nature communications

Article

Human forebrain organoid-based multiomics analyses of PCCB as a schizophrenia associated gene linked to GABAergic pathways

Received: 14 March 2023

Accepted: 14 August 2023

Published online: 24 August 2023

Check for updates

Wendiao Zhang 1,2,3,4 , Ming Zhang¹, Zhenhong Xu^{2,3,4}, Hongye Yan^{2,3,4}, Huimin Wang^{2,3,4}, Jiamei Jiang^{2,3,4}, Juan Wan^{2,3,4}, Beisha Tang 2,3,4,5,6 , Chunyu Liu 1,7 , Chao Chen 1,6,8,9 \otimes & Qingtuan Meng 2,3,4,10 \otimes

Identifying genes whose expression is associated with schizophrenia (SCZ) risk by transcriptome-wide association studies (TWAS) facilitates downstream experimental studies. Here, we integrated multiple published datasets of TWAS, gene coexpression, and differential gene expression analysis to prioritize SCZ candidate genes for functional study. Convergent evidence prioritized Propionyl-CoA Carboxylase Subunit Beta (PCCB), a nuclear-encoded mitochondrial gene, as an SCZ risk gene. However, the PCCB's contribution to SCZ risk has not been investigated before. Using dual luciferase reporter assay, we identified that SCZ-associated SNPs rs6791142 and rs35874192, two eQTL SNPs for PCCB, showed differential allelic effects on transcriptional activities. PCCB knockdown in human forebrain organoids (hFOs) followed by RNA sequencing analysis revealed dysregulation of genes enriched with multiple neuronal functions including gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic synapse. The metabolomic and mitochondrial function analyses confirmed the decreased GABA levels resulted from inhibited tricarboxylic acid cycle in PCCB knockdown hFOs. Multielectrode array recording analysis showed that PCCB knockdown in hFOs resulted into SCZ-related phenotypes including hyperneuroactivities and decreased synchronization of neural network. In summary, this study utilized hFOs-based multi-omics analyses and revealed that PCCB downregulation may contribute to SCZ risk through regulating GABAergic pathways, highlighting the mitochondrial function in SCZ.

Schizophrenia (SCZ) is a complex polygenic psychiatric disorder with risk contributed by environmental and genetic factors¹. Genetic studies such as genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified hundreds of common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with SCZ^{2,3}. Most of the SCZ-associated SNPs are noncoding variants located in regulatory DNA elements^{4–6}, suggesting that gene

expression mediates the connection between genetic variants and SCZ phenotypes⁷. Identifying genes whose expression is associated with SCZ phenotypes facilitates discovering SCZ risk genes for downstream functional studies.

By integrating SCZ GWAS and brain expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) data, several approaches, which are collectively described

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper. 🖂 e-mail: liuch@upstate.edu; chenchao@sklmg.edu.cn; mengqingtuan@glmc.edu.cn

as transcriptome-wide association studies (TWAS) have been used to identify SCZ risk genes. These TWAS approaches, including FUSION⁸⁻¹⁰, PrediXcan¹¹, summary-data-based Mendelian randomization (SMR)^{2,10,12,13}, and joint-tissue imputation approach with Mendelian randomization (MR-JTI)¹⁴, aimed to identify the association between predicted gene expression and SCZ risk. Though MR-JTI could improve gene expression prediction performance in TWAS and provide a causal inference framework¹⁵, experimental validation is still needed.

Here we integrated results from MR-JTI¹⁴ and other published SCZ TWAS datasets^{2,8-13} to prioritize promising SCZ risk genes for functional study. Through this integrative analysis, we identified Propionyl-CoA Carboxylase Subunit Beta (*PCCB*), a protein-coding gene that plays important roles in mitochondrial metabolism^{16,17}, as an SCZ risk gene with the most supporting evidence in our analysis. However, the *PCCB*'s contribution to SCZ risk has not been investigated before. Using human forebrain organoids (hFOs), three-dimensional cell cultures that recapitulate key aspects of the human brain¹⁸, we found that *PCCB* knockdown in hFOs resulted into SCZ pathology-related cellular phenotypes. We also identified that SCZ-associated common SNPs rs6791142 and rs35874192 may regulate *PCCB* expression, supporting that *PCCB* expression may mediate the genetic effects on SCZ risk.

Results

PCCB is prioritized as a promising SCZ risk gene

To obtain reliable SCZ risk genes for downstream functional study, we integrated multiple published TWAS datasets (Supplementary Data 1) to prioritize genes with sufficient supporting evidence. We also checked whether the prioritized genes are located in SCZ riskassociated gene coexpression module or dysregulated in postmortem SCZ brains. These analyses prioritized PCCB, GATAD2A, and GNL3 as the top three SCZ risk genes (Table 1). Notably, PCCB was also identified as an SCZ risk gene in the gene-based MAGMA analysis¹⁹. Moreover, PCCB was located in the gene coexpression module (M2) downregulated in SCZ based on the PsychENCODE data¹⁰. PCCB was also found to be nominally downregulated in postmortem SCZ brains (P = 0.01, FDR = 0.14) by checking the SZDB database²⁰, which integrated transcriptome data from the CommonMind consortium²¹. These lines of evidence suggested that PCCB expression mediated the genetic effects on SCZ risk. Therefore, we focused on studying how PCCB contributes to SCZ risk in this study.

PCCB eQTL SNPs rs6791142 and rs35874192 affect transcriptional activities

Since PCCB expression is genetically associated with SCZ, we investigated the functional impacts of SCZ-associated SNPs on PCCB expression. Based on the TWAS results used in this study, we retrieved the top SNPs (rs7432375, rs7427564, rs527888, rs66691851) and their linkage disequilibrium (LD) SNPs that were associated with PCCB expression. To narrow down to the putatively causal variants, we focused on those eQTL SNPs (eSNPs) that are likely to affect PCCB expression in the brain. Since opening chromatin facilitates gene expression activation, we used brain ATAC-seq data from the PsychENCODE consortium²² to identify PCCB eSNPs located in active transcription regions. By integrating PsychENCODE ATAC-seq data and SNP annotation information from the Roadmap Epigenetics Consortium²³, we prioritized six eSNPs (rs6791142, rs35874192, rs900818, rs7616204, rs570621, and rs7349597) (Table 2) that were located in genomic regions strongly suggested as enhancers or promoters in the human brain tissues or neural cell cultures.

We then performed dual luciferase reporter assay (DLRA) in both human neural progenitor cells (hNPCs) and SH-SY5Y cell lines to validate the regulatory effects of the six eSNP-containing DNA elements. For each eSNP, 50 base pairs (bp) eSNP-containing DNA fragment was synthesized and cloned into the upstream of the *PCCB* promoter in the PGL3-basic luciferase reporter vector (Supplementary Fig. S1). In DLRA,

Table 1 F	Prioritized top th	ree SCZ risk g	Jenes							
Gene	MR-JTI	TWAS				SMR			Differential expression	Coexpression module
		FUSION	PrediXcan	FUSION	FUSION					
	Wu et al. ¹⁴	Hall et al. ⁹	Huckins et al. ¹¹	Gusev et al. ⁸	Gandal et al. ¹⁰	Yang et al. ¹³	Gandal et al. ¹⁰	Li et al. ¹²	Goncalves et al. ¹⁹	Gandal et al. ¹⁰
PCCB	P _{Bonferroni} = 3.17E-11	P _{TWAS} = 5.39E-12	<i>P</i> = 2.05E-08	P _{TWAS} = 3.07E-10	P _{Bonferroni} = 2.42E-05	1	P _{SMR} = 3.74E-10	P _{SMR} = 4.17E-15	↓ P=0.01	↓ FDR = 6.71E-03
GATAD2A	P _{Bonferroni} = 1.87E-08	P _{TWAS} = 8.67E-11	<i>P</i> = 2.18E-10	P _{TWAS} = 8.83E-07	P _{Bonferroni} = 6.98E-09	P _{SMR-multi} < 1.00E-05	P _{SMR} = 2.21E-10	I	1	↑ FDR = 5.03E-03
GNL3	P _{Bonferroni} = 1.58E-18	1	P = 1.39E-11	P _{TWAS} = 6.00E-07	P _{Bonferroni} = 8.24E-03	P _{SMR-multi} < 1.00E-05	P _{SMR} = 4.71E-09	P _{SMR} = 4.53E-13	1	1

4, Downregulation in SCZ; 7, Upregulation in SCZ. P values are adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg or Bonferronid methods.

Source	References	Best GWAS SNP	LD eSNP	LD (r ²)	Ref/Alt	Chromatin states (Core 15-state model)	PsychENCODE ATAC-seq Peak
MR-JTI	Wu et al., Mol. Neurobiol. ¹⁴	-	-	-	-	-	-
TWAS	Hall et al., Hum. Mol. Genet. ⁹	rs7432375	rs6791142	0.81	T/C	7_Enh	YES
			rs35874192	0.62	G/C	1_TssA	YES
			rs900818	0.63	C/T	1_TssA, 2_TssAFlnk	YES
	Huckins et al., Nat. Genet. ¹¹	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Gusev et al., Nat. Genet. ⁸	rs7432375	rs7616204	0.64	C/T	7_Enh	YES
	Gandal et al., Science ¹⁰	rs7427564	rs6791142	0.73	T/C	7_Enh	YES
SMR	Gandal et al., Science ¹⁰	rs527888	rs570621	0.95	A/G	7_Enh	YES
			rs7349597	0.69	C/T	1_TssA, 2_TssAFlnk, 7_Enh	YES
	Li et al., Nat. Genet. ¹²	rs66691851	rs7349597	0.6	C/T	1_TssA, 2_TssAFlnk, 7_Enh	YES
			rs570621	0.81	A/G	7_Enh	YES
			rs6791142	0.6	T/C	7_Enh	YES

Table 2 | Prioritized six eQTL SNPs for PCCB

1_TssA, Active transcription start site (TSS); 2_TssAFlnk, Flanking active TSS; 7_Enh, Enhancer; Ref/Alt, Reference / Alternative allele.

the eSNPs rs6791142 (T/C) and rs35874192 (G/C) showed allelic effects on transcriptional activities in both hNPCs and SH-SY5Y cell lines, with SCZ-associated allele C corresponding to a lower gene expression (Fig. 1a, b). Notably, the directions of allelic effects of rs6791142 and rs35874192 were consistent with eQTL patterns detected in brain tissues from the GTEx²⁴ and BrainSeq consortium²⁵ (Fig. 1a, b). While the eSNPs rs900818, rs7616204, rs570621, and rs7349597 had no differential allelic effects on transcriptional activities or showed inconsistent directions of allelic effects with the eQTL patterns (Fig. 1c–f).

PCCB knockdown in hFOs affects expression of genes enriched in GABAergic synapse

According to the TWAS and DLRA results, lower *PCCB* expression is associated with increased SCZ risk. We established *PCCB* knockdown and control human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs, U2F) using CRISPR interference (CRISPRi). In CRISPRi, one guide RNA (gRNA) sequence targeting *PCCB* (*PCCB*-G1) and one nontargeting control gRNA were designed. The established *PCCB* knockdown and control U2F hiPSCs were then used to generate hFOs (Fig. 2a–d) to investigate the functional impacts of *PCCB* knockdown. On day 60 of organoid culture, *PCCB* knockdown and control hFOs were used for RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). Differential gene expression analysis identified 2326 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) [false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05] between the *PCCB* knockdown and control hFOs (Supplementary Data 2). Among the 2326 DEGs, 1099 genes were upregulated and 1227 genes were downregulated in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs (Fig. 2e, f).

We next used WebGestalt 2019²⁶ to annotate biological functions of the PCCB knockdown-induced DEGs. For the upregulated DEGs, Gene Ontology (GO) analysis revealed their significant enrichment in biological functions including RNA catabolic process (FDR < 2.20E-16), forebrain development (FDR = 1.11E-10), and neural precursor cell proliferation (FDR = 8.96E-09). The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis implicated the upregulated DEGs in pathways including the ribosome (FDR < 2.20E-16) and hippo signaling (FDR = 2.43E-04) pathway (Fig. 2g and Supplementary Data 2). For the downregulated DEGs, GO analysis showed that they were enriched with neuronal functions, including synaptic vesicle cycle (FDR = 6.28E-10), neurotransmitter transport (FDR = 1.23E-09), and synapse organization (FDR = 2.27E-07). The KEGG pathway analysis implicated the downregulated DEGs in the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic synapse (FDR = 1.73E-04), morphine addiction (FDR = 1.73E-04), and nicotine addiction (FDR = 2.31E-03) pathways (Fig. 2h and Supplementary Data 2). These results highlighted that reduced expression of PCCB may downregulate genes related to neuronal functions, including the GABAergic synapse.

To confirm the RNA-seq results and to minimize potential offtarget effects in CRISPRi, we generated PCCB knockdown U2F hFOs using a second gRNA (PCCB-G2) and performed RNA-seq (Supplementary Fig. S2). We found that 1079 of 2326 DEGs in PCCB-G1 hFOs overlapped with those identified in PCCB-G2 hFOs. The 1079 overlapped DEGs were also enriched with neuronal functions such as synapse organization (FDR = 5.66E-04), forebrain development (FDR = 1.13E-02), and axon development (FDR = 1.51E-02) (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Supplementary Data 2). Of the 1079 overlapped DEGs, 350 genes were downregulated in both PCCB-G1 and PCCB-G2 hFOs. Using the shared 350 downregulated DEGs, we constructed a proteinprotein interaction (PPI) network and found that GABA receptor genes including GABRA1, GABRA2, GABRB2, and GABRB3 were hub nodes in the PPI network (Fig. 2i). Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) further confirmed the decreased expression of these GABA receptor genes in PCCB knockdown U2F hFOs (Fig. 2i). These results further highlighted that PCCB knockdown may affect the GABAergic pathways.

PCCB knockdown-induced DEGs in hFOs are enriched with SCZ-related genes

To explore PCCB's connection to SCZ, we evaluated the enrichment of 1079 PCCB knockdown-induced DEGs in hFOs with SCZ-related gene sets. The first SCZ gene set was 4096 differentially expressed proteincoding genes between postmortem brains of 559 SCZ patients and 936 controls from the PsychENCODE consortium¹⁰. The second SCZ gene set was 2809 DEGs between cerebral organoids (6 months) derived from eight SCZ patients and eight controls from the Kathuria et al. study²⁷ (Supplementary Data 2). We found that the 1079 PCCB knockdown-induced DEGs were significantly overlapped with genes dysregulated in PsychENCODE SCZ brains (Overlapped genes = 282, P = 5.84E-04) and SCZ patient-derived cerebral organoids (Overlapped genes = 255, P = 1.92E-14) (Supplementary Fig. S3a). We also found that the 1079 PCCB knockdown-induced DEGs were significantly $(P_{adjust} = 7.09E-03)$ overlapped with genes reported in SCZ GWAS from the FUMA analysis²⁸ (Supplementary Fig. S3b). Interestingly, the overlapped SCZ-related genes included sveral GABAergic synapserelated genes such as GABRA1, GABRA2, GABRB2, and GABRB3 (Supplementary Data 2). These results suggested that PCCB may function through affecting the expression of SCZ-related genes, including the GABAergic synapse-related genes.

Metabolomic analysis confirms the decreased GABA levels in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs

RNA-seq analysis revealed the effects of *PCCB* knockdown on GABAergic synapse, we further used metabolomic analysis to examine



Fig. 1 | **DLRA results in hNPCs and SH-SY5Y cell lines.** a–f DLRA results for *PCCB* eSNPs rs6791142 (a), rs35874192 (b), rs900818 (c), rs7616204 (d), rs570621 (e), and rs7349597 (f) in hNPCs and SH-SY5Y cell lines. The PRL-TK Renilla vector was used as internal control. At least three biological replicates were used in per group. Data are shown as Mean \pm SEM. Unpaired two tailed t-test was used for comparison

between two groups. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ***P < 0.001, eQTL plots in this figure were downloaded from the GTEx portal (https://www.gtexportal.org/home/) and BrainSeq phasel eQTL data (http://eqtl.brainseq.org/phasel/eqtl/). Source data underlying a-f are provided as a Source Data file.

whether *PCCB* knockdown decreased GABA levels in hFOs. Of the 178 detected metabolites in U2F hFOs (day 60), 27 and 35 metabolites were differentially expressed in *PCCB*-G1 and *PCCB*-G2 hFOs (Fig. 3a–c and Supplementary Data 3), respectively. A total of 11 differential

metabolites were shared in both groups with 9 metabolites showing consistent alteration directions (Fig. 3c). The 9 shared differential metabolites were enriched in pathways including febrile seizures (P=1.96E-02), GABA-transaminase deficiency (P=1.96E-02), and





PCCB knockdown-induced upregulated (**g**) and downregulated DEGs (**h**), respectively. GO terms are shown with red bars, and KEGG pathways are shown with blue bars. **i** PPI network analysis for 350 downregulated DEGs shared in both *PCCB*-G1 and *PCCB*-G2 hFOs. The top 10 hub nodes are shown with orange nodes. **j** RT-qPCR analysis for *GABRA1*, *GABRA2*, *GABRB2*, and *GABRB3* (The hub nodes in the PPI network), n = four technical replicates per group. Data are shown as Mean ± SD. The unpaired two-tailed t-test was used to assess difference between the *PCCB*-NC and *PCCB*-G1 or *PCCB*-G2 group. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001. Source data underlying **b**, **c**, and **j** are provided as a Source Data file.

spinocerebellar degeneration (P = 2.93E-02) (Fig. 3d). Notably, GABA levels were decreased in both *PCCB*-G1 (decreased by 59%, P = 3.42E-03) and *PCCB*-G2 hFOs (decreased by 22%, P = 1.31E-02) when compared with control hFOs (Supplementary Data 3). The Pearson correlation analysis between the 9 shared differential metabolites and *PCCB*-

knockdown-induced DEGs further confirmed a positive correlation (r = 0.51, P = 0.03) between *PCCB* expression and GABA. Notably, GABA showed strong positive correlations (r > 0.73, P < 5.50E-04) with GABA receptor genes *GABRA1*, *GABRA2*, *GABRB2* and *GABRB3*, respectively (Fig. 3e and Supplementary Data 3).



Fig. 3 | **Metabolomic analysis after** *PCCB* **knockdown in U2F hFOs. a** PCA plot for the metabolomic analysis in U2F hFOs. $N \ge$ three biologically independent samples in each group. **b** Metabolomic analysis identified 27 and 35 differentially expressed metabolites in *PCCB*-G1 and *PCCB*-G2 hFOs, respectively. GABA (indicated by red box) was downregulated in both groups. **c** Overlap for differential metabolites identified in *PCCB*-G1 and *PCCB*-G2 hFOs. **d** Functional annotation for 9 shared

PCCB knockdown in hFOs leads to mitochondrial dysfunction and reduction of GABA levels

PCCB encodes the β subunit of the propionyl-CoA carboxylase, a mitochondrial enzyme involved in the catabolism of propionyl-CoA²⁹.

differential metabolites with consistent alteration directions in *PCCB*-G1 and *PCCB*-G2 hFOs. *P* values were calculated by the hypergeometric test. **e** Pearson correlation analysis between *PCCB* knockdown-induced DEGs and differential metabolites. The unpaired two-tailed t-test was used to calculated the *P* value. **P*< 0.05. Their correlation coefficients are indicated by the color bar.

PCCB mutation has been reported to impair mitochondrial energy metabolism by disrupting the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle¹⁶. We would expect mitochondrial dysfunction caused by *PCCB* knockdown. Indeed, we found several mitochondrial genes that function in cellular



Fig. 4 | *PCCB* knockdown leads to mitochondrial dysfunction and decreased GABA levels in U2F hFOs. a RT-qPCR analysis revealed downregulated expression of mitochondrial genes in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs. **b**, **c** Decreased ATP (**b**) and increased ROS contents (**c**) were observed in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs. **d**, **e**, **f** ELISA analysis validated the reduction of α -KG (**d**), SOCA (**e**), and succinic acid (**f**) in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs. **g** Adding α -KG (10 µg/mL) into the culture medium of *PCCB* knockdown hFOs restored the GABA levels. **h** Potential pathways involved in the regulation of *PCCB* knockdown on GABA synthesis. GAD, glutamate decarboxylase; GABA-T, GABA transaminase; SSA, Succinic semialdehyde; SSADH, succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase; \downarrow , downregulation; \uparrow , upregulation. $N \ge$ three biologically independent samples in each group. Data are shown as Mean ± SEM. The unpaired two-tailed t-test was used to assess difference between the *PCCB*-NC and *PCCB*-G1 or *PCCB*-G2 group. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, *****P* < 0.0001, ****P* < 0.001, ****P*

oxidative phosphorylation, including *MT-ND2*, *MT-ND5*, and *MT-CYB*, were downregulated in both *PCCB*-G1 and *PCCB*-G2 U2F hFOs (Supplementary Data 2), which was further validated by the RT-qPCR analysis (Fig. 4a). Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) detection assays showed that *PCCB* knockdown reduced ATP generation and increased ROS levels in U2F hFOs (Fig. 4b, c), indicating the mitochondrial dysfunction caused by *PCCB* knockdown.

Since GABA metabolism involves a route from α -ketoglutarate (α -KG) generated by the TCA cycle to succinate via glutamate, GABA, and succinic semialdehyde^{30,31}, we examined whether *PCCB* knockdown decreased GABA levels by inhibiting the TCA cycle. We performed enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and confirmed that *PCCB* knockdown decreased α -KG, succinyl-CoA (SCOA), and succinic acid (Fig. 4d–f), three key metabolites that connect the GABA shunt and

Article

TCA cycle^{30,31}. Considering that α -KG is an upstream metabolite that could be converted to GABA, we added α -KG (10 µg/mL) into culture media of U2F hFOs and found a restored GABA levels in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs (Fig. 4g).

To confirm the functional impacts of *PCCB* knockdown observed in U2F hFOs, we generated hFOs using another hiPSC line (U1M) (Supplementary Fig. S4a, b). The RT-qPCR and mitochondrial functional analyses showed that *PCCB* knockdown in U1M hFOs also resulted into decreased expression of mitochondrial genes (*MT-ND2*, *MT-ND5* and *MT-CYB*), reduced ATP generation and increased ROS levels (Supplementary Fig. S4c-e). The ELISA showed that *PCCB* knockdown decreased α -KG, SCOA, succinic acid, and GABA levels in U1M hFOs (Supplementary Fig. S4f-i). Adding α -KG (10 µg/mL) into culture media of U1M hFOs restored the GABA levels (Supplementary Fig. S4i) as detected in U2F hFOs. These results indicated that *PCCB* knockdown decreased GABA levels by inhibiting the TCA cycle and led to mitochondrial dysfunction (Fig. 4h).

PCCB knockdown in hFOs leads to abnormal electrophysiological activities

Since GABA, the major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain³², was decreased in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs, we used multielectrode array (MEA) recording assay to test whether *PCCB* knockdown affected neuroactivities. The *PCCB* knockdown and control U2F hFOs at day 160 were seeded on Matrigel-coated 24-well MEA plate (Fig. 5a). After 7 days of culture, electroactivities of hFOs were recorded (Fig. 5b–d).

We found that *PCCB* knockdown in hFOs led to increased number of spikes (Fig. 5e) and mean neuron firing rate (Fig. 5f), suggesting a hyper neuroactivity after *PCCB* knockdown in U2F hFOs. However, *PCCB* knockdown decreased the synchronization of the neural network (Fig. 5g). These MEA results were further replicated in U1M hFOs (Supplementary Fig. S5). Since hyper neuroactivity and decreased synchronization of neural network in SCZ brains have been reported by the electroencephalography and magnetoencephalography³³, these results supported that *PCCB* knockdown led to abnormal electrophysiological activities that link to SCZ phenotypes.

Discussion

SCZ is a polygenic psychiatric disorder with risk contributed by multiple genes. Identifying genes whose expression is associated with SCZ risk by TWAS is a powerful approach to prioritize SCZ risk genes. By integrating multiple published datasets from TWAS, gene coexpression, and differential gene expression analysis, we prioritized *PCCB* as a reliable SCZ risk gene. *PCCB* is a gene encoding β subunit of propionylcoA carboxylase enzyme²⁹, defect of which has been reported as a cause of propionic acidemia³⁴. Though several case reports have shown neuropathological symptoms including autistic features³⁵ in propionic acidemia^{36,37}, how *PCCB* deficiency led to neuropathology is largely unknown. Moreover, what roles *PCCB* plays in the etiology of SCZ has not been investigated.

To investigate *PCCB*'s contribution to SCZ risk, we performed RNA-seq analysis and identified that *PCCB* knockdown in U2F hFOs





mean neuron firing rate (**f**) but reduced the synchronized burst index in hFOs (**g**). $N \ge 13$ biologically independent samples in each group. Data are shown as Mean ± SEM. The unpaired two-tailed t-test was used to assess difference between the *PCCB*-NC and *PCCB*-G1 or *PCCB*-G2 group. **P* < 0.05, *****P* < 0.0001. Source data underlying e-g are provided as a Source Data file.

downregulated expression of genes related to multiple neuronal functions including the GABAergic synapse pathway. Several GABA receptor genes including *GABRA1*, *GABRA2*, *GABRB2*, and *GABRB3* were highlighted as hub genes in the *PCCB*'s regulatory network (Fig. 2i). To confirm these results, we generated *PCCB* knockdown hFOs using two other hiPSC lines (U1M and ACS-1011) (Supplementary Fig. S6a, b). The RT-qPCR analysis confirmed that *PCCB* knockdown also led to decreased expression of *GABRA1*, *GABRA2*, *GABRB2*, and *GABRB3* in both U1M and ACS-1011 hFOs (Supplementary Fig. S6c, d).

The downregulated GABAergic synapse pathway caused by *PCCB* knockdown attracted our attention, since GABAergic dysfunction plays important roles in SCZ etiology^{38,39}. To validate the GABA findings in this study, we used immunostaining assay and confirmed the existence of cells expressing the GABAergic markers GAD1 (GAD67) and DLX2 in hFOs (Supplementary Fig. S7). We further performed metabolomic analysis and confirmed the decreased GABA levels in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs. The following electrophysiological analysis showed that *PCCB* knockdown in both U1M and U2F led to hyper neuroactivity and decreased synchronization of neural network activities, cellular phenotypes reported to be associated with SCZ risk^{33,40}. Through the hFOs-based multiomics analyses, we revealed the functional impacts of *PCCB* knockdown and highlighted that *PCCB* dysregulation may contribute to SCZ etiology through regulating the GABAergic pathways.

Since the GABA shunt connected the GABA metabolism pathway and TCA cycle^{30,31}, we expected that PCCB regulates GABAergic pathways by affecting the TCA cycle. As expected, PCCB knockdown led to reduced production of α -KG, SCOA, and succinic acid in the TCA cycle. Since α -KG produced from the TCA cycle could be converted into SCOA or serve as a source for GABA synthesis⁴¹, the decrease of α -KG may be responsible for the reduced production of GABA. On the other hand, PCCB knockdown leads to the reduction of SCOA and succinic acid, which may exacerbate the entry of GABA into the TCA cycle through GABA shunt pathway and further reduce GABA content in the cytoplasm⁴¹. Overall, *PCCB* knockdown decreased GABA levels by reducing the content of α -KG, SCOA and succinic acid in the TCA cycle (Fig. 4h). Our study highlighted that mitochondrial dysfunction caused by PCCB knockdown not only impaired cellular energy production, but also resulted into GABAergic dysfunction. Since mitochondrial dysfunction is associated with GABAergic dysfunction⁴² and the etiology of SCZ43,44, our study connected the "mitochondrial hypothesis" and "GABA hypothesis" of SCZ.

In addition to mitochondrial dysfunction, one of the major effects of PCCB defect is the cellular accumulation of propanoic acid, propionyl carnitine, and other metabolites¹⁶. Indeed, we did observe a dramatic increase of propanoic acid or propionyl carnitine in PCCB knockdown hFOs (Supplementary Data 3). Interestingly, hFOs exposed to propanoic acid at 3.2 µM, an average serum concentration in SCZ patients⁴⁵, led to decreased expression of GABA receptor genes (GABRA1, GABRA2, GABRB2, and GABRB3) (Supplementary Fig. S8), which is consistent with those observed in PCCB knockdown hFOs. These results suggested that the accumulation of propanoic acid may mediate the effects of PCCB knockdown, and partially explain how propionic acidemia could lead to neuropathological symptoms. These results also suggested the potential effects of short-chain fatty acids on SCZ risk, since short-chain fatty acids including propanoic acid, acetic acid, and butyric acid were found to be upregulated in the serum of SCZ patients⁴⁶.

This study reveals the connection between *PCCB* and SCZ risk, some limitations also exist. First, *PCCB* knockdown affects multiple types of synapses, including GABAergic, glutamatergic, dopaminergic, and cholinergic synape, as revealed by bulk RNA-seq analysis. The celltype specific effects of *PCCB* knockdown is unclear. Further investigations such as RNA-seq and other omics analysis at single-cell level are needed. Second, hFOs (day 60) are immature in this study since the average proportion of GAD67+ or DLX2+ GABAergic neurons only account for about 5% of the total cells in hFOs (Supplementary Fig. S7). A long-term organoid culture could allow hFOs develop further to generate abundant GABAergic neurons to validate the GABA findings. Last, we showed that SCZ-associated SNPs rs6791142 and rs35874192, two eQTL SNPs for *PCCB*, affected transcriptional activities. But whether these two eSNPs could affect *PCCB* expression in vivo remains unclear. In the future, using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing to confirm the regulatory effects of eSNPs rs6791142 and rs35874192 on *PCCB* expression is needed.

In summary, this study used hFOs-based multiomics analyses and revealed connection between *PCCB* and SCZ, highlighting that *PCCB* may contribute to SCZ etiology through regulating the GABAergic pathways and mitochondrial function.

Methods

Prioritization of SCZ risk genes and SNPs

We collected the published data from TWAS⁸⁻¹¹, MR-JTI¹⁵, and SMR^{2,10,12,13} analyses to prioritize SCZ risk genes with sufficient supporting evidence. We also checked whether the prioritized genes are located in SCZ risk-associated gene coexpression modules or differentially expressed in postmortem brains of SCZ patients.

To prioritize SCZ risk SNPs, we first collected the top SNPs from the TWAS data. We then retrieved SNPs in LD ($r^2 \ge 0.6$, European population genome) with the top SNPs. We prioritized candidate causal SNPs that likely affected gene expression in the brain using the following criteria: 1) candidate SNPs are eSNPs for the SCZ risk genes in the brain based on the BrainSeq²⁵, GTEx²⁴, or PsychENCODE eQTL data⁴⁷; 2) SNPs are located within chromatin open regions based on ATAC-seq data from the PsychENCODE consortium; 3) SNPs are located in genomic regions predicted as enhancers or promoters in human brain tissues or neural cell cultures based on the Roadmap Epigenomics data²³.

Cell culture

Three hiPSC lines (U1M, U2F, and ACS-1011) used in this study were derived from healthy individuals. The U1M and U2F hiPSCs were obtained from the Cellapy Technology (Beijing, China), and the ACS-1011 cell line was obtained from the American type culture collection. Pluripotency and karyotype of hiPSCs were confirmed by immuno-fluorescence and karyotype analysis as shown in our previous studies^{48,49}. The hiPSCs were cultured in Matrigel (Corning, 354277)-coated plate and supplemented with the mTeSR Plus medium (STEMCELL Technologies, 05825) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, 10378016).

hNPCs were induced from U2F hiPSCs using the STEMdiffTM Neural Induction Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, 05835) as described in our previous study⁴⁸. In brief, hiPSCs were dissociated into single cells using Accutase solution (Sigma-Aldrich, A6964) on day 0. Cells were then cultured in Matrigel-coated12-well plate and fed with 600 µL Neural Induction Medium supplemented with 1x penicillin/streptomycin and 10 µM Y27632 (Selleck, SCM075). On day 3, each well was fed with 600 µL fresh Neural Induction Medium without Y27632. From day 18, the hNPCs were cultured and maintained in the STEMdiffTM Neural Progenitor Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, 05833). The culture medium was completely replaced with fresh medium every two days.

The SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM (Gibco, C11995500BT) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, A3161001C) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

DLRA

About 50 bp DNA sequence (Supplementary Data 4) flanking the *PCCB* eSNP was synthesized and cloned into the pGL3-basic vector using the

restriction enzymes KpnI and XhoI (New England BioLabs). The PCCB promoter sequence (~600 bp) was amplified from the genomic DNA using the PCR primers (F, 5'-CCGCTCGAGTTTGAATCCTGGCCAAC CAC-3'; R, 5'-CCC AAGCTTTGCTAAAGCGTGGGTACGG-3') and Phanta®Max Super-fidelity DNA Polymerase (Vazyme, P505-d1). The amplified PCCB promoter was then cloned into downstream of the eSNPcontaining DNA fragment using the restriction enzymes XhoI and Hind III (New England BioLabs). DLRA was performed in both hNPCs and SH-SY5Y cells. For DLRA, 1×10^5 cells per well were plated into 24-well plate. After 24 hours of culture, 500 ng recombinant pGL3-basic luciferase reporter vector and 20 ng PRL-TK Renilla internal control vector for each well were co-transfected into cells using Lipofectamine™ 3000 (Invitrogen, L3000015). 36 h post transfection, the Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured on the LumiPro luminescence detector (Lu-2021-C001) using the DLRA kit (Vazyme, DL101-01). Experiments were conducted in at least three biological replicates.

Establishment of PCCB knockdown and control hiPSCs

We used CRISPRi to establish *PCCB* knockdown and control hiPSCs. Two gRNA sequences targeting *PCCB* (*PCCB*-G1, 5'-GCATTACGGG TGGCGGCGGT-3'; *PCCB*-G2, 5'-GCGTACTCAGGTGCGCCGGT-3') were designed using the online tool CRISPR-ERA (http://crispr-era.stanford. edu/). The *PCCB*-targeting gRNA or control gRNA sequence (5'-GCGCCAAACGTGCCCTGACGG-3')⁵⁰ were synthesized and cloned into the lentiviral vector pLV-hU6-sgRNA-hUbC-dCas9-KRAB-T2a-Puro. The constructed vectors were then used for lentiviral package (ObiO Technoliges, China). For viral infection in hiPSCs, when cell confluence reached 40–50% in 12-well plate, cells were cultured in 0.6 mL medium and incubated with the lentiviruses for 24 h. The culture medium was fully replaced in the next day. 48 h post viral infection, cells were treated with 0.5–1µg/mL puromycin for 3–7 days to kill cells that were not infected by the lentiviruses. *PCCB* knockdown efficiency was confirmed by RT-qPCR analysis.

RT-qPCR analysis

Total RNA was used to generate complementary DNA using HiScript III RT SuperMix for qPCR (+gDNA wiper) (Vazyme, R323-01). RT-qPCR assay was performed using ChamQ SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Q711-02) on the Real-Time PCR System (Roche, LightCycler 480 II). GAPDH was used as the internal reference gene. At least three technical replicates were used in the RT-qPCR analysis. RT-qPCR primers are provided in Supplementary Data 4.

Generation of hFOs

The established PCCB knockdown and control hiPSCs were used to generate hFOs using the STEMdiff Dorsal Forebrain Organoid Differentiation Kit (STEMCELL Technologies, 08620) based on the manufacturer's instructions with some modifications. Briefly, hiPSCs were dissociated into single cells using Accutase solution (Sigma-Aldrich, A6964) on day 0. 1×10^4 cells per well were then plated into 96-well round-bottom ultra-low attachment plate (Corning, 7007) and fed with 50 µL Forebrain Organoid Formation Medium supplemented with 1x penicillin/streptomycin and 10 µM Y27632 (Selleck, SCM075). On day 3, each well was gently added with 50 µL fresh Forebrain Organoid Formation Medium without Y27632. On day 6, the medium was replaced with the Forebrain Organoid Expansion Medium. On day 25, the Forebrain Organoid Expansion Medium was replaced with the Forebrain Organoid Differentiation Medium. From day 43, organoids were cultured in the Forebrain Organoid Maintenance Medium. hFOs were characterized using immunostaining analysis.

Immunostaining analysis

hFOs were sectioned at a thickness of 16 μm . hFOs sections were treated with 1% Triton X-100 for 30 mins. After washing with PBS three

times, hFOs sections were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (Solarbio, A8020) for 1 h at 37 °C. The hFOs sections were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. After washing with PBS three times, hFOs sections were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h and DAPI (10 µg/ml Invitrogen, D1306) for 5 mins at room temperature. Primary antibodies used in this study were mouse anti-Ki67 (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technologies, 9449), rabbit anti-FOXG1 (1:100, Abcam, ab18259), rabbit anti-TBR2 (1:800, Cell Signaling Technologies, 81493), mouse anti-SOX2 (1:200, Invitrogen, MA1-014), rabbit anti-PAX6 (1:100, Proteintech, 12323-1-AP), rabbit anti-MAP2 (1:200, Proteintech, 17490-1-AP), mouse anti-GAD67 (1:200, Abcam, ab26116) and mouse anti-DIX2 (1:200, Santa cruz, sc-393879). The secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat antimouse IgG (1:300, Abcam, ab150116), Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:200, Invitrogen, A-11012), Alexa Fluor 488conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:200, Invitrogen, A-11008), goat antimouse Cy3 (1:200, BOSTER, BA1031) and goat anti-mouse CoraLite488 (1:200, Proteintech, SA00013-1). All antibodies used in this study were also shown in Supplementary Data 4.

Bulk organoid RNA-seq and data analysis

Two hFOs from *PCCB* knockdown or control group were randomly selected and pooled together as one mixed sample. Five mixed samples in each group were used for total RNA extraction using the miR-Neasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, 217004). RNA quality was evaluated on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system. RNA samples with RNA integrity numbers over 7 were used for RNA-seq (150 bp, paired-end) on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system.

Raw RNA-seq data were filtered to get clean reads using FastQC (v0.20.0). The clean reads were aligned to the human genome hg38 using STAR (v2.7.9a). Gene expression quantification was conducted using RSEM (v1.3.0) based on Gencode v40 comprehensive gene annotation. The filterByExpr function in the edgeR package (v3.36.0) was used to filter out low-expression genes. The sva function in the SVA package (v3.42.0) was used to estimate batch effect and other artifacts. Differential gene expression analysis between the *PCCB* knockdown and control group was performed using the DEseq2 package (v1.34.0). *P* values were adjusted using the Benjamini–Hochberg method. To annotate the functions of DEGs, we used the online tool WebGestalt2019 (http://www.webgestalt.org/) to perform GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis.

PPI analysis

The STRING database (v11.5) (http://www.string-db.org/) was used to construct a high-confidence (interaction score > 0.7) PPI network for the *PCCB* knockdown-induced DEGs. Active interaction sources included text-mining, experiments, databases, coexpression, neighborhood, gene fusion, and co-occurrence. The PPI network was visualized using Cytoscape (v 3.9.1). CytoHubba⁵¹, a Cytoscape plugin, was used to explore hub nodes in the PPI network.

Metabolomic analysis of bulk hFOs

For metabolomic analysis, three hFOs (day 60) in *PCCB* knockdown or control group were randomly selected and pooled together as one mixed sample. At least three mixed samples in each group were then used for HM400 metabolomic analysis (Beijing Genomics Institute, China). Briefly, hFOs or quality control samples were lysed in 140 μ L 50% water/methanol solution. The lysate was centrifuged (18,000 g, 4 °C, 20 min) to get the supernatant. The supernatant was used for derivatization reaction and then centrifuged at 4000 g, 4 °C, 10 min. The supernatant was further used for high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometer (LC-MS/MS) analysis on the SCIEX QTRAP 6500 + LC-MS/MS system. Parameters of liquid chromatographic column were BEH C18 (2.1 mm×10 cm, 1.7 μ m, Waters).

Article

The parameter of mass spectrometry was ESI+/ESI-. Content of metabolites (μ mol/g) was quantified using the HMQuant software based on the formula (C*0.14/m), where C represents the calculated concentration (μ mol/L) and m represents the sample weight (mg). Two-tailed t-test was used to identify differential metabolites between *PCCB* knockdown and control hFOs. Functional annotation for the differentially expressed metabolites was performed using the online tool MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca/).

ATP assay

The ATP Assay Kit (Beyotime, S0026) was used to examine the effects of *PCCB* knockdown on ATP production in hFOs. At day 60, *PCCB* knockdown or control hFOs were washed twice with PBS and lysed with 200 μ L lysis buffer per well. The lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was used to measure ATP content on the LumiPro luminescence detector (Lu-2021-C001). Experiments were conducted in at least three biological replicates.

ROS assay

The ROS Assay Kit (Beyotime, S0033S) was used to measure ROS levels in *PCCB* knockdown and control hFOs. Briefly, hFOs (day 60, three hFOs were randomly pooled together as one mixed sample) were dissociated into single cells using Accutase. After centrifuging at 1500 rpm for 5 min, cells were resuspended with prewarmed serum-free DMEM-F12 medium. The collected cells were incubated with 10 μ M DCFH-DA fluorescent probes in serum-free DMEM-F12 for 20-30 min at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. 1 × 10⁴ cells were analyzed on the flow cytometry (BD FACSAria II). Experiments were conducted in at least four biological replicates.

ELISA assay

The ELISA kits (CAMILO, Nanjing, China) were used to measure SOCA (Cat No. 2H-KMLJh315292), succinic Acid (Cat No. 2H-KMLJh313077), α -KG (Cat No. 2H-KMLJh313735) and GABA (Cat No. 2H-KMLJh310295) levels in *PCCB* knockdown and control hFOs according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, protein was extracted from hFOs (day 60, two hFOs were randomly pooled together as one mixed sample) using RIPA buffer (Beyotime, P0013B) containing 1% protease inhibitor. The lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Vazyme, E112-01). The supernatant was used to detect SCOA, succinic Acid, α -KG and GABA. We also used ELISA to determine the GABA levels in *PCCB* knockdown hFOs after incubating the hFOs with 10 µg/mL exogenous α -KG⁵² for 3 h at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Experiments were conducted in at least four biological replicates.

MEA assay

We used an MEA assay to evaluate the effects of *PCCB* knockdown on electrophysiological properties in hFOs. Briefly, hFOs were cultured in the Matrigel-coated 24-well MEA plate with one organoid seeded in each well. After 7 days of culture, electro activities were recorded using the Axion Biosystems for 7 days. Each recording duration was 5 min. The MEA data including the number of spikes, burst frequency, and network synchronization were analyzed using the software Axis Navigator 3.6.2.2.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 9.0.0 and shown as Mean \pm SEM. A two-tailed t-test was used to assess the difference between two groups. The hypergeometric test was used to assess the enrichment of *PCCB* knockdown-induced DEGs with SCZ-related gene sets. *P* values in the differential gene expression analysis were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg method. For all statistical analyses, a *P* value less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

RNA-seq data generated in this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession code GSE226233. Because the raw data of the MEA and metabolomic data are huge and presented in highly diverse nature and formats, these raw data are available on request from the corresponding authors. All the other data associated with this study are shown in the manuscript, Supplementary Information, and Source Data file. Source data are provided with this paper. Public database: STRING (https://cn.string-db.org/), WebGestalt (https://www.webgestalt.org/option.php), MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (https:// www.metaboanalyst.ca/). Source data are provided with this paper.

References

- 1. Hilker, R. et al. Heritability of Schizophrenia and Schizophrenia Spectrum Based on the Nationwide Danish Twin Register. *Biol. Psychiatry* **83**, 492–498 (2018).
- 2. Trubetskoy, V. et al. Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature* **604**, 502–508 (2022).
- 3. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics C. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* **511**, 421–427 (2014).
- Maurano, M. T. et al. Systematic Localization of Common Disease-Associated Variation in Regulatory DNA. Science 337, 1190 (2012).
- 5. Degner, J. F. et al. DNasel sensitivity QTLs are a major determinant of human expression variation. *Nature* **482**, 390–394 (2012).
- Huo, Y., Li, S., Liu, J., Li, X. & Luo, X. J. Functional genomics reveal gene regulatory mechanisms underlying schizophrenia risk. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 670 (2019).
- Boyle, E. A., Li, Y. I. & Pritchard, J. K. An Expanded View of Complex Traits: From Polygenic to Omnigenic. *Cell* 169, 1177–1186 (2017).
- 8. Gusev, A. et al. Transcriptome-wide association study of schizophrenia and chromatin activity yields mechanistic disease insights. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 538–548 (2018).
- Hall, L. S. et al. A transcriptome-wide association study implicates specific pre- and post-synaptic abnormalities in schizophrenia. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 29, 159–167 (2020).
- Gandal, M. J. et al. Transcriptome-wide isoform-level dysregulation in ASD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. Science 362, eaat8127 (2018).
- Huckins, L. M. et al. Gene expression imputation across multiple brain regions provides insights into schizophrenia risk. *Nat. Genet.* 51, 659–674 (2019).
- Li, Z. et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies 30 new susceptibility loci for schizophrenia. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 1576–1583 (2017).
- Yang, Z. et al. The genome-wide risk alleles for psychiatric disorders at 3p21.1 show convergent effects on mRNA expression, cognitive function, and mushroom dendritic spine. *Mol. Psychiatry* 25, 48–66 (2020).
- Wu, Y., Yu, X. L., Xiao, X., Li, M. & Li, Y. Joint-Tissue Integrative Analysis Identified Hundreds of Schizophrenia Risk Genes. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 59, 107–116 (2022).
- Zhou, D. et al. A unified framework for joint-tissue transcriptomewide association and Mendelian randomization analysis. *Nat. Genet.* 52, 1239–1246 (2020).
- Chapman, K. A. et al. Propionyl-CoA carboxylase pcca-1 and pccb-1 gene deletions in Caenorhabditis elegans globally impair mitochondrial energy metabolism. *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* 41, 157–168 (2018).

- 17. Stathopoulos, S. et al. DNA Methylation Associated with Mitochondrial Dysfunction in a South African Autism Spectrum Disorder Cohort. *Autism Res.* **13**, 1079–1093 (2020).
- Lancaster, M. A. et al. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly. *Nature* 501, 373–379 (2013).
- Goncalves, V. F. et al. A Comprehensive Analysis of Nuclear-Encoded Mitochondrial Genes in Schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 83, 780–789 (2018).
- 20. Wu, Y., Yao, Y. G. & Luo, X. J. SZDB: A Database for Schizophrenia Genetic Research. Schizophr. Bull. **43**, 459–471 (2017).
- Fromer, M. et al. Gene expression elucidates functional impact of polygenic risk for schizophrenia. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 1442–1453 (2016).
- 22. Psych, E. C. et al. The PsychENCODE project. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 1707–1712 (2015).
- 23. Kundaje, A. et al. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* **518**, 317–330 (2015).
- Consortium GT. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. Science 369, 1318–1330 (2020).
- 25. Jaffe, A. E. et al. Developmental and genetic regulation of the human cortex transcriptome illuminate schizophrenia pathogenesis. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1117–1125 (2018).
- Liao, Y., Wang, J., Jaehnig, E. J., Shi, Z. & Zhang, B. WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, W199–W205 (2019).
- Kathuria, A. et al. Transcriptomic Landscape and Functional Characterization of Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Cerebral Organoids in Schizophrenia. JAMA Psychiatry 77, 745–754 (2020).
- Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1826 (2017).
- Jiang, H., Rao, K. S., Yee, V. C. & Kraus, J. P. Characterization of four variant forms of human propionyl-CoA carboxylase expressed in Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 27719–27727 (2005).
- Morland, C. et al. Propionate enters GABAergic neurons, inhibits GABA transaminase, causes GABA accumulation and lethargy in a model of propionic acidemia. *Biochem. J.* 475, 749–758 (2018).
- Kim, K. & Yoon, H. Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Signaling in Damage Response, Metabolism, and Disease. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24, 4584 (2023).
- 32. McCormick, D. A. GABA as an inhibitory neurotransmitter in human cerebral cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **62**, 1018–1027 (1989).
- 33. Uhlhaas, P. J. & Singer, W. Abnormal neural oscillations and synchrony in schizophrenia. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **11**, 100–113 (2010).
- Ugarte, M. et al. Overview of mutations in the PCCA and PCCB genes causing propionic acidemia. *Hum. Mutat.* 14, 275–282 (1999).
- 35. Witters, P. et al. Autism in patients with propionic acidemia. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* **119**, 317–321 (2016).
- Schreiber, J. et al. Neurologic considerations in propionic acidemia. Mol. Genet. Metab. 105, 10–15 (2012).
- Cao, L. X. et al. Neuropathological report of propionic acidemia. Neuropathology. 43, 143–150 (2022).
- Jahangir, M., Zhou, J. S., Lang, B. & Wang, X. P. GABAergic System Dysfunction and Challenges in Schizophrenia Research. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 663854 (2021).
- Marques, T. R. et al. GABA-A receptor differences in schizophrenia: a positron emission tomography study using [(11)C]Ro154513. *Mol. Psychiatry*. 26, 2616–2625 (2021).
- Schmidt, M. J. & Mirnics, K. Neurodevelopment, GABA system dysfunction, and schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 40, 190–206 (2015).

- 41. Bown, A. W. & Shelp, B. J. Does the GABA Shunt Regulate Cytosolic GABA? Trend Plant. Sci. **25**, 422–424 (2020).
- 42. Kanellopoulos, A. K. et al. Aralar Sequesters GABA into Hyperactive Mitochondria, Causing Social Behavior Deficits. *Cell* **180**, 1178–1197 e1120 (2020).
- 43. Oraki Kohshour, M. et al. Association between mitochondria-related genes and cognitive performance in the PsyCourse Study. J. Affect. Disord. **325**, 1–6 (2023).
- 44. Li, J. et al. Mitochondrial deficits in human iPSC-derived neurons from patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and schizophrenia. *Transl. Psychiatry* **9**, 302 (2019).
- 45. Li, X. et al. The effect of serum lipids and short-chain fatty acids on cognitive functioning in drug-naive, first episode schizophrenia patients. *Psychiatry Res.* **313**, 114582 (2022).
- Zhu, F. et al. Role of short-chain fatty acids in the gut-brain axis in schizophrenia: contribution to immune activation and pathophysiology in humans and mice. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2004.2011.021915 (2020). https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.11. 021915v1.
- Wang, D. et al. Comprehensive functional genomic resource and integrative model for the human brain. *Science* 362, eaat8464 (2018).
- 48. Meng, Q. et al. Integrative analyses prioritize GNL3 as a risk gene for bipolar disorder. *Mol. Psychiatry* **25**, 2672–2684 (2020).
- Meng, Q. et al. Human forebrain organoids reveal connections between valproic acid exposure and autism risk. *Transl. Psychiatry* 12, 130 (2022).
- 50. Gilbert, L. A. et al. Genome-Scale CRISPR-Mediated Control of Gene Repression and Activation. *Cell* **159**, 647–661 (2014).
- Chin, C. H. et al. cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. *BMC Syst. Biol.* 8, S11 (2014).
- 52. Glaros, T. et al. Discovery of treatment for nerve agents targeting a new metabolic pathway. *Arch. Toxicol.* **94**, 3249–3264 (2020).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 82171504, 82022024, 31970572, and 81901359), the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (No. 2022JJ20035), the Science and Technology Innovation Program of Hunan Province (2022RC1214), the Innovation-Driven Project of Central South University (No. 2020CX003), and the National Institute of Health (No. 1U01MH116489). ATAC-seq data were generated as part of the PsychENCODE Consortium (U01MH103392, U01MH103365, U01MH103346, U01MH103340, U01MH103339, R21MH109956, R21MH105881, R21MH 105853, R21MH103877, R21MH102791, R01MH11721, R01MH110928, R01MH10927, R01MH110926, R01MH110921, R01MH110920, R01MH 110905, R01MH109715, R01MH109677, R01MH105898, R01MH105898, R01MH094714, and P50MH106934).

Author contributions

Q.M., C.C., and C.L. supervised the overall study, as well as guided the procedures and analyses. Q.M. and W.Z. wrote the manuscript. W.Z. did the CRISPRi experiment and organoid culture, as well as the mitochondrial function assay, ELISA, and MEA assay. M.Z. and H.Y. performed the differential gene expression analysis. Z.X., and H.W. performed the metabolomic and RT-qPCR analysis. B.T., C.C., C.L., J.J., and J.W. revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40861-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Chunyu Liu, Chao Chen or Qingtuan Meng.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Matthew Girgenti and the other, anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023

¹Center for Medical Genetics & Hunan Key Laboratory of Medical Genetics, School of Life Sciences, and Department of Psychiatry, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, 410008 Changsha, Hunan, China. ²The First Affiliated Hospital, Multi-Omics Research Center for Brain Disorders, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, 421000 Hengyang, Hunan, China. ³The First Affiliated Hospital, Clinical Research Center for Immune-Related Encephalopathy of Hunan Province, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, 421000 Hengyang, Hunan, China. ⁴The First Affiliated Hospital, Department of Neurology, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, 421000 Hengyang, Hunan, China. ⁵Department of Neurology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, 410008 Changsha, Hunan, China. ⁶National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, 410008 Changsha, Hunan, China. ⁷Department of Psychiatry, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA. ⁸Hunan Key Laboratory of Animal Models for Human Diseases, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410008, China. ⁹Hunan Key Laboratory of Molecular Precision Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410008, China. ¹⁰MOE Key Lab of Rare Pediatric Diseases & School of Life Sciences, University of South China, 421001 Hengyang, Hunan, China. ^{Semanl}: liuch@upstate.edu; chenchao@skImg.edu.cn; mengqingtuan@glmc.edu.cn