ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

Genotoxic stress stimulates eDNA release *via* explosive cell lysis and thereby promotes streamer formation of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* H111 cultured in a microfluidic device

Zaira Heredia-Ponce¹, Eleonora Secchi², Masanori Toyofuku³, Gabriela Marinova¹, Giovanni Savorana² and Leo Eberl ¹

DNA is a component of biofilms, but the triggers of DNA release during biofilm formation and how DNA contributes to biofilm development are poorly investigated. One key mechanism involved in DNA release is explosive cell lysis, which is a consequence of prophage induction. In this article, the role of explosive cell lysis in biofilm formation was investigated in the opportunistic human pathogen *Burkholderia cenocepacia* H111 (H111). Biofilm streamers, flow-suspended biofilm filaments, were used as a biofilm model in this study, as DNA is an essential component of their matrix. H111 contains three prophages on chromosome 1 of its genome, and the involvement of each prophage in causing explosive cell lysis of the host and subsequent DNA and membrane vesicle (MV) release, as well as their contribution to streamer formation, were studied in the presence and absence of genotoxic stress. The results show that two of the three prophages of H111 encode functional lytic prophages that can be induced by genotoxic stress and their activation causes DNA and MVs release by explosive cell lysis. Furthermore, it is shown that the released DNA enables the strain to develop biofilm streamers, and streamer formation can be enhanced by genotoxic stress. Overall, this study demonstrates the involvement of prophages in streamer formation and uncovers an often-overlooked problem with the use of antibiotics that trigger the bacterial SOS response for the treatment of bacterial infections.

npj Biofilms and Microbiomes (2023)9:96; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-023-00464-7

INTRODUCTION

Biofilms are bacterial communities enclosed in an extracellular matrix of polysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids¹. The matrix components interact with each other, such as polysaccharides with eDNA (extracellular DNA) and polysaccharides with proteins, to form an interconnected mesh that surrounds the cells and protects them against environmental stressors²⁻⁶. The importance of DNA in biofilm formation was reported for the first time just twenty years ago in a study made on Pseudomonas *aeruginosa*⁷. Since then, DNA has been shown to be important for biofilm formation in many other bacterial species. Previous studies have shown that DNA-degrading enzymes (DNases) can inhibit biofilm formation, disperse preformed biofilms or weaken their structure in P. aeruginosa, Listeria monocytogenes, Vibrio cholerae and Staphylococcus aureus⁸⁻¹². eDNA has also been shown to be an essential component of streamers, which are flow-suspended biofilm filaments^{13,14}. Although the importance of DNA to maintain and modulate biofilm structure is unquestionable, the triggers of DNA release during biofilm formation and the integration of DNA into the biofilm matrix are poorly understood. Several studies have demonstrated that the DNA in the biofilm matrix originates from the genomic DNA of bacteria within the biofilm^{15–17}. According to the literature, DNA can be released from bacterial cells into the extracellular space through active secretion, cell lysis or via MVs^{18,19}. Cell lysis can occur either from autolysis, or prophage induction²⁰. It has been previously reported that autolysis plays an essential role in DNA release and biofilm formation in the Gram-positive bacteria S. aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, and Enterococcus faecalis. In these species, the deletion of autolysin genes (*cidA*, *atlE*, and *atn*, respectively)

reduced the amount of DNA in biofilms and had a dramatic impact on biofilm morphology and adherence^{15,21–23}. Prophage induction causes host cell lysis and, consequently, DNA release in subpopulations of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Shewanella oneidensis*, leading to enhanced biofilm formation^{24,25}. In interstitial biofilms of *P. aeruginosa*, cell lysis triggered by a phage-derived endolysin encoded in the bacterial genome causes DNA and membrane vesicles (MVs) release²⁶. Since prophage induction causes DNA release²⁷ and DNA forms the backbone of streamers¹⁴, we speculate that prophage induction might control streamer formation.

Prophages are common in prokaryotic genomes, especially in pathogens, where they may account for as much as 20% of their genome^{28–31}. Temperate prophages remain stably integrated into the host genome and replicate along with the host. However, they can enter the lytic cycle in response to certain environmental conditions, typically genotoxic stress, such as exposure to UV light or DNA-damaging agents^{27,32,33}. Genotoxic stress activates the bacterial SOS response, a conserved and widespread general stress response system that allows bacteria to respond to DNA damage. Regulation via the SOS system depends on two regulatory proteins³⁴: the LexA repressor, which inhibits expression of SOS genes during regular growth by blocking their transcription through binding to specific DNA sequences (SOS boxes) in the promoter regions of target genes³⁵ and the RecA protein, which binds to single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) generated by DNA damage or inhibition of replication. The SOS response is activated after DNA damage by the accumulation of ssDNA, around which RecA forms a filament and becomes activated³⁶ The activated form of RecA interacts with the LexA repressor and

¹Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Zürich, 8008 Zürich, Switzerland. ²Institute of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland. ³Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, Microbiology Research Center for Sustainability (MiCS), University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan. ^{See}email: leberl@botinst.uzh.ch

facilitates its self-cleavage³⁷. As a consequence, expression of SOS genes is de-repressed. In most bacteria, the SOS regulon comprises genes required for different DNA repair and recombination pathways^{38,39}, cell division and septum formation⁴⁰. Importantly, RecA also activates self-cleavage of phage repressors and thus induces the expression of lytic genes that promote DNA replication, phage particle assembly, DNA packaging, host DNA degradation and eventually bacterial lysis^{41,42}. The most commonly used agent to induce the SOS response is mitomycin C (MMC), which forms covalent bonds between DNA strands and thereby prevents DNA replication and transcription⁴³. Although MMC shows antibacterial activity, it is primarily used as an anticancer drug in chemotherapy to treat various types of cancer in the clinic⁴⁴. Some other antibiotics, particularly quinolones such as ciprofloxacin (CPFLX), which inhibits the bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV, also induce the cellular SOS response by blocking DNA synthesis and replication⁴⁵. CPFLX is primarily used as an antibiotic to treat a wide variety of bacterial infections, including lung infections in cystic fibrosis patients (CF)⁴⁶

In this study, we investigated the role of explosive cell lysis in streamer formation in the opportunistic human pathogen *B. cenocepacia* H111. Representatives of *B. cenocepacia* are problematic opportunistic pathogens in patients suffering from CF, structural lung diseases and in immunocompromised individuals^{47–49}. In particular, H111 was isolated from the sputum sample of a cystic fibrosis patient and carries three independent prophages in its genome^{50,51}. In this study, we evaluated the contribution of each of these prophages to eDNA release and streamer formation of H111 in the absence and presence of genotoxic stress by using the DNA-damaging agents MMC and CPFLX.

RESULTS

B. cenocepacia H111 carries three putative prophages in its genome

Prophages are viruses whose genetic material has been incorporated into the bacterial genome or exist as an extrachromosomal plasmid within a bacterial host cell³¹. *B. cenocepacia* H111 has three prophages in chromosome 1 of its genome⁵¹. These prophages were previously identified by the prophage-finding program PHAge Search Tool (PHAST), and one of them was affiliated with the *myovirus* family⁵¹. In full agreement with this study, we identified the same three putative prophage regions in the *B. cenocepacia* H111 genome using an updated version of the PHAST program (PHASTER)^{52,53}. The previously characterized phage of H111, which corresponds to the phage encoded in region 3 (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2), shows a relatively narrow infection range outside *B. cenocepacia*⁵¹. Updated information on the three phage regions, including the length of prophage DNA, their exact location in the genome, the number of phage proteins and annotations can be found in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Genotoxic stress induces prophages in B. cenocepacia H111

The transition between lysogenic and lytic cycles can occur spontaneously at a low frequency, but is often strongly increased by genotoxic stress^{33,54}. To investigate whether prophage induction in B. cenocepacia H111 is influenced by genotoxic stress, growth of the H111 wildtype strain and the H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ triple mutant, in which all the three putative endolysins identified in the H111 prophage regions were deleted, was followed by determining CFUs/ml and measuring optical density at 600 nm. As a control, we also included P. putida IsoF (IsoF), a strain that naturally does not have a prophage in its genome. CFUs decreased with higher MMC concentrations in all three strains tested. However, optical density measurements were more similar between control and treatments in both H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ and IsoF compared to the H111 wildtype (Fig. 1), suggesting increased resistance to cell lysis in the absence of functional endolysins. MMC 200 ng mL⁻¹ was chosen for further experiments, as in our experimental conditions this concentration induces prophages but does not kill the entire bacterial population (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 The effect of MMC on growth of B. cenocepacia H111. a, b Growth curves of *B. cenocepacia* H111 wildtype in the presence of different MMC concentrations (a OD600; b log CFU mL⁻¹); c, d Growth curves of *B. cenocepacia* H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ in the presence of different MMC concentrations (c OD600; d log CFU mL⁻¹); e, f growth curves of *P. putida* lsoF in the presence of different MMC concentrations (e OD600; f log CFU mL⁻¹). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Charts show data obtained from three independent experiments. MMC concentrations are expressed in ng/mL⁻¹.



Fig. 2 The role of prophage-encoded endolysins in MMC-triggered cell lysis. a Amount of DNA (SYTOX fluorescence) in cell-free supernatants of the H111 wildtype and its derived endolysin mutant strains grown without treatment (left, circles) and treated with MMC (right, squares). Individual measurements are represented with geometrical shapes and the mean is represented with bars. Three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates, were performed. **b** Amount of membrane vesicles (particles/ml) in cell-free supernatants of the H111 wildtype and its derived endolysin mutant strains grown without treatment (left, circles) and treated with MMC (right, squares). Individual measurements are represented with geometrical shapes and the mean is represented with bars. From three to six biological replicates were performed. Each individual measurement (geometrical shapes and the mean is represented with bars. From three to six biological replicates were performed. Each individual measurement (geometrical shape) represents the average value of 15 technical replicates. **c** Representative TEM images of membrane vesicles extractions from cultures of the H111 wildtype and its derived endolysin mutant strains, all treated with MMC. Different phage structures are indicated with arrows in different colours (red, green). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM); scale bar 100 nm. Statistical significance between control and treatment was determined using the Holm–Sidak method, with a = 0.05; **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.01; ns not significant, RFU relative fluorescence units.

Prophage induction causes DNA and MVs release from *B. cenocepacia* H111

The influence of genotoxic stress in prophage induction and subsequent cell lysis was further investigated by determining the amounts of extracellular DNA (eDNA) and MVs in cell-free supernatants of untreated and MMC-treated cultures of the H111 wildtype strain, three double endolysin mutants (H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2,$ H111 $\Delta lys1, lys3$ and H111 $\Delta lys2, lys3$) and the triple endolysin mutant H111 Δlys1,lys2,lys3. The results show that the H111 wildtype releases about two to three times more DNA to the extracellular space when treated with MMC when compared to its control (Fig. 2a). MMC treatment of the H111 wildtype caused DNA release via explosive cell lysis, as observed by epifluorescence microscopy (Video 1). The amount of DNA released by the double mutants H111 Δ /ys1,/ys3, and H111 Δ /ys2,/ys3 increased by a factor of about 2 upon MMC treatment, while no significant increase was observed in the H111 $\Delta lys1$, lys2 and the H111 $\Delta lys1$, lys2, lys3 strains (Fig. 2a). In addition to eDNA, the H111 wildtype, H111 Δ lys1,lys3, and H111 *Alys2,lys3* released substantially more MVs to the extracellular space when treated with MMC relative to the untreated controls (Fig. 2b). As with eDNA release, this was not the case with strains H111 Δlys1,lys2 and H111 Δlys1,lys2,lys3 (Fig. 2a, b). Likewise, increased eDNA release into the surrounding medium was observed when CPFLX, a fluoroquinolone antibiotic that also induces the SOS response, was used instead of MMC (Supplementary Fig. 1). It is important to note that all strains used in these experiments produced MVs with a similar size distribution regardless of whether they had been treated with MMC or not (Supplementary Fig. 2). Using TEM, two morphologically different phage structures were observed in MVs preparations of the H111 wildtype, while only one of the two was detected in samples of the double mutants H111 $\Delta lys1$, lys3 and in H111 $\Delta lys2$, lys3 (Fig. 2c). The MVs preparations of the H111 Δlys1,lys3 strain showed phage structures that resemble tail sheaths, and MVs preparations of the H111 $\Delta lys2, lys3$ include contractile phage tail structures with tail fibres (Fig. 2c). No phage structures were observed in MVs samples of $\Delta lys1, lys2$ and H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ strains (Fig. 2c). Collectively, these results suggest that prophage regions containing lys1 and lys2 endolysins encode phage tails that, when induced, lyse the host cell. By contrast, the prophage region containing lys3 appears to be compromised and is not involved in the SOS-induced cell lysis.

To evaluate whether MMC or CPFLX treatments could cause eDNA release as a consequence of bacterial killing by the antibiotic rather than by triggering explosive cell lysis due genotoxic stress, we decided to heterologously express an holinendolysin cassette of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, in the H111 *Δlys1,lys2,lys3* mutant strain (Fig. 3). The construct used contains



Fig. 3 eDNA release and MV formation depends on endolysin-triggered explosive cell lysis in *B. cenocepacia* **H111.** Heterologous expression of an endolysin-holin cassette of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 in the H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ mutant strain caused explosive cells lysis and concomitant release of eDNA and MVs. The H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ mutant carrying the empty pJN105 plasmid was used as a control. Cultures were grown for 4 h prior to the induction of the endolysin-holin cassette by the addition of 2% arabinose. Samples were taken after 20 h of growth under inducing conditions. **a** OD600; **b** amount of eDNA in cell-free culture supernatants as determined by staining with SYTOX; **c** amount of membrane vesicles (particles/ml) in cell-free culture supernatants. Charts show data obtained from three independent biological replicates. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was calculated using an unpaired *t*-test with a = 0.05; **P* < 0.05; **P* < 0.01; RFU relative fluorescence units.

the genes PA0614 and PA0629 encoding a holin and the endolysin Lys²⁶, respectively, under the control of an arabinoseinducible promoter. The holin, which generate pores in the cytoplasmic membrane, is required for the endolysin to reach the peptidoglycan layer, which is then degraded by the enzyme⁵⁵. Expression of the holin-lys cassette in the H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ mutant strain caused cells lysis and concomitant release of eDNA and MVs (Fig. 3). These results show that the induction of endolysin-triggered cell lysis is required for eDNA release and is not affected by the killing activity of the antibiotic.

Prophage induction enhances biofilm streamer formation in *B. cenocepacia* H111

We used a microfluidic assay to test the influence of prophage induction on biofilm streamer formation in the H111 wildtype and the various endolysin mutants. In these experiments, we exclusively used CPFLX to induce explosive cell lysis and concomitant eDNA release, as CPFLX is of clinical relevance for the treatment of *B. cenocepacia* infections^{56,57} whereas MMC is not. As eDNA is an essential constituent of the streamer matrix, we visualized it by staining with PI (Fig. 4). The results of the streamer assay were consistent with the data obtained for eDNA and MV release: the wildtype, the H111 $\Delta lys1$, lys3 and H111 $\Delta lys2$, lys3 double mutants formed streamers, but H111 *Alys1,lys2* or H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ were not able to form them (Fig. 4a–e, left panel). An increase in eDNA accumulation on streamers was observed with the H111 wildtype, H111 Δlys1,lys3 and H111 Δlys2,lys3 when treated with CPFLX (Fig. 4a, c, d, right panel). The presence of CPFLX did not restore streamer formation of strains H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2$ and H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ (Fig. 4b, e, right panel). The difference in streamers formation between the different mutants could be quantified by the number of pillars where streamers were formed (Fig. 4f) and by the quantity of eDNA per unit length of the streamer, \bar{I}_{str} , as assessed by the red fluorescence intensity of PI staining (Fig. 4g, Methods). The number of pillars colonized by the streamers, as well as the quantity of eDNA were significantly higher when the H111 wildtype or the double mutants H111 $\Delta lys1$, *lys3* and H111 $\Delta lys2$, *lys3* were treated with CPFLX, compared to the untreated controls (Fig. 4g). H111 not only formed streamers but also colonized the surface of the microfluid devices. However, no significant differences in bacterial surface coverage (Supplementary Fig. 3a) or eDNA release on the surface (Supplementary Fig. 3b) were observed between strains regardless of whether CPFLX was present or not.

The SOS response in *B. cenocepacia* H111 is coupled with eDNA release and streamer formation

The activation of the bacterial SOS response during streamer formation was investigated in the presence and absence of genotoxic stress by following the expression of a transcriptional fusion of gfp to the recA promoter. In liquid culture, the GFP signal increased approximately 3 and 1.1-fold in the presence of the DNA-damaging agents MMC and CPFLX, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 4). We next used this biosensor to monitor SOS induction in biofilms formed in our microfluidic device by measuring the fluorescence intensity over time. Even without the addition of a genotoxic agent, we observed a high level of recA expression in streamers (Fig. 5) as well as in the cells attached to the surface of microfluidic devices (Supplementary Fig. 5). This agrees with previous reports that showed that cells growing in biofilms experience stress, and as a consequence, a considerably higher proportion of the population is SOS induced when compared to planktonic cells^{58,59}. Upon exposure to CPFLX, a 9% and 2% increased GFP signal was observed in streamers (Fig. 5) and cells attached to the microfluidic surface (Supplementary Fig. 5), respectively. This increase in GFP fluorescence intensity in the streamers was paralleled by an increase in the quantity of eDNA visualized by PI staining, indicating that stimulation of the SOS response in the presence of CPFLX is coupled to an increase of eDNA release, which in turn results in larger streamer structures.



Fig. 4 The role of endolysins in streamer formation. a–e Representative red fluorescence (eDNA) images of the biofilm streamers formed by *Burkholderia cenocepacia* H111 wt (a), H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2$ (b), H111 $\Delta lys1, lys3$ (c), H111 $\Delta lys2, lys3$ (d) and H111 $\Delta lys1, lys3$ (e) strains attached to a 50-µm pillar after 15 h of continuous flow of a diluted bacterial suspension at U = 2 mm/s, containing CPFLX at concentrations 0 ng mL⁻¹ (left) and 300 ng mL⁻¹ (right). The images were taken at channel mid-depth and are representative of the streamer morphology obtained with the different mutant strains. Scale bar 25 µm. **f** Percentage of 50-µm pillars (%) where streamers were formed after 15 h of a continuous flow of a diluted bacterial suspension at U = 2 mm/s, containing CPFLX at concentrations of 0 ng mL⁻¹ (light coloured bars, left) and 300 ng mL⁻¹ (coloured bars, right). The percentage is calculated over 36 pillars. **g** Red fluorescence intensity of the streamers on each pillar, l_{str} (points), and average red fluorescence intensity of the streamers, $\langle l_{str} \rangle$ (triangles), measured after 15 h of a continuous flow of different *B. cenocepacia* H111 strains with CPFLX at concentrations of 0 ng mL⁻¹ (right). The average red fluorescence intensity is calculated on the formed streamers, whose number is different depending on the strain (**f**). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM).

DISCUSSION

Over the past few years, eDNA has emerged as an important biofilm matrix component. It is generally believed that, in most bacteria, the eDNA originates from cell lysis¹⁹. Given that induction of lysogenic prophages leads to DNA release from its host via explosive cell lysis^{24,25}, and that DNA forms the backbone of biofilm streamers¹⁴, we speculated that prophages could play a crucial role in streamer formation. The key enzymes triggering explosive cell lysis are endolysins. These enzymes, which hydrolyse the peptidoglycan layer of the cell wall, are normally expressed at the late stage of the phage lytic cycle, as they are required for progeny escape⁶⁰. To inactivate the lytic potential of the three prophages identified in the H111 genome, we not only deleted each of the three putative endolysins encoded by the three prophage regions but also generated various double mutants as well as a triple mutant. To assess the contribution of each of the endolysins in cell lysis, we followed growth in liquid culture by measuring optical densities and determining CFUs. In accordance with previous studies demonstrating that prophage induction leads to a decrease in optical density⁶¹, we observed that in the presence of 200 ng mL⁻¹ MMC, the *B. cenocepacia* triple endolysin mutant showed less cell lysis (i.e. reduction of optical density) than the H111 wildtype while the reduction of CFUs was comparable between the strains (Fig. 1). The decreased lysis of the triple endolysin mutant in the presence of MMC was also seen in the reduced amounts of eDNA and MVs released by the strain relative to the wildtype (Fig. 2a, b). We also observed that many cells of the H111 wildtype strain growing on the surface of our microfluidic devices were embedded in strings of eDNA, while PI staining of the triple endolysin mutant identified dead cells but very little eDNA strings resulting from cell lysis (Fig. 6). Moreover, we show that heterologous expression of an holin-endolysin cassette of P. aeruginosa in the H111 Δlys1,lys2,lys3 mutant strain caused explosive cells lysis and concomitant release of eDNA and MVs (Fig. 3). Collectively, these results show that induction of endolysin-triggered cell lysis is required for eDNA release independent of the killing effect of the inducing genotoxic agent.



Fig. 5 CPFLX induces the SOS response and stimulates streamer formation. a, **b** Representative GFP fluorescence (cells) and red fluorescence intensity (eDNA) images of the biofilm streamers formed by *B. cenocepacia* H111 pPROBE-*Pr_recA* cells attached to a 50-µm pillar after 15 h of a continuous flow of a diluted bacterial suspension at U = 2 mm/s, containing CPFLX at concentrations of 0 ng mL⁻¹ (left) and 300 ng mL⁻¹ (right). The images were taken at channel mid-depth and are representative of the streamers morphology. Scale bar 25 µm. **c** Red fluorescence intensity of the streamers, *l*_{str} (points), and average red fluorescence intensity of the streamers, $\langle I_{str} \rangle$ (triangles), measured after 15 h of a continuous flow of different *B. cenocepacia* H111 pPROBE-*Pr_recA* cells with CPFLX at concentrations of 0 ng mL⁻¹ (left) and 300 ng mL⁻¹ (right). The average fluorescence intensity is calculated on 10 streamers. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). **d** GFP fluorescence intensity of standard error of the mean (SEM).

Inactivation of the three endolysins encoded by the prophage regions present in H111 as well as the construction of various double endolysin mutants revealed that, under the conditions used, only lys1 and lys2, but not lys3, are required to trigger explosive cell lysis and consequently DNA and MVs release (Fig. 2a, b). This suggests that the prophage linked to the lys3 endolysin gene is silent or non-functional. This hypothesis is supported by the finding that the phage structures detected in the supernatant of the wildtype strain could be linked to the prophages connected to the endolysins lys1 and lys2 (Fig. 2c). Prophage region 3, which encodes Lys1, was previously reported to encode the myovirus φH111-1, which was reported to have a broad host range against many clinical isolates of B. cenocepacia and a relatively narrow one against other Burkholderia strains⁵¹. Although we did not detect a complete myrovirus in this study (Fig. 2c), one of the observed phage tails showed a similar structure as the one reported for φH111-1⁵¹.

While eDNA is widely recognized as an important component of the matrix of surface-grown biofilms in many species^{17,20,62}, it was only recently that eDNA was found to be a critical structural element of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm streamers¹⁴. Here, we show that eDNA is also an essential component of *B. cenocepacia* streamers and that their formation depends on two prophages (present in regions 1 and 3; Supplementary Tables 1 and 2), which upon induction cause explosive cell lysis and concomitant eDNA release. While the importance of prophages in the development of surface-associated biofilms is well documented^{24,25,63,64}, additional work will be required to confirm that prophages are more widely required for streamer formation.

Streamer formation caused by prophage induction in H111 is coupled with the bacterial SOS response, as a significantly higher *recA* promoter activity was detected under CPFLX treatment than in the untreated condition (Fig. 5). This observation is in line with previous studies, in which a link between prophage induction and the activation of the bacterial SOS response was reported^{36,65}. The increase in *recA* promoter activity under CPFLX treatment in streamers and surface-attached cells is significant compared to the untreated control, but very low (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 5). The results obtained in growth curves suggest that these differences might be low because CPFLX is a weaker SOS-inducing agent than MMC (Supplementary Fig. 4). Besides, bacteria in flow experience a high level of stress that may result in a high background of *recA* promoter activation, which is supported by a recent study in which shear rate in microfluidic devices generates a sustained stress response in *P. aeruginosa*⁶⁶.

In summary, this study demonstrates that prophage induction is essential for streamer formation in the opportunistic pathogen B. cenocepacia H111. Furthermore, we show that genotoxic compounds triggering the bacterial SOS response stimulate streamer formation via explosive cell lysis and concomitant eDNA release. Interestingly, the results obtained in this study suggest that prophages not only can boost the effects of antibiotics in bacterial populations by enhancing explosive cell lysis, but at the same time also enhance biofilm formation, in which cells are known to be more resistant to antibiotics⁶⁷. However, although streamers are considered biofilm structures, it is currently unknown whether streamer cells are similarly resistant to stress as cells in surfaceattached biofilms. In any case, our data suggest that care must be taken in the choice of antibiotics for treating bacterial infections, as SOS-inducing antibiotics used at concentrations that are insufficient to kill the entire bacterial population may not only promote biofilm formation but also the formation of MVs that protect the cells from certain antibiotics^{68–70}.

METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

The bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Tables 3–5, respectively. *Escherichia coli* was used as a host for the plasmid constructs and was routinely grown on Lysogeny Broth (LB, Difco, 240210) at 37 °C and 220 rpm. *B. cenocepacia* H111 cultures were grown in LB at 37 °C

and 220 rpm. *Pseudomonas* sp. IsoF (IsoF) was used as a control in some experiments and was grown at 30 °C and 220 rpm. For mutant selection or transconjugants, *Pseudomonas* isolation agar (PIA, Difco, 292710) was used. Growth curves were done in 100 ml flasks with 30 ml of LB. The flasks were initially inoculated at 0.01 a.u (OD600) and grown for 4 h before treatment with MMC or CPFLX. Treatment with mitomycin C (MMC) or ciprofloxacin (CPFLX) lasted 4 h (total growth time: 8 h). To obtain a rough estimate of the sensitivity of H111 to MMC and CPFLX, the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by classic broth dilution assays in LB medium. Growth in the presence of different concentrations of MMC and CPFLX was measured as OD600 nm after 24 h of growth at 37 °C in a 96-well plate. The determined MIC concentrations were 200 ng mL⁻¹ for MMC and 150 ng mL⁻¹ for CPFLX.

The pJN105*hol_lys* plasmid for expression of the holin PA0614 and the endolysin PA0629 (Lys) of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (PAO1) were constructed as follows: The two genes were PCR amplified using primers PA0614_F and PA0629H_R and cloned into the BamHI site downstream of the arabinose-inducible promoter on plasmid pJN105. The resulting plasmid was introduced into H111 *Δlys1,lys2,lys3* by triparental conjugation using pRK2013 as helper plasmid. Induction was accomplished by adding a 2% arabinose solution to an exponentially growing culture, following incubation for additional 20 h. If applicable and not otherwise specified, antibiotics were added to the following final concentrations: $100 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ trimetrophim (Tp), $20 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ gentamycin (Gm), $50 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1}$ kanamycin (Km), 200 ng mL⁻¹ mitomycin C (MMC) and 300 ng mL⁻¹ ciprofloxacin (CPFLX).

Bioinformatics

Prophages were re-identified and re-localized in the genome of *B. cenocepacia* H111 using PHASTER (PHAge Search Tool – Enhanced Release). This web server allows the identification and annotation of prophage sequences within bacterial genomes. PHASTER scores prophage regions as intact (score > 90), questionable (score 70–90), or incomplete (score < 70). For more information regarding score calculation, see^{52,53}.

Strain manipulation

Genes encoding for putative hydrolases and/or peptidoglycan binding proteins were selected for mutagenesis to create endolysin mutants. For mutagenesis, 500-1000 bp flanking the gene selected for deletion were cloned into the suicide plasmid pGPI-Scel, which carries a Tp resistance cassette. This plasmid was introduced in B. cenocepacia H111 via triparental conjugation and integrated into the genome by a single recombination event. Then, in a second conjugation step, the pDAI-Scel plasmid was introduced into the strain, after which the I-Scel endonuclease creates a double strand break in the chromosome that stimulates the second recombination event. This second recombination event results in either the wild type or the mutant genotype. The pRK2013 helper plasmid was used to provide the genes encoding the conjugation machinery. In frame deletion mutants were verified by PCR and sequencing, and the pDAI-Scel plasmid was cured. All primers and restriction enzymes used for cloning and to check deletions are listed in Supplementary Table 5.

Extracellular particle quantification

Previous work has shown that endolysin-triggered explosive cell lysis not only leads to the release of eDNA but also to the formation of membrane vesicles (MVs) as a consequence of the self-annealing of membrane fragments^{26,71}. Hence, both eDNA release and MV formation are indicative of explosive cell lysis and both parameters were used in our study to evaluate the specific contributions of each of the H111 prophages. For MV

quantification, cell cultures were grown in 250 ml flasks with 80 ml of LB for 8 h at 37 °C and 220 rpm. The cultures were inoculated with an initial cell density of 0.01 a.u at OD600_{nm}. If applicable, mitomycin C (MMC) was added 4 h after inoculation to a final concentration of 200 ng mL⁻¹. After 8 h of growth, cell cultures were centrifuged at 8000 rpm at 4 °C for 30 min and supernatants were filtered through a 0.45 µm Durapore Membrane Filter (hydrophilic PVDF; Merck). The extracellular particles were quantified directly from filtrates using Nanosight NS300 (Malvern Panalytical), a device used for nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). For NTA, samples were diluted to a final concentration of 20-100 particles/frame using HyClone HyPure Water (GE). Maximum camera detection sensitivity was set, and the processing threshold was set at 5. Three independent experiments were performed, and each experiment represents the mean value of 15 technical replicates.

TEM samples

To prepare samples for phage particle observation under TEM, cell-free filtrates obtained in section "Extracellular particle quantification" were used for ultracentrifugation at 150,000g at 4 °C for 90 min, and pellets were resuspended in double distilled water (ddH₂O). Before sample observation, formvar-coated 300mesh copper grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA) were glow discharged, and samples were diluted 10 times in ddH₂O. Then, the diluted samples were stained with uranyl acetate (UA). For this purpose, the shiny side of the grid was inoculated with 5 µl of the diluted sample for 1 min. Carefully, the grid is put over a UA drop (1%) on parafilm and incubated for 1 min. Then, the grids were dried with filter paper. The samples were visualized using FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit TEM (FEI, Hillsboro, USA) at 120 kV acceleration voltage with the detector side-mounted digital camera Gatan Orius 1000 (4k \times 2.6k pixels). Protein quantification (PierceTM BCA Protein Assay Kit, Thermo Fisher) and lipid quantification (FM™1-43, Invitrogen) of the samples obtained after ultracentrifugation indicate that the extracellular particles that were quantified mostly correspond to membrane vesicles (MVs) (Supplementary Fig. 6).

DNA quantification in supernatants

DNA was quantified in cell-free filtrates obtained in section "Extracellular particle quantification" using the SYTOX fluorescent dye (Life Technologies, USA). For sample preparation, cell-free supernatants were mixed with a 1000× dilution of SYTOX at a 1:10 ratio, respectively. Then, SYTOX fluorescence was measured with a Synergy HT microplate reader (MWGt Sirius HT, Biotek, Germany) using λ 485 nm for excitation and λ 528 nm for emission.

Live cell imaging of DNA release

To observe cell lysis and DNA release, agarose pads were prepared for microscopy. For this, 8–9 mm Ø × 1 mm depth adhesive silicon isolators (Grace BioLabs, JTR8R-1.0) were attached to microscope slides and filled with 64 µL ABC medium with 0.7% agar supplemented with propidium iodide (PI, 250 ng/ml) and MMC (200 ng/ml). Bacterial overnight cultures were washed with NaCl 0.9% and adjusted to a final concentration of 1 a.u. The agar pads were inoculated with 1 µL of the adjusted culture and a cover slip was placed on top after the inoculant had dried. Agarose pads were incubated at room temperature overnight, and the next day cell lysis was monitored every 20 min for a few hours. The focus had to be frequently re-adjusted due to evaporation of the medium. Image acquisition was done using an epifluorescence microscope DM6000B (Leica). Image analysis was performed with Fiji-Image⁷².



Fig. 6 eDNA release of surface-grown microcolonies. a, **b** Representative phase contrast (upper panel) and red fluorescence (DNA, lower panel) images of the surface-attached *B. cenocepacia* H111 wt and H111 $\Delta lys1, lys2, lys3$ cells attached to a glass surface after 15 h of a continuous flow of nutrients at U = 2 mm/s, containing CPFLX at concentrations of 0 ng mL⁻¹ or 300 ng mL⁻¹. Scale bar 50 μ m.

Microfluidics

Cell suspensions were prepared by inoculating 3 mL ABC medium with cells from a frozen stock and incubating overnight at 37 °C, while shaking at 200 rpm. 30 µL of overnight suspension were then inoculated in 3 mL ABC medium and incubated for 6 h at 37 °C, while shaking at 200 rpm. The suspensions were then diluted in fresh Tryptone Broth to final optical density $OD_{600} < 0.01$. Propidium iodide, PI (Sigma Aldrich) was added to the medium to a final concentration of $2 \mu g m L^{-1}$ for eDNA visualization. For antibiotic treatment experiments, ciprofloxacin (Sigma Aldrich) was first dissolved in 0.1 N HCl to a final concentration of 20 mg mL⁻¹ and then further diluted in Tryptone broth (TB) to obtain solutions of final concentration 300 ng mL⁻¹. To analyse streamer formation in flow and visualize surface-attached B. cenocepacia cells, a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microfluidic device was used. The device had eight (or twelve) channels on the same chip, each containing six cylindrical pillars of diameter 50 µm. The channel was 40 µm high and 1 mm wide. Pillars were located at the centre of the channel and the distance between pillars was 5 mm. The flow was driven by a syringe pump (neMESYS 290 N, CETONI, Germany) with a constant flow rate of Q = 0.3 mL/h, corresponding to a mean flow velocity of 2.1 mm/s as in reference¹⁴. Additional information on the hydrodynamic parameters of the microfluidic platform can be found in reference⁷³. Prior to each experiment, all microfluidic channels were washed with 2 mL of TB medium. To analyse streamers formation in flow, a diluted bacteria suspension (OD₆₀₀ < 0.01; cell concentration $< 10^6$ cells/mL) was flown for 20 h, at room temperature $(T = 20 \pm 0.5 \text{ °C})$. To visualize surface-attached B. cenocepacia cells, the microfluidic channel was inoculated with a diluted bacterial suspension (OD600 = 0.05; cell concentration $< 5 \times 10^6$ cells/mL), cells were incubated in the channel for 1 h without flow to promote the surface-attachment and, subsequently, fresh TB medium was flown with a constant flow rate of Q = 0.3 mL/h for 20 h. All imaging was performed on an inverted microscope (Ti-Eclipse, Nikon, Japan) using a digital camera (ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 Digital CMOS camera, Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan). Phase-contrast microscopy (20× magnification) was used to image bacterial cells, while epifluorescence microscopy was used to quantify eDNA concentration.

Statistical analysis

All image analyses were performed in Fiji-Image⁷². All the experiments were repeated three times with consistent results and two technical replicates were present in each experiment. The average fluorescence intensity of the streamers in each channel was calculated on the red fluorescence signal as $I_{\text{str}} = \Sigma(I_{\text{px}} - I_{\text{th}})$,

where l_{px} is the intensity of the pixels, and l_{th} is a threshold calculated as 1.2 times the average intensity of the background, measured in the region of the channel on the side of the streamer, as in reference¹⁴. The sum was performed on a 550 µm × 100 µm area located downstream of each pillar. The average red fluorescence intensity of the streamers $\langle l_{str} \rangle$ is obtained as the average of l_{str} , over all the pillars where streamers are formed. During each experiment, two channels with the same conditions were present.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 5 June 2023; Accepted: 22 November 2023; Published online: 09 December 2023

REFERENCES

- Flemming, H. C. et al. The biofilm matrix: multitasking in a shared space. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 21, 70–86 (2023).
- Wang, S. et al. The exopolysaccharide PsI-eDNA interaction enables the formation of a biofilm skeleton in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 7(2), 330–340 (2015).
- Jennings, L. K. et al. Pel is a cationic exopolysaccharide that cross-links extracellular DNA in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 112(36), 11353–11358 (2015).
- Reichhardt, C., Wong, C., Passos da Silva, D., Wozniak, D. J. & Parsek, M. R. CdrA interactions within the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix safeguard it from proteolysis and promote cellular packing. *mBio* 9(5), e01376–18 (2018).
- Reichhardt, C. et al. The versatile *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix protein CdrA promotes aggregation through different extracellular exopolysaccharide interactions. *J. Bacteriol.* 202(19), e00216–e00220 (2020).
- Passos da Silva, D. et al. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lectin LecB binds to the exopolysaccharide Psl and stabilizes the biofilm matrix. *Nat. Commun.* **10**(1), 2183 (2019).
- Whitchurch, C. B., Tolker-Nielsen, T., Ragas, P. C. & Mattick, J. S. Extracellular DNA required for bacterial biofilm formation. *Science* 295(5559), 1487 (2002).
- Harmsen, M., Lappann, M., Knøchel, S. & Molin, S. Role of extracellular DNA during biofilm formation by *Listeria monocytogenes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76(7), 2271–2279 (2010).
- 9. Tetz, V. V. & Tetz, G. V. Effect of extracellular DNA destruction by DNase I on characteristics of forming biofilms. *DNA Cell Biol.* **29**(8), 399–405 (2010).
- Seper, A. et al. Extracellular nucleases and extracellular DNA play important roles in Vibrio cholerae biofilm formation. Mol. Microbiol. 82(4), 1015–1037 (2011).
- Sharma, K. & Pagedar Singh, A. Antibiofilm effect of DNase against single and mixed species biofilm. *Foods* 7(3), 42 (2018).

- Deng, W. et al. DNase inhibits early biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*or *Staphylococcus aureus*-induced empyema models. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **12**, 917038 (2022).
- Drescher, K., Shen, Y., Bassler, B. L. & Stone, H. A. Biofilm streamers cause catastrophic disruption of flow with consequences for environmental and medical systems. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**(11), 4345–4350 (2013).
- Secchi, E. et al. The structural role of bacterial eDNA in the formation of biofilm streamers. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 119(12), e2113723119 (2022).
- Qin, Z. et al. Role of autolysin-mediated DNA release in biofilm formation of Staphylococcus epidermidis. Microbiology 153(7), 2083–2092 (2007).
- Wu, J. & Xi, C. Evaluation of different methods for extracting extracellular DNA from the biofilm matrix. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**(16), 5390–5395 (2009).
- Panlilio, H. & Rice, C. V. The role of extracellular DNA in the formation, architecture, stability, and treatment of bacterial biofilms. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **118**(6), 2129–2141 (2021).
- Zweig, M. et al. Secreted single-stranded DNA is involved in the initial phase of biofilm formation by *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Environ. Microbiol.* **16**(4), 1040–1052 (2014).
- Ibáñez de Aldecoa, A. L., Zafra, O. & González-Pastor, J. E. Mechanisms and regulation of extracellular DNA release and its biological roles in microbial communities. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1390 (2017).
- Campoccia, D., Montanaro, L. & Arciola, C. R. Tracing the origins of extracellular DNA in bacterial biofilms: story of death and predation to community benefit. *Biofouling* 37(9-10), 1022–1039 (2021).
- Rice, K. C. et al. The *cidA* murein hydrolase regulator contributes to DNA release and biofilm development in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 104(19), 8113–8118 (2007).
- Bayles, K. W. The biological role of death and lysis in biofilm development. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 5(9), 721–726 (2007).
- Guiton, P. S. et al. Contribution of autolysin and sortase a during *Enterococcus faecalis* DNA-dependent biofilm development. *Infect Immun* 77(9), 3626–3638 (2009).
- Carrolo, M., Frias, M. J., Pinto, F. R., Melo-Cristino, J. & Ramirez, M. Prophage spontaneous activation promotes DNA release enhancing biofilm formation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *PLoS One* 5(12), e15678 (2010).
- Gödeke, J., Paul, K., Lassak, J. & Thormann, K. M. Phage-induced lysis enhances biofilm formation in *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. *ISME J.* 4, 613–626 (2010).
- Turnbull, L. et al. Explosive cell lysis as a mechanism for the biogenesis of bacterial membrane vesicles and biofilms. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11220 (2016).
- Little, J. W. Lysogeny, prophage induction, and lysogenic conversion in phages (eds Waldor, M. K., Friedman, D. I. and Adhya, S. L.) https://doi.org/10.1128/ 9781555816506.ch3 (2005).
- Casjens, S. Prophages and bacterial genomics: what have we learned so far? *Mol. Microbiol.* 49(2), 277–300 (2003).
- Fortier, L. C. & Sekulovic, O. Importance of prophages to evolution and virulence of bacterial pathogens. *Virulence* 4(5), 354–365 (2013).
- Wang, X. & Wood, T. K. Cryptic prophages as targets for drug development. Drug Resist. Updat. 27, 30–38 (2016).
- Cobián Güemes, A. G. et al. Viruses as winners in the game of life. Annu. Rev. Virol. 3(1), 197–214 (2016).
- 32. Du Toit, A. Decisions, decisions.... Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 20, 125 (2022).
- Schuch, A. P., Garcia, C. C., Makita, K. & Menck, C. F. DNA damage as a biological sensor for environmental sunlight. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 12(8), 1259–1272 (2013).
- Kenyon, C. J. & Walker, G. C. DNA-damaging agents stimulate gene-expression at specific loci in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 77, 2819–2823 (1980).
- Fernández De Henestrosa, A. R. et al. Identification of additional genes belonging to the LexA regulon in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 35, 1560–1572 (2000).
- Little, J. W. & Mount, D. W. The SOS regulatory system of *Escherichia coli*. *Cell* 29(1), 11–22 (1982).
- Maslowska, K. H., Makiela-Dzbenska, K. & Fijalkowska, I. J. The SOS system: a complex and tightly regulated response to DNA damage. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen* 60(4), 368–384 (2019).
- Tippin, B., Pham, P. & Goodman, M. F. Error-prone replication for better or worse. Trends Microbiol. 12(6), 288–295 (2004).
- Fuchs, R. P. & Fujii, S. Translesion DNA synthesis and mutagenesis in prokaryotes. Cold Spring. Harb. Perspect. Biol. 5, a012682 (2013).
- Lima-Noronha, M. A. et al. Sending out an SOS—the bacterial DNA damage response. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 45(3 Suppl 1), e20220107 (2022).
- Johnson, A. D. et al. λ Repressor and cro—components of an efficient molecular switch. *Nature* 294, 217–223 (1981).
- Brady, A. et al. Molecular basis of lysis-lysogeny decisions in gram-positive phages. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 75, 563–581 (2021).
- 43. Tomasz, M. Mitomycin C: small, fast and deadly (but very selective). *Chem. Biol.* **2**(9), 575–579 (1995).

- Gad, S. E. Mitomycin C. Encyclopedia of Toxicology (Third Edition), (Academic Press) 354–356 (2014).
- Chen, C. R., Malik, M., Snyder, M. & Drlica, K. DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV on the bacterial chromosome: quinolone-induced DNA cleavage. J. Mol. Biol. 258(4), 627–637 (1996).
- Elborn, J. S., Hodson, M. & Bertram, C. Implementation of European standards of care for cystic fibrosis-control and treatment of infection. J. Cyst. Fibros; 8(3), 211–217 (2009).
- Sajjan, U. et al. Immunolocalization of *Burkholderia cepacia* in the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients. J. Med. Microbiol. 50(6), 535–546 (2005).
- Eberl, L. & Vandamme, P. Members of the genus *Burkholderia*: good and bad guys. *F1000* https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8221.1 (2016).
- Mahenthiralingam, E. & Vandamme, P. Taxonomy and pathogenesis of the Burkholderia cepacia complex. Chron. Respir. Dis. 2(4), 209–217 (2005).
- 50. Carlier, A. et al. Genome sequence of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* H111, a cystic fibrosis airway isolate. *Genome Announc.* **2**(2), e00298–14 (2014).
- 51. Lynch, K. H., Liang, Y., Eberl, L., Wishart, D. S. & Dennis, J. J. Identification and characterization of φH111-1: a novel myovirus with broad activity against clinical isolates of *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. *Bacteriophage* **3**(4), e26649 (2013).
- Arndt, D. et al. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 44(1), 16–21 (2016).
- Arndt, D., Marcu, A., Liang, Y. & Wishart, D. S. PHAST, PHASTER and PHASTEST: tools for finding prophage in bacterial genomes. *Brief Bioinform.* 20(4), 1560–1567 (2019).
- Otsuji, N., Sekiguchi, M., Iijima, T. & Takagi, Y. Induction of phage formation in the lysogenic *Escherichia coli* K-12 by mitomycin C. *Nature* 184(14), 1079–1080 (1959).
- Young, R. Bacteriophage holins: deadly diversity. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 4(1), 21–36 (2002).
- Power, R. F. et al. The first reported case of *Burkholderia contaminans* in patients with cystic fibrosis in Ireland: from the Sargasso Sea to Irish Children. *BMC Pulm. Med.* 16, 57 (2016).
- Aiyer, A. et al. Disruption of biofilms and killing of Burkholderia cenocepacia from cystic fibrosis lung using an antioxidant-antibiotic combination therapy. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 58(2), 106372 (2021).
- Taddei, F., Matic, I. & Radman, M. cAMP-dependent SOS induction and mutagenesis in resting bacterial populations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 92(25), 11736–11740 (1995).
- Bernier, S. P. et al. Starvation, together with the SOS response, mediates high biofilm-specific tolerance to the fluoroquinolone ofloxacin. *PLoS Genet.* 9(1), e1003144 (2013).
- Young, I., Wang, I. & Roof, W. D. Phages will out: strategies of host cell lysis. *Trends Microbiol.* 8(3), 120–128 (2000).
- Rajnovic, D., Muñoz-Berbel, X. & Mas, J. Fast phage detection and quantification: an optical density-based approach. *PLoS ONE* 14(5), e0216292 (2019).
- Okshevsky, M., Regina, V. R. & Meyer, R. L. Extracellular DNA as a target for biofilm control. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 33, 73–80 (2015).
- Rice, S. A. et al. The biofilm life cycle and virulence of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa are dependent on a filamentous prophage. *ISME J.* 3(3), 271–282 (2009).
- Li, D. et al. The effect of a spontaneous induction prophage, phi458, on biofilm formation and virulence in avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Front. Microbiol. 13, 1049341 (2022).
- Wagner, P. L. & Waldor, M. K. Bacteriophage control of bacterial virulence. *Infect. Immun.* 70(8), 3985–3993 (2002).
- Padron, G. C. et al. Shear rate sensitizes bacterial pathogens to H₂O₂ stress. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 120(11), e2216774120 (2023).
- Ciofu, O., Moser, C., Jensen, P. Ø. & Høiby, N. Tolerance and resistance of microbial biofilms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 20(10), 621–635 (2022).
- Kulkarni, H. M., Nagaraj, R. & Jagannadham, M. V. Protective role of *E. coli* outer membrane vesicles against antibiotics. *Microbiol. Res.* 181, 1–7 (2015).
- MacNair, C. R. & Tan, M. W. The role of bacterial membrane vesicles in antibiotic resistance. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1519(1), 63–73 (2023).
- Toyofuku, M., Schild, S., Kaparakis-Liaskos, M. & Eberl, L. Composition and functions of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41579-023-00875-5 (2023).
- Toyofuku, M., Nomura, N. & Eberl, L. Types and origins of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 13–24 (2019).
- 72. Schindelin, J. et al. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 676–682 (2012).
- Savorana, G., Słomka, J., Stocker, R., Rusconi, R. & Secchi, E. A microfluidic platform for characterizing the structure and rheology of biofilm streamers. *Soft Matter* 18(20), 3878–3890 (2022).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) (project 310030_192800). E.S. acknowledges support from SNSF PRIMA grant 179834. We would like to thank Dr. Yi-Chi Chen and Dr. Ratchara Kalawong for assistance with transmission electron microscopy (TEM). TEM imaging was performed with equipment maintained by the Centre for Microscopy and Image Analysis at the University of Zurich.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.T. and L.E. conceived the study; Z.H.P., E.S. and M.T. designed the experiments; M.T. and G.M. constructed the endolysin mutants; Z.H.P. and E.S. performed the main experimental work; G.S. assisted in microfluidic experiments; Z.H.P. wrote the paper, and E.S. and L.E. contributed critically to writing the final version of the paper. All the authors read and approved the final paper.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-023-00464-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Leo Eberl.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023