Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46122-0

# **Observation of continuum Landau modes in non-Hermitian electric circuits**

Received: 5 November 2023

Accepted: 8 February 2024

Published online: 27 February 2024

Check for updates

Xuewei Zhang<sup>1,2,6</sup>, Chaohua Wu  $\mathbb{O}^{1,6}$ , Mou Yan<sup>1,3</sup>, Ni Liu<sup>4</sup>, Ziyu Wang  $\mathbb{O}^5 \boxtimes \&$  Gang Chen  $\mathbb{O}^{1,2} \boxtimes$ 

Continuum Landau modes – predicted recently in a non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian under a uniform magnetic field – are continuous bound states with no counterparts in Hermitian systems. However, they have still not been confirmed in experiments. Here, we report an experimental observation of continuum Landau modes in non-Hermitian electric circuits, in which the non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian is simulated by non-reciprocal hoppings and the pseudomagnetic field is introduced by inhomogeneous complex on-site potentials. Through measuring the admittance spectrum and the eigenstates, we successfully verify key features of continuum Landau modes. Particularly, we observe the exotic voltage response acting as a rainbow trap or wave funnel through full-field excitation. This response originates from the linear relationship between the modes' center position and complex eigenvalues. Our work builds a bridge between non-Hermiticity and magnetic fields, and thus opens an avenue to explore exotic non-Hermitian physics.

As the fundamental and important issue in modern physics, charged particles subject to a uniform magnetic field have motivated the discovery of many intriguing phenomena, such as integer and fractional quantum Hall effects<sup>1-4</sup>. These phenomena are rooted in the generation of discrete Landau levels in the energy spectrum of the system. The separated Landau level modes are highly degenerate and spatially localized. One of the most striking examples manifesting this effect is the Dirac particle with linear dispersion under a magnetic field, who are generally mimicked in the graphene near the Dirac cones<sup>5-7</sup>. Such separated Landau levels have been realized in electric system<sup>8</sup>, as well as photonic<sup>9</sup>, acoustic<sup>10-12</sup> and mechanical<sup>13,14</sup> metamaterials.

In parallel, non-Hermitian systems, typically including complex on-site potential and non-reciprocal hopping, have attracted considerable attention. On the one hand, they host many unique properties, such as complex energy spectra<sup>15</sup>, exceptional points and rings<sup>16-19</sup>, and skin effect<sup>20-27</sup>, which have no counterparts in Hermitian systems. Moreover, these unconventional properties bring potential applications in sensing<sup>28-30</sup>, lasing<sup>31,32</sup>, and wave manipulation<sup>33-36</sup>. Recently, the interplay of non-Hermiticity and magnetic field has generated a phenomenon termed as continuum Landau modes (CLMs)<sup>37</sup>. The CLMs have Gaussian spatial envelopes and form a continuous spectrum filling the complex energy plane. Remarkably, these CLMs violate the intuition in Hermitian systems where the bound states should be quantized. However, such important CLMs have still not been confirmed in experiments.

In this paper, we report an experimental observation of the CLMs in non-Hermitian electric circuit networks. In our system, the non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian is simulated by non-reciprocal hoppings and a pseudomagnetic field is introduced by inhomogeneous complex on-site potentials. By measuring the circuit admittance spectrum and eigenstates, the key features of the CLMs are successfully observed. Intriguingly, we visualize an exotic steady-state voltage response through full-field excitation. This response results from the linear relationship between the CLMs' center position and complex eigenvalues. We also observe the CLMs in two types of 1D non-Hermitian electric circuits, exhibiting the unique rainbow trap and wave funnel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Microelectronics, Key Laboratory of Materials Physics of Ministry of Education, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China. <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Quantum Optics and Quantum Optics Devices, Institute of Laser spectroscopy, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Quantum Materials and Physics, Henan Academy of Sciences, Zhengzhou 450046, China. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Theoretical Physics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China. <sup>5</sup>The Institute of Technological Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China. <sup>6</sup>These authors contributed equally: Xuewei Zhang, Chaohua Wu. 🖂 e-mail: zywang@whu.edu.cn; chengang971@163.com

Our work provides a fertile platform to study exotic non-Hermitian physics driven by magnetic fields.

## Results

#### Continuum Landau modes in non-Hermitian circuit lattice

Figure 1a shows our considered 2D non-Hermitian square lattice with both the non-reciprocal hopping and inhomogeneous complex on-site potential. To be concrete, this model consists of reciprocal hoppings  $t_x$  along the *x* direction, together with non-reciprocal hoppings  $\pm t_y$ along the *y* direction. Moreover, the sites in the *x* and *y* directions are respectively subjected to linear imaginary and real potentials,  $-imB_x$ (the color of the dots) and  $nB_y$  (the size of the dots), where *m* and *n* denote the site indices, and  $B_{x,y}$  is the strength of the linear potential. Figure 1b shows a part of the designed circuit structure for emulating such lattice. The reciprocal hopping is achieved via capacitors  $C_1$ , while the non-reciprocal hoppings are implemented through an impedance converter with current inversion (INIC) of capacitance  $\pm C_2^{26}$ . Furthermore, the linear real and imaginary potentials are realized by grounding the nodes with position-dependent capacitors  $nC_0$  and resistors  $R_0/m$ , respectively.

According to the Kirchhoff rule, the response of a circuit system is described by I = JV, where J is the admittance matrix or circuit Laplacian, and the vector components of I and V correspond to the input currents and voltages at the nodes in the circuit, respectively. For a given a.c. frequency  $\omega = 2\pi f$ , the circuit Laplacian can be expressed as

(see Supplementary Note 1 for details)

$$J(\omega)/(i\omega) = \sum_{m,n} \left[ t_x(|m,n\rangle\langle m+1,n|+\text{H.c.}) + t_y(|m,n\rangle\langle m,n+1|-\text{H.c.}) + \left( nB_y - imB_x + \epsilon_0 \right) |m,n\rangle\langle m,n| \right],$$
(1)

where  $t_x = -C_1$ ,  $t_y = -C_2$ ,  $B_y = C_0$ ,  $B_x = 1/(\omega R_0)$ ,  $\epsilon_0 = C_1 - 1/(\omega^2 L_0)$ , and H.c. represents the Hermitian conjugate. Note that only the parameters  $B_x$  and  $\epsilon_0$  can be controlled by the frequency.

In the continuum limit and slowly varying envelope approximation (see Supplementary Note 2 for details), Eq. (1) becomes  $J_{\mathbf{k}}/(i\omega) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0} - (-i\mu_{\mathbf{k}}\partial_{x} - B_{y}y) + i(-i\nu_{\mathbf{k}}\partial_{y} - B_{x}x)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0} = \varepsilon_{0} + 2t_{x} \cos k_{x} - i2t_{y} \sin k_{y}$ ,  $\mu_{\mathbf{k}} = 2t_{x} \sin k_{x}$ ,  $\nu_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t_{y} \cos k_{y}$ , and  $k_{x,y} \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Remarkably,  $J_{\mathbf{k}}/(i\omega)$  is an analog of the non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian with complex linear dispersion<sup>38,39</sup> under the symmetric-gauge pseudovector potential  $\mathbf{A} = (-B_{y}y,B_{x}x)$ , which corresponds to a uniform pseudomagnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = (B_{x} + B_{y})\hat{z}$ . Clearly, the non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian is simulated by nonreciprocal hoppings, while the pseudomagnetic field is introduced by inhomogeneous complex on-site potentials and can be controlled by frequency.

The introduction of the pseudomagnetic field in such no-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian may generate phenomenon such as





color of each point indicates the participation ratio of the corresponding eigenstates. The maximum value of the color bar denotes the PR of the admittance eigenstates without the linear on-site potential. **d** Plot of Re [*j*/(*i*ω)] (Left panel) and Im[*j*/(*i*ω)] (Right panel) versus the eigenstate's position expectation value  $\langle y \rangle$  and  $\langle x \rangle$ , respectively. The gray-dashed lines denote the bounding lines obtained from  $\mathcal{E}^{0}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}$  in Eq. (2). **e** Calculated results of Im[*j*/(*i*ω)] versus  $\langle x \rangle$  for the different frequencies *f* = 140 and 180kHz. The dashed lines denote the theoretical central trend lines ( $\mathcal{E}^{0}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow 0$ ) obtained from Eq. (2). **f** The distribution of the eigenstate marked by star in **c**. The inset shows the amplitude distribution along lines passing through the center of the Gaussian envelope. In all subfigures, the other parameters are given by  $C_0 = 10 \text{ nF}$ .

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46122-0

CLMs<sup>37</sup>. To clarify this point, we first consider a 2D Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian with the same pseudovector potential **A**, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}} = [0J_{\mathbf{k}}/(i\omega); J_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}/(-i\omega), 0]$ . In this case, the pseudomagnetic field gives rise to discrete Landau levels. In particular, the zeroth Landau level modes  $\psi_{0} = [0, Ce^{-\eta_{x}(x-x_{0})^{2}}e^{-\eta_{y}(y-y_{0})^{2}}e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}]^{T}$ , where  $\eta_{x} = -B_{x}/(2\mu_{\mathbf{k}}), \eta_{y} = B_{y}/(2\nu_{\mathbf{k}}), C$  is the normalized coefficient, and T denotes the transposition. These zeroth modes are the Gaussian wavepackets centered at  $\mathbf{r}_{0}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) = (\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{0})/B_{x}, -\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{0})/B_{y}) + O(|\mathbf{q}|^{2})$  for  $B_{x}/\mu_{\mathbf{k}} < 0$  and  $B_{y}/\nu_{\mathbf{k}} > 0$ . For the non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian described by  $J_{\mathbf{k}}/(i\omega)$ , its eigenstates  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}$  share the same Gaussian wavepackets as  $\psi_{0}$ , but with the displaced center position (see Supplementary Note 2 for details)

$$\mathbf{r}_{0}(j,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{0} \\ y_{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{Im}\left(j/(i\omega) - \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{0}\right)/B_{x} \\ \mathrm{Re}\left(j/(i\omega) - \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{0}\right)/B_{y} \end{pmatrix} + O(|\mathbf{q}|^{2}), \quad (2)$$

where  $j/(i\omega)$  is the eigenvalue of  $J_k/(i\omega)$ . Due to the slowly-varying envelope approximation, the solutions  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_k$  are limited in the regime  $|\mathbf{q}| \ll 1$ . Equation (2) shows that the eigenstate  $\psi_k$  with a given  $j/(i\omega)$  can map to the zeroth Landau level mode of a given  $\mathcal{H}_k$  whose gauge is determined by  $j/(i\omega)$ . Since the gauge is continuous, the eigenvalues  $j/(i\omega)$  can form continuous spectrum filling the complex energy plane. The eigenstates  $\psi_k$  are thus called CLMs.

To visualize the CLMs, we turn to the case of the finite lattice size. In this case, even though the eigenstates are finite and countable, the system remains the key property of the CLMs. Figure 1c shows the complex admittance spectrum of Eq. (1) associated with the participation ratio (PR) of the eigenstates (PR =  $\sum_{i} |\psi_i|^2 / \sum_{i} |\psi_i|^4$ ) for 10 × 10 size. One can find that, as expected, the admittance eigenvalues form a finite area in the complex energy plane and all admittance eigenstates are localized as the small values of the  $PR^{40}$ . By requiring  $\mathbf{r}_{0}$ to lie in the circuit lattice, the boundaries of the admittance spectrum are given by  $B_v + \epsilon_0 - 2|t_x| \le \operatorname{Re}[j/(i\omega)] \le B_v N_v + \epsilon_0 + 2|t_x|$ and  $-B_x M_x - 2|t_y| \le \text{Im}[j/(i\omega)] \le -B_x + 2|t_y|$ , where  $M_x$  and  $N_y$  respectively denote the size of the circuit lattice in the x and y directions. These boundaries with the frequency-dependent parameters  $\epsilon_0$  and  $B_x$  shows clearly that the frequency causes the shift of both Re[ $j/(i\omega)$ ] and Im[ $j/(i\omega)$ ], while it only influences the bandwidth of Im[ $j/(i\omega)$ ]. The detailed numerical results are shown in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Figure 1d plots the real (imaginary) part of the admittance eigenvalues, Re [ $j/(i\omega)$ ] (Im [ $j/(i\omega)$ ]), as a function of the eigenstate's position expectation values  $\langle y \rangle$  ( $\langle x \rangle$ ). It is evident that the center positions of the CLMs are linearly related to the complex eigenvalues  $j/(i\omega)$ , as suggested in Eq. (2). These linear relationships are given by Re[ $j/(i\omega)$ ] =  $B_y y_0 + \epsilon_0$  and Im[ $j/(i\omega)$ ] =  $-B_x x_0$  (see Supplementary Note 3 for details), which show that the frequency only introduces a shift constant in the linearity between Re[ $j/(i\omega)$ ] and  $y_0$  (Supplementary Fig. 4), while it can tune the slope of the linearity between Im[ $j/(i\omega)$ ] versus the eigenstate's position expectation value  $\langle x \rangle$  for the different frequencies f = 140 and 180 kHz, respectively. These numerical results are consistent with the theoretical analysis.

Figure 1f shows the spatial distribution of a chosen admittance eigenstate marked by a star in Fig. 1c. A Gaussian-type wave function is clearly identified whose center position is consistent with the results in Fig. 1d. Similarly, the frequency can also affect the localization of the CLMs in the *x* direction through the localization parameter  $\eta_x$  of the Gaussian-type wave function (Supplementary Fig. 4). Since  $\eta_x \propto 1/\omega$ , this effect is very weak and is thus hard to be observed in experiments.

The roots of the admittance spectrum  $j(\omega) = 0$  form the complex eigenfrequency spectrum of the system. This complex eigenfrequency spectrum has the same number of eigenstates as the complex

admittance spectrum, and can thus form a continuum filling the complex frequency space, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 5. When the complex admittance or eigenfrequency spectra have a continuum, the voltage response is continuous, i.e., any frequency can excite the corresponding eigenmode of the circuit. For the complex eigenfrequency, its positive (negative) imaginary part indicates the dissipation (amplification) of the voltage. Since the oscilloscope could not capture the fast-changing dynamics of the exponentially oscillating amplitudes, it is hard to measure the complex eigenfrequency spectrum in experiments.

#### **Experiments in 2D circuit lattice**

For the experimental realization of the CLMs, a circuit board containing  $10 \times 10$  nodes was constructed. The circuit elements were preselected to show deviations less than 1% from their nominal values (see Methods for details). A photograph of a part of the circuit board is presented in Fig. 2a. The admittance eigenvalues and eigenstates are readily accessible by measuring the voltage response at each node to a local current input. Specifically, we measure the impedance matrix  $G_{ab} = V_a/I_b$ , where  $V_a$  is the voltage response at any node *a* in response to the local input current  $I_b$  at node *b*. The complete matrix *G* is the inverse of the circuit Laplacian  $J(\omega)$  and therefore shares the same eigenvalues and eigenstates (see Supplementary Note 5 for details). In our experiments, all impedance measurements were performed with an impedance analyzer (Keysight E4990A).

In Fig. 2b, we measure the admittance spectrum together with PR in the complex energy plane at the frequency f = 162 kHz. It can be seen that the admittance eigenvalues are distributed over a finite region in the complex plane, and all eigenstates are localized. Note that for the clean circuit Laplacian considered in Eq. (1), the admittance spectrum exhibits a highly symmetrical pattern, as shown in Fig. 1c. In our experiment, the errors of the circuit components are about  $\pm 1\%$ . In this case, the admittance spectrum is cluttered, which agrees well with the simulated results in Supplementary Note 6.

In Fig. 2c, we measure the distribution of one localized admittance eigenstate marked in Fig. 2b. This admittance eigenstate exhibits a Gaussian-type envelope, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction (Fig. 1f). Figure 2d shows the experimental observations of Re  $[i/(i\omega)]$  and Im  $[i/(i\omega)]$  versus the eigenstate's position expectation values  $\langle y \rangle$  and  $\langle x \rangle$ , respectively. This figure shows clearly that the center position of the CLMs is linearly related to the complex eigenvalue  $j/(i\omega)$ , as expected. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 8, when the errors of the circuit components increase to about ±5%, the linear relationship still exists, which demonstrates the robustness of the CLMs. In Fig. 2e, we plot the measured results of Im  $[j/(i\omega)]$  versus  $\langle x \rangle$ for the different frequencies f = 140 and 180 kHz. It shows that the frequency indeed affects the slope of the linearity between Im [ $j/(i\omega)$ ] and  $\langle x \rangle$ . More experimental observations, including the frequencydependent shift of the admittance spectrum and the linearity between Re[ $i/(i\omega)$ ] and  $\langle y \rangle$ , are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9. These experimental observations are consistent with the calculated results in Figs. 1d, e.

Figure 2b–e demonstrates experimentally the existence of the CLMs. Note that the localized CLMs are centered at different positions, each localized CLM can thus be excited by feeding an a.c. current into the corresponding node of the circuit. Around the position of the excited node, the voltage response profile can exhibit a predominant weight. In experiments, the spatial feature of the CLMs can also be detected by injecting an a.c. current to excite one node of the circuit at its resonance frequency and then measuring the voltage response of all the circuit nodes. Here, we separately excite three nodes (m, n) = (2, 2), (5, 5), and (9, 9) for the different resonance frequencies  $f_r = 197$ , 179, and 151kHz. As shown in Fig. 2f, there is a dominant voltage signal at each excited node, demonstrating again the spatial feature of the CLMs. Note that the peak amplitude of the voltage response indicates the impedance of the node (i.e., Im [ $j/(i\omega)$ ]).



**Fig. 2** | **Experimental observations of continuum Landau modes. a** Photograph of part of the circuit board, with numbers labeling the main circuit components: (i) the position-dependent capacitor  $nC_0$  ( $C_0 = 10$ nF) built of parallel surface mounted device (SMD) capacitors; (ii) grounding for each node with an SMD inductor ( $L_0 = 12.4\mu$ H) and parallel SMD resistors yielding position-dependent resistor  $R_0/m$  ( $R_0 = 100\Omega$ ); (iii) INIC made of an SMD capacitor ( $C_2 = 10$ nF), the operational amplifier LT1363 with supply voltages, and an equal pair of SMD resistor ( $R_a = 1$ k $\Omega$ ); (iv) the reciprocal coupling built of SMD a capacitor ( $C_1 = 10$ nF). **b** Measured admittance spectrum for the frequency f = 162kHz. The color of each point indicates the participation ratio of the corresponding eigenstate. **c** Measured

Interestingly, the linear relationship between the admittance spectrum and the localized position of its eigenstates manifests itself as a steady-state voltage response of full-field excitation. It should be noticed that the eigenmodes of the circuit correspond to the frequencies for which an exact eigenvalue  $\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{j}{(i\omega)}\right] = 0$  exists<sup>41</sup>. Accordingly, we calculate the resonance frequency spectrum of the circuit Laplacian by scanning the frequency. As shown in Fig. 3a, this resonance frequency spectrum is tilted due to the existence of the frequency-dependent coefficient  $\epsilon_0$ . Figure 3b shows the resonance frequency and Im  $[j/(i\omega)]$  of the resonance frequency spectrum versus the position expectation values  $\langle y \rangle$  and  $\langle x \rangle$ , respectively. We can find that, in contrast to the admittance spectrum and eigenstates, the center position of the eigenmodes and the resonance frequency spectrum exhibit power-law behaviors, resulting from the coefficient  $\epsilon_0 \sim 1/\omega^2$ . In Fig. 3c, we show the steady-state voltage profile as a function of the frequency obtained from the LTSPICE simulation by feeding an a.c. current into all nodes of the circuit simultaneously. We find that the voltage accumulates at different nodes of the left edge of the circuit lattice for the different frequencies, i.e., position-frequency locking response at one side of the 2D circuit lattice. This is a consequence of the power-law relationship between Re  $[j/(i\omega)]$  and  $\langle y \rangle$ , causing a localized voltage response whose position is proportional to the excitation frequency in the y direction. While the relation of Im  $[i/(i\omega)]$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  implies the voltage response concentrating on the boundary with lowest relative loss in the x direction. The combination of these two effects leads to such voltage response behavior of full-field excitation.

To observe the steady-state voltage of full-field excitation, multichannel a.c. current feeds generated from a voltage source are injected

distribution of the eigenstate marked by star in **b**. **d** Experimental observations of  $\operatorname{Re}[j/(i\omega)]$  (Left Panel) and  $\operatorname{Im}[j/(i\omega)]$  (Right Panel) versus the eigenstate's position expectation values  $\langle y \rangle$  and  $\langle x \rangle$ , respectively. The gray-dashed lines are the corrected bounding lines by introducing loss offset and modified inductor. **e** Experimental observations of  $\operatorname{Im}[j/(i\omega)]$  versus  $\langle x \rangle$  for the different frequencies f = 140 and 180kHz. The dashed lines denote the corrected central trend lines  $(\mathcal{E}^0_{k+q} \rightarrow 0)$  obtained from Eq. (2). **f** Measured voltage responses |V| by separately exciting three positions (2,2), (5,5), and (9,9) at their resonance frequencies  $f_r = 197$ , 179, and 151kHz, respectively.

into all nodes through a shunt resistance ( $R_s = 50 \Omega$ ) separately. Then, we measure the voltage distribution on each node at different driving frequency. Figure 3d shows the detected voltage response versus frequency for the different nodes at the top (n = 10) and left (m = 1) circuit boundaries. For the nodes at the top boundary, the peak voltage amplitudes of all nodes are nearly frozen at a certain frequency. Moreover, the voltage is squeezed at the nodes with small grounding resistors, i.e., small values of x. As for the nodes at the left boundary, the frequency of the peak voltage response varies with the nodes y. Due to the frequency-dependent coefficient  $\epsilon_0 \sim 1/\omega^2$ , the frequency response spectrum broadens as y decreases. These observations confirm the distinct response behaviors for the two directions of our circuit lattice, arising from the power-law relationship between admittance eigenstate center position and complex eigenvalues. The full voltage response as a function of frequency in the x-y plane is displayed in Fig. 3e, showing good agreement with simulation results (Fig. 3c).

#### **Experiments in 1D circuit lattices**

In fact, the 1D circuit lattices described by the vertical or horizontal directions of Fig. 1a also exhibit the CLMs (see Supplementary Note 8 for details). Physically, it corresponds to the non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian under the magnetic field with Landau gauge. To confirm this, we first consider the 1D lattice with non-reciprocal hoppings  $\pm t_y$  and linear real on-site potential  $nB_y$ , whose circuit structure is shown in Fig. 4a. The circuit Laplacian is  $J(\omega)/(i\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [t_y(|n\rangle \langle n+1| - H.c.) + (nB_y + \epsilon_0)|n\rangle \langle n|]$  with  $\epsilon_0 = -i/(\omega R_0) - 1/(\omega^2 L_0^0)$ . In the continuum limit, it becomes  $J_k/(i\omega) = i2t_y \sin k_y + \nu_k \partial_y + B_y y$ , which amounts to imposing a pseudovector potential  $\mathbf{A} = (-B_y y, 0)$  on the



Fig. 3 | Voltage response of the continuum Landau modes in 2D non-Hermitian electric circuit. a Calculated resonance frequency spectrum of the circuit Laplacian. The color of each point indicates the participation ratio of the corresponding eigenstate. b Calculated resonance frequency (Left Panel) and  $\text{Im}[j/(i\omega)]$  (Right Panel) of the resonance frequency spectrum versus the eigenstate's position expectation values  $\langle y \rangle$  and  $\langle x \rangle$ , respectively. c Simulated steady-state voltage

response |V| as a function of the frequency *f* through injecting the a.c. current feed at all nodes simultaneously. **d** Measured steady-state voltage responses |V| as functions of frequency for the different nodes in the top (n = 10, top panel) and left (m = 1, bottom panel) circuit boundaries. **e** Measured steady-state voltage response |V| as a function of the frequency *f* in the *x*-*y* plane. In all subfigures, the parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2.





**Fig. 4** | **Continuum Landau modes in 1D non-Hermitian electric circuits. a** Schematic of the circuit chain with non-reciprocal hopping realized by INIC and linear real potential by position-dependent capacitors. **b** Measured admittance eigenvalues (top) and eigenstates (bottom) of the circuit chain in **a** for the frequency f = 162kHz. **c** Steady-state voltage response versus the full-field excitation frequency for the circuit in **a**. **d** Schematic of the circuit chain with linear imaginary

potential given by the position-dependent resistors. **e** Measured admittance eigenvalues (top) and eigenstates (bottom) of the circuit chain in **d** for the frequency f = 162kHz. **f** Steady-state voltage response versus the full-field excitation frequency for the circuit in **d**. In subfigures **b**–**f**, the experimental parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2.

non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian. The corresponding eigenstates are the CLMs centered at  $y_0 = \text{Re}[j/(i\omega)]/B_y$  (independent of  $k_y$ ). This suggests that the system can be used as "rainbow traps" where the eigenstates are localized at positions proportional to eigenvalues<sup>42–44</sup>. We constructed a circuit chain containing 10 nodes to demonstrate this scenario. Figure 4b plots the measured complex admittance eigenvalues (top panel) along with the eigenstates (bottom panel) for the frequency f = 162 kH. The results agree with the theoretical predictions. The steady-state voltage response through full-field excitation is visualized in Fig. 4c. As expected, the voltage response has an amplitude peak positioned proportional to the excitation frequency.

Another 1D circuit lattice supporting the CLMs, depicted in Fig. 4d, has reciprocal hoppings  $t_x$  and linear imaginary potential  $-imB_x$ . The corresponding circuit Laplacian is given by  $J(\omega)/(i\omega) = \sum [t_x(|m\rangle\langle m+1|+\text{H.c.}) + (\epsilon_0 - imB_x)|m\rangle\langle m|]$  with  $\epsilon_0 = C_0$  $-1/(\omega^2 L_0)$ . The this case, we have  $J_k/(i\omega) = 2t_x \cos k_x + i\mu_k \partial_x - iB_x x$ , which is equivalent to introducing the Landau gauge  $\mathbf{A} = (0, B_x x)$ . The localized position of its eigenstates satisfies  $x_0 = \text{Im}[j/(i\omega)]/B_x$ . Similarly, we plot the measured admittance eigenvalues and eigenstates for a circuit chain with 10 nodes and f = 162 kH, as presented in Fig. 4e. It is clear that the center positions of the eigenstates are linear related to the imaginary part of eigenvalues. Figure 4f shows the distribution of the steady-state voltage response. In contrast with the former case, the voltage response is concentrated at a boundary at which the modes with the lowest relative loss occupy. This implies that the system can act as a wave funnel with a finite bandwidth.

It should be noticed that for the current two cases of the 1D circuit lattices, the observed admittance eigenvalues in the complex plane are both localized around a distinct line (Fig. 4b and e). By tuning the parameters, the admittance eigenvalues can fill the complex plane (see Supplementary Fig. 12), in which the CLMs were predicted<sup>37</sup>.

#### Discussion

To summarize, we have employed non-Hermitian electric circuit networks to experimentally demonstrate the magnetic field-induced CLMs by measuring the admittance spectrum and eigenstates. Intriguingly, we have visualized an exotic steady-state voltage response through fullfield excitation, resulting from the linear relationship between the CLMs' center position and complex eigenvalues. In particular, the voltage responses in 1D cases exhibit the behaviors of rainbow trapping or wave funneling. Our work provides a fertile platform to study rich non-Hermitian physics driven by the magnetic field<sup>45–50</sup>.

Our experimental observations result from the non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonians with a uniform magnetic field. In this case, the Landau quantization and the edge states are missing. For the non-reciprocal model under a similar uniform magnetic field<sup>48-50</sup>, the semiclassical trajectories of the wavepacket may turn out to be closed/ skipping orbits in the 4D complex space<sup>50</sup>. The Landau levels exhibit the usual quantized spectra, and the Hall-like edge states are still found. In the circuits, the Landau levels can be measured through the admittance and impedance spectra, and the localized Landau modes and the Hall-like edge states can be observed by steady-state voltage response or dynamics of the excitation.

#### Methods

#### Circuit construction and measurements

The specific component values used for the non-Hermitian circuit implementation were pre-selected as  $C_0 = 10 \text{ nF} (\pm 1\%)$ ,  $L_0 = 12.4 \,\mu\text{H} (\pm 1\%)$ ,  $R_0 = 100 \,\Omega (\pm 1\%)$ ,  $C_1 = 10 \,\text{nF} (\pm 1\%)$ , and  $C_2 = 10 \,\text{nF} (\pm 1\%)$ . For the implementation of the INIC, we used the unity-gain stable operational amplifier model LT1363.

To perform the measurements for the admittance eigenvalues and eigenstates, we measure the impedance matrix  $G_{ab} = V_a/I_b$ , where  $V_a$  is the voltage response at any node *a* in response to the local input current

 $I_b$  at node *b*. The complete matrix *G* is the inverse of the circuit Laplacian  $J(\omega)$ , and thus contains full information on admittance eigenvalues and eigenstates of the Laplacian. The impedance measurements were performed with an impedance analyzer (Keysight E4990A). For the measurements of steady-state voltage response through full-field excitation, multichannel a.c. current feeds generated from a voltage source are injected into all nodes through a shunt resistance separately. Then, we measure the voltage distributions on all nodes at different driving frequencies by using the oscilloscope (Keysight DSOX4024A).

## Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are provided in the Source data file. Source data are provided with this paper.

## **Code availability**

Circuit simulations were performed using LTspice (https://www. analog.com/en/design-center/design-tools-and-calculators/ltspicesimulator.html#).

## References

- 1. Klitzing, K. V. The quantized Hall effect. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 58, 519 (1986).
- Hasan, M. Z. & Kane, C. L. Colloquium: topological insulators. *Rev.* Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- Thouless, D. J., Kohmoto, M., Nightingale, M. P. & den Nijs, M. Quantized hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 49, 405 (1982).
- Laughlin, R. B. Anomalous quantum hall effect: an incompressible quantum fluid with fractionally charged excitations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 50, 1395 (1983).
- Guinea, F., Katsnelson, M. I. & Geim, A. K. Energy gaps and a zerofield quantum Hall effect in graphene by strain engineering. *Nat. Phys.* 6, 30–33 (2010).
- Castro Neto, A. H., Guinea, F., Peres, N. M. R., Novoselov, K. S. & Geim, A. K. The electronic properties of graphene. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81, 109 (2009).
- Goerbig, M. O. Electronic properties of graphene in a strong magnetic field. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 83, 1193 (2011).
- Levy, N. et al. Strain-induced pseudo-magnetic fields greater than 300 Tesla in graphene nanobubbles. *Science* **329**, 544–547 (2010).
- Rechtsman, M. C. et al. Strain-induced pseudomagnetic field and photonic Landau levels in dielectric structures. *Nat. Photon.* 7, 153–158 (2013).
- Yang, Z., Gao, F., Yang, Y. & Zhang, B. Strain-induced Gauge field and Landau levels in acoustic structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 194301 (2017).
- 11. Wen, X. et al. Acoustic Landau quantization and quantum-Hall-like edge states. *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 352–356 (2019).
- Peri, V., Serra-Garcia, M., Ilan, R. & Huber, S. D. Axial-field-induced chiral channels in an acoustic Weyl system. *Nat. Phys.* 15, 357–361 (2019).
- Abbaszadeh, H., Souslov, A., Paulose, J., Schomerus, H. & Vitelli, V. Sonic Landau levels and synthetic gauge fields in mechanical metamaterials. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 195502 (2017).
- 14. Yan, M. et al. Pseudomagnetic fields enabled manipulation of onchip elastic waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 136401 (2021).
- Wang, K., Dutt, A., Wojcik, C. C. & Fan, S. Topological complexenergy braiding of non-Hermitian bands. *Nature (London)* 598, 59–64 (2021).
- Miri, M.-A. & Alù, A. Exceptional points in optics and photonics. Science 363, eaar7709 (2019).
- Özdemir, Ş. K., Rotter, S., Nori, F. & Yang, L. Parity-time symmetry and exceptional points in photonics. *Nat. Mater.* 18, 783–798 (2019).

- Xu, Y., Wang, S.-T. & Duan, L.-M. Weyl exceptional rings in a threedimensional dissipative cold atomic gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 045701 (2017).
- Cerjan, A. et al. Experimental realization of a Weyl exceptional ring. Nat. Photon .13, 623–628 (2019).
- 20. Yao, S. & Wang, Z. Edge states and topological invariants of non-Hermitian systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 086803 (2018).
- Zhang, K., Yang, Z. & Fang, C. Correspondence between winding numbers and skin modes in non-Hermitian systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125, 126402 (2020).
- Li, L., Lee, C. H., Mu, S. & Gong, J. Critical non-Hermitian skin effect. Nat. Commun. 11, 5491 (2020).
- 23. Zhang, L. et al. Acoustic non-Hermitian skin effect from twisted winding topology. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 6297 (2021).
- 24. Zhang, K., Yang, Z. & Fang, C. Universal non-Hermitian skin effect in two and higher dimensions. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 2496 (2022).
- Zhou, Q. et al. Observation of geometry-dependent skin effect in non-Hermitian phononic crystals with exceptional points. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 4569 (2023).
- Helbig, T. et al. Generalized bulk-boundary correspondence in non-Hermitian topolectrical circuits. *Nat. Phys.* 16, 747–750 (2020).
- Zou, D. et al. Observation of hybrid higher-order skin-topological effect in non-Hermitian topolectrical circuits. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 7201 (2021).
- Chen, W., Özdemir, Ş. K., Zhao, G., Wiersig, J. & Yang, L. Exceptional points enhance sensing in an optical microcavity. *Nature (London)* 548, 192–196 (2017).
- Budich, J. C. & Bergholtz, E. J. Non-Hermitian topological sensors. Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 180403 (2020).
- Suntharalingam, A., Fernández-Alcázar, L., Kononchuk, R. & Kottos, T. Noise resilient exceptional-point voltmeters enabled by oscillation quenching phenomena. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 5515 (2023).
- 31. Gao, Z. et al. Two-dimensional reconfigurable non-hermitian gauged laser array. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 263801 (2023).
- Zhu, B. et al. Anomalous single-mode lasing induced by nonlinearity and the non-hermitian skin effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 013903 (2022).
- Zhao, H. et al. Non-Hermitian topological light steering. Science 365, 1163–1166 (2019).
- Weidemann, S. et al. Topological funneling of light. Science 368, 311–314 (2020).
- 35. Longhi, S. Self-healing of non-hermitian topological skin modes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 157601 (2022).
- Wang, W., Wang, X. & Ma, G. Non-Hermitian morphing of topological modes. *Nature (London)* 608, 50–55 (2022).
- Wang, Q. et al. Continuum of bound states in a non-Hermitian model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 103602 (2023).
- Kawabata, K., Shiozaki, K. & Ryu, S. Topological field theory of non-Hermitian systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 216405 (2021).
- Denner, M. M. et al. Exceptional topological insulators. Nat. Commun. 12, 5681 (2021).
- Thouless, D. J. Electrons in disordered systems and the theory of localization. *Phys. Rep.* 13, 93 (1974).
- Imhof, S. et al. Topolectrical-circuit realization of topological corner modes. Nat. Phys. 14, 925–929 (2018).
- Tsakmakidis, K. L., Boardman, A. D. & Hess, O. 'Trapped rainbow' storage of light in metamaterials. *Nature (London)* 450, 397–401 (2007).
- Lu, C., Wang, C., Xiao, M., Zhang, Z. Q. & Chan, C. T. Topological rainbow concentrator based on synthetic dimension. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 113902 (2021).
- 44. Lu, C. et al. On-chip nanophotonic topological rainbow. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 2586 (2022).
- Zhang, X.-X. & Franz, M. Non-Hermitian exceptional landau quantization in electric circuits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 046401 (2020).

- 46. Lu, M., Zhang, X.-X. & Franz, M. Magnetic suppression of nonhermitian skin effects. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 256402 (2021).
- Wu, C., Yang, Z., Tang, J., Liu, N. & Chen, G. Flux-controlled skin effect and topological transition in a dissipative two-leg ladder model. *Phys. Rev. A* **106**, 062206 (2022).
- Teo, H. T., Mandal, S., Long, Y., Xue, H. & Zhang, B. Pseudomagnetic suppression of non-Hermitian skin effect. arXiv 2307, 05099 (2023).
- Li, C.-A., Trauzettel, B., Neupert, T. & Zhang, S.-B. Enhancement of second-order non-hermitian skin effect by magnetic fields. *Rev. Lett.* 131, 116601 (2023).
- Shao, K., Cai, Z.-T., Geng, H., Chen, W. & Xing, D. Y. Cyclotron quantization and mirror-time transition on nonreciprocal lattices. *Phys. Rev. B* 106, L081402 (2022).

# Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant no. 2022YFA1404500), Cross-disciplinary Innovative Research Group Project of Henan Province (Grant no. 232300421004), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant nos. 12074232, 12125406, 12204290, 12374360, 12374312).

# **Author contributions**

G.C. and Z.W. conceived the idea. X.Z. and C.W. calculated the theoretical results, carried out the numerical simulations and performed the experiments. M.Y. guided the experimental measurement. N.L. calculated the theoretical results, and G.C. and Z.W. supervised the project. All the authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Additional information

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46122-0.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Ziyu Wang or Gang Chen.

**Peer review information** *Nature Communications* thanks Yidong Chong, and the other, anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024