nature communications

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46072-7

General synthesis and atomic arrangement identification of ordered Bi–Pd intermetallics with tunable electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction selectivity

Received: 31 July 2023

Accepted: 13 February 2024

Published online: 21 February 2024

Check for updates

Wenjin Guo^{1,2}, Guangfang Li³, Chengbo Bai¹, Qiong Liu², Fengxi Chen \mathbb{D}^1 & Rong Chen $\mathbb{D}^{1,4}$

Intermetallic compounds (IMCs) with fixed chemical composition and ordered crystallographic arrangement are highly desirable platforms for elucidating the precise correlation between structures and performances in catalysis. However, diffusing a metal atom into a lattice of another metal to form a controllably regular metal occupancy remains a huge challenge. Herein, we develop a general and tractable solvothermal method to synthesize the Bi-Pd IMCs family, including Bi₂Pd, BiPd, Bi₃Pd₅, Bi₂Pd₅, Bi₃Pd₈ and BiPd₃. By employing electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction as a model reaction, we deeply elucidated the interplay between Bi-Pd IMCs and key intermediates. Specific surface atomic arrangements endow Bi-Pd IMCs different relative surface binding affinities and adsorption configuration for *OCHO, *COOH and *H intermediate, thus exhibiting substantially selective generation of formate (Bi₂Pd), CO (BiPd₃) and H₂ (Bi₂Pd₅). This work provides a comprehensive understanding of the specific structure-performance correlation of IMCs, which serves as a valuable paradigm for precisely modulating catalyst material structures.

Bimetallic nanocrystals are emerging as fascinating materials exhibiting novel properties and capabilities due to the synergistic effects between the two metals and the composition-dependent surface structure and atomic segregation behavior^{1,2}. Depending on the mixing patterns, only when the second metal atoms diffuse into the lattice of the first one and form metal–metal bonds with long-range ordered atomic arrangement, intermetallic compounds (IMCs) can be obtained^{3,4}. Noticeably, in contrast to the more common phaseseparated or solid-solution alloys, the ordered intermetallic compounds tend to exhibit different physical and chemical properties even if they get the same elemental composition and atomic ratios. More importantly, as unique potential catalysts, intermetallic compounds are more suitable than disordered alloys to explore the structureactivity correlations in catalytic processes due to their special surface properties and well-defined atomic arrangements⁵⁻⁷. The ordered surface and bulk configurations have great advantages in studying their adsorption behavior and the electron transfer processes of key intermediates, which will determine the overall reaction activity and selectivity during catalysis. For example, the electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction process involves multiple protons coupled electron transfer reactions (PCET), so varieties of reduction products can be obtained according to different electron transfer numbers⁸. In addition, it is

¹State Key Laboratory of New Textile Materials & Advanced Processing Technologies, Wuhan Textile University, 430200 Wuhan, China. ²School of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Wuhan Institute of Technology, 430205 Wuhan, PR China. ³Key Laboratory of Material Chemistry for Energy Conversion and Storage (Ministry of Education), Hubei Key Laboratory of Material Chemistry and Service Failure, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 430074 Wuhan, PR China. ⁴Henan Institute of Advanced Technology, Zhengzhou University, 450002 Zhengzhou, PR China. ^{Serv} generally believed that the final product strongly depends on the adsorption configuration and energy of the key intermediates on the catalyst surface⁹. Therefore, it is feasible and reasonable to fine-tune the surface properties and arrangement of the catalysts at the atomic level to alter the reaction pathway and obtain the target product. Undoubtedly, IMCs provide a desirable platform for atomic-scale structural design and in-depth understanding of the structure-performance correlations in catalyst materials.

Unfortunately, the preparation of well-defined intermetallics is much more complicated than that of disordered alloys. It is not easy to synchronously control the nucleation and growth of two different metals to form an ordered arrangement due to their different thermodynamic and kinetic properties under the same reaction conditions^{10,11}. Not any two metals can be co-reduced to synthesize IMCs. Therefore, it is necessary to choose appropriate metals and reduction systems so that they have more synchronous reduction processes to avoid separate nucleation of the two metals to form coreshell^{12,13} or heterogeneous structures^{14,15} rather than IMCs. Moreover, the overall diffusion process is strongly affected by metal precursors, reaction temperature, time, pH, etc. Conventional alloy metallurgy methods not only mostly involve high-temperature melting and annealing or dangerous reduction gases, but also often produce disordered alloys with uneven sizes and non-unitary compositions^{16,17}. Bibased bimetallic catalysts are emerging as fascinating materials with remarkable catalytic properties. As perfect catalyst candidates, if a Bibased intermetallics family with tunable composition and atomic arrangement could be achieved, it is definitely of great significance for a systematic and deeper understanding of the structure-activity correlation for specific catalytic reaction due to their special surface properties and well-defined atomic arrangements. To date, although various IMCs such as Bi-Pb¹⁸, Bi-Mo¹⁹, Bi-Ni²⁰ have been successfully prepared, a controllable synthesis of a Bi-based intermetallics family with tunable composition and atomic arrangement via a simple and general wet chemical route is barely investigated because it is difficult to achieve the synthesis of multiple IMCs with different structures under the same synthesis system. Furthermore, a comprehensive understanding of the structure-activity correlation in catalytic reactions for different phases within intermetallics families remains limited. Therefore, the development of a simple and general system for the controlled synthesis of ordered IMCs still poses a huge challenge.

In this work, we have developed a simple and convenient solvothermal co-reduction methodology for the preparation of structurally ordered family of Bi-Pd intermetallics by means of massive attempts. A variety of Bi-Pd IMCs including monoclinic and tetragonal Bi₂Pd, hexagonal BiPd and Bi₃Pd₅, monoclinic Bi₂Pd₅, rhombic Bi₃Pd₈ and orthorhombic BiPd3 are well-determined by a combination investigation based on double Cs-correctors transmission electron microscopy (Double CS-corrected TEM), XAS and XRD. Moreover, the Bi-Pd IMCs family is a promising candidate for the investigation of catalytic CO₂ reduction reactions due to their steerable ability of the CO₂ adsorption, electron transfer, geometry and coordination environment. The analysis of the surface atomic structure, adsorption energies and configurations of the key intermediates in the catalytic processes via the theoretical calculations and in-situ techniques has thoroughly unraveled the intrinsic structure-dependent selectivity and structure-performance correlations, providing an enlightening case study in this area.

Results

Materials synthesis and characterization

In the synthesis of Bi–Pd IMCs, we have run hundreds of experiments to explore the controllable synthesis strategy of ordered Bi–Pd IMCs with different atomic compositions and arrangements by changing the reaction parameters including reaction time, temperature, Bi/Pd molar ratio, and the type of Pd precursors (Supplementary Figs. 1–6). Six different Bi–Pd ordered intermetallic compounds (IMCs) have been successfully prepared by co-reduction of Bi and Pd salts via a general and facile solvothermal method (S1–S6). The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD; Fig. 1b) patterns of the six samples all exhibit good crystallinity. No distinct diffraction peak is observed for individual metals, instead new Bragg diffraction peaks appear intuitively, suggesting the formation of alloy compounds rather than phase-separated alloys. Moreover, the spectra from S1 to S6 are in perfect agreement with the standard PXRD card, including Bi₂Pd, BiPd, BiPd, Bi₂Pd₅, Bi₂Pd₅, Bi₃Pd₈ and BiPd₃,



Fig. 1 | Preparation and structural characterizations of Bi-Pd IMCs. a Schematic diagram for the synthesis process. b XRD patterns. c AAS characterization. d SEM images.



Fig. 2 | **The ordered structure and atomic arrangement of Bi-Pd IMCs. a1-a6** Aberration-corrected HAADF-STEM images of Bi-Pd IMCs (S1 to S6). Inset: corresponding FFT pattern, bright contrast file in the orange box and diagram of atomic

arrangement and spacing. **b1-b6** Intensity profiles measured from HAADF-STEM images and (**c1-c6**) corresponding crystal structure (red and green spheres represent Bi and Pd atoms). **d** Atomic resolution EDX mapping of S1-Bi₂Pd IMCs.

demonstrating the successful synthesis of Bi–Pd IMCs in the crystal structure. Meanwhile, atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS; Fig. 1c) quantifies that the elementary compositions of these samples were much the same with the standard chemical formula, which further verifies the accurate synthesis of the IMCs. Figure 1d shows the scanning electron microscope images (SEM) of the six Bi–Pd IMCs, which reveals a uniform size and morphology.

In order to confirm the ordered Bi–Pd IMCs more directly, the double Cs-correctors transmission electron microscopy (Double CS-corrected TEM) is employed to visualize the atomic arrangement of the six samples. Incredibly, as shown in the high-angle annular dark field (HAADF; Fig. 2) image, the whole lattice of all the samples shows highly ordered periodic arrangement of alternating bright and dark atoms. While the larger bright atoms and the smaller dark atoms are assigned to Bi and Pd atoms respectively, according to their vastly different atomic number²¹. Taking Bi₂Pd (S1) as an example (Fig. 2a1), combined with the atomic bright contrast files and atomic model overlay in HAADF, it can be found that two rows of bright atoms are followed by a row of dark atoms, and the adjacent rows of dark atoms are separated by one atom in the vertical direction. This special arrangement matches perfectly with the (100) plane of tetragonal Bi₂Pd (Fig. 2c1), and can also be validated in the corresponding fast

Fourier-transform (FFT) pattern insert in Fig. 2a1. Moreover, the intensity profile along the scan direction (Fig. 2b1) transformed from the HAADF images presents a periodic oscillation pattern, and the spacing between two adjacent bismuth atoms is measured to be 3.36 Å, which could be assigned to the standard lattice spacing of (010) plane that perpendicular to (100). More immediately, the EDX mapping at atomic resolution (Fig. 2d) also exhibits alternating bright and dark rows of atoms, confirming the (100) plane of Bi₂Pd. In the same way, the highly ordered atomic arrangements and exposed crystal planes of the other five Bi–Pd IMCs are finally determined by comparing the bright contrast files, the corresponding FFT patterns in the HAADF images, and the measured characteristic atomic spacing to the corresponding standard crystal spacing (Fig. 2).

To further understand the Bi–Pd IMCs from the electronic structure, X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) of the six samples at Bi L3-edge and Pd K-edge are investigated. As the X-ray absorption near-edge spectra (XANES) shown in Supplementary Fig. 8, the overall electronic structure and spectral trends are similar with the corresponding metals rather than oxides, presenting that the metallic state is the main state of all IMCs^{22–24}. However, due to the difference in the electronegativity of Bi and Pd, the absorption edges at the Bi L3-edge and Pd K-edge are shifted to different degrees, indicating the presence of



Fig. 3 | X-ray absorption spectroscopy analysis of Bi–Pd IMCs. a Fourier-transform EXAFS spectra fittings for Bi–Pd IMCs at Pd K-edge and Bi L3-edge. b WT–EXAFS Bi L3-edge spectra for Bi–Pd IMCs and Bi foil reference.

charge transfer between the two elements, reflecting the average valence state of the six IMCs. Besides, K and R spaces of the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra (Supplementary Fig. 8) are further performed to study the bonding states and coordination environments of the six samples. Noticeably, the FT-EXAFS results of IMCs are significantly different from those of single metals, as the prominent peak assigned to the Bi-Pd path at the Bi L3-edge in the first shell of the IMCs is shorter than the Bi-Bi path at 3.0 Å for the Bi foil. More importantly, the structure fitting results of R space and corresponding parameters (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Tables 1, 2) present highly consistence with the standard crystals in bond length and coordination number, which verifies the successful synthesis of ordered IMCs again from the electronic structure. In addition, the analysis of the continuous Morlet wavelet transform for the six samples is shown in Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 9. Clearly, the WT maximum for Bi-Pd IMCs at the Bi L3-edge exhibit lower R-values than that for Bi foils, which corresponds to the formation of shorter Bi-Pd bond. Moreover, for the Bi-Pd path, the increased K-values from S1 to S6 match the higher Bi-Pd coordination numbers among them.

Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping and line scan techniques are performed to characterize the element content and distribution. As shown in Supplementary Figs. 10–15, the Bi–Pd atomic fraction determined by STEM-EDX is quite close to the standard atomic ratio and AAS results. The EDX line scan shows that Bi and Pd are well distributed throughout the nanocrystals, and intensity trough signal in the center of the crystal indicates the hollow structure of IMCs, which might be formed by the Kirkendall effect during the reduction process^{25,26}. Moreover, the EDX mapping (Supplementary Figs. 10–15) also confirms the uniform distribution of the two elements, indicating the formation of alloys rather than the phase separated heterostructure or core-shell structure. And the atomic resolution EDX mapping further excludes the formation of random alloys^{27,28}.

CO₂ electroreduction performance

To evaluate the CO_2RR performance of six different Bi–Pd IMCs dropped on the gas diffusion electrodes (GDE), the electrolytic reactions occur at the triple phase boundary of the flow cell with alkaline media. Supplementary Fig. 16 depicts the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) of six samples in Ar and CO_2 atmosphere. The polarization curves show that all six IMCs in CO_2 achieve lower onset-potential and higher current densities at low over-potential than in Ar, reflecting the high reactivity of the Bi–Pd IMCs in CO_2RR . While the current density in Ar for S3, S4 and S5 is greater than that in CO_2 with the increased over-potential, indicating the enhanced hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). Moreover, by comparing the LSV curves of the six samples in CO_2



Fig. 4 | **Catalytic performances and stabilities. a** LSV curves (**b**) constant potential (-0.7 V vs. RHE) electrolysis (**c**) CV curves (**d**) FE and current density at -0.7 V (vs. RHE) of the Bi-Pd IMCs. **e** FE and current density of S1 (**f**) S4 (**g**) S6 in all potentials

range (**h**) and stability test at -0.7 V vs. RHE in flow cell. Reaction conditions: catalyst mass loading = 1 mg cm⁻², effective area = 1.0×1.0 cm², flow rate of CO₂ = 30 mL min⁻¹, flow rate of electrolyte = 20 mL min⁻¹, the electrolyte =1M KOH.

(Fig. 4a), it can be found that the current density distribution from S1 to S6 exhibits a trend of decreasing first and then increasing, and the current density of S1 and S6 is much higher than that of S4, reaching over 150 mA cm⁻² at -1.5 V (versus RHE, the same hereinafter). Figure 4c shows the cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of six IMCs. In the forward and backward scans, two pairs of typical surface redox waves appear, while no significant hydrogen underpotential deposition is observed.

In addition, the ratio of the two pairs of redox waves varies between the different samples from S1 to S6, indicating the different content and distribution of the two elements on the surface of these IMCs.

The constant potential electrolysis at -0.7 V is further performed to compare the activity of the different Bi–Pd IMCs. As shown in the *i*–*t* curves (Fig. 4b), all IMCs exhibit high current densities with only slight fluctuations, indicating superior reactivity and chronoamperometry



Fig. 5 | **Structural characterization of the catalyst after reaction. a**, **b** Aberrationcorrected HAADF–STEM images of S1 and S6 after reaction. Inset: bright contrast file in the orange box and diagram of atomic arrangement and spacing. **c**, **d** Intensity profiles measured from HAADF–STEM images and (**e**, **f**)

corresponding FFT pattern and crystal structure (red and green spheres represent Bi and Pd atoms) of S1 and S6 after reaction. **g**, **h** Simulated HAADF image of S1 and S6 (001) plane.

10 h, indicating that S1 and S6 are better electrochemical stable than

stability. Besides, the products analysis further indicates that the Bi-Pd IMCs display not only superior reaction activity and stability, but more importantly a structure-dependent selectivity in CO₂RR (Fig. 4d). Among these Bi-Pd IMCs, the S1-Bi₂Pd gets the highest Faradaic efficiency (FE > 85 %) for CO₂-to-formate electroreduction, but the FE decreases gradually from S1 to S6. On the contrary, the FE of IMCs for CO increases, and the S6-BiPd₃ demonstrates the highest CO₂-to-CO selectivity (FE > 70 %). While for the unavoidable HER during electrocatalysis, consistent with the trend in the total current density, it shows a volcano-like distribution among the six IMCs, with S4-Bi₂Pd₅ having the highest H_2 selectivity compared to S1 and S6 (FE > 50 %). In other words, by tuning the structure and composition of the Bi-Pd IMCs, we achieve the structure-dependent transitions toward CO, formate and H₂, respectively. Under the increasing over-potential (Fig. 4e-g), S1 could still maintain a high FE over 80 %, and S4 still has a dominant hydrogen evolution tendency. At the same time, the FE of S6 starts to decay above -0.8 V due to CO poisoning²⁹. On the other hand, the products distribution at different voltage windows could be more intuitively verified by comparing the i-t curves and partial current densities under different over-potentials (Supplementary Fig. 17).

As a step further, we investigate the long-term stability of S1, S4 and S6 at -0.7 V (Fig. 4h). Under the constant potential electrolysis for 10 h, S1 and S6 shows no significant loss of activity, while the current density of S4 double in only 3 h, and the FE undulation of H₂ exceeds 20%. In contrast, the corresponding FE decays for S1 and S6 are less than 10 %, and the formation rate of formate is essentially stable within S4. On the other hand, the utilization of anion exchange membranes is inevitably accompanied by formate crossover or carbon loss during the CO₂RR, leading to a decrease in device efficiency. Therefore, we implemented several strategies, including regular electrolyte refreshing, membrane replacement, and carbon paper retreatment to mitigate these significant limitations. As depicted in Supplementary Fig. 18a, at -100 mA cm⁻² electrolysis, through periodic treatments, the S1 sample exhibited superior stability for nearly 60 hours with small changes in formate crossover and carbon loss, and a well-maintained formate selectivity (FE ~ 70 %). However, prolonged usage leads to gradual hydrophobicity loss (Supplementary Fig. 18b) of the gas diffusion layer, that hinders CO₂ diffusion to reaction sites while promoting hydrogen evolution reaction and the electrode flooding at the reactive three-phase interface, thus limiting the further stability testing within the current flow cell setup. Moreover, the restructuring phenomenon usually occurs during electrochemical CO2RR of metal catalysts which have been widely studied in literatures^{30,31}. In this work, the XRD patterns of the IMCs before and after long-term stability test manifest no recognizable structure change (Supplementary Fig. 19). We further employ HAADF-STEM to study the surface structure of spent S1 and S6 from an atomic level. As shown in Fig. 5, both S1 and S6 after reaction keep highly ordered periodic arrangements with alternating bright and dark atoms. By analyzing the special atomic arrangement and the bright contrast files (Fig. 5a, b), the ordered arrangements are well matched with the (100) plane of S1 and S6. The

further comparison of intensity profiles (Fig. 5c, d) along different directions and corresponding fast Fourier-transform (FFT) patterns (Fig. 5e, f) are in excellent agreement with the standard crystal spacing. Finally, we simulate the arrangement of (100) plane of S1 and S6, respectively, which exhibits exactly the same pattern as the HAADF-STEM images we took (Fig. 5g, h). All the results indicate that the Bi–Pd IMCs are extremely stable without compositional or structural variations during the long-time electrolysis.

Mechanism investigations

It is well known that particle size and morphology might have great influences on catalytic performance. We thus synthesized a series of different-sized Bi-Pd IMCs to carry out CO₂RR to rule out size effect. All samples display irregular grain-like morphologies, while the crystal size of Bi₂Pd (S1), Bi₂Pd₅ (S4) and BiPd₃ (S6) IMCs can be fine-tuned by varying the content of surfactant PVP. However, we do not observe significant changes in both activity and selectivity of Bi-Pd IMCs with same composition but different sizes for electrocatalytic CO₂RR (Supplementary Figs. 20-22). Those results indicate the particle size has a negligible effect on CO₂RR performance. To gain deeper insight into the structure-dependent selectivity of different IMCs, we perform density functional theory (DFT) calculations to understand the reaction processes in CO2RR. As shown in Supplementary Tables 3-5 and Supplementary Figs 23-25, considering the characteristic of the flow cell and alkaline electrolyte, three typical two-electron transfer competing reactions, including CO2-to-formate reaction, CO2-to-CO reaction, HER, are divided into several elementary reaction steps. Then the adsorption energies of the intermediates or transition states in every step on the (100) plane of S1, (001) plane of S4, and (100) plane of S6 are calculated.

For HER, throughout the whole reaction process, the Gibbs free energy diagrams (Supplementary Fig. 26) reveal that the first and the second electron transfer steps are endothermic, while the remaining neutralization and desorption steps are exothermic. In comparison, the first electron transfer step, where the first hydrogen atom is attached to the catalyst surface, is the rate determination step for HER on the three IMCs and has a higher energy barrier than the second step. Moreover, the adsorption energies of the transition states formed during the first electron transfer are compared in all three samples. It is found that S4 gets the lowest activation energy about 1.13 eV compared to S1 (1.66 eV) and S6 (1.23 eV), which is more conducive to HER. Simultaneously, models for the adsorption of these intermediates are shown in Supplementary Fig. 26b, where the adsorption conditions and configurations of these intermediates are significantly different for the three samples. Then we further analyze the adsorption models of the key intermediates, $*H + H_3O_2^-$, along the direction of S1 (100), S4 (001) and S6 (100) (Fig. 6b-d). In particular, the three distinct adsorption modes are clearly observed in the top and side views, where hydrogen atoms are absorbed on the surface of S1, S4 and S6 in the bridge, quadrilateral and triangular modes, respectively. No doubt, the quadrilateral mode adsorption on S4 (001) plane is the most stable state, which evidences the HER tendency on S4.

For CO₂RR, the Gibbs free energy diagrams (Supplementary Figs. 27, 28) indicate that after the adsorption of CO₂ on the IMCs surface, the next hydrogenation to form *OCHO and *COOH are ratedetermining steps for the formation of formate and CO, possessing the highest energy barrier. Different adsorption configurations change the subsequent reaction paths for disparate products. Therefore, the adsorption behaviors of *OCHO and *COOH, two key intermediates, are our focus in CO₂RR. For *OCHO, a typical oxyphilic bidentate adsorption configuration, is recognized as an important intermediate for formate production. While *COOH, a carbophilic unidentate adsorption configuration, is deemed to be the key intermediate for CO formation. Among these IMCs, S1 has the lowest *OCHO activation energy (about 1.45 eV compared with 3.57 eV and 2.09 eV for S4 and compared with 1.73 eV and 1.20 eV for S1 and S4), illustrating the different adsorption and hydrogenation preference on the surface of S1 and S6. Meanwhile, in the three views of the bidentate *OCHO adsorption models (Supplementary Fig. 29a-c), we could find that on the S1 (100) plane, the two oxygen atoms are bridged with the two adjacent palladium atoms, thus having shorter C-O bonds (1.266 Å for S1 and 1.269 Å for S4 and S6) and larger angle between C-O bonds (129.9°, 129.3°, 128.8° for S1, S4 and S6), which facilitates the bidentate *OCHO adsorption on Bi₂Pd more stable. However, things are different on S6 (100) plane that the unidentate *COOH adsorption turns to be the dominant intermediate. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 29d-f, since the S6 (100) gets a planar structure, the Pd atoms on the surface are saturated coordinated, which is more conductive to adsorption with carbon atom in a unidentate pattern rather than bridging with two oxygen atoms to form *OCHO. Moreover, according to the projected partial density of states (PDOS) analysis near the Fermi level (Supplementary Fig. 30), the d-band center of S6 is at -1.92 eV while that of S4 and S1 shifts away from the Fermi level at -2.05 and -2.29 eV, confirming the weakened binding strength of materials to intermediates. Whereas, the weaker the binding, the more inclined to form *OCHO in bidentate form. Comparatively, it would facilitate the formation of *COOH in unidentate form when the d-band center moves upward, and enhanced the CO production on S6 (100) plane.

S6), and S6 has the lowest *COOH activation energy (about 1.16 eV

Focusing on each reaction pathway, we have compared the free energy longitudinally among the three IMCs, and have found that the different surface arrangements of Bi and Pd atoms would directly affect the adsorption configuration of the intermediate, resulting in *H being more stable on S4, *OCHO on S1, and *COOH on S6. In actual electrocatalysis, however, the three paths occur simultaneously and compete each other. Therefore, in order to more precisely verify the structure-dependent selectivity, the free energies of the three paths should also be compared horizontally for each sample. As shown in Fig. 6a, for S1, the rate-determining step of the formate pathway has a lower energy barrier than the other two competing reactions (1.59 eV for formate, 1.73 eV and 1.66 eV for CO and H₂), confirming that the formate path on S1 (100) plane is thermodynamically dominant. Similarly, for S4, the HER has a significantly more favorable reaction propensity than CO₂RR, with a rate-determining step energy barrier of only 1.13 eV, much lower than 3.57 eV for the formate path and 1.20 eV for the CO path. For S6, the energy barrier of the rate-determining step is about 1.14 eV for the CO path, which is lower than 2.09 eV for the formate path and 1.23 eV for HER. These results are in good agreement with the structure-dependent selectivity over the three IMCs.

To further verify these key intermediates and reaction pathways, in situ Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) is further performed. As shown in Fig. 6e and Supplementary Fig. 31, with the increased potentials, characteristic peaks located at 1574 and 1098 cm⁻¹ are observed in the S6 sample, which is attributed to the vibrations of *COOH and *CO²,^{32,33}, but not appears in the S4 sample, confirming the proposed *CO₂ → *COOH → *CO → CO reaction pathway in S6. Likewise, the characteristic peak of *OCHO at 1467 cm⁻¹ appears in S1 from -0.65 V^{34,35}, which also verifies that S1 possesses high selectivity for the formate conversion through the *OCHO pathway. This evidence strongly supports the reaction path and mechanism in the theoretical calculation.

Discussion

In summary, we have presented a general reduction system tailored to the atomic arrangement and compositional stoichiometry of up to six different IMCs, including Bi₂Pd, BiPd, Bi₃Pd₅, Bi₂Pd₅, Bi₃Pd₈ and BiPd₃. The ordered structure and atomic arrangement of different Bi–Pd IMCs have been meticulously confirmed by XRD, XAS and double CScorrected TEM. Using electrocatalytic CO₂RR as a model reaction, different IMCs in the Bi–Pd family enable a structure-dependent



Fig. 6 | **The Reaction mechanism. a** DFT-calculated free energy diagrams for S1, S4 and S6. **b** The optimized atomic structure models of adsorbed *H showed along different directions on S1(100) surface, **(c)** S4(001) surface and **(d)** S6(100) surface.

(Red and white balls inside represent O and H atoms). **e** Potential-resolved in situ FT-IR spectra for S1, S4 and S6.

selectivity for CO₂-to-formate, CO₂-to-CO reactions and HER in flow cells. Theoretical calculations and in-situ FTIR further demonstrate that different arrangement of bismuth and palladium atoms on the surface stabilize the adsorption of bidentate *OCHO on S1, adsorption of unidentate *COOH on S6, and quadrilateral form adsorption of *H on S4, revealing the great impact of atomic-scale regulation for ordered IMCs to the intermediate adsorption. Overall, this work is the first example for controllable synthesis of six different ordered Bi–Pd IMCs and being studied as a platform to understand the structure-activity relationship, which is highly desirable for catalysis studies.

Methods

Synthesis of Bi–Pd intermetallics

To prepare Bi₂Pd, Bi(NO₃)₃•5H₂O (72.8 mg, 0.15 mmol) was first dissolved in HNO₃ solution (2 mL, 1 M), then PVP (60 mg, Mw=1000) and EG (10 mL) were injected into this solution, followed by a vigorous stirring for 30 min. subsequently, Pd(NO₃)₂•2H₂O (20 mg, 0.075 mmol) was added into the mixed solution. After stirring for another 30 min, the solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave to perform solvothermal process at 150 °C for 12 h. At the end of reaction, the obtained sample was collected by centrifugation, washing with ethanol and finally being dried at 40 °C for characterization. For the other IMCs, including BiPd, Bi_3Pd_5 , Bi_2Pd_5 , Bi_3Pd_8 and $BiPd_3$, the synthesis conditions are roughly the same with some slight variations, and the specific parameters are given in the table below. The obtained six kinds of Bi–Pd IMCs are dubbed simply as S1 to S6. (Table 1)

Preparation of working electrodes

10 mg catalyst was dispersed in 1 mL of ethanol (containing 50 μ L of 0.25 wt% Nafion solution) and sonicated to form a uniform ink, which was slowly dropped onto a 1 × 3 cm² gas-diffusion layer (GDL, SIGRA-CET 29BC grade) then dried under room temperature to achieve 1 mg cm⁻² loading of catalyst to form the gas-diffusion electrodes. The following electrochemical experiments were carried out on an electrochemical workstation with three-electrode (Gamry Reference 3000, USA).

CO₂ electroreduction testing in flow cells

The CO₂RR electrocatalysis was performed in a flow-cell consisting of GDE cathode, platinum plate anode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode, 1 M KOH electrolyte and an anion exchange membrane (FAB-PK-130, Fumatech). And the effective area of both anode and cathode compartment were 1.0 × 1.0 cm². The flow rate of the CO₂ at the gas chamber was 30 mL min⁻¹ and flow rate of the electrolyte was

Table 1 | Synthesis parameters of six kinds of Bi-Pd IMCs

Sample	Precursor type	Molar ratio of Bi/Pd	Nitric acid
S1-Bi ₂ Pd	Pd(NO ₃) ₂ .2H ₂ O	2:1	2 mL
S2-BiPd	Pd(OAc) ₂	1:1	4 mL
S3-Bi ₃ Pd ₅	Pd(OAc) ₂	3:5	2 mL
S4-Bi ₂ Pd ₅	Na ₂ PdCl ₄	2:5	4 mL
S5-Bi ₃ Pd ₈	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ O ₄ Pd	3:8	2 mL
S6-BiPd ₃	Pd(NO ₃) ₂ .2H ₂ O	1:3	2 mL

 $20\,\text{mL}\,\text{min}^{-1}$. Electrode potentials were rescaled to the RHE reference by:

 $E(vs RHE) = E(vs Ag/AgCl) + 0.197V + 0.0591 \times pH$

The gaseous products were analyzed by a gas chromatography (GC9720Plus, Fuli Instruments) and the liquid product were analyzed by ion chromatography (940 Professional IC Vario, Metrohm) that the anion column was Metrosep A Supp7-250/4.0, the leachate was 36 mmol/L Na₂CO₃, and the flow rate was 0.8 mL/min.

XAFS measurements

The XAFS measurements were carried out at Bi L3-edge and Pd K-edge on the BL14W1 beamlines and BL11B beamlines with Si (111) crystal monochromators at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) (Shanghai, China). Before the analysis at the beamline, samples were pressed into thin sheets with 1 cm in diameter and sealed using Kapton tape film. The XAFS spectra were recorded at room temperature using a 4-channel Silicon Drift Detector (SDD) Bruker 5040. Negligible changes in the line-shape and peak position of the XANES spectra were observed between two scans taken for a specific sample. The EXAFS spectra were recorded in transmission mode. The data extraction and fitting were carried out by using Demeter program³⁶. As for XANES, the experimental absorption coefficients as a function of energies $\mu(E)$ were processed by background subtraction and normalization procedures. As for k³-weighted EXAFS, the first-shell approximation was adopted to analyze the Fourier transformed data in R space by taking the parameters of coordinated number (N), bond length (R, A), Debye–Waller factor ($\sigma 2$, $Å^2$), passive electron factors (S_0^2) and shift in the edge energy (ΔE_0 , eV) into consideration.

Computational methods

The DFT calculations were carried out in the Vienna ab initio simulation (VASP5.4.4) code³⁷. The exchange-correlation is simulated with PBE functional and the ion-electron interactions were described by the PAW method^{38,39}. The vdWs interaction was included by using empirical DFT-D3 method⁴⁰. The Monkhorst–Pack-grid-mesh-based Brillouin zone k-points are set as $2 \times 2 \times 1$ for periodic cubic structure and $3 \times 3 \times 1$ for periodic hexagonal structure with the cutoff energy of 450 eV. The convergence criteria are set as 0.01 eV A^{-1} and 10^{-5} eV in force and energy, respectively. A 20 Å vacuum layer along the *Z* direction is employed to avoid interlayer interference. The bottom two layers of atoms are released to simulate the surface structure. The free energy calculation of species adsorption (ΔG) is based on the following equation:

 $\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta E_{ZPE} - T\Delta S.$

Herein ΔE , ΔE_{ZPE} , and ΔS respectively represent the changes of electronic energy, zero-point energy, and entropy that caused by the adsorption of intermediate. The entropy of H⁺+e⁻ pair is approximately regarded as half of H₂ entropy in standard condition⁴¹.

Data availability

The source data generated in this study are provided in the Source Data file. Source data are provided with this paper.

References

- 1. Gilroy, K. D. et al. Bimetallic nanocrystals: Syntheses, properties, and applications. *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 10414–10472 (2016).
- 2. Qiu, J. et al. Bimetallic Janus nanocrystals: syntheses and applications. *Adv. Mater.* **34**, e2102591 (2022).
- 3. Wang, D. & Li, Y. Bimetallic nanocrystals: Liquid-phase synthesis and catalytic applications. *Adv. Mater.* **23**, 1044–1060 (2011).
- 4. Wang, D., Peng, Q. & Li, Y. Nanocrystalline intermetallics and alloys. *Nano Res.* **3**, 574–580 (2010).
- Ji, Y. et al. Selective CO-to-acetate electroreduction via intermediate adsorption tuning on ordered Cu–Pd sites. *Nat. Catal.* 5, 251–258 (2022).
- Yang, C. L. et al. Sulfur-anchoring synthesis of platinum intermetallic nanoparticle catalysts for fuel cells. *Science* **374**, 459–464 (2021).
- 7. Yang, T. et al. Ultrahigh-strength and ductile superlattice alloys with nanoscale disordered interfaces. *Science* **369**, 427–432 (2020).
- Birdja, Y. Y. et al. Advances and challenges in understanding the electrocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to fuels. *Nat. Energy* 4, 732–745 (2019).
- Wang, Y. et al. Tuning of CO₂ reduction selectivity on metal electrocatalysts. Small 13, 1701809–17018023 (2017).
- 10. Tsai, A. P. et al. Intermetallic: a pseudoelement for catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. **50**, 2879–2885 (2017).
- 11. Yan, Y. et al. Intermetallic nanocrystals: syntheses and catalytic applications. *Adv. Mater.* **29**, 1605997–1606025 (2017).
- 12. Engström, J. et al. Core-shell nanoparticle interface and wetting properties. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **30**, 1907720–1907728 (2020).
- 13. Saleem, F. et al. Elemental segregation in multimetallic core-shell nanoplates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **141**, 14496–14500 (2019).
- 14. Du, X. H. et al. Dual heterogeneous structures lead to ultrahigh strength and uniform ductility in a Co-Cr-Ni medium-entropy alloy. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2390–2396 (2020).
- Mondal, S. et al. Lattice mismatch guided nickel-indium heterogeneous alloy electrocatalysts for promoting the alkaline hydrogen evolution. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 62, e202301269 (2023).
- Qiao, J. C. et al. Structural heterogeneities and mechanical behavior of amorphous alloys. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **104**, 250–329 (2019).
- 17. Tang, Y. et al. A review on the dynamic-mechanical behaviors of high-entropy alloys. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **135**, 101090–101135 (2023).
- Shi, L. et al. Revealing the phase segregation and evolution dynamics in binary nanoalloys via electron beam-assisted ultrafast heating and cooling. ACS Nano 16, 921–929 (2022).
- Altman, A. B. et al. Computationally directed discovery of MoBi₂. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **143**, 214–222 (2021).
- Yu, J. et al. NiBi intermetallic compounds catalyst toward selective hydrogenation of unsaturated aldehydes. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 277, 119273–119281 (2020).
- Jia, L. et al. Phase-dependent electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction on Pd₃Bi Nanocrystals. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 60, 21741–21745 (2021).
- Wang, X. et al. Nanoporous intermetallic Pd₃Bi for efficient electrochemical nitrogen reduction. *Adv. Mater.* 33, 2007733–2007740 (2021).
- Chen, Y. et al. Optimizing reaction paths for methanol synthesis from CO₂ hydrogenation via metal-ligand cooperativity. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1885–1892 (2019).
- Adams, J. S. et al. Solvent molecules form surface redox mediators in situ and cocatalyze O₂ reduction on Pd. Science **371**, 626–632 (2021).

- Zhou, M. et al. Improvement of oxygen reduction performance in alkaline media by tuning phase structure of Pd-Bi nanocatalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 143, 15891–15897 (2021).
- Qin, F. et al. Size-tunable fabrication of multifunctional Bi₂O₃ porous nanospheres for photocatalysis, bacteria inactivation and template-synthesis. *Nanoscale* 6, 5402–5409 (2014).
- Peng, H. et al. The coupling of local strain and K⁺- ion release induced phase transition heterogeneity in tunnel MnO₂. Adv. Funct. Mater. **32**, 2113424–2113432 (2022).
- Poerwoprajitno, A. R. et al. A single-Pt-atom-on-Ru-nanoparticle electrocatalyst for CO-resilient methanol oxidation. *Nat. Catal.* 5, 231–237 (2022).
- Shen, T. et al. Tailoring the antipoisoning performance of Pd for formic acid electrooxidation via an ordered PdBi intermetallic. ACS Catal. 10, 9977–9985 (2020).
- Sun, D. et al. Ordered intermetallic Pd₃Bi prepared by an electrochemically induced phase transformation for oxygen reduction electrocatalysis. ACS Nano 13, 10818–10825 (2019).
- Chen, P. C. et al. Chemical and structural evolution of AgCu catalysts in electrochemical CO₂ reduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 145, 10116–10125 (2023).
- Di, J. et al. Surface local polarization induced by bismuth-oxygen vacancy pairs tuning non-covalent interaction for CO₂ photo-reduction. Adv. Energy Mater. 11, 2102389 (2021).
- Shi, Y. et al. Simultaneous manipulation of bulk excitons and surface defects for ultrastable and highly selective CO₂ photoreduction. *Adv. Mater.* 33, 2100143–2100152 (2021).
- Liu, Y. et al. Molecularly distorted local structure in Bi₂CuO₄ oxide to stabilize lattice oxygen for efficient formate electrosynthesis. *Adv. Mater.* 34, 2202568–2202577 (2022).
- Wu, Z. et al. Engineering Bi-Sn interface in bimetallic aerogel with a 3D porous structure for highly selective electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction to HCOOH. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 60, 12554–12559 (2021).
- Funke, H., Scheinost, A. C. & Chukalina, M. Wavelet analysis of extended x-ray absorption fine structure data. *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 094110 (2005).
- Kresse, G. & Furthmuller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 11169–11186 (1996).
- Hammer, B., Hansen, L. B. & Norskov, J. K. Improved adsorption energetics within density-functional theory using revised Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functionals. *Phys. Rev. B* 59, 7413–7421 (1999).
- Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865–3868 (1996).
- Grimme, S. Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. *J. Comput. Chem.* 27, 1787–1799 (2006).
- Gao, G., O'Mullane, A. P. & Du, A. 2D MXenes: a new family of promising catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. ACS Catal. 7, 494–500 (2017).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22076149, 92161110) (R.C.), Innovative Team Program of Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province (2023AFA027) (R.C.) and Special Project of State Key Laboratory of New Textile Materials & Advanced Processing Technologies from Wuhan Science and Technology Bureau (2022013988065204) (R.C.).

Author contributions

R.C. and W.G. designed the project. W.G. performed all the experiments under the supervision of R.C. and F.C., Q.L., and C.B. did part of characterization and data analysis. G.L. performed all the calculations. All authors discussed the results and contributed to writing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46072-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Rong Chen.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024