, D. & Strom, L. The ancient and evolving roles of cohesin in gene expression and DNA repair. *Curr. Biol.* 22, R240–250 (2012).
- Kim, J. S. et al. Intact cohesion, anaphase, and chromosome segregation in human cells harboring tumor-derived mutations in STAG2. *PLoS Genet* 12, e1005865 (2016).
- Tirode, F. et al. Genomic landscape of Ewing sarcoma defines an aggressive subtype with co-association of STAG2 and TP53 mutations. *Cancer Discov.* 4, 1342–1353 (2014).
- Solomon, D. A. et al. Frequent truncating mutations of STAG2 in bladder cancer. Nat. Genet. 45, 1428–1430 (2013).
- Forbes, S. A. et al. COSMIC: exploring the world's knowledge of somatic mutations in human cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D805–811 (2015).
- Bailey, M. L. et al. Glioblastoma cells containing mutations in the cohesin component STAG2 are sensitive to PARP inhibition. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 13, 724–732 (2014).
- Sulkowski, P. L. *et al.* 2-Hydroxyglutarate produced by neomorphic IDH mutations suppresses homologous recombination and induces PARP inhibitor sensitivity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aal2463 (2017).
- Lu, Y. et al. Chemosensitivity of IDH1-mutated gliomas due to an impairment in PARP1-mediated DNA repair. *Cancer Res.* 77, 1709–1718 (2017).
- Jackson, C. B. et al. Temozolomide sensitizes MGMT-deficient tumor cells to ATR inhibitors. *Cancer Res.* 79, 4331–4338 (2019).
- Desjardins, A. et al. Recurrent glioblastoma treated with recombinant poliovirus. N. Engl. J. Med. 379, 150–161 (2018).
- Takai, Y., Miyoshi, J., Ikeda, W. & Ogita, H. Nectins and nectin-like molecules: roles in contact inhibition of cell movement and proliferation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9, 603–615 (2008).
- Chandramohan, V. et al. Validation of an immunohistochemistry assay for detection of CD155, the poliovirus receptor, in malignant gliomas. *Arch. Pathol. Lab Med.* 141, 1697–1704 (2017).
- Davies, H. et al. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature 417, 949–954 (2002).
- Wu, X. et al. Mutations in BRAF codons 594 and 596 predict good prognosis in melanoma. Oncol. Lett. 14, 3601–3605 (2017).
- Litvak, A. M. et al. Clinical characteristics and course of 63 patients with BRAF mutant lung cancers. J. Thorac. Oncol. 9, 1669–1674 (2014).
- Heidorn, S. J. et al. Kinase-dead BRAF and oncogenic RAS cooperate to drive tumor progression through CRAF. *Cell* **140**, 209–221 (2010).
- Toll, S. A. et al. Sustained response of three pediatric BRAF(V600E) mutated highgrade gliomas to combined BRAF and MEK inhibitor therapy. *Oncotarget* 10, 551–557 (2019).
- Schindler, G. et al. Analysis of BRAF V600E mutation in 1,320 nervous system tumors reveals high mutation frequencies in pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma, ganglioglioma and extra-cerebellar pilocytic astrocytoma. *Acta Neuropathol.* 121, 397–405 (2011).
- Schiffman, J. D. et al. Oncogenic BRAF mutation with CDKN2A inactivation is characteristic of a subset of pediatric malignant astrocytomas. *Cancer Res.* 70, 512–519 (2010).
- Kaley, T. et al. BRAF inhibition in BRAF(V600)-mutant gliomas: results from the VE-BASKET study. J. Clin. Oncol. 36, 3477–3484 (2018).
- Hargrave, D. R. et al. Efficacy and safety of dabrafenib in pediatric patients with braf v600 mutation-positive relapsed or refractory low-grade glioma: results from a phase I/lla study. *Clin. Cancer Res.* https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-2177 (2019).
- Fomchenko, E. I. et al. Genomic alterations underlying spinal metastases in pediatric H3K27M-mutant pineal parenchymal tumor of intermediate differentiation: case report. J. Neurosurg. Pediatr. 25, 121–130 (2019).
- Blokzijl, F., Janssen, R., van Boxtel, R. & Cuppen, E. MutationalPatterns: comprehensive genome-wide analysis of mutational processes. *Genome Med.* 10, 33 (2018).
- Dang, H. X. et al. ClonEvol: clonal ordering and visualization in cancer sequencing. Ann. Oncol. 28, 3076–3082 (2017).
- Miller, C. A. et al. Visualizing tumor evolution with the fishplot package for R. BMC Genomics 17, 880 (2016).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.S.H., J.C.V., R.S.B., M.L.D., K.T.K., and E.Z.E. drafted the paper. C.S.H., J.C.V., A.J.K., A.A. E., J.M.B., A.M.M., N.L., A.H., R.S.B., M.L.D., and K.T.K. were involved in the clinical care of the patient and provided relevant material. C.S.H., J.C.V., A.J.K., A.A.E., J.M.B., A.S., A.H., R.S.B., and E.Z.E. analyzed the data. All authors critically reviewed and revised the paper, including final approval of the completed version. C.S.H., R.S.B., M.L.D., K.T.K., and E.Z.E. conceived and supervised the study.

6

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41525-020-0130-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.Z.E.-O.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

C.S. Hong et al.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020