MATTERS ARISING OPEN (Reply to: PREDICT underestimates survival of patients with HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer

Elisa Agostinetto 1, Evandro de Azambuja 1 and Matteo Lambertini 12,3 E

REPLYING TO Ahmed M. Alaa et al. npj Breast Cancer https://doi.org/10.1038/s41523-023-00514-5 (2023)

npj Breast Cancer (2023)9:14; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41523-023-00515-4

Refining prognostication in patients with early breast cancer represents one of the main challenges of modern oncology. In our prior analysis within the phase III ALTTO trial, PREDICT showed to underestimate survival of patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer. Alaa and colleagues tested PREDICT in patients from the UK National Cancer Registry. Consistently with our findings, PREDICT highly underestimated the survival of patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer. In this manuscript, we compare the findings of both studies, and we discuss the future perspective of prognostication in breast cancer.

We read with great interest the *Matters Arising* manuscript by Alaa and colleagues¹, in which the authors present a new prognostic model guided by artificial intelligence to improve the accuracy of survival prediction in patients with early breast cancer.

In their study, Alaa and colleagues analysed the data of 395,862 patients extracted from the UK National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service (NCRAS)¹. First, they conducted a validation experiment to test the prognostic performance of PREDICT in this cohort; secondly, they tested a new artificial intelligence-based tool (i.e., *Adjutorium*, available at https://adjutorium-breastcancer.herokuapp.com/) to evaluate its prognostic performance in the same cohort¹.

The first part of their study, namely the validation of PREDICT in the NCRAS cohort, allows an indirect comparison with our previously published findings², in which we evaluated the prognostic performance of PREDICT in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer enrolled in the phase III, randomized ALTTO trial³.

Both our results and those from Alaa and colleagues were consistent in showing that PREDICT underestimates the survival of patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer. When observing the magnitude of this underestimation, we found an absolute difference in 5-year overall survival of -6.69% (95% confidence interval -7.55 to -5.83), compared to -16% in the study by Alaa et al. (Table 1). This difference in the magnitude of underestimation could be attributed to the different population analysed. The cohort evaluated by Alaa and colleagues consists in a large real-world population, with diagnoses of breast cancer ranging from 2000 and 2016, and likely heterogeneous in terms of treatments received. On the contrary, our cohort from the ALTTO trials included patients randomized in a phase III trial enrolling between June 2007 and July 2011.

On the other hand, some differences exist between our study and the one from Alaa and colleagues. First, they evaluated the prognostic performance of PREDICT also in patients with HER2negative breast cancer (analysis not performed in our study, that included only patients with HER2-positive breast cancer). In HER2negative breast cancer, PREDICT underestimated survival by 1% only. This finding may suggest that PREDICT still has a role in hormone receptor-positive breast cancers, i.e., the subtype for which this tool was originally developed.

Alaa and colleagues also tested PREDICT in patients with estrogen receptor (ER) positive breast cancer from the NCRAS registry data, apparently regardless of HER2-status. In this subcohort, PREDICT underestimated survival. Nonetheless, we believe that it would be more informative to add further granularity in this analysis, by evaluating separately ER-positive/HER2-negative vs. ER-positive/HER2-positive vs. ER-negative/HER2-positive tumours.

| | Agostinetto et al. | Alaa et al. |
|--|---|--|
| Study population | Patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer enrolled in the ALTTO trial | Real-world patients with early breast cancer extracted from the UK NCRAS registry |
| Ν | 2794 | 395,862 |
| Enrollment years | 2007–2011 | 2000–2016 |
| Underestimation of 5-year OS by PREDICT in HER2-positive early breast cancer | 7% | 16% |
| Underestimation of 5-year OS by PREDICT in HER2-negative early breast cancer | NA | 1% |

¹Institut Jules Bordet and l'Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium. ²Department of Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties (DiMI), School of Medicine, University of Genova, Genova, Italy. ³Department of Medical Oncology, UOC Clinica di Oncologia Medica, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy. ^{Senova}, Italy. ^{Senova}, Italy.



| Table 2. Prognostic | Prognostic models for patients with early breast cancer. | ch early breast cancer. | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Name | Type of information | Information analyzed | Recommendation by ASCO guidelines | |
| | анаугец | | ER + /HER2- | HER2 + (ER + or ER-) |
| Oncotype-DX | Genomic | 21 genes | Pre and post-menopausal patients with node- negative disease (high/strong) Postmenopausal patients with 1-3 positive nodes (high/strong) | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| Mammaprint | Genomic | 70 genes | —Postmenopausal patients with high clinical risk and node-negative disease (intermediate/strong) —Postmenopausal patients with high clinical risk and 1–3 positive nodes (intermediate/strong) | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| EndoPredict | Genomic + Clinical | 12 genes $+$ tumor size and nodal status | Postmenopausal patients with node-negative disease (intermediate/moderate) Postmenopausal patients with 1-3 positive nodes (intermediate/moderate) | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| Prosigna | Genomic + Clinical | Intrinsic subtype (PAM50) + proliferation genes + Tumor size + nodal status | Postmenopausal patients with node-negative disease (intermediate/moderate) | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| Breast Cancer Index (BCI) | Genomic | HOXB13:IL17BR (H/I) ratio Molecular Grade Index (MGI) | —Postmenopausal patients with node-negative disease (intermediate/moderate) —Postmenopausal patients with 1–3 positive nodes (intermediate/moderate) —Patients who received 5 years of endocrine therapy | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| RSClin | Genomic + Clinical | 21 genes (i.e., Oncotype RS) + age, tumor size, and grade | No specific recommendations so far | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| IHC4 | Clinical | ER, PgR, HER2, Ki67 | -Postmenopausal patients with node-negative disease (intermediate/moderate)* -Postmenopausal patients with 1-3 positive nodes (intermediate/moderate)* *only if locally validated and in case of no access to genomic tests. | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| PREDICT | Clinical | Age, menopausal status, ER, HER2, Ki67, tumor size, nodal status, grade, detection modality, treatments | No specific recommendations so far | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| CTS5 | Clinical | Age, tumor size, grade, nodal status | May be used in patients who received 5 years of endocrine therapy | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| Regan risk score | Clinical | Age, nodal status, tumor size, grade, ER, PgR, Ki67 | No specific recommendations so far | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |
| Adjutorium | Clinical | Age, tumor size, nodal status, grade, detection mode, ER, HER2, treatments | No specific recommendations so far | No mature evidence to recommend use in this population |

Of note, these subgroups are associated with different clinicalpathological and molecular characteristics⁴, and merging all together could easily results in biases. Going even further, additional attention to more specific subgroups, like ER-low⁵ and HER2-low⁶ breast cancers, is getting increasing interest and could provide interesting insights.

Furthermore, Alaa and colleagues presented a new prognostic model, *Adjutorium*, based on artificial intelligence, to improve the accuracy of survival prediction in patients with early breast cancer. *Adjutorium* was associated with better survival estimation in all the analyzed sub-cohorts (i.e., HER2-positive and ER-positive). In a prior publication by the same team, *Adjutorium* was compared to PREDICT and showed improved discriminatory accuracy⁷. These data are encouraging, and support further investigation of this tool. Hence, we fully support the interest of Alaa and colleagues in testing this model in patients enrolled in the ALTTO trial.

All these research efforts underline the relevance of prognostication in patients with early breast cancer. Traditionally, this was considered as an important topic especially for patients with hormone receptor-positive/HER2-negative disease, for whom prognostication could affect the choice of adding adjuvant chemotherapy before endocrine therapy initiation as well as for extended duration of endocrine therapy (Table 2)^{8,9}. However, also in HER2positive disease prognostication has paramount importance. Indeed, although most patients receive (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy as per current standard of care, prognostication can still impact crucial aspects of patient's life, including for instance family planning in premenopausal women¹⁰. Moreover, an increasing number of clinical trials are testing de-escalation and escalation treatment strategies to optimize the therapeutic approach of patients with all subtypes of breast cancer. It is likely that in the future there will be an increasing incorporation of molecular information to provide reliable prognostic estimates¹¹. Nevertheless, online tools like Adjutorium retain the strengths to be free, publicly available, fast, and easy-to-use in daily clinical practice.

In the rapidly changing treatment landscape for early breast cancer, the challenge of prognostic models is to allow a promptly adaptation to the use of new therapies and to the subsequent changes in patients' outcomes.

REPORTING SUMMARY

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Received: 3 November 2022; Accepted: 8 February 2023; Published online: 16 March 2023

REFERENCES

- Alaa, A., Harris, A. & van der Schaar, M. PREDICT underestimates survival of patients with HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer. npj Breast Cancer 9, 1–3 (2023).
- Agostinetto, E. et al. PREDICT underestimates survival of patients with HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer. NPJ breast cancer 8, 87 (2022).
- 3. Moreno-Aspitia, A. et al. Updated results from the international phase III ALTTO trial (BIG 2-06/Alliance N063D). *Eur. J. Cancer* **148**, 287–296 (2021).
- Lambertini, M. et al. Dissecting the effect of hormone receptor status in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer: exploratory analysis from the ALTTO (BIG 2-06) randomized clinical trial. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **177**, 103–114 (2019).
- Schrodi, S. et al. Outcome of breast cancer patients with low hormone receptor positivity: analysis of a 15-year population-based cohort. *Ann. Oncol.* 32, 1410–1424 (2021).

- Molinelli, C. et al. HER2-low breast cancer: where are we? Breast Care 17, 533–545 (2022).
- Alaa, A. M., Gurdasani, D., Harris, A. L., Rashbass, J. & van der Schaar, M. Machine learning to guide the use of adjuvant therapies for breast cancer. *Nat. Mach. Intell.* 3, 716–726 (2021).
- 8. Villarreal-Garza, C. et al. Clinical utility of genomic signatures in young breast cancer patients: a systematic review. *npj Breast Cancer* **6**, 46 (2020).
- Andre, F. et al. Biomarkers for Adjuvant Endocrine and Chemotherapy in Early-Stage Breast Cancer: ASCO Guideline Update. J. Clin. Oncol. 40, 1816–1837 (2022).
- Lambertini, M. et al. Fertility preservation and post-treatment pregnancies in post-pubertal cancer patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann. Oncol.* 31, 1664–1678 (2020).
- 11. Prat, A. et al. Development and validation of the new HER2DX assay for predicting pathological response and survival outcome in early-stage HER2-positive breast cancer. *EBioMedicine* **75**, 103801 (2022).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

E.A., E.d.A., and M.L. conceived the work and provided substantial contribution to the interpretation of data. E.A. wrote the first draft of the paper. E.A. produced the manuscript tables. All authors have read, reviewed, and approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors are accountable for all aspects of the work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests related to the present work. E.A. received consultancy fees/honoraria from Eli Lilly, Sandoz, AstraZeneca; research grant to her Institution from Gilead; support for attending medical conferences from Novartis, Roche, Eli Lilly, Genetic, Istituto Gentili, Daiichi Sankyo (all outside the submitted work). E.d.A. received honoraria and/or advisory board from Roche/GNE, Novartis, Seattle Genetics, Zodiac, Libbs and Pierre Fabre. Travel grants from Roche/GNE and GSK/Novartis. Research grant to his institution from Roche/GNE, Astra-Zeneca, GSK/ Novartis and Servier (all outside the submitted work). M.L. received honoraria and/or advisory board from Roche, Sandoz, GSK/ Novartis, Calido Sand, Seagen, MSD, Exact Sciences, Takeda, Ipsen, Sandoz, Libbs and Knight, a travel grant from Gilead and research support (to the Institution) from Gilead (all outside the submitted work).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41523-023-00515-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Matteo Lambertini.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023