

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31288-2

OPEN



Porphyrin as a versatile visible-light-activatable organic/metal hybrid photoremovable protecting group

Adiki Raja Sekhar ¹, Youhei Chitose^{2,3,4}, Jiří Janoš ⁵, Sahar Israeli Dangoor ⁶, Andrea Ramundo ^{2,3}, Ronit Satchi-Fainaro ⁶, Petr Slavíček ⁵, Petr Klán ^{2,3 ⊠} & Roy Weinstain ^{1⊠}

Photoremovable protecting groups (PPGs) represent one of the main contemporary implementations of photochemistry in diverse fields of research and practical applications. For the past half century, organic and metal-complex PPGs were considered mutually exclusive classes, each of which provided unique sets of physical and chemical properties thanks to their distinctive structures. Here, we introduce the *meso*-methylporphyrin group as a prototype hybrid-class PPG that unites traditionally exclusive elements of organic and metalcomplex PPGs within a single structure. We show that the porphyrin scaffold allows extensive modularity by functional separation of the metal-binding chromophore and up to four sites of leaving group release. The insertion of metal ions can be used to tune their spectroscopic, photochemical, and biological properties. We provide a detailed description of the photoreaction mechanism studied by steady-state and transient absorption spectroscopies and quantum-chemical calculations. Our approach applied herein could facilitate access to a hitherto untapped chemical space of potential PPG scaffolds.

¹ School of Plant Sciences and Food Security, Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 6997801, Israel. ² Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 5, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic. ³ RECETOX, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 5, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic. ⁴ Basic Chemistry Program, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan. ⁵ Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, Technická 5, 16628 Prague 6, Czech Republic. ⁶ Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, 6997801 Tel Aviv, Israel. ³⁸ email: klan@sci.muni.cz; royweinstain@tauex.tau.ac.il

Photoremovable protecting groups (PPGs), also known as photocaged compounds, are linked to a molecule of interest to mask its chemical or biological activity. Upon irradiation at a specific wavelength, the PPGs are tracelessly removed, allowing non-invasive spatio-temporal control over the release of free molecules with a high degree of chemoselectivity in complex chemical and biological environments. They represent one of the main contemporary applications of photochemistry in diverse research areas, ranging from chemistry and material science to biology and medicine¹⁻⁴.

Since their introduction more than 50 years ago^{5,6}, dozens of PPG types have been developed^{7,8}. Their fundamental, mutually exclusive classification differentiates between organic and transition-metal complex PPGs (Fig. 1a). Transition-metal-free (organic) PPGs possess an organic chromophore and liberate molecules via the cleavage of a covalent bond^{7,8}. This large class of PPGs encompasses a wide range of molecular scaffolds, from the established nitroaryl and coumarin groups to the more recent, visible-light absorbing BODIPY and cyanine groups^{7,8}. In contrast, metal-complex PPGs consist of a central metal atom or ion surrounded by ligands as part of their chromophores. The complexes have unique ground- and excited-state properties arising from the nature of the central metal, the ligands, and specific interactions between them. The metal coordination center serves as a *bonafide* reaction center in all metal-complex PPGs reported to date, and ligand exchange is the ultimate liberation step, succeeding a photoinitiated metal-to-ligand, ligand-to-metal, or ligand-to-ligand charge-transfer transition⁷⁻¹⁰. Notable examples in this class include cob(III)alamins, and ruthenium and rhodium complexes^{9,10}.

Over the decades, the conceptual dichotomy between these two PPG classes has been maintained, being translated into essentially non-converging development paths. To date, efforts to exploit the distinctive properties of organic and metal-complex photoactivatable systems within a single structure have primarily focused on either linking the established metal-free PPGs to metal complexes, metal surfaces, or metal nanoparticles or, on the other hand, on the conjugation of metal-complex PPGs to organic chromophores (antennas)⁸. We considered a hybrid class of PPGs, which allows (but does not require) the incorporation of a metal (ion) as a part of the chromophore and releases leaving groups through the photoinduced cleavage of covalent bonds (Fig. 1b). We reasoned that blurring the traditional dividing line between these two PPG classes would provide access to a yet untapped chemical space of this new type of PPGs.

In this work, to evaluate the concept, we repurpose the ubiquitous ligand porphyrin as an independent PPG. We show that the functional separation of the metal-binding chromophore and the site of leaving group release can be leveraged to fine-tune its spectroscopic and photochemical properties by simply introducing metal ions into the porphyrin core. The release of leaving groups from the free-ligand and metal-containing porphyrin derivatives is studied by both steady-state and transientabsorption spectroscopies, and the results are supported by quantum-chemical calculations. We provide a detailed description of the photoreaction mechanism, laying the foundations for further expansion and optimization of such hybrid-class PPGs.

Results and discussion

Metal-free porphyrin PPGs. The design of a porphyrin-based PPG was inspired by the recent emergence of the *meso*-methyl functionalized boron dipyrromethene (BODIPY) group^{11,12}. Hückel molecular orbital predictions showed that BODIPY PPGs could release a leaving group thanks to the negative charge increase at the *meso* position upon excitation¹³. The structurally related porphyrin scaffold has four such positions, which exhibit a similar electronic behavior upon excitation to the first excited state (see Supplementary Section 4).

The synthesis of an appropriate porphyrin core was accomplished by MacDonald acid-catalyzed condensation (Fig. 2a)¹⁴. The *ortho*-unsubstituted phenyl group of **1** and the aliphatic aldehyde in **2** facilitated a scrambling mechanism^{15,16} that gave rise to the formation of all possible porphyrin combinations (Supplementary Fig. 1), including the key A_3B -type derivatives **3**. Subsequent alcohol deprotection provided the metal-free *meso*-



Fig. 1 Meso-methylporphyrin as a hybrid organic/metal-complex PPG scaffold. a Contemporary organic and metal-complex PPGs; the photorelease of leaving groups (LG) occurs via a covalent bond scission or ligand exchange process, respectively. b Meso-methylporphyrin as a hybrid organic/metal-complex PPG: diverse metal ions can be incorporated into the chromophore to modulate its properties, and a leaving group is photoreleased via covalent bond scission.



Fig. 2 *Meso-methylporphyrin as a versatile PPG scaffold: synthesis and photochemistry. a* Synthesis of *meso-*methylporphyrin derivatives. i: PNBA, DMAP, DCC, 20 °C. ii: mesylchloride, DMAP, 20 °C. **b** Absorption spectra of PNBA- and DMAP-caged *meso-*methylporphyrins (3 μ M, aerated DMSO). Inlet: a 10-fold magnification of the Q-band regions. **c** Photorelease of leaving groups from *meso-*methylporphyrin derivatives **5-8** (25 μ M, degassed DMSO), $\lambda_{irr} = 410$ nm, 40 mW cm⁻²; HPLC-MS analysis). **d** Photolysis of **6** (25 μ M, degassed DMSO) at $\lambda_{irr} = 410$ nm (18 mW cm⁻²); 545 nm (52 mW cm⁻²); and 640 nm (67 mW cm⁻²). Inlet: focus on early time points. **e** Structures of mono-, di- and tetra. N_{α} -Boc-(L)-Trp caged *meso-*methylporphyrin derivatives (**9-11**). **f** Quantification of the photorelease of leaving groups from **9-11** ($\lambda_{irr} = 410$ nm, 40 mW cm⁻², HPLC-MS analyses). Averages from three experiments are shown; error bars represent the standard deviation.

methylporphyrin **4**. The hydroxyl group in **4** is a poor nucleophile; its reactions with chloroformate and isocyanate were not successful. However, it was found to be sufficiently nucleophilic to react with O-acylisourea derivatives or mesyl chloride, allowing its in-situ displacement with nucleophiles such as *p*-nitrobenzoic acid (PNBA) or *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) to give the final derivatives **5** and **6**, respectively.

The photophysical and photochemical properties of **5** and **6** in DMSO or methanol solutions are summarized in Table 1. Analogous to metal-free porphyrins^{17,18}, the $S_0 \rightarrow S_2/S_1$ electronic transitions (Q bands) were found in the range of 500–650 nm, and very intense absorption bands with the maxima λ_{max}^{abs} in the blue region were assigned to the $S_0 \rightarrow S_4/S_3$ transitions (Soret bands; Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2)¹⁹. The photorelease of leaving groups (LGs) from **5** and **6** was monitored by an LG appearance (HPLC) and starting material disappearance (absorption spectroscopy; Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 3). The LGs were liberated with chemical yields of >70% and photodecomposition quantum yields (Φ_{dec}) of ~0.002 upon irradiation at 410 nm. It is worth noting that the large molar absorption coefficients at the irradiation wavelength (λ_{irr}) are responsible for very high uncaging cross sections ($\Phi_{dec} \varepsilon_{max} (\lambda_{irr})$)^{7,8} of >650 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

The structural and physico-chemical properties of porphyrin allow for a high degree of modularity in its application as a PPG. For example, the photorelease of an LG from **6** can be achieved by irradiation to its different absorption bands in the visible region (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 4). The fluorescence quantum yield ($\Phi_{\rm F}$; in the range of 420–560 nm) was found to be wavelength-independent (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, some structural modifications of the basic scaffold can be implemented without compromising its functionality. Substituents on the *meso*-phenyl groups generally modulate the electronic properties of the porphyrin chromophore only to a small extent²⁰. Indeed, derivatives 7 and 8, featuring the 4-methoxyphenyl or 4-O-propargylphenyl groups (Fig. 2a), exhibited spectroscopic and photochemical properties similar to those of 5 (Table 1). The lower Φ_{dec} found for 8 can be explained by the increased rotational freedom of the molecule²¹. Nevertheless, the alkyne groups in 8 provided convenient reaction sites for further functionalization via a copper-click reaction (Supplementary Fig. 5). An additional level of modularity emerges from the multiple meso positions in the porphyrin scaffold. Taking advantage of this structural feature, A3B-, A2B2- and B4-type porphyrin PPGs bearing N_{α} -Boc-L-tryptophan as a model LG were synthesized (9-11, Fig. 2e). The number of equivalents of an LG released was twofold from the A₂B₂-type 10 and 2.9-fold from the B₄-type 11 when compared to that from the A₃B-type 9 (Fig. 2f), probably involving an enhanced non-productive photodegradation of the chromophore during the sequential release of multiple LGs.

To evaluate the functionality of the *meso*-methylporphyrin group in a complex environment, we synthesized derivatives bearing the tubulin assembly inhibitor indibulin or the antifolate agent methotrexate (MTX) as LGs (**12** and **13**, respectively, Fig. 3a, b). Compound **12** released indibulin upon irradiation (Fig. 3c), but it was found to be thermally unstable in a PBS buffer (Supplementary Fig. 6), unlike a recently reported coumarincaged indibulin that was effectively applied in cultured cells²². Conversely, **13** was thermally stable in a solution, and its photoactivation was successfully conducted upon 545 nm irradiation because MTX itself absorbs and decomposes when photolyzed at 410 nm (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 6). Thus, **13** was used for a cellular evaluation in cultures of the murine 4T1 mammary carcinoma cell line. Fluorescence microscopy indicated

ARTICLE

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	λ_{abs}/mn ($\epsilon/10^4$ M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹) λ_{abs}/mn ($\epsilon/10^2$ M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹) $\lambda_{$	X _{abs} /nm (e/10 ⁴ M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹) X _{abs} /nm (e/10 ⁴ M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹) 417 (391), 513 (1.9), 547 (0.6), 588 (0.5), 643 (0.3) 417 (391), 513 (1.6), 549 (0.6), 586 (0.5), 644 (0.3) 422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5) 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 420 (44.2), 515 (1.9), 556 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 421 (32.3), 515 (1.9), 556 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 421 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647 (0.5) 647 (0.5) 647 (0.5) 647 (0.5) 647 (0.5) 647 (0.5) 642 (0.5) 642 (1.9), 556 (1.5) 642 (0.5) 642 (1.2) 617 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 617 (22.3), 545 (1.2) 617 (22.3), 545 (1.2) 617 (1.2) 617 (1.2)				Photoreaction	٩		
417 (39.1), 513 (1.9), 547 (0.6), 588 (0.6), 643 (0.3) 648, 715 0.045 ± 0.002 9.41 ± 0.01 86 0.0017 ± 0.0002 664 0.721 ± 0.002 418 (32.8), 515 (1.6), 549 (0.6), 548 (0.5), 647 (0.3) 647, 716 0.082 ± 0.001 5.71 ± 0.02 72 0.0022 ± 0.0003 747 0.159 ± 0.002 422 (26.1), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.5), 547 (0.4) 654, 716 0.082 ± 0.001 5.71 ± 0.01 712 0.0022 ± 0.0003 747 0.159 ± 0.022 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 654, 716 0.044 ± 0.001 6.52 ± 0.01 71 0.0017 ± 0.0003 394 0.714 ± 0.022 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 643, 714 0.041 \pm 0.002 11.19 \pm 0.02 64 0.154 \pm 0.002 394 0.154 \pm 0.002 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.037 \pm 0.002 64 0.0071 \pm 0.003 784 0.154 \pm 0.002 427 (37.2), 556 (1.5), 556 (0.5), 564 (0.5), 644 (0.4) 607, 661 0.037 \pm 0.002 71 0.0021 \pm 0.0003 930 0.158 \pm 0.0003 930 0.158 \pm 0.0003 427 (37.2), 556 (1.5), 556 (0.5), 564 (0.5), 644 (0.4) 0.037 \pm 0.002 2.44 \pm 0.01 74 0.0021 \pm 0.0003 930 </th <th>417 (39.1), 513 (1.9), 547 (0.6), 588 (0.6), 643 (0.3) 648, 715 0.045 ± 0.002 9,41 ± 0.01 86 0.0017 ± 0.0022 ± 0.0002 664 0.721 ± 0.002 418 (32.8), 515 (1.6), 539 (0.6), 548 (0.5) 644 (0.5) 654, 712 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.159 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.159 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.0129 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.0129 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.0129 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0029 ± 0.012 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0029 ± 0.0012 \pm 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 74.33, 556 (1.5), 556 (0.5), 560 (0.5), 641 7716 0.0003 741 0.00003 741 0.0003 741 0.0003 741 0.0003 741 0.00</th> <th>417 (39:1), 513 (1:9), 547 (0:6), 588 (0:6), 643 (0:3) 418 (32.8), 515 (1:6), 549 (0:6), 586 (0:5), 644 (0:3) 422 (261), 518 (1:5), 553 (0:9), 593 (0:6), 644 (0:3) 421 (42.3), 515 (1:6), 553 (0:7), 591 (0:6), 645 (0:4) 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0:7), 599 (0:6), 644 (0:4) 427 (37.2), 560 (1:5), 602 (0:5) 417 (44.3), 513 (1:9), 548 (0:6), 590 (0:6), 644 (0:4) 417 (22.3), 525 (1:9), 556 (0:5) 61 417 (22.3), 525 (1:2), 556 (0:5) 71 417 (22.3), 525 (1:2) 71 417 (122) 71 417 (126) 551 (12)</th> <th>$\frac{\lambda_{max}^{F}}{\lambda_{max}^{F}}$</th> <th>$\phi_{F}$</th> <th></th> <th>yield/% c</th> <th>Φ_{dec}^{d}</th> <th>$\Phi_{ ext{dec}} e^{ ext{e}}$</th> <th>$\Phi_{\Delta}^{f}$</th>	417 (39.1), 513 (1.9), 547 (0.6), 588 (0.6), 643 (0.3) 648, 715 0.045 ± 0.002 9,41 ± 0.01 86 0.0017 ± 0.0022 ± 0.0002 664 0.721 ± 0.002 418 (32.8), 515 (1.6), 539 (0.6), 548 (0.5) 644 (0.5) 654, 712 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.159 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.159 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.0129 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.0129 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 747 0.0129 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0029 ± 0.012 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0029 ± 0.0012 \pm 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0003 741 0.0229 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 0.0259 ± 0.0003 741 74.33, 556 (1.5), 556 (0.5), 560 (0.5), 641 7716 0.0003 741 0.00003 741 0.0003 741 0.0003 741 0.0003 741 0.00	417 (39:1), 513 (1:9), 547 (0:6), 588 (0:6), 643 (0:3) 418 (32.8), 515 (1:6), 549 (0:6), 586 (0:5), 644 (0:3) 422 (261), 518 (1:5), 553 (0:9), 593 (0:6), 644 (0:3) 421 (42.3), 515 (1:6), 553 (0:7), 591 (0:6), 645 (0:4) 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0:7), 599 (0:6), 644 (0:4) 427 (37.2), 560 (1:5), 602 (0:5) 417 (44.3), 513 (1:9), 548 (0:6), 590 (0:6), 644 (0:4) 417 (22.3), 525 (1:9), 556 (0:5) 61 417 (22.3), 525 (1:2), 556 (0:5) 71 417 (22.3), 525 (1:2) 71 417 (122) 71 417 (126) 551 (12)	$\frac{\lambda_{max}^{F}}{\lambda_{max}^{F}}$	ϕ_{F}		yield/% c	Φ_{dec}^{d}	$\Phi_{ ext{dec}} e^{ ext{e}}$	Φ_{Δ}^{f}
418 (32.8), 515 (16), 549 (0.6), 586 (0.5), 644 (0.3) 647, 716 0.082 ± 0.001 11.12 ± 0.02 72 0.00023 ± 0.0003 747 0.159 ± 0.003 422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5) 654, 720 0.049 ± 0.001 5.71 ± 0.01 96 0.00022 ± 0.0005 573 0.728 ± 0.029 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 647 (0.4) 653, 779 0.041 ± 0.001 5.71 ± 0.01 96 0.0012 ± 0.0003 394 0.714 ± 0.029 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 648, 715 0.076 ± 0.002 11.19 ± 0.02 64 0.0017 ± 0.0003 784 0.158 ± 0.003 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 526 (0.5) 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.053 ± 0.002 71 0.00021 ± 0.0003 930 0.231 ± 0.002 417 (23.2), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647, 714 0.053 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0225 ± 0.0006 9533 0.231 ± 0.002 417 (23.2), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647, 716 0.033 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 417 (23.2), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647, 716 0.033 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002	418 (32.8), 515 (1.6), 549 (0.6), 586 (0.5), 644 (0.3) 647, 716 0.082 \pm 0.001 11.12 \pm 0.02 72 0.0023 \pm 0.003 747 0.159 \pm 0.002 0.0299 \pm 0.003 0.029 \pm 0.003 0.029 \pm 0.003 0.029 \pm 0.003 0.029 \pm 0.003 0.0001 \pm 0.	418 (32.8), 515 (1.6), 549 (0.6), 586 (0.5), 644 (0.3) 422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5), 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 420 (46.2), 515 (1.9), 556 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.7) 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 717 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 717 (12.3), 525 (1.2) 717 (12.3), 545 (1.2) 714 (14) (14) 717 (12) (14)	() 648, 715	0.045 ± 0.002	9.41 ± 0.01	86	0.0017 ± 0.0002	664	0.721±0.002 ^g
422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5) 654, 720 0.049 ± 0.001 5.71 ± 0.01 96 0.00022 ± 0.0005 573 0.728 ± 0.0229 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 653, 719 0.041 ± 0.001 652 ± 0.01 71 0.00012 ± 0.0001 394 0.714 ± 0.0229 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 647 (0.4) 643, 715 0.076 ± 0.002 11.19 ± 0.02 64 0.0017 ± 0.0003 784 0.714 ± 0.0229 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.5), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 ± 0.001 1038 ± 0.02 71 0.00012 ± 0.0003 930 0.154 ± 0.003 427 (37.2) 556 (1.5), 602 (0.5), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 ± 0.001 10.38 ± 0.02 74 0.0022 ± 0.0006 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 417 (23.2) 556 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 0.01 ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.0014 102 0.2314 ± 0.002 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) 10 ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.014 ± 0.002 0.014 ± 0.002 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.014 ± 0.002 <td< td=""><td>422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5) 654, 720 0.049 \pm 0.001 5.71 \pm 0.01 96 0.0022 \pm 0.005 573 0.728 \pm 0.029 42 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 653, 719 0.001 655 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.0001 \pm 0.0001 394 0.714 \pm 0.002 41 (4.3, 515 (2.0), 548 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 647, 714 0.065 \pm 0.0002 11.19 \pm 0.02 64 0.0001 393 0.0158 \pm 0.003 73 0.0158 \pm 0.003 73 0.017 \pm 0.0003 730 0.158 \pm 0.003 73 0.0158 \pm 0.003 73 0.0001 \pm 0.0001 \pm</td><th>422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5) 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.1) 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 547 (1.2) 61 417 (196), 531 (1.4)</th><td>3) 647, 716</td><td>0.082 ± 0.001</td><td>11.12 ± 0.02</td><td>72</td><td>0.0023 ± 0.0003</td><td>747</td><td>0.159 ± 0.007</td></td<>	422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5) 654, 720 0.049 \pm 0.001 5.71 \pm 0.01 96 0.0022 \pm 0.005 573 0.728 \pm 0.029 42 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 653, 719 0.001 655 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.0001 \pm 0.0001 394 0.714 \pm 0.002 41 (4.3, 515 (2.0), 548 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 647, 714 0.065 \pm 0.0002 11.19 \pm 0.02 64 0.0001 393 0.0158 \pm 0.003 73 0.0158 \pm 0.003 73 0.017 \pm 0.0003 730 0.158 \pm 0.003 73 0.0158 \pm 0.003 73 0.0001 \pm	422 (26.1), 518 (1.5), 553 (0.9), 593 (0.6), 648 (0.5) 421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.1) 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 547 (1.2) 61 417 (196), 531 (1.4)	3) 647, 716	0.082 ± 0.001	11.12 ± 0.02	72	0.0023 ± 0.0003	747	0.159 ± 0.007
421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 653, 719 0.041 ± 0.001 6.52 ± 0.01 71 0.00012 ± 0.0001 394 0.714 ± 0.022 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.2) 648, 715 0.076 ± 0.002 11.19 ± 0.02 64 0.0017 ± 0.0003 784 0.154 ± 0.003 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 ± 0.001 10.38 ± 0.02 71 0.0021 ± 0.0003 784 0.154 ± 0.003 617 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 ± 0.001 74 0.0021 ± 0.0003 930 0.154 ± 0.003 617 (41.3), 513 (1.9), 526 (1.5) 602 (0.5), 644 (0.4) 647, 716 0.033 ± 0.001 74 0.0026 ± 0.0006 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 61 10.23 (1.5), 602 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 647, 716 0.033 ± 0.001 74 0.0004 102 0.245 ± 0.002 61 417 (23.2), 526 (1.9) 556 (0.5) 0.01 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 61 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.0 0 0.014 ± 0.002 647, 716 ND ND ND </td <td>421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 653, 719 0.041 \pm 0.001 6.52 \pm 0.01 71 0.0012 \pm 0.0001 394 0.714 \pm 0.022 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.2) 648, 715 0.0072 \pm 0.001 10.38 \pm 0.02 71 0.0017 \pm 0.0003 784 0.1058 \pm 0.003 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 544 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 \pm 0.001 10.38 \pm 0.02 71 0.00021 \pm 0.0003 933 930 0.158 \pm 0.002 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5), 644 (0.4) 647, 716 0.003 \pm 0.001 74 0.01 74 0.0003 933 930 0.245 \pm 0.002 417 (22.3), 556 (0.5) (0.5) (0.6), 644 (0.7) 661 0.003 \pm 0.001 74 0.01 74 0.0003 953 0.245 \pm 0.002 0.001 417 (22.3), 556 (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.7) (0.003 \pm 0.001 10) ND 74 0.0004 102 9533 0.245 \pm 0.002 0.001 417 (23.3), 545 (1.2) ND ND ND ND ND 00 0004 102 0.0004 102 0.0014 0.002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.00004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.00000000</td> <th>421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.7) 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 545 (1.2) 417 (196), 531 (1.4)</th> <td>5) 654, 720</td> <td>0.049 ± 0.001</td> <td>5.71 ± 0.01</td> <td>96</td> <td>0.0022 ± 0.0005</td> <td>573</td> <td>0.728 ± 0.0299</td>	421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 653, 719 0.041 \pm 0.001 6.52 \pm 0.01 71 0.0012 \pm 0.0001 394 0.714 \pm 0.022 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.2) 648, 715 0.0072 \pm 0.001 10.38 \pm 0.02 71 0.0017 \pm 0.0003 784 0.1058 \pm 0.003 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 544 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 \pm 0.001 10.38 \pm 0.02 71 0.00021 \pm 0.0003 933 930 0.158 \pm 0.002 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5), 644 (0.4) 647, 716 0.003 \pm 0.001 74 0.01 74 0.0003 933 930 0.245 \pm 0.002 417 (22.3), 556 (0.5) (0.5) (0.6), 644 (0.7) 661 0.003 \pm 0.001 74 0.01 74 0.0003 953 0.245 \pm 0.002 0.001 417 (22.3), 556 (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.7) (0.003 \pm 0.001 10) ND 74 0.0004 102 9533 0.245 \pm 0.002 0.001 417 (23.3), 545 (1.2) ND ND ND ND ND 00 0004 102 0.0004 102 0.0014 0.002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.00004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.00000000	421 (32.8), 516 (1.6), 553 (0.7), 591 (0.5), 647 (0.4) 420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.7) 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 417 (22.3), 545 (1.2) 417 (196), 531 (1.4)	5) 654, 720	0.049 ± 0.001	5.71 ± 0.01	96	0.0022 ± 0.0005	573	0.728 ± 0.0299
420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.2) 648, 715 0.076 ± 0.002 11.19 ± 0.02 64 0.0017 ± 0.0003 784 0.154 ± 0.009 421 (44.3) 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 ± 0.001 10.38 ± 0.02 71 0.0021 ± 0.0003 930 0.158 ± 0.003 617 (44.3) 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.033 ± 0.01 74 0.0021 ± 0.0003 930 0.158 ± 0.002 617 (41.3) 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.037 ± 0.012 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0256 ± 0.0006 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 617 (12.23) 556 (1.5) 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 0.01 ND ND 74 0.0004 102 0.014 ± 0.002 647, 716 ND ND ND ND 0 0.0 0 0.014 ± 0.002 647, 716 ND ND ND ND 0 0.0 0 0.014 ± 0.002 647, 716 ND ND ND 0 0.0 0 0.014 ± 0.002 647, 716 ND ND ND 0 0 0.0 0	420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0.2) 648, 715 0.076 \pm 0.002 11.19 \pm 0.02 64 0.0017 \pm 0.0003 784 0.158 \pm 0.003 217 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 \pm 0.002 71 0.0021 \pm 0.0003 930 0.158 \pm 0.002 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.003 \pm 0.001 74 0.01 74 0.00031 \pm 0.0003 930 0.245 \pm 0.0002 0.245 \pm 0.0002 0.231 \pm 0.002 0.231 \pm 0.002 0.231 \pm 0.002 0.003 \pm 0.001 417 (22.3), 545 (1.2) 0.003 \pm 0.001 ND ND ND ND 0 0 0.0004 102 0.0004 102 0.0004 102 0.0004 102 0.0004 102 0.0004 0.000 0 0.0014 \pm 0.002 0.0004 0.000 0 0.0014 \pm 0.002 0.0004 0.000 0 0.0004 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.	420 (46.2), 515 (2.0), 550 (0.7), 589 (0.6), 645 (0. 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4 2n 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) Pd 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) cu 427 (22.3), 545 (1.2) Ni 417 (196), 553 (1.4)	.) 653, 719	0.041 ± 0.001	6.52 ± 0.01	71	0.0012 ± 0.0001	394	0.714 ± 0.022^{9}
417 (44.3) 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4) 647, 714 0.063 ± 0.001 10.38 ± 0.02 71 0.0021 ± 0.003 930 0.158 ± 0.003 47 (47.3), 513 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 607, 661 0.037 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0256 ± 0.0006 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 41 (17 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647, 716 0.003 ± 0.001 ND 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 11 (41.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) ND ND ND 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 12 (12.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) ND ND ND 0 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 14 (17 (12.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.0 0 0.0014 ± 0.002	Zn 417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 644 (0.4) $647, 714$ 0.063 ± 0.001 10.38 ± 0.02 71 0.0021 ± 0.003 930 0.158 ± 0.003 Zn 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) $647, 716$ 0.037 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0256 ± 0.0006 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 Cu 420 (23.8), 556 (0.5) $647, 716$ 0.003 ± 0.001 ND 74 0.0024 102 0.231 ± 0.002 Cu 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) ND ND ND 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 Lu 417 (12.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND ND 0 0.00 0.004 102 0.014 ± 0.002 Lu 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.007 ± 0.002 Lu 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.007 ± 0.002 0.074 ± 0.002 Lu 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND 0 0.0 0.0 0.007 ± 0.002 Lut 416 (19.6), 531 (1.4) <	417 (44.3), 513 (1.9), 548 (0.6), 590 (0.6), 644 (0.4 Zn 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) Pd 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) Cu 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) A17 (196), 551 (1.4)	0.2) 648, 715	0.076 ± 0.002	11.19 ± 0.02	64	0.0017 ± 0.0003	784	0.154 ± 0.009
In 427 (37.2), 560 (15), 602 (0.5) 607, 661 0.037 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0256 ± 0.0006 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 Id 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647, 716 0.003 ± 0.001 ND 74 0.00266 ± 0.0006 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 id 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) ND ND ND 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 id 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) ND ND ND 0 0.0 0 0.014 ± 0.002 if 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.0 0 0.007 ± 0.002	Zn 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) 607, 661 0.037 ± 0.002 2.44 ± 0.01 74 0.0256 ± 0.0066 9533 0.245 ± 0.002 417 (22.33), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647, 716 0.003 ± 0.001 ND 74 0.00264 0.0006 9533 0.231 ± 0.002 U 417 (22.33), 545 (1.2) ND ND ND ND ND 0 0 0.00 4 0.002 0.0014 ± 0.002 U 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND ND ND ND 0 0 0.00 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 U 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND ND ND ND ND 0 0 0.00 0 0.007 ± 0.002 0 0.007 ± 0.002 0 0.0004 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0.0004 0.0002 0	Zn 427 (37.2), 560 (1.5), 602 (0.5) Pd 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) Cu 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) H1 7 (19.6), 551 (1.4)	4) 647, 714	0.063 ± 0.001	10.38 ± 0.02	71	0.0021 ± 0.0003	930	0.158 ± 0.003
d 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) 647, 716 0.003 ± 0.001 ND 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 ii 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.0014 ± 0.002 0.007 ± 0.002 ii 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.007 ± 0.002 0.007 ± 0.002	Dd 417 (22.3), 525 (19), 556 (0.5) 647, 716 0.003 ± 0.001 ND 74 0.0004 102 0.231 ± 0.002 Cu 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) ND ND ND 0 0 0 0 0 0.014 ± 0.002 0.014 ± 0.002 Ni 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.007 ± 0.002 Notions in arrated DMSO, c = 3 × 10^-6 M. ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.0 0.007 ± 0.002 Intoins in arrated DMSO, c = 3 × 10^-6 M. ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.0 0.007 ± 0.002 Intoins in arrated DMSO, c = 3 × 10^-6 M. ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.0 0.007 ± 0.002 Intoins in arrated DMSO, c = 3 × 10^-6 M. ND ND ND 0 0.0 0.000 0 0.007 ± 0.002 Intoins in arrated DMSO, c = 3 × 10^-6 M. ND ND ND 0.0 0.0 0.000 0 0.007 ± 0.002 Intoins in arrated DMSO, c = 3 × 10^-6 M. ND ND 0 0.0 0.0 <t< td=""><th>Pd 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) Cu 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) Ni 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4)</th><td>607, 661</td><td>0.037 ± 0.002</td><td>2.44 ± 0.01</td><td>74</td><td>0.0256 ± 0.0006</td><td>9533</td><td>0.245 ± 0.002</td></t<>	Pd 417 (22.3), 525 (1.9), 556 (0.5) Cu 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) Ni 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4)	607, 661	0.037 ± 0.002	2.44 ± 0.01	74	0.0256 ± 0.0006	9533	0.245 ± 0.002
In 420 (23.8), 545 (12) ND ND ND 0 0.014 ± 0.002 II 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.007 ± 0.002	Cu 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) ND ND ND 0 0.014 ± 0.002 Ni 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.014 ± 0.002 No ND ND ND ND 0 0.01 ± 0.002 Untons in aerated DMSO, c = 3 × 10 ⁻⁶ M. ND ND 0 0.00 0.007 ± 0.002 Intons in aerated DMSO, c = 3 × 10 ⁻⁶ M. ND ND 0 0.00 0.007 ± 0.002	Cu 420 (23.8), 545 (1.2) Ni 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4)	647, 716	0.003 ± 0.001	ND	74	0.0004	102	0.231 ± 0.002
II 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND 0 0.07 ± 0.007 ± 0.002	Ni 417 (19.6), 531 (1.4) ND ND ND ND 0 0.00 0 0.007 \pm 0.00 0 0 0.007 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002	Ni 417 (19.6). 531 (1.4)	ND	ND	ND	0	0.0	0	0.014 ± 0.002
	Iutions in aerated DMSO, $c = 3 \times 10^{-6}$ M. Interaction parameters were measured in degassed DMSO except for quantum yields of the singlet oxygen formation (ϕ_Δ). The release.		QN	ND	ND	0	0.0	0	0.007 ± 0.002
		otoreaction parameters were measured in degassed DMSO except for quantum emical vield of the release.	r yields of the singlet oxygen for	mation (ϕ_{Δ}).					

that the molecule is taken up into cells and appears to be localized in punctate structures that do not co-localize with lysosomal compartments (Fig. 3d, e). The maximum intracellular signal was observed after 2-h preincubation (Supplementary Fig. 7a, b). Cell viability measurements (using a Coulter counter) demonstrated that compound **5**, bearing a non-toxic LG, has low lightdependent toxicity (Fig. 3f), which was attributed to the photodynamic effect (in accord with Φ_{Δ} ; Table 1). In comparison, **13** showed a much lower IC₅₀ when exposed to 545 or 640 nm light (IC₅₀ = 2.6 and 2.8 μ M, respectively), the values of which are similar to or lower than that of free MTX at the same concentration. Collectively, the above results confirm the viability of *meso*-methylporphyrin as a versatile PPG scaffold.

Metal-containing porphyrin PPGs. The ability of porphyrin to chelate a large number of metal cations provides an unprecedented approach to manipulating the properties of this PPG. We synthesized complexes of 6 chelating metal cations with distinct electronic configurations (i.e., Zn(II), Pd(II), Cu(II), or Ni(II) in compounds 6-Zn, 6-Pd, 6-Cu, and 6-Ni respectively; Fig. 4a). A single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 6-Zn is shown in Supplementary Fig. 8 (Supplementary Table 2). The photophysical and photochemical properties of metal porphyrins are summarized in Table 1. The metal-complexes 6-Pd, 6-Cu, and 6-Ni exhibited λ_{max}^{abs} values similar to that of 6 but with lower molar absorption coefficients $(\varepsilon_{\text{max}})$ and fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_{F} , Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 2). In comparison, derivative 6-Zn possessed a 9-nm red-shifted λ_{max}^{abs} value, a slightly higher ε_{max} , and a shorter fluorescence lifetime. Upon irradiation at 410 nm in DMSO solutions, the release of DMAP was observed from 6-Zn and 6-Pd in 74% chemical yields. However, no photorelease was observed for derivatives 6-Cu and 6-Ni (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 3). The photodecomposition quantum yield Φ_{dec} of **6-Zn** was more than an order of magnitude higher than that of the free-ligand derivative 6 (Table 1), resulting in an extraordinarily high uncaging cross section of $\Phi_{dec} \epsilon_{max} = 9533 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, one of the highest to be reported to date^{7,8}. The photodecomposition of **6-Pd** was ~6-times less efficient than that of 6.

We also examined an excitation wavelength (λ_{exc}) dependence of fluorescence and photorelease efficiencies. Analogous to compound **6**, $\Phi_{\rm F}$ was found to be practically λ_{exc} independent for **6-Zn** in the range of 420–560 nm (Supplementary Table 1). More importantly, the quantum yield values of DMAP release ($\Phi_{\rm r}$; HPLC) from **6-Zn** were found within a narrow range of 0.016, 0.008, and 0.007 when irradiated at Soret and Q bands at $\lambda_{\rm irr} = 427, 560$, and 600 nm, respectively (Supplementary Table 3); therefore, the entire visible range can be used for excitation. The $\Phi_{\rm r}$ values are lower than those of $\Phi_{\rm dec}$ because the maximum chemical yield of LG release reached 74% (i.e., 26% of excited molecules are decomposed unproductively; Table 1).

Mechanistic studies. A detailed mechanistic study was carried out to understand the photochemistry of LG photorelease from *meso*-methylporphyrin derivatives and support the further development of these hybrid-class PPGs.

Photoproducts. A solvent-capture photoproduct **4a** was formed upon irradiation of **6** in degassed DMSO/water, along with porphyrin-*meso*-carbaldehyde **14** and other unidentified photoproducts (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 9). The formation of **4a** pointed either to a *meso*-methyl cation intermediacy, analogous to that observed in *meso*-methyl BODIPY PPGs²³, or to an S_N2-like process. Aldehyde **14** was most probably formed upon oxidation by DMSO (Supplementary Fig. 9c), as previously reported in some coumarin PPGs²⁴. Significantly higher amounts of the solvent-capture



Fig. 3 *Meso-methylporphyrin PPG is functional in cellular environment.* **a** Synthesis of *meso-*methylporphyrin derivatives bearing anti-cancer drugs. **i**: mesylchloride, indibulin, 20 °C. **i**: methotrexate, DMAP, DCC, 20 °C. **b** Absorption spectra of anti-cancer-caged *meso-*methylporphyrins (3 μ M, aerated DMSO). Inlet: a 10-fold magnification of the Q-band regions. **c** Photorelease of leaving groups from *meso-*methylporphyrin derivatives **12** and **13** (25 μ M, degassed DMSO, $\lambda_{irr} = 410$ nm, 40 mW cm⁻² or 545 nm 52 mW cm⁻²; HPLC-MS analysis). **d** Representative fluorescence microscopy images of the cellular distribution of **13** in cultured murine 4T1 mammary carcinoma cells. Red: compound **13**, green: LysoTracker Green. **e** Co-localization parameters of **13** and LysoTracker Green. The middle line represents the mean, and error bars represent the standard deviation. **f** Calculated IC₅₀ concentrations of **5** and **13** in cultured murine 4T1 mammary carcinoma cells following or not irradiation with 545 nm (5 min) or 640 nm (10 min) light. The experiment was repeated at least three times in triplicates; error bars represent the standard deviation.



Fig. 4 Metal-containing *meso-***methylporphyrin PPGs: synthesis and photochemistry. a** Synthesis of metal-containing *meso-*methylporphyrins followed by the installation of DMAP as a model leaving group. **b** Absorption spectra of DMAP-caged metal-containing *meso-*methylporphyrin derivatives (3 μ M, aerated DMSO); that of an analogous metal-free *meso-*methylporphyrin (**6**) is shown for comparison. Inlet: a 10-fold magnification of the Q-bands region. **c** Photorelease of DMAP from metal-containing *meso-*methylporphyrin derivatives (25 μ M, degassed DMSO, $\lambda_{irr} = 410$ nm, 40 mW cm⁻²; HPLC-MS analysis), the reaction of an analogous metal-free *meso-*methylporphyrin (**6**) is shown for comparison. Inlet: focus on early time points.



Fig. 5 Photoreaction kinetics of DMAP-caged porphyrin derivatives. a Photoproducts formed upon photoexcitation (λ_{irr} = 410 nm, 18 mW cm⁻²) of 6 (25 µM) in a degassed DMSO solutions. b Photochemistry of 6 (3 µM) in aerated or degassed DMSO solutions. c Photochemistry of 6 (3 µM) in aerated or degassed methanol solutions (λ_{irr} = 420 nm) and in the presence of a singlet oxygen generator (rose bengal, RB, λ_{irr} = 545 nm, 52 mW cm⁻²) or quencher (furfuryl alcohol, FFA). Inlet: focus on early time points.

photoproduct compared to that of **6** were found upon irradiation of **6-Zn** in degassed DMSO/water or methanol solutions (Supplementary Fig. 10), implying a profound effect of the metal type on the porphyrin PPG photochemistry^{25,26}.

Effects of oxygen. Monitoring the photodegradation of 6 and 6-Zn at short time intervals and low-intensity light (410 nm, 3.2 mW cm^{-2}) revealed a delay in the onset of photolysis when performed in aerated compared to degassed DMSO or DMSO/ water solutions (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 11). In contrast, the photodegradation of 6 in methanol was observed only in the absence of oxygen (Fig. 5c). In addition, the photoreaction of 6 in aerated methanol proceeded with the same efficiency in the presence of a singlet oxygen quencher (furfuryl alcohol, Fig. 5c and Supplementary Fig. 12), which excluded singlet oxygen as a photorelease mediator. These results suggest that the initial ¹O₂ generation by an excited porphyrin outcompetes the photorelease, which is in accord with the measured quantum yields of both processes (Φ_{Δ} is ~2 orders of magnitude higher than Φ_{dec} , Table 1). The unusual photoreaction course observed in aerated DMSO solutions thus most probably reflects the initial quenching of the excited porphyrin by oxygen to form singlet oxygen, which is depleted (removed) from a solution in the presence of DMSO molecules²⁷, and then the photorelease can proceed efficiently. This competing process is not available in methanol. A similar effect of local molecular oxygen depletion on a photoreaction has recently been reported for the photoactivation of gold(I) aryl ethynyl complexes phosphorescence²⁸. The ability of groundstate oxygen to quench the photorelease process also suggests that the reaction proceeds from the triplet excited state.

The singlet oxygen production efficiencies of $\Phi_{\Delta} = 0.16, 0.25$, and 0.23, determined for **6**, **6-Zn**, and **6-Pd**, respectively, in methanol, and relatively low fluorescence quantum yields measured for these compounds (Table 1) were used to estimate the upper limit of ISC quantum yield (<0.7). The relatively low values of Φ_{dec} (<0.02) are approximately 2 orders of magnitude lower than those of Φ_{Δ} ; therefore, the production of singlet oxygen is the major competing pathway to the LG release. Fortunately, singlet oxygen is not primarily responsible for the chemical degradation of the PPGs in our work (for example, the excitation of a singlet oxygen generator, rose bengal, at $\lambda_{\rm irr} = 545$ nm in the presence of **6** in aerated methanol did not lead to its decomposition, Fig. 5c).

Transient spectroscopy. The excited-state radiative and nonradiative deactivation pathways for metal-free and metalcontaining model porphyrin derivatives (tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin, see Supplementary Section 3), which do not bear an LG, and for porphyrin PPGs, **6**, **6-Zn**, **6-Pd**, and **6-Cu**, were studied by femtosecond (fs) and nanosecond (ns) transient absorption (TA) spectroscopies. We found that neither the absence of an LG in the *meso*-methyl position nor the presence of 4-carboxyl groups on *meso*-phenyl substituents in the model derivatives had any significant effect on the spectroscopic behavior of all studied compounds.

The fs-TA spectra, evolution-associated spectrum (EAS) components, and their relative populations for porphyrins 6, 6-Zn, 6-Pd, and 6-Cu in DMSO are shown in Supplementary Fig. 13, and the data are summarized in Table 2. Global analyses identified four evolution-associated spectra (EAS) components for 6 and 6-Zn. As in the case of the model porphyrins, we can attribute the observed components (EAS1, EAS2) to the transitions occurring within Q bands (k_Q^1 and k_Q^2 , Table 2), such as vibrational cooling or conformational relaxation. For example, it has been reported that conformational relaxation in tetraphenylporphyrin occurs in the ~100 ps time scale²⁹. Analogous to the kinetics of the model compounds (see Supplementary Section 3) and also other porphyrin derivatives³⁰⁻³⁵, the transition between EAS3 and EAS4 was attributed to singlet-triplet intersystem crossing (ISC; $k_{\rm ISC} = 1.7 \times 10^8 \, {\rm s}^{-1}$ and $2.2 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **6** and **6-Zn**, respectively). A much faster ISC ($k_{\text{ISC}} = 1.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and only two EAS components were

	$k_{\rm Q}^{\rm 1}/{ m s}^{-1}$	<i>k</i> _Q ² ∕s ^{−1}	k _{isc} ∕s ^{−1}	<i>k</i> _d /s ⁻¹				$arPsi_{F}$	$\tau_{\rm F}/{\rm ns}$
				DMSO		Methanol		_	
				Aerated	Degassed	Aerated	Degassed	_	
5	4.1 × 10 ¹⁰	3.7 × 10 ⁹	1.7 × 10 ⁸	6.3 × 10 ⁵	9.1 × 10 ⁴	3.3 × 10 ⁶	1.7 × 10 ⁵	0.082	11.12
5-Zn	6.9 × 10 ¹⁰	8.0 × 10 ⁹	2.2 × 10 ⁸	4.0 × 10 ⁵	6.0 × 10 ⁴	2.1 × 10 ⁶	9.4 × 10 ⁴	0.037	2.44
5-Pd	ND	ND	1.6 × 10 ¹⁰	6.7 × 10 ⁵	1.1 × 10 ⁵	3.2 × 10 ⁶	6.6 × 10 ⁵	0.0034	ND
6-Cu	ND	ND	ND	2.9 × 10 ¹⁰				ND	ND

^afs-TA spectroscopy: $\lambda_{exc} = 387$ nm; concentrations -0.2-0.4 mM. ns-TA spectroscopy: $\lambda_{exc} = 355$ nm; concentrations 6-18 μ M. k_Q^1 and k_Q^2 —the rate constants of transitions within the Q states, k_{ISC} —intersystem crossing, k_d —triplet-state decay, Φ_F —fluorescence quantum yield, τ_F —fluorescence lifetime. ND = not determined. Emission properties were recorded in DMSO.

observed for **6-Pd**, which is related to the heavy-atom effect of the Pd atom.

The fs-TA spectra of a Cu(II) derivative 6-Cu displayed a narrow band at ~440 nm in the ps time scale (EAS1), but the rapid subsequent processes made it difficult to monitor all evolution steps. A weak EAS1 \rightarrow EAS2 transition ($k = 6.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1}$) was followed by the appearance of a very weak transient signal in the region of 440-520 nm (EAS3), and it was accompanied by an artifact monitored at 530-700 nm, attributed to the signal of the solvated electron³⁶. The decay rate constant of the EAS2 \rightarrow EAS3 transition was determined to be $k = 2.9 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 2). This observed kinetics is analogous to that of the model Cu-porphyrin derivative discussed in Supplementary Section 3. The previous interpretations of analogous data assigned the first two transients as a tripdoublet ²T state, a ²[d,d] exciplex state, formed by the ligation with O-coordinating solvents, respectively³⁶⁻³⁸. We conclude that the uncaging process from 6-Cu cannot compete with a very fast radiationless decay of the productive excited state.

The ns-TA spectra of **6**, **6-Zn**, and **6-Pd** in aerated and degassed DMSO or methanol are shown in Supplementary Fig. 14, and the data are summarized in Table 2. The triplet lifetimes of these compounds were found to be ~6-times shorter in aerated than degassed DMSO due to quenching of the triplet state by oxygen. Shorter triplet lifetimes in **6-Pd** are related to a faster triplet–singlet ISC (heavy atom effect)^{30,31,34,35}. Very similar kinetic data were obtained in methanol solutions (Table 2); all rate constants were only slightly faster than those obtained in DMSO. It is worth mentioning that the triplet lifetimes of porphyrin PPGs show a clear correlation with their photode-composition quantum yields (Φ_{dec}), further indicating that the photorelease of an LG proceeds via the triplet excited state.

Quantum chemical calculations and the proposed release mechanism. Nucleophilic substitution of a leaving group, calculated on simplified *meso*-methylporphyrin model compounds bearing an LG (Supplementary Section 4), was found to be a slightly endergonic process for the reaction with water as a nucleophile under standard conditions (Supplementary Table 6). Based on the experimental observations discussed in detail above and ab initio calculations and simulations, we propose the photoreaction mechanism involving several key steps: the formation of a triplet excited molecule from the singlet excited state via intersystem crossing, the release of an LG to give a carbocation in the triplet state, and its intersystem crossing and the reaction with a nucleophile (Fig. 6).

Porphyrin derivatives can be excited into a highly absorbing Soret band. This state typically represents a third or fourth excited state in free-base or Zn porphyrins^{19,39–41}, as was also simulated on model free-base, Zn, and Pd porphyrins using the TDDFT/ BMK, CIS, and ROCIS methods (Supplementary Table 7). In contrast, model Cu porphyrin possesses a large number of weakly absorbing states below the Soret bands (Supplementary Table 7b). Our dynamical simulations on free-base, Zn, and Pd porphyrins, the models for 6, 6-Zn, and 6-Pd derivatives, predict an ultrafast internal conversion, completed in ~100 fs (Supplementary Fig. 20). These porphyrins in the S_1 state deactivate primarily via intersystem crossing (Supplementary Table 8). Indeed, the importance of coupling between vibrations and electronic transitions has previously been emphasized⁴⁰. Based on our calculations, we propose that the leaving group as an anion is liberated from the triplet state hereby formed via an S_N1-like mechanism, which is the rate-limiting step for the overall release process. The calculated activation Gibbs energies for the formation of the corresponding carbocation in the triplet state, strongly stabilized by resonance, varied in the range of 0.8-1 eV (Supplementary Table 9), which corresponds to the rate constants of up to 10^5 s^{-1} . A long triplet lifetime is critical for the release process and controls its efficiency. Note that the energy barriers are similar for the cleavage from the S₁ electronic state, which, however, has a much shorter lifetime, detrimental to the release. The situation is different for 6-Cu, where the metal d-electrons strongly interact with those of the porphyrin ring, resulting in a very efficient excited-state deactivation (tens of picoseconds)^{36,37,42}. This fast process is usually interpreted in the literature as IC to the ${}^{2}S_{1}$ state (the S₁ state of porphyrin with a doublet state of Cu^{2+}), accompanied by fast ISC to the ${}^{2}T_{1}$ state, where a longer kinetic component is assigned to the formation of ligand-porphyrin exciplex or the formation of dark chargetransfer ²T₁ states^{36,37,42}. Based on our ab initio calculations, we suggest another possible deactivation pathway; porphyrin 6-Cu has a much lower ${}^{2}S_{0}/{}^{2}S_{1}$ gap, as the ${}^{2}S_{1}$ state is located well below the Q band, and, therefore, direct IC is possible as an alternative deactivation channel (Supplementary Table 7b). This view is strongly supported by our experimental transient-absorption data (see Table 2 and, for model Cu-porphyrin systems, also Supplementary Fig. 17). A shorter lifetime of the EAS1 \rightarrow EAS2 transition (see above) is then interpreted as IC from the Soret band states to the ²S₁ state with no ISC involved and a longer lifetime (EAS2 \rightarrow EAS3) would correspond to IC from ²S₁ to the ground state.

In conclusion, the *meso*-methylporphyrin derivatives introduced in this work possess several favorable properties for a PPG, including structural modularity, strong absorbance of light in the visible range of the spectrum, and high photouncaging efficiencies. The capacity to generate up to four productive photocleavable sites within a single molecule should enable the concomitant release of different leaving groups and could, for example, be valuable in the construction of light-responsive polymer networks or hydrogels^{43–47}. The relatively high efficiency of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ generation exhibited by the porphyrin derivatives reported herein might be a limiting factor in some biological applications, where the photodynamic effect is detrimental, although several



Fig. 6 Photochemistry of DMAP-caged porphyrin derivatives. a The proposed photorelease mechanism for porphyrin PPGs. b An extended Jablonski diagram for free-base, Zn, and Pd porphyrin PPGs. Intensities of the UV/visible transitions are also depicted. c An extended Jablonski diagram for a Cu porphyrin PPG.

mitigation strategies are known^{48–52}. On the other hand, efficient ${}^{1}O_{2}$ generation can be synergistic to caging cytotoxic drugs^{4,53}.

The *meso*-methylporphyrin group represents a novel prototype of a PPG that unites traditionally exclusive properties of organic and metal-complex PPGs within a single structure. The functional separation between the metal-binding chromophore and the site of leaving group release allows for an elective introduction of metal ions to manipulate its photophysical and photochemical properties. We expect that this approach could be implemented to repurpose additional known metal-binding ligands as PPGs, opening thus a new chemical space for the design of photocaged compounds with unique structural, chemical and photochemical properties.

Methods

General materials and methods. Reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Combi-Blocks, or TCI and used as received unless otherwise stated. Anhydrous solvents and reagents (dichloromethane (DCM), tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), and dimethylformamide (DMF)) were obtained as Sure Seal bottles from Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, or ACROS OrganicsTM, dried using the standard methods when necessary. Thin-layer chromatography and flash chromatography were performed using EMD pre-coated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates and silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh), respectively. Preparative HPLCs were performed on a Waters HPLC chromatograph with an XBridge C18 column (100 × 19 mm, 5 µm) with water containing TFA (0.1%, solvent A) and acetonitrile containing TFA (0.1%, solvent B) with the flow rate of 15 mL min⁻¹. For model compounds, HPLC-UV/Vis analyses were performed on an Agilent 1260 Infinity II HPLC system with a SIELC Obelisc N column (150 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) using a water (0.1% TFA)/acetonitrile gradient of 10% to 100% of solvent B at a flow rate of 2 mL min⁻¹.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were collected in CDCl₃ or DMSO-*d*₆ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Cambridge, MA) at 400 and 101 MHz, respectively, at 25 °C using a Bruker Advance III spectrometer at the Department of Chemistry NMR Facility at Tel-Aviv University or on 300 and 125 MHz spectrometers at the Department of Organic Chemistry at Masaryk University, Brno. All chemical shifts are reported in the standard δ notation of parts per million (ppm) relative to either the tetramethylsilane signal (TMS, $\delta = 0.00$ ppm) or residual solvent peak as an internal reference. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the CDCl₃ or DMSO-*d*₆ signal as internal standards. HPLC-MS analysis was performed on a Waters HPLC SYNAPT system with an XBridge C18 column (100 × 3 mm, 5 µm) using a water (0.1% of TFA)/acetonitrile (0.1% of TFA) gradient from 0 to 100% of solvent B at the flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. Low-resolution ESI mass spectrometry was performed on an LC/MS Acquity QDa detector coupled with the Waters HPLC.

Absorption and emission spectroscopy. UV-vis absorbance spectra were recorded on an Agilent Cary 60 UV-vis spectrophotometer with 1 cm quartz cells in aerated DMSO at room temperature for all synthetic porphyrins. Molar absorption coefficients (ϵ) and absorbance maxima (λ_{max}) were determined in DMSO using Beer's law from the plots of absorbance vs. concentration in 1 cm quartz cells in DMSO. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on an automated

luminescence spectrometer in 1 cm quartz fluorescence cuvettes at 25 ± 1 °C; the sample concentration was set to keep the absorbance below 0.1 at λ_{max} ; each sample was measured three times, and the spectra were averaged. Fluorescence quantum yields were determined using an integration sphere as the absolute values. For each sample, the fluorescence quantum yield was measured five times, and the results were averaged. Time-resolved fluorescence decays were determined using a nanosecond hydrogen arc lamp as the light source and time-correlated single-photon counting as the detection method. The fluorescence lifetimes were calculated from the first-order exponential decay fitting.

Monitoring photolysis by absorption spectroscopy. A solution of the given porphyrin (3 μ M in 3 mL of DMSO or methanol or DMSO/PBS (1:1), either degassed (argon purged) or aerated solvent system) was placed in a 1 cm PTFE screw-cap fluorescence quartz cuvette equipped with an internal magnetic stirrer. The sample was irradiated by a Prizmatix light source (410/40 Mic-LED, UHP-T-White equipped with 545/30, or 640/40 nm filter) or by a 420/30 nm 32 LEDs light source (lab-made). Full absorption spectra of the sample were recorded at the time intervals specified for each experiment using a diode-array spectrophotometer in a kinetic mode. The reaction progress was monitored as a change in the absorbance at λ_{max} of the respective porphyrin. Light intensity at the center of the cuvette was measured by a light meter (OPHIR photonics) in all experiments. Where specified, the singlet oxygen quencher (furfuryl alcohol, FFA, 150 μ M) or the singlet oxygen generator (rose bengal, RB, 9 μ M) were added to the reaction mixture before irradiation.

Monitoring photolysis by HPLC analysis. A solution of the given porphyrin (25 μ M in 3 mL of DMSO or methanol or DMSO/PBS (1:1), either degassed (argon purged) or aerated) in a 1 cm path length PTEE screw-cap fluorescence quartz cuvette equipped with an internal magnetic stirrer was irradiated with a Prizmatix light source (410/40 Mic-LED, UHP-T-White equipped with 545/30, or 640/40 nm filter). Decomposition of the porphyrin PPGs and release of the leaving group was quantitated by HPLC-MS analyses (XBridge C18 column (100 × 3 mm, 5 μ m) using water (0.1% of TFA)/acetonitrile (0.1% of TFA) gradient of 0–100% of solvent B at a flow rate of 1 mL/min). To monitor and quantify DMAP release, an Obelics N column (150 × 4.6 mm, 100 Å, 5 μ m) was used on a similar HPLC-MS setup. Calibration curves for all caged reference compounds and photoproducts were generated in the range of 5–150 μ M.

Photodecomposition quantum yields (Φ_{dec}). Photodecomposition quantum yields for porphyrin PPGs were determined at $\lambda_{irr} = 410$ nm (LEDs or a mercuryxenon arc lamp) in degassed DMSO solutions (freeze-pump-thaw technique; 3 cycles) using ferrioxalate as an actinometer. The reaction conversions were always kept below 10% to avoid the interference of photoproducts. The data were processed using single value decomposition (SVD), assuming the first-order rate law. The amount of absorbed light was calculated from the whole emission spectrum of the light source. All quantum yield measurements were repeated at least 3 times with independently prepared samples.

The rate of porphyrin decomposition can be expressed as

$$v_{\rm r} = -\frac{d[\rm Por]}{dt} = \frac{dn(\rm Por)}{Vdt} \tag{1}$$

where v_r is the rate of decomposition, [Por] is the concentration of a porphyrin derivative, n(Por) is the amount of a porphyrin derivative in moles, and V is the sample volume. The photodecomposition quantum yield Φ_{dec} was calculated

according to:

$$\Phi_{\rm dec} = \frac{dn(\rm Por)}{q_{n,p}^0 [1 - 10^{-A(\lambda)}] dt}$$
(2)

where Φ_{dec} is the photodecomposition quantum yield, n(Por) is the amount of a porphyrin derivative in moles, $q_{n,p}^0$ is the spectral photon flux of incident photons $(m^{-2} s^{-1})$, $A(\lambda)$ is the absorbance of the sample at the given wavelength.

Combining the two equations gives:

$$\Phi_{\rm dec} = \frac{v_r [\rm Por] V}{q_{n,p}^0 [1 - 10^{-A(\lambda)}]}$$
(3)

Determination of quantum yields of the singlet oxygen formation (${m \Phi}_{\Delta}$). The singlet oxygen formation quantum yields (Φ_{Λ}) of all porphyrins were determined in either methanol or aerated benzene as a solvent with 9,10-dimethylanthracene (DMA) as a singlet oxygen quencher using flavonol or tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP) 54,55 as a standard photosensitizer. The singlet oxygen formation quantum yield is expressed as⁵⁶

$$\Phi_{\Delta} \frac{I_{\rm abs}}{k} = \Phi_{\Delta}^{\rm ref} \frac{I_{\rm abs}^{\rm ref}}{k^{\rm ref}}$$
(4)

where "ref" denotes the reference compound, k denotes the first-order rate constant of the DMA consumption determined from the decrease in the absorption peak at 400 nm, and I_{abs} is the absorption of excitation light by the photosensitizers (PS), which is dependent on the concentration, the molar absorption coefficient, and the intensity of the incident light. The relationship can be described by:

$$I_{\rm abs} = \int I_{\rm laser}(\lambda)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon(\lambda)cl})d\lambda$$
⁽⁵⁾

where $I_{\text{laser}}(\lambda)$ is the normalized emission spectrum of the 410 nm laser, $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is the absorption coefficient, c is the concentration of the dye, and l is the path length of the fluorescence quartz cuvette.

Transient absorption spectroscopy. The nanosecond laser flash photolysis setup was generally operated in a right-angle arrangement of the pump and probe beams. Laser pulses of ≤700 ps duration at 355 nm (160 mJ) were obtained from an Nd:YAG laser. The laser beam was dispersed onto a 40-mm long and 10-mm wide modified fluorescence cuvette held in a laying arrangement. An over pulsed Xe arc lamp was used as the probe light source. Kinetic decay traces were recorded using a photomultiplier. Transient absorption spectra were obtained using an ICCD camera equipped with a spectrograph. Samples were degassed by three freezepump-thaw cycles or nitrogen bubbling for at least 15 min.

Femtosecond transient absorption was measured with the pump-super continuum probe technique using a Ti/Sa laser system (775 nm, pulse energy of 0.9 mJ, full width at half-maximum of 150 fs, operating frequency of 426 Hz). One part of the beam was fed into a non-collinear optical parametric amplifier (NOPA). The output at 387 nm was frequency-doubled by a $\hat{\beta}$ -barium borate (BBO) crystal to $\lambda = 266$ nm and, upon compression, elicited pump pulses of 1 µJ energy and <150 fs pulse width. The probe beam was generated by focusing the 775 nm beam in front of a CaF2 plate with a 2 mm path length that produced a supercontinuum spanning a wavelength range of 270-690 nm. The pump and probe beams were focused to a 0.2 mm spot on the sample that was flowing in an optical cell with a thickness of 0.4 mm. The probe beam and a reference signal obtained by passing the solution next to the pump beam were spectrally dispersed and registered with two photodiode arrays (512 pixels). Transient absorption spectra were calculated from the ratio of the two beams. The pump-probe cross-correlation was <100 fs over the entire spectrum. The measurements were performed at ambient temperature (20 ± 2 °C). The data of the fs TA spectrum was collected until 3.1 ns. The global analysis was performed to get evolution-associated spectra (EAS). Each kinetic rate constant for the rise and decay of an EAS component was determined from the fitting result. Femtosecond TA spectra of 6, 6-Zn, 6-Pd, and 6-Cu derivatives were measured in DMSO. Nanosecond TA spectra measurements were carried out in DMSO and methanol using the 355-nm excitation for 6, 6-Zn, and 6-Pd.

X-ray crystallography. Data were collected on a Bruker KAPPA APEX II diffractometer equipped with an APEX II CCD detector using a TRIUMPH monochromator with a Mo K_{α} X-ray source ($\alpha = 0.710$, 73 Å). The crystals were mounted on a cryoloop with Paratone oil, and all data were collected at 293 K. Crystal structures were solved using direct methods followed by full-matrix leastsquares refinements against F² (all data are in HKLF 4 format) using SHELXTL⁵⁷. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with independent anisotropic displacement parameters, and hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically and refined using the riding model. Structure solution, refinement, graphics, and creation of publication materials were performed on SHELXL 97, PLATON 9958, and WinGX system Ver-1.6414.

Stability in the dark. Stock solutions of all porphyrin compounds (DMSO, ~2 mM) were stored in the dark at 4 °C for at least 9 months and were found to be chemically stable by LC/MS analysis.

Cell culture materials and methods. L-Glutamine, penicillin, streptomycin, nystatin, sodium pyruvate, HEPES buffer, Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS), Trypsin EDTA, and mycoplasma detection kit were purchased from Biological Industries Ltd. (Kibbutz Beit HaEmek, Israel). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium were purchased from Gibco-Thermo Fisher (Waltham, MA, USA). D-(+)-Glucose was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Rehovot, Israel). Green LysoTracker was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Cell culture. Murine mammary adenocarcinoma 4T1 cells were purchased from American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). 4T1 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with FBS (10%), penicillin (100 U mL⁻¹), streptomycin (100 µg mL⁻¹), nystatin (12.5 U mL⁻¹), L-glutamine (2 mM), glucose 45% (42 mM), sodium pyruvate (1 mM), and HEPES buffer (10 mM). Cells were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination with a mycoplasma detection kit. Cells were grown at 37 °C, under CO₂ (5%).

Cytotoxicity assays. 4T1 cells were seeded on 6-well plates (5×10^3 cells per well) and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were then incubated with the indicated concentrations of 5 or 13 in DMSO dissolved in a cell culture medium for 3 h. Following incubation, wells were washed twice with PBS, and a fresh medium was added. Cells were irradiated at 545 nm for 5 min or 640 nm for 10 min or kept in the dark. Following irradiation, cells were kept at 37 °C, under CO₂ (5%) in the dark for 72 h. Following incubation, cells were washed with PBS, detached by trypsin EDTA and counted by a Coulter counter (Beckman Coulter, Brea CA, USA). The concentration required to inhibit 50% cell survival in vitro is represented by the inhibitory concentration IC₅₀.

Cellular distribution. 4T1 cells were seeded on glass-bottom 24-well plates (Cellvis, California, USA; 5×10^3 cells per well) and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were then incubated with 100 $\mu \dot{M}$ of 13 and dissolved in a cell culture medium for 3 h. Cells were then washed with PBS and incubated with 75 nM of green LysoTracker for 30 min. Following incubation, wells were washed twice with PBS, and fresh PBS was added for imaging. Cells were imaged with a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 780 inverted microscope) equipped with a 63×/ 1.35 Oil objective lens. Compound 13 was excited with the 405 nm laser, and the emitted light was collected between 580 and 690 nm. LysoTracker Green was excited by a 488 nm laser, and the emission was collected at 499-526 nm.

The colocalization plugin Coloc2 for the ImageJ (Fiji) software was used to generate 2D histograms and to determine Pearson correlation coefficients as well as Manders overlap coefficients. Non-corrected and non-thresholded images of 13 and LysoTracer were loaded into the plugin. Mean Pearson correlation coefficients for 13 and LysoTracer pair were determined from the entire field of view $(n \ge 6)$ for several images.

Cellular uptake kinetics. 4T1 cells were seeded on glass-bottom 24-well plates (Cellvis, California, USA; 5×10^3 cells per well) and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were then incubated with 100 μM of 13 dissolved in a cell culture medium for 1-3 h. Following incubation, wells were washed twice with PBS, and fresh PBS was added for imaging. Cells were imaged with a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 780 inverted microscope) equipped with a 63×/1.35 Oil objective lens. Compound 13 was excited with a 405 nm laser, and the emitted light was collected between 580 and 690 nm. Image analysis and signal quantification were done using the measurement function in the ZEN lite2012 software.

Statistical analysis. One-way ANOVA with Tukey correction or student t-test were performed in the Origin software, and statistical significance was determined at *p* < 0.05.

Gibbs free energy for photolysis reaction. The Gibbs free energies were evaluated via a thermodynamic cycle involving gas-phase energetics and solvation energies. First, the geometries were optimized, and the free energy was calculated in the gas phase. Then, the gas phase energies were corrected with solvation energies in DMSO calculated with a polarizable continuum model (PCM). The solvation energies of the proton were taken from the literature⁵⁹. The energies were calculated with the Gaussian09 suite of programs, revision D.01⁶⁰.

Calculations of excited electronic states. The excitation energies and oscillator strengths at the time-dependent density functional (TDDFT) with Boese-Martin for Kinetics (BMK) functional were calculated with the 6-31+g* basis set for all structures except for the structure with Pd where Stuttgart RSC 1997 effective core potential with a corresponding basis set was used. The DMSO solvent was accounted for with the PCM model. The Guassian09 suite of programs (revision

ARTICLE

D.01) was used for the calculations⁶⁰. The excitation energies and oscillator strengths with the configuration interaction with singles (CIS) and restricted open-shell configuration interaction with singles (ROCIS) methods were calculated with the $6-31+g^*$ basis except for the **Pd** structure, where the aug-cc-pVDZ-PP basis set⁶¹ was used. The solvent effects were not taken into account. The ORCA v4.2.0 program was used for these calculations⁶².

Non-adiabatic dynamics. The ground state density was sampled with molecular dynamics coupled to the Generalized Langevin Equation (GLE) thermostat set to account for nuclear quantum effects⁶³. The parameters for the GLE thermostat were generated in GLE4MD.org. The propagation was performed with the Verlet method with a time step set to 20 a.u., and the energies and gradients were calculated with the orthogonalization model 3 (OM3) method. The temperature was set to 300 K. The non-adiabatic simulations were performed within the Landau–Zener surface hopping algorithm⁶⁴. The time step for the excited state simulations was set to 5 a.u., and the energies and gradients were calculated at the multi-reference configuration interaction with singles and doubles MR-CISD/OM3(12,10) level. All dynamic simulations were performed in ABIN code⁶⁵; semiempirical OM3 gradients and energies were calculated in the MNDO package⁶⁶.

Spin-orbit coupling. The Spin-orbit couplings (SOCs) were calculated at the ω B97X/def2-TZVP and DKH- ω B97X/DKH-def2-TZVP levels with a mean-field/ effective potential approach, including 1-electron terms, seminumeric computation of the Coulomb term, and fully analytic computation of the exchange terms⁶⁷. The DMSO solvent was included via PCM. For the SOC calculation, the ORCA v4.2.0 program was used⁶².

Activation energies and free energies. The activation energies for the LG release at the complete active space self-consistent field—CASSCF(4,4)/6–31+g* and *n*-electron valence state perturbation theory—NEVPT2(4,4)/6–31+g* levels—were calculated in the ORCA v4.2.0 program⁶². The effect of solvation was accounted for through the PCM model. The optimizations and constrained scans at the BMK level were calculated with the Gassian09 suite of programs (revision D.01)⁶⁰. The solvent was included via the PCM model.

Data availability

The source data underlying Figs. 2b–d, f, 3b, c, e, f, 4b, c, 5b, c and Supplementary Figs 3, 6a–c, 7b–d are recorded in a Source Data file. The authors declare that other data related to this research are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information or from the authors upon request. Crystallographic data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as 1973540 and can be obtained free of charge from the CCDC via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/getstructures. Source data are provided with this paper.

Received: 11 August 2021; Accepted: 3 June 2022; Published online: 24 June 2022

References

- Zhao, H., Sterner, E. S., Coughlin, E. B. & Theato, P. o-Nitrobenzyl alcohol derivatives: opportunities in polymer and materials science. *Macromolecules* 45, 1723–1736 (2012).
- Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. Useful caged compounds for cell physiology. Acc. Chem. Res. 53, 1593–1604 (2020).
- Ruskowitz, E. R. & DeForest, C. A. Photoresponsive biomaterials for targeted drug delivery and 4D cell culture. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 3, 17087 (2018).
- 4. Silva, J. M., Silva, E. & Reis, R. L. Light-triggered release of photocaged
- therapeutics Where are we now? J. Controlled Release 298, 154–176 (2019).
 Barltrop, J. A. & Schofield, P. Photosensitive protecting groups. Tetrahedron Lett. 3, 697–699 (1962).
- Barton, D. H. R., Chow, Y. L., Cox, A. & Kirby, G. W. Photosensitive
- protection of functional groups. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 3, 1055–1057 (1962).
 Klán, P. et al. Photoremovable protecting groups in chemistry and biology: reaction mechanisms and efficacy. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 119–191 (2013).
- Weinstain, R., Slanina, T., Kand, D. & Klán, P. Visible-to-NIR-light activated release: from small molecules to nanomaterials. *Chem. Rev.* 120, 13135–13272 (2020).
- Wang, X., Wang, X., Jin, S., Muhammad, N. & Guo, Z. Stimuli-responsive therapeutic metallodrugs. *Chem. Rev.* 119, 1138–1192 (2019).
- Renfrew, A. K. Transition metal complexes with bioactive ligands: mechanisms for selective ligand release and applications for drug delivery. *Metallomics* 6, 1324–1335 (2014).

- 11. Goswami, P. P. et al. BODIPY-derived photoremovable protecting groups unmasked with green light. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 3783-3786 (2015).
- Rubinstein, N., Liu, P., Miller, E. W. & Weinstain, R. meso-Methylhydroxy BODIPY: a scaffold for photo-labile protecting groups. *Chem. Commun.* 51, 6369–6372 (2015).
- Šolomek, T., Wirz, J. & Klán, P. Searching for improved photoreleasing abilities of organic molecules. Acc. Chem. Res. 48, 3064–3072 (2015).
- Arsenault, G. P., Bullock, E. & MacDonald, S. F. Pyrromethanes and porphyrins therefrom 1. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82, 4384–4389 (1960).
- Littler, B. J., Ciringh, Y. & Lindsey, J. S. Investigation of conditions giving minimal scrambling in the synthesis of trans-porphyrins from dipyrromethanes and aldehydes. J. Org. Chem. 64, 2864–2872 (1999).
- Geier Iii, G. R., Littler, B. J. & Lindsey, J. S. Investigation of porphyrin-forming reactions. Part 3.1 The origin of scrambling in dipyrromethane + aldehyde condensations yielding trans-A2B2-tetraarylporphyrins. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 701-711 (2001).
- Gouterman, M., Wagnière, G. H. & Snyder, L. C. Spectra of porphyrins: Part II. Four orbital model. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 11, 108–127 (1963).
- 18. Gouterman, M. Spectra of porphyrins. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 6, 138-163 (1961).
- Mandal, A. K. et al. Photophysical properties and electronic structure of porphyrins bearing zero to four meso-phenyl substituents: new insights into seemingly well understood tetrapyrroles. J. Phys. Chem. A 120, 9719–9731 (2016).
- Thomas, D. W. & Martell, A. E. Absorption spectra of *para*-substituted tetraphenylporphines1,2. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 1338–1343 (1956).
- Inokuma, Y., Yoon, Z. S., Kim, D. & Osuka, A. meso-Aryl-substituted subporphyrins: synthesis, structures, and large substituent effects on their electronic properties. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 129, 4747–4761 (2007).
- Tang, X.-J. et al. Photorelease of pyridines using a metal-free photoremovable protecting group. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59, 18386–18389 (2020).
- Slanina, T. et al. In Search of the perfect photocage: structure-reactivity relationships in meso-methyl BODIPY photoremovable protecting groups. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 15168-15175 (2017).
- Chitose, Y. et al. Design and synthesis of a caged carboxylic acid with a donor -π-donor coumarin structure: one-photon and two-photon uncaging reactions using visible and near-infrared lights. Org. Lett. 19, 2622-2625 (2017).
- Ion, R.-M. Porphyrins and Phthalocyanines: Photosensitizers and Photocatalysts. In: (ed Yusuf, Y.) *Phthalocyanines and Some Current Applications* 189–221 (IntechOpen, 2017).
- 26. Ostapko, J. et al. Towards more photostable, brighter, and less phototoxic chromophores: synthesis and properties of porphyrins functionalized with cyclooctatetraene. *Chem. Eur. J.* **26**, 16666–16675 (2020).
- Lutkus, L. V., Rickenbach, S. S. & McCormick, T. M. Singlet oxygen quantum yields determined by oxygen consumption. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 378, 131–135 (2019).
- Wan, S. & Lu, W. Reversible photoactivated phosphorescence of gold(I) arylethynyl complexes in aerated DMSO solutions and gels. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56, 1784–1788 (2017).
- Kumar, P. H., Venkatesh, Y., Siva, D., Ramakrishna, B. & Bangal, P. R. Ultrafast relaxation dynamics of 5,10,15,20-meso-tetrakis pentafluorophenyl porphyrin studied by fluorescence up-conversion and transient absorption spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. A 119, 1267–1278 (2015).
- Kee, H. L. et al. Photophysical characterization of imidazolium-substituted Pd(II), In(III), and Zn(II) porphyrins as photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A 200, 346–355 (2008).
- 31. Harriman, A. Luminescence of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins. Part 3. Heavy-atom effects. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 77, 1281–1291 (1981).
- Kim, D., Holten, D. & Gouterman, M. Evidence from picosecond transient absorption and kinetic studies of charge-transfer states in copper(II) porphyrins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 2793–2798 (1984).
- Kobayashi, T., Straub, K. D. & Rentzepis, P. Energy relaxation mechanism in Ni(II), Pd(II), Pt(II) and Zn(II) porphyrins. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 29, 925-931 (1979).
- Obondi, C. O., Lim, G. N. & D'Souza, F. Triplet-triplet excitation transfer in palladium porphyrin-fullerene and platinum porphyrin-fullerene dyads. J. Phys. Chem. C 119, 176-185 (2015).
- Ohno, O., Kaizu, Y. & Kobayashi, H. Luminescence of some metalloporphins including the complexes of the IIIb metal group. *J. Chem. Phys.* 82, 1779–1787 (1985).
- Jeoung, S. C., Takeuchi, S., Tahara, T. & Kim, D. Ultrafast decay dynamics of photoexcited Cu(II)(TMpy-P4) in water solvent. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 309, 369–376 (1999).
- Jeong, D., Kang, D.-g, Joo, T. & Kim, S. K. Femtosecond-resolved excited state relaxation dynamics of copper (II) tetraphenylporphyrin (CuTPP) after soret band excitation. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 16865 (2017).
- Asano, M., Kaizu, Y. & Kobayashi, H. The lowest excited states of copper porphyrins. J. Chem. Phys. 89, 6567–6576 (1988).

- Moroni, L., Gellini, C., Salvi, P. R., Marcelli, A. & Foggi, P. Excited states of porphyrin macrocycles. J. Phys. Chem. A 112, 11044–11051 (2008).
- Perun, S., Tatchen, J. & Marian, C. M. Singlet and triplet excited states and intersystem crossing in free-base porphyrin: TDDFT and DFT/MRCI study. *ChemPhysChem* 9, 282–292 (2008).
- Magdaong, N. C. M. et al. Photophysical properties and electronic structure of zinc(II) porphyrins bearing 0–4 meso-phenyl substituents: zinc porphine to zinc tetraphenylporphyrin (ZnTPP). J. Phys. Chem. A 124, 7776–7794 (2020).
- Chirvony, V. S., Négrerie, M., Martin, J.-L. & Turpin, P.-Y. Picosecond dynamics and mechanisms of photoexcited Cu(II)-5,10,15,20-mesotetrakis(4-N-methylpyridyl)porphyrin quenching by oxygen-containing Lewis-base solvents. J. Phys. Chem. A 106, 5760-5767 (2002).
- 43. Rapp, T. L. & DeForest, C. A. Visible light-responsive dynamic biomaterials: going deeper and triggering more. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **9**, 1901553 (2020).
- Kloxin, A. M., Kasko, A. M., Salinas, C. N. & Anseth, K. S. Photodegradable hydrogels for dynamic tuning of physical and chemical properties. *Science* 324, 59–63 (2009).
- LeValley, P. J. et al. Photolabile linkers: exploiting labile bond chemistry to control mode and rate of hydrogel degradation and protein release. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 142, 4671–4679 (2020).
- 46. Raman, R. et al. Light-degradable hydrogels as dynamic triggers for gastrointestinal applications. *Sci. Adv.* 6, eaay0065 (2020).
- Huynh, C. T. et al. Photocleavable hydrogels for light-triggered siRNA release. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 5, 305–310 (2016).
- Hirakawa, K., Harada, M., Okazaki, S. & Nosaka, Y. Controlled generation of singlet oxygen by a water-soluble meso-pyrenylporphyrin photosensitizer through interaction with DNA. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 4770–4772 (2012).
- Hirakawa, K., Nishimura, Y., Arai, T. & Okazaki, S. Singlet oxygen generating activity of an electron donor connecting porphyrin photosensitizer can be controlled by DNA. J. Phys. Chem. B 117, 13490–13496 (2013).
- Jeong, H.-G. & Choi, M.-S. Design and properties of porphyrin-based singlet oxygen generator. Isr. J. Chem. 56, 110–118 (2016).
- Mathai, S., Smith, T. A. & Ghiggino, K. P. Singlet oxygen quantum yields of potential porphyrin-based photosensitisers for photodynamic therapy. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 6, 995–1002 (2007).
- McCarthy, J. R. & Weissleder, R. Model systems for fluorescence and singlet oxygen quenching by metalloporphyrins. *ChemMedChem* 2, 360–365 (2007).
- Bonnet, S. Why develop photoactivated chemotherapy? Dalton Trans. 47, 10330–10343 (2018).
- Russo, M., Štacko, P., Nachtigallová, D. & Klán, P. Mechanisms of orthogonal photodecarbonylation reactions of 3-hydroxyflavone-based acid-base forms. J. Org. Chem. 85, 3527–3537 (2020).
- Wilkinson, F., Helman, W. P. & Ross, A. B. Quantum yields for the photosensitized formation of the lowest electronically excited singlet state of molecular oxygen in solution. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 22, 113–262 (1993).
- 56. Hoebeke, M. & Damoiseau, X. Determination of the singlet oxygen quantum yield of bacteriochlorin a: a comparative study in phosphate buffer and aqueous dispersion of dimiristoyl-l-α-phosphatidylcholine liposomes. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **1**, 283–287 (2002).
- Sheldrick, G. A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 64, 112–122 (2008).
- Spek, A. Single-crystal structure validation with the program PLATON. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 36, 7–13 (2003).
- Rossini, E. & Knapp, E.-W. Proton solvation in protic and aprotic solvents. J. Comput. Chem. 37, 1082–1091 (2016).
- 60. Frisch, M. J. et al. Gaussian 16 Rev. C.01. (Wallingford, CT, 2016).
- Peterson, K. A., Figgen, D., Dolg, M. & Stoll, H. Energy-consistent relativistic pseudopotentials and correlation consistent basis sets for the 4d elements Y-Pd. J. Chem. Phys. 126, 124101 (2007).
- 62. Neese, F. Software update: the ORCA program system, version 4.0. WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 8, e1327 (2018).
- Stella, L., Lorenz, C. D. & Kantorovich, L. Generalized Langevin equation: an efficient approach to nonequilibrium molecular dynamics of open systems. *Phys. Rev. B* 89, 134303 (2014).
- Suchan, J., Janoš, J. & Slaviček, P. Pragmatic Approach to Photodynamics: Mixed Landau–Zener Surface Hopping with Intersystem Crossing. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 5809–5820 (2020).

- Hollas, D., Suchan, J., Svoboda, O., Ončák, M. & Slavíček, P. PHOTOX/ABIN: Pre-release of version 1.1. Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1228463 (2018).
- 66. Thiel, W. Program MNDO. ver. 7.0 ed: (Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, 2005).
- 67. Neese, F. Efficient and accurate approximations to the molecular spin-orbit coupling operator and their use in molecular g-tensor calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 034107 (2005).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by funding from the European Research Council (GAtransport to R.W.), the Czech Science Foundation (GA21-01799S (P.K.) and GA20-15825S (P.S.)), the JSPS KAKENHI (JP18J20500 to Y.C.), and the Global Research and Training Fellowship (GRTF) of Tel Aviv University (to A.R.S.). P.K. thanks the RECETOX Research Infrastructure (No. LM2018121) financed by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, and the Operational Programme Research, Development and Education (the CETOCOEN EXCELLENCE project No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/17_043/0009632) for supportive background. This project was also supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement No. 857560 (P.K.). The publication reflects only the authors' view and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

Author contributions

A.R.S. and R.W. conceived the project and designed the initial experiments. A.R.S. and A.R. performed the synthesis and characterization of the metal complexes and the organic compounds and performed photophysical measurements under the supervision of R.W. and P.K. A.R.S., Y.C., and A.R. designed and performed the experimental mechanistic investigation under the supervision of P.K. J.J. designed and performed the quantum-chemical calculations under the supervision of P.S. Cellular studies were designed and performed by A.R.S. and S.I.D. under the supervision of R.S.F. The manuscript was written by A.R.S., P.K., P.S., and R.W. All authors analyzed the data, discussed the results, and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31288-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Petr Klán or Roy Weinstain.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022