nature communications

Article

Identification of risk loci for primary aldosteronism in genome-wide association studies

Received: 27 May 2021

Accepted: 23 August 2022

Published online: 03 September 2022

Check for updates

Edith Le Floch^{1,22}, Teresa Cosentino^{2,22}, Casper K. Larsen², Felix Beuschlein ^{3,4}, Martin Reincke ³, Laurence Amar^{2,5}, Gian-Paolo Rossi⁶, Kelly De Sousa², Stéphanie Baron^{7,8}, Sophie Chantalat¹, Benjamin Saintpierre⁹, Livia Lenzini⁶, Arthur Frouin¹, Isabelle Giscos-Douriez², Matthis Ferey², Alaa B. Abdellatif², Tchao Meatchi^{2,10}, Jean-Philippe Empana², Xavier Jouven^{2,11}, Christian Gieger ^{12,13,14}, Melanie Waldenberger ^{12,13,15}, Annette Peters ^{13,14,15}, Daniele Cusi^{16,17}, Erika Salvi ¹⁸, Pierre Meneton¹⁹, Mathilde Touvier²⁰, Mélanie Deschasaux²⁰, Nathalie Druesne-Pecollo²⁰, Sheerazed Boulkroun ⁹², Fabio L. Fernandes-Rosa ⁹², Jean-François Deleuze ⁹¹, Xavier Jeunemaitre ^{9,2,21} & Maria-Christina Zennaro ^{9,2,21}

Primary aldosteronism affects up to 10% of hypertensive patients and is responsible for treatment resistance and increased cardiovascular risk. Here we perform a genome-wide association study in a discovery cohort of 562 cases and 950 controls and identify three main loci on chromosomes 1, 13 and X; associations on chromosome 1 and 13 are replicated in a second cohort and confirmed by a meta-analysis involving 1162 cases and 3296 controls. The association on chromosome 13 is specific to men and stronger in bilateral adrenal hyperplasia than aldosterone producing adenoma. Candidate genes located within the two loci, *CASZ1* and *RXFP2*, are expressed in human and mouse adrenals in different cell clusters. Their overexpression in adrenocortical cells suppresses mineralocorticoid output under basal and stimulated conditions, without affecting cortisol biosynthesis. Our study identifies the first risk loci for primary aldosteronism and highlights new mechanisms for the development of aldosterone excess.

High blood pressure is the leading global contributor to premature death, accounting for more than 10 million deaths and over 200 million disability-adjusted life years^{1,2}. Remarkably, each increment of 20 mmHg in SBP is associated with about a twofold difference in age-specific mortality rates from stroke, ischemic heart disease, and other vascular causes³. Despite this ample evidence, in two thirds of patients, blood pressure is not controlled sufficiently to reach accepted targets⁴. Detection of secondary forms of hypertension is key to targeted management and prevention of cardiovascular complications. PA is the most common form of secondary and curable hypertension, with a prevalence of 5% in primary care and up to 10% in referred patients^{5,6}; its prevalence increases with severity of hypertension and reaches up

to 20% in patients with resistant hypertension⁷. PA is due to autonomous and excessive aldosterone production from the adrenal cortex, due to an aldosterone producing adenoma (APA), which can be treated by unilateral adrenalectomy, or bilateral adrenal hyperplasia (BAH)⁸. Patients are diagnosed based on a clinical case finding with hypertension and an increased aldosterone to renin ratio (ARR), often associated with hypokalemia. PA is associated with a risk of cardiovascular complications, in particular stroke, coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, and heart failure that exceeds that of patients with essential hypertension⁹. Due to the complexity of the work-up, the diagnosis of PA is frequently overlooked and consequently treatment of the condition is either not initiated or delayed by several years after

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper. 🖂 e-mail: maria-christina.zennaro@inserm.fr

hypertension onset, when cardiovascular complications are established.

There is growing evidence for inappropriate aldosterone production playing a role in a larger subset of patients with hypertension. Plasma aldosterone and renin levels and the ARR correlate with increased blood pressure and increased incidence of hypertension over time in the general population¹⁰⁻¹². Recent data show that 24hurinary aldosterone levels following an oral sodium suppression test were continuously increased throughout blood pressure categories, suggesting a continuum of renin-independent aldosterone production (and eventually undetected PA) in patients with hypertension, which parallels the severity of hypertension and may play a role in the development of high blood pressure in the general population¹³.

Mutations in genes coding for ion channels (*KCN*/5¹⁴, *CACNA1D*^{15,16}, *CACNA1H*^{17,18}, *CLCN2*^{19,20}), and ATPases (*ATP1A1* and *ATP2B3*,^{15,21}), have been identified in a majority of APA^{22,23} and in Mendelian forms of PA²⁴. All these genes regulate intracellular ion homeostasis and/or plasma membrane potential, and mutations lead to increased intracellular calcium signaling, which is the main pathway regulating aldosterone biosynthesis²⁵. Additional genes have been involved in APA presenting in puberty, pregnancy or menopause²⁶. However, the causes underlying a large proportion of cases of PA are still unknown and the existence of common mechanisms involved in the development of APA and BAH has been evoked²⁷.

Here, we hypothesize that subtle genetic variation may predispose to the development of PA. To identify genomic loci that may confer an increased susceptibility of developing PA, we conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) in a discovery set of 562 patients with PA and 950 controls. We identify three loci on chromosomes 1, 13, and X at a genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), as well as a fourth locus on chromosome 11 at suggestive significance ($P < 10^{-6}$); associations on chromosome 1, 11, and 13 are replicated in a second cohort and confirmed by a global meta-analysis involving 1162 cases and 3296 controls. The association on chromosome 13 is specific to men and stronger in BAH than in APA in subtype analyses. Candidate genes located within the two main loci, *CASZI* and *RXFP2*, are expressed in human and mouse adrenals in different cell clusters and their overexpression in adrenocortical cells significantly modifies mineralocorticoid output under basal and stimulated conditions.

Results

GWAS reveals major loci for PA

We conducted an initial discovery GWAS on a French dataset. We analyzed 562 PA cases (223 women and 339 men) from the Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou (HEGP) and 950 controls from the Paris Prospective Study III (PPS3) (311 women and 639 men) for ~680,000 genotyped Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) (Supplementary Table 1). After a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, three loci showed a genome-wide significant association ($P < 7.36 \times 10^{-8}$) (Fig. 1, Table 1 and Supplementary Data 1). The strongest association was observed on chromosome X around 43.85 Mb (lead SNP rs5905587: odds ratio (OR) = 1.596: $P = 7.79 \times 10^{-12}$). The second genome-wide significant locus was on chromosome 13 around 32.11 Mb (lead SNP rs1535532: OR = 1.658; $P = 5.76 \times 10^{-10}$). Finally, the third locus was identified on chromosome 1 around 10.79 Mb (lead SNP rs284277: OR = 1.618; $P = 3.22 \times 10^{-9}$). We then investigated SNPs with suggestive evidence of association with a p value $< 10^{-6}$ and identified a fourth locus on chromosome 11 around 1.88 Mb (lead SNP rs2137320: OR = 1.514; $P = 1.92 \times 10^{-7}$) (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Data 1).

The same analyses were stratified for sex (Fig. 1 and Table 1). In men, the three loci on chromosome 1, 13, and X were found to be genome-wide significant, especially the locus on chromosome 13 (lead SNP rs1535532: OR = 2.152; $P = 1.31 \times 10^{-12}$) (Fig. 1c, d and Table 1), while no suggestive association was found for the fourth locus on chromosome 11. In women, none of the four loci were significantly associated

with PA; however, a fifth locus was identified on chromosome 1 around 48.52 Mb that was genome-wide significant (rs6679531: OR = 2.139; $P = 5.25 \times 10^{-8}$), as well as a sixth locus with suggestive evidence of association on chromosome 13 around 70.1 Mb (rs569016: OR = 2.208; $P = 7.38 \times 10^{-7}$) (Fig. 1e, f and Supplementary Data 1).

We further performed a GWAS for each subtype of PA, APA and BAH (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Table 1). One individual not assigned to a subtype was removed. We first compared the 321 APA cases (148 women and 173 men) to the 950 PPS3 controls and found a genome-wide significant association for the locus on chromosome 11 (lead SNP rs2137320: 1.741; $P = 1.18 \times 10^{-8}$) that had shown suggestive evidence of association with all PA cases. Moreover, the loci on chromosomes 1 and X that showed a significant association with PA in the entire discovery cohort, showed also evidence of association with APA (Supplementary Data 1). Similarly, we compared the 240 BAH cases (74 women and 166 men) to the 950 PPS3 controls and found genome-wide significant associations for two of the three loci significantly associated with all PA cases, namely the locus on chromosome 13 (lead SNP rs1535532: OR = 1.981; $P = 3.88 \times 10^{-9}$, Table 1) and the locus on chromosome X (rs1005002: OR = 1.648; $P = 5.99 \times 10^{-8}$, Supplementary Data 1).

In total, 25 variants that are distributed over six loci were identified with an association at $P < 10^{-6}$ in the discovery GWAS, in the analysis of the full discovery dataset and/or in one stratified analysis (men, women, APA or BAH) (Table 1 and Supplementary Data 1). Five of these loci (chromosome 1, lead SNP rs284277; chromosome 1, lead SNP rs6679531; chromosome 11, lead SNP rs2137320; chromosome 13, lead SNP rs1535532; and chromosome X, lead SNP rs5905587) showed a genome-wide significant association in the analysis of the full discovery dataset and/or in one stratified analysis.

To perform high-resolution mapping of association signals, we performed imputation on the discovery cohort. All previous significant and suggestive association signals were confirmed, but no additional significantly associated locus was observed at a genome-wide significance level of 5×10^{-8} (Supplementary Data 2 and Supplementary Fig. 2). Conditional analysis on the six loci did not reveal any significantly independent signal in the discovery cohort or in a stratified analysis, except for the locus on chromosome 11 where two independent suggestive associations for the entire cohort were identified (Supplementary Data 2 and Supplementary Fig. 2).

Heterogeneity analyses to assess the association differences observed between men and women revealed that the locus on chromosome 13 (lead SNP rs1535532) associated with PA in men only showed a significant heterogeneous association between men and women ($P = 1.61 \times 10^{-4}$). Heterogeneity was also observed for the two loci associated with PA in women only on chromosomes 1 (lead SNP rs6679531: $P = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$) and 13 (lead SNP rs569016: $P = 1.73 \times 10^{-5}$) (Supplementary Table 2). In contrast, heterogeneity analyses did not confirm differences between APA and BAH in the discovery cohort (Supplementary Table 3).

Among the genotyped and imputed variants identified, 16 were available after genotyping in the German dataset and were tested for association to replicate suggestive loci. We analyzed 399 PA cases (255 men and 144 women) and 1847 KORA controls (916 men and 931 women). Three of the four significant/suggestive loci identified in the discovery cohort with all PA cases (on chromosomes 1, 11, and 13) were confirmed with a significant association in the German dataset, after Bonferroni correction for the 16 variants tested (P < 0.0031). In contrast, the fourth locus on chromosome X with a significant association in the discovery cohort did not show a significant association in the German dataset (lead SNP rs1005002: OR = 1.178; P = 0.0214) (Table 2 and Supplementary Data 3). For men, two of the three significant loci in the discovery cohort were significantly associated with PA: the locus on chromosomes 1 (lead SNP rs284277) and especially the locus on chromosome 13 (lead SNP rs1535532: OR = 2.05; $P = 3.36 \times 10^{-9}$), while the association of the locus on chromosome X was not replicated




Fig. 1 | **Results of the discovery-stage genome-wide association study.** Manhattan plots (**a**, **c**, **e**) and quantile-quantile (QQ) plots (**b**, **d**, **f**) showing results for the entire discovery cohort (**a**, **b**) and subanalyses for men (**c**, **d**) and women (**e**, **f**). For the entire discovery cohort, three loci with genome-wide significance $(P < 7.36 \times 10^{-8})$ on chromosome 1, 13, and X and a fourth locus on chromosome 11

with suggestive evidence (*p* value < 10^{-6}) of association were identified (**a**). For men, the three loci on chromosome 1, 13, and X reached genome-wide significance (**b**). For women, a fifth locus on chromosome 1 around 48.52 Mb was identified at genome-wide significance and a sixth locus with suggestive evidence of association on chromosome 13 around 70.1 Mb (**c**).

https://doi.org	/10.1038/s4	1467-022-32896-8
-----------------	-------------	------------------

(Supplementary Table 4). The significant association on chromosome 1 and the suggestive one on chromosome 13 that were specific to women in the discovery cohort (lead SNPs rs6679531 and rs1535532 respectively) were not replicated among German women. In contrast, the locus on chromosome 1 (lead SNP rs284277) that had shown a significant association in the whole discovery cohort and in men, but not in women, was significantly associated with PA in German women (Supplementary Table 5). Heterogeneity analysis confirmed that the locus associated with PA in men on chromosome 13 (with lead SNP rs1535532) showed a very significantly heterogeneous association in the German cohort between men and women ($P=3.48 \times 10^{-7}$) (Supplementary Table 2).

We then compared the 214 German APA cases (135 men and 79 women) to 1847 KORA controls and found two significant associations for the locus on chromosome 1 that had shown a suggestive association with APA in the discovery cohort (lead SNP rs284277) and for the locus on chromosome 13 that had shown a significant association with all PA cases in the discovery cohort (lead SNP rs1535532) (Supplementary Table 6). Moreover, the locus on chromosome 11 identified with a significant association with APA in the discovery cohort showed an association with APA close to the replication significance level in the German dataset (lead SNP rs2137320: OR = 1.356: P = 0.00615), while the suggestive association on chromosome X with APA in the discovery cohort was not replicated. Similarly, we compared the 135 German BAH cases (91 men and 44 women) and 1847 KORA controls and replicated the significant association with the locus on chromosome 13 (lead SNP rs1535532), while the other significant association on chromosome X in the discovery cohort was not replicated (Supplementary Table 7).

Very similar results were obtained by a meta-analysis combining this German replication dataset and two smaller replication datasets (an Italian dataset, with 107 patients (76 APA, 31 BAH) and 300 normotensive population controls and a second French dataset, with 94 patients (64 APA, 30 BAH) and 199 SUVIMAX controls) (Supplementary Tables 4–9 and Supplementary Data 3). Interestingly, the significant association of the locus on chromosome 11 (lead SNP rs2137320) with APA was replicated in this meta-analysis and a significant replication signal was also observed with PA in men and in women for this locus, while in the German cohort the association was only replicated with the entire dataset.

In conclusion, the significant/suggestive associations of three loci (chromosome 1, lead SNP rs284277; chromosome 11, lead SNP rs2137320; and chromosome 13, lead SNP rs1535532) in the analysis of the full discovery dataset and/or in one stratified analysis were replicated in the German dataset and in the meta-analysis performed on the three replication cohorts, while the associations of the locus on chromosome X and of the two women-specific loci in the discovery cohort were not.

Finally, in order to combine the results obtained in the discovery and replication datasets, we performed a global meta-analysis, using the four cohorts (Table 2 and Supplementary Tables 10 and 11). Among the 26 variants showing an association at $P < 10^{-6}$ in our discovery GWAS, 18 variants were available in at least another dataset and thus considered in the global meta-analysis. Almost all the genome-wide significant associations observed in the discovery GWAS (either in the analysis of the full discovery dataset or in one stratified analysis) were confirmed by the global meta-analysis (P $< 7.36 \times 10^{-8}$), both with the fixed-effect and the random-effect models (Table 2 and Supplementary Tables 10 and 11). The two exceptions were the association of the locus on chromosome X, which only reached a suggestive significance level for BAH in the global meta-analysis (lead SNP rs1005002; OR = 1.432; $P = 1.91 \times 10^{-7}$ with the random-effect model) but remained significant for all PA and in men, and the association observed in women on chromosome 1 (lead SNP rs6679531), which was already the least significant

able 1 | Genome-wide significant associations of SNPs with PA in the discovery cohort

						AII	Men		Women		APA		BAH	
SNP	chr	chr position A1/A2 Freq OR (CI)	A1/A2	Freq	OR (CI)	P value	OR (CI)	P value	OR (CI)	P value	OR (CI)	P value	OR (CI)	P value
rs284277 1	-	10790797	C/A	0.38	10790797 C/A 0.38 1.62 (1.38–1.9) 3.22E-C	3.22E-09**	09** 1.8 (1.47–2.21)	1.09E-08**	1.09E-08** 1.35 (1.04–1.76) 0.0252	0.0252	1.6 (1.33–1.94) 1.22E-06	1.22E-06	1.61 (1.3–1.99)	1.28E-05
rs6679531 1	-	48524180	C/T	0.36	48524180 C/T 0.36 1.41 (1.2-1.65) 3.28E-0	3.28E-05	1.1 (0.9–1.35)	0.350	2.14 (1.63–2.81)	5.25E-08**	2.14 (1.63–2.81) 5.25E-08** 1.41 (1.16–1.71) 0.000613 1.41 (1.14–1.75)	0.000613	1.41 (1.14–1.75)	0.00188
rs2137320	11	1884342	A/G	0.42	-s2137320 11 1884342 A/G 0.42 1.51 (1.29-1.77) 1.92E-07*	1.92E-07*	1.36 (1.12–1.66) 0.00237	0.00237	1.82 (1.41–2.37)	6.04E-06	1.82 (1.41–2.37) 6.04E-06 1.74 (1.44–2.11) 1.18E-08** 1.3 (1.05–1.61)	1.18E-08**	1.3 (1.05–1.61)	0.0159
rs1535532	13	32114398	T/C	0.63	s1535532 13 32114398 T/C 0.63 1.66 (1.41-1.95) 5.76E-1	5.76E-10**	10** 2.15 (1.74–2.66) 1.31E-12** 1.13 (0.87–1.46) 0.360	1.31E-12**	1.13 (0.87–1.46)	0.360	1.46 (1.21–1.77)	0.000107	1.46 (1.21-1.77) 0.000107 1.98 (1.58-2.49) 3.88E-09**	3.88E-09**
rs5905587	×	43833996	C/T	0.64	1.6 (1.4–1.82)	7.79E-12**	1.59 (1.37–1.86)	2.31E-09**	1.67 (1.26–2.21)	0.000382	1.56 (1.32–1.84)	1.89E-07*	·s5905587 X 43833996 C/T 0.64 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.59 (1.37-1.86) 2.31E-09** 1.67 (1.26-2.21) 0.000382 1.56 (1.32-1.84) 1.89E-07* 1.66 (1.38-1.99) 8.69E-08*	8.69E-08*
Lead variants w Bonferroni corr	ith a sign ection (P	ificant or a sugge < 7.36 × 10 ⁻⁸) indi	stive associ cated in bol	ation with Id, *sugge	Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at Bonferroni correction (P < 7,36 × 10 ⁻³) indicated in bold. *suggestive association (P < 10 ⁻⁶): position: genome build 37.	full discovery coh '0 ⁻⁶): position: gei	iort and/or in at least or nome build 37.	ne stratified analy:	is are presented. Assc	ciations were test	ted using a logistic reg	ression model in	-cad variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis are presented. Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. **significant association after a sociation of -7.38 × 10 ⁻⁹ in dicated in PLINK 1.9. **significant association after a sociation of -7.38 × 10 ⁻⁹ indicated in PLINK 1.9. **significant association after a sociation of -7.38 × 10 ⁻⁹ indicated in PLINK 1.9. **significant association after a sociation of -7.38 × 10 ⁻⁹ indicated in PLINK 1.9. **significant association after a sociation of -7.38 × 10 ⁻⁹ indicated in PLINK 1.9. **significant association after a sociation of the sociation of th	association after

A1 risk allele, A2 second allele, Freg risk allele frequency, OR (CI) odds ratio (95% confidence interval)

NP chr position $1/A2$ #coh OR (95% CI) P value P val	OR (95% CI) P value OR (FE) (95% CI) P value (FE) P value (FE) <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Discovery cohort</th> <th>t</th> <th>German cohort</th> <th></th> <th>German + Italian + French#2 cohorts</th> <th>French#2 coh</th> <th>orts</th> <th>Global meta-analysis</th> <th>/sis</th> <th></th>						Discovery cohort	t	German cohort		German + Italian + French#2 cohorts	French#2 coh	orts	Global meta-analysis	/sis	
rs284277 1 10790797 C/A 3 1.62 (1.38-1.9) 3.21E-09** 1.42 (1.2-1.67) 3.96E-05** 1.34 (1.15-1.56) 1.6E-04** 0.000193** 1.47 (1.31-1.64) 9.12E-12** 3.60E-11** rs6679531 1 48524180 C/T 3 1.41 (1.2-1.65) 3.28E-05 1.14 (0.96-1.35) 0.133 1.16 (0.99-1.35) 0.00716 1.27 (1.14-1.42) 2.48E-05 4.24E-05 4.24E-01* 4.166 (1.41-1.45) 0.00103* 1.44 (1.3-1.6) 8.38E-12* 2.26E-11** 2.138 (1.29-1.59) 3.26E-11** 2.12E-11** 2.12E-11** 2.12E-11**<	rs284277 1 10790797 C/A 3 1.62 (1.38-1.9) 3.21E-09** 1.42 (1.2-1.67) 3.96E-05** 1.34 (1.15-1.56) 1.6E-04** 0.000193** 1.47 (1.31-1.64) 9.12E-12** 3.60E-11** rs6679531 1 48524180 C/T 3 1.41 (1.2-1.155) 0.133 1.16 (0.99-1.35) 0.0623 0.0716 1.27 (1.14-1.42) 2.48E-05 4.24E-05 rs2137320 11 1884342 A/G 4 1.51 (1.29-1.77) 1.92E-07* 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 0.00013** 1.38 (1.2-1.59) 7.08E-06** 9.59e-06** 1.44 (1.3-1.6) 8.78E-12** 3.57E-11** rs1535532 13 32114398 T/C 4 1.56 (1.4-1.85) 0.0715* 1.38 (1.2-1.59) 7.08E-06** 9.59e-06** 1.44 (1.3-1.6) 8.77E-11** rs1535532 13 32114398 T/C 4 1.66 (1.4-1.185) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.073* 1.43 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11** 2.12E-11** rs505587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.61 (.4-1.82)<	SNP	chr	position	A1/A2	#coh	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (FE) (95% CI)	P value (FE)	P value (RE)	OR (FE) (95% CI)	P value (FE)	P value (RE)
rs6679531 1 48524180 C/T 3 1.41 (1.2-1.6) 3.28E-05 1.14 (0.96-1.35) 0.133 1.16 (0.99-1.35) 0.1623 0.0716 1.27 (1.14-1.42) 2.48E-05 4.24E-05 4.24E-05 4.24E-05 4.24E-05 4.24E-05 4.24E-05 3.57E-11** 3.57F-11** rs2137320 11 1884342 A/G 4 1.51 (1.29-1.77) 1.92E-07* 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 0.00208** 1.38 (1.2-1.59) 7.08E-06** 1.44 (1.3-1.6) 8.78E-12** 3.57F-11** rs1535532 13 32114398 T/C 4 1.66 (1.41-1.95) 5.76E-10** 1.41 (1.18-1.67) 0.00105** 1.28 (1.1-1.47) 8.95E-04** 1.43 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11** 2.12E-11** r5505587 X 438333996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11** 2.12E-11** r5505587 X 438333996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.00436 0.00503 <	rs6679531 1 48524180 C/T 3 1.41 (1.2-1.65) 3.28E-05 1.14 (0.96-1.35) 0.133 1.16 (0.99-1.35) 0.00716 1.27 (1.14-1.42) 2.48E-05 4.24E-05 rs2137320 11 1884342 A/G 4 1.51 (1.29-1.77) 1.92E-07* 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 0.00008* 1.38 (1.2-1.59) 7.08E-06* 1.44 (1.3-1.6) 8.78E-12* 3.57E-11* rs1535532 13 32114398 T/C 4 1.66 (1.41-1.95) 5.76E-10* 1.41 (1.18-1.67) 0.00115* 1.28 (1.1-1.47) 8.95E-04* 1.43 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11* 2.12E-11* rs5905587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12* 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.0736 1.35 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11* 2.12E-11* rs5905587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12* 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.0746 0.00103* 1.35 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11* 2.12E-11* 2.12E-11* Lead variants with a significant or a sugnestine reareanalysis in the full discovery other analysis of the d	rs284277	-	10790797	C/A	e	1.62 (1.38–1.9)	3.21E-09**	1.42 (1.2–1.67)	3.96E-05**	1.34 (1.15–1.56)	1.6E-04**	0.000193**	1.47 (1.31–1.64)	9.12E-12**	3.60E-11**
rs2137320 11 1884342 A/G 4 1.51 (1.29-1.77) 1.92E-07* 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 0.00208** 1.38 (1.2-1.59) 7.08E-06** 9.59e-06** 1.44 (1.3-1.6) 8.78E-12** 3.57E-11** rs1535532 13 32114398 T/C 4 1.66 (1.41-1.95) 5.76E-10** 1.41 (1.18-1.67) 0.000115** 1.28 (1.1-1.47) 8.95E-04** 0.00103** 1.43 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11** 2.12E-11** rs5905587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.0785 1.15 (1-1.3) 0.00436 0.00503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12* Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis. 0.00436 0.00503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12* Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 19. The replication meta-analysis and the joint analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random effects model meta-analysis METASOFT. Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 19. The replication meta-analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-varianc	rs2137320 11 1884342 A/G 4 1.51 (1.29-1.77) 1.92E-07* 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 0.00208** 1.38 (1.2-1.59) 7.08E-06** 1.44 (1.3-1.6) 8.78E-12** 3.57E-11** rs153532 13 32114398 T/C 4 1.66 (1.41-1.95) 5.76E-10** 1.41 (1.18-1.67) 0.00015** 1.28 (1.1-1.47) 8.95E-04** 0.00103** 1.43 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11** 2.12E-11** rs5905587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.0785 1.15 (1-1.3) 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12** Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis. 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12** Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 19. The replication nate-analysis and the joint analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis us METASOFT. Association the full discovery cohort where the SNP is available, OR (95% C/) odds ratio (95% confidence interval), FE fixe	rs6679531	-	48524180	C/T	e	1.41 (1.2–1.65)	3.28E-05	1.14 (0.96-1.35)	0.133		0.0623	0.0716	1.27 (1.14–1.42)	2.48E-05	4.24E-05
rs1535532 13 32114398 T/C 4 1.66 (1.41-1.95) 5.76E-10** 1.41 (1.18-1.67) 0.000115** 1.28 (1.1-1.47) 8.95E-04** 0.00103** 1.43 (1.29-1.59) 3.95E-11** 2.12E-11** 2.12E-11** rs5905587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.0785 1.15 (1-1.3) 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12* Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis. 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12* Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 19. The replication meta-analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis METASOFT. A <	rsl53532132114398T/C41.66 (1.41-1.95)5.76E-10**1.41 (1.18-1.67)0.000115**1.28 (1.1-1.47)8.95E-04**0.00103**1.43 (1.29-1.59)3.95E-11**2.12E-11**rs5905587X43833996C/T31.6 (1.4-1.82)7.79E-12**1.13 (0.99-1.31)0.07851.15 (1-1.3)0.04360.05031.35 (1.23-1.48)4.75E-10**4.56E-12**Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one straified analysis.0.04360.05031.35 (1.23-1.48)4.75E-10**4.56E-12**Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis usMETASOFT.Astociations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis usMETASOFT.Astociations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis usMETASOFT.Astociations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis of 96% confidence interval). Ff fixed-effect model, RE random-effects model.MetaSoft.MetaBoft.Astociations were tested using a logistic regression model line PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis of 96%	rs2137320	=	1884342	A/G	4	1.51 (1.29–1.77)	1.92E-07*	1.31 (1.1–1.55)	0.00208**	1.38 (1.2–1.59)	7.08E-06**	9.59e-06**	1.44 (1.3–1.6)	8.78E-12**	3.57E-11**
rs5905587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4–1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99–1.31) 0.0785 1.15 (1–1.3) 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.23–1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12* Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis. Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis and the joint analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis.	rs5905587 X 43833996 C/T 3 1.6 (1.4-1.82) 7.79E-12** 1.13 (0.99-1.31) 0.0785 1.15 (1-1.3) 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12** Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis. 0.0436 0.0503 1.35 (1.23-1.48) 4.75E-10** 4.56E-12** Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis and the joint analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis us METASOFT. Arisk allele in the full discovery cohort, A2 second allele, #coh number of cohorts where the SNP is available, OR (95% confidence interval), Ff fixed-effect model, RF random-effect model.	rs1535532	13	32114398	T/C	4	1.66 (1.41–1.95)	5.76E-10**	1.41 (1.18–1.67)	0.000115**	1.28 (1.1–1.47)	8.95E-04**		1.43 (1.29–1.59)	3.95E-11**	2.12E-11**
Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis. Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis and the joint analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis METASOFT.	Lead variants with a significant or a suggestive association with the phenotype in the full discovery cohort and/or in at least one stratified analysis. Associations were tested using a logistic regression model in PLINK 1.9. The replication meta-analysis and the joint analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inverse-variance or a random-effects model meta-analysis us METASOFT. Arrisk allele in the full discovery cohort, A2 second allele, #coh number of cohorts where the SNP is available, OK (95% CI) odds ratio (95% confidence interval), FE fixed-effect model, RE random-effect model.	rs5905587	×	43833996	C/T	e	1.6 (1.4–1.82)	7.79E-12**	1.13 (0.99–1.31)	0.0785	1.15 (1–1.3)	0.0436	0.0503	1.35 (1.23–1.48)	4.75E-10**	4.56E-12**
METASOFI.	METASOFT. All clicovery cohort, A2 second allele, #coh number of cohorts where the SNP is available, OR (95% CJ) odds ratio (95% confidence interval), FE fixed-effect model, RE random-effect model.	Lead variants v Associations w	/ith a sig ere tester	nificant or a sug d using a logistic	ggestive as: c regression	sociation v n model in	with the phenotype in hPLINK 1.9. The replica	the full discover ition meta-analy:	ry cohort and/or in at sis and the joint analy	least one stratifie sis of the discover	ed analysis. ry and replication stage:	s were carried out	as a fixed effect inv	verse-variance or a rand	om-effects model I	meta-analysis us
	Alifisk aute in the rull discovery conort, Az second autele, #con humber of conorts where the SNP is available, UK (35 % Cu) odds ratio (35% confidence interval), r.E. tixed-effect model, AE random-effect model.	METASOFT.				- H - H - H						3				

**Significant association after Bonferroni correction (P < 7.30 * 10⁻⁸ for genotyped data and P < 5 × 10⁻⁶ for imputed data in the discovery cohort; P < 7.36 × 10⁻⁶ for the global meta-analysis; P < 0.0031 for the German replication metadiscoverv cohort (P < 10⁻¹ Italian and second French cohorts). association for genotyped analysis of the German, in the discovery cohort and did not even reach suggestive significance in the fixed-effect global meta-analysis model. Four of the five loci with a significant association in the full discovery dataset and/or in one stratified analysis (the three loci whose association was replicated in the replication datasets and the locus on chromosome X) were thus significantly associated in the global metaanalysis as well. The sixth locus located on chromosome 13, which showed suggestive evidence of association in the discovery cohort in the analysis restricted to women, also showed a suggestive association in the random-effect model (lead SNP: rs569016; OR = 1.356; $P = 3.61 \times 10^{-7}$). Finally, heterogeneity analysis confirmed that the locus associated with PA in men on chromosome 13 (lead SNP rs1535532) showed a very significantly heterogeneous association between men and women ($P = 5.68 \times 10^{-11}$) (Supplementary Table 2); this locus also showed a significant heterogeneity between APA and BAH in the global meta-analysis (lead SNP rs1535532: $P = 3.39 \times 10^{-3}$) (Supplementary Table 3).

Identification of potential genes associated with PA

Within the four main loci associated with PA at genome-wide significance level in the full discovery dataset and/or in one stratified analysis, a total of 51 protein coding genes are located within a 1 Mb interval around the identified variants (Fig. 2, and Supplementary Fig. 3). The lead SNP rs284277 on chromosome 1, as well as the other SNPs of the locus, cluster within the CASZ1 gene, while the locus on chromosome 13 (top SNP rs1535532) lies upstream of RXFP2 (Fig. 2a, b). On the X-chromosome, (top SNP rs5905587) SNPs are located within or in proximity to the NDP gene (Supplementary Figure 3a). On chromosome 11, rs2137320 is located within the LSP1 gene (Supplementary Fig. 3c). eQTL analysis using the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project showed that all SNPs on the locus on chromosome 13 are top eQTLs for RXFP2 in the adrenal gland and most variants on the X chromosome are eQTLs for NDP (Supplementary Table 12, Supplementary Data 4 and www.gtexportal.org). In addition, rs2137320 and rs509239 on chromosome 11 are sOTLs for LSP1, possibly modifying splicing patterns. Remarkably, all risk alleles on chromosome 13 are associated with an increased expression of RXFP2 in the adrenal gland (Supplementary Table 12 and Supplementary Data 4).

The other two loci identified exclusively in women in the discovery cohort are on chromosome 1 and 13. Four protein-coding genes are located within a 1 Mb interval on chromosome 1 around rs6679531 and two genes are located within 1 Mb from rs 569016 on chromosome 13 (Supplementary Fig. 3d, e). For none of those the identified SNPs are eQTL in GTEX, nor are those SNPs eQTLs for any other gene in the adrenal gland.

The SNPs at CASZ1 and SNPs in linkage disequilibrium with SNPs at the RXFP2 locus associate with different blood pressure related traits^{28,29} (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas). Similarly, several SNPs in linkage disequilibrium with the top SNP rs2137320 on chromosome 11 and a SNP in linkage disequilibrium with rs1005002 and rs5905587 on chromosome X have been associated with blood pressure^{30,31}. In addition. SNPs in CASZ1 have been associated with treatment resistant hypertension in the CHARGE consortium³². Recently, SNPs near CASZ1, LSP1 and RXFP2 have been associated with resistant hypertension in a genome-wide association study of 14,756 patients from Iceland, the UK Biobank and eMERGE³³. The risk alleles were associated with lower potassium levels and the effect on potassium predicted their association with resistant hypertension beyond their blood pressure effect. These results suggested an implication for aldosterone and the mineralocorticoid pathway in the pathogenesis of resistant hypertension. In this context it is noteworthy that the prevalence of PA increases to up to 20% in patients with resistant hypertension $^{34-36}$ and that it was the clinical presentation in 20-50% of the patients with PA submitted to adrenal vein sampling in the AVIS-2 Study³⁷.




Fig. 2 | **Genomic context of the association signals observed in the GWAS in the discovery cohort.** The regional association plots were generated using LocusZoom on imputed data and display surrounding genes (genome build 37). The two different loci on chromosome 1 (a) and chromosome 13 (b) confirmed in the meta-analysis are represented; dot color indicates linkage disequilibrium of each variant with the highlighted lead variant in common between discovery and replication cohorts (purple diamond). **c** Genome browser view of public ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq signals produced by the ENCODE consortium from adrenal gland tissue on chromosome 8 (region 142,810,559–143,017,843, hg38 build), chromosome 1

31,100,000–32,000,000). Top tracks (in green) are ATAC-seq signals from four donors (two females and two males). The lower tracks are ChIP-seq results from three different donors (one female and two males). For each sex, we show tracks corresponding to three histone marks, known to be associated with regulatory elements: H3K4me3 (blue), H3K27ac (purple), and H3K4me1 (orange). The black horizontal bar delimitates the regions in linkage disequilibrium, found associated with PA. Vertical dashed lines indicate the position of SNPs identified in this study.

(region 10,620,000-10,860,000) and chromosome 13 (region

Chromatin regulatory landscape of loci associated with PA and expression in adrenal gland

Given that the main loci associated with genome-wide significance with PA in the discovery cohort and replicated in the large German cohort are located on chromosome 1 and 13, we focused on those candidates for subsequent studies. The protein encoded by *CASZI* is a zinc finger transcription factor expressed in multiple tissues. Inactivation of CASZ1 in mice leads to abnormal heart development³⁸ and CASZ1 loss-of-function mutations associate with congenital heart disease³⁹. In addition, CASZ1 may also function as a tumor suppressor⁴⁰. Genes regulated by CASZ1 include *CACNA1D* and *KCNK3³⁸*, two channel genes known to be involved in human and mouse PA. In particular, gain of

function mutations in *CACNA1D* are found in APA and a rare syndromic form of PA¹⁶ and inactivation of *KCNK3* in mice leads to a phenotype of glucocorticoid suppressible aldosteronism⁴¹. In addition, it has recently been shown that CASZ1 is a corepressor of the transcriptional activity of the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR)⁴², which mediates aldosterone effects in target tissues and is also specifically expressed in the adrenal zona glomerulosa⁴³. Remarkably, *CASZ1* has recently been identified as a differentially expressed gene between aldosterone producing cell clusters (APCC) and zona glomerulosa cells in the adrenal cortex⁴⁴. APCC, also called aldosterone producing micronodules⁴⁵, are clusters of cells located in the zona glomerulosa, which express aldosterone synthase^{43,46}; APCC carry somatic mutations and are considered possible precursors of APA⁴⁴. RXFP2 encodes a G protein-coupled. 7-transmembrane receptor for the relaxin family peptide insulin-like peptide 3 (INSL3) that signals through $G\alpha s$ to increase cAMP⁴⁷, which acts as second messenger for several aldosterone secretagogues⁴⁸. RXFP2 controls testicular descent in mice and RXFP2 mutations have been associated with familial cryptorchidism⁴⁹. Knockdown of RXFP2 reduced *CYP17A1* expression and androstenedione production in cultured bovine theca cells⁵⁰, suggesting a possible role in steroid hormone biosynthesis. Publicly available RNA sequencing data (GTEx, Human Protein Atlas) show that, albeit being expressed mainly in the testis and epididymis and at lower levels in ovary, RXFP2 is also expressed in the adrenal gland. Expression of CASZ1 and RXFP2 in the adrenal cortex was retrieved from a transcriptome study including 11 human control adrenals and 123 APA⁵¹ (Supplementary Fig. 4). CASZ1 mRNA was expressed in control adrenals and showed a significant upregulation in APA (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Differences in CASZ1 mRNA expression were also observed by RT-qPCR on a smaller set of samples, although this did not reach statistical significance (Supplementary Fig. 4c). RXFP2 was expressed similarly in both control adrenals and APA (Supplementary Fig. 4b and d). Overall, expression of CASZ1 and RXFP2 was heterogeneous in APA and no differences were observed between APA carrying different somatic mutations (n = 122, RXFP2, p = 0.9167; CASZ1, p = 0.2113Kruskal-Wallis test).

To explore the chromatin regulatory landscape in the adrenal gland in the two PA-associated loci located on chromosome 1 and 13, we investigated datasets from the ENCODE project. We profiled regions of open chromatin using ATAC-seq signals⁵² and analyzed the distribution of three histone marks associated with regulatory elements: H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K4me153,54 (Fig. 2c). Several regions of accessible chromatin were observed within CASZ1 on chromosome1, with a common pattern between males and females. Two open chromatin regions mapped at the level of the two CASZ1 alternative transcription start sites. These regions are also positive for H3K4me3 and H3K27ac, two histone marks typically associated at active promoters. One additional open chromatin region was also detected outside of the promoter regions, inside a CASZ1 intron. The region was enriched in H3K27ac and H3K4me1, which suggests that it corresponds to an active enhancer element. The two CASZ1 promoters and the putative enhancer are all located within the region in linkage disequilibrium. Their function could be altered by genetic variations that affect CASZ1 expression and thus participate to the disease. In contrast, we could not detect open chromatin regions in chromosome 13 within the PAassociated locus that contains the RXFP2 gene. To verify the hypothesis that the absence of ATAC-seq signal within this locus could be due to an insufficient representation in the ENCODE datasets of cells expressing RFXP2, we analyzed the epigenetic landscape of two control genes, CYP11B1 and CYP11B2. CYP11B1 is expressed throughout the zona fasciculata, the largest part of the adrenal cortex, while CYP11B2 is expressed only in the zona glomerulosa, which represents only a few layer of cells underneath the capsule. Using the ENCODE dataset, we were able to detect H3K4me3 at the CYP11B1 promoter, but not at CYP11B2. This observation strongly suggests that the investigated datasets on the whole adrenal gland do not provide sufficient information to detect signals for genes expressed in a restricted subset of cells.

The expression of *CASZ1* and *RXFP2* was thus explored by RNAscope in the adrenal cortex of adrenals with APA. *CASZ1* was mainly expressed in the zona glomerulosa extending into the zona fasciculata, albeit at lower levels; staining was also observed in APA (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 5). *RXFP2* mRNA expression was localized mainly to sub-capsular cells and the outer zona glomerulosa; expression in APA was low. To identify cells expressing *CASZ1* and *RXFP2*, we interrogated single-nucleus RNA-sequencing (snRNAseq) data generated on five adjacent tissues from two adrenal glands from patients with APA. By using Seurat software25 and Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) clustering we were able to detect a total of 20 different cell clusters (Fig. 3b, left panel). CASZ1 and RXFP2 were projected within the different clusters as well as CYP11B2 to identify aldosterone producing cells (Fig. 3b, right panel). The origin of the clusters expressing CASZ1 and RXFP2 was identified using specific cell markers (Supplementary Fig. 6). CASZ1 was co-expressed with CYP1B2 in seven clusters, in particular in cluster 8, which expresses top genes distinguishing APCC from zona glomerulosa cells⁴⁴. Both genes are expressed to a lesser extent in other steroidogenic clusters. In contrast, RXFP2 was expressed mainly in clusters 4 and 9, presenting a transcriptional profile of adrenal stem/progenitor cells, expressing both stem as well as steroidogenic cell markers^{55,56}. As *CASZ1* appears to be enriched in APCC, we have also specifically adressed the expression of CASZ1 analyzed by RNAscope in aldosterone producing regions of the zona glomerulosa in one adrenal presenting small APCC by CYP11B2 immunohistochemistry, which shows that CASZ1 is highly coexpressed with CYP11B2 in these structures (Fig. 3c). Similarly, in mouse adrenals, Casz1 was strongly expressed in the zona glomerulosa and outer zona fasciculata and co-localized with Cyp11b2. Rxfp2 expression was confirmed in sub-capsular cells and the zona glomerulosa and co-staining with Cyp11b2 was observed in some cells (Supplementary Fig. 7). There was no major difference between male and female mice (Supplementary Fig. 7).

We further interrogated gene expression data from a published genomic atlas on human adrenal and gonadal development⁵⁷. Data encompassed gene expression of 53 samples from the adrenal gland (n = 17), control tissue (n = 6, spine, brain, muscle, heart, kidney, and liver), ovary (n = 10) and testis (n = 20), from 42.5 days to 73.5 days post conception, encompassing the most important period for adrenal and gonadal development (Supplementary Fig. 8). Remarkably, *RXFP2*, considered a gonadal-specific gene, showed significantly higher expression in adrenal glands compared to control tissues and similar to ovary and testis (Supplementary Fig. 8a); *RXFP2* expression significantly decreased with developmental age (Supplementary Fig. 8b). *CASZ1* expression in the adrenal cortex was not different compared with control tissues, but significantly higher than in gonads, and did not show major changes over time (Supplementary Fig. 8c and d).

CASZ1 and RXFP2 affect adrenocortical function

For a subset of 47 patients with APA included in the GWAS, detailed genetic analysis by aldosterone synthase-guided next generation sequencing, histological information and steroid profiles were available²³. Aldosterone synthase is responsible for the last enzymatic steps of aldosterone biosynthesis. No association was observed between genotypes at chromosomes 1 and 13 with the somatic mutation status of the APA. There was also no association between genotypes and the presence of zona glomerulosa hyperplasia, the number of secondary nodules or the number of APCC of resected adrenal glands. Genotypes at chromosome 13 near RXFP2 showed a tendency towards being associated with steroid output in patients with APA (Supplementary Fig. 9). In particular, the risk allele at rs1535532 was associated with lower plasma aldosterone levels (p = 0.033, Supplementary Fig. 9c); carriers of the risk alleles at rs1869799 and rs1902272 also showed lower, but not significantly different, aldosterone levels (Supplementary Fig. 9a, e). In addition, carriers of the risk allele at rs1869799 and rs1535532 also showed a trend towards higher cortisol over aldosterone ratio (Supplementary Fig. 9b, d), and carriers of the risk allele at rs1902272 a trend towards lower corticosterone levels (Supplementary Fig. 9f).

The role of CASZ1 and RXFP2 on adrenocortical cell function was explored in adrenocortical H295R-S2 cells. Expression of CASZ1 and RXFP2 in H295R-S2 cells significantly affected steroid production, without modifying cell proliferation (Table 3 and Fig. 4a, b). In mock transfected cells, angiotensin II (AngII 10 nM) significantly increased




Fig. 3 | **Expression of CASZ1 and RXFP2 in the adrenal cortex and APA. a** *CASZ1* and *RXFP2* mRNA localization in the adrenal cortex of an adrenal with APA carrying a somatic *KCNJ5* mutation analyzed by RNAscope. Red dots represent positive staining. Images are representative of results obtained in adrenals from four patients. C capsule, ZG zona glomerulosa, ZF zona fasciculata. **b** Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) diagram from snRNA-seq from 5 pieces of adrenal cortex adjacent to APA from two adrenals; cells are colored by 1–20 cell-

type clusters. **c** UMAP plots showing the expression of *CASZ1*, *RXFP2*, and *CYPP11B2* within the different clusters. **d** *CASZ1* mRNA localization in cells expressing CYP11B2 in the adrenal cortex of an adrenal with APA (patient 1 analyzed by snRNAseq) carrying a somatic *KCNJ5* mutation. *CASZ1* mRNA expression was analyzed by RNAscope; CYP11B2 protein expression was analyzed by immunohistochemistry. For each sample, one experiment was performed.

biosynthesis of mineralocorticoid hormones, including 18-hydroxy-11deoxycorticosterone, corticosterone, 18-hydroxycorticosterone and aldosterone (Table 3 and Fig. 4c). In H295R-S2 cells, AnglI also stimulates cortisol production (Table 3 and Fig. 4d). In comparison to H295R-S2 mock-transfected cells, cells overexpressing RXFP2 showed significantly suppressed mineralocorticoid output (11-deoxycorticosterone, 18-hydroxy-11-deoxycorticosterone, corticosterone, aldosterone) both under basal and stimulated conditions. Basal and stimulated 21deoxycortisol was increased, suggesting a shift in the steroidogenic pathway. Basal cortisol production was unchanged. The effects of CASZ1 overexpression in H295R-S2 cells were even more pronounced. In comparison to mock-transfected cells, CASZ1 expression completely suppressed basal and AnglI induced mineralocorticoid biosynthesis (11deoxycorticosterone, 18-hydroxy-11-deoxycorticosterone, corticosterone, 18-hydroxycorticosterone, aldosterone, Table 3 and Fig. 4c), but did not modify glucocorticoid production (Table 3 and Fig. 4d). CASZ1 expression also significantly reduced aldosterone synthase expression both in basal and stimulated conditions compared with mocktransfected cells (Fig. 4e, f), whereas no modification of aldosterone synthase expression was observed in RXFP2 overexpressing cells.

Discussion

Our study explores the genetic susceptibility to develop PA, the most frequent form of secondary and curable arterial hypertension. Using GWAS we identify two main risk loci on chromosome 1 and 13, as well as an additional locus on chromosome 11, which are associated to PA in

a French discovery cohort, replicated in a large German cohort and in a meta-analysis combining the German cohort with two smaller replication cohorts, and confirmed in the global meta-analysis including 1162 cases and 3296 controls. The locus on chromosome 13 appears to be specific to men and stronger in BAH than in APA. Two candidate genes. CASZ1 on chromosome 1 and RXFP2 on chromosome 13. are expressed in the adrenal gland in different cell clusters and their overexpression in adrenocortical cells significantly affects mineralocorticoid biosynthesis.

Our results suggest that CASZ1 and RXFP2 influence adrenal gland function and that risk alleles at chromosome 1 and 13 may increase susceptibility to develop PA by modifying the basal and stimulated mineralocorticoid output in the adrenal gland. Although our study is limited by the unavailability of normal adrenal tissue to test for eQTLs and to replicate those identified in GTEx, and the link between risk alleles on chromosome 1, CASZ1 and APA development remains to be established, our results suggest a biological function of CASZ1 and RXFP2 on aldosterone biosynthesis and/or adrenocortical lineage. Data on RXFP2 suggest a mechanism whereby a genetically determined reduction in basal or Ang II-stimulated aldosterone production by the zona glomerulosa leads to PA through lifelong increased stimulation of the adrenal cortex to ensure appropriate aldosterone levels. Indeed, activation of the renin-angiotensin system is a major stimulus for the expansion of the zona glomerulosa^{58,59}. Such a mechanism is supported by previous results in healthy volunteers carrying hypomorphic alleles of the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR, encoded by NR3C2)60 and by the description of APA in patients with a chronically activated renin angiotensin system due to renal artery stenosis^{61,62}. Under ad libitum sodium and potassium diet, healthy volunteers with different NR3C2 rs2070951 alleles show plasma renin and aldosterone levels within the normal range, which do not differ across genotypes. When the renin-angiotensin system is challenged by moving from a high sodium-low potassium to a low sodium-high potassium diet, individuals with hypomorphic MR alleles show higher aldosterone and renin levels compared with other genotypes. This effect is attributed to less efficient sodium reabsorption because of lower levels of MR in the distal tubule of the kidney⁶⁰. In extreme cases, adult patients with pseudohypoaldosteronism type 1 carrying heterozygous loss-of-function mutations in the MR, who are able to maintain normal sodium and potassium balance and blood pressure through increase of renin and aldosterone levels, with time develop partially autonomous aldosterone production with increased aldosterone to renin ratio compared to a control population⁶³. Such a mechanism would favor the development of PA through a continuous stimulatory drive to the adrenal gland. This mechanism is also reminiscent of tertiary hyperparathyroidism, in which long-lasting secondary hyperparathyroidism in patients with chronic kidney disease may become autonomous and lead to hyperplasia or adenoma formation⁶⁴.

A possible mechanism for this scenario could be that increased expression of RXFP2 in stem/progenitor cells or during development in carriers of risk alleles may lead to modifications of adrenal lineage and in particular of lineage conversion between the zona glomerulosa and zona fasciculata⁶⁵. Modification of the adrenal cell phenotype could explain the diminished mineralocorticoid biosynthesis of H295R-S2 cells expressing RXFP2 and their reduced sensitivity to classic stimulators of zona glomerulosa cells such as AngII. In vivo, this may lead to a drive for replenishment of zona glomerulosa cells from adrenal cortex progenitors, which ultimately leads to adrenal cortex hyperplasia. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that RXFP2 overexpression in H295R-S2 cells increases 21-deoxycortisol levels and that patients carriers of risk alleles at RXFP2 have a trend towards lower aldosterone and higher cortisol/aldosterone ratio.

Our data also indicate that there might be sex-specific differences in susceptibility to PA. This result is not surprising when considering the well-known sex differences in adrenal cortex physiology and

CASZ1 RXRP2 and S2 cells expressing in stably transfected H295R **Steroid profiles** e


Table (

Characteristics	Ctrl+AngII	RXFP2	RXFP2 + Angll	p value	CASZ1	CASZ1 + AngII	p value
Pregnenolone	0.90 (0.69,1.42)	0.50* (0.44,0.62)	0.36*** (0.29,0.48)	<0.0001	0.57** (0.44,0.75)	0.55** (0.34,0.65)	<0.0001
Progesterone	0.48**** (0.44,0.50)	1.23 (0.92,1.55)	0.36 (0.28,0.43)	<0.0001	1.20 (0.77,1.57)	0.53 (0.31,0.76)	<0.0001
11-deoxycorticosterone (pmol/L)	0.35**** (0.32,0.37)	0.45**** (0.37,0.53)	0.30 (0.28,0.33)	<0.0001	0.19*** (0.14,0.25)	0.17** (0.11,0.22)	<0.0001
18-hydroxy-11-deoxycorticosterone (pmol/L)	2.73*** (2.49,2.94)	0.27** (0.12,0.40)	0.41*** (0.39,0.42)	<0.0001	0.06* (0.05,0.08)	0.07**** (0.04,0.10)	<0.0001
Corticosterone (nmol/L)	4.16**** (3.64,4.71)	0.24*** (0.22,0.28)	0.97**** (0.96,1.02)	<0.0001	0.03**** (0.03,0.04)	0.19**** (0.18,0.20)	<0.0001
18-hydroxycorticosterone (pmol/L)	3.32*** (3.13,3.65)	0.51 (0.48,0.55)	1.40 (1.22,1.61)	<0.0001	0.18** (0.14,0.22)	0.42** (0.39,0.45)	<0.0001
Aldosterone (pmol/L)	4.86**** (4.53,5.00)	0.34**** (0.27,0.45)	1.57**** (1.18,2.02)	<0.0001	0.03** (0.03,0.04)	0.22** (0.18,0.28)	<0.0001
17-hydroxyprogesterone (nmol/L)	0.24**** (0.23,0.25)	1.97 (1.46,2.32)	0.35 (0.28,0.42)	<0.0001	1.56 (1.04,2.17)	0.56 (0.36,0.78)	<0.0001
11-deoxycortisol (nmol/L)	0.32*** (0.21,0.41)	1.32 (1.05,1.51)	0.72 (0.70,0.78)	<0.0001	0.78 (0.67,0.88)	0.55 (0.45,0.62)	<0.0001
Cortisol (nmol/L)	3.08**** (2.94,3.15)	0.92 (0.90,0.97)	2.24**** (2.08,2.28)	<0.0001	0.570 (0.40,0.78)	1.67 (1.44,1.94)	<0.0001
21-deoxycortisol (pmol/L)	0.70** (0.58,0.89)	2.02* (1.66,2.85)	1.49* (0.84,1.72)	0.0001	1.31 (0.38,2.66)	1.05 (0.55,1.78)	0.4796
Delta-4-androstenedione (nmol/L)	0.18**** (0.14,0.21)	0.984* (0.84,1.03)	0.22 (0.22,0.24)	<0.0001	0.69**** (0.59,0.82)	0.30** (0.23,0.37)	<0.0001
Results are expressed as fold induction over mock-transfected untreated cells (Ctrt set as 1 not shown in the table) and represent median and interquartile range, compared with ANOVA followed by Sidak's or Kru test. Cells overexpressing RXFP2 or CASZ1 were analyzed separately. Comparison between Ctrl vs Ctrt+Ang was performed with unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney test to control for response of cells to Angl Ctrt/Control Anallancichersin II.	cted untreated cells (Ctrl set as 1 n d separately. Comparison betwee	ot shown in the table) and represe Ctrl vs Ctrl+Ang was performed	ent median and interquartile rang d with unpaired t-test or Mann-W	e, compared with A hitney test to conti	NOVA followed by Sidak's or Krusl ol for response of cells to Angll.	shown in the table) and represent median and interquartile range, compared with ANOVA followed by SidaK sor Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's multiple comparison Cirl vs Cirl+Ang was performed with unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney test to control for response of cells to AngII.	iple comparison

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32896-8

RXFP2 vs Ctrl: **** s 0.0001; Pregnenolone p = 0.0199; 18-hydroxy-11-deoxycorticosterone p = 0.0039; Corticosterone p = 0.0033; 21-deoxycortisol p = 0.0153; Delta-4-androstenedione p = 0.0442. compared to Ctrl: **** p s 0.0001; 18-hydroxy-11-deoxycorticosterone p = 0.0002; 18-hydroxycorticosterone p = 0.0002; 11-deoxycortisol p = 0.0002; 21-deoxycortisol p = 0.0082; RXFP2 + Angll vs Ctrt+Angll: ****p ≤ 0.0001; Pregnenolone p = 0.0003;18-hydroxy-11-deoxycorticosterone p = 0.0004; 21-deoxycortisol p = 0.0227 Ctrl+AngII

CAS21 + Angll vs Ctrl+Angll: **** p = 0.0001; Pregnenolone p = 0.0057; 11-deoxycorticosterone p = 0.0056; 18-hydroxycorticosterone p = 0.0013; Aldosterone p = 0.0013; Patra-4-androstenedione p = 0.0063; **** p < 0.0001; Pregnenolone p = 0.0003; 11-deoxycorticosterone p = 0.0002; 18-hydroxy-11-deoxycorticosterone p = 0.0162; 18-hydroxycorticosterone p = 0.0013; Aldosterone p = 0.0013; CASZ1 vs Ctrl:






Fig. 4 | **Effect of RXFP2 and CASZ1 overexpression in H295R-S2 cells. a** RXFP2 and CASZ1 mRNA expression in H295R-S2 cells stably transfected with expression vectors coding for pcDNA3 (Ctrl), RXFP2 (RXFP2), or CASZ1 (CASZ1). mRNA expression is normalized against the geometric mean of three housekeeping genes. *RXFP2* vs Ctrl, ****p* = 0.0002; *CASZ1* vs Ctrl *****p* < 0.0001; unpaired *t* test, two-sided. **b** Effect of RXFP2 and CASZ1 overexpression in stably transfected H295R-S2 cells on cell proliferation. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 5000 cells/well and grown for 4 days. Basal and stimulated aldosterone (**c**) and cortisol (**d**) production by H295R-S2 cells stably expressing RXFP2 or CASZ1. H295R-S2 cells were serum deprived for 24 h and then incubated for another 24 h with fresh serum deprived medium in absence (basal) or presence of 10 nM Angll. Aldosterone and cortisol levels are indicated as fold induction over mock-transfected cells in basal conditions. Aldosterone: Ctrl+Angll vs Ctrl *****p* < 0.0001; RXFP2 vs Ctrl *****p* < 0.0001; CASZ1 vs Ctrl ***p* = 0.0013; RXFP2 + Angll vs Ctrl+Angll *****p* < 0.0001; CASZ1 + Angll vs Ctrl+Angll ***p* = 0.0013. Cortisol: Ctrl+Angll vs Ctrl *****p* < 0.0001; RXFP2 + Angll vs Ctrl+Angll *****p* < 0.0001. **e** Representative Western blot of aldosterone synthase expression in H295R-S2 cells stably expressing RXFP2 and CASZ1. **f** Quantification of aldosterone synthase expression (using tubulin as a loading control). Values are represented as mean + SEM of two independent experiments performed in quaduplicate (in **a**, median + interquartile range of one experiment performed in triplicate). Ctrl+Angll vs Ctrl *****p* < 0.0001; CASZ1 vs Ctrl ***p* = 0.0052; CASZ1 + Angll vs Ctrl+Angll ***p* = 0.0052. **c**, **d**, **f**: Global comparison was evaluated using ANOVA or Kruskall Wallis test followed by Sidak's or Dunn's multiple comparison test. Cells over-expressing RXFP2 or CASZ1 were analyzed separately. Comparison between Ctrl vs Ctrl+Ang was performed with two-sided unpaired *t* test to control for cell response to Angll.

development of APA. Somatic *KCNJ5* mutations are more frequent in women than in men^{66,67}. In mice, inactivation of *KCNK3*, coding for the potassium channel Task1, leads to a phenotype of glucocorticoid remediable aldosteronism in female only⁶⁸. This is related to different gene expression in males and females, in particular androgen induced expression of the potassium channel Task3. Interestingly, circulating levels of INSL3, the ligand for RXFP2 are much higher in males than in females, where they follow the menstrual cycle and become undetectable after menopause⁶⁹. The sexual dimorphism of the ligand may therefore explain the sex-dependent associations observed for RXFP2 with PA.

In conclusion, this study identifies the first risk loci for PA and suggests new mechanisms involved in adrenal gland function and the development of APA and BAH. Furthermore, it provides pathophysiological explanations for the previously observed association of *CASZ1* and *RXFP2* with blood pressure and resistant hypertension. Remarkably, those loci are in part shared between APA and BAH, in accordance with accumulating evidence for a continuum between the

two conditions. Indeed, recent data showed accumulation of APCC in adrenals from patients with BAH that carry somatic mutations similar to APA⁷⁰. Also, there are ~6% of patients undergoing adrenalectomy for lateralized aldosterone production who do not show complete biochemical cure, identifying patients with BAH with asymmetrical aldosterone production rather than unilateral APA⁷¹. In these patients, both solitary APA as well as hyperplasia were identified⁷² and APA carry somatic mutations similar to bona fide lateralized PA²⁷. The discovery that *CASZI* and *RXFP2* are involved in the development of PA provides new pathophysiological insight and opens perspectives for the diagnosis and treatment of arterial hypertension.

Methods

Patients

This research complies with all relevant ethical regulations.

Paris. Patients with PA were recruited within the COMETE (<u>CO</u>rtico- et <u>ME</u>dullo-surrénale, les <u>T</u>umeurs <u>E</u>ndocrines) network (COMETE-HEGP

protocol, CPP Ile de France II, 2012-A00508-35) or in the context of genetic screening for familial hyperaldosteronism at the Genetics department of the HEGP. Methods for screening and subtype identification of PA were performed according to institutional and the Endocrine Society guidelines^{8,73,74}. In patients diagnosed with primary aldosteronism, a thin slice CT scan or MRI of the adrenal and/or an adrenal venous sampling (AVS) were performed to differentiate between unilateral and bilateral aldosterone hypersecretion. All patients gave written informed consent for genetic and clinical investigation. Procedures were in accordance with institutional guidelines. For a subset of 122 patients, somatic mutation analysis performed on fresh frozen APA tissue by whole exome or Sanger sequencing was available (50 KCNJ5, 23 CACNA1D, 7 ATP1A1, 4 ATP2B3, 5 CTNNB1, 1 APC, 32 negative⁶⁶. For an additional subset of 42 patients with APA included in the GWAS, detailed genetic analysis by CYP11B2immunohistochemistry guided next generation sequencing, histological information and steroid profiles were available (18 KCNJ5, 11 CACNA1D, 6 ATP1A1, 4 ATP2B3, 3 negative)23.

German cohort. Patients with PA were recruited at the Munich center of the Else Kröner-Fresenius HyperaldosteroinismusRegister -German Conn Registry (Protocol 379-10, Ethikkommission der LMU München). The diagnosis of PA was made according to the Endocrine Society Practice Guidelines8. The screening test consisted of a baseline plasma aldosterone-to-renin ratio (ARR; cut-off 12.0 ng/U, sitting position). If elevated, diagnosis of PA was confirmed by an abnormal confirmatory test (e.g., salt loading test, captopril challenge test or both). Antihypertensive medication was stopped before testing. If not feasible, it was replaced by the alpha 1-adrenergic receptor blocker doxazosin or calcium-channel blocker verapamil. The subtype diagnosis between unilateral and bilateral adrenal hyperplasia was based on simultanous bilateral adrenal vein sampling without ACTH stimulation as published earlier⁷⁵. Fifty individuals were not assigned to a subtype. 127 patients had genetic analysis performed either by whole exome or Sanger sequencing. Mutation status was the following: 56 KCN/5, 14 CACNA1D, 9 ATP1A1, 14 ATP2B3, 2 CTNNB1, 32 negative.

Italian cohort. Patients with PA (APA and BAH) were referred to the European Society of Hypertension Specialized Center of Excellence of the University of Padua, Italy. They underwent a biochemical screening for secondary causes of hypertension and provided informed written consent (Prot.1925P/2009, Comitato Etico per la Sperimentazione, Azienda Ospedaliera di Padova, Regione Veneto). They were submitted to subtype identification by adrenal vein sampling without stimulation and the diagnosis was performed following the PAPY Study⁷⁶ and the Endocrine Society guidelines⁸. 79 patients had genetic analysis performed by targeted Sanger sequencing and 20 *KCNJ5* and 3 *CACNA1D* mutations were identified.

Controls

The Paris Prospective Study 3 (PPS3) is an observational prospective study evaluating the role of a set of novel biomarkers on cardiovascular disease in a healthy population⁷⁷. Briefly, the PPS3 cohort consists of 10,157 volunteers aged 50 to 75 years recruited from a large preventative medical center, the Centre d'Investigations Préventives et Cliniques in Paris (France) between June 2008 and May 2012. All participants have provided written informed consent and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Cochin Hospital (Paris). The study is registered in the international trial registry (URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT00741728). Genotyping was performed on 4056 index subjects using the Illumina HumanExome-12v1.1 BeadChip as described in ref. 78.

Participants of the SUVIMAX study were healthy volunteers free of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, or cancer at baseline, recruited

in metropolitan France and of European descent⁷⁹. Genetic information was available in a subsample of 1518 participants⁸⁰.

The Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) cohort comprises several population-based cohort studies in the region of Augsburg, Southern Germany⁸¹. KORA S3 is an independent population-based sample aged 25 to 74 years that was studied between 1994 and 1995. As controls we selected 1847 subjects, who participated in a follow-up examination of S3 (KORA F3, 2004–2005) and were then persons between the ages of 35 and 84 years.

A random sample of 300 Italian normotensive controls of the HYPERGENES cohort were included⁸². A participant could be included in HYPERGENES as normotensive if he/she could self report to be of Caucasian Origin, was unrelated with other participants, had DBP < 85 mmHg and SBP < 135 at least until 55 years of age and had never been treated for hypertension. All were otherwise healthy, non obese (body mass index <30), non dyslipidemic (serum cholesterol <250; serum triglycerides <200 mg/dl–values obtained at screening prior inclusion in the study) and had no abnormal findings on physical examination. A large proportion of the sample has been followed for many years after DNA collection, allowing for the exclusion of controls that developed hypertension at a later age. Genotyping was performed on an Illumina 1 M Duo Chip; in the present study, only 1520 SNPs including 16 SNPs to replicate and Ancestry Informative Markers were available.

Illumina genotyping of the cases in the discovery and replication cohorts

Before genotyping, a quality control was systematically performed on the samples. All samples have been quantified by fluorescence, in duplicate, using the Quant-It kits (Invitrogen). The lowest values systematically underwent a second measurement before any sample was excluded. The quality of material sent was estimated on about 10% of the total samples received (selected randomly throughout the collection) by performing: i) a quality check by migration on a 1% agarose gel to ensure the samples were not degraded, ii) a standard PCR amplification reaction on the samples to ensure that the genomic DNA was free of PCR inhibitors, iii) a PCR test to verify the sex of the individual⁸³. All samples with concentrations below 20 ng/ μ L or having major quality problem (degradation and/or amplification problems, as well as all samples for which sex verification was discordant from the information provided) were systematically excluded from the study.

After quality control, DNAs have been aliquoted in 96-well plates (JANUS liquid handling robot, PerkinElmer) for genotyping; sample tracking was ensured by a systematic barcode scanning for each sample. Two DNA positive controls were systematically inserted in a random fashion into the plates. Genotyping was performed on Illumina OmniExpressExome8v1-2 (discovery cohort) or Illumina Global Screening Array chips (replication cohorts), on a high throughput Illumina automated platform, in accordance with the standard automated protocol of Illumina[®] Infinium HD Assay (Illumina [®], San Diego, USA). Several quality controls were systematically included during the process and reading of the chips was performed on iScan+ scanners (Illumina[®], San Diego, USA).

Primary analysis of the results was done using the GenomeStudio software (Illumina[®], San Diego, USA). The analysis of the internal controls provided by Illumina and the randomly distributed positive controls allowed the validation of the technological process

TaqMan genotyping of the cases in the replication cohorts

For ten SNPs that we chose for the replication step but that were not available on the Global Screening Array chip, TaqMan genotyping was performed on an Applied Biosystems 7900HT Sequence detection system, according to manufacturer's instructions. Probes were ordered from ThermoFisher-Applied biosystems. Internal tests performed prior to genotyping to validate the probes performed well. Genotyping results reading and validation were performed in duplicate by 2 different persons. The positive and negative controls included on each plate allowed the validation of the technological process. SNPs with abnormal genotyping profiles have been excluded before analysis.

Quality control of genotyping data

Investigators of the other cohorts independently performed genotyping using different genetic platforms (Supplementary Table 1), but we included similar and standard quality-control measures to control for the quality of the genotypes.

GWAS analysis

For each discovery or replication dataset, we applied a logistic regression (additive) model as implemented in PLINK version 1.9 (for genotype data) and version 2.0.2.3 (for imputed dosage data) (www. cog-genomics.org/plink)⁸⁴ to test the association with PA, including as covariates the sex as well as the first ten components obtained by the multidimensional scaling method applied to the identity-by-state matrix. For SNPs on the X chromosome, we coded male pseudo-genotypes 0 or 2, instead of 0 or 1, to model X-inactivation. A genomewide significant p-value threshold at $p < 7.36 \times 10^{-8}$ was considered for genotyped data. Association observed at a locus in the discovery cohort was replicated if its association was significant in the German cohort, irrespective of the global meta-analysis results. A schematic model of the multi-stage case control analyses is provided as Supplementary Figure 10.

Imputation

For subjects of the discovery cohort, the 679,237 SNPs in common between cases and controls were phased using SHAPEIT⁸⁵. The minimac4⁸⁶ program was then used for the imputation of 8,332,343 SNPs and indels (estimated minor allele frequency >0.1 and estimated r^2 > 0.8). The reference panel used was the 1000 Genomes Phase III (v5) panel in NCBI Build 37 (hg19). We did not impute genotypes on the replication cohorts, as the number of common SNPs between cases and controls was too low to obtain consistent imputation. A genomewide significant p-value threshold at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ was considered for imputed data.

Conditional analysis

Conditional analysis was performed on imputed data for the six suggestive/significant loci identified in the discovery cohort (within 500 kb of the most associated SNP for each locus). Independent association signals were declared using a significance threshold of 10^{-4} .

Meta-analysis

The replication meta-analysis and the joint analysis of the discovery and replication stages were carried out as a fixed effect inversevariance meta-analysis using METASOFT⁸⁷. Moreover, in order to account for the heterogeneity between the different studies, the data was also analyzed with a random-effects model implemented in METASOFT using default settings.

Heterogeneity analysis

Heterogeneity between men and women association results, as well as between APA and BAH association results, was tested with METASOFT as well as using the Cochran Q statistic and considered significant at $P \le 7.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (after Bonferroni correction for the seven independent loci associated in the discovery cohort).

ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq analysis

ENCODE ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq files from adrenal gland were downloaded and visualized using pyGenometracks package (https:// pygenometracks.readthedocs.io). We used the public database GENCODEv38 (https://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gencode/Gencode_ human/release_39/gencode.v39.annotation.gtf.gz) to retrieve genomic data. UCSC lift-over online tool (https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/ hgLiftOver) was used to convert the original assemblies used in the GWAS into GRCh38 using chromosome coordinates. Publicly available ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq datasets used in this study, accessible through the ENCODE portal (https://www.encodeproject.org/), are listed in Supplementary Table 13.

In situ mRNA analysis and immunohistochemistry

In situ mRNA analysis was performed using the RNAscope assay⁸⁸. For RNAscope experiments, fresh slides were cut from formalin fixed paraffin embedded adrenal tissues from 4 patients with APA and adrenals from 12 weeks old (two male and two female) C57/BL6-SC129 mice. Animal studies were conducted according to the guidelines formulated by the European Comission for experimental use (Directive 2010/63/EU) and were approved by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (Inserm), by the local Ethics comitee of Paris Descartes University (N° 17-020) and by the French Ministère de l'enseignement Supérieur, de la Recherche et de l'Innovation.

RNAscope for human and mouse RXFP2 and CASZ1 was performed according to the manufacturers' instructions using the RNAscope 2.5 assay or the RNAscope 2.5 HD Duplex Detection kit (ACD, Biotechne). CYP11B2 immunohistochemistry was performed as described in²³. In brief, sections were deparaffinised in xylene and rehydrated through graded ethanol. For antigen unmasking, the slides were incubated in Trilogy solution (1/20) (Sigma-Aldrich; St Louis, MO USA), and endogenous peroxidases were inhibited by incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich). Nonspecific staining was blocked with filtered Tris 0.1 M pH 7.4, 10% horse serum and 0.5% SDS for 60 min. The slides were incubated overnight at 4 °C with a mouse monoclonal antibody against aldosterone-synthase (hCYP11B2, clone 41-13C, 1/100, kindly provided by Dr. Celso Gomez-Sanchez), followed by 30 min incubation with anti mouse secondary antibody (1/400, Vector Laboratories: Burlingame, USA). Slides were washed and incubated with an avidin-biotin-peroxydase complex (Vectastain ABC Elite: Vector Laboratories) for 30 min, developed using diaminobenzidin (Vector Laboratories; Burlingame, USA) and counterstained with hematoxilin (Sigma-Aldrich; St Louis, MO USA).

For two patients, whose adrenals were analyzed by RNAscope experiments, snRNAseq data on the adjacent cortex were also available; characteristics of their adrenals are presented in Supplementary Figure 11.

Single-nucleus RNA-sequencing (snRNAseq)

For snRNA sequencing experiments, a total of five pieces of adrenal cortex tissue from two adrenals with APA were collected within the COMETE-HEGP protocol. Patient 1 was a 50 ys old female with an APA carrying a KCN/5 p.Gly151Arg mutation; patient 2 was a 55 ys old male with an APA carrying an ATP2B3 p.Leu425 Val427del mutation. Characteristics of patients and their adrenals are presented in Supplementary Figure 11. Tissues were immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for subsequent use. FACS sorting of nuclei and snRNAseq was performed as previously described⁸⁹. Briefly, after lysis and homogenization, nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation for 5 min at 500 \times g at +4 °C, washed to remove ambient RNAs, and after centrifugation stained with DAPI (10 μ g/ml) during 15 min in the dark at +4 °C. After washing, nuclei were FACS sorted to exclude debris with a BD FACSAria III and the BD FACSDIVA software. After adjusting the concentration of nuclei to 1000 nuclei/µl with wash buffer, around 4000 nuclei per condition were loaded into the 10x Chromium Chip using the Single-Cell 3' Reagent Kit v3 according to the manufacturer's protocol. After GEM-Reverse Transcription and cDNA amplification, libraries were constructed by performing the following steps: fragmentation, endrepair, A-tailing, SPRIselect cleanup, adapter ligation,

SPRIselect cleanup, sample index PCR, and SPRIselect size selection. Libraries were sequenced by pair with a HighOutput flowcel on an Illumina Nextseq 500. A minimum of 46,000 reads per nucleus (50,000 targeted) were sequenced and analyzed with Cell Ranger Single-Cell Software Suite 3.0.2 by 10x Genomics. Data analysis was performed using the GRCh38 reference genome built provided by 10x Genomics on their Support website⁸⁹. The subsequent visualizations, clustering and differential expression tests were performed in R (v 3.4.3) using Seurat (v3.0.2).

Functional studies in H295R-S2 cells

The human adrenocortical carcinoma cell line H295R strain 2 (H295R-S2), kindly provided by W. E. Rainey⁹⁰ was cultured in DMEM/Eagle's F12 medium (GIBCO, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 2% Ultroser G (PALL life sciences, France), 1% insulin/transferrin/ selenium Premix (GIBCO, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA), 10 mM HEPES (GIBCO, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA), 1% penicillin, and streptomycin (GIBCO, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and maintained in a 37 °C humidified atmosphere (5% CO₂).

For overexpression experiments, H295R-S2 cells were seeded into tissue culture dishes 100 at a density of 5.000.000 cells per dish, and maintained in the conditions described. After 24 h, cells were resuspended in 100 µl Nucleofector R solution (AMAXA kit, Lonza) and transfected with 3 µg of pcDNA3 containing the RXFP2 or CASZ1 cDNA or an empty pcDNA3 vector, using the electroporation program P-20. To select only stably transfected cells, 48 h post transfection cells were changed to medium containing 500 µg/mL G418-Genetycin (Gibco) and used after all untransfected cells had died. G418 selection was kept during all functional studies. For aldosterone measurements and RNA extraction, cells were serum deprived in DMEM/F12 containing 0.1% Ultroser G for 24 h and then incubated for another 24 h with fresh medium containing 0.1% Ultroser G with no secretagogue (basal) or 10 nM of AnglI (A-II 10 nM). At the end of this incubation time, supernatant and cells from each well were harvested for aldosterone measurement and protein extraction. Two experiments were independently conducted in guadruplicate.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted in Trizol reagent (Ambion Life technologies, Carlsbad CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. After deoxyribonuclease I treatment (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), 500 ng of total RNA were retrotranscribed (iScript reverse transcriptase, Biorad, Hercules, CA). Primer pairs for RXFP2 and CASZ1 used for qPCR are qHsaCID0036539 and qHsaCID0014926 (Biorad, Hercules, CA). Quantitative PCR was performed using SYBRgreen (Sso advanced universal SyBr Green supermix, Biorad, Hercules, CA) on a C1000 touch thermal cycler of Biorad (CFX96 Real Time System), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Controls without template were included to verify that fluorescence was not overestimated from primer dimer formation or PCR contaminations. RT-qPCR products were analyzed in a post amplification fusion curve to ensure that a single amplicon was obtained. Normalization for RNA quantity, and reverse transcriptase efficiency was performed against three reference genes (geometric mean of the expression of Ribosomal 18S RNA, HPRT, and GAPDH), in accordance with the MIQE guidelines⁹¹; primers are described in Supplementary Table 14¹⁹. Quantification was performed using the standard curve method. Standard curves were generated using serial dilutions from a cDNA pool of all samples of each experiment, yielding a correlation coefficient of at least 0.98 in all experiments.

Western blot

H295R-S2 cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (Bio Basic Canada Inc.) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors mini tablets, EDTA free (Thermo Scientific). Proteins were solubilized for 30 min at 4 °C, under end-over-end rotation, and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. Protein concentration was determined using Bradford protein assay (Biorad). 15 µg of proteins were submitted to 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blotted with the following antibodies: mouse anti-aldosterone synthase antibody (1:500, clone CYP11B2-41-13, kindly provided by Dr C Gomez Sanchez⁹² and mouse anti-tubulin (T9026, 1:2000, Sigma Aldrich). Signals were developed by Clarity Max[™] Western ECL substrate (Biorad, Hercules, CA) and detected by Fujifilm Las-4000 mini Luminescent image analyzer (Fujifilm, Tokyo-Japan) and quantified by Multi gauge software (Fujifilm, Tokyo-Japan). Expression of total proteins was normalized to the expression of the housekeeping protein tubulin. Uncropped and unprocessed scans of blots are presented in the Source Data file.

Liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry steroid profiling

Fourteen steroids are measured simultaneously by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry: pregnenolone, progesterone, 11-deoxycorticosterone, corticosterone, 18-hydroxy-11deoxycorticosterone, 18-hydroxycorticosterone, aldosterone, 17hydroxyprogesterone, 21-deoxycortisol, 11-deoxycortisol, cortisol, 18hydroxycortisol, 18-oxocortisol, delta-4-androstenedione in a 13-min run. The complete steroid profiling procedure is described in ref. 23.

Statistical analyses

Quantitative variables are reported as means \pm SEM when Gaussian distribution or medians and interquartile range when no Gaussian distribution. Pairwise comparisons were done with unpaired *t* test or Mann-Whitney test respectively; global comparison was evaluated using ANOVA or Kruskall Wallis test, followed by Sidak's or Dunn's multiple comparison test. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered significant for comparisons between two groups. Analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism 9 (GraphPad software Inc, San Diego, CA) or Med-Calc19 (MedCalc software Ltd).

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Summary statistics that support the findings of this GWAS has been deposited in the GWAS catalog database under accession codes GCST90129615, GCST90129616, GCST90129617, GCST90129618, GCST90129619, GCST90129620, GCST90129621, GCST90129622, GCST90129623, GCST90129624 [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/]. The individual level genotype data will not be publicly available since they contain information that could compromise research participant privacy and consent. snRNAseq data have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/geo/] under accession code GSE210381. ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq datasets used in this study were retrieved from public databases and a full list of files with accession is available in Supplementary Table 13. Accession details to publicly available gene expression datasets used for Supplementary Fig. 8 are mentioned in the figure legend. All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the manuscript and supplementary information/Source Data file or from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

Publicly available softwares and packages were used throughout this study as described in the Methods section. No custom algorithms were generated for the study.

References

- Forouzanfar, M. H. et al. Global burden of hypertension and systolic blood pressure of atleast 110 to 115 mmHg, 1990–2015. JAMA 317, 165–182 (2017).
- Collaborators, G. B. D. R. F. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* **392**, 1923–1994 (2018).
- Lewington, S. et al. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 360, 1903–1913 (2002).
- Olsen, M. H. et al. A call to action and a lifecourse strategy to address the global burden of raised blood pressure on current and future generations: the Lancet Commission on hypertension. *Lan*cet **388**, 2665–2712 (2016).
- Hannemann, A. & Wallaschofski, H. Prevalence of primary aldosteronism in patient's cohorts and in population-based studies-a review of the current literature. *Horm. Metab. Res* 44, 157–162 (2012).
- 6. Monticone, S. et al. Prevalence and clinical manifestations of primary aldosteronism encountered in primary care practice. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **69**, 1811–1820 (2017).
- 7. Calhoun, D. A. Hyperaldosteronism as a common cause of resistant hypertension. *Annu Rev. Med.* **64**, 233–247 (2013).
- Funder, J. W. et al. The management of primary aldosteronism: case detection, diagnosis, and treatment: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 101, 1889–1916 (2016).
- Monticone, S. et al. Cardiovascular events and target organ damage in primary aldosteronism compared with essential hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 6, 41–50 (2018).
- 10. Newton-Cheh, C. et al. Clinical and genetic correlates of aldosterone-to-renin ratio and relations to blood pressure in a community sample. *Hypertension* **49**, 846–856 (2007).
- Vasan, R. S. et al. Serum aldosterone and the incidence of hypertension in nonhypertensive persons. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **351**, 33–41 (2004).
- 12. Meneton, P. et al. High plasma aldosterone and low renin predict blood pressure increase and hypertension in middle-aged Caucasian populations. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* **22**, 550–558 (2008).
- Brown, J. M. et al. The unrecognized prevalence of primary aldosteronism: a cross-sectional study. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **173**, 10–20 (2020).
- 14. Choi, M. et al. K+ channel mutations in adrenal aldosteroneproducing adenomas and hereditary hypertension. *Science* **331**, 768–772 (2011).
- Azizan, E. A. et al. Somatic mutations in ATP1A1 and CACNA1D underlie a common subtype of adrenal hypertension. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 1055–1060 (2013).
- Scholl, U. I. et al. Somatic and germline CACNA1D calcium channel mutations in aldosterone-producing adenomas and primary aldosteronism. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 1050–1054 (2013).
- 17. Scholl, U. I. et al. Recurrent gain of function mutation in calcium channel CACNA1H causes early-onset hypertension with primary aldosteronism. *Elife* **4**, e06315 (2015).
- Daniil, G. et al. CACNA1H mutations are associated with different forms of primary aldosteronism. *EBioMedicine* 13, 225–236 (2016).
- Fernandes-Rosa, F. L. et al. A gain-of-function mutation in the CLCN2 chloride channel gene causes primary aldosteronism. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 355–361 (2018).
- 20. Scholl, U. I. et al. CLCN2 chloride channel mutations in familial hyperaldosteronism type II. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 349–354 (2018).

- Beuschlein, F. et al. Somatic mutations in ATP1A1 and ATP2B3 lead to aldosterone-producing adenomas and secondary hypertension. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 440–444 (2013).
- 22. Nanba, K. et al. Targeted molecular characterization of aldosteroneproducing adenomas in white Americans. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. **103**, 3869–3876 (2018).
- 23. De Sousa, K. et al. Genetic, cellular, and molecular heterogeneity in adrenals with aldosterone-producing adenoma. *Hypertension* **75**, 1034–1044 (2020).
- 24. Zennaro, M. C., Boulkroun, S. & Fernandes-Rosa, F. L. Pathogenesis and treatment of primary aldosteronism. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **16**, 578–589 (2020).
- Spat, A. & Hunyady, L. Control of aldosterone secretion: a model for convergence in cellular signaling pathways. *Physiol. Rev.* 84, 489–539 (2004).
- 26. Zhou, J. et al. Somatic mutations of GNA11 and GNAQ in CTNNB1mutant aldosterone-producing adenomas presenting in puberty, pregnancy or menopause. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1360–1372 (2021).
- 27. Hacini, I. et al. Somatic mutations in adrenals from patients with primary aldosteronism not cured after adrenalectomy suggest common pathogenic mechanisms between unilateral and bilateral disease. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **185**, 405–412 (2021).
- Ehret, G. B. et al. The genetics of blood pressure regulation and its target organs from association studies in 342,415 individuals. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1171–1184 (2016).
- 29. Surendran, P. et al. Discovery of rare variants associated with blood pressure regulation through meta-analysis of 1.3 million individuals. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 1314–1332 (2020).
- Takeuchi, F. et al. Interethnic analyses of blood pressure loci in populations of East Asian and European descent. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5052 (2018).
- Kanai, M. et al. Genetic analysis of quantitative traits in the Japanese population links cell types to complex human diseases. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 390–400 (2018).
- Irvin, M.R. et al. Genome wide association study of apparent treatment resistant hypertension in the CHARGE consortium: The CHARGE Pharmacogenetics Working Group. *Am. J. Hypertens.* 32, 1146–1153 (2019).
- Tragante, V. et al. Sequence variants associated with resistant hypertension implicate mechanisms affecting potassium levels. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 27, 1108–1109 (2019).
- Calhoun, D. A., Nishizaka, M. K., Zaman, M. A., Thakkar, R. B. & Weissmann, P. Hyperaldosteronism among black and white subjects with resistant hypertension. *Hypertension* 40, 892–896 (2002).
- Douma, S. et al. Prevalence of primary hyperaldosteronism in resistant hypertension: a retrospective observational study. *Lancet* 371, 1921–1926 (2008).
- Torresan, F. et al. Resolution of drug-resistant hypertension by adrenal vein sampling-guided adrenalectomy: a proof-of-concept study. *Clin. Sci.* 134, 1265–1278 (2020).
- Rossi, G.P. et al. Drug-resistant hypertension in primary aldosteronism patients undergoing adrenal vein sampling: the AVIS-2-RH study. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* **29**, e85–e93 (2022).
- Liu, Z. et al. Essential role of the zinc finger transcription factor Casz1 for mammalian cardiac morphogenesis and development. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 29801–29816 (2014).
- 39. Huang, R. T. et al. CASZ1 loss-of-function mutation associated with congenital heart disease. *Gene* **595**, 62–68 (2016).
- 40. Liu, Z., Rader, J., He, S., Phung, T. & Thiele, C. J. CASZ1 inhibits cell cycle progression in neuroblastoma by restoring pRb activity. *Cell Cycle* **12**, 2210–2218 (2013).
- 41. Bandulik, S., Penton, D., Barhanin, J. & Warth, R. TASK1 and TASK3 potassium channels: determinants of aldosterone secretion and adrenocortical zonation. *Horm. Metab. Res* **42**, 450–457 (2010).

Article

- 42. Yokota, K. et al. CASZ1b is a novel transcriptional corepressor of mineralocorticoid receptor. *Hypertens. Res.* **44**, 407–416 (2021).
- Boulkroun, S. et al. Adrenal cortex remodeling and functional zona glomerulosa hyperplasia in primary aldosteronism. *Hypertension* 56, 885–892 (2010).
- Nishimoto, K. et al. Aldosterone-stimulating somatic gene mutations are common in normal adrenal glands. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 112, E4591–E4599 (2015).
- Williams, T. A. et al. International histopathology consensus for unilateral primary aldosteronism. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 106, 42–54 (2021).
- Nishimoto, K. et al. Adrenocortical zonation in humans under normal and pathological conditions. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 95, 2296–2305 (2010).
- 47. Bathgate, R. A. et al. Relaxin family peptides and their receptors. *Physiol. Rev.* **93**, 405–480 (2013).
- Lenglet, S., Louiset, E., Delarue, C., Vaudry, H. & Contesse, V. Involvement of T-type calcium channels in the mechanism of action of 5-HT in rat glomerulosa cells: a novel signaling pathway for the 5-HT7 receptor. *Endocr. Res.* 28, 651–655 (2002).
- Ayers, K. et al. Familial bilateral cryptorchidism is caused by recessive variants in RXFP2. J. Med. Genet. 56, 727–733 (2019).
- Glister, C. et al. Functional link between bone morphogenetic proteins and insulin-like peptide 3 signaling in modulating ovarian androgen production. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, E1426–E1435 (2013).
- Boulkroun, S. et al. Prevalence, clinical, and molecular correlates of KCNJ5 mutations in primary aldosteronism. *Hypertension* 59, 592–598 (2012).
- Buenrostro, J. D., Giresi, P. G., Zaba, L. C., Chang, H. Y. & Greenleaf, W. J. Transposition of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-binding proteins and nucleosome position. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 1213–1218 (2013).
- Koch, C. M. et al. The landscape of histone modifications across 1% of the human genome in five human cell lines. *Genome Res.* 17, 691–707 (2007).
- 54. Creyghton, M. P. et al. Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts developmental state. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 21931–21936 (2010).
- Zhou, J. et al. Transcriptome pathway analysis of pathological and physiological aldosterone-producing human tissues. *Hypertension* 68, 1424–1431 (2016).
- Pignatti, E., Leng, S., Carlone, D. L. & Breault, D. T. Regulation of zonation and homeostasis in the adrenal cortex. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 441, 146–155 (2017).
- 57. Del Valle, I. et al. A genomic atlas of human adrenal and gonad development. *Wellcome Open Res.* **2**, 25 (2017).
- McEwan, P. E., Vinson, G. P. & Kenyon, C. J. Control of adrenal cell proliferation by AT1 receptors in response to angiotensin II and lowsodium diet. *Am. J. Physiol.* **276**, E303–E309 (1999).
- Nishimoto, K., Harris, R. B., Rainey, W. E. & Seki, T. Sodium deficiency regulates rat adrenal zona glomerulosa gene expression. *Endocrinology* 155, 1363–1372 (2014).
- 60. van Leeuwen, N. et al. The functional c.-2G>C variant of the mineralocorticoid receptor modulates blood pressure, renin, and aldosterone levels. *Hypertension* **56**, 995–1002 (2010).
- Chowdhury, T. A. & Lasker, S. S. Coexisting renal artery stenosis and primary aldosteronism. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl.* 12, 2735–2736 (1997).
- 62. Beevers, D. G. et al. Renal abnormalities and vascular complications in primary hyperaldosteronism. Evidence on tertiary hyperaldosteronism. *Q J. Med.* **45**, 401–410 (1976).
- Escoubet, B. et al. Cardiovascular effects of aldosterone: insight from adult carriers of mineralocorticoid receptor mutations. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* 6, 381–390 (2013).

- Duan, K., Gomez Hernandez, K. & Mete, O. Clinicopathological correlates of hyperparathyroidism. J. Clin. Pathol. 68, 771–787 (2015).
- 65. Freedman, B. D. et al. Adrenocortical zonation results from lineage conversion of differentiated zona glomerulosa cells. *Dev. Cell* **26**, 666–673 (2013).
- 66. Fernandes-Rosa, F. L. et al. Genetic spectrum and clinical correlates of somatic mutations in aldosterone-producing adenoma. *Hypertension* **64**, 354–361 (2014).
- 67. Lenzini, L. et al. A meta-analysis of somatic KCNJ5 K(+) channel mutations in 1636 patients with an aldosterone-producing adenoma. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. **100**, E1089–E1095 (2015).
- Heitzmann, D. et al. Invalidation of TASK1 potassium channels disrupts adrenal gland zonation and mineralocorticoid homeostasis. *EMBO J.* 27, 179–187 (2008).
- 69. Esteban-Lopez, M. & Agoulnik, A. I. Diverse functions of insulin-like 3 peptide. J. Endocrinol. **247**, R1–R12 (2020).
- 70. Omata, K. et al. Cellular and genetic causes of idiopathic hyperaldosteronism. *Hypertension* **72**, 874–880 (2018).
- 71. Williams, T.A. et al. Outcomes after adrenalectomy for unilateral primary aldosteronism: an international consensus on outcome measures and analysis of remission rates in an international cohort. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **5**, 689–699 (2017).
- Meyer, L. S. et al. Immunohistopathology and steroid profiles associated with biochemical outcomes after adrenalectomy for unilateral primary aldosteronism. *Hypertension* 72, 650–657 (2018).
- 73. Amar, L. et al. SFE/SFHTA/AFCE primary aldosteronism consensus: Introduction and handbook. *Ann. Endocrinol.* **77**, 179–186 (2016).
- Baron, S. et al. Criteria for diagnosing primary aldosteronism on the basis of liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry determinations of plasma aldosterone concentration. J. Hypertens. 36, 1592–1601 (2018).
- Betz, M. J. et al. Adrenal vein sampling using rapid cortisol assays in primary aldosteronism is useful in centers with low success rates. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **165**, 301–306 (2011).
- Rossi, G. P. et al. A prospective study of the prevalence of primary aldosteronism in 1125 hypertensive patients. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 48, 2293–2300 (2006).
- 77. Empana, J. P. et al. Paris Prospective Study III: a study of novel heart rate parameters, baroreflex sensitivity and risk of sudden death. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **26**, 887–892 (2011).
- Proust, C. et al. Contribution of rare and common genetic variants to plasma lipid levels and carotid stiffness and geometry: a substudy of the paris prospective study 3. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* 8, 628–636 (2015).
- 79. Hercberg, S. et al. The SU.VI.MAX Study: a randomized, placebocontrolled trial of the health effects of antioxidant vitamins and minerals. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **164**, 2335–2342 (2004).
- Lieb, W. et al. Genome-wide meta-analyses of plasma renin activity and concentration reveal association with the kininogen 1 and prekallikrein genes. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* 8, 131–140 (2015).
- Wichmann, H. E., Gieger, C., Illig, T. & Group, M. K. S. KORA-genresource for population genetics, controls and a broad spectrum of disease phenotypes. *Gesundheitswesen* 67, S26–S30 (2005).
- Salvi, E. et al. Genomewide association study using a high-density single nucleotide polymorphism array and case-control design identifies a novel essential hypertension susceptibility locus in the promoter region of endothelial NO synthase. *Hypertension* 59, 248–255 (2012).
- Wilson, J. F. & Erlandsson, R. Sexing of human and other primate DNA. *Biol. Chem.* **379**, 1287–1288 (1998).
- 84. Chang, C. C. et al. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).
- 85. Delaneau, O., Marchini, J. & Zagury, J. F. A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 179–181 (2011).

- Das, S. et al. Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1284–1287 (2016).
- Han, B. & Eskin, E. Random-effects model aimed at discovering associations in meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 88, 586–598 (2011).
- Wang, F. et al. RNAscope: a novel in situ RNA analysis platform for formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues. J. Mol. Diagn. 14, 22–29 (2012).
- Dos Santos, M. et al. Single-nucleus RNA-seq and FISH identify coordinated transcriptional activity in mammalian myofibers. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 5102 (2020).
- Wang, T. et al. Comparison of aldosterone production among human adrenocortical cell lines. *Horm. Metab. Res.* 44, 245–250 (2012).
- Bustin, S. A. et al. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clin. Chem.* 55, 611–622 (2009).
- Gomez-Sanchez, C. E. et al. Development of monoclonal antibodies against human CYP11B1 and CYP11B2. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 383, 111–117 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded through institutional support from INSERM, by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-13-ISV1-0006-01, ANR-15-CE14-0017-03, ANR-18-CE93-0003-01) and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (DEQ20140329556 and EQU201903007864). A.F. was supported by the Laboratory of Excellence GENMED (Medical Genomics) grant no. ANR-10-LABX-0013 managed by the National Research Agency (ANR) part of the Investment for the Future program. M.R. is supported by the Else Kröner-Fresenius Stiftung in support of the German Conns Registry-Else-Kröner Hyperaldosteronism Registry (2013 A182 and 2015 A171 and 2019 A104), the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 694913) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft project number 444776998 to M. Reincke (RE 752/31-1). F.B. and M.R. are supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (within the CRC/Transregio 205/1 "The Adrenal: Central Relay in Health and Disease"). F.B. is supported by the Clinical Research Priority Program of the University of Zurich for the CRPP HYRENE. The PPS3 Study was supported by grants from The National Research Agency (ANR), the Research Foundation for Hypertension (RFHTA), the Research Institute in Public Health (IRESP), the Region Ile de France (Domaine d'Intérêt Majeur) and a European Horizon H2020 grant. The HYPERGENES project (European Network for Genetic-Epidemiological Studies) was supported by grant HEALTH-2007-201550, funded by the European Union within the FP7. The KORA study was initiated and financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the State of Bavaria. Furthermore, KORA research was supported within the Munich Center of Health Sciences (MC-Health), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, as part of LMUinnovativ. The KORA study is funded by the Bavarian State Ministry of Health and Care through the research project DigiMed Bayern (www.digimed-bayern.de). The authors wish to thank CE Gomez-Sanchez (University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS) for providing antibodies against CYP11B1 and CYP11B2, WE Rainey (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA) for kindly providing the human adrenocortical carcinoma cell line H295R strain 2 (H295R-S2) and H Lefebvre for control adrenals. We thank the Biological Resources Center and Tumor Bank Platform, Hôpital européen Georges Pompidou (BB-0033-00063) for providing tissue samples. We thank the CNRGH

for its contribution to the funding of genotyping consumables on its internal budget and the CNRGH production teams for their involvement in DNA genotyping. PPS3: We thank N Estrugo, S Yanes, JF Pruny and J Lacet Machado for performing the study recruitment of PPS3 study participants, Dr. MF Eprinchard, Dr. JM Kirzin and all the medical and technical staff of the IPC Center, the Centre de Ressources Biologiques de l'Hôpital European Georges Pompidou staff (C. de Toma, G Daniela, B. Vedie), and the Platform for Biological Resources (PRB) of the Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou for the management of the biobank. The PPS3 is organized under an agreement between INSERM and the IPC Center, and between INSERM and the Ressources Biologiques de l'Hôpital European Georges Pompidou, Paris, France. We also thank the Caisse Nationale d'Assurance Maladie des Travailleurs Salariés (CNAM-TS, France) and the Caisse Primaire d'Assurance Maladie de Paris (CPAM-P, France) for helping make this study possible. KORA: We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of all members of field staff conducting the KORA study.

Author contributions

M.C.Z. and X.Jeunemaitre conceived and MCZ, X.Jeunemaitre, E.L.F., and J.F.D. designed the study and the experiments. E.L.F. and A.F. performed and analyzed the GWAS and performed all related statistical analyses; M.C.Z., E.L.F., X.Jeunemaitre, F.B., C.K.L., F.L.F.R., S.Boulkroun analyzed results from the GWAS. X.Jeunemaitre, L.A., F.B., M.R., G.P.R., L.L. were responsible for patients' recruitment and/ or medical care and clinical data acquisition and provided PA samples. J.P.E., X.Jouven, C.G., M.W., A.P., D.C., E.S., M.T., M.D., N.D.P., P.M. provided genotype data from the general population. T.C., C.K.L., M.C.Z., I.G.D., M.F., F.L.F.R., and S.Boulkroun performed and analyzed in vitro studies on H295R-S2 cells. S.Boulkroun and M.C.Z. performed and analyzed gene expression studies. S.Baron performed and S.Baron and M.C.Z. analyzed steroid profile experiments. T.M. provided tissue samples and performed pathological analyses. T.C., K.D.S., I.G.D., M..F., and A.B.A. performed experiments and analyzed tissue samples. S.C. analyzed regulatory datasets of adrenal glands and B.S. analyzed snRNAseg data. M.C.Z. coordinated the study. M.C.Z. and E.L.F. drafted the manuscript; F.B., X.Jeunemaitre, S.Boulkroun, F.L.F.R., G.P.R., L.L., M.R. provided critical feedback on the draft and contributed to the final version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript draft.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32896-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Maria-Christina Zennaro.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Morris Brown, Norihiro Kato and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

¹Centre National de Recherche en Génomique Humaine, Institut de biologie François Jacob, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Evry, France.²Université Paris Cité, Inserm, PARCC, F-75015 Paris, France. ³Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, 80336 Munich, Germany. ⁴Klinik für Endokrinologie, Diabetologie und Klinische Ernährung, Universitätsspital Zürich (USZ) und Universität Zürich (UZH), Zürich, Switzerland. ⁵Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Unité Hypertension artérielle, Paris, France. ⁶DMCS 'G. Patrassi' University of Padova Medical School, University Hospital, 35126 Padova, Italy. ⁷Université Paris Cité, F-75006 Paris, France. ⁸Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Service de Physiologie, Paris, France. ⁹Université Paris Cité, Institut Cochin, Genom'IC platform, INSERM, CNRS, 75014 Paris, France. ¹⁰Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Service d'Anatomie Pathologique, Paris, France. ¹¹Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Service de Cardiologie, Paris, France. ¹²Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ¹³Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany.¹⁴German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Neuherberg, Germany.¹⁵German Research Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany.¹⁶Institute of Biomedical Technologies National Research Council of Italy, Milan, Italy.¹⁷Bio4Dreams-Business Nursery for Life Sciences, Milan, Italy.¹⁸Neuroalgology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico 'Carlo Besta', Milan, Italy. ¹⁹UMR 1142, INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris 13, Paris, France, ²⁰Sorbonne Paris Nord University, INSERM U1153, INRAe U1125, CNAM, Nutritional Epidemiology Research Team (EREN), Epidemiology and Statistics Research Center - Université Paris Cité (CRESS), 93017 Bobigny, France. ²¹Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Service de Génétique, Paris, France. ²²These authors contributed equally: Edith Le Floch, Teresa Cosentino. 🖾 e-mail: maria-christina.zennaro@inserm.fr