Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46264-1

Quantifying the photocurrent fluctuation in quantum materials by shot noise

Received: 18 May 2023

Accepted: 21 February 2024

Published online: 05 March 2024

Check for updates

Longjun Xiang ^(D), Hao Jin¹ & Jian Wang ^(D), ^{1,2,3}

The DC photocurrent can detect the topology and geometry of quantum materials without inversion symmetry. Herein, we propose that the DC shot noise (DSN), as the fluctuation of photocurrent operator, can also be a diagnostic of quantum materials. Particularly, we develop the quantum theory for DSNs in gapped systems and identify the shift and injection DSNs by dividing the second-order photocurrent operator into off-diagonal and diagonal contributions, respectively. Remarkably, we find that the DSNs can not be forbidden by inversion symmetry, while the constraint from time-reversal symmetry depends on the polarization of light. Furthermore, we show that the DSNs also encode the geometrical information of Bloch electrons, such as the Berry curvature and the quantum metric. Finally, guided by symmetry, we apply our theory to evaluate the DSNs in monolayer GeS and bilayer MoS₂ with and without inversion symmetry and find that the DSNs can be larger in centrosymmetric phase.

It is well known that the materials without inversion (\mathcal{P}) symmetry under light illumination can feature the bulk photovoltaic effect (BPVE)¹⁻⁶, which refers to the DC photocurrent generation in a singlephase material, such as in bulk ferroelectric perovskite oxides⁷⁻¹⁰ and in two-dimensional (2D) piezoelectric materials¹¹⁻¹⁴. The intrinsic physical origin of BPVE usually attributes to the shift and injection current mechanisms⁶, which are closely related to the quantum geometry of Bloch electrons¹⁵⁻²⁵. Recently, theoretical advances unveil that the \mathcal{PT} -invariant materials²⁶⁻²⁹, such as the 2D antiferromagnetic insulators Crl₃²⁶ and MnBi₂Te₄²⁷, in which the \mathcal{P} -symmetry and the timereversal (\mathcal{T}) symmetry are broken individually, can also exhibit a BPVE due to the magnetic injection current mechanism²⁶⁻²⁸.

Beyond its importance for BPVE, the photocurrent, which is the ultimate result of photoexcitation (a typical multiphysics process), also carries a large amount of information about light-matter interaction and hence can be viewed as a diagnostic for the multiphysics process occurred in quantum materials³⁰. For example, the electrons in **K** and **K**' valleys of gapped Dirac materials, such as bilayer graphene³¹⁻³³ and monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides^{34,35}, can be selectively excited by the left-hand or right-hand circularly polarized light due to the opposite Berry curvature in **K** and **K**' and thereby the photocurrent can be used to detect the quantum geometry of

 \mathcal{P} -broken Dirac materials. In addition, the circular injection current in \mathcal{P} -broken Weyl semimetals can be exploited to measure the topological charge of Weyl cone³⁶. However, as dictated by its \mathcal{P} -odd characteristic, the shift and injection DC photocurrent can not be employed to diagnose the quantum materials with \mathcal{P} -symmetry, such as the centrosymmetric topological insulators and Dirac materials³⁷.

On the other hand, the quantum fluctuation of photocurrent, which usually behaves as the noise of photocurrent, remains rarely explored³⁸, although the noise is ubiquitous in the process of nonequilibrium photoexcitation and transport. The noise is often deemed to be detrimental to the detected signal and needs to be optimized, but it can also convey information about the investigated system³⁹. For example, it has been well-established that the shot noise (SN) can probe the quantum statistics of the quasi-particles and measure their effective charge in mesoscopic systems^{39–43}. In addition, the SN has been used to reveal the topological phase transition of 2D semi-Dirac materials⁴⁴ and to probe the nonlocal hot-electron energy dissipation⁴⁵.

Compared to the current, the SN (as the current correlation) usually features a different symmetry requirement and hence may offer a complementary probe to detect the responses of quantum materials, particularly with \mathcal{P} -symmetry. To that purpose, we develop

¹College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China. ²Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ³Department of Physics, The University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China. 🖾 e-mail: jianwang@hku.hk

Table 1 | The constraint from \mathcal{P} -symmetry, \mathcal{T} -symmetry, and \mathcal{PT} -symmetry for the DC shot noise (DSN) excited by linearly or circularly polarized light

	σ_L	σc	η_L	η_c	
\mathcal{P}	1	1	1	1	
Τ	x	1	1	x	
$\mathcal{P}T$	x	1	1	X	

Here $\checkmark(\mathbf{X})$ means that the DSN susceptibility tensors which contain $\sigma_{L/C}$ and $\eta_{L/C}$ defined in Eq. (1) are even (odd) under symmetry operation. Here the even (odd) tensor is allowed (forbidden) by the corresponding symmetry. Note that all DSNs feature the \mathcal{P} -even characteristic and the DSNs in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems feature the same behavior with that in \mathcal{PT} -invariant systems.

the quantum theory of DC SN (DSN) in this work. Here the DSN means the zero frequency component of SN due to the fluctuation of photocurrent. In particular, we identify that at the second order of optical electric field, the DSN also contains the shift and injection contributions, which can be formally expressed as

$$S^{(2)} = \delta(\Omega_1) \left(\sigma_{L/C} + t_0 \eta_{L/C} \right) \delta_{L/C}, \tag{1}$$

where Ω_1 is the response frequency, t_0 the effective illumination time, $\sigma(\eta)$ the susceptibility tensor of the shift (injection) DSN. In addition, $\delta_L \equiv |\mathbf{E}|^2$ and $\delta_C \equiv |\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}^*|$ stand for the linearly polarized light (LPL) and the circularly polarized light (CPL)⁴, respectively. As indicated by the subscripts *L* and *C* of σ and η , we note that σ and η can be excited with LPL or CPL, which depends on the \mathcal{T} -symmetry of the investigated systems, as summarized in Table 1. Remarkably, we find that both σ and η are \mathcal{P} -even tensors, which means that the DSNs can survive in \mathcal{P} -invariant systems, in sharp contrast with their DC photocurrent counterparts. Moreover, we reveal that the DSNs are also characterized by the band geometrical quantities, such as the local Berry curvature and the local quantum metric, similar to the DC photocurrent. Finally, we illustrate our formulation by investigating the DSNs in monolayer GeS and bilayer MoS₂ with and without \mathcal{P} -symmetry using first-principles calculations.

Results

The quantum theory for DSNs

Within independent particle approximation^{16,17}, the second-quantization photocurrent operator along *a* direction at the *i*th order of optical electric field **E**(*t*) (Specifically, we consider the monochromatic optical field $E^b(t) = E^b(\omega_\beta)e^{-i\omega_\beta t} + c.c.$ with ω_β the driving frequency of light field and *c. c.* the complex conjugate of the first term.) is defined as $(e = \hbar = 1)$

$$\hat{J}^{a,(i)}(t) \equiv \sum_{nm} \int_{k} \hat{\rho}^{(i)}_{mn}(t) \upsilon^{a}_{nm} = \sum_{nm} \int_{k} J^{a,(i)}_{mn}(t) a^{\dagger}_{m} a_{n},$$
(2)

where a_m^{\dagger}/a_n is the creation/annihilation operator, v_{nm}^a the velocity matrix element, $\int_k \equiv \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{k}/(2\pi)^d$ (Here *V* and *d* stand for the system volume and dimension, respectively). In addition, $\hat{\rho}_{mn}^{(i)}(t) \propto |\mathbf{E}|^i$ is the second-quantization density matrix element operator and $J_{mn}^{a,(i)}(t) \propto |\mathbf{E}|^i$ the matrix element for the second-quantization photocurrent operator, see Supplementary Note 1 subsections (1.1) and (1.2), respectively.

Note that Eq. (2) is obtained by "quantizing" the statistical information (or electron occupation information) of current expectation value $J^a \equiv \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}\hat{v}^a] = \int_k \rho_{mn} v_{nm}^a$, where $\hat{\rho}$ and \hat{v}^a stand for the first-quantization density matrix operator and current operator, respectively. Particularly, since the electron occupation information is fully encoded in the density matrix element ρ_{mn} , at the zeroth order of $\mathbf{E}(t)$, we immediately obtain $\hat{\rho}_{mn}^{(0)} = a_m^{\dagger} a_n$ due to $\rho_{mn}^{(0)} = \delta(0)\delta_{nm}f_m = \langle a_m^{\dagger} a_n \rangle_s^{16,17}$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle_s$ stands for the quantum statistical average and f_m is the equilibrium Fermi distribution function. Furthermore, by requiring $\langle \hat{\rho}_{mn}^{(i)}(t) \rangle_s = \rho_{mn}^{(i)}(t)^{16}$, $\hat{\rho}_{mn}^{(i)}(t)$ with $i \ge 1$ can be obtained by

iteratively solving the Liouville equation from $\hat{\rho}_{mn}^{(0)} = a_m^{\dagger} a_n$, where the time dependence of $\hat{\rho}_{mn}^{(i)}$ arises from **E**(*t*) whereas a_m^{\dagger}/a_n does not evolve with time. In addition, we wish to remark that $\hat{J}^{a,(i)}$ have considered the quantum average but retained the statistical informaton in operator form and hence Tr[\cdots], which contains both the quantum average and quantum statistical average, can not be used to calculate the average of $\hat{J}^{a,(i)}$ and $\hat{J}^{a,(j)}\hat{J}^{b,(j)}$.

We emphasize that Eq. (2), together with the quantum statistical average $\langle \cdots \rangle_s$, is designed to evaluate the photocurrent and photocurrent correlation on the equal footing, by following the noise formulation developed in mesoscopic conductors³⁹. Particularly, for the second-order photocurrent operator $\hat{J}^{a,(2)}$, by dividing it into offdiagonal and diagonal contributions⁴⁶ in term of the interband and intraband contributions of v_{nm}^a , namely, by writing $\hat{J}^{a,(2)}(t) = \hat{J}_0^{a,(2)}(t) +$ $\hat{J}_D^{a,(2)}(t)$ with $\hat{J}_0^{a,(2)}(t) \equiv \sum_{nm} \int_k \hat{\rho}_{nn}^{(2)}(t) v_{nm}^a$ and $\hat{J}_D^{a,(2)}(t) \equiv \sum_n \int_k \hat{\rho}_{nn}^{(2)}(t) v_{nn}^a$, we find that the quantum statistical average of $\hat{J}_0^{a,(2)}$ and $\hat{J}_D^{a,(2)}$ give the familiar DC shift and injection photocurrent [see Supplementary Note 1 subsection (1.3)], respectively, and thereby we define $\hat{J}_0^{a,(2)}$ and

 $\hat{J}_{\rm D}^{a,(2)}$ as the shift and injection photocurrent operator, respectively. Interestingly, we find that $\hat{J}_{\rm O}^{a,(2)}$ and $\hat{J}_{\rm D}^{a,(2)}$ will further give DSNs (dubbed the shift and injection, respectively) at the second order of **E**(*t*), as illustrated below.

With Eq. (2), by evaluating the photocurrent operator correlation function defined by³⁹*S*^{*ab*}(*t*, *t'*) $\equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta \hat{j}^{a}(t) \Delta \hat{j}^{b}(t') + \Delta \hat{j}^{b}(t') \Delta \hat{j}^{a}(t) \rangle_{s}$, where $\Delta \hat{j}^{a}(t) = \hat{j}^{a}(t) - \langle \hat{j}^{a}(t) \rangle_{s}$, the SN spectrum is given by [see Supplementary Note 2 subsection (2.1)]

$$S^{a,(i+j)}(t,t') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nm} \int_{k} \int_{nm}^{a,(i)}(t) J_{mn}^{a,(j)}(t') f_{nm}^{2},$$
(3)

where $S^{a,(i+j)} \equiv S^{aa,(i+j)}$ (we focus on the autocorrelation of photocurrent operator) and $f_{nm} \equiv f_n - f_m$. Note that $S^{a,(i+j)}(t, t')$ is a function of two independent time variables and generally stands for an AC SN. However, by adopting a Wigner transformation³⁹, we obtain a new correlation function $S^{a,(i+j)}(t_1, t_0)$, where $t_1 = t - t'$ and $t_0 = (t + t')/2$ represent the short and long time scale, respectively. Next, by taking the time average over t_0 , we can pick up the DC component of $S^{a,(i+j)}(t_1, t_0)$ for t_0 because we are interested in the noise spectrum on a time scale long compared to $1/\omega$, where ω is the driving frequency of $\mathbf{E}(t)$. To be specific, we have

$$S^{a,(i+j)}(t_1) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt_0 S^{a,(i+j)}(t,t') |_{t'=t_0-t_1/2}^{t=t_0+t_1/2},$$
(4)

where $T \equiv 2\pi/\omega$. Moreover, by performing a Fourier transform for $S^{a,(i+j)}(t_1)$, we obtain the SN spectrum $S^{a,(i+j)}(\Omega_1)$, where Ω_1 is the response frequency for t_1 . As expected, at the second order of $\mathbf{E}(t)$, we extract a DSN $S^{a,(2)}(\Omega_1) = \delta(\Omega_1)S^{a,(2)}$, where $S^{a,(2)}$ is only contributed by the equal-time correlation between $\hat{J}^{a,(0)}$ and $\hat{J}^{a,(2)}$ [see Supplementary Note 2 subsection (2.2)]. We wish to mention that the strategy to extract the DC component from a general double-time correlation function $S^{a,(i+j)}(t,t')$ is the same as that adopted in mesoscopic conductors³⁹. Finally, we note that $S^{a,(2)}$ contains the shift and injection contributions, which, respectively, further contains the \mathcal{T} -even and \mathcal{T} -odd components. For simplicity we will only display their \mathcal{T} -even expressions that survive in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems, while their \mathcal{T} -odd counterparts can be found in Supplementary Note 2 subsections $S^{a,(2)}_{a,t} \equiv \sum_{\omega_{\beta} = \pm \omega} \sigma^{abc}$.

Particularly, for shift DSN, by defining $S_{\text{sht}}^{\alpha,\omega,z} \equiv \sum_{\omega_{\beta}=\pm\omega} \sigma^{abc}$ $(0; \omega_{\beta}, -\omega_{\beta})E^{b}(\omega_{\beta})E^{c}(-\omega_{\beta})$, the \mathcal{T} -even shift DSN susceptibility tensor is given by [see Supplementary Note 2 subsection (2.3)]

$$\sigma_c^{abc} = \frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{mn} \int_k f_{nm}^2 \left(W_{mn}^{abc} - W_{mn}^{acb} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega_{mn}), \tag{5}$$

where $\hbar\omega_{mn} = \hbar(\omega_m - \omega_n)$ is the energy difference between bands mand n. Here $W_{mn}^{abc} \equiv i(v_{mn,b}^a r_{nm;a}^c - v_{nm;b}^a r_{mn;a}^c)$ with $O_{nm;b}^a = \partial_b O_{nm}^a - i(\mathcal{A}_n^b - \mathcal{A}_m^b)O_{nm}^a$, where O = v, r and \mathcal{A}_n^b/r_{nm}^a is the intraband/interband Berry connection.

As indicated by the subscript *C* in σ^{abc} , we note that the *T*-even shift DSN can only be excited by CPL due to $\sigma_c^{abc} = -\sigma^{acb22}$. Similar to the shift photocurrent, we find that the shift DSN is closely related to the band geometrical quantities. To see that, we note that $v_{mn,b}^a = i\omega_{mn}r_{mn,b}^a + i\Delta_{mn}^b r_{mn}^{a-1}$, where $\Delta_{mn}^a = v_m^a - v_n^a$ is the group velocity difference. Then by substituting its second term into W_{mn}^{abc} , we find that $W_{mn}^{abc} = \Delta_{nm}^b (g_{mn}^a \partial_a \ln |r_{nm}^c| + \Omega_{nm}^{ad} R_{nm}^{a,c})$, where $g_{mm}^a \equiv r_{nm}^a r_{mn}^c + r_{nm}^c r_{mn}^a$ is the local quantum metric²⁹, $\Omega_{mm}^{ac} \equiv i(r_{mn}^a r_{mn}^c - r_{nm}^c r_{mn}^a)$ the local Berry curvature²⁷, and $R_{nm}^{a,c} = -\partial_a \phi_{nm}^c + \mathcal{A}_n^a - \mathcal{A}_m^a$ with $\phi_{nm}^c = r_{nm}^c / |r_{nm}^c|$ the shift vector¹⁶. Interestingly, in sharp contrast with the shift photocurrent, we find that σ_c^{abc} is also related to the group velocity difference Δ_{nm}^a , which usually appears in the injection photocurrent. Finally, we emphasize that σ_c^{abc} is a rank-4 tensor since $\sigma^{abc} \equiv \sigma^{aabc}$, where the first index *a* is responsible for the direction of autocorrelated photocurrent. The same convention will be applied to the injection DSN discussed below.

Similarly, for injection DSN, by defining $\partial_{t_0} S_{inj}^{a,(2)} \equiv \sum_{\omega_\beta = \pm \omega} \eta^{abc}$ (0; ω_β , $-\omega_\beta$) $E^b(\omega_\beta)E^c(-\omega_\beta)$, we find that the \mathcal{T} -even component of η^{abc} is given by [see Supplementary Note 2 subsection (2.4)]

$$\eta_L^{abc} = \frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{nm} \int_k f_{nm}^2 \Delta_{nm}^a (I_{mn}^{abc} + I_{mn}^{acb}) \delta(\omega - \omega_{mn}), \tag{6}$$

where $I_{mn}^{abc} = i(v_{mn;b}^{a}r_{nm}^{c} - v_{nm;b}^{a}r_{mn}^{c})$. Note that the \mathcal{T} -even injection DSN can only be excited by LPL due to $\eta^{abc} = \eta^{acb22}$. Importantly, also by substituting the second term of $v_{mn;b}^{a} = i\omega_{mn}r_{mn;b}^{a} + i\Delta_{mn}^{b}r_{mn}^{a}$ into I_{mn}^{abc} , we hvae $I_{mn}^{abc} = \omega_{nm}(g_{nm}^{ca}\partial_{b} \ln |r_{nm}^{a}| + \Omega_{nm}^{ca}R_{nm}^{b}) + \Delta_{nm}^{b}g_{nm}^{a}$, which means that the injection DSN is not only related to the local Berry curvature and the local quantum metric like the injection photocurrent, but also related to the shift vector, which usually appears in the shift photocurrent.

In summary, Eqs. (5)-(6)) constitute the quantum theory for the DSN at the second order of **E**(*t*) in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems. As expected, we find that Eqs. (5)-(6)) are gauge-invariant under *U*(1) gauge transformation. Importantly, by using the sum rules of $r_{mn;b}^a$ and $v_{mn;b}^{a-13}$, we find that Eqs. (5)-(6)) can be employed to investigate the quantum fluctuation of photocurrent operator in realistic materials by combining them with first-principles calculations. Note that in Eqs. ((5)-(6)), $e = \hbar = 1$ has been adopted. By dimension analysis, a universal factor e^4/\hbar^2 must be recovered for first-principles calculations. To guide the calculation, we next discuss the symmetry constraints for the DSN susceptibility tensors in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems.

The symmetry for DSNs

The symmetry plays a pivotal role in the discussion of the DC photocurrent⁴⁷. For example, under \mathcal{P} -symmetry, we have $\mathcal{P}J^a = -J^a$ and $\mathcal{P}E^b = -E^b$, and therefore the DC photocurrent proportional to $|\mathbf{E}|^2$ vanishes in \mathcal{P} -invariant systems²⁵. In addition, the magnetic injection (shift) photocurrent can only be generated in both \mathcal{P} -broken and \mathcal{T} -broken materials under the illumination of LPL (CPL), in which the magnetic injection (shift) photocurrent susceptibility is a \mathcal{P} -odd as well as \mathcal{T} -odd tensor²⁷.

In the above, we have established the quantum theory for the DSNs in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems, but the symmetry constraint on these tensors has not been fully discussed yet. Particularly, under \mathcal{P} -symmetry, we have $\mathcal{P}\Delta^a_{mn} = -\Delta^a_{mn}$, $\mathcal{P}v^a_{mn} = -v^a_{mn}$, $\mathcal{P}v^a_{mn-b} = v^a_{mn-b}$,

 $\mathcal{P}r_{mn}^a = -r_{mn}^a$, and $\mathcal{P}r_{mn;b}^a = r_{mn;b}^a$. And thereby the DSN susceptibility tensors given in Eqs. ((5)-(6)) feature the \mathcal{P} -even characteristic, which is also true for their \mathcal{T} -odd counterparts, as summarized in Table 1. Note that the \mathcal{P} -even property can also be obtained from the general response relation, where both *J* and *E* appear twice. Notably, the \mathcal{P} -even characteristic dictates that all the DSNs are immune to \mathcal{P} -symmetry, in sharp contrast with the \mathcal{P} -odd shift or injection photocurrent, the \mathcal{P} -symmetry must be broken either by crystal structure or by external perturbation to guarantee that the left-going and right-going photocurrents cannot cancel with each other. However, for SN, this cancellation mechanism is lifted since the correlation of current is nonzero even when the current is zero, as exemplified by the notable Nyquist-Johnson noise in mesoscopic conductors³⁹.

Similar to the DC photocurrent, the constraint on DSNs from \mathcal{T} -symmetry is tricky because we need to take into account the polarization of light at the same time. For example, under LPL (CPL), we find that the shift photocurrent susceptibility is a \mathcal{T} -even (\mathcal{T} -odd) tensor while the injection photocurrent susceptibility is a \mathcal{T} -odd (\mathcal{T} -even) tensor. Therefore, in \mathcal{T} -invariant but \mathcal{P} -broken systems, one can only detect the shift (injection) photocurrent by illuminating LPL (CPL). Eqs. ((5)-(6)) give the \mathcal{T} -even DSNs, which can be easily checked by using $\mathcal{T}\Delta_{mn}^a = -\Delta_{mn}^a, \mathcal{T}v_{mn}^a = -v_{mn}^a, \mathcal{T}v_{mn:b}^a = v_{mn:b}^a, \mathcal{T}r_{mn}^a = r_{mn}^a$, and $\mathcal{T}r_{mn:b}^a = -r_{mn;b}^a$, as summarized in Table 1, where their \mathcal{T} -odd counterparts are also listed as a comparison. In addition, dictated by their \mathcal{P} -even characteristic, we find that Eqs. (5)-(6)) also feature the \mathcal{PT} -even property and hence can be applied to investigate the DSN in \mathcal{PT} -invariant materials, sharply different from the DC photocurrent.

Besides the \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{T} , and \mathcal{PT} symmetries, to consider the constraint from the point group (PG) symmetry, such as the rotation and mirror symmetries, one should resort to the Neumann's principle⁴⁸, which determines the non-vanishing tensor elements under PG symmetry operation. Particularly, for the rank-4 SN susceptibility tensor λ^{abcd} in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems, where λ stands for σ and η , the constraint imposed by PG symmetry operation *R* can be expressed as:

$$\lambda^{abcd} = R_{aa'}R_{bb'}R_{cc'}R_{dd'}\lambda^{a'b'c'd'}, \qquad (7)$$

where $R_{aa'}$ is the matrix element of *R*. For example, if the system respects mirror symmetry \mathcal{M}_x with $\mathcal{M}_x x \to -x$, one can immediately realize that λ^{yyxz} is forbidden in terms of Eq. (7). Alternatively, one can also use the Bilbao Crystallographic Server⁴⁹ to identify the nonvanishing tensor element for all PGs just by defining a suitable Jahn notation. Particularly, the Jahn notation for the \mathcal{T} -even rank-4 shift (injection) DSN σ_C^{abc} (η_L^{abc}), which is anti-symmetric (symmetric) about the last two indices, can be expressed as $VV\{V^2\}$ ($VV[V^2]$), where *V* represents the polar vector and $\{\cdots\}$ ([\cdots]) indicates the antisymmetric (symmetric) permutation symmetry, as listed in Table 2. As a comparison, we also list the Jahn notations for shift and injection photocurrent susceptibility tensors in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems. Based on symmetry analysis, we are ready to explore the DSNs in realistic materials.

The monolayer GeS

As the first example, we explore the DSNs in single-layer monochalcogenide GeS, which has been extensively studied^{19,50,51} and displayed a large BPVE and spontaneous polarization in its ferroelectric phase with PG *mm2*, as shown in the upper panel of (Fig. 1a). The PG *mm2* doesn't contain the \mathcal{P} -symmetry and hence both the shift and injection photocurrents are allowed. However, besides the ferroelectric phase, GeS may stay in a paraelectric phase with PG *mmm*, as shown in the lower panel of (Fig. 1a), which respects \mathcal{P} -symmetry and hence can not generate a DC photocurrent. In (Fig. 1b), we display the band structures for GeS with *mm2* and *mmm* symmetries and we find that its band structure goes through a large modification from the

Table 2 | The Jahn notations for shift (σ_c) and injection (η_L) DC shot noise susceptibility tensors in \mathcal{T} -invariant systems

	σc	η_L	σ_{2L}	η_{2C}	
Jahn notations	$VV{V^2}$	VV [V ²]	$V[V^2]$	$V \{V^2\}$	
For comparison, we a	lea list tha τ ava	n shift and injectio	n photocurrent s	uscontibility ton	cor

For comparison, we also list the T-even shift and injection photocurrent susceptibility tensors which are represented by σ_{2L} and η_{2C} , respectively. For brevity, we have suppressed their superscripts.



Fig. 1 | **DC shot noise (DSN) for monolayer GeS. a** The side views of monolayer GeS with point group (PG) *mm*2 and *mmm*, where *mm*2/*mmm* breaks/respects the \mathcal{P} -symmetry. **b** The band structures for GeS with different PGs. The Fermi level indicated by the horizontal dashed line is placed on the top of the valence band. **c** The injection DSN $s^{\eta} = t_0 \eta_L^{Xyy} E^2$ for GeS with different PGs, where $V = 1 \text{ cm}^3$, $t_0 = 10^{-14}\text{s}$ and $E = 10^7 / \sqrt{2} V/\text{m}^{57}$. **d** The **k**-resolved integrands for the $\eta_L^{Xyy}[h\omega = 2.5(\text{eV})]$ only for PG *mmm*.

ferroelectric phase to the paraelectric phase. However, since the paraelectric phase can not generate a DC photocurrent response thus the band structure evolution can not be detected just by measuring the photocurrent. In addition, we find that the shift DSN susceptibility tensor σ_c^{abc} is also forbidden by mirror symmetry \mathcal{M}_x or \mathcal{M}_y in both *mm*2 and *mmm* if $b \neq c$ or by the antisymmetric permutation symmetry if b = c. We wish to mention that σ_c^{abc} is a subset of the rank-4 tensor σ_c^{abcd} and the full table for σ_c^{abcd} is not forbidden by symmetry according to the Jahn notation listed in Table 2.

However, the injection DSN, such as η_L^{Xyy} , is allowed by both *mmm* and *mm*2 phases of GeS due to its symmetric permutation symmetry about the last two indices, as shown in (Fig. 1c). From (Fig. 1c), we find that the injection DSN (denoted as $s^q = t_0 \eta_L^{Xyy} E^2$) in *mmm* plays a dominant role compared with that in *mm*2. Particularly, we find that s^q reaches a peak with photon energy $\hbar\omega = 2.5$ (eV). Furthermore, by plotting the **k**-resolved integrand for s^q in (Fig. 1d), we identify that the main peak is contributed by the optical transitions around X and Y points in the Brillouin zone. The different DSN responses for GeS in its \mathcal{P} -invariant and \mathcal{P} -broken phases may offer a tool to probe the band structure evolution from the ferroelectric phase to the paraelectric phase. Finally, we remark that there are other independent symmetry-allowed elements for the injection DSN of GeS, see Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1.

The bilayer MoS₂

As the second example, we explore the DSNs in bilayer MoS_2 within 2*H* and 3*R* phases, as shown in (Fig. 2a). The bilayer 2*H*-MoS₂ respects \mathcal{P} symmetry and hence both the shift and injection photocurrents are forbidden in this system. However, bilayer MoS_2 could possess a

 \mathcal{P} -broken phase by modifying the stack configuration of constituent monolayers. Very recently, it is shown that bilayer MoS₂ with 3*R* stacking pattern could exhibit an out-of-plane electric polarization, which is also known as the sliding ferroelectricity^{52–55}. In (Fig. 2b), we show the band structures for bilayer MoS₂ within 3*R* and 2*H* phases. Different from monolayer GeS discussed before, we find that the band structures of bilayer MoS₂ are almost unchanged with these two different stacking patterns.

Also different from the monolayer GeS, we find that both shift and injection DSN (denoted as $s^{\sigma} = \sigma_C^{xxz} E^2$ and $s^{\eta} = t_0 \eta_L^{xxx} E^2$, respectively) exist for both \mathcal{P} -broken 3R and \mathcal{P} -invariant 2H bilayer MoS₂, even when the mirror symmetry exists in 3R and 2H. In particular, in (Fig. 2c-d) we plot the shift DSN s^{σ} and injection DSN s^{η} for bilayer MoS₂ with 3R and 2H phases, respectively. Interestingly, we find that the injection DSN in bilayer MoS₂ features almost the same behavior in 2H and 3R phases while the shift DSN is dominant in \mathcal{P} -invariant phase, where the first peak at $\hbar\omega = 2.4$ (eV) is contributed by the optical transitions around Γ point, as shown in (Fig. 2e). In (Fig. 2f), we also display the k-resolved distribution for the peak of η_I^{XXX} located at $\hbar\omega = 2.2$ (eV). Similarly, besides σ_{C}^{xyy} and η_{L}^{xxx} , there are other symmetry-allowed DSNs components in bilayer MoS_2 with or without P-symmetry, see Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 2. Note that the spin-orbit coupling is ignored in above discussions for simplicity, whose influence is discussed in the Supplementary Information (see Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Fig. 3).

Discussion

The DSNs discussed in this work originates from the light irradiation so that the relaxation processes (the photoexcited electrons lose their energy and then relax to the conduction band edge of gapped systems) usually play a key role, particularly to distinguish different contributions⁵⁶. Specifically, we have two DC contributions at the second order of E(t), namely the shift and injection DSNs, which arise from the off-diagonal and diagonal components of $\hat{J}^{a,(2)}$, respectively. Therefore, the corresponding relaxation processes should resemble the shift and injection DC photocurrents. In particular, the shift photocurrent and DSN, which stand for intrinsic contributions⁶, are less relevant to the impurity scattering. However, the injection photocurrent and DSN usually are related to the complicated scattering processes when relax to the edge of conduction band and are governed by a relaxation time about 10^{-12} to 10^{-14} s⁵⁶. In this work, the relaxation process for injection DSN is modeled by a constant relaxation time, similar to the DC photocurrent discussed in Refs.[26], [57], and [58]. At this stage, we wish to remark that the extrinsic SN of shift current photovoltaics discussed in Ref.[38] is different from our results, which can be seen from the following aspects: (i) their formulation is based on steady-state assumption¹⁸ while our formulation does not assume that; (ii) their shot noise formula for shift photocurrent does not contain the key geometric quantity-shift vector, which is believed to be the physical origin of shift photocurrent.

Although our formulation is developed by following the scattering matrix theory in mesoscopic conductors³⁹, the results show some different features. First, we note that it is not easy to derive a relation similar to the Schottky formula^{39,59} within our bulk formulation by adopting a general approximation. Second, the symmetry in our bulk formulation plays an essential role, which dictates that the SN and the photocurrent barely appear at the same time under the assigned polarization of light, whereas the symmetry usually are less important in mesoscopic transport systems. These differences may be attributed to that our bulk formulation does not include the effect of electrodes that are inevitably involved in experimental measurements, while the scattering matrix theory includes that. However, the effect of electrodes is minor in a diffusive conductor (Besides metallic conductors, here the diffusive conductor also means an insulator under light illumination, where the electrons located at valence bands are excited to



Fig. 2 | **DC shot noise (DSN) for bilayer MoS**₂. **a** The side views of bilayer MoS₂ with point group (PG) 3*R* and 2*H*, respectively, where 3*R*/2*H* breaks/respects \mathcal{P} symmetry. **b** The band structures for bilayer MoS₂ with different PGs. The Fermi level indicated by the horizontal dashed line is placed on the top of valence band.

c, **d** The shift DSN $s^{\sigma} = \sigma_C^{XXZ} E^2$ and the injection DSN $s^{\eta} = t_0 \eta_L^{XXX} E^2$ for bilayer MoS₂ with PG 3*R* and 2*H*, respectively, where $V = 1 \text{ cm}^3$, $t_0 = 10^{-14}$ (s), and $E = 10^7 / \sqrt{2} (V/m)^{s7}$. **e**, **f** The **k**-resolved integrands for the $\sigma_C^{XXZ} [\hbar\omega = 2.4 \text{(eV)}]$ and $\eta_L^{XXX} [\hbar\omega = 2.1 \text{(eV)}]$ only for 2*H* MoS₂.

the conduction bands.), as manifested by the contact resistance due to electrodes^{60,61}, therefore, the photocurrent DSNs based on bulk response theory can be detected in a diffusive conductor. This is indeed the case of lots of current (photocurrent) measurements^{36,62-64} to verify the predictions based on the bulk formulation^{20,65,66}, where the symmetry plays a pivotal role to probe the quantum geometry of Bloch electrons. Furthermore, in the ballistic transport regime, the quantum geometric information may also be extracted by manipulating the symmetry^{67,68}.

The DC photocurrent has shown its importance in characterizing the (topological) quantum materials^{36,69,70}, so we expect that the DSN of photocurrent has the same importance. Although the DSN of photocurrent has not been reported experimentally, the noise spectrum of electric current in mesoscopic systems has been extensively studied experimentally for over twenty years^{40,71-74}. Particularly, it has been recently realized that the current fluctuations or the shot noise induced by nonequilibrium electrons (which in our setup are driven by the optical field) will generate fluctuating electromagnetic evanescent fields on the surface of the material⁴⁵, which can be detected by using the scanning noise microscope^{45,75} even without the introduction of metallic electrodes in the conventional noise measurements. Therefore, this noninvasive experimental technique can be used to verify our proposal to exclude the competing signals. Note that both the shift and injection photocurrents are forbidden in \mathcal{P} -invariant systems. In that case, to initiate the photocurrent correlation, an external static electric field may be applied when illuminating the insulating sample, where a "jerk" photocurrent is generated ^{57,76,77}. Once the photocurrent correlation is established, by gradually decreasing the static electric field, a nonzero residual DSN signal solely driven by the optical field can be expected.

Finally, we wish to remark that in materials with \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} symmetries, both the Berry curvature and the shift vector vanishes due to $\mathcal{PT}\Omega_{nm}^{a} = -\Omega_{nm}^{a}$ and $\mathcal{PTR}_{nm}^{a,c} = -R_{nm}^{a,c}$. As a consequence, the dominant geometric quantity will be the quantum metric. Recently, the experimental observation for the intrinsic nonlinear Hall effect^{63,64} that driven by the quantum metric dipole has triggered much attention to explore the importance of quantum metric. Note that the intrinsic

nonlinear Hall effect can survive only in systems without \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} symmetries, while the quantum metric itself is not forbidden by them. Therefore, the formulation developed in this work exactly offers an approach to probe the quantum metric in centrosymmetric quantum materials.

In conclusion, we formulate the guantum theory to calculate the quantum fluctuation of the photocurrent operator in gapped systems. We identify the shift and injection DSNs at the second order of $\mathbf{E}(t)$ and derive their susceptibility tensor expressions that are amenable to first-principles calculation. In sharp contrast with the DC photocurrent. we find that all DSNs are allowed by the P-symmetry due to their \mathcal{P} -even characteristic. In addition, we show that the DSNs also encode the information of band geometrical quantities, such as the local Berry curvature, the local quantum metric, and the shift vector. Finally, guided by symmetry, we combine our theory with first-principles calculation to estimate the DSNs in monolayer GeS and bilayer MoS₂ with and without \mathcal{P} -symmetry. And we find that the DSNs in \mathcal{P} -invariant phase can be larger than that in \mathcal{P} -broken phase. Our work shows that the quantum fluctuation of the photocurrent operator offers a complementary probe to characterize the quantum materials, particularly with \mathcal{P} -symmetry.

Methods

First-principles calculations are performed by using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP)⁷⁸. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the form of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) is used to describe the exchange-correlation^{79,80}. We choose 500 eV for the cutoff energy and a *k*-grid of $18 \times 18 \times 1$ for the first Brillouin zone integration. To avoid the spurious interaction, we employ at least 20 Å vacuum space along the perpendicular direction. All atoms in the supercell are fully relaxed based on the conjugate gradient algorithm, and the convergence criteria is 0.01 eV/Å for the force and 10^{-8} eV for the energy, respectively. A damped van der Waals (vdW) correction based on the Grimme's scheme is also incorporated to better describe the nonbonding interaction^{81,82}. The maximally localized Wannier functions are then employed to construct the tight-binding model via wannier90 code, in which Mo-*d*, Ge-4*p*, and S-3*p* orbitals are taken into

account^{83,84}. The tight-binding Hamiltonian is utilized to calculate the DSNs according to Eqs. ((5)-(6)). To deal with the rapid variation of the Berry curvature, the Brillouin zone integration is carried out using a dense *k*-mesh with $600 \times 600 \times 1$, which gives well-convergent results. The 3D-like coefficients of DSN are obtained by assuming an active single-layer with a thickness of L_a :

$$SN_{3D} = \frac{L_{slab}}{L_a} SN_{2D},$$
 (8)

where SN_{2D} is the calculated DSN, and L_{slab} is the thickness of the supercell⁸⁴.

Data availability

The data generated and analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Code availability

All code used to generate the plotted data is available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

- Chynoweth, A. G. Surface space-charge layers in barium titanate. Phys. Rev. 102, 705 (1956).
- Chen, F. S. Optically induced change of refractive indices in LiNbO₃ and LiTaO₃. J. Appl. Phys. 40, 3389 (1969).
- Glass, A. M., von der Linde, D. & Negran, T. J. High-voltage bulk photovoltaic effect and the photorefractive process in LiNbO₃. Appl. Appl. Phys. Lett. 25, 233 (1974).
- Sturman, B. I. & Fridkin, V. M. The photovoltaic and photorefractive effects in non-centrosymmetric materials (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, 1992).
- Spanier, J. E. et al. Power conversion efficiency exceeding the Shockley-Queisser limit in a ferroelectric insulator. *Nat. Photon.* **10**, 611–616 (2016).
- 6. Dai, Z. & Rappe, A. M. Recent progress in the theory of bulk photovoltaic effect. *Chem. Phys. Rev.* **4**, 011303 (2023).
- Choi, T., Lee, S., Choi, Y. J., Kiryukhin, V. & Cheong, S.-W. Switchable ferroelectric diode and photovoltaic effect in BiFeO₃. Science. **324**, 63–66 (2009).
- Yang, S. Y. et al. Above-bandgap voltages from ferroelectric photovoltaic devices. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 5, 143–147 (2010).
- Grinberg, I. et al. Perovskite oxides for visible-light-absorbing ferroelectric and photovoltaic materials. *Nature*. 503, 509–512 (2013).
- Daranciang, D. et al. Ultrafast photovoltaic response in ferroelectric nanolayers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 087601 (2012).
- Schankler, A. M., Gao, L. Y. & Rappe, A. M. Large bulk piezophotovoltaic effect of monolayer 2H-MoS₂. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 12, 1244-1249, (2021).
- Li, Y. et al. Enhanced bulk photovoltaic effect in two-dimensional ferroelectric CuInP₂S₆. Nat. Commun. 12, 5896 (2021).
- Cook, A. M., Fregoso, B. M., Juan, F. D., Coh, S. & Moore, J. E. Design principles for shift current photovoltaics. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14176 (2017).
- 14. Aftab, S. et al. Bulk photovoltaic effect in 2D materials for solarpower harvesting. *Adv. Optical Mater.* **10**, 2201288 (2022).
- Xiao, D., Chang, M.-C. & Niu, Q. Berry phase effects on electronic properties. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82, 1959 (2010).
- Aversa, C. & Sipe, J. E. Nonlinear optical susceptibilities of semiconductors: results with a length-gauge analysis. *Phys. Rev. B.* 52, 14636 (1995).
- Sipe, J. E. & Shkrebtii, A. I. Second-order optical response in semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B.* **61**, 5337 (2000).
- Morimoto, T. & Nagaosa, N. Topological nature of nonlinear optical effects in solids. Sci. Adv. 2, 1501524 (2016).

- Rangel, T. et al. Large bulk photovoltaic effect and spontaneous polarization of single-layer monochalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119, 067402 (2017).
- Juan, F. D., Grushin, A. G., Morimoto, T. & Moore, J. E. Quantized circular photogalvanic effect in Weyl semimetals. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15995 (2017).
- Wang, H. & Qian, X. F. Ferroicity-driven nonlinear photocurrent switching in time-reversal invariant ferroic materials. *Sci. Adv.* 5, 9743 (2019).
- Ahn, J., Guo, G.-Y. & Nagaosa, N. Low-frequency divergence and quantum geometry of the bulk photovoltaic effect in topological semimetals. *Phys. Rev. X.* **10**, 041041 (2020).
- Hosur, P. Circular photogalvanic effect on topological insulator surfaces: Berry-curvature-dependent response. *Phys. Rev. B.* 83, 035309 (2011).
- 24. Vergniory, M. G. et al. All topological bands of all nonmagnetic stoichiometric materials. *Science*. **376**, 6595 (2022).
- Ma, Q., Grushin, A. G. & Burch, K. S. Topology and geometry under the nonlinear electromagnetic spotlight. *Nat. Mater.* 20, 1601-1614, (2021).
- Zhang, Y. et al. Switchable magnetic bulk photovoltaic effect in the two-dimensional magnet Crl₃. Nat. Commun. **10**, 3783 (2019).
- Wang, H. & Qian, X. F. Electrically and magnetically switchable nonlinear photocurrent in *PT*-symmetric magnetic topological quantum materials. *npj Comput. Mater.* 6, 199 (2020).
- Fei, R. X., Song, W. S. & Yang, L. Giant photogalvanic effect and second-harmonic generation in magnetic axion insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 035440 (2020).
- Chen, H. et al. Basic formulation and first-principles implementation of nonlinear magneto-optical effects. *Phys. Rev. B* 105, 075123 (2022).
- Ma, Q., Kumar, R. K., Xu, S.-Y., Koppens, F. H. L. & Song, J. C. W. Photocurrent as a multiphysics diagnostic of quantum materials. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 5, 170–184 (2023).
- Xiao, D., Yao, W. & Niu, Q. Valley-contrasting physics in graphene: magnetic moment and topological transport. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 236809 (2007).
- Song, J. C. W. & Kats, M. A. Giant Hall photoconductivity in narrow-gapped Dirac materials. *Nano Lett.* 16, 7346–7351 (2016).
- 33. Yin, J. B. et al. Tunable and giant valley-selective Hall effect in gapped bilayer graphene. *Science*. **375**, 1398–1402 (2022).
- Xiao, D., Liu, G.-B., Feng, W.-X., Xu, X.-D. & Yao, W. Coupled spin and valley physics in monolayers of MoS₂ and other group-VI dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 196802 (2012).
- Zeng, H. L., Dai, J. F., Yao, W., Xiao, D. & Cui, X. D. Valley polarization in MoS₂ monolayers by optical pumping. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 490–493 (2012).
- 36. Ma, Q. et al. Direct optical detection of Weyl fermion chirality in a topological semimetal. *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 842–847 (2017).
- Chan, C. K., Lindner, N. H., Refael, G. & Lee, P. A. Photocurrents in Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 95, 041104 (2017).
- Morimoto, T., Nakamura, M., Kawasaki, M. & Nagaosa, N. Currentvoltage characteristic and shot noise of shift current photovoltaics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 267401 (2018).
- Blanter, Ya. M. & Büttiker, M. Shot noise in mesoscopic conductors. Phys. Rep. 336, 1 (2000).
- 40. Henny, M. et al. The fermionic Hanbury Brown and Twiss experiment. *Science*. **284**, 296–298 (1999).
- Anantram, M. P. & Datta, S. Current fluctuations in mesoscopic systems with Andreev scattering. *Phys. Rev. B.* 53, 16 390 (1996).
- Samuelsson, P. & Büttiker, M. Chaotic dot-superconductor analog of the Hanbury Brown-Twiss effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 046601 (2002).

- Kolkowitz, S. et al. Probing Johnson noise and ballistic transport in normal metals with a single-spin qubit. *Science*. **347**, 1129–1132 (2015).
- Chan, W. J., Ang, L. K. & Ang, Y. S. Quantum transport and shot noise in two-dimensional semi-Dirac system. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **122**, 163102 (2023).
- 45. Weng, Q. C. et al. Imaging of nonlocal hot-electron energy dissipation via shot noise. *Science*. **360**, 775–778 (2018).
- Shi, L.-K., Zhang, D., Chang, K. & Song, J. C. W. Geometric photondrag effect and nonlinear shift current in centrosymmetric crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 197402 (2021).
- Holder, T., Kaplan, D. & Yan, B. H. Consequences of time-reversalsymmetry breaking in the light-matter interaction: berry curvature, quantum metric, and diabatic motion. *Phys. Rev. Research.* 2, 033100 (2020).
- Wu, Z. S. & Xiong, W. Neumann's principle based eigenvector approach for deriving non-vanishing tensor elements for nonlinear optics. J. Chem. Phys. 157, 134702 (2022).
- Gallego, S. V., Etxebarria, J., Elcoro, L., Tasci, E. S. & Perez-Mato, J. M. Automatic calculation of symmetry-adapted tensors in magnetic and non-magnetic materials: a new tool of the Bilbao Crystallographic Server. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A **75**, 438 (2019).
- Panday, S. R., Barraza-Lopez, S., Rangel, T. & Fregoso, B. M. Injection current in ferroelectric group-IV monochalcogenide monolayers. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 195305 (2019).
- Wang, H. & Qian, X. F. Ferroicity-driven nonlinear photocurrent switching in time-reversal invariant ferroic materials. Sci. Adv. 5, eaav9743 (2019).
- Yang, D. Y. et al. Spontaneous-polarization-induced photovoltaic effect in rhombohedrally stacked MoS₂. Nat. Photon. 16, 469–474 (2022).
- 53. Sui, F. G. et al. Sliding ferroelectricity in van der Waals layered γ-InSe semiconductor. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 36 (2023).
- Wu, M. H. & Li, J. Sliding ferroelectricity in 2D van der Waals materials: related physics and future opportunities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2115703118 (2021).
- Stern, M. V. et al. Interfacial ferroelectricity by van der Waals sliding. Science. 372, 1462 (2021).
- Burger, A. M. et al. Direct observation of shift and ballistic photovoltaic currents. Sci. Adv. 5, eaau5588 (2019).
- 57. Fregoso, B. M., Muniz, R. A. & Sipe, J. E. Jerk current: a novel bulk photovoltaic effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 176604 (2018).
- Xu, H., Wang, H., Zhou, J. & Li, J. Pure spin photocurrent in noncentrosymmetric crystals: bulk spin photovoltaic effect. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4330 (2021).
- Souza, F. M., Jauho, A. P. & Egues, J. C. Spin-polarized current and shot noise in the presence of spin flip in a quantum dot via nonequilibrium Green's functions. *Phys. Rev. B* 78, 155303 (2008).
- Datta, S. "Electronic Transport in Mesoscopic Systems" (Cambridge, University Press 1995).
- Imry, Y. "Physics of mesoscopic systems" in Directions in Condensed Matter Physics, eds. G. Grinstein and G. Mazenko (World Scientific Press, Singapore, 1986).
- 62. Ma, Q. et al. Observation of the nonlinear Hall effect under timereversal-symmetric conditions. *Nature*. **565**, 337–342 (2019).
- Gao, A. Y. et al. Quantum metric nonlinear Hall effect in a topological antiferromagnetic heterostructure. Science. 381, 181–186 (2023).
- Wang, N. et al. Quantum-metric-induced nonlinear transport in a topological antiferromagnet. *Nature*. 621, 487–492 (2023).
- 65. Sodemann, I. & Fu, L. Quantum nonlinear Hall effect induced by Berry curvature dipole in time-reversal invariant materials. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 216806 (2015).
- Gao, Y., Yang, S. Y. A. & Niu, Q. Field induced positional shift of Bloch electrons and its dynamical implications. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 166601 (2014).

- 67. Wei, M. M., Wang, B., Yu, Y. J., Xu, F. M. & Wang, J. Nonlinear Hall effect induced by internal Coulomb interaction and phase relaxation process in a four-terminal system with time-reversal symmetry. *Phys. Rev. B.* **105**, 115411 (2022).
- 68. Wei, M. M., Xiang, L. J., Wang, L. Y., Xu, F. M. & Wang, J. Quantum third-order nonlinear Hall effect of a four-terminal device with time-reversal symmetry. *Phys. Rev. B.* **106**, 035307 (2022).
- Mclver, J. W., Hsieh, D., Steinberg, H., Jarillo-Herrero, P. & Gedik, N. Control over topological insulator photocurrents with light polarization. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 96 (2012).
- 70. Osterhoudt, G. B. et al. Colossal mid-infrared bulk photovoltaic effect in a type-I Weyl semimetal. *Nat. Mater.* **18**, 471–475 (2019).
- Birk, H., de Jong, M. J. M. & Schönenberger, C. Shot-noise suppression in the single-electron tunneling regime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1610 (1995).
- Birk, H., Oostveen, K. & Schöenberger, C. Preamplifier for electriccurrent noise measurements at low temperatures. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 67, 2977 (1996).
- DiCarlo, L. et al. System for measuring auto- and cross correlation of current noise at low temperatures. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 77, 073906 (2006).
- 74. Tamir, I., Caspari, V., Rolf, D., Lotze, C. & Franke, K. J. Shot-noise measurements of single-atom junctions using a scanning tunneling microscope. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **93**, 023702 (2022).
- 75. Weng, Q. C. et al. Quasiadiabatic electron transport in room temperature nanoelectronic devices induced by hot-phonon bottleneck. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4752 (2021).
- Ventura, G. B., Passos, D. J., Viana Parente Lopes, J. M. & Lopes dos Santos, J. M. B. Comment on "Jerk current: A novel bulk photovoltaic effect". *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 259701 (2021).
- 77. Fregoso, B. M., Muniz, R. A. & Sipe, J. E. Fregoso, Muniz, and Sipe Reply. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 259702 (2021).
- Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. Comput. Mater. Sci. 6, 15 (1996).
- Giannozzi, P. et al. QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and opensource software project for quantum simulations of materials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 21, 395502 (2009).
- Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865 (1996).
- Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S. & Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104 (2010).
- Grimme, S., Ehrlich, S. & Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* 32, 1456 (2011).
- 83. Pizzi, G. et al. Wannier90 as a community code: new features and applications. J. Phys. Condens. Matter. **32**, 165902 (2020).
- Azpiroz, J. I., Tsirkin, S. S. & Souza, I. Ab initio calculation of the shift photocurrent by Wannier interpolation. *Phys. Rev. B.* 97, 245143 (2018).

Acknowledgements

J.W. acknowledges support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12034014).

Author contributions

J.W. conceived the project. L.J.X. and J.W. developed the theory and performed the symmetry analysis. H.J. and L.J.X performed the first-principles calculations. L.J.X., H.J., and J.W. wrote the paper. J.W. supervised the project. All authors analyzed the data and contributed to the discussions of the results.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Nature Communications | (2024)15:2012

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46264-1.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jian Wang.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Hua Wang and the other, anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024