ARTICLE

Open Access

Comparative analysis of long noncoding RNAs in angiosperms and characterization of long noncoding RNAs in response to heat stress in Chinese cabbage

Xiaoming Song^{1,2,3}, Jingjing Hu¹, Tong Wu¹, Qihang Yang¹, Xuehuan Feng², Hao Lin³, Shuyan Feng¹, Chunlin Cui¹, Ying Yu¹, Rong Zhou⁶, Ke Gong¹, Tong Yu¹, Qiaoying Pei¹ and Nan Li¹

Abstract

Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) are widely present in different species and play critical roles in response to abiotic stresses. However, the functions of IncRNAs in Chinese cabbage under heat stress remain unknown. Here, we first conducted a global comparative analysis of 247,242 IncRNAs among 37 species. The results indicated that IncRNAs were poorly conserved among different species, and only 960 IncRNAs were homologous to 524 miRNA precursors. We then carried out IncRNA sequencing for a genome-wide analysis of IncRNAs and their target genes in Chinese cabbage at different stages of heat treatment. In total, 18,253 IncRNAs were identified, of which 1229 differentially expressed (DE) IncRNAs were characterized as being heat-responsive. The ceRNA network revealed that 38 IncRNAs, 16 miRNAs, and 167 mRNAs were involved in the heat response in Chinese cabbage. Combined analysis of the cis- and trans-regulated genes indicated that the targets of DE IncRNAs were significantly enriched in the "protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum" and "plant hormone signal transduction" pathways. Furthermore, the majority of HSP and PYL genes involved in these two pathways exhibited similar expression patterns and responded to heat stress rapidly. Based on the networks of DE IncRNA-mRNAs, 29 and 22 IncRNAs were found to interact with HSP and PYL genes, respectively. Finally, the expression of several critical IncRNAs and their targets was verified by qRT-PCR. Overall, we conducted a comparative analysis of IncRNAs among 37 species and performed a comprehensive analysis of IncRNAs in Chinese cabbage. Our findings expand the knowledge of IncRNAs involved in the heat stress response in Chinese cabbage, and the identified IncRNAs provide an abundance of resources for future comparative and functional studies.

Introduction

The central dogma of molecular biology indicates that RNA acts as a messenger molecule to transfer genetic information from DNA to proteins¹. However, >75% of transcripts in higher eukaryotic genomes are not translated into proteins and are classified as noncoding

© The Author(s) 2021

sequences^{2,3}. Among them, the group of RNA transcripts whose length is longer than 200 nt are defined as long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs)⁴. Compared with that of mRNAs, the abundance of lncRNAs is low and has strong tissue and cell expression specificity⁴. They regulate gene expression at the transcriptional, posttranscriptional, epigenetic, and other levels^{5–7}.

With the development of sequencing technology, a growing number of lncRNAs have been revealed in several plant species, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana*^{8,9}, *Oryza sativa*^{10,11}, *Zea mays*^{12,13}, *Solanum lycopersicum*^{14,15}, and *Medicago truncatula*¹⁶. In addition, some databases have

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Xiaoming Song (songxm@ncst.edu.cn) or Nan Li (Limanxi1989@163.com)

¹College of Life Sciences/Center for Genomics and Bio-computing, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, Hebei, China ²Food Science and Technology Department, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

been developed to store plant lncRNAs^{17–20}. However, it remains challenging to understand which of the lncRNAs are functional and how their functions are exerted. Comparative analysis of genes across various species would be a powerful tool for studying their functions and modes of action. The degree of conservation is recognized as a key issue in assessing the impact of lncRNAs. It was reported that the sequences of only 6.7% of tomato lncRNAs were conserved with those of potato lncRNAs²¹. Less than two percent of all lncRNAs in Arabidopsis are conserved throughout the plant kingdom²². Therefore, it is worth exploring whether lncRNAs are also poorly conserved in additional species.

In plants, the majority of lncRNAs are produced by RNA polymerase II, while the others are transcribed by RNA polymerase III or IV/V^{23,24}. Only stable lncRNAs transcribed by RNA polymerase II are considered "typical lncRNAs"²⁵. According to their location with respect to the nearest protein-coding genes in the genome, typical lncRNAs can be classified as long intergenic noncoding RNAs (lincRNAs), long noncoding nature antisense transcripts (lncNATs), or long intronic noncoding RNAs (incRNAs)²⁶. The protein-coding genes mediated by lncRNAs can be divided into cis- and trans-models according to the action distance^{27,28}. In addition, some lncRNAs interact with microRNAs (miRNAs), serving as miRNA precursors or competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) to serve as decoys for specific miRNAs, thus protecting the target mRNAs from repression $^{29-32}$. In recent years, several studies have indicated that lncRNAs play important roles in various biological processes in plants, including roles in organ development, nutrient metabolism, male sterility, and plant immunity³³⁻³⁷. In particular, lncRNAs are considered essential regulators of the response to biotic and abiotic stresses in plants^{30,38–40}. For example, the lncRNA PsiLncRNA00268512 shows dynamic expression changes under heat stress in Populus simonii⁴¹, and in wheat, TalnRNA27 and TalnRNA5 exhibit upregulated expression under heat stimulation⁴².

Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *pekinensis*) is one of the most important leafy vegetable species in China. It has a long history of cultivation and is enjoyed by people worldwide. Chinese cabbage grows best in cold, cool, and humid climates, as high temperature often affects the formation of leaf balls and induces an increase in susceptibility to infectious disease, which leads to severe declines in yield and quality⁴³. Therefore, it is of great importance to elucidate the heat resistance mechanism of Chinese cabbage and develop new cultivars resistant to heat stress. *B. rapa* includes three main subspecies: Chinese cabbage, nonheading Chinese cabbage (NHCC, *Brassica rapa* ssp. *chinensis*), and turnip (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *rapa*). By investigating the transcript profiles, researchers identified approximately 625 genes that are

differentially expressed (DE) between the heat-sensitive and heat-tolerant varieties of NHCC⁴⁴. A total of 1031 *cis*natural antisense transcripts (*cis*-NATs) were detected in Chinese cabbage and NHCC, and the small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) derived from 12 of them were reported to be heat responsive⁴⁵. lncRNAs involved in thermotolerance were functionally characterized in only NHCC^{38,46}. However, reports on the comprehensive investigation of lncRNAs involved in heat tolerance are still lacking in Chinese cabbage. Therefore, we carried out this study owing to the important biological functions of lncRNAs in regulating plant heat tolerance.

In this study, based on the plant lncRNA sequences stored in public databases, we conducted a comprehensive comparative analysis of lncRNAs among 37 species. Furthermore, we systematically identified lncRNAs related to heat stress in the whole genome of Chinese cabbage by performing lncRNA sequencing, predicted their potential target genes and analyzed their functions. Our study not only provides valuable information on the evolutionary conservation of lncRNAs in plants but also expands the knowledge of lncRNAs involved in heat stress. The lncRNAs identified in this study constitute an abundant resource for future research on noncoding RNAs.

Results

Comprehensive comparative analysis of IncRNAs revealed poor conservation among 37 species

To comprehensively understand the characteristics of lncRNAs in plants, we collected lncRNAs from 36 species: 18 eudicots, 14 monocots, 1 basal angiosperm, 1 fern, 1 moss, and 1 green alga (Fig. 1). In addition, the lncRNAs of Chinese cabbage were obtained using lncRNA sequencing. Here, a total of 247,242 lncRNAs were detected in all species, with an average number of 6682 (Table S1). Compared with that in other species, the number of lncRNAs detected in Chinese cabbage was the largest (18,253), whereas only 2267 and 1498 lncRNAs were found in fern Selaginella moellendorffii and moss Physcomitrella patens, respectively. Both the mean length (550.83) and median length (371) of the lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage were less than those of all the other species (Fig. 1, Table S1), and this phenomenon was consistent with previous reports in NHCC^{33,38}.

The mean exon number was the lowest (1.83) in Arabidopsis, whereas the highest was found in *Ananas comosus* (3.14). The mean (1.69) and median (0.08) values of expression and median expression in Chinese cabbage were notably lower than the averages in all other species (Fig. 1a, Table S1). Although the expression abundance of most lncRNAs was generally low, we still found that a few lncRNAs had very high expression levels, indicating that specific expression occurred for different lncRNAs (Fig. S1). Moreover, the expression patterns of lncRNAs in



many species also significantly differed. For example, the mean FPKM of lncRNAs in 32 (86.49%) species was less than five. However, the mean FPKM values were >12.65, 9.62, and 8.04 in the fern *S. moellendorffii*, the eudicot *M. esculenta*, and the monocot *O. punctate*, respectively (Fig. 1a, b, Table S1). Furthermore, the average median FPKM value of lncRNAs in *S. moellendorffii* was >1.69, which was far greater than that in other species, indicating that lncRNAs might have specific expression patterns and functional mechanisms in *S. moellendorffii*.

In addition, we conducted a similarity alignment and conservation analysis of the lncRNAs of all examined species to better understand the function and evolution of plant lncRNAs. Taking our main studied species as an example, among the 18,253 lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage, only 3955 (21.67%) were homologous with those of the examined species. Among all 36 species, relatively high sequence similarity was detected within four Brassicaceae species, and 2,811 (15.40%), 1,712 (9.38%), 292 (1.60%), and 257 (1.41%) lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage had homologs in B. napus, B. oleracea, A. lyrata, and A. thaliana, respectively (Fig. 2, Tables S2–3). Furthermore, 12 lncRNAs had homologs among these four species, indicating that they might be conserved in Brassicaceae species (Fig. 2). In other non-Brassicaceae species, the maximum homology percentage was only 0.38%; in addition, no homologous lncRNAs were detected in the moss P. patens, but 1 was detected in the green alga C. reinhardtii (Fig. 2, Table S2). Taken together, these results indicated that lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage are poorly conserved with those in other species, which is consistent with previous reports for NHCC and *Fragaria vesca*^{38,47}.

The microRNA precursors identified from lncRNAs in 37 species were unique to specific species

To further investigate the function of lncRNAs, we tried to identify lncRNAs that had potential interactions with miRNAs. using the BLASTN program, we identified 960 lncRNAs as miRNA precursors from 247,242 lncRNAs of 37 species (Tables S4-5). Interestingly, *B. rapa* had the most miRNA precursors (112), followed by *M. truncatula* (79) and *O. rufipogon* (64) (Fig. 3a, Table S4). However, only one miRNA precursor from lncRNAs was detected in *Theobroma cacao*, and no miRNAs were identified in *M. acuminata*. The average percentage of lncRNAs as miRNA precursors was 0.50%, and the range varied from 0.00% (*M. acuminata*) to 3.27% (*P. patens*) among the 37 species (Fig. 3a, Table S4).

Furthermore, we searched for common and unique miRNA precursors in the 37 species. The results showed that no common miRNA precursors were found among any of the 37 species (Fig. 3b). Among all 524 miRNA precursors, 285 (54.38%) were unique to one of the examined species (Table S4). The great number of unique miRNA precursors were detected in *M. truncatula* (48), followed by *B. rapa* (20), *Amborella trichopoda* (19), and *P. patens* (19) (Fig. 3b). However, no unique miRNA precursors were detected in five species: *Corchorus*





capsularis, Chenopodium quinoa, Leersia perrieri, M. acuminate, and *T. cacao.* The percentages of unique miRNA precursors were obviously different among the 37 species, ranging from 0.00% to 100.00% (Fig. 3a, Table S4). This phenomenon suggested that lncRNAs might play a role in regulating miRNA specificity in some species.

In addition, we analyzed the common and unique miRNA precursors in five Brassicaceae species. Similarly, no common miRNA precursors were detected among the five species (Fig. 3c). However, three miRNA precursors (MIR400, MIR1885, and MIR5654) were found in three

Brassica species: *B. rapa, B. oleracea,* and *B. napus* (Fig. 3c). We also found one miRNA precursor, MIR858, which was present among these three *Brassica* species and *A. thaliana*. The greatest number of unique miRNA precursors (26) were found in *B. rapa,* followed by *A. thaliana* (10), *A. lyrata* (8), *B. napus* (3), and B. *oleracea* (1).

Identification and characterization of IncRNAs in Chinese cabbage under heat stress

To identify and explore the heat-responsive lncRNAs and regulatory mechanisms of Chinese cabbage, we conducted lncRNA sequencing in this study. In the control samples, the leaves were fully turgid, while they showed different degrees of wilting at different heating times, and the effect was prominent at 4 h, 8 h, and 12 h. In addition, new leaves began to roll, and old leaves began to fall off with increased duration of stress (Fig. 4a). Highthroughput sequencing was performed on samples harvested from fully expanded upper leaves under different treatment conditions to screen for potential lncRNAs that respond to heat stress in Chinese cabbage. Each sample involved three biological replications. After filtering and screening, 79.1–106.2 million clean reads were generated for each replicate, of which >66.99% of reads could be mapped to unique positions along the Chinese cabbage reference genome (Table 1). A total of 197.41 Gb were obtained, with a range from 36.34 Gb (T1) to 43.53 Gb (T8), among the different treatments. The Q30 base percentage of all the replicates was more than 93.75%, indicating the credibility of the RNA-seq data (Table 1).

In total, 137,903 transcripts were ultimately obtained from fifteen libraries, among which 18,253 transcripts were identified as lncRNAs after a series of strict screening pipelines (Fig. 4b, Table S6). The lncRNAs were distributed across 10 chromosomes in Chinese cabbage, and the largest number of lncRNAs were on chromosome A09 (Fig. S2, Table S6). The lncRNAs were subdivided into different categories according to their locations, and the majority of lncRNAs were lincRNAs, accounting for ~41.5%, followed by incRNAs (37.0%) and lncNATs (21.5%) (Fig. 4c, Table S6).

To further characterize the features of the identified lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage, the length and exon number of 18,253 lncRNAs were analyzed and compared with those of mRNAs. It was found that lncRNAs with a length of >1000 nt accounted for only 12.4%. In comparison, 44.1% of the mRNAs were >1000 nt in length (Fig. 4d, Table S6). In addition, these lncRNAs and mRNAs had a different number of exons; ~67.6% of IncRNAs contained one or two exons, whereas it was ~55.9% for the mRNAs (Fig. 4e, Table S6). The expression levels of lncRNAs and mRNAs were compared according to their FPKM values at different time points. Regardless of whether the samples were heat treated, the expression of lncRNAs was notably lower than that of mRNAs (Figs. 4f and S3). Despite the low expression levels, many lncRNAs exhibited distinct expression patterns. The number of lncRNAs detected at different treatment times ranged from 13,254 in the control to 15,174 at 12 h after heat treatment (Table S7). Interestingly, we found that with increasing heat treatment time, the number of detected lncRNAs and mRNAs also gradually increased. The correlations among the three biological replicates of each sample were examined by computing Pearson correlation coefficients (PCCs). The results showed strong correlations, with PCC values ranging from 0.8 to 1 for different replicates, indicating a high degree of repeatability (Fig. S4).

LncRNAs and mRNAs in Chinese cabbage were selectively expressed at five stages under heat stress

Among the 18,253 lncRNAs, 9756 were expressed at all five stages (Fig. S5a). Most lncRNAs were selectively expressed at certain stages, and a considerable number of them were expressed specifically at one stage. The most stage-specific expressed lncRNAs (422) were detected at 12 h after heat treatment, whereas the fewest (265) specifically expressed lncRNAs were detected in the control (Fig. S5a). In addition, by surveying the sum of DE lncRNAs in response to heat stress at different times, a total of 1229 lncRNAs were identified as being DE in comparison with the lncRNAs in the control sample, and 88 DE lncRNAs were shared among all comparison groups (T1, T4, T8, and T12 vs the control, respectively) (Fig. S6a, Tables S8-9). The number of DE lncRNAs increased gradually with increased heating time, and upregulated lncRNAs constituted a larger proportion than the downregulated lncRNAs did in each comparison group (Fig. S6b). For mRNAs, a total of 6836 genes showed significantly differential expression compared with those in the control, of which 1086 were commonly expressed based on Venn diagrams (Figs. S5b and S6c, Tables S10-11). In addition, more downregulated genes were detected in T8 and T12 than in T1 and T4 compared with the control (Fig. S6d).

To investigate the temporal patterns of these DE lncRNAs and DE mRNAs under different stress stages, cluster analysis was employed using the STEM program. The DE lncRNAs were classified into 38 distinct profiles, each representing a group of genes presenting the same expression pattern. Among them, seven profiles were significantly enriched (P < 0.05) and were further divided into four clusters with different expression trends according to the background color (Fig. S6e). The DE mRNAs for each treatment compared to the control also clustered into distinct profiles based on their expression patterns, and a total of 11 expression profiles were significantly enriched, which was more than that of DE lncRNAs (Fig. S6f).

Interaction network construction of *cis*- or *trans*-regulated protein-coding genes of DE IncRNAs

LncRNAs have been found to act through *cis*- and *trans*-acting modes to regulate the expression of proximal and distal protein-coding genes^{27,28}. In our study, the *trans*-regulated genes were predicted by coexpression analysis based on the expression level of DE lncRNAs and DE mRNAs among samples. The regulatory network was constructed by Gephi software (Fig. 5a). Among 1229 DE lncRNAs, 445 were predicted



Fig. 4 Prediction and characterization of potential lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage. a Phenotypes of Chinese cabbage before and after heat treatment for different times. The control is a representative plant before heating, and T1, T4, T8, and T12 denote plants that were subjected to 38 °C for 1h, 4h, 8h, and 12h, respectively. **b** The bioinformatics pipeline for the systematic identification of lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage. **c** Classification of the identified lncRNAs based on their genomic positions with protein-coding genes. **d**–**f** The distribution of transcript length, exon number, and FPKM value of both lncRNAs and mRNAs in Chinese cabbage

Sample name	Replicate name	Clean reads	Clean bases (Gb)	Total clean bases (Gb)	Q30 (%)	Unique mapped reads
Control	Control-1	88,164,550	13.22	38.67	94.41	62,694,500 (71.11%)
	Control-2	86,521,924	12.98		94.52	61,446,125 (71.02%)
	Control-3	83,148,774	12.47		93.75	58,180,935 (69.97%)
T1	T1-1	79,309,130	11.90	36.34	94.02	56,587,130 (71.35%)
	T1-2	83,815,786	12.57		93.84	59,614,126 (71.13%)
	T1-3	79,157,270	11.87		94.39	57,026,412 (72.04%)
T4	T4-1	85,038,374	12.76	40.84	94.92	59,222,002 (69.64%)
	T4-2	106,167,856	15.93		94.94	74,975,222 (70.62%)
	T4-3	81,013,688	12.15		94.60	57,424,480 (70.88%)
Т8	T8-1	91,647,384	13.75	43.53	95.01	63,164,281 (68.92%)
	T8-2	100,562,348	15.08		94.96	70,323,780 (69.93%)
	T8-3	98,028,886	14.70		94.87	67,817,950 (69.18%)
T12	T12-1	81,109,770	12.17	38.03	94.20	55,789,226 (68.78%)
	T12-2	84,645,330	12.70		94.35	57,825,950 (68.32%)
	T12-3	87,720,638	13.16		95.34	58,759,700 (66.99%)

Table 1Statistical analysis of IncRNA sequencing data from five samples with three biological replicates in Chinesecabbage

to have potential trans-acting effects on 1544 DE mRNAs in 4502 matched pairs. Among them, 1111 trans-regulatory matches (24.68%) were shared among all comparisons, and 2351 (52.22%) were exclusively expressed in only one comparison group (Fig. 5a, b, Table S12). The T12 vs control group had the most matched pairs, whereas the most abundant stage-specific lncRNA-mRNA interactions were detected in the T1 vs control group (Fig. 5b). The lncRNAs were regulated via 1–115 mRNAs in this mode, of which >27% of lncRNAs were coexpressed together with only 1 mRNA, and three lncRNAs (LNC_011542, LNC_007838, and LNC_016696) had >100 trans-regulated targets (Fig. 5c, Tables S13-1). More than 44% of mRNAs corresponded to only one lncRNA, and 53 mRNAs (3.4%) were potentially regulated by >10 lncRNAs (Fig. 5d, Tables S13-2).

LncRNAs are known to preferentially regulate genes located in close proximity to their transcription sites. Therefore, the proximal protein-coding genes located within a genomic window of 100 kb of lncRNAs were screened as their target genes for *cis* activity. Among the 1,229 DE lncRNAs, 1,027 were computationally predicted to have potential *cis*-acting effects on 2,651 DE mRNAs in 4,090 matched pairs, while only 108 matched pairs (2.64%) were shared among all comparisons (Fig. S7, Table S14). In the T12 vs control group, the number of total matched lncRNA-mRNA connections and stagespecific lncRNA-mRNA interactions were the highest (Fig. S7b). Among all the matches, more than 75% of IncRNAs could regulate 1–5 target genes, and 11 IncRNAs (1.07%) could target up to 10 mRNAs (Fig. S7c, Tables S15–1). More than 67% of the mRNAs corresponded to only one IncRNA, and the expression of only 5 genes (0.19%) was *cis*-regulated by more than 10 IncRNAs (Fig. S7d, Tables S15–2).

Furthermore, we combined lncRNA-mRNA interactions with respect to both *cis-* and *trans-*regulatory modes. In total, 86 interactions involving 81 DE lncRNAs and 86 DE mRNAs were eventually found to be both coexpressed and were less than 100 kb apart (Table S16). Interestingly, 71 connections were sense-antisense (SA) pairings of transcripts, and the PCC value of each SA pair was greater than 0.95, with a *p* value <0.01, indicating that these lncRNAs were positively correlated with their cognate sense genes (Table S16).

ceRNA network analysis revealed the critical miRNA response to heat stress

One of the most important functions of lncRNAs is to act as ceRNAs, which can act as decoys for miRNAs to competitively inhibit their interaction with target mRNAs. Therefore, a ceRNA network was constructed to predict the interaction relationships among lncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs in the heat stress response of Chinese cabbage (Fig. 6).

Here, the DE lncRNAs and mRNAs of the coexpression network were used as prediction libraries of ceRNA and target mRNA targets of miRNAs,

respectively. A total of 157 mature miRNAs obtained from the miRbase database were used as bait to predict ceRNAs and target mRNAs. First, RNAhybrid software predicted that 75 miRNAs could be decoyed by 104 lncRNAs, forming 218 lncRNA-miRNA pairs (Table S17). Thirty-one miRNAs were then predicted to target 23 mRNAs, forming 37 miRNA-mRNA pairs (Table S18). Finally, the above two relation data sets were

cross-referenced, and the lncRNA and mRNA pairs sharing the same miRNA were selected to construct the ceRNA network (Fig. 6, Table S19). The ceRNA network comprised 38 lncRNAs, 16 miR-

NAs, and 167 mRNAs (Fig. 6, Table S19). Interestingly, we found that seven miRNAs were

members of the bra-miR156 type, and five miRNAs were members of the bra-miR156 type, and five miRNAs were members of the bra-miR164 type. These two types of miRNAs accounted for 75% of the total miRNAs of the ceRNA network, indicating that they might play important roles in regulating heat tolerance by interacting with lncRNAs and mRNAs in Chinese cabbage. This conclusion was consistent with those of previous reports on the function of these two miRNAs^{48–50}. Therefore, lncRNAs interacting with these miRNAs might also play an important regulatory role in the heat tolerance of Chinese cabbage.

Functional enrichment analysis of genes regulated by DE IncRNAs via *cis*- and *trans*-regulatory activity

To further elucidate the roles of lncRNAs in response to heat stress at different stages of the treatment, the potential cis- and trans-regulated genes of all DE lncRNAs in the T1, T4, T8, and T12 vs control groups were subjected to GO and KEGG enrichment analysis. However, neither GO terms nor KEGG pathways were found to be significantly enriched in any comparison group for cis-regulation. Regarding transregulation, the potential targets were significantly enriched in seven biological process categories and one molecular function category. Those in the T1, T4, and T8 vs control groups were all highly enriched for the "cell morphogenesis" and "cellular component morphogenesis" subcategories (Table S20). In addition, the trans-regulated genes were assigned to 1–2 highly enriched pathways in each comparison (Table S21). The common enriched category in the four comparisons was "protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum" (Fig. 7a, Table S21). "Plant hormone signal transduction" was another significantly enriched pathway in the T4 vs control and T12 vs control groups. Furthermore, to understand the role of DE lncRNAs in response to heat, we divided all DE genes involved in these two KEGG pathways into different groups according to their functional annotations (Fig. 7b, c).





Protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum pathway

The "protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum" pathway involved 47 DE genes in the four comparison groups, of which 30 were annotated as encoding heat-shock proteins (HSPs) (Fig. 7b, Table S22). HSPs are known to play master roles in heat stress and act as molecular chaperones by reestablishing functional protein

conformations^{51–53}. Further investigation of the expression of *HSPs* showed that nearly all *HSPs* displayed extremely low expression levels in the control but that their expression dramatically increased at 1 h after heat treatment (Fig. 8a). The results demonstrated that a high proportion of *HSPs* regulated by DE lncRNAs exhibited a common expression pattern, and their predominant



response to heat stress occurred at an early stage. Among HSP-related genes, five were annotated as mediators of RNA polymerase II transcription subunit 37 (MED37) (Table S22). Correspondingly, the expression patterns of four *MED37* genes were similar to those of most *HSP*s (Fig. 8a).

Expression of the HSPs and HSP-related genes was transregulated by 45 lncRNAs, forming 235 connections in the network (Figs. 8b and S8). The network indicated that the expression of seven genes was trans-regulated by >10 IncRNAs. Specifically, the expression of BraA05001612 was simultaneously regulated by 18 lncRNAs (Figs. 8b and S9a, Tables S23-1). In addition, 9 lncRNAs could trans-regulate the expression of more than 10 HSPs and HSP-related genes, of which LNC_007838 and LNC_016696 could interact with up to 21 targets, implying key regulatory roles of these HSPs in the heat response (Figs. 8b and S9b, Tables S23–2). Furthermore, chromosome location analysis showed that five of the abovementioned lncRNAs, LNC_007838, LNC_016696, LNC_007840, LNC_015255, and LNC_005895, were in the antisense orientation and overlapped with their corresponding HSP genes (Fig. 8c, Table S16). This phenomenon indicated that these five IncRNAs might regulate the expression of their cognate sense HSPs by a cis-acting mode.

Moreover, four genes enriched in this pathway were identified as being associated with protein ubiquitination, and the expression of *BraA01004433* and *BraA06003499* peaked 1 h after heat treatment (Fig. S10a, Table S22). The interaction network showed that the expression of these four genes is regulated by 22 lncRNAs. Among the expression of the four genes, that of *BraA01004433* was regulated by 13 lncRNAs (Fig. S10b). It is known that polyubiquitination often leads to the degradation of target proteins by the 26 S proteosome^{54,55}. Therefore, we speculated that lncRNAs might regulate genes involved in the ubiquitin system, especially by regulating the expression of *BraA01004433*, to more effectively remove the deleterious and denatured proteins caused by high temperature.

Plant hormone signal transduction pathway

Plant hormones are reported to play important roles in regulating responses to heat stress^{44,56–58}. In our study, a total of 31 DE genes was enriched in the "plant hormone signal transduction" pathway. Superficially, 15 DE genes were related to auxin, followed by abscisic acid (ABA, 13), gibberellin (GA, 1), ethylene (1), and jasmonic acid (JA, 1) (Fig. 7c, Table S24). Through comprehensive assessment of the expression of these genes, it was remarkable that 9 genes identified as members of the ABA receptor pyrabactin resistance (*PYR*)/*PYR*-like (*PYL*) family exhibited a common expression trend. After 1 h of heat treatment, the expression of *PYR*/*PYLs* decreased dramatically, while





the change in later stages of treatment was not significant (Figs. 9a and S11a, Table S24).

ABA is a major hormone that plays a key role in the resistance to multiple abiotic stresses^{56,59}. Coexpression analysis demonstrated that 22 lncRNAs were highly correlated with these PYR/PYL genes and formed 60 interaction pairs (Fig. 9a). Five PYR/PYL genes were found to be targeted by 9–10 lncRNAs in the network (Figs. 9b and S11b, Tables S25-1). In addition, nine lncRNAs could trans-regulate the expression of 4-6 PYR/PYLs. Among them, LNC_004890 and LNC_005790 had the most target genes and could regulate 6 PYR/PYLs (Fig. 9b, c, Tables S25-2). These results suggested that the interaction network provided effective evidence for estimating gene function and that lncRNAs might be involved in triggering ABA-mediated plant heat responses through transregulation of the expression of ABA receptor genes. In addition, lncRNAs interacting with a large number of PYR/PYLs could be used as candidates for further functional analysis.

Identification of eight genes expressed in response to stimuli via combined analysis of *cis*- and *trans*-regulatory IncRNA-mRNAs

To narrow the range of critical lncRNA-mRNA pairs in the sensing of and response to heat stress in Chinese cabbage, a total of 81 DE lncRNAs and 86 DE mRNAs were identified in 86 lncRNA-mRNA pairs via combined *cis*- and *trans*-regulatory analysis (Table S16). Moreover, the target genes involved in "response to stimulus" were screened for further study according to their GO annotations. The results indicated that eight genes were involved with this term, and six of them had functional annotations (Table S26). Expression analysis showed that all six genes exhibited an upward expression trend in the early stage (T1) of heat treatment (Fig. 10).

BraA07003689 was annotated as encoding a caseinolytic peptidase B (ClpB) protein, which, with the assistance of Dnak (HSP70), helps to remodel the structure of stress-damaged proteins from an aggregate state^{60,61}. The expression trend of *BraA07003689* was consistent with that of the majority of *HSP* genes, which was expressed in response to heat stress rapidly, being substantially upregulation at 1 h under heat stress, followed by a dramatic decline in expression (Fig. 10a). *BraA07003689* was predicted to be *cis*-regulated by *LNC_010992*, which is located in the opposite strand and partially overlaps with the 3' end of *BraA07003689* (Fig. 10a, Table S26).

The expression of the protein-coding gene *BraA09001034*, which is predicted to encode a dehydrin protein, was found to gradually increase under heat treatment (Fig. 10b). High temperature often causes cell water contents to decrease, leading to a reduction in cell size⁶². Dehydrins belong to group 2 LEA (late embryogenesis abundant) proteins, which

are considered stress-related proteins and participate in the formation of the plant dehydration protective response^{63,64}. The corresponding lncRNA of this dehydrin gene was *LNC_013535*, which is located in the antisense strand and encompasses the entire sequence of *BraA09001034* (Fig. 10b, Table S26).

Furthermore, the expression of *BraA01003306*, annotated as a pre-mRNA-splicing factor that is homologous to *SLU7*, quickly increased at 1 h after heat treatment (Fig. 9c). It has been reported that plants can actively use a pre-mRNA splicing mechanism to regulate the expression of stress–response genes and modulate intracellular regulatory networks^{65,66}. Induction of the expression of the potential pre-mRNA-splicing factor gene *BraA01003306* in Chinese cabbage might affect the frequency and diversity of alternative splicing events of stress-responsive genes, therefore improving heat tolerance. *BraA01003306* was nested within and coexpressed together with *LNC_000609* (Fig. 10c, Table S26).

Moreover, three major latex protein (MLP)-like genes, *BraA02002194*, *BraA09004277*, and *BraA01001526*, exhibited different expression patterns (Fig. 10d–f). MLPlike proteins have been identified to respond to abiotic stress in a variety of plant species and might function by participating in the ABA signaling pathway^{67–70}. The lncRNAs coexpressed with these genes, *LNC_002021*, *LNC_014195*, and *LNC_000283*, all encompassed their corresponding target mRNAs as antisense partners (Fig. 10d–f, Table S26).

Overall, by conducting a functional analysis of *cis*- and *trans*-regulated genes, we explored additional valuable lncRNAs in response to heat stress, and their potential functions were determined. This greatly enhanced our understanding of plant responses and adaptations to heat stress.

Expression verification of IncRNAs and their potential target genes

According to our analysis, several lncRNAs were believed to play important roles in coping with heat stress in Chinese cabbage by regulating the expression of *HSPs*, HSP-related genes, and the PYR/PYL group of ABA receptor genes (Table 2). To verify the accuracy, the expression patterns of several critical lncRNAs and their potential targets were verified by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) (Fig. 11a–c). The qRT-PCR results were consistent with those obtained from RNA-seq, suggesting that these identified lncRNAs might modulate a series of genes with different functions in response to heat stress through coexpression.

Discussion

Sequence similarity and conservation are regarded as indicators of biological function. Our analyses showed

corresponds to the number of interacting mRNAs or IncRNAs. **c** Numb that IncRNAs present low homology in 37 species (Fig. 2). The low sequence conservation among different species was in accordance with the findings of previous studies, which could be explained in two ways^{21,22,71}. Some researchers consider that the lack of cross-species conservation of IncRNAs might indicate unimportant func-

which could be explained in two ways^{21,22,71}. Some researchers consider that the lack of cross-species conservation of lncRNAs might indicate unimportant functions, with many lncRNAs probably being transcriptional byproducts or "transcriptional noise"^{72,73}. By contrast, low conservation levels might be intrinsic to rapidly evolving lncRNAs, which form a species-specific control layer to regulate gene expression in multiple ways⁷⁴.

Generally, lncRNAs function by regulating related genes in a variety of ways, among which they have been reported as the precursor sequences of miRNAs in several species^{2,29,75,76}. In this study, we systematically compared and analyzed lncRNAs as miRNA precursors in 37 species. Our analysis showed that the number and percentage of lncRNAs as miRNA precursors among different species were very different (Fig. 3a). On the one hand, this might be owing to the incompleteness of lncRNAs in some species. On the other hand, this might also be due to the different ways in which lncRNAs act in different species.

A large number of lncRNAs have been identified to respond to different abiotic stresses in plants, but there have been no reports about them in Chinese cabbage under heat stress^{39,40}. In the 21st century, extreme hightemperature events are expected to have negative impacts on crop growth and yield, severely threatening food security and sustainable agricultural development (IPCC 2014). Therefore, a deeper understanding of the complex heat response mechanism and improved heat tolerance in Chinese cabbage are of great significance. In this study, we systematically identified a total of 18,253 potential lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage by conducting nextgeneration sequencing, which provided an abundance of resources for future studies (Table S6). The number of





identified lncRNAs was greater than that identified in nonheading Chinese cabbage³⁸, which may be partially owing to the variation in plant subspecies, the influence of sequencing depth, or the experimental conditions.

The general characteristics of lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage under heat treatment are described in detail in this study. The majority of lncRNAs had a length of <1000 nt and contained only 1–2 exons (Fig. 4d, e), which was in accordance with findings of previous reports in other species^{77–79}. Moreover, it was reported that lncRNAs function in a temporal-dependent manner^{80,81}. In our study, a considerable number of lncRNAs were expressed exclusively at one stage. Of these lncRNAs, T12 was associated with the most stage-specific expression (422), and the lncRNAs in the control samples exhibited the least stage-specific expression (265). The differential expression of temporal-specific lncRNAs might enable them to function in a more dynamic manner.

In our study, to identify critical lncRNAs in response to heat stress and comprehensively understand the regulation of lncRNAs in plants, we carefully analyzed the coexpression relationships between lncRNAs and target genes enriched in the "protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum" pathway, which was significantly enriched in all the comparison groups (Fig. 7). By the construction and use of an interaction network, some lncRNAs were found to interact with a large number of *HSPs* or HSPrelated genes involved in this pathway. Therefore, these lncRNAs might play critical roles in the heat response in Chinese cabbage (Fig. 8b, Table 2). In addition, two genes (*BraA01001341* and *BraA08001569*) encoding 15.4 kDa class V HSPs were found to negatively respond to heat stress, which was opposite the response of most *HSPs*. (Fig. 8a, Table S22). By analyzing publicly available microarray data, we found that their ortholog in Arabidopsis, *AT4G21870*, also exhibited a downward expression trend at the early stage under heat treatment. Therefore, further insight into the function of 15.4 kDa class V HSPs is necessary for a comprehensive understanding of the role of heat stress in gene expression regulation.

Phytohormones play pivotal roles in the regulation of physiological and molecular responses in plants under various abiotic stress conditions^{56,82}. ABA is a major hormone involved in the plant defense response and contributes to plant thermotolerance^{59,83}. Under shortterm heat stress, the ABA content in secretions of bean plants was shown to increase obviously⁸⁴. In Brassica juncea, soaking seeds in 0.5 µM ABA effectively alleviated the negative effects of heat stress⁸⁵. In our work, the expression of nine PYR/PYL genes encoding ABA receptors decreased significantly after heat treatment, and 22 IncRNAs might trans-regulate their expression (Fig. 9). The downregulation of PYR/PYLs was consistent with the findings of a previous study in Arabidopsis, indicating that the upregulation of PYR/PYLs was not required for activating ABA signaling⁸⁶. Previous studies have identified several lncRNAs whose expression is correlated with

IncRNA_ID	Potential target genes	Number of potential target genes	Activity mode ^a
LNC_007838	HSPs and HSP- related genes	21	cis or trans
LNC_016696	HSPs and HSP- related genes	21	cis or trans
LNC_010992	HSPs and HSP- related genes	17	trans
LNC_003028	HSPs and HSP- related genes	16	trans
LNC_005395	HSPs and HSP- related genes	13	trans
LNC_009853	HSPs and HSP- related genes	13	trans
LNC_003977	HSPs and HSP- related genes	11	trans
LNC_005895	HSPs and HSP- related genes	11	cis or trans
LNC_006136	HSPs and HSP- related genes	11	trans
LNC_007840	HSPs and HSP- related genes	7	cis or trans
LNC_015255	HSPs	7	cis or trans
LNC_004890	PYR/PYLs	6	trans
LNC_005790	PYR/PYLs	6	trans
LNC_005338	PYR/PYLs	5	trans
LNC_005590	PYR/PYLs	5	trans
LNC_017421	PYR/PYLs	5	trans
LNC_001877	PYR/PYLs	4	trans
LNC_006162	PYR/PYLs	4	trans
LNC_006421	PYR/PYLs	4	trans
LNC_011542	PYR/PYLs	4	trans
LNC_010992	clpB1	1	cis
LNC_013535	DHR18	1	cis
LNC_000609	slu7	1	cis
LNC_002021	MLP-like genes	1	cis
LNC_000283	MLP-like genes	1	cis
LNC 014195	MLP-like genes	1	cis

 Table 2
 Key IncRNAs identified in this study that are related to heat response in Chinese cabbage

^aFor *trans*-acting mode, IncRNAs that might regulate more than ten *HSP* genes and more than three *PYR/PYL* genes were selected.

ABA. For example, drought-induced lncRNA (*DRIR*) was found to positively modulate drought and salt stress via the ABA-mediated pathway in Arabidopsis⁸⁷.

The expression of the lncRNA *BoNR8* is activated by ABA treatment in cabbage⁸⁸. Our study identified 22 lncRNAs coexpressed together with 9 *PYR/PYL* genes encoding ABA receptors, and some lncRNAs, such as *LNC_004890* and *LNC_005790*, could interact with 6 *PYR/PYLs*. These findings improved our understanding of the ABA-mediated thermotolerance pathway, and these candidate lncRNAs could be used in future studies (Table 2).

It has been reported that lncRNAs are usually located next to the genes that they regulate⁷⁸. For example, COLDAIR and COOLAIR are transcribed from the FLC locus and can repress the expression of FLC, therefore participating in Arabidopsis vernalization^{5,89–91}. In addition, *lncRNA16397* could induce the expression of adjacent SlGRX genes in tomato, thereby reducing reactive oxygen damage and improving tolerance to *Phytophthora infestans*⁹². In our research, 86 DE lncRNA-mRNA pairs located within a genomic window of 100 kb were coexpressed (Table S16). Moreover, six of them were involved with the "response to stimulus" GO term (Figs. 8c, 10), indicating that these IncRNAs might play critical roles in the heat tolerance of Chinese cabbage by regulating their target genes. In addition, in the 86 matched pairs, 70 were SA pairs of transcripts. In Arabidopsis, the expression trend of the vast majority of potential regulatory lncNATs was more likely to be similar to that of their associated sense genes⁹³. Moreover, ~40% of the cis-NATs were associated with a change in sense transcript levels during muscle development of pigs, and $\sim 80\%$ of them exhibited common expression patterns⁹⁴. Likewise, each SA pair of transcripts that were coexpressed displayed a similar expression trend in our study (Table S16). Thus, lncNATs might have a stronger tendency to have a positive correlation expression pattern with their overlapping sense genes.

In summary, we conducted a comparative analysis of 247,242 lncRNAs among 37 species and identified 960 lncRNAs as miRNA precursors. Furthermore, we also performed comprehensive analyses of lncRNAs in Chinese cabbage. This study expands our knowledge of lncRNAs involved in the heat stress response by identifying DE lncRNAs and conducting *cis*- and *trans*-functional analyses in Chinese cabbage. The critical lncRNAs identified in our study provide valuable information for heat-responsive lncRNA collection in Chinese cabbage and provide a rich resource for further investigating the biological functions of lncRNAs in plants.

Materials and methods

Comparative analysis of IncRNAs among 37 species

The lncRNA data sets of 36 species were downloaded from the CANTATAdb 2.0 database (http://cantata.amu. edu.pl/index.html). The lncRNAs of Chinese cabbage were obtained using lncRNA sequencing in this study. Perl scripts were used to extract and statistically analyze



the lncRNA number, length, expression, and exon number from the above data sets. A comparative plot of these lncRNA characteristics was constructed using the iTOL program (https://itol.embl.de/)⁹⁵. The phylogenetic relationship of the 37 species was determined via the NCBI taxonomy website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/taxonomy)⁹⁶. The similarity and conservation of the lncRNAs were determined using BLAST software (*E* value <1e-5), and the ggviolin function of ggpubr and digest libraries in the R program were used to construct violin plots (http://rpkgs. datanovia.com/ggpubr/reference/ggviolin.html). We also used BLASTN to compare all lncRNAs with the content of the miRBase database (Release 22.1), with an *E* value <1e-5, to check whether lncRNAs were miRNA precursors⁹⁷.

Plant materials and heat treatment

The genome sequencing material of Chiifu-401-42 Chinese cabbage was used in this study. Sterile seeds were sown in pots after germination and subsequently grown in a growth chamber under identical conditions (16 h day/8 h night photoperiod, 25 °C/18 °C day/night temperature regimen). After they had produced $4\sim5$ leaves, seedlings with similar growth states were subjected to 38 °C for 1 h, 4 h, 8 h, or 12 h. The seedlings under different treatment times were deemed T1, T4, T8, and T12, and those not subjected to heat treatment were considered control samples (Fig. 1a). After heat stress treatment, the leaves were collected, frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately, and then stored at -80 °C until use.

RNA isolation, library preparation, and sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from the samples using RNAiso Plus (Takara) according to the manufacturer's protocol. After checking the RNA quantity and integrity, a total of 2 µg of RNA per sample was used for ribosomal RNA removal by an Epicentre Ribo-zeroTM rRNA Removal Kit (Epicentre, USA). Sequencing libraries were generated using rRNA-depleted RNA via a NEBNext[®] UltraTM Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina[®] (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of the libraries was then assessed on the Agilent 2100 system. Finally, the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform. Library construction and lncRNA sequencing were performed by Novogene Cooperation (Beijing, China).

Read mapping and IncRNA identification

Raw reads obtained from lncRNA sequencing were first processed to remove adaptors and low-quality reads. The remaining clean reads were subsequently aligned to the Chinese cabbage genome (http://brassicadb.org/brad/) using HISAT2 (v2.1.0)⁹⁸. The mapped reads were then assembled by StringTie software (v2.1.1)⁹⁹. The final transcripts were generated with the Cuffmerge tool to merge the transcripts obtained from each sample and remove the transcripts whose chain direction was uncertain¹⁰⁰.

Based on the structural characteristics of lncRNAs and functional characteristics of nonencoded proteins, a series

of strict screening pipelines was applied, as shown in Fig. 1b. For the exon filter, single exon transcripts with low reliability were removed. For size selection, transcripts with lengths shorter than 200 bp were excluded. Cuffcompare software was then used to screen and remove the transcripts that overlapped with the exon regions of genes of Chinese cabbage¹⁰¹. Finally, the coding-noncoding index (CNCI) and Coding Potential Calculator (CPC) programs were used to evaluate the coding potential of the transcripts, and only transcripts that passed the protein-coding-score test were used for subsequent analysis^{102,103}. We also translated each transcript into all three possible frames and searched those sequences against the content of the Pfam database (https://pfam.xfam.org/), ensuring that our candidate set of lncRNAs did not contain any of the known protein family domains. The final determined lncRNAs were classified into several categories based on their genomic localization.

Analysis of DE mRNAs and IncRNAs

The expression level of the transcripts was quantified using StringTie software after screening and identification of lncRNAs, reported as fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM)⁹⁹. The DE mRNAs and lncRNAs were determined by the Ballgown program¹⁰⁴. In our study, the DE mRNAs and lncRNAs were defined as mRNAs or lncRNAs that were DE in at least one treatment compared with control treatment (q value <0.05). A heatmap and Venn diagrams of gene expression were generated by TBtools software¹⁰⁵. To examine the expression patterns of DE mRNAs and lncRNAs under different treatment stages, STEM software (Carnegie Mellon University, USA) was used to cluster the DE genes and lncRNAs based on their expression patterns¹⁰⁶. The maximum number of model profiles was set to 40, and the other settings used the default parameters.

Prediction, functional enrichment, and interaction network construction

The heat-responsive lncRNAs were predicted to function by regulating the expression of the prospective target genes in a *cis-* or *trans-*acting manner. The protein-coding genes within 100 kb upstream or downstream of the lncRNAs were screened and removed as their target genes for *cis* action. The PCC was used to analyze the correlations between lncRNAs and mRNAs in samples at five different treatment stages. The lncRNA-mRNA pairs were considered to be coexpressed when the |PCC | was >0.95 and the *p* value was <0.01, and the mRNA was predicted to act in *trans* on the corresponding lncRNA genes.

To investigate the potential functions of the DE lncRNAs, their *cis-* and *trans-*regulated genes were further analyzed by GO annotations using the GOseq R

package¹⁰⁷. The GO terms with a q value <0.05 were thought to be significantly enriched. In addition, KOBAS software was used for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis of the target genes¹⁰⁸. To identify critical lncRNAs associated with heat tolerance, an interaction network comprising DE lncRNAs and DE mRNAs was constructed by Gephi (v0.8.2) software based on *cis-* or *trans-*regulation¹⁰⁹.

ceRNA network construction

The mature miRNA sequences of B. rapa were downloaded from miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org/, Release 22.1)⁹⁷. The coexpression of DE lncRNAs and mRNAs was used to construct prediction libraries of ceRNAs and target mRNAs of miRNAs, respectively. The ceRNAs for the B. rapa miRNAs were predicted by the RNAhybrid program¹¹⁰. The main parameters were as follows, according to previous reports^{30,31}: (i) the minimum free energy was <-25 kcal/mol, with a *p* value <0.05; (ii) bulges were permitted only at the 9th to 12th positions of the 5' end of the miRNA sequence, and the bulge should comprise 2-4 bases; (iii) G/U pairs were allowed in the miRNA and lncRNA pairing region, and perfect pairing was required at the 2nd to 8th positions of the 5' end of the miRNA sequence; and (iv) except for the bulge, no more than four mismatches were allowed in the lncRNA and miRNA pairing regions.

Target mRNAs of miRNAs were predicted using the psRNATarget program¹¹¹. The following parameters were used: (i) maximum expectation < =3; (ii) maximum energy to unpair the target site <25; and (iii) length for complementarity scoring (HSP size) \geq 20. A lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA network was subsequently constructed using Cytoscape v3.7.2 software¹¹².

Validation by real-time quantitative PCR

To validate the lncRNA sequencing results, several critical lncRNAs and their potential target genes were selected for qRT-PCR analysis. For reverse transcription PCR, first-strand cDNA was synthesized using a Prime-ScriptTM II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara, Dalian, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The synthesized cDNA was then subjected to quantitative analysis in a CFX96TM Real-Time System (C1000TM Thermal Cycler, Bio-Rad) in conjunction with a SYBR Premix Ex TaqTM II Kit (TaKaRa). Three biological replicates were included for each sample. The constitutively expressed *Actin* gene was used as the internal house-keeping gene to standardize the results. The primer pairs used are listed in Table S27.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31801856 to X.S.), the Hebei Province Higher Education Youth Talents Program (BJ2018016 to X.S.), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation

Author details

¹College of Life Sciences/Center for Genomics and Bio-computing, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, Hebei, China. ²Food Science and Technology Department, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA. ³School of Life Science and Technology and Center for Informational Biology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China. ⁴Department of Food Science, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

Author contributions

X.S. conceived the project and were responsible for the project initiation. X.S. and N.L. supervised and managed the project and research. Bioinformatic analyses were performed by X.S., J.H., T.W., Q.Y., K.G., T.Y., H.L., and Q.P. The experiments were performed by N.L., S.F., C.C., Y.Y., and R.Z. The manuscript was organized, written, and revised by X.S., N.L., X.F., H.L., and R.Z. All the authors have read and revised the manuscript.

Data availability

The RNA-seq data sets of this study have been deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive in the BIG Data Center, Beijing Institute of Genomics (BIG), Chinese Academy of Sciences, under accession number CRA002707, which is publicly accessible at http://bigd.big.ac.cn/gsa. All the materials and related data in this study are available upon request.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-021-00484-4.

Received: 9 August 2020 Revised: 30 October 2020 Accepted: 13 December 2020

Published online: 01 March 2021

References

- Cobb, M. 60 years ago, Francis Crick changed the logic of biology. *PLoS Biol.* 15, e2003243 (2017).
- Wang, H. et al. Analysis of non-coding transcriptome in rice and maize uncovers roles of conserved IncRNAs associated with agriculture traits. *Plant* J. 84, 404–416 (2015).
- Zhang, P., Wu, W., Chen, Q. & Chen, M. Non-Coding RNAs and their Integrated Networks. J. Integr. Bioinform. 16, 20190027 (2019).
- Mercer, T. R., Dinger, M. E. & Mattick, J. S. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 10, 155–159 (2009).
- Heo, J. B. & Sung, S. Vernalization-mediated epigenetic silencing by a long intronic noncoding RNA. *Science* **331**, 76–79 (2011).
- Wilusz, J. E. Long noncoding RNAs: Re-writing dogmas of RNA processing and stability. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1859, 128–138 (2016).
- Au, P. C. K, Dennis, E. S. & Wang, M. B. Analysis of argonaute 4-associated long non-coding RNA in *Arabidopsis thaliana* sheds novel insights into gene regulation through RNA-directed DNA methylation. *Genes* 8, 198 (2017).
- Fukuda, M., Nishida, S., Kakei, Y., Shimada, Y. & Fujiwara, T. Genome-wide analysis of long intergenic noncoding RNAs responding to low-nutrient conditions in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: possible involvement of trans-acting siRNA3 in response to low nitrogen. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **60**, 1961–1973 (2019).
- Zhu, Q. H., Stephen, S., Taylor, J., Helliwell, C. A. & Wang, M. B. Long noncoding RNAs responsive to Fusarium oxysporum infection in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. N. Phytol. **201**, 574–584 (2014).
- 10. Yuan, J. et al. Stress-responsive regulation of long non-coding RNA polyadenylation in *Oryza sativa. Plant J.* **93**, 814–827 (2018).
- Shin, S. Y. et al. Transcriptomic analyses of rice (*Oryza sativa*) genes and noncoding RNAs under nitrogen starvation using multiple omics technologies. *BMC Genomics* 19, 532 (2018).
- Lv, Y. et al. Genome-wide identification and functional prediction of nitrogen-responsive intergenic and intronic long non-coding RNAs in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *BMC Genomics* **17**, 350 (2016).

- 13. Li, L. et al. Genome-wide discovery and characterization of maize long noncoding RNAs. *Genome Biol.* **15**, R40 (2014).
- Wang, M., Zhao, W., Gao, L. & Zhao, L. Genome-wide profiling of long noncoding RNAs from tomato and a comparison with mRNAs associated with the regulation of fruit ripening. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18, 75 (2018).
- Zhu, B. et al. RNA sequencing and functional analysis implicate the regulatory role of long non-coding RNAs in tomato fruit ripening. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 4483–4495 (2015).
- Zhao, M. et al. Identification of tissue-specific and cold-responsive IncRNAs in Medicago truncatula by high-throughput RNA sequencing. BMC Plant Biol. 20, 99 (2020).
- 17. Xuan, H. et al. PLNIncRbase: a resource for experimentally identified IncRNAs in plants. *Gene* **573**, 328–332 (2015).
- Jin, J., Liu, J., Wang, H., Wong, L. & Chua, N. H. PLncDB: plant long non-coding RNA database. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1068–1071 (2013).
- Quek, X. C. et al. IncRNAdb v2.0: expanding the reference database for functional long noncoding RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D168–D173 (2015).
- Szcześniak, M. W., Bryzghalov, O., Ciomborowska-Basheer, J. & Makałowska, I. CANTATAdb 2.0: expanding the collection of plant long noncoding RNAs. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1933**, 415–429 (2019).
- Wang, X. et al. Expression and diversification analysis reveals transposable elements play important roles in the origin of Lycopersicon-specific IncRNAs in tomato. *N. Phytol.* 209, 1442–1455 (2016).
- 22. Liu, J. et al. Genome-wide analysis uncovers regulation of long intergenic noncoding RNAs in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **24**, 4333–4345 (2012).
- Wierzbicki, A. T., Haag, J. R. & Pikaard, C. S. Noncoding transcription by RNA polymerase Pol IVb/Pol V mediates transcriptional silencing of overlapping and adjacent genes. *Cell* **135**, 635–648 (2008).
- Zhang, Y. & Chen, Y. Long noncoding RNAs: new regulators in plant development. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 436, 111–114 (2013).
- Ulitsky, I. & Bartel, D. P. lincRNAs: genomics, evolution, and mechanisms. *Cell* 154, 26–46 (2013).
- Liu, J., Wang, H. & Chua, N. Long noncoding RNA transcriptome of plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 13, 319–328 (2015).
- 27. Guil, S. & Esteller, M. Cis-acting noncoding RNAs: friends and foes. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **19**, 1068–1075 (2012).
- Fatica, A. & Bozzoni, I. Long non-coding RNAs: new players in cell differentiation and development. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 15, 7–21 (2014).
- Boerner, S. & McGinnis, K. M. Computational identification and functional predictions of long noncoding RNA in *Zea mays. PLoS ONE* 7, e43047 (2012).
- Jiang, N. et al. Tomato IncRNA23468 functions as a competing endogenous RNA to modulate NBS-LRR genes by decoying miR482b in the tomato-Phytophthora infestans interaction. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 28 (2019).
- Wu, H. J., Wang, Z. M., Wang, M. & Wang, X. J. Widespread long noncoding RNAs as endogenous target mimics for microRNAs in plants. *Plant Physiol.* 161, 1875–1884 (2013).
- Wang, J. et al. Genome-wide analysis of tomato long non-coding RNAs and identification as endogenous target mimic for microRNA in response to TYLCV infection. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 16946 (2015).
- Huang, L. et al. Systematic identification of long non-coding RNAs during pollen development and fertilization in *Brassica rapa*. *Plant J.* 96, 203–222 (2018).
- Ding, J. et al. A long noncoding RNA regulates photoperiod-sensitive male sterility, an essential component of hybrid rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 2654–2659 (2012).
- Jiang, N. et al. Sly-IncRNA15492 interacts with Sly-miR482a and affects Solanum lycopersicum immunity against Phytophthora infestans. Plant J. 103, 1561–1574 (2020).
- Li, Y. et al. Functional identification of IncRNAs in sweet cherry (*Prunus avium*) pollen tubes via transcriptome analysis using single-molecule long-read sequencing. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 135 (2019).
- Bai, L. et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis uncovers the regulatory functions of long noncoding RNAs in fruit development and color changes of *Fragaria pentaphylla*. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 42 (2019).
- Wang, A. et al. Genome-wide analysis of long non-coding RNAs unveils the regulatory roles in the heat tolerance of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa ssp. chinensis*). *Sci. Rep.* 9, 5002 (2019).
- Deng, P., Liu, S., Nie, X., Weining, S. & Wu, L. Conservation analysis of long non-coding RNAs in plants. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 61, 190–198 (2018).

- Yu, Y. et al. Transcriptional landscape of pathogen-responsive lncRNAs in rice unveils the role of ALEX1 in jasmonate pathway and disease resistance. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 18, 679–690 (2020).
- Song, Y., Ci, D., Tian, M. & Zhang, D. Stable methylation of a non-coding RNA gene regulates gene expression in response to abiotic stress in *Populus* simonii. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 1477–1492 (2016).
- Xin, M. et al. Diverse set of microRNAs are responsive to powdery mildew infection and heat stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L*). *BMC Plant Biol.* 10, 123 (2010).
- Lee, S. G. et al. Effects of vernalization, temperature, and soil drying periods on the growth and yield of chinese cabbage. *Korean J. Hortic. Sci. Technol.* 33, 820–828 (2015).
- Wang, A. et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals heat-responsive genes in Chinese Cabbage (*Brassica rapa ssp. chinensis*). Front. Plant Sci. 7, 939 (2016).
- Yu, X. et al. Global analysis of cis-natural antisense transcripts and their heatresponsive nat-siRNAs in *Brassica rapa*. *BMC Plant Biol.* **13**, 208 (2013).
- Song, X. et al. Temperature expression patterns of genes and their coexpression with LncRNAs revealed by RNA-Seq in non-heading Chinese cabbage. *BMC Genomics* 17, 297 (2016).
- Kang, C. & Liu, Z. Global identification and analysis of long non-coding RNAs in diploid strawberry *Fragaria vesca* during flower and fruit development. *BMC Genomics* 16, 815 (2015).
- Ahmed, W. et al. Identification of conserved and novel miRNAs responsive to heat stress in flowering Chinese cabbage using high-throughput sequencing. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 14922–14922 (2019).
- Yu, X. et al. Identification of conserved and novel microRNAs that are responsive to heat stress in *Brassica rapa*. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 1025–1038 (2012).
- Zhao, J., He, Q., Chen, G., Wang, L. & Jin, B. Regulation of non-coding RNAs in heat stress responses of plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1213–1213 (2016).
- Jacob, P., Hirt, H. & Bendahmane, A. The heat-shock protein/chaperone network and multiple stress resistance. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 15, 405–414 (2017).
- Ohama, N., Sato, H., Shinozaki, K. & Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. Transcriptional regulatory network of plant heat stress response. *Trends Plant Sci.* 22, 53–65 (2017).
- Ul Haq, S. et al. Heat shock proteins: dynamic biomolecules to counter plant biotic and abiotic stresses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5321 (2019).
- Wu, X. & Rapoport, T. A. Mechanistic insights into ER-associated protein degradation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 53, 22–28 (2018).
- 55. Swatek, K. N. & Komander, D. Ubiquitin modifications. *Cell Res.* **26**, 399–422 (2016).
- Verma, V., Ravindran, P. & Kumar, P. P. Plant hormone-mediated regulation of stress responses. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16, 86 (2016).
- Ku, Y. S., Sintaha, M., Cheung, M. Y. & Lam, H. M. Plant hormone signaling crosstalks between biotic and abiotic stress responses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **19**, 3206 (2018).
- Pan, C. et al. Role of ethylene biosynthesis and signaling in elevated CO(2)induced heat stress response in tomato. *Planta* 250, 563–572 (2019).
- Sah, S. K., Reddy, K. R. & Li, J. Abscisic acid and abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 571 (2016).
- Schirmer, E. C., Glover, J. R., Singer, M. A. & Lindquist, S. HSP100/Clp proteins: a common mechanism explains diverse functions. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 21, 289–296 (1996).
- Zavilgelsky, G. B., Kotova, V. Y., Mazhul, M. M. & Manukhov, I. V. Role of Hsp70 (DnaK-DnaJ-GrpE) and Hsp100 (ClpA and ClpB) chaperones in refolding and increased thermal stability of bacterial luciferases in *Escherichia coli* cells. *Biochemistry* 67, 986–992 (2002).
- Ashraf, M. & Hafeez, M. Thermotolerance of pearl millet and maize at early growth stages: growth and nutrient relations. *Biol. Plant.* 48, 81–86 (2004).
- Kosová, K., Vítámvás, P. & Prášil, I. T. Wheat and barley dehydrins under cold, drought, and salinity - what can LEA-II proteins tell us about plant stress response? *Front. Plant Sci.* 5, 343 (2014).
- 64. Hanin, M. et al. Plant dehydrins and stress tolerance: versatile proteins for complex mechanisms. *Plant Signal Behav.* **6**, 1503–1509 (2011).
- Cui, P. & Xiong, L. Environmental stress and pre-mRNA splicing. *Mol. Plant* 8, 1302–1303 (2015).
- Meyer, K, Koester, T. & Staiger, D. Pre-mRNA splicing in plants: in vivo functions of RNA-binding proteins implicated in the splicing process. *Biomolecules* 5, 1717–1740 (2015).

- Stanley Kim, H. et al. Transcriptional divergence of the duplicated oxidative stress-responsive genes in the Arabidopsis genome. *Plant J.* 41, 212–220 (2005).
- Chen, J. Y. & Dai, X. F. Cloning and characterization of the Gossypium hirsutum major latex protein gene and functional analysis in Arabidopsis thaliana. Planta 231, 861–873 (2010).
- Wang, Y. et al. Major latex protein-like protein 43 (MLP43) functions as a positive regulator during abscisic acid responses and confers drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 421–434 (2016).
- Sun, H., Kim, M. K., Pulla, R. K., Kim, Y. J. & Yang, D. C. Isolation and expression analysis of a novel major latex-like protein (MLP151) gene from *Panax ginseng. Mol. Biol. Rep.* 37, 2215–2222 (2010).
- Wen, J., Parker, B. J. & Weiller, G. F. In Silico identification and characterization of mRNA-like noncoding transcripts in *Medicago truncatula. Silico Biol.* 7, 485–505 (2007).
- Wang, J. et al. Mouse transcriptome: neutral evolution of 'non-coding' complementary DNAs. *Nature* **431**, 757 (2004).
- Struhl, K Transcriptional noise and the fidelity of initiation by RNA polymerase II. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 14, 103–105 (2007).
- Morris, K. V. & Mattick, J. S. The rise of regulatory RNA. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 15, 423–437 (2014).
- Zhang, J. et al. Genome-wide identification, putative functionality and interactions between IncRNAs and miRNAs in *Brassica* species. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 4960 (2018).
- Mohammadin, S., Edger, P. P., Pires, J. C. & Schranz, M. E. Positionallyconserved but sequence-diverged: identification of long non-coding RNAs in the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15, 217 (2015).
- Huanca-Mamani, W. et al. Long non-coding RNAs responsive to salt and boron stress in the hyper-arid Lluteño Maize from Atacama desert. *Genes* 9, 170 (2018).
- Wang, T. Z., Liu, M., Zhao, M. G., Chen, R. & Zhang, W. H. Identification and characterization of long non-coding RNAs involved in osmotic and salt stress in *Medicago truncatula* using genome-wide high-throughput sequencing. *BMC Plant Biol.* **15**, 131 (2015).
- Fu, L. et al. Genome-wide discovery and functional prediction of saltresponsive IncRNAs in duckweed. *BMC Genomics* 21, 212 (2020).
- Shumayla et al. Survey of high throughput RNA-Seq data reveals potential roles for IncRNAs during development and stress response in bread wheat. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1019 (2017).
- Ding, Z. et al. Strand-specific RNA-seq based identification and functional prediction of drought-responsive IncRNAs in cassava. *BMC Genomics* 20, 214 (2019).
- Ahammed, G. J., Li, X., Zhou, J., Zhou, Y. H. & Yu, J. Q. Role of Hormones in Plant Adaptation to Heat Stress. in: *Plant Hormones under Challenging Environmental Factors*, 1–21 (2016).
- Vishwakarma, K. et al. Abscisic acid signaling and abiotic stress tolerance in plants: a review on current knowledge and future prospects. *Front Plant Sci.* 8, 161 (2017).
- Kumar, S. et al. Heat-stress induced inhibition in growth and chlorosis in mungbean (*Phaseolus aureus* Roxb.) is partly mitigated by ascorbic acid application and is related to reduction in oxidative stress. *Acta Physiologiae Plant.* 33, 2091–2101 (2011).
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., Alam, M. M., Roychowdhury, R. & Fujita, M. Physiological, biochemical, and molecular mechanisms of heat stress tolerance in plants. *Int J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 9643–9684 (2013).
- Chan, Z. Expression profiling of ABA pathway transcripts indicates crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. *Genomics* **100**, 110–115 (2012).
- Qin, T., Zhao, H., Cui, P., Albesher, N. & Xiong, L. A nucleus-localized long noncoding RNA enhances drought and salt stress tolerance. *Plant Physiol.* 175, 1321–1336 (2017).
- Wu, J. et al. Pol III-dependent cabbage BoNR8 long ncRNA affects seed germination and growth in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 60, 421–435 (2019).
- Swiezewski, S., Liu, F., Magusin, A. & Dean, C. Cold-induced silencing by long antisense transcripts of an Arabidopsis polycomb target. *Nature* 462, 799–802 (2009).
- Liu, F., Marquardt, S., Lister, C., Swiezewski, S. & Dean, C. Targeted 3' processing of antisense transcripts triggers Arabidopsis FLC chromatin silencing. *Science* 327, 94–97 (2010).

- Csorba, T., Questa, J. I., Sun, Q. & Dean, C. Antisense COOLAIR mediates the coordinated switching of chromatin states at FLC during vernalization. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 16160–16165 (2014).
- Cui, J., Luan, Y., Jiang, N., Bao, H. & Meng, J. Comparative transcriptome analysis between resistant and susceptible tomato allows the identification of IncRNA16397 conferring resistance to Phytophthora infestans by coexpressing glutaredoxin. *Plant J.* 89, 577–589 (2017).
- Deforges, J. et al. Control of cognate sense mRNA translation by cis-natural antisense RNAs. *Plant Physiol.* 180, 305–322 (2019).
- Zhao, Y. et al. Cis-natural antisense transcripts are mainly co-expressed with their sense transcripts and primarily related to energy metabolic pathways during muscle development. Int J. Biol. Sci. 12, 1010–1021 (2016).
- Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, W256–w259 (2019).
- 96. Federhen, S. The NCBI taxonomy database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, D136–D143 (2012).
- Kozomara, A., Birgaoanu, M. & Griffiths-Jones, S. miRBase: from microRNA sequences to function. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D155–D162 (2019).
- Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 907–915 (2019).
- 99. Pertea, M. et al. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 290–295 (2015).
- Trapnell, C. et al. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNAseg experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 562–578 (2012).
- Trapnell, C. et al. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 28, 511–515 (2010).

- Sun, L. et al. Utilizing sequence intrinsic composition to classify proteincoding and long non-coding transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, e166 (2013).
- Kang, Y. J. et al. CPC2: a fast and accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, W12–w16 (2017).
- Pertea, M., Kim, D., Pertea, G. M., Leek, J. T. & Salzberg, S. L. Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. *Nat. Protoc.* **11**, 1650–1667 (2016).
- Chen, C., Chen, H., He, Y. & Xia, R. TBtools, a Toolkit for Biologists integrating various biological data handling tools with a user-friendly interface. *bioRxiv*, https://doi.org/10.1101/289660 (2018).
- Ernst, J. & Bar-Joseph, Z. STEM: a tool for the analysis of short time series gene expression data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7, 191 (2006).
- Young, M. D., Wakefield, M. J., Smyth, G. K. & Oshlack, A. Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biol.* **11**, R14 (2010).
- Mao, X., Cai, T., Olyarchuk, J. G. & Wei, L. Automated genome annotation and pathway identification using the KEGG Orthology (KO) as a controlled vocabulary. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 3787–3793 (2005).
- Jacomy, M., Venturini, T., Heymann, S. & Bastian, M. ForceAtlas2, a continuous graph layout algorithm for handy network visualization designed for the Gephi software. *PLoS ONE* 9, e98679 (2014).
- Krüger, J. & Rehmsmeier, M. RNAhybrid: microRNA target prediction easy, fast and flexible. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, W451–W454 (2006).
- Dai, X., Zhuang, Z. & Zhao, P. X. psRNATarget: a plant small RNA target analysis server (2017 release). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, W49–W54 (2018).
- Shannon, P. et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* 13, 2498–2504 (2003).