ARTICLE OPEN

Ab initio modeling of the energy landscape for screw dislocations in body-centered cubic high-entropy alloys

Sheng Yin^{1,2}, Jun Ding², Mark Asta^{1,2}✉ and Robert O. Ritchie^{1,2}✉

In traditional body-centered cubic (bcc) metals, the core properties of screw dislocations play a critical role in plastic deformation at low temperatures. Recently, much attention has been focused on refractory high-entropy alloys (RHEAs), which also possess bcc crystal structures. However, unlike face-centered cubic high-entropy alloys (HEAs), there have been far fewer investigations into bcc HEAs, specifically on the possible effects of chemical short-range order (SRO) in these multiple principal element alloys on dislocation mobility. Here, using density functional theory, we investigate the distribution of dislocation core properties in MoNbTaW RHEAs alloys, and how they are influenced by SRO. The average values of the core energies in the RHEA are found to be larger than those in the corresponding pure constituent bcc metals, and are relatively insensitive to the degree of SRO. However, the presence of SRO is shown to have a large effect on narrowing the distribution of dislocation core energies and decreasing the spatial heterogeneity of dislocation core energies in the RHEA. It is argued that the consequences of the mechanical behavior of HEAs is a change in the energy landscape of the dislocations, which would likely heterogeneously inhibit their motion.

npj Computational Materials (2020)6:110; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-020-00377-5>

INTRODUCTION

Previous investigation of the fundamentals of deformation in body-centered cubic (bcc) transition metals have revealed that the core properties of the $\frac{1}{2}\langle 111 \rangle$ screw dislocations play an essential role in their plasticity¹, especially at low temperatures where the deformation is thermally activated through the kink-pair nucleation mechanism², and is expected to be strongly temperature dependent. The high lattice friction associated with such screw dislocation motion is a result of nonplanar core structure^{1,3} and is related to the height of the Peierls potential⁴.

Due to the importance for plastic deformation, extensive atomistic simulation studies have been devoted to computing core structures and corresponding mobilities of screw dislocations in bcc transition metals^{3,5–8}. In these studies, one of the significant challenges has been the variation in properties derived from different models for the interatomic potentials. For example, early studies based on classical potential models often predicted a metastable split core structure^{9–11}, which leads to a camel-hump shape in the Peierls potential. Later density functional theory (DFT) calculations produced symmetric and compact dislocation cores in Mo, Ta, and Fe^{12–16}; similar compact cores have been found in other bcc transition metals, such as W, Nb, and V^{17,18}. In DFT studies of the energy landscape of screw dislocations in bcc transition metals^{18–20}, it was found that nondegenerate cores lead to a single humped curve in the Peierls potential, implying that the split core structure might not be metastable. Alloying effects on the Peierls potential of W have also been explored²¹. Recently developed machine learning-based potentials^{22–24} and new embedded atom method potentials that consider quantum effects on lattice vibrations²⁵ and extra constraints²⁶ all lead to predictions of a single humped curve in the Peierls potential. Due to the dependence of the results for screw dislocations in bcc transition metals on the model for interatomic bonding, DFT-based approaches are of interest to provide benchmarks for subsequent modeling at higher scales.

During the past 15 years, a new class of alloys known as high-entropy alloys (HEAs)^{27,28} has drawn extensive research interest. These alloys involve multiple principal elements (typically five) in nominally equimolar ratios, and were originally presumed to crystallize as a single-phase solid solution. As a new class of structural materials, some types of HEAs, in particular the CrCoNi-based alloys, have been shown to possess exceptional damage tolerance and improved strength at cryogenic temperatures^{29,30}. Theoretically, mechanistic, first-principles-based predictive theories for the temperature, composition, and strain rate dependence of the plastic yield strength have been developed and applied to such face-centered cubic (fcc) alloys^{31–33}. Indeed, most HEA research to date has been focused on these fcc “Cantor-type” alloys^{34,35}, whereas a second distinct family of HEAs, comprising mostly refractory elements, has been far less studied. Such refractory high-entropy alloys (RHEAs), which are sometimes termed Senkov alloys^{36,37}, invariably crystallize in bcc solid solution phases that have been designed for elevated temperature applications³⁸.

For example, RHEAs such as MoNbTaW with single-phase bcc crystal structures have been produced by vacuum arc melting³⁷ or direct metal deposition³⁹ with exceptional microhardness³⁶, as well as excellent compression yield strength and good ductility at high temperatures³⁷. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies on RHEAs have shown a dominant role of screw dislocations with increasing plastic strain^{40,41}, similar to traditional bcc metals. Additionally, strong intrinsic lattice resistance has been found in certain RHEAs^{41,42}. To model such behavior, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have been used to study dislocation behavior in bcc RHEAs⁴³. For example, screw dislocation core structures in NbTiZr, Nb_{1.5}TiZr_{0.5}, and Nb_{0.5}TiZr_{1.5} alloys were recently explored using MD simulations, and significant core structure variation was found along the dislocation line⁴⁴. Recent theory has revealed the potential importance of edge dislocations in controlling the strength of bcc HEAs at high temperatures⁴⁵ and

¹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. ²Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. ✉email: mdasta@berkeley.edu; roritchie@lbl.gov

the correlation between atomic distortions and the yield strengths of HEAs⁴⁶. However, there are still only very limited studies on the deformation behavior of this new class of bcc alloys, as compared to single-phase bcc transition metals.

Another important aspect of HEAs is the presence of local chemical short-range order (SRO). Although these alloys can be described as “topologically ordered yet chemically disordered”, the local chemical environments are unlikely to be characterized by a perfectly random distribution for every atomic species^{47–51}. Indeed, their disordered multiple-element compositions lead to a strong possibility of SRO, for example, the preference for certain types of bonds within the first few neighbor shells. This is not particularly rare in conventional alloys^{52,53} and glasses⁵⁴; however, it could be argued that its existence would be even more likely in multiple principal element alloys^{49,51,55} due to large number of elements and their equimolar concentrations. Recent DFT and MD simulations on the fcc CrCoNi alloy suggest that SRO can have a profound effect on critical parameters, notably the stacking-fault energy⁵⁵ and dislocation mobility⁵⁶; accordingly, such local order could be an important factor in controlling mechanical properties.

In spite of extensive studies on the bcc transition metals, there are relatively few published studies of dislocation core structures, dislocation mobility, or the effect of chemical SRO for bcc RHEAs. Accordingly, the objective of the current paper is to employ DFT-based methods to compute the dislocation core structures in refractory HEAs and to explore the distribution of dislocation core energetics and its potential effect on Peierls barriers, focusing on the MoNbTaW system.

RESULTS

Dislocation core structures in RHEAs

To compute the core structures and Peierls potential for $\frac{1}{2}\langle 111 \rangle$ screw dislocations in the refractory MoNbTaW HEA, we employ DFT calculations, making use of the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP)^{57–59}; details of the DFT calculations are provided in the “Methods” section. For screw dislocations in refractory HEAs, we employ a periodic supercell that contains 462 atoms, as illustrated in Fig. 1a. The simulation cell contains a pair of

dislocations with opposite Burgers vectors, in a nearly square quadrupolar arrangement¹⁶ with triclinic symmetry to minimize any effects of periodic boundary conditions and image stress. This dipole approach was first introduced by Bigger et al.⁶⁰ and has been widely used in DFT calculations on dislocations^{16,17,20,61}. The supercell adopted in current work was previously described by Weinberger et al.¹⁷ and Li et al.⁶¹, and was used to calculate dislocation core structures in pure bcc transition metals. In addition, since the size of supercell is fixed for all the simulations, the short periodic length might have some influence on the dislocation dipole energy due to its effect on the nature of the SRO. In our current model, we consider an equimolar MoNbTaW bcc RHEA³⁷, and doubled the periodic length along the dislocation line direction of the original 231-atom model (see “Methods” section for further details) to minimize as much as possible correlations in the chemical order, as described in the following section.

The initial atomic configuration was generated by creating a special quasi-random structure (SQS) on the 462-atom supercell shown in Fig. 1a. The SQS was generated using the Alloy Theoretic Automated Toolkit (ATAT) program⁶². The SQS methodology was used to minimize chemical correlations, and thus to provide a reference configuration corresponding to random substitutional disorder (i.e., minimizing chemical SRO). This reference configuration was used in Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations to generate supercells with varying degrees of SRO, as described below. For each of the configurations with different level of SRO, we shifted the dislocation dipole over all the possible sites within the simulation cell, to statistically sample dislocation properties. The atomic positions in the system with the dislocation dipole were then relaxed to enable interrogation of the core structures and energies in different lattice sites within the RHEA supercell.

For each configuration representing a different degree of chemical SRO, we calculated 231 different supercells with the dislocation dipole, in which the position of the cores initialized in different local environments. We found that the screw dislocations in bcc MoNbTaW HEAs maintain a compact core structure in most of the resulting relaxed structures, as illustrated by Fig. 1b, which is similar to the case in pure bcc elements^{17,18}. In very few

Fig. 1 Dislocation dipole model and structure of dislocation cores in an equimolar MoNbTaW bcc RHEA. **a** Differential displacement map of the dislocation dipole model. **b** Close-up view of the structure of a compact core. **c** Close-up view of the structure of a slightly noncompact core. The white, grey and black colors represent the relative position of atom in the [111] direction.

Fig. 2 Evolution of energy and local chemical SRO in the MoNbTaW RHEA. **a** Potential energy change vs. SRO parameter during the MC relaxation. Three states (s1, s2, s3) with different levels of SRO as indicated by red arrows were chosen for calculations of the dislocation cores and Peierls potentials. **b** The detailed values of $\Delta\delta_{ij}$ for all atom pairs. The red lines and dots represent state s3 with SRO and the dashed lines represent the ideal random solid solution case.

situations, the core can be extended on the (110) plane as shown in Fig. 1c. The DFT calculations thus reveal the dominant role of compact cores for dislocations in the MoNbTaW alloy (see Supplementary Note 1 for further details).

Local chemical SRO in MoNbTaW RHEA

Previously, a cluster expansion (CE) Hamiltonian in combination with MC simulations have been developed to investigate the effects of SRO in MoNbTaW and its quaternary subsystems⁵⁰. The ordering in the MoNbTaW RHEA alloy has been studied by Körmann et al.^{63–65}. This work revealed B2 long-range ordering at intermediate temperatures and phase decomposition in the ground state. For the present study, we employed a different approach (which nevertheless gives results in qualitative agreement with those of Körmann et al.^{63,65}, as discussed below), chosen to enable the development of dislocation supercell models with representative degrees of chemical SRO. Our focus is specifically on the effect of SRO on the dislocation properties. For generating supercells with different degrees of SRO, similar to previous studies in fcc HEAs^{47,55}, we applied a DFT-based lattice MC approach to our 462-atom supercell model; details are described in the “Methods” section.

The supercell initiated with an SQS configuration was used as input for the MC simulations. The MC simulation samples swaps of atom types, following the Metropolis algorithm, and the entire simulation considers ~2100 such swaps, leading to the evolution of the energy shown in Fig. 2a. Due to the limited number of MC steps and the lack of sampling of atomic displacements, the final configurations may differ from the true equilibrium state of SRO at the simulation temperature, although they appear to be quite close to the state of SRO as calculated by Kostichenko et al.⁶⁵ for high temperatures (~1200 K). However, the algorithm does lead to appreciable lowering of the energy, as shown in Fig. 2a, and the pair-forming tendencies shown in Fig. 2b are consistent with previous work on SRO in the same system using more comprehensive methods^{63,65}, as discussed below. Thus, this method is used to generate representative samples with varying degrees of chemical SRO to explore the resulting effect on dislocation properties.

Similar to the conventional Warren–Cowley description⁶⁶ and the previous study for fcc HEAs⁵⁵, we characterize the state of SRO using the so-called nonproportional number of local atomic pairs, $\Delta\delta_{ij}$, as described in more detail in the “Methods” section. Based

on our calculations, the evolution of total potential energy and the overall chemical SRO ($\sum_{i,j} |\Delta\delta_{ij}|$) in the sample during the MC relaxations are plotted in Fig. 2a. With respect to axes, the abscissa is the total potential energy change of the system and the ordinate is the overall chemical SRO of the system. As the MC simulation proceeds, the potential energy of system decreases monotonically, while the chemical SRO increases at the same time. This clear trend indicates that chemical SRO is occurring in the system with the MC simulations. To quantify the effect of SRO on dislocations, three different samples from the simulation (s1, s2, s3) were chosen for further calculation of core structures and energies, indicated by the red arrows in Fig. 2a. State s1 represents the nearly random solid solution configuration with lowest magnitudes of the SRO parameters; s2 represents an intermediate configuration with a medium level of SRO, and s3 represents the configuration with the highest degree of SRO.

Figure 2b shows the quantitative values of $\Delta\delta_{ij}$ between all the species in the MoNbTaW alloy; the red dots show that the local SRO in state s3 clearly deviates from the random solid solution. Preferred atomic pairings between Mo–Ta, Mo–Nb, and Ta–W were observed as the $\Delta\delta_{ij}$ values are 0.308, 0.196, and 0.112, while unfavorable pairings between Mo–W and Ta–Nb were also apparent as the $\Delta\delta_{ij}$ values are –0.392 and –0.294. This result confirms the energetic preference for SRO in MoNbTaW alloys; moreover, the tendency to form SRO that we see here is consistent with previous studies using other methods^{50,51,63,65} that have shown the Mo–Ta pairs are the most dominant contributors to the SRO, followed by Ta–W and Mo–Nb pairs.

Distribution of dislocation core energies in bcc RHEAs

After the introduction of SRO through MC relaxations, the dislocation dipole described in Fig. 1a was created in samples s1, s2, and s3. To sample over the distribution of local chemical environments for dislocation cores, the dislocation dipole was shifted over all the possible sites within the simulation cell leading to 231 different configurations for each of the three states of SRO. All the configurations with the dislocation dipole were then minimized, following the procedures described in the “Methods” section.

Figure 3 shows histograms of the supercell excess energies, that is, the energy difference between the supercell with and without the dislocation dipole, of all the configurations minimized at different SRO states. The histograms for the three SRO states are fit

Fig. 3 Histograms of supercell excess energies for different levels of SRO in MoNbTaW. Histograms of supercell excess energies for varying positions of the dislocation cores for three different states (s1, s2, and s3) of SRO, fitted with a Gaussian distribution. The normalized excess energy indicated on the upper x-axis scale corresponds to the supercell excess energy divided by the total Burgers vector length in the supercell (i.e., $4b$). Note that state s1 represents the random solid solution state with minimum SRO, s2 has a medium level of SRO, and state s3 has the highest level of SRO. Mean and variance values for each of the Gaussian fits for different states of SRO are indicated in the upper legend.

well by normal distributions (the fitted lines are also shown in Fig. 3). The green dash-dot line represents the energy distribution of the nearly random solid solution sample s1. The blue dash line represents the sample s2 with a medium degree of SRO and the red solid line represents the sample s3 with highest degree of SRO. The mean values of the excess energies for the two samples with SRO differ by 0.38 eV (s2) and 0.87 eV (s3) from that for the most disordered sample (s1).

To compute dislocation core energies from these energies, we consider the components contributing to the supercell excess energy. The excess energy is the sum of the two dislocation core energies, the elastic energy arising from the dislocations, and a contribution from the diffuse antiphase boundary (DAPB) energy between the two cores created by the relative shift of the crystal by a Burger's vector across the planar "cut" region between the dislocations. This excess energy can thus be written as: $E = 2E^{\text{core}} + E_{\text{elastic}} + E_{\text{DAPB}}$. We note that in previous studies, it has been shown the excess energies of the types of supercells used in this study can also be affected by the residual stress in the simulation box^{67–69}. In Supplementary Fig. 2, we plot the distribution of this residual stress on all the simulation cells, and the results rule out the correlation between the change in variance in the excess energies with these residual stresses. For what follows, we thus focus on the decomposition of the excess energies into core, elastic, and DAPB contributions.

To first order, the elastic energy can be estimated using the continuum theory as described by Clouet^{67,68} and Clouet et al.⁶⁹, using the elastic constants and dislocation Burgers vector and a reasonable assumption for the core radius. For the simulation supercell used here, the DFT-calculated elastic contribution E_{elastic} is estimated to be ~ 6.0 eV. Importantly, for the analysis that follows, we found that the SRO has only an $\sim 3\%$ effect on the calculated elastic moduli (see further details in Supplementary Note 2), such that this local order is estimated to contribute only a 3% change (~ 0.17 eV between s1 and s3) in the elastic energy contribution to the calculated excess energies. Details of the calculations of the elastic constants and elastic energy

Fig. 4 Screw dislocation core energies in MoNbTaW, compared with the constituent pure bcc transition metals. The labels s1, s2, and s3 are current results for MoNbTaW, with s1 corresponding to minimum SRO, s2 a medium level of SRO, and s3 the highest degree of SRO. Core energy data for pure bcc transition metals are reproduced from a previous DFT study¹⁸. Error bars in the results for MoNbTaW correspond to standard deviations in the values derived by sampling different local environments. The core cutoff radius $r_c = 3.0$ Å in all cases (see detail in Supplementary Note 4).

contribution in the dislocation dipole cell are provided in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Another contribution to the average and variance in calculated excess energies for the supercells is associated with the cut plane between the two dislocation cores. When SRO is present, this cut plane leads to a contribution to the energy of the supercell arising due to the shift of adjacent planes, which disrupts the state of SRO and causes an excess energy E_{DAPB} . Following the convention in the literature, this planar defect is referred to as a DAPB and can be quantified through the so-called DAPB energy per unit area (γ_{DAPB}). We have calculated the DAPB energy in our current system (see Supplementary Note 3), with the following results: for the state s1, which represents the random solid solution, γ_{DAPB} is ~ 3 mJ/m², that is, essentially zero within the accuracy of our statistical sampling. With increasing SRO, γ_{DAPB} increases to 29 mJ/m² in state s2 and 59 mJ/m² in state s3 with the highest degree of SRO. E_{DAPB} associated with the cut plane gives rise to an increasing contribution to the excess energy of the supercell: from 0.015 eV in state s1 to 0.59 eV in state s3. Further, due to the important role of the variance in the core energy distribution, which will be discussed below, the variation in E_{DAPB} due to the position of the cut plane as the locations of the dislocation cores are shifted are also calculated through DFT simulations. The standard deviation $\sigma_{E_{\text{DAPB}}}$ is ~ 0.15 eV for s1, 0.17 eV for s2, and is increased to 0.26 eV for s3. Based on these data, we can further decouple the contribution of the variance in excess energies due to the two dislocation cores and the DAPB. The details of these calculations are shown in Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Table 4.

Assuming that the excess energy shown in Fig. 3 can be decomposed as $E = 2E^{\text{core}} + E_{\text{elastic}} + E_{\text{DAPB}}$, we can extract the distribution of core energies by subtraction of the contributions from elastic energy (see Supplementary Note 2) and the mean and variance of the DAPB energy (see Supplementary Note 3). Further details are given in Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Table 5. Figure 4 shows the average and variance values for the dislocation core energy in MoNbTaW for different SRO states. The average values are compared with the value in pure bcc transition metals from a previous DFT study¹⁸. The averaged core energy in MoNbTaW HEA is the highest compared with all its constituent pure elements. In addition, the SRO has only a marginal impact on the averaged dislocation core energy since it is a one-dimensional (1D) line defects; this result is in contrast to the effect of SRO on

Fig. 5 Contours of Peierls valleys at three levels of SRO in the MoNbTaW supercell (no data for transition states is included). **a** Random solid solution state s_1 with minimum SRO. **b** State s_2 with a medium level of SRO. **c** State s_3 with the highest level of SRO. The relative positions of the dislocation dipole are projected on (111) plane and aligned in $\bar{1}\bar{1}2$ and $[1\bar{2}1]$ directions, as shown by the black dots on the right column figures. The left column contains the 3D contours and the right column shows the corresponding 2D projection. The contours were plotted by interpolating data points on grids through bivariate spline.

2D planar defects, such as the stacking-fault energy⁵⁵ or DAPB energies.

One important feature of Fig. 3 is that the dislocation dipole energy follows a Gaussian distribution, which is an intrinsic feature of an HEA that differs from the pure element metals. Although the averaged core energy is not sensitive to SRO, the variance of the distribution is found to decrease with the increase of SRO. The standard deviation of the excess energy in Fig. 3 for SRO state s_1 is 0.72 eV, which decreases to 0.36 eV in s_2 and to 0.37 eV in s_3 , that is, with lower degrees of SRO, the variance becomes more significant. The variances of dislocation core energies, decoupling the effect of the DAPB energy, are illustrated in Supplementary Table 4 and show similar trends. As described above, we conclude

that the dominant contribution to the variance in supercell energy shown in Fig. 3 arises from the variations in dislocation core energies; the results thus also demonstrate the role of SRO in changing the dislocation core energy distribution.

To illustrate the local spatial variation in core energies in the RHEA, and the effect of SRO on these variations, we plot 3D contours of the supercell excess energies and their 2D projection in the supercell in Fig. 5. For simplicity, each dislocation dipole is treated as a single point located at the average spatial location of the two screw cores in the dipole; they are aligned in $\bar{1}\bar{1}2$ and $[1\bar{2}1]$ directions based on their relative positions. The excess energies normalized by the total length of the dislocation lines, which can be regarded as the depth of the Peierls valleys, are

Fig. 6 Histograms of Peierls valley energy differences for different levels of SRO in MoNbTaW. Histograms of the differences in supercell energies for dislocation cores in neighboring sites, sampled over the different local environments for three different states (s1, s2, and s3) of SRO. Each of the histograms is fit with a Gaussian distribution, with associated fitted mean and variance values given in the upper legend. The normalized valley energy difference in the upper legend is the supercell dipole energy difference for neighboring sites, divided by the total Burgers vector length in the supercell (i.e., 4b). The Peierls barriers of pure bcc metals calculated through drag method are also plotted for reference, reproduced from previous DFT study¹⁷.

shifted to set the minimum value equal to zero. Based on these data, the left column of Fig. 5a–c shows 3D contours of the Peierls valleys at different SRO states from s1 to s3 and the right column corresponds to their 2D projection. Note that this is not a minimum energy path contour, since no transition-state data were included in these plots. For a pure element metal, the contour in Fig. 5 would be that of a flat surface since the depth of the Peierls valley has a constant value. However, due to variations in local environment within the RHEA, the dislocation dipole energy in these alloys follows a normal distribution, as shown in Fig. 3, which leads to rugged Peierls valleys contours, as shown in Fig. 5. The maximum variation in the Peierls valleys is 0.9 eV/b in the near-random s1 state; with increasing SRO, this decreases to 0.48 eV/b in s2 and to 0.50 eV/b in s3. It is clearly visible in Fig. 5a–c that the Peierls valley contours contains a rugged feature for the RHEA.

Similar to Fig. 3, histograms of the differences in Peierls valley energy for different SRO states are shown in Fig. 6 (see the “Methods” section for further details). As discussed further in the next section, the Peierls valley energy differences considered in Fig. 6 are defined as $\Delta E = E_{d1} - E_{d2}$, where E_{d2} is the excess energy of the supercell for one position of the dislocation dipole, and E_{d1} is the excess energy when this dipole has shifted by glide to the neighboring Peierls valley in the $[\bar{1}\bar{1}2]$ direction. If we assume that the dislocation dipole energy follows the same Gaussian distribution shown in Fig. 3, based on the properties of Gaussian distributions, the values of ΔE will also follow a Gaussian distribution but with a different variance: $\sim \text{Normal}(0, 2\sigma^2 - 2\sigma_{\text{cov}})$, where σ_{cov} is the covariance of the excess energy for two neighboring positions of the dipole. In Fig. 6, the average value of the energy difference is zero for all the three SRO states, as expected, and the variance of the fitted distribution from the DFT energy data agrees well with the prediction (details of the calculation of σ_{cov} and $\sqrt{2\sigma^2 - 2\sigma_{\text{cov}}}$ are given in Supplementary Note 5). Similarly, the values of Peierls valley energy difference (ΔE) defined above, corresponding to glide of the dislocations in the $[\bar{1}\bar{1}2]$ direction, are plotted in Fig. 7 as a function of the initial position of the

dipole, and are represented in both 3D contours and 2D projections. Standard analyses of transitions in complex systems are consistent with the basic trend that the energy difference between the final and initial states correlates with the change in the energy barrier. In the contours of valley energy differences shown in Fig. 7, the values range from -0.30 to 0.30 eV/b in the s1 state; these decrease to -0.12 to 0.15 eV/b in state s2 and to -0.14 to 0.15 eV/b in the state s3. The fraction of these energies with relatively high values decreases with the increasing degree of SRO. These results, along with the change of distribution of dipole energies in Fig. 3, demonstrate that the presence of SRO serves to narrow the distribution of dislocation core energies and decrease the spatial heterogeneity of dislocation core energies in the system. For reference, the Peierls barriers in the pure element constituent metals, Mo, Nb, Ta, and W, calculated through the drag method, which is consistent with DFT study¹⁷, are also plotted on Fig. 6. A significant amount of the Peierls valley energy difference (ΔE) during glide can be seen to have exceeded the highest value of the Peierls barriers in pure bcc elements. This rugged energy landscape and variance in core energies intrinsic in RHEA is anticipated to have a profound effect on the distribution of Peierls barriers, as explored further below.

Peierls barriers of screw dislocations in bcc RHEAs with local chemical order

In pure bcc transition metals^{17,20}, the Peierls barriers for $\frac{1}{2}\langle 111 \rangle$ screw dislocations can be computed from the energy pathway between two equilibrium samples, in which the dislocation dipole is uniformly translated along the $[\bar{1}\bar{1}2]$ direction on the $\{110\}$ plane to the nearest neighboring site using the reaction coordinate method (also termed the “drag method”⁷⁰) or the “nudged elastic band (NEB) method”⁷¹. However, in a system with a complex energy surface, such as the RHEA considered here, the NEB method is computationally highly costly and difficult to converge. Alternatively, we have found that the “drag method” converges well.

Based on our tentative estimations of Peierls barriers through the “drag method”, the most significant feature in the RHEA system is that the equilibrium energies of the dislocation dipoles are not constant due to the different local environments of the dislocation cores, compared with pure element metals. Thus, the potential energy of the initial configuration (where the reaction coordinate is 0), is generally not equal to that of the final configuration (reaction coordinate of 1). The shape of Peierls potential and the barrier values depend markedly on the relative energy difference between the initial and final configurations and can be divided into two distinct classes that we will refer to as Type-1 and Type-2 barriers, as shown in the schematic plot in the Fig. 8a. Generally, the barrier value is higher than the potential energy difference between the final and initial configurations. When the potential energy difference between the initial and final configurations is small, or when the energy of the final configuration is smaller than that of the initial configuration, the barrier curves are usually Type-1, as shown by the red curve in Fig. 8a. However, if the final configuration has a much higher potential energy than that of the initial configuration, the typical barrier curves under this condition will be like the blue curve shown in Fig. 8a; these are referred to as Type-2 barriers, in which the Peierls barrier is dominated by the difference in potential energy between the initial and final configurations.

For pure element metals, we naturally expect 100% Type-1 shape barriers since the dislocation dipole energies are constant and the Peierls potential curve will be perfectly symmetric. For instance, based on our drag method calculations, the Peierls barriers in pure element metals, that is, Mo, Nb, Ta, and W, range from 0.12 to 0.38 eV (0.03–0.09 eV/b if normalized by the total

Fig. 7 Contours of the difference in the Peierls valley energy at three levels of SRO in the MoNbTaW supercell. **a** Random solid solution state s1 with minimum SRO. **b** State s2 with a medium level of SRO. **c** State s3 with the highest. The relative positions of the dislocation dipole were projected on (111) plane and aligned in $\bar{1}\bar{1}2$ and $\bar{1}2\bar{1}$ directions as shown by the black dots on the right column figures. The glide direction of the dislocation dipole is along the $\bar{1}\bar{1}2$ direction (see “Methods”), and the plotted energy difference corresponds to the difference in energy between the final state (after glide) and initial state for each position of the dislocation cores. The left column contains the 3D contours and right column is the corresponding 2D projection. The contours were plotted by interpolating data points on grids through bivariate spline.

Burgers vector) in the current simulation geometry; they are plotted on Fig. 6. If we take the highest Peierls barrier value in the pure bcc elements as the reference for the RHEA, it is found that when the core energies follow the Gaussian distribution, the rugged energy landscape and variance in RHEA will inevitably lead to another scenario during the calculation of the dislocation Peierls potentials, in which the final configuration has a much higher potential energy than that of the initial configuration, as shown by the right-side histogram in Fig. 6, which is noted as a Type-2 barrier. Based on the histograms and contour of the Peierls

valley energy difference shown in Figs 6 and 7, there is a significant degree of neighboring valley energy differences that have already exceeded the highest Peierls barriers found in pure bcc metals (0.37 eV or 0.09 eV/b in W). For the case of the random solid solution sample s1, as indicated by the green dash-dot line in Fig. 3, which displays a relatively broad distribution of dislocation core energies, the probability of a Type-2 barrier will be higher. However, with progressively increasing SRO in samples s2 and s3, the distribution of core energies narrows, as shown by the blue and red histograms in Fig. 3. The lower variance of the core

Fig. 8 Two types of barriers: symmetric and asymmetric Peierls barrier curves in the RHEA. **a** Schematic figure of two types of Peierls barrier obtained in the MoNbTaW RHEA. **b** Schematic figure of the distribution of dipole energy and two random neighboring dipoles. **c** Probability of a Type-2 barrier as a function of the standard deviation of the dipole energy.

energies leads to fewer Type-2 barriers. In what follows, we argue that the variance or standard deviation of the core energies will lead to the asymmetric barriers and the variance itself is affected by the degree of SRO in the materials.

The transition from Type-1 to Type-2 barriers is highly dependent on the relative energy difference between the initial and final dislocation configurations. Here, we assume that for an alloy with a certain level of SRO, the dislocation dipole energy will follow a normal distribution: $\text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ (similar to Fig. 3), as shown in Fig. 8b. If we assume that there are two random neighboring dipoles: dipole-1 and dipole-2, then dipole-2 represents the initial configuration and will have a preference to glide to its final configuration dipole-1. The energy of these two dipoles are written as E_{d1} and E_{d2} . For the transition from a Type-1 to a Type-2 barrier, we postulate that there exists a critical energy difference E_{critical} that when $E_{d1} - E_{d2} > E_{\text{critical}}$, the Peierls barrier will become a Type-2. Based on our assumptions for the distribution in dipole energies in Fig. 8b, the energy of dipole-1 and dipole-2 are: $E_{d1} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $E_{d2} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. The energy difference between the two dipoles is then $E_{d1} - E_{d2} \sim \text{Normal}(0, 2\sigma^2 - 2\sigma_{\text{cov}})$. Since the energies of two neighboring dislocation dipoles are not independent, we need to consider the covariance σ_{cov} between these E_{d1} and E_{d2} values (see details in Supplementary Note 5). Thus, the probability of

observing a Type-2 barrier for this condition can be written as:

$$P_{\text{Type-2}} = P(E_{d1} - E_{d2} > E_{\text{critical}}) = 1 - P(E_{d1} - E_{d2} \leq E_{\text{critical}}) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{E_{\text{critical}}}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 - 2\sigma_{\text{cov}}}}\right) \quad (1)$$

where Φ is the standard Normal cumulative distribution function and σ_{cov} is the covariance between the energy of two neighboring dislocation dipoles.

Based on this equation, the probability of a Type-2 barrier is a function of E_{critical} , the standard deviation σ (or variance) of the dipole energy distribution and covariance between energy of two neighboring dislocation dipoles. In Fig. 8c, we plot $P_{\text{Type-2}}$ as a function of σ for two different values of E_{critical} with $\sigma_{\text{cov}} \in [-0.8\sigma^2, 0.8\sigma^2]$. The two E_{critical} values were chosen as 0.4 and 0.6 eV, which is slightly higher than the Peierls barrier calculated in W (0.37 eV). These curves clearly demonstrate that the probability of a Type-2 barrier will increase monotonically with the standard deviation σ , which is also correlated with the state of SRO. For a single screw dislocation, rather than the dislocation dipole geometry considered in this study, we can obtain similar results as $P_{\text{Type-2}} = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{E_{\text{critical}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - \sigma_{\text{cov}}}}\right)$. This is discussed in further

detail in Supplementary Note 6. This analysis highlights the origin of the Type-2 Peierls barrier and its correlation with the state of SRO in the RHEAs. Although we cannot obtain accurate Peierls barriers in the current study based on DFT calculations alone, we

can conclude that in simple terms, the unique variance of dislocation core energies in RHEA, which is also influenced by the SRO, enhances the probability of observing Peierls barriers of Type-2, which will finally influence the dislocation morphologies and their motion.

DISCUSSION

Using first-principles calculations of dislocation energies and the differences in Peierls valley energies in bcc RHEAs, our results reveal fundamental differences between behavior in the multiple principal element alloys and a pure metal or dilute solution. The variation in local chemical environments within the RHEAs leads to a distribution of dislocation core energies for different dislocation segments; moreover, the characteristics of this core energy distribution are significantly influenced by the presence of SRO. In contrast, all the local environments are constant in pure metals and would be expected to show much smaller distributions for dilute solutions.

With our present DFT calculations, although we have doubled the thickness of the sample, the dimension of the out-of-plane direction is still limited to only two Burgers vectors. The calculated core energies and Peierls potentials thus represent the local characteristics of a small straight segment of dislocation line. When considering a long dislocation line gliding in the RHEA, due to the Gaussian distribution of local energies of dislocation segments, described in Fig. 3, the dislocation line will prefer to form a wavy shape to reduce the total potential energy. For alloys with multiple principal elements in equal molar ratios, statistically the composition fluctuation always exists even for a random solid solution.

The Peierls potential plays a crucial role in governing dislocation motion. Here, we have identified two types of Peierls barriers in the bcc RHEA, which depend critically on the energy distribution of the dislocation segments. Considering a long dislocation motion associated with kink-pair theory⁷², it is extremely difficult for some segments gliding through the path of the Type-2 barriers due to its high magnitude. Under such circumstances, these segments can become pinned or are forced to glide on alternative planes or in different directions. This will serve to facilitate cross slip, dislocation multiplication, and the formation of wavy dislocation lines, all of which will eventually enhance the strength and ductility of the material at the macroscale due to homogenization of plastic strains⁷³. Indeed, such a form of wavy slip and enhanced mechanical properties has been reported for a bcc TiZrNbHf RHEA with short-range ordered (O, Ti, Zr) complexes⁷³. Recently, a theory⁷⁴ developed for screw dislocation strengthening in RHEAs has been presented based on the assumption that screw dislocations will naturally adopt a kinked configuration. Along with the MD simulations of the NbTaV alloy⁷⁴, our DFT data, as shown in Figs 3–5, strongly supports the idea that dislocation lines in this and related RHEAs would tend to form a kinked structure.

In summary, we have systematically studied the dislocation core energy, DAPB energy, dislocation dipole energy distribution, and Peierls valley energy differences in a bcc MoNbTaW RHEA using DFT calculations, considering the effects of chemical SRO. Similar to the pure bcc transition metals, compact cores were found to dominate in screw dislocations in the bcc MoNbTaW RHEA. The average core energy of a screw dislocation is higher in the current RHEA compared with the pure bcc transition metals; however, SRO is found to have only a negligible effect on the average equilibrium energy of this line defect. However, the DAPB energy is found to correlate strongly with the SRO state that could potentially influence the dislocation mobility. In addition, the dislocation core energies were found to follow a Gaussian distribution with the increasing degree of SRO resulting in a progressively lower variance of the distribution of core energies.

Resulting from the intrinsic fluctuation of core energies in HEAs, two types of Peierls barriers were discovered, which depend on the difference in core energies between initial and final configurations. By comparison with pure bcc transition metals, the Peierls barrier of screw dislocations in bcc RHEAs is expected to be higher due to the formation of Type-2 Peierls barriers from the variance of the core energy distribution. The findings from the present work highlight the effect of the variance in core energy distributions in influencing dislocation Peierls potentials and suggest important consequences on dislocation morphology and activity, which is an intrinsic feature of HEAs. As these characteristics are heavily influenced by SRO, such local ordering may have a significant impact on the mechanical properties of RHEAs.

METHODS

Lattice constant determination and simulation cell with dislocation dipole

The lattice constant of the equimolar MoNbTaW HEA was determined by relaxing the 64-atom quaternary quasi-random structure (SQS)⁷⁵ provided by Gao et al.⁷⁶. The calculated lattice constant was 3.230 Å and was adopted in all simulations. For the simulation cell with dislocation dipole, we first defined $\mathbf{e}_1 = a_0[112]$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = a_0[110]$, and $\mathbf{e}_3 = a_0/2[111]$. Then, the supercell with a dislocation dipole was built with three edges, $\mathbf{h}_1 = 7\mathbf{e}_1$, $\mathbf{h}_2 = 3.5\mathbf{e}_1 + 5.5\mathbf{e}_2 + 0.5\mathbf{e}_3$, $\mathbf{h}_3 = 2\mathbf{e}_3$, to contain 462 atoms. The periodic length along the dislocation line direction, $\mathbf{h}_3$, was twice the magnitude of the Burgers vector.

DFT-based MC simulations

MC simulations were performed using the supercell geometry described above. For the initial condition in these simulations, the sample was generated as an SQS model of the random alloys. The temperature employed in the MC simulations was 500 K. Energy calculations were performed using the Projector Augmented Wave (PAW) method^{77,78}, as implemented in the VASP^{57–59}. A plane wave cutoff energy of 400 eV was employed, and the Brillouin zone integrations were performed using Monkhorst–Pack meshes⁷⁹ with a $3 \times 1 \times 1$ grid, where the first index corresponds to the direction along the dislocation line. PAW potentials⁷⁸ were employed with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized-gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation function⁸⁰. Lattice MC simulations were then conducted similar to the methods utilized by Tamm et al.⁴⁷ and Ding et al.⁵⁵, which included swaps of atom types with the acceptance probability based on the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm⁸¹. In the current MC simulations, a total of 2094 swaps were conducted and 471 swaps were accepted. For the choice of PAW potentials, 6 valence electrons were used for Mo and W, 5 valence electrons for Ta, and 11 valence electrons for Nb.

Core structure and Peierls valley energy differences

Following the MC simulations, the dislocation dipole was introduced into the sample at all possible sites. All configurations with the dislocation dipole were then relaxed through a conjugate-gradient algorithm using VASP with the settings described above, but with a denser k -point mesh of $7 \times 1 \times 1$. Atomic positions were relaxed with a convergence criterion on forces of 10^{-2} eV/Å. For each relaxed sample selected as the initial configuration, we chose the sample with a nearest dislocation dipole on the same $\{110\}$ plane and displaced in the $[112]$ direction as the final configuration to calculate the valley energy differences between these two neighboring dipoles. Further details can be found in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Local chemical SRO parameter

Similar to the definition described by Ding et al.⁵⁵, which was modified from the Warren–Cowley parameter⁸⁶, we defined the nonproportional number of local atomic pairs, $\Delta\delta_{ij}$, to quantify the chemical ordering around an atomic species for the combined first and second nearest-neighbor shells in the bcc structure, for which the corresponding coordination numbers are $N = 14$. The value of $\Delta\delta_{ij}$ was then calculated as:

$$\Delta\delta_{ij} = N(p_{ij} - p_{ij}^{\text{ideal}}), \quad (2)$$

where $N = 14$ is the coordination number of first and second nearest-neighbor shells in the bcc structure, p_{ij} is the actual probability of bonds between atoms of type j and type i in the sample, p_{ij}^{ideal} is the ideal probability of bonds between atoms of type j and type i for the random solid solution case based on the species concentrations. $\Delta\delta_{ij} = 0$ for the case of a random solution. The overall SRO is represented by the sum of all the $|\Delta\delta_{ij}|$ for all species ($\text{SRO} = \sum_{ij} |\Delta\delta_{ij}|$).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from Dr. Sheng Yin (email: shengyin@berkeley.edu) upon reasonable request.

CODE AVAILABILITY

The DFT calculations were performed with the Vienna ab initio simulation package. All the other codes that support the findings of this study are available from Dr. Sheng Yin (email: shengyin@berkeley.edu) upon reasonable request.

Received: 25 September 2019; Accepted: 22 June 2020;

Published online: 29 July 2020

REFERENCES

- Christian, J. Some surprising features of the plastic deformation of body-centered cubic metals and alloys. *Metall. Trans. A* **14**, 1237–1256 (1983).
- Caillard, D. & Martin, J.L. *Thermally Activated Mechanisms in Crystal Plasticity* (Elsevier, 2003).
- Ito, K. & Vitek, V. Atomistic study of non-Schmid effects in the plastic yielding of bcc metals. *Philos. Mag. A* **81**, 1387–1407 (2001).
- Koizumi, H., Kirchner, H. & Suzuki, T. Kink pair nucleation and critical shear stress. *Acta Metall. Mater.* **41**, 3483–3493 (1993).
- Weinberger, C. R., Boyce, B. L. & Battaile, C. C. Slip planes in bcc transition metals. *Int. Mater. Rev.* **58**, 296–314 (2013).
- Chaussidon, J., Fivel, M. & Rodney, D. The glide of screw dislocations in bcc Fe: atomistic static and dynamic simulations. *Acta Mater.* **54**, 3407–3416 (2006).
- Moriarty, J. A. et al. Quantum-based atomistic simulation of materials properties in transition metals. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **14**, 2825 (2002).
- Mrovec, M., Nguyen-Manh, D., Pettifor, D. G. & Vitek, V. Bond-order potential for molybdenum: application to dislocation behavior. *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 094115 (2004).
- Gordon, P., Neeraj, T., Li, Y. & Li, J. Screw dislocation mobility in BCC metals: the role of the compact core on double-kink nucleation. *Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **18**, 085008 (2010).
- Takeuchi, S. Core structure of a screw dislocation in the BCC lattice and its relation to slip behaviour of α -iron. *Philos. Mag. A* **39**, 661–671 (1979).
- Mendelev, M. et al. Development of new interatomic potentials appropriate for crystalline and liquid iron. *Philos. Mag.* **83**, 3977–3994 (2003).
- Ismail-Beigi, S. & Arias, T. Ab initio study of screw dislocations in Mo and Ta: a new picture of plasticity in bcc transition metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1499 (2000).
- Woodward, C. & Rao, S. Flexible ab initio boundary conditions: Simulating isolated dislocations in bcc Mo and Ta. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 216402 (2002).
- Frederiksen, S. L. & Jacobsen, K. W. Density functional theory studies of screw dislocation core structures in bcc metals. *Philos. Mag.* **83**, 365–375 (2003).
- Zhao, Y. & Lu, G. QM/MM study of dislocation—hydrogen/helium interactions in α -Fe. *Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **19**, 065004 (2011).
- Ventelon, L. & Willaime, F. Core structure and Peierls potential of screw dislocations in α -Fe from first principles: cluster versus dipole approaches. *J. Comput. Aided Mater. Des.* **14**, 85–94 (2007).
- Weinberger, C. R., Tucker, G. J. & Foiles, S. M. Peierls potential of screw dislocations in bcc transition metals: predictions from density functional theory. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 054114 (2013).
- Dezerald, L. et al. Ab initio modeling of the two-dimensional energy landscape of screw dislocations in bcc transition metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 024104 (2014).
- Itakura, M., Kaburaki, H. & Yamaguchi, M. First-principles study on the mobility of screw dislocations in bcc iron. *Acta Mater.* **60**, 3698–3710 (2012).
- Ventelon, L., Willaime, F., Clouet, E. & Rodney, D. Ab initio investigation of the Peierls potential of screw dislocations in bcc Fe and W. *Acta Mater.* **61**, 3973–3985 (2013).
- Li, H., Draxl, C., Wurster, S., Pippan, R. & Romaner, L. Impact of d-band filling on the dislocation properties of bcc transition metals: the case of tantalum–tungsten alloys investigated by density-functional theory. *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 094114 (2017).
- Maresca, F., Dragoni, D., Csányi, G., Marzari, N. & Curtin, W. A. Screw dislocation structure and mobility in body centered cubic Fe predicted by a Gaussian approximation potential. *Npj Comput. Mater.* **4**, 69 (2018).
- Marinica, M. C. et al. Interatomic potentials for modelling radiation defects and dislocations in tungsten. *J. Phys. Condens. Mater.* **25**, 395502 (2013).
- Thompson, A. P., Swiler, L. P., Trott, C. R., Foiles, S. M. & Tucker, G. J. Spectral neighbor analysis method for automated generation of quantum-accurate interatomic potentials. *J. Comput. Phys.* **285**, 316–330 (2015).
- Proville, L., Rodney, D. & Marinica, M. C. Quantum effect on thermally activated glide of dislocations. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 845 (2012).
- Gordon, P., Neeraj, T. & Mendelev, M. Screw dislocation mobility in BCC Metals: a refined potential description for α -Fe. *Philos. Mag.* **91**, 3931–3945 (2011).
- Miracle, D. B. & Senkov, O. N. A critical review of high entropy alloys and related concepts. *Acta Mater.* **122**, 448–511 (2017).
- George, E. P., Raabe, D. & Ritchie, R. O. High-entropy alloys. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **4**, 515–534 (2019).
- Gludovatz, B. et al. A fracture-resistant high-entropy alloy for cryogenic applications. *Science* **345**, 1153–1158 (2014).
- Gludovatz, B. et al. Exceptional damage-tolerance of a medium-entropy alloy CrCoNi at cryogenic temperatures. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10602 (2016).
- Varvenne, C. & Curtin, W. A. Predicting yield strengths of noble metal high entropy alloys. *Scr. Mater.* **142**, 92–95 (2018).
- Varvenne, C., Luque, A. & Curtin, W. A. Theory of strengthening in fcc high entropy alloys. *Acta Mater.* **118**, 164–176 (2016).
- Yin, B. & Curtin, W. A. First-principles-based prediction of yield strength in the RhIrPdPtNiCu high-entropy alloy. *Npj Comput. Mater.* **5**, 14 (2019).
- Cantor, B., Chang, I., Knight, P. & Vincent, A. Microstructural development in equiatomic multicomponent alloys. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **375**, 213–218 (2004).
- Yeh, J. W. et al. Nanostructured high-entropy alloys with multiple principal elements: novel alloy design concepts and outcomes. *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **6**, 299–303 (2004).
- Senkov, O., Wilks, G., Miracle, D., Chuang, C. & Liaw, P. Refractory high-entropy alloys. *Intermetallics* **18**, 1758–1765 (2010).
- Senkov, O. N., Wilks, G., Scott, J. & Miracle, D. B. Mechanical properties of Nb₂₅Mo₂₅Ta₂₅W₂₅ and V₂₀Nb₂₀Mo₂₀Ta₂₀W₂₀ refractory high entropy alloys. *Intermetallics* **19**, 698–706 (2011).
- Senkov, O. N., Miracle, D. B., Chaput, K. J. & Couzinie, J. P. Development and exploration of refractory high entropy alloys—a review. *J. Mater. Res.* **33**, 3092–3128 (2018).
- Dobbelstein, H., Thiele, M., Gurevich, E. L., George, E. P. & Ostendorf, A. Direct metal deposition of refractory high entropy alloy MoNbTaW. *Phys. Procedia* **83**, 624–633 (2016).
- Dirras, G. et al. Microstructural investigation of plastically deformed Ti₂₀Zr₂₀Hf₂₀Nb₂₀Ta₂₀ high entropy alloy by X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy. *Mater. Charact.* **108**, 1–7 (2015).
- Lilensten, L. et al. Study of a bcc multi-principal element alloy: tensile and simple shear properties and underlying deformation mechanisms. *Acta Mater.* **142**, 131–141 (2018).
- Couzinie, J. P. et al. On the room temperature deformation mechanisms of a TiZrHfNbTa refractory high-entropy alloy. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **645**, 255–263 (2015).
- Rao, S. et al. Atomistic simulations of dislocations in a model BCC multi-component concentrated solid solution alloy. *Acta Mater.* **125**, 311–320 (2017).
- Rao, S. et al. Modeling solution hardening in BCC refractory complex concentrated alloys: NbTiZr, Nb_{1.5}TiZr_{0.5} and Nb_{0.5}TiZr_{1.5}. *Acta Mater.* **168**, 222–236 (2019).
- Maresca, F. & Curtin, W. A. Mechanistic origin of high retained strength in refractory BCC high entropy alloys up to 1900K. *Acta Mater.* **182**, 235–249 (2020).
- Nöhning, W. G. & Curtin, W. A. Correlation of microdistortions with misfit volumes in high entropy alloys. *Scr. Mater.* **168**, 119–123 (2019).
- Tamm, A., Aabloo, A., Klintonberg, M., Stocks, M. & Caro, A. Atomic-scale properties of Ni-based FCC ternary, and quaternary alloys. *Acta Mater.* **99**, 307–312 (2015).
- Zhang, F. et al. Local structure and short-range order in a NiCoCr solid solution alloy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 205501 (2017).
- Ma, Y. et al. Chemical short-range orders and the induced structural transition in high-entropy alloys. *Scr. Mater.* **144**, 64–68 (2018).
- Fernández-Caballero, A., Wróbel, J., Mummery, P. & Nguyen-Manh, D. Short-range order in high entropy alloys: theoretical formulation and application to Mo-Nb-Ta-VW system. *J. Phase Equilib. Diff.* **38**, 391–403 (2017).
- Huhn, W. P. & Widom, M. Prediction of A2 to B2 phase transition in the high-entropy alloy Mo-Nb-Ta-W. *JOM* **65**, 1772–1779 (2013).
- Schweika, W. & Haubold, H. G. Neutron-scattering and Monte Carlo study of short-range order and atomic interaction in Ni 0.89 Cr 0.11. *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 9240 (1988).

53. Schönfeld, B., Reinhard, L., Kosterz, G. & Bührer, W. Short-range order and atomic displacements in Ni–20 at% Cr single. *Cryst. Phys. Stat. Sol. B* **148**, 457–471 (1988).
54. Pekin, T. C. et al. Direct measurement of nanostructural change during in situ deformation of a bulk metallic glass. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2445 (2019).
55. Ding, J., Yu, Q., Asta, M. & Ritchie, R. O. Tunable stacking fault energies by tailoring local chemical order in CrCoNi medium-entropy alloys. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 8919–8924 (2018).
56. Li, Q. J., Sheng, H. & Ma, E. Strengthening in multi-principal element alloys with local-chemical-order roughened dislocation pathways. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3563 (2019).
57. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169 (1996).
58. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15–50 (1996).
59. Kresse, G. & Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 558 (1993).
60. Bigger, J. et al. Atomic and electronic structures of the 90 partial dislocation in silicon. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2224 (1992).
61. Li, J. et al. Core energy and Peierls stress of a screw dislocation in bcc molybdenum: a periodic-cell tight-binding study. *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 104113 (2004).
62. Van de Walle, A. et al. Efficient stochastic generation of special quasirandom structures. *Calphad* **42**, 13–18 (2013).
63. Körmann, F., Ruban, A. V. & Sluiter, M. H. Long-ranged interactions in bcc NbMoTaW high-entropy alloys. *Mater. Res. Lett.* **5**, 35–40 (2017).
64. Körmann, F. & Sluiter, M. Interplay between lattice distortions, vibrations and phase stability in NbMoTaW high entropy alloys. *Entropy* **18**, 403 (2016).
65. Kostichenko, T., Körmann, F., Neugebauer, J. & Shapeev, A. Impact of lattice relaxations on phase transitions in a high-entropy alloy studied by machine-learning potentials. *Npj Comput. Mater.* **5**, 55 (2019).
66. Warren, B. E. *X-Ray Diffraction* (Courier Corporation, 1990).
67. Clouet, E. Elastic energy of a straight dislocation and contribution from core tractions. *Philos. Mag.* **89**, 1565–1584 (2009).
68. Clouet, E. Dislocation core field. I. Modeling in anisotropic linear elasticity theory. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 224111 (2011).
69. Clouet, E., Ventelon, L. & Willaime, F. Dislocation core energies and core fields from first principles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 055502 (2009).
70. Henkelman, G., Jóhannesson, G. & Jónsson, H. *Progress on Theoretical Chemistry and Physics* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000).
71. Henkelman, G., Uberuaga, B. P. & Jónsson, H. A climbing image nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 9901–9904 (2000).
72. Seeger, A. & Holzwarth, U. Slip planes and kink properties of screw dislocations in high-purity niobium. *Philos. Mag.* **86**, 3861–3892 (2006).
73. Lei, Z. et al. Enhanced strength and ductility in a high-entropy alloy via ordered oxygen complexes. *Nature* **563**, 546 (2018).
74. Maresca, F. & Curtin, W. A. Theory of screw dislocation strengthening in random BCC alloys from dilute to “high-entropy” alloys. *Acta Mater.* **182**, 144–162 (2020).
75. Zunger, A., Wei, S. H., Ferreira, L. & Bernard, J. E. Special quasirandom structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 353 (1990).
76. Gao, M.C., Niu, C., Jiang, C. & Irving, D.L. Applications of special quasi-random structures to high-entropy alloys. In *High-Entropy Alloys*, pp 333–368 (Springer, Cham, 2016).
77. Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953 (1994).
78. Kresse, G. & Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 1758 (1999).
79. Monkhorst, H. J. & Pack, J. D. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 5188 (1976).
80. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
81. Hastings, W. K. Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications. *Biometrika* **57**, 97–109 (1970).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division, under contract no. DE-AC02-05-CH11231 within the Damage Tolerance in Structural Materials (KC 13) program. The study made use of resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), which is also supported by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the US Department of Energy under the same contract number.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.Y., M.A., and R.O.R. conceived the research, S.Y. and J.D. performed the numerical simulations and analyzed the results, and M.A. and R.O.R. supervised the research. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-020-00377-5>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.A. or R.O.R.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

This is a U.S. government work and not under copyright protection in the U.S.; foreign copyright protection may apply 2020