nature communications

Article

The ClpX protease is essential for inactivating the CI master repressor and completing prophage induction in *Staphylococcus aureus*

Mohammed A. Thabet ^{1,2}, José R. Penadés ³ & Andreas F. Haag ^{1,4}

Accepted: 10 October 2023
Published online: 18 October 2023

Received: 22 September 2022

Check for updates

Bacteriophages (phages) are the most abundant biological entities on Earth, exerting a significant influence on the dissemination of bacterial virulence, pathogenicity, and antimicrobial resistance. Temperate phages integrate into the bacterial chromosome in a dormant state through intricate regulatory mechanisms. These mechanisms repress lytic genes while facilitating the expression of integrase and the CI master repressor. Upon bacterial SOS response activation, the CI repressor undergoes auto-cleavage, producing two fragments with the N-terminal domain (NTD) retaining significant DNA-binding ability. The process of relieving CI NTD repression, essential for prophage induction, remains unknown. Here we show a specific interaction between the ClpX protease and CI NTD repressor fragment of phages Φ 11 and 80 α in *Staphylococcus aureus*. This interaction is necessary and sufficient for prophage activation after SOS-mediated CI auto-cleavage, defining the final stage in the prophage induction cascade. Our findings unveil unexpected roles of bacterial protease ClpX in phage biology.

Bacteriophages, or phages, are the most abundant biological entities on Earth¹ and play a crucial role in horizontal gene transfer, including the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence factors². Temperate phages, such as the model phage λ establish a complex relationship with their bacterial host, where they can either replicate and kill their host cell (lytic cycle) or integrate into their host's genome and be passed on vertically (lysogenic cycle) until they become reactivated upon defined environmental cues. Lysogeny is controlled by a phage repressor called CI in λ , which represses the transcription of genes required for phage excision, replication, packaging, and host cell lysis as well as its own transcription^{3,4}. Upon induction, the prophage produces the lytic regulator protein Cro, which stabilises the lytic cycle by blocking transcription from the P_{RM} promoter driving *c*l transcription³. The expression levels of CI and Cro are finely balanced to ensure tight repression of the phage lytic cycle while enabling rapid activation upon sensing the appropriate stimulus.

The bacterial SOS response is a key signal that activates the phage lytic cycle. It is triggered by DNA damage and coordinates the expression of genes involved in DNA repair, replication, and cell division^{5,6}. In the absence of DNA damage, the bacterial LexA repressor binds to operator sequences within the promoter of SOS response genes (SOS boxes/Cheo boxes in *Bacillus subtilis*)^{7,8}. LexA binds to these boxes as a dimer with each monomer comprising a C-terminal dimerisation domain (CTD) and an N-terminal DNA-binding domain (NTD)⁹. DNA damage results in the formation of single-stranded DNA fragments to which RecA binds resulting in an activated RecA-nucleoprotein filaments (RecA*)¹⁰. RecA* in turn promotes the autocatalytic cleavage of unbound LexA¹¹, reducing the cellular LexA pool

¹School of Infection & Immunity, University of Glasgow, G12 8TA Glasgow, UK. ²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Al-Baha University, Al-Baha city, Al Aqiq 65779, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ³MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK. ⁴School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews KY16 9TF, UK. e-mail: afh22@st-andrews.ac.uk and allowing the progressive activation of SOS-genes according to their LexA binding affinities. Cleavage of the LexA repressor separates its CTD and NTD, revealing latent ClpX-recognition motifs leading to the degradation of both fragments by the ClpXP protease complex¹². Even though both fragments of the *E. coli* LexA protein are recognised by ClpX, ClpX is mainly involved in the degradation of the LexA NTD in vivo¹², while the Lon protease is responsible for degrading the LexA CTD¹³. In *Staphylococcus aureus*, the ATPases ClpX and ClpC along with the proteolytic activity of ClpP are involved in the degradation of the LexA NTD and subsequent SOS activation¹⁴. Since the LexA NTD retains some of its ability to bind to SOS boxes and to repress target genes in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria^{14–16}, its targeted removal by ClpXP is required for SOS activation.

Prophage induction is a fundamental process in phage biology and is controlled by the CI protein in phage λ . λ CI is a LexA-like repressor comprised of an NTD involved in DNA binding and repression and a CTD that upon recognition of activated RecA* are autocatalytically separated¹⁷. CI repressors are present in a wide variety of temperate phage genomes of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative hosts^{18,19}. Interestingly, several examples of phage CI repressor molecules have been identified that retain some and sometimes even full binding affinities with their cognate promoter DNA after autocatalytic separation of their C- and NTDs²⁰⁻²³. The retention of such levels of DNA affinity by the CI NTD therefore would require its active inactivation to ensure progression from the lysogenic to the lytic phage lifecycle. Despite its vital role in temperate phage biology, how the CI NTD repressor activity is abolished after RecA* mediated release remains elusive.

The proteolytic degradation of the LexA NTD together with the conserved domain architecture found in both LexA and LexA-like repressors such as CI suggest that the bacterial proteasome and specifically Clp proteases may also be involved in prophage induction. These proteases mediate ATP-dependent protein degradation and consist of a proteolytic subunit (ClpP) associated with an AAA-ATPase (ClpX, ClpA, ClpC, and ClpE with ClpP; ClpY/HsIU with ClpQ/HsIV)²⁴. ClpP alone is only able to degrade small peptides and requires association with one of its ATPases which act as energy-dependent unfoldases, unfolding and delivering the protein into the ClpP proteolytic chamber²⁵. The target specificity of the protease complex is determined by its ATPase, which recognises specific degron motifs, often with the assistance of additional adaptor proteins^{24,26–28}.

Here we show that the ClpX ATPase performs an essential role in prophage induction by abolishing the ability of the CI NTD to repress lytic phage genes after RecA* mediated CI cleavage and allowing the prophage to enter lytic replication. This process is distinct from the role of ClpX on the staphylococcal SOS response and acts via direct binding of ClpX to the CI NTD. By contrast, the ClpP protease and its proteolytic interaction with ClpX are not required for this process but are essential for the activation of the staphylococcal SOS response.

Results

Clp proteases are not involved in the lytic phage cycle

We initiated this study testing the possibility that the chromosomally encoded Clp ATPases or proteolytic subunits (Table S1) could control the life cycles of the prototypical *S. aureus* phages Φ 11 and 80 α , which have been extensively used as models for Gram-positive phages^{29,30}. Both phages encode a Cl-like repressor that maintains the phage in its lysogenic prophage state within the bacterial chromosome. To test whether any of the Clp proteases (B, C, L, P, Q, X or Y; Table S1) were involved in the reproduction of phages Φ 11 and 80 α , we initially evaluated the lytic cycle of these phages. Lysates of either phage Φ 11 or 80 α were used to infect strain RN450 or a collection of derivative mutant strains in which the different *clp* genes were either deleted or inactivated by the insertion of an erythromycin resistance cassette. No differences in either the number or size of the phage plaques compared to the wt staphylococcal strain were observed when the different mutant strains were infected (Fig. S1). Since plaque formation requires normal phage replication, packaging and lysis, this result suggests that the Clp proteases were not required in these steps of the phage cycles.

ClpP and ClpX are involved in prophage induction in *S. aureus* To investigate the potential involvement of Clp proteases in the induction stage of the temperate phage cycle, we performed experiments using RN450 derivatives with deletions or disruptions of various *clp* genes. The strains were lysogenised with Φ 11 or 80 α phages, and the prophages were induced using MitC to activate the SOS response. Subsequently, we analysed the phage titres using strain RN4220 as recipient.

Most of the mutant strains, except for the *clp*X and *clp*P mutants, underwent lysis by 4 h after SOS induction, indicating the involvement of ClpX and ClpP in prophage induction. Specifically, the *clp*P mutant exhibited a reduction in phage titre of 10^{5} – 10^{6} -fold compared to the wt strain upon prophage induction (Φ 11 and 80α , respectively). Similarly, the *clp*X mutant showed a significant reduction in phage tires (10^{8} – 10^{9} -fold) and produced very few plaques (10–100 PFU per ml) (Fig. S1), highlighting the critical role of ClpX in prophage induction.

To confirm the previous results, we generated a new set of inframe deletion mutants in which the *clp*X and *clp*P genes were deleted using allelic replacement (strains JP18031 and JP18030, respectively). These strains were either infected with Φ 11 or 80 α or lysogenised with these phages (JP18157: Φ11 Δ*clp*X; JP18158: Φ11 Δ*clp*P, JP18169: 80α $\Delta clp X$; JP18170: 80 $\alpha \Delta clp P$) and the phage cycle induced with MitC. In agreement with the previous results, lysis behaviour and phage titres remained unaffected during phage infection (Fig. 1a). After prophage induction, both the $\Delta clpP$ and $\Delta clpX$ mutants did not lyse after 4 h and only the $\Delta clpP$ mutant lysed after overnight incubation while the $\Delta clpX$ mutant lysates remained turbid (Fig. S2). Consistent with this, phage titres were reduced ~ $10^8/10^9$ -fold in the $\Delta clpX$ and ~ 10^4 -fold in the $\Delta clpP$ mutant (Fig. 1b). Introducing plasmid-borne versions of either *clpX* (pJP2601, JP18381, or JP18919) or *clp*P (pJP2602, JP18383, or JP18921) into the respective mutants under the control of a cadmium-inducible promoter fully restored wild-type phage lysis and titres (Fig. 1c) confirming their role in prophage induction.

ClpX and ClpP have different roles in SOS and prophage induction

Since prophage induction and activation of the bacterial SOS response are linked, and since in *S. aureus* the latter process is affected in the absence of the ClpXP proteases¹⁴, we tested whether the impact of the *clpX* or *clpP* mutations on phage induction could be explained by their role on SOS response activation. This was unlikely, however, since the phenotypes of both mutants (almost complete inability or reduction of phage progeny production for the *clpX* and *clpP* mutants, respectively) were inconsistent with the known roles of ClpXP in SOS response induction¹⁴, where the concerted action of ClpXP is required for LexA NTD degradation. To explore this further, we constructed a set of reporter plasmids in which the promoters of the SOS-inducible genes *lexA* and *recA* were fused to a β-lactamase reporter gene in plasmid pCN41 (pJP2596 and pJP2597, respectively). These were introduced into the RN4220 wt, RN4220 $\Delta clpP$ and RN4220 $\Delta clpX$ mutant strains and the SOS response induced by MitC addition.

Consistent with their SOS-inducibility, both *lexA* and *recA* promoters were activated upon MitC addition in the wt *S. aureus* strain background (JP20858 and JP20417, respectively) showing a 10.0- and 7.6-fold induction, respectively (Fig. 2). No induction of the *lexA* or *recA* promoters (1.2- and 1.0-fold induction compared to uninduced, respectively) was observed in the $\Delta clpP$ mutant background (JP20860 and JP20419, respectively), showing that ClpP was essential for the activation of the SOS response. This confirmed that ClpP-mediated



degradation of the bacterial LexA NTD was required for SOS induction 14 .

To further validate the essential role of ClpP in SOS response activation, we introduced the *lexA* and *recA* reporter plasmids into an RN4220 derivative strain expressing an SOS-insensitive version of the LexA repressor (LexA_{G94E}) that can no longer catalyse autocleavage and consequently can no longer induce the SOS response^{31,32} (JP20859)

Fig. 1 | **ClpP and ClpX are involved in phage induction but not phage infection.** The defined RN450 derivative strains were either **a** infected with the indicated phages or **b** lysogenic derivatives thereof induced by MitC addition. **c** The *clp*P and *clpX* genes were cloned into the cadmium-inducible expression plasmid pCN51, introduced into the defined strains, and induced by MitC addition. Expression from the pCN51 plasmids was maintained throughout the experiment by the addition of 1 μ M CdCl₂. **a**-**c** Plaque formation was assessed on a lawn of RN4220. Bold horizontal lines in each boxplot represent the median and lower and upper hinges of the first and third quartiles, respectively (*n* = 3 biological replicates). Assessment of statistically significant differences between groups was performed using ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-test **a**, **b** or a two-sided Student's *t* test **c** on log₁₀ transformed data. *p* values are indicated above the respective comparison.

and JP20418, respectively). As expected, neither reporter was inducible by MitC addition and expression levels mimicked those observed in the $\Delta clpP$ mutant background.

By contrast, when the *lexA* and *recA* reporter plasmids were introduced in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant background (JP20861 and JP20420, respectively), although the overall transcriptional levels of both *lexA* and *recA* reporters were reduced (Fig. S3), they could still be induced by MitC with comparable fold induction changes to the reporters in the wt background (9.9- and 6.7-fold induction, respectively) (Fig. 2). This suggested that ClpX was involved but not essential in inducing the staphylococcal SOS response.

Taken together, the impact of the *clp*P and *clp*X mutation on prophage induction and SOS response activation, as observed through *lex*A and *rec*A expression, indicates that their roles in these processes are distinct.

ClpX is essential for prophage derepression

Given the distinct roles of ClpP and ClpX in SOS- and prophage induction, we aimed to investigate their specific functions in prophage induction. We hypothesised that the reduced prophage titres observed in the $\Delta clpP$ and $\Delta clpX$ mutants were unlikely to be caused by failures in prophage replication, packaging, or phage release, as the phage lytic cycle remained unaffected in these mutants. Furthermore, staphylococcal prophages such as $\Phi 11$ or 80α , do not follow the classical excision, replication, and packaging (ERP) cycle, where the prophage first excises from the bacterial genome. Instead, they follow the replication, packaging, and excision (RPE) cycle, where the prophage initiates replication and packaging while still integrated into the bacterial genome and excises late in the induction cycle²⁹. Hence, it was clear that ClpP and ClpX should control the heart of the lysogenic switch system and should control prophage derepression.

To comprehensively assess the role of ClpP and ClpX in prophage induction and the RPE cycle, we conducted a combination of quantitative whole-genome sequencing²⁹ and Southern blotting experiments before and after MitC induction of RN450, RN450 ΔclpX (JP18031) or RN450 $\Delta clpP$ (JP18030) lysogenised with either Φ 11 or 80 α (Fig. 3 and Fig. S4). The obtained sequencing reads were mapped to the respective strain's genome, normalised, and graphed (Fig. 3). The wt lysogens (IP18269 for Φ 11 and IP18270 for 80 α) showed continuous sequencing coverage across both bacterial genome and prophage DNA prior to induction. Upon MitC induction of these strains, we observed a sharp increase in read coverage for the prophage DNA sequence, indicating replicating prophage. It is worth noting that the increased coverage of genomic DNA on either side of the prophages resulted from in situ replication generated by the induced prophages. While this was evident in Φ 11 at the assessed timepoint, in situ replication of 80 α was not yet visible as it occurs later²⁹.

To confirm the level of prophage excision and replication, we mapped reads spanning either the empty, bacterial attachment site (*attB*, reflecting prophage excision events from the bacterial chromosome), the prophage left attachment site (*attL*, monitoring prophage integration) or the phage attachment site (*attP*, measuring

lytic phage replication) to the appropriate reference genomes or circular prophage sequences. MitC induction of the wt strain background reduced the proportion of bacterial chromosomes lysogenised with



Strain background

Fig. 2 | Distinct roles for ClpP and ClpX in SOS response induction. Reporter plasmids were designed to place the β -lactamase reporter gene (*blaZ*) of plasmid pCN41 under the control of the SOS-controlled promoters of *lexA* or *recA*. RN4220 derivative strains containing the indicated plasmids were grown to exponential phase, split and the SOS response was induced in one half of the culture with MitC while the other half was left untreated. Samples were taken 90 min after induction. Fold induction change of MitC-induced against non-induced samples is shown. Bold horizontal lines in each boxplot represent the median and lower and upper hinges of the first and third quartiles, respectively (*n* = 3 biological replicates). Assessment of statistically significant differences between groups was performed using ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-test. *p* values are indicated above each comparison.

either prophage (Table 1). Moreover, it resulted in a 948-fold increase in circular prophage (*att*P) reads for Φ 11 and a 257-fold increase for 80 α (Table 1). These findings align with the results from Southern blotting experiments (Fig. S4), confirming prophage excision and replication in the wt background.

By contrast, the $\Delta clpP$ mutants (IP18158 for Φ 11 and IP18170 for 80α) showed increased levels of circular, excised phage DNA compared to the wt strain prior to MitC induction (~13.2-fold for Ф11 and 3.4-fold for 80α) (Fig. 3, Table 1 & Source data). These results are consistent with the Southern blot data (Fig. S4), where a faint band is visible even in the absence of MitC induction. The amount of excised phage DNA in the $\Delta clpP$ mutant strains 60 min after MitC induction increased by 1.7-fold for Ф11 and remained close to the level observed in the uninduced sample for 80α (0.76-fold) (Fig. 3 & Table 1). However, reads spanning attP in the $\Delta clpP$ mutant background did not reach induction levels comparable to those observed in the wt background in line with the delayed lysis phenotype (Fig. S2). Nonetheless, Southern blotting experiments confirmed an increase in phage band intensity at later time points (Fig. S4, 90 and120 min), further highlighting delayed prophage induction in the $\Delta clpP$ mutant background. It is important to note that no excision of the prophage from the chromosome could be mapped to the 80α attB site (0% of reads for both uninduced and induced samples), indicating full integration of the prophage in all bacterial genomes. Similar observations were made for the $\Delta clpP$ mutant Φ 11 lysogen, where only 1.8% (uninduced sample) or 3.5% (induced sample) of reads were mapped across the attB sites.

Importantly, in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant lysogens, read coverage remained relatively constant and did not increase substantially after MitC induction (1.67-fold for Φ 11 [JP18157] and 1.13-fold for 80α [JP18169] compared to uninduced), indicating no excision of the prophage (Fig. 3). Mapping across the *att*B and *att*L sites confirmed that the relevant reads mapped almost exclusively to the *att*L sites (100 and 100% for lysogens of 80α and 100 and 97.5% for Φ 11 lysogens either without or with MitC induction, respectively). Interestingly, we still observed some reads mapping to the excised phage *att*P sites indicating that some excision was still possible in these strains. However, the total number of normalised reads in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant lysogens mapping to the *att*P sites was similar to the uninduced wt in the



Fig. 3 | **ClpP and ClpX are required for phage induction. a**, **b** Quantitative wholegenome sequencing analysis of the indicated RN450 derivative strains lysogenic for either Φ 11 (**a**) or 80 α (**b**) or their respective origin of replication (*ori*) mutants. The

indicated strains were induced with MitC and DNA was isolated either before (blue) or after 1 hour of induction (red) with MitC. DNA was then subjected to wholegenome sequencing and mapped to the relevant bacterial genomes.

Table 1	Fraction of	f integrated and	l excised	prophag	jes
---------	-------------	------------------	-----------	---------	-----

-		1		– 1 (– b)		
		Integrated (attL ^a)		Excised (attP ²)		
		Uninduced	Induced	Uninduced	Induced	
Ф11	wt	1.000	0.813	0.073	0.923	
	ΔclpP	0.982	0.965	0.538	0.596	
	ΔclpX	1.000	0.975	0.107	0.177	
Φ11 Δori	wt	0.990	0.211	0.000	0.513	
	ΔclpP	0.932	0.988	0.021	0.018	
	ΔclpX	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	
80α	wt	0.996	0.697	0.079	0.967	
	ΔclpP	1.000	1.000	0.235	0.227	
	∆clpX	1.000	1.000	0.022	0.036	
80α Δori	wt	0.991	0.639	0.005	0.076	
	ΔclpP	0.968	0.985	0.024	0.015	
	∆clpX	0.985	1.000	0.007	0.031	

^aFraction calculated as reads attL/(attB+attL). ^bFraction calculated as reads attP/(attL+attP).

case of Φ 11 and around 30% for 80 α lysogens, always lower than those observed for either phage in the Δclp P mutant lysogens. This suggests the possibility of spontaneous prophage induction, which will be further investigated later.

Contrary to the induced Φ 11 lysogen in the wt background, where we observed a 6.2-fold increase in *att*L read coverage compared to the uninduced strain, indicating in situ replication of the prophage prior to excision²⁹, we did not observe any notable increase in *att*L coverage in either the $\Delta clpP$ or $\Delta clpX$ mutant Φ 11 lysogens. Since endogenous replication does occur independently of prophage excision but requires prophage induction, this provided additional support for both ClpP and ClpX acting prior to replication and excision from the chromosome. Unfortunately, we were unable to verify this observation in the 80 α lysogen backgrounds due to the slower kinetics of induction and endogenous replication, which were not covered in these experiments²⁹.

To fully confirm whether ClpP and ClpX targeted the core repression module rather than replication, packaging and excision, we also included two phage mutants in Φ 11 and 80 α defective in their origins of replication (*ori*) as controls for phages capable of excision but not replication and generated $\Delta clpX$ and $\Delta clpP$ mutants in these strain backgrounds. If ClpX and/or ClpP were involved in prophage induction (the removal and degradation of the CI repressor) or excision (excisionase is only expressed after CI degradation^{29,33}), these mutants would not show any changes in prophage sequence coverage before and after SOS induction in the *ori* mutant lysogens. Conversely, if either ClpX or ClpP were involved in phage replication, sequence coverage in the mutant strains in the *ori* mutant lysogens would resemble the behaviour of the *ori* mutants in the wt RN450 strain background, and MitC induction would lead to the loss of sequence coverage.

In the wt RN450 strain background lysogenic for the Φ 11 and 80α *ori* mutants (JP20045 and JP20046, respectively), we observed an appreciable drop in read coverage in the prophage region of the induced *ori* mutants in both Φ 11 (2.6-fold, ratio induced to uninduced of 0.385) and 80α (1.6-fold, ratio induced to uninduced of 0.641) (Fig. 3), indicating their inability to replicate once excised from the chromosome. Consistent with this, reads mapping to the *att*L sites reduced after MitC induction of the lysogens in the wt strain background (Table 1), indicating the excision could occur in these mutant phages. Interestingly, although starting at similar levels, the proportion of reads mapping to the *att*L site for the Φ 11 Δ ori mutant in the wt strain background decreased substantially more (21.1% compared to 81.3% for the wt phage), potentially indicating differences in the ability of the phage to reintegrate into the bacterial chromosome after

excision. This difference was less evident in the $80\alpha \Delta ori$ mutant (63.96% compared to 69.7% for the wt strain) and might reflect differences in the speed of 80α derepression and/or initial replication compared to Φ 11.

Deletion of the *ori*-containing gene in either Φ 11 or 80 α in the $\Delta clpP$ mutant background (IP20189 and IP20192, respectively) eliminated the increased read coverage for the prophage observed with the $\Delta clpP$ single mutant prior to MitC induction. Furthermore, no difference in attL site coverage was observed after MitC induction with over 93 and 96% of the bacterial chromosomes being lysogenic for Φ 11 or 80α, respectively (Fig. 3 and Table 1). These findings confirm that ClpP acts upstream of in situ replication and prophage excision. Given that ClpP is essential for SOS induction (Fig. 2), this likely dampens downstream prophage induction. However, this result does not exclude that ClpP might also be involved in the removal of CI. Similarly, deletion of the ori in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant background lysogens (JP20191 and JP20190, respectively) showed no differences in sequence coverage, with or without MitC induction (Fig. 3), and more than 98% of the bacterial population remained lysogenic for either phage (Table 1, attL). Together, these data provide strong evidence that both ClpP and ClpX are required for prophage induction and act prior to prophage in situ replication and excision.

ClpX is required for the degradation of the CI N-terminal cleavage fragment

The results presented in Figs. 2 and 3 revealed that ClpX and ClpP have distinct roles in SOS and prophage induction. While both ClpX and ClpP were shown to affect prophage derepression, ClpX performed different and/or additional roles compared to ClpP. As the degradation of the phage repressor is the initial step in prophage induction, we hypothesised that ClpX and/or ClpP are likely involved in this process, potentially occurring after the initial RecA*-mediated cleavage of CI. Since it was not possible to generate phage mutants that expressed only a post-cleavage NTD of CI, we assessed the role of ClpX and ClpP using a set of reporter plasmids reconstituting the regulatory module of Φ 11 in plasmid pCN41 in which the *cro* promoter was fused to a β lactamase reporter gene (see schematic Fig. 4). These plasmids contained different versions of the CI repressor: (i) a wild-type CI (CIwt, pJP2578), (ii) a non-degradable version (CI_{G131E}, pJP2590) insensitive to SOS induction^{32,34}, and (iii) a construct expressing only the postcleavage N-terminal domain of CI (CIG131*, pJP2589). This last construct mimics the N-terminal fragment of CI after SOS-induced cleavage and allowed us to study the ability of this fragment to block phage induction as well as to assess the impact of the $\Delta clpX$ (JP20999) and $\Delta clpP$ (JP19795) deletions on its processing.

We introduced these reporter plasmids into the wt RN4220 strain and monitored the expression of the β -lactamase reporter gene with or without MitC induction. The Cl_{wt} reporter plasmid (JP19910) repressed reporter gene expression, which increased by approximately 36-fold upon MitC addition (Fig. 4), confirming the SOS-inducibility of this construct. By contrast, the reporter plasmid expressing the noncleavable Cl_{G131E} variant (JP19912) showed no induction by MitC, indicating its SOS insensitivity. Interestingly, the Cl_{G131*} reporter plasmid (JP19911) displayed higher expression levels even in the absence of MitC (16–18-fold higher than the uninduced wt construct without and with MitC, respectively), suggesting that the N-terminal domain of CI alone was insufficient to repress reporter expression in the wt strain background.

Next, we introduced the reporter plasmids into the $\Delta clpP$ mutant background and repeated the experiment. The $\Delta clpP$ mutant carrying either the Cl_{wt} reporter plasmid (JP19918) or the Cl_{G131E} reporter plasmid (JP19920) showed no expression either with or without MitC induction. However, the Cl_{G131*} reporter plasmid in the $\Delta clpP$ background (JP19919) exhibited high β -lactamase expression regardless of MitC presence (Fig. 4). Notably, in the absence of MitC, the reporter



Strain background

Fig. 4 | Distinct roles for ClpP and ClpX in phage induction. Plasmid pCN41derived reporter plasmids were designed to place the β -lactamase reporter gene (*blaZ*) under the control of the Φ 11 *cro* promoter. These plasmids also contained the genes encoding for either the Φ 11 WT Cl (*cl*_{WT}), an SOS-insensitive Cl mutant (*cl*_{G131E}) or the post-cleavage N-terminal domain of Cl alone (*cl*_{G131}-). Strains containing the indicated plasmids were grown to exponential phase, split and the SOS

response induced in one-half of the culture with MitC. Samples were taken 90 min after induction. Bold horizontal lines in each boxplot represent the median and lower and upper hinges of the first and third quartiles, respectively (n = 3 biological replicates). Assessment of statistically significant differences between groups was performed using ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-test. p values are indicated above each comparison.

expression in this strain background was 45.5-fold higher than that observed in the uninduced Cl_{wt} reporter plasmid, while MitC induction reduced this to 29.9-fold. These data strongly suggest that ClpP, in contrast to its role in SOS induction, is not required for the removal of the N-terminal fragment of Cl.

When we introduced the reporter plasmids into the $\Delta clpX$ mutant background, we found that both the plasmid expressing CI_{wt} (JP19914) as well as the plasmid expressing CI_{G131E} (JP19916) were fully repressed either with or without the addition of MitC, showing only background levels of reporter gene expression (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the reporter plasmid expressing the NTD post-cleavage fragment CI_{G131^*} (JP19915) was repressed in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant background and this repression could not be relieved by MitC addition (Fig. 4) (9.8- and 12.4-fold compared to the uninduced reporter plasmid containing the Cl_{wt} gene) confirming that (i) the Cl_{G131*} NTD post-cleavage fragment was still able to bind and repress the *cro* promoter and that (ii) ClpX was required for abrogating this repression.

Both ClpX and ClpP are also involved in the initial activation of the bacterial SOS response. To determine the SOS-independent contribution of ClpX and ClpP on the processing and degradation of Cl, we introduce all reporter plasmids into a strain expressing an SOS-insensitive $LexA_{G94E}$ protein (JP1841). This mutant is no longer able to



pCN51 expressing

Fig. 5 | The Φ 11 Cl N-terminal domain affects phage infection in the absence of either ClpP or ClpX. Genes encoding either the Φ 11 Cl WT (Cl_{WT}), an SOSinsensitive version (Cl_{G131E}) or only its post-cleavage N-terminal fragment (Cl_{G131}) were cloned into the inducible expression plasmid pCN51 and introduced into the indicated strains. Expression from these plasmids was maintained throughout the experiment by the addition of 1 μ M CdCl₂ during both growth and phage titration. Lawns of the defined, exponential phage, RN450 derivative strains were prepared

on PB plates supplemented with 1 μ M CdCl₂ to maintain Cl expression and serial dilutions of Φ 11 lysate spotted onto these lawns. Bold horizontal lines in each boxplot represent the median and lower and upper hinges of the first and third quartiles, respectively (n = 3 biological replicates). Assessment of statistically significant differences between groups was performed using ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-test. p values are indicated above each comparison.

induce the bacterial SOS response, particularly its further amplification through derepression of RecA. RecA levels and consequently RecA* levels therefore remain under the threshold required for the initial RecA*-mediated CI cleavage step in prophage activation. As expected, the Clwt-expressing plasmid could no longer be induced by MitC induction in this strain background (JP20406), confirming that autocatalytic cleavage of CI could no longer be triggered by RecA* present in this background. Logically, this was also the case when introducing the SOS-insensitive Cl_{G131E} reporter into the LexA_{G94E} strain background (JP20407). However, no changes compared to the wt strain were observed with the CIG131* reporter plasmid (JP20408) (Fig. 4) confirming that degradation of the Cl_{G131*} fragment occurred independently of any upstream SOS induction-related processes. These data therefore strongly indicated that only ClpX was essential for the processing of the CI NTD after SOS induction, while ClpP likely acted upstream through its role in SOS induction.

The CI-NTD blocks phage infection only in the absence of ClpX

To further validate these observations, we exploited the intrinsic resistance of phage lysogens to superinfection by another phage of the same repressor type. Expression of the CI repressor from the prophage can effectively block the replication of incoming phages in a process called superinfection immunity³⁵. We reasoned that the NTD of CI alone would be insufficient to block phage superinfection, particularly in the presence of ClpX, which would lead to its elimination. However, the absence of proteins involved in the processing of the CI-NTD would

result in the accumulation of the repressor fragment, thereby reducing phage titres after infection.

To test this, we cloned the different *c*l alleles of Φ 11 (cl_{wt} , cl_{G131E} and cl_{G131^*}) into the cadmium-inducible expression vector pCN51 (pJP2584, pJP2585 and pJP2586, respectively). These plasmids were introduced into either the RN450 wt, its $\Delta clpX$ or $\Delta clpP$ mutant derivatives and the ability of Φ 11 to infect these strains was assessed (Fig. 5). In the presence of the pCN51 control plasmid, the wt (JP19532), $\Delta clpP$ (JP19540) or $\Delta clpX$ (JP19536) mutant strains were equally susceptible to infection with Φ 11 (Fig. 5). However, when the wt RN450 strain expressed either Cl_{wt} (JP19529) or the non-degradable Cl_{G131E} (JP19530), phage infection was almost completely blocked, resulting in a ~7-log reduction in phage titres. By contrast, the overexpression of the Cl_{G131*} NTD (JP19531) in the wt strain remained susceptible to phage superinfection comparable to the empty plasmid control strain.

In the Δclp P mutant background, the overexpression of Cl_{wt} (JP19537) and Cl_{G131E} (JP19538) resulted in complete blockage of Φ11 superinfection (-7-log reduction), while the overexpression of Cl_{G131*} (JP19539) led to a 10-fold reduction in phage titres (Fig. 5). Similarly, in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant background, the overexpression of Cl_{wt} (JP19533) and Cl_{G131E} (JP19534) effectively blocked superinfection (-7-log reduction). Interestingly, consistent with the central role of ClpX in the removal of the Cl-NTD, the overexpression of Cl_{G131*} in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant background (JP19535) resulted in a reduction in phage titres by more than 5-log units (Fig. 5). Taken together, these data confirmed

that ClpX was responsible for inactivating the ability of the CI-NTD repressor fragment to block phage infection and replication, while ClpP only played a minor role in the turnover of the CI-NTD.

ClpX-dependent prophage induction does not require interaction with ClpP

ClpX functions both as a substrate specificity protein, directing target proteins to the ClpP protease, and as a chaperone, assisting in correct protein folding³⁶. Previous studies have shown that the interaction between ClpX and ClpP is dependent on a single amino acid and can be disrupted by introducing the I265E substitution into ClpX³⁷. This mutant variant retains its chaperone function but loses the ability to facilitate proteolytic cleavage of target proteins. To investigate whether the interaction between ClpX and ClpP is necessary for ClpX's role in phage induction, we cloned a *clpX* gene encoding either the wildtype form (ClpX_{wt}) or the I265E substitution variant (ClpX_{1265E}) into the cadmium-inducible expression vector pCN51 (pJP2601 and pJP2605, respectively). These plasmids were then introduced into the $\Delta clpX$ mutant lysogens of Φ 11 (JP18381 and JP21189, respectively) and 80α (JP18919 and JP21190, respectively).

While expression of the wt ClpX protein (ClpX_{wt}) in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant fully restored wt phage titres, expression of ClpX_{1265E} also restored phage titres to 1.5-logs below wt levels (Fig. 6a), indicating that proteolytic complex formation of ClpX and ClpP per se was not required for prophage induction. Consistent with these results, expression of ClpX_{1265E} in the $\Delta clpX$ mutant background partially restored phage replication (Fig. 6b). However, it is worth noting that a faint band resembling the one observed in the uninduced $\Delta clpP$ mutant appeared in the uninduced $\Delta clpX$ mutant strain expressing ClpX_{1265E}, particularly noticeable in the 80 α sample. This suggests that there may be additional cellular processes influenced by the ClpX and ClpP interaction, which could impact normal phage replication in this specific strain background.

ClpX binds to the CI N-terminal fragment but not the full-length CI repressor

Our previous findings demonstrated that the CI-NTD cleavage fragment alone retained repressor functionality and could block prophage induction and infection in the absence of ClpX. Furthermore, ClpX, but not ClpP, was essential for relieving this repression, suggesting that ClpX could interact with the N-terminal CI fragment but not the fulllength protein. To investigate this further, we used a bacterial twohybrid system, where we cloned Cl_{wt} or Cl_{G131*} as C-terminal fusion products to the T25 fragment of the Bordetella pertussis adenylate cyclase in plasmid pKT25 (pJP2636 and pJP2632, respectively) and the ClpX_{wt} or ClpX_{1265E} mutant as N-terminal fusion product to the T18 fragment of the B. pertussis adenylate cyclase in plasmid pUT18c (pJP2642 and pJP2638, respectively, see schematic Fig. 6c). While ClpX did not substantially interact with the full-length CIwt, it interacted more strongly with the CIG131* construct expressing only the CI-NTD (Fig. 6c), confirming that ClpX acted after SOS-triggered CI-autocleavage. Notably, the $ClpX_{I265E}$ mutant, lacking the ability to interact with ClpP, displayed an interaction pattern identical to that of ClpX_{wt}, binding exclusively to the separated CI-NTD, CIG131* (Fig. 6c). Collectively, these data provide compelling evidence that ClpX selectively binds to the CI N-terminal fragment (CI_{G131*}) rather than the full-length Cl_{wt} protein. Moreover, the binding of ClpX to the N-terminal fragment alone is sufficient to trigger phage induction, independent of ClpPmediated proteolytic degradation.

Loss of ClpP and ClpX affects spontaneous prophage release

The results presented in Fig. 3 and S4 demonstrated that the absence of ClpP resulted in a low level of phage replication. Previous studies have shown that even in strains insensitive to the SOS response due to *recA* mutations or the expression of an insensitive LexA protein, there

is always some basal induction of resident prophages^{38–41}. Hence, we sought to investigate whether the $\Delta clpP$ and/or $\Delta clpX$ mutants had any influence on spontaneous phage release. To assess this, we cultured the wt, $\Delta clpP$, and/or $\Delta clpX$ mutants lysogenic for Φ 11 or 80 α , following the same experimental procedure as with MitC induction but without adding MitC. The released phage titres were then determined by plating on a lawn of RN4220, and the results were normalised to the phage release from the wt background to account for inter-experimental variability.

Our findings revealed that the loss of ClpP led to a significant increase in phage release for both Φ 11 (1.75-fold) and 80 α (8.39-fold) lysogens (Fig. 7). This finding is consistent with the observed higher read coverage for the phages in these mutants. Conversely, the loss of ClpX resulted in a significant reduction in phage release, reducing the titres by 2.5- and 2.0-logs for Φ 11 and 80 α , respectively (Fig. 7). Notably, the recovered phage titres were identical to those observed in the samples induced with MitC, indicating that phages released after MitC induction in the $\Delta clpX$ mutants were the result of spontaneous, ClpX-independent induction events. Therefore, both ClpP and ClpX proteins exerted distinct effects on spontaneous prophage release, but with opposite effects.

Discussion

Temperate bacteriophages can persist either in a lytic, replicative state or they can be integrated into their host's chromosome during their lysogenic lifecycle as prophages. During the lysogenic lifecycle, the prophage replication genes are repressed by a master repressor, in this case, CI. Activation of prophages allowing them to enter the lytic phage cycle is a fundamental process in biology that affects bacterial populations, horizontal gene transfer and evolution⁴². Despite its fundamental nature, the mechanisms by which CI is fully eliminated to alleviate prophage repression have not been comprehensively resolved to date. The CI repressor shares similarity in its domain architecture and processing to the LexA repressor of the SOS response (see Fig. S5). Inactivation of both repressors is facilitated initially through their interaction with activated RecA* caused by DNA damage^{17,43} (Fig. 8). This results in the autocatalytic cleavage of the repressors and separates them into a DNA-binding NTD and a CTD required for repressor dimerisation. Importantly, both LexA¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and Cl²⁰⁻²³ NTDs retain their ability to bind and repress their target promoters and require additional factors to alleviate repression (Fig. 8). Previous studies have shown that ClpX and ClpP were both involved in the removal of the NTD of the LexA SOS response repressor^{12,14,28}. The removal of the NTD of the LexA repressor is crucial for the full activation of the SOS response as it retains the ability to bind and to repress SOS genes^{14–16}. Here we show, for the first time, that the ClpX ATPase activates phage replication by eliminating the CI NTD ability to repress the prophage (Fig. 8). By contrast, the ClpP proteolytic subunit primarily acts through its essential role in SOS induction rather than CI repressor degradation in prophage induction. The divergent roles for ClpP in SOS- and prophage induction might be indicative of their role in bacterial physiology and the probabilistic fate of the bacterial cell. The SOS response system is triggered to save the bacterial host and slow down physiological processes while inducing DNA repair mechanisms⁶. ClpP-driven LexA NTD turnover might be a crucial component in returning the bacterial cell to normal growth once DNA damage has been resolved. By contrast, prophage induction occurs only after the cell has undergone substantial, potentially irreparable DNA damage as a consequence of a lower RecA* affinity to CI compared to LexA⁴³. Thus, it might be evolutionary favourable for the phage to abandon its host under such conditions. Since this is fatal to the host, the phage would not gain any additional benefit by expending cellular resources.

ClpX specifically interacts with the CI-NTD after SOS-induced cleavage and not with the full-length CI proteins. Furthermore, the use

а



of a ClpX protein unable to shuttle substrates for proteolytic degradation but able to perform its chaperone function³⁷ confirmed that ClpX-dependent prophage induction was independent of the proteolytic degradation of the Cl N-terminal fragment as opposed to its role in LexA NTD degradation¹⁴. This observation is consistent with the known ability of ClpX to bind and unfold certain protein substrates such as casein in the absence of ClpP²⁵ as well as the role of the unfoldase function of ClpX in the lifecycle of several MGEs. For example, ClpX is known to interact with the phage Mu transposome complex, where its chaperone/unfoldase activity selectively destabilises the complex without causing its degradation⁴⁴. Similarly, the ClpX unfoldase activity can activate the plasmid replication initiation factor TrfA⁴⁵. While there is no role for ClpXP in the degradation of the lambda Cl protein, several key regulatory proteins involved in the lysis/

Fig. 6 | **Interaction of ClpX and ClpP is not required for phage induction. a** The *clpX* wt gene (*clpX*_{wt}) or a *clpX* gene encoding a ClpX mutant unable to interact with ClpP (ClpX_{1265E}) were cloned into the inducible expression plasmid pCN51, introduced into either the RN4220 wt or its $\Delta clpX$ mutant derivative lysogenic for Φ 11 or 80 α and induced by MitC. Expression from these plasmids was maintained throughout the experiment by the addition of 1 μ M CdCl₂. Plaque formation was assessed on a lawn of RN4220. Bold horizontal lines in each boxplot represent the median and lower and upper hinges of the first and third quartiles, respectively (*n* = 3 biological replicates). Assessment of statistically significant differences between groups was performed using ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-test. **b** Samples of the same strains as in **a** were taken for DNA extraction at the time points indicated. Crude DNA lysates for Southern blotting analysis were then separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, and replicating phage DNA visualised using a phage-specific DIG-

labelled DNA probe. **c** Bacterial Two-Hybrid assay of either the Φ11 full-length CI protein (CI_{wt}) or the post-cleavage CI N-terminal domain only (CI_{G131}-). The gene encoding either the Φ11 full-length CI protein (CI_{wt}) or **c** the post-cleavage CI N-terminal domain only (CI_{G131}-) were cloned into pKT25 (pJP2636 or pJP2632, respectively), while genes encoding either WT *clpX* (*clpX*wt) or ClpX unable to interact with ClpP (*clpX*_{1265E}) were cloned into pUT18c (pJP2642, pJP2638, respectively). The pUT18c- and pKT25-derivative plasmids were co-transformed into *E. coli* strain BTH101 and a single colony selected. Serial dilutions of an overnight culture were plated onto LB supplemented with kanamycin (30 µg ml⁻¹), ampicillin (100 µg ml⁻¹), 100 µM isopropyl β-d–1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and 20 µg ml⁻¹ X-gal. BTH101 transformed with pUT18c-zip and pKNT25-zip or pUT18c and pKT25 served as positive or negative controls for protein–protein interactions, respectively.



Fig. 7 | **ClpP and ClpX alter spontaneous prophage induction rates.** The indicated RN450 prophage lysogens were grown for MitC induction but were left untreated to monitor spontaneous prophage induction. Plaque formation was assessed on a lawn of RN4220 and normalised per experiment relative to phage titres in the wt strain background. Bold horizontal lines in each boxplot represent

the median and lower and upper hinges of the first and third quartiles, respectively (n = 3 biological replicates). Assessment of statistically significant differences between groups was performed using a two-sided Student's t test on log₁₀ transformed data assessing the hypothesis that phage titres were not different from wt (fold-change = 0). p values are indicated above the respective comparison.

lysogeny decision process in this model phage are subject to rapid proteolytic degradation. These are therefore rapidly lost once they are no longer synthesised. These instable proteins are O, N, CII, CIII and Xis and are degraded by ClpXP (O-protein), Lon (N-protein and Xis), and FtsH (CII and CIII)⁴. Presently, only the Mu repressor protein, which is different to LexA-like CI repressors, has been shown to be subject to ClpXP-mediated degradation. Even though the precise mechanism of this induction is still unknown, it is thought that a C-terminal ClpXrecognition motif that is present but conformationally inaccessible in the repressed state can be exposed by environmental triggers and thus lead to the degradation of the Mu repressor and subsequent activation of the Mu transposase^{46–48}. The dual roles of the ClpX protein therefore necessitate the precise determination of whether the biological effects caused by ClpX are mediated via its chaperone or protein degradation function.

ClpP on the other hand was shown to be essential for the activation of the bacterial SOS response, and its absence consequently prevented full phage induction. Despite the inability of a *clp*P mutant to induce the SOS response, we still observed higher lysis, phage replication and higher phage titres compared to a *clpX* mutant, where phage replication was almost completely absent. Interestingly, loss of *clp*P gave rise to a faint phage replication band during Southern blot analysis, to increased sequence coverage and attP circular phage site reads coverage during whole genome sequencing of the prophage region even in the absence of MitC induction. This further translated into an increased spontaneous release of phage particles without MitC induction and indicates that ClpP alone or in combination with other proteins performs (an) additional role(s) either required for full prophage stabilisation in the host's chromosome or in preventing erroneous induction events. This could be facilitated through interaction/degradation with/of other regulatory phage proteins modulating the lytic switch. Alternatively, loss of ClpP or loss of the ability of ClpX to interact with ClpP, could liberate additional ClpX which is able to interact with CI NTD fragments generated by self-processing at low rates³¹. Note that each ClpXP complex contains a heptameric ClpP and hexameric ClpX ring²⁵. This would reduce the cellular pool of available full CI proteins and NTD-CI fragments that could repress the prophage. A reduction in the cellular repressor pool concentration could then result in an increased likelihood of prophage derepression in individual cells, and consequently produce elevated spontaneous phage release. This model is supported by the Southern blot phenotypes of the ClpX_{1265E} mutant strain which mimics that of the $\Delta clpP$ mutant and produces a faint replicating phage band even without MitC induction (Fig. S4).





with ClpP to facilitate the proteolytic degradation of the LexA N-terminus. This then also results in the increased expression of RecA further increasing SOS induction for as long as DNA damage is present. By contrast, prophage induction does not require the interaction of ClpX and ClpP and binding of ClpX to the N-terminal fragment of Cl is sufficient for inducting the lytic phage cycle.

The slow prophage induction in the *clpP* mutant might be explained by basal RecA expression, which can be activated by DNA damage (RecA*). Thus, cumulatively, sufficient RecA* might be produced to result in a degree of prophage derepression despite an inability to induce the SOS response. By contrast, ClpX was important for spontaneous prophage release and its deletion resulted in a > 2-log reduction suggesting that its role in spontaneous and SOS-mediated prophage induction might be analogous. Because MitC-induced phage titres and spontaneously-induced phage titres in $\Delta clpX$ mutant were identical (Fig. S6), the released phage particles after MitC induction are likely the result of low levels of ClpX-independent spontaneous prophage induction making CIpX essential for SOS-induced prophage induction.

In summary, we show that both ClpX and ClpP are involved in prophage induction in *S. aureus* (Fig. 8). Prior to SOS induction, Cl is protected from the actions of ClpX, which only acts after SOSmediated autocatalytic cleavage of Cl by binding to the resulting NTD post-cleavage fragment and inactivating its DNA-binding capacity. While this function of ClpX alone is sufficient for prophage induction, ClpP might still be involved in degrading the Cl N-terminal fragment to liberate ClpX, but this is not critical. Instead, ClpP primarily affects prophage induction via its essential role in the staphylococcal SOS response. In addition to their role in SOS-mediated prophage induction, both ClpP and ClpX are also important for the levels of spontaneous prophage release. ClpX likely acts in a similar capacity in both SOS and spontaneous prophage induction as phage titres remained comparable either with or without MitC. By contrast, ClpP appears to perform additional regulatory functions controlling stable prophage integration in the bacterial chromosome or preventing erroneous induction events through regulating the levels of additional proteins involved in the lysogenic switch decision.

Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table S2. *S. aureus* strains were grown in Tryptic soy broth (TSB) at 37 °C and 120 rpm or on Tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates supplemented with sodium citrate (1.7 mM). *E. coli* strains were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB) 37 °C and 180 rpm and on LB agar plates. Antibiotic selection was used where appropriate (10 or 2.5 μ g ml⁻¹ erythromycin (as indicated), 100 μ g ml⁻¹ ampicillin and 30 μ g ml⁻¹ kanamycin).

Phage infection assay

Test strains were grown in TSB to the early exponential phase $(OD_{540}-0.15)$ at 37 °C and 120 rpm. 12 ml of this culture were pelleted by centrifugation $(3300 \times g, 10 \text{ min})$ and the pellet was re-suspended in 6 ml of fresh TSB and 6 ml of phage buffer (1 mM MgSO₄, 4 mM CaCl₂, 50 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl, pH = 8). Note that the phage buffer provides MgSO₄ and CaCl₂ required for phage adsorption. Equal numbers of phages (-10⁸ PFU) were added to each culture and infected cultures were incubated for 4 h at 30 °C and 80 rpm followed by overnight incubation at room temperature. Cleared lysates were filtered using a 0.22 µm polyethersulfone syringe filter (Minisart, Sartorius).

Phage induction and titration

S. aureus strains lysogenic for the required phages were grown to early exponential phase (OD₅₄₀~0.15) at 37 °C and 120 rpm. Cultures were then induced by the addition of mitomycin C (MitC) (2 µg ml⁻¹) and incubated for 4-5 h at 30 °C, 80 rpm followed by overnight incubation at room temperature before filtering using a 0.22 µm polyethersulfone syringe filter (Minisart, Sartorius). To determine the phage titres, RN4220 cultures were grown to OD_{540} ~0.35 and 100 μ l of this culture were mixed with 100 μ l of serially diluted phage lysates in phage buffer $(1 \text{ mM MgSO}_4, 4 \text{ mM CaCl}_2, 50 \text{ mM Tris-Cl}, 100 \text{ mM NaCl}, \text{pH} = 8)$. The mixtures were incubated for 5 min at room temperature, then 3 ml of molten phage top agar (PTA, 20 g l⁻¹ Nutrient Broth No. 2, Oxoid, plus 3.5 g l⁻¹ agar, Formedium supplemented with 10 mM CaCl₂, ~50 °C) was added and the mixture overlaid onto phage base agar plates (20 g l-1 Nutrient Broth No. 2, Oxoid, plus 7 g l⁻¹ agar, Formedium supplemented with 10 mM CaCl₂). Plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C and the plaque forming unit (PFU ml⁻¹) was determined.

Lysogenisation of prophages

Recipient strains were grown to OD_{540} -0.35 and $100 \ \mu$ l of this culture were mixed with 3 ml of molten phage top agar (PTA, 20 g l⁻¹ Nutrient Broth No. 2, Oxoid, plus 3.5 g l⁻¹ agar, Formedium supplemented with 10 mM CaCl₂, ~50 °C) and the mixture overlaid onto phage base agar plates (20 g l⁻¹ Nutrient Broth No. 2, Oxoid, plus 7 g l⁻¹ agar, Formedium supplemented with 10 mM CaCl₂). Serial dilutions of the prophage to be lysogenised in phage buffer (1 mM MgSO₄, 4 mM CaCl₂, 50 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl, pH = 8) were spotted as 10 µl spots onto the recipient layer and dried. Plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C and a plaque forming unit (PFU ml⁻¹) was determined. Microcolonies of recipient strains in low dilutions of phage spots were selected and streaked onto fresh TSA plates supplemented with sodium citrate (1.7 mM) and antibiotics where required. Single colonies were restreaked several

times onto fresh plates and the presence of prophage lysogens was established by MitC induction (where possible) and PCR using primers Sa5-F and Sa5-R to confirm Φ 11 lysogens and Sa7-F and Sa7-R for 80 α lysogens⁴⁹.

Superinfection immunity/efficiency of plating assay

S. aureus strains carrying the required plasmids were grown in TSB supplemented with the relevant antibiotics to an OD₅₄₀-0.35 and 100 μ l of this culture were mixed with 3 ml of phage top agar (PTA, 20 g l⁻¹ Nutrient Broth No. 2, Oxoid, plus 3.5 g l⁻¹ agar, Formedium supplemented with 10 mM CaCl₂ and 1 μ M CdCl₂) and overlaid onto phage base agar plates (20 g l⁻¹ Nutrient Broth No. 2, Oxoid, plus 7 g l⁻¹ agar, Formedium supplemented with 10 mM CaCl₂ and 1 μ M CdCl₂). Phage lysates and dilutions in phage buffer (1 mM MgSO₄, 4 mM CaCl₂, 50 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl, pH = 8) were spotted in triplicates of 10 μ l each onto lawns of the specified strains, dried and incubated overnight at 37 °C prior to plaque forming unit (PFU ml⁻¹) determination.

DNA manipulations

Plasmid constructs used in this study (Table S3) were generated by cloning PCR products (Kapa Hifi Polymerase, Roche) obtained with oligonucleotide primers listed in Table S4 and digested with the indicated restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs). Detection probes for phage DNA in Southern blots were generated by PCR using a nonproofreading polymerase (DreamTaq polymerase, ThermoFisher) using oligonucleotides specified in Table S4. Probe labelling and DNA hybridisation were performed following the protocol provided with the PCR-DIG DNA-labelling and chemiluminescent detection kit (Roche, catalogue number 11093657910).

Generation of clean deletion mutants

The required clean deletion mutants were constructed using allelic replacement by cloning flanking regions up- and downstream of the respective gene (0.5–1 kbp) into pMAD⁵⁰ using oligonucleotide primers described in Table S4. The plasmids were then transformed into the required strains and integration of the plasmid was selected by growth at the restrictive temperature (42 °C) on TSA plates supplemented with $80 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$ X-gal and 2.5 $\mu g \,ml^{-1}$ erythromycin. Single crossover events were isolated (light blue colonies) and grown overnight under replication-permissive conditions (TSB, 30 °C, 80 rpm) to facilitate excision and loss of the integrated plasmid. Serial dilutions of the cultures were plated on TSA plates supplemented with $80 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$ X-gal and correct deletion mutants identified by PCR followed by sequencing using oligonucleotides annealing outside of the recombination region and indicated in Table S4.

Southern blotting

Strains containing the defined phage and plasmids were grown to early exponential phase (OD540~0.15) in 10 ml of TSB supplemented with antibiotics where plasmids were present. Phages were induced with MitC (2 µg ml⁻¹) and, where pCN51 expression plasmid derivatives were present, 1µM CdCl₂ was added to induce expression as indicated. One ml samples were taken at the defined time points, pelleted by centrifugation (16873 \times g, 2 min) and pellets shock frozen on dry ice. The pellets were re-suspended in 50 µl lysis buffer (47.5 µl TES-Sucrose (10 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 20% (w/v) sucrose) and 2.5 µl lysostaphin [12.5 µg ml⁻¹]) and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Following the incubation, 55 µl of SDS 2% proteinase K buffer (47.25 µl H₂O, 5.25 µl SDS 20%, 2.5 μ l proteinase K [20 mg ml⁻¹]) was added before incubation at 55 °C for 30 min. Samples were vortexed for 1 h with 11 µl of 10× loading dye followed by three cycles of 5 min incubations in dry ice/ethanol and at 65 °C in a water bath. Samples were run on 0.7% agarose gel at 25-30 V overnight. DNA was transferred by capillary action to a positively charged nylon membrane (Roche), processed as per the manufacturer's instructions, and exposed using a DIG-labelled probe (see

DNA methods) and anti-DIG antibody (1:10,000 (v/v), Roche, catalogue number 11093274910) before washing and visualisation.

Two-hybrid assay

The two-hybrid assay for protein–protein interaction was performed as described previously^{51,52} using two compatible plasmids: pUT18c expressing T18 fusions with either ClpX_{wt} or ClpX_{1265E} and pKT25 expressing the T25 fusion with either the Φ 11 Cl_{wt} or its NTD-Cl_{G131*}. Both plasmids were co-transformed into *E. coli* BTH101 for the Bacterial Adenylate Cyclase Two-Hybrid (BACTH) system and plated on LB supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg ml⁻¹), kanamycin (30 µg ml⁻¹), X-gal (20 µg ml⁻¹) and IPTG (100 µM). After incubation at 30 °C for 48 h (early reaction) to 72 h (late reaction), the protein–protein interaction was detected by a colour change. Blue colonies represent an interaction between the two clones, while white/yellow colonies are negative for any interaction.

Promoter activity assay

For the β -lactamase assays, overnight cultures were prepared by inoculating a single colony from each strain into a 5 ml TSB supplemented with 10 µg ml⁻¹ erythromycin and incubated at 37 °C, 120 rpm for 16-18 h. The cultures were then diluted 1/50 in 13 ml of fresh TSB supplemented with 10 µg ml⁻¹ erythromycin and grown at 37 °C and 120 rpm to early exponential phase (OD₅₄₀~0.15-0.2). 200 µl of culture was added directly to 800 µl of potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 5.9, supplemented with 10 mM sodium azide) and frozen on dry ice. Where SOS induction of the cro promoter was assessed, cultures were split in two (6 ml each), induced either with or without MitC (2 μ g ml⁻¹) and incubated at 30 °C, 80 rpm until sampling as described above. βlactamase assays, using nitrocefin as substrate, were performed as described^{53,54}: 50 µl of the collected sample were mixed with 50 µl of nitrocefin stock solution (192 µM made in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 5.9), and immediately reading the absorbance at 490 nm using an ELx808 microplate reader (BioTek) for 30 min. Promoter activity was calculated as Promoter activity = $(dA_{490}/dt(h))/$ $(OD_{540} \times d \times V)$, where OD_{540} is the absorbance of the sample at OD_{540} at collection, d is the dilution factor, and V is the sample volume. Note that only the linear segment of the resulting absorbance readings is considered for activity calculations.

Preparation of samples and quantitative whole genome sequencing

Overnight cultures were prepared by inoculating a single colony from each strain into a 5 ml TSB and incubated at 37 °C, 120 rpm for 16-18 h. The desired strains were then diluted 1:50 in 13 ml of fresh TSB and grown to exponential phase (OD₅₄₀~0.15-0.20) to collect samples before induction. Next, the cultures were treated with MitC (2 µg ml⁻¹) and incubated at 30 °C and 80 rpm for 60 min prior to sample collection. One ml samples were collected, and genomic DNA was extracted using the GenElute Bacterial DNA kit (Sigma Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA was precipitated by adding 10% (v/v) 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2), 2.5 volumes of 100% ethanol and incubation of the mixture for 1 h at -80 °C. The DNA was then pelleted at $16873 \times g$ for 30 min at 4 °C and washed once with 1 ml of ice-cold 70% (v/v) ethanol. After centrifugation, the DNA pellets were air-dried for 30 min and re-suspended in 25 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Quality control of DNA samples was tested using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 and whole genome sequencing (WGS) was performed at the University of Glasgow's Polyomics Facility using Illumina TruSeq DNA Nano library prep, obtaining 2×75 bp pair end reads with DNA PCR free libraries.

Preparation of custom reference genomes

Genomic DNA of the RN450 (NCTC8325-4) reference stock in the lab (JP1250) was extracted and sequenced as described above. Next, reads

were assembled to a scaffold of the deposited NCTC8325 (GenBank Accession CP000253 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/ 87201381]) reference genome using the PATRIC Bioinformatics Resource Center⁵⁵. The three prophages of NCTC8325 were deleted and any mutations identified were curated manually. Sequencing reads were then reassembled to the curated genome (GenBank Accession CP097113 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP097113]) as described above for verification. The sequences of either Φ 11 (RefSeq Accession NC_004615 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_ 004615]) or 80a (RefSeq Accession NC 009526 [https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC 009526]) were inserted into attachment sites 5 and 7^{49} , respectively and correct insertion verified by assembly of genome sequencing reads for strain JP18269 (GenBank Accession CP097114 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP097114]) or JP18270 (GenBank Accession CP097115 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ nuccore/CP097115]) for Φ11 or 80α lysogens, respectively. The curated genomes were next uploaded to the Galaxy web platform, and we used the public server at usegalaxy.org to analyse the data⁵⁶. Genomes were reannotated using Prokka v1.14.6^{57,58} (Galaxy Version 1.14.6+galaxy1).

Analysis of whole-genome sequencing data

The sequencing data were uploaded to the Galaxy web platform, and we used the public server at usegalaxy.org to analyse the data⁵⁶. The read quality of paired reads was assessed using FastQC v0.11.8⁵⁹ (Galaxy Version 0.72+galaxy1) followed by adapter trimming using Trimmomatic v0.3860 (Galaxy Version 0.38.0) and standard setting for pairedend reads and Illumina data. Trimmed reads were then reassessed using FastQC and mapped to custom genomes of RN450 reference genome containing either prophage Φ 11 or 80 α using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment Tool v0.7.17.4^{61,62} (Galaxy Version 0.7.17.4) with default settings and saved as BAM files. To normalise sequence coverage across experiments, we first filtered the aligned reads mapping to the bacterial chromosome and not belonging to the prophage using the BAMTools v2.4.0 Filter tool⁶³ (Galaxy Version 2.4.1). The number of mapped reads for each experiment was extracted from the filtered BAM files using the SAMTools stats utility v1.9⁶⁴ (Galaxy Version 2.0.2+galaxy2). Average genome coverage was calculated using the following formula: average genome coverage = (number of mapped reads) × (average read length (bp))/(genome length (bp)). Next, we computed the relative coverage over 50 bp sliding windows along the entire chromosome without normalisation for each of the experiments of the unfiltered BAM files using the bamCoverage tool of the deepTools2 package v3.3.2⁶⁵ (Galaxy Version 3.3.2.0.0). These coverage files were saved in bedgraph format and further analysed using RStudio v2021.9.1.372 (Ghost Orchid)⁶⁶ and R v4.1.2⁶⁷. Samples were normalised by dividing each coverage window by the average genome coverage calculated for each experiment. Final coverage graphs were plotted in RStudio using ggplot2 v3.3.568 and genome organisation around the plotted area extracted from the gff3 file produced by the Prokka annotation and graphed using gggplot2 and the gggenes package v0.4.1⁶⁹.

Determination of prophage integration and excision frequency Reads were mapped onto either the prophage-free, prophagecontaining or circularised phage genomes using BWA^{61,62} to determine read coverage of the bacterial *att*B, the prophage left attachment site *att*L and the excised phage *att*P sites, respectively. Reads around the relevant attachment site (±800 bp), which had a matching mate read were extracted using the Samtools view⁶⁴ command in Galaxy. The reduced dataset was then further filtered in Rstudio (for filtering scripts, see supplementary material) and only reads in which the paired reads mapped across the attachment site were counted. For a pair to be counted as overlapping, either both reads needed to map clearly to the two different sides of the attachment side or, if the reads contained the attachment side, they needed to begin 10 bp before it started to be considered. Reads were normalised by dividing the reads for each *att* site by the average genome coverage calculated for each experiment. The fraction of integrated prophage was calculated by dividing the reads mapping to *att*L by the sum of the reads mapping to both *att*L and *att*B. The fraction of circularised and excised phage was calculated by dividing the reads mapping to *att*P by the sum of the reads mapping to *att*P.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed as indicated in the figure legend. In general, phage titres were log_{10} -transformed and analysed by either One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-test or using a Student's unpaired two-tailed *t* test as appropriate for the relevant comparison. Promoter activity data were analysed on raw activity data by either One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post test and Bonferroni correction or using a Student's unpaired two-tailed *t* test as appropriate for the relevant comparison. All analysis was done using RStudio.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All underlying data are provided within the manuscript and raw data as well as statistical analyses performed are provided as Source data files. Reference sequences and whole genome sequencing reads can be accessed through Bioproject PRJNA835099. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

All underlying, relevant code has been added to the Supplementary Software file.

References

- 1. Comeau, A. M. et al. Exploring the prokaryotic virosphere. *Res. Microbiol.* **159**, 306–313 (2008).
- Chiang, Y. N., Penades, J. R. & Chen, J. Genetic transduction by phages and chromosomal islands: the new and noncanonical. *PLoS Pathog.* 15, e1007878 (2019).
- Ptashne M. A genetic switch: phage λ revisited, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2004).
- Casjens, S. R. & Hendrix, R. W. Bacteriophage lambda: early pioneer and still relevant. *Virology* 479-480, 310–330 (2015).
- Maslowska, K. H., Makiela-Dzbenska, K. & Fijalkowska, I. J. The SOS system: a complex and tightly regulated response to DNA damage. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen* 60, 368–384 (2019).
- Simmons L. A., Foti J. J., Cohen S. E., Walker G. C. The SOS regulatory network. *EcoSal Plus* 2008, (2008).
- Little, J. W., Mount, D. W. & Yanisch-Perron, C. R. Purified lexA protein is a repressor of the recA and lexA genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 4199–4203 (1981).
- Cheo, D. L., Bayles, K. W. & Yasbin, R. E. Cloning and characterization of DNA damage-inducible promoter regions from *Bacillus* subtilis. J. Bacteriol. **173**, 1696–1703 (1991).
- Zhang, A. P., Pigli, Y. Z. & Rice, P. A. Structure of the LexA-DNA complex and implications for SOS box measurement. *Nature* 466, 883–886 (2010).
- 10. Giese, K. C., Michalowski, C. B. & Little, J. W. RecA-dependent cleavage of LexA dimers. J. Mol. Biol. **377**, 148–161 (2008).
- Butala, M. et al. Interconversion between bound and free conformations of LexA orchestrates the bacterial SOS response. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 6546–6557 (2011).
- Neher, S. B., Flynn, J. M., Sauer, R. T. & Baker, T. A. Latent ClpXrecognition signals ensure LexA destruction after DNA damage. *Genes Dev.* 17, 1084–1089 (2003).

- Little J. W. Variations in the in vivo stability of LexA repressor during the SOS regulatory cycle. *In*: Cellular responses to DNA damage: proceedings of the UCLA symposium held at Keystone, Colorado, April 10–15, 1983 (eds Friedberg E. C., Bridges B. A.). Liss, A. R. (1983).
- 14. Cohn, M. T., Kjelgaard, P., Frees, D., Penades, J. R. & Ingmer, H. Clpdependent proteolysis of the LexA N-terminal domain in *Staphylo*coccus aureus. *Microbiology* **157**, 677–684 (2011).
- Bertrand-Burggraf, E., Hurstel, S., Daune, M. & Schnarr, M. Promoter properties and negative regulation of the *uvrA* gene by the LexA repressor and its amino-terminal DNA binding domain. *J. Mol. Biol.* 193, 293–302 (1987).
- Little, J. W. & Hill, S. A. Deletions within a hinge region of a specific DNA-binding protein. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 82, 2301–2305 (1985).
- 17. Kim, B. & Little, J. W. LexA and λ Cl repressors as enzymes: specific cleavage in an intermolecular reaction. *Cell* **73**, 1165–1173 (1993).
- Fornelos, N., Browning, D. F. & Butala, M. The use and abuse of LexA by mobile genetic elements. *Trends Microbiol.* 24, 391–401 (2016).
- Sanchez-Osuna, M. et al. Non-canonical LexA proteins regulate the SOS response in the Bacteroidetes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, 11050–11066 (2021).
- Biswas, A., Mandal, S. & Sau, S. The N-terminal domain of the repressor of *Staphylococcus aureus* phage Φ11 possesses an unusual dimerization ability and DNA binding affinity. *PLoS One* **9**, e95012 (2014).
- Johnson, A. D., Meyer, B. J. & Ptashne, M. Interactions between DNAbound repressors govern regulation by the λ phage repressor. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 76, 5061–5065 (1979).
- 22. Sauer, R. T., Pabo, C. O., Meyer, B. J., Ptashne, M. & Backman, K. C. Regulatory functions of the λ repressor reside in the amino-terminal domain. *Nature* **279**, 396–400 (1979).
- 23. Weiss, M. A., Pabo, C. O., Karplus, M. & Sauer, R. T. Dimerization of the operator binding domain of phage λ repressor. *Biochemistry* **26**, 897–904 (1987).
- 24. Mahmoud, S. A. & Chien, P. Regulated proteolysis in bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **87**, 677–696 (2018).
- Baker, T. A. & Sauer, R. T. ClpXP, an ATP-powered unfolding and protein-degradation machine. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1823, 15–28 (2012).
- 26. Levchenko, I., Seidel, M., Sauer, R. T. & Baker, T. A. A specificityenhancing factor for the ClpXP degradation machine. *Science* **289**, 2354–2356 (2000).
- Joshi, K. K., Berge, M., Radhakrishnan, S. K., Viollier, P. H. & Chien, P. An adaptor hierarchy regulates proteolysis during a bacterial cell cycle. *Cell* 163, 419–431 (2015).
- Liu, N., Chaudhry, M. T., Xie, Z., Kreth, J. & Merritt, J. Identification of new degrons in *Streptococcus mutans* reveals a novel strategy for engineering targeted, controllable proteolysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 2572 (2017).
- 29. Chen, J. et al. Genome hypermobility by lateral transduction. *Science* **362**, 207–212 (2018).
- Quiles-Puchalt, N., Martinez-Rubio, R., Ram, G., Lasa, I. & Penades, J. R. Unravelling bacteriophage Φ11 requirements for packaging and transfer of mobile genetic elements in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **91**, 423–437 (2014).
- 31. Little, J. W. LexA cleavage and other self-processing reactions. J. *Bacteriol.* **175**, 4943–4950 (1993).
- Maiques, E. et al. β-lactam antibiotics induce the SOS response and horizontal transfer of virulence factors in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Bacteriol. **188**, 2726–2729 (2006).
- Quiles-Puchalt, N. et al. A super-family of transcriptional activators regulates bacteriophage packaging and lysis in Gram-positive bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 7260–7275 (2013).

- Selva, L. et al. Killing niche competitors by remote-control bacteriophage induction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 1234–1238 (2009).
- Moller, A. G., Lindsay, J. A. & Read, T. D. Determinants of phage host range in staphylococcus species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 85, e00209–e00219 (2019).
- Jelsbak, L. et al. The chaperone ClpX stimulates expression of Staphylococcus aureus protein A by Rot dependent and independent pathways. PLoS One 5, e12752 (2010).
- Stahlhut, S. G. et al. The ClpXP protease is dispensable for degradation of unfolded proteins in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 11739 (2017).
- Brooks, K. & Clark, A. J. Behavior of λ bacteriophage in a recombination deficienct strain of *Escherichia coli*. J. Virol. 1, 283–293 (1967).
- Gorrill, R. H. & Gray, R. A. The induction of bacteriophage in staphylococci. J. Gen. Microbiol. 14, 167–173 (1956).
- Fernandez, L. et al. Lysogenization of Staphylococcus aureus RN450 by phages Φ11 and Φ80α leads to the activation of the SigB regulon. Sci. Rep. 8, 12662 (2018).
- Ubeda, C. et al. SaPI operon I is required for SaPI packaging and is controlled by LexA. *Mol. Microbiol.* 65, 41–50 (2007).
- 42. Brady A. et al. Molecular basis of lysis-lysogeny decisions in grampositive phages. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **75**, 563–581 (2021).
- Little, J. W. Autodigestion of lexA and phage λ repressors. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 81, 1375–1379 (1984).
- Harshey, R. M., Chandler, M. & Craig, N. Transposable phage Mu. Microbiol. Spectr. 2, 2.5.31 (2014).
- Konieczny, I. & Helinski, D. R. The replication initiation protein of the broad-host-range plasmid RK2 is activated by the ClpX chaperone. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 14378–14382 (1997).
- Toussaint, A., Gama, M. J., Laachouch, J., Maenhaut-Michel, G. & Mhammedi-Alaoui, A. Regulation of bacteriophage Mu transposition. *Genetica* **93**, 27–39 (1994).
- Laachouch, J. E., Desmet, L., Geuskens, V., Grimaud, R. & Toussaint, A. Bacteriophage Mu repressor as a target for the *Escherichia coli* ATP-dependent Clp Protease. *EMBO J.* **15**, 437–444 (1996).
- Defenbaugh, D. A. & Nakai, H. A context-dependent ClpX recognition determinant located at the C terminus of phage Mu repressor. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 52333–52339 (2003).
- 49. Goerke, C. et al. Diversity of prophages in dominant *Staphylococcus aureus* clonal lineages. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 3462–3468 (2009).
- Arnaud, M., Chastanet, A. & Debarbouille, M. New vector for efficient allelic replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GCcontent, gram-positive bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70, 6887–6891 (2004).
- Fillol-Salom, A. et al. Hijacking the Hijackers: *Escherichia coli* pathogenicity islands redirect helper phage packaging for their own benefit. *Mol. Cell* **75**, 1020–1030.e1024 (2019).
- Haag, A. F. et al. A regulatory cascade controls Staphylococcus aureus pathogenicity island activation. Nat. Microbiol. 6, 1300–1308 (2021).
- Tormo-Mas, M. A. et al. Moonlighting bacteriophage proteins derepress staphylococcal pathogenicity islands. *Nature* 465, 779–782 (2010).
- 54. Bowring J. et al. Pirating conserved phage mechanisms promotes promiscuous staphylococcal pathogenicity island transfer. *Elife* **6**, e26487 (2017).
- Davis, J. J. et al. The PATRIC bioinformatics resource center: expanding data and analysis capabilities. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, D606–D612 (2020).
- Afgan, E. et al. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W3–W10 (2016).
- 57. Cuccuru, G. et al. Orione, a web-based framework for NGS analysis in microbiology. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1928–1929 (2014).

- 58. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–2069 (2014).
- 59. Andrews S. FastQC A. Quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 0.11.8 edn (2019).
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- 61. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).
- 62. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589–595 (2010).
- Barnett, D. W., Garrison, E. K., Quinlan, A. R., Stromberg, M. P. & Marth, G. T. BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1691–1692 (2011).
- 64. Li, H. et al. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079 (2009).
- Ramirez, F. et al. deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W160–165 (2016).
- 66. RStudio Team. RStudio: integrated development environment for R. 2021.9.1.372 edn. *RStudio*, PBC (2021).
- 67. R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, https://www.Rproject.org (2021).
- 68. Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag (2016).
- 69. Wilkins D., Kurtz Z. Draw Gene Arrow Maps in 'ggplot2' (2021).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants MR/M003876/1 and MR/S00940X/1 from the Medical Research Council (MRC, UK; https://mrc.ukri.org), BB/ N002873/1 and BB/S003835/1 from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC, UK; https://bbsrc.ukri.org), and Wellcome Trust 201531/Z/16/Z (https://wellcome.org), to J.R.P. M.A.T. was funded by a PhD studentship provided by Al Baha University-Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (bu.edu.sa). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

A.F.H. and J.R.P. conceived the study and M.A.T. conducted the experiments. M.A.T., J.R.P., and A.F.H. analysed the data. A.F.H. wrote the initial manuscript and all authors contributed to its revisions. Funding was acquired by J.R.P.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42413-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Andreas F. Haag.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Alexander Hynes, Gayatri Nair and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023