ARTICLE

Open Access

Evolution and roles of cytokinin genes in angiosperms 1: Do ancient *IPTs* play housekeeping while non-ancient *IPTs* play regulatory roles?

Xiaojing Wang¹, Shanshan Lin¹, Decai Liu¹, Lijun Gan², Richard McAvoy³, Jing Ding¹ and Yi Li^{1,3}

Abstract

Isopentenyltransferase (*IPT*) genes, including those encoding *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs*, control the rate-limiting steps of the biosynthesis of *N*⁶-(Δ^2 -isopentenyl)adenine (*iP*)-type and *trans*-zeatin (*tZ*)-type cytokinins and *cis*-zeatin (*cZ*)-type cytokinins, respectively. However, the evolution and roles of these *IPTs* in angiosperms are not well understood. Here, we report comprehensive analyses of the origins, evolution, expression patterns, and possible roles of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs* in angiosperms. We found that Class I and II *tRNA-IPTs* likely coexisted in the last common ancestor of eukaryotes, while *ATP/ADP-IPTs* likely originated from a Class II *tRNA-IPT* before the divergence of angiosperms. *tRNA-IPTs* are conservatively retained as 2–3 copies, but *ATP/ADP-IPTs* exhibit considerable expansion and diversification. Additionally, *tRNA-IPTs* are constitutively expressed throughout the plant, whereas the expression of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* is tissue-specific and rapidly downregulated by abiotic stresses. Furthermore, previous studies and our present study indicate that *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and their products, *iPs/tZs*, may regulate responses to environmental stresses and organ development in angiosperms. We therefore hypothesize that *tRNA-IPTs* and the associated *cZs* play a housekeeping role, whereas *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and the associated *iP/tZ*-type cytokinins play regulatory roles in organ development and stress responses in angiosperms, which echoes the conclusions and hypothesis presented in the accompanying study by Wang, X. et al Evolution and roles of cytokinin genes in angiosperms 2: Do ancient CKXs play housekeeping roles while non-ancient CKXs play regulatory roles? *Hortic Res* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-020-0246-z>.

Introduction

Cytokinins (CKs) are a class of plant hormones that play essential roles in many aspects of plant development, including the delay of leaf senescence¹, root proliferation^{2,3}, apical dominance⁴, shoot meristem function^{5–7}, regulation of reproductive meristem activity⁸, fruit development⁹, and nutritional signaling^{10,11}. In addition, CKs play important and complex roles in environmental stress responses^{12,13}. There are two major types of

naturally occurring CKs, the *cis*-zeatin (*cZ*) type and the *iP* [*N*⁶-(*iP*)/*trans*-zeatin (*tZ*) type¹⁴. In many plants, *iPs* and *tZs* are the most prevalent CKs in most tissues and stages of the lifespan, while *cZ*-type CKs are present only in minor quantities¹⁵. Recent studies have demonstrated that *cZs* are the predominant CKs in some plants, such as rice and maize, or in certain developmental stages associated with limited growth¹⁶. The presence of *iP*-type and *tZ*-type CKs can vary greatly between tissues, developmental stages, and environmental conditions^{17–19}.

Isopentenyltransferases (*IPTs*) catalyze the first and rate-limiting step of CK biosynthesis. *IPTs* can be classified into the adenylate (*ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *AMP-IPTs*) and *tRNA* types. The *IPTs* that use *ATP*, *ADP*, or *AMP* as their preferred substrates produce *iP*-type and *tZ*-type CKs, while the *tRNA*-type *IPTs*, which transfer isopentenyl groups to

Correspondence: Jing Ding (jding@njau.edu.cn) or Yi Li (yi.li@uconn.edu)

¹State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Germplasm Enhancement and the College of Horticulture, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, P. R. China

²College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, P. R. China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

These authors contributed equally: Xiaojing Wang, Shanshan Lin

Yi Li holds a no pay visiting professor position at Nanjing Agricultural University.

© The Author(s) 2020

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

the N⁶ atom of adenines in tRNAs, produce *cZ*-type CKs^{14,19}. In *Arabidopsis*, nine *IPT* genes have been identified (*AtIPT1*–9). Among them, *AtIPT1* and *AtIPT3*–*AtIPT8* encode ATP/ADP-IPTs, and the other two *AtIPT* genes, *AtIPT2* and *AtIPT9*, encode tRNA-IPTs. Mutations in two tRNA-type *IPT* genes, *AtIPT2* and *AtIPT9*, particularly in combination, result in plant chlorosis and a significant reduction in *cZ*-type CKs but do not affect the concentrations of iPs and *tZs*^{14,20}. By comparison, plants with mutant ATP/ADP-IPTs possess scarce amounts of iP-type and *tZ*-type CKs but contain slightly elevated levels of *cZs*^{14,21,22}. These mutant plants exhibit increased lateral root primordia and density, fewer leaves, reduced inflorescence, and decreased number of vascular bundles and are unable to form cambium^{14,21,22}.

Genetically mutant plants with altered endogenous CK content display changes in plant tolerance to environmental stresses. A quadruple ATP/ADP-IPT *Arabidopsis* mutant *atipt1;3;5;7* with deficient levels of iPs and *tZs* but slightly increased levels of *cZs* displayed significantly enhanced salt and drought tolerance compared to that of wild-type plants²³. Plants over-expressing CK degradation genes (CK oxidase/dehydrogenase genes, *CKXs*), which contain substantially reduced levels of iPs/*tZs* and moderately reduced levels of *cZs*, also show elevated tolerance to drought, salt, or heat stress^{23,24}. These studies show that iP and *tZ* CKs are negative regulators of plant adaptation to environmental stresses. However, it has also been reported that if a stress-associated or senescence-associated promoter is used to drive the expression of an AMP-IPT or ATP/ADP-IPT gene, improved plant tolerance to drought, heat, and other stresses is observed¹³.

As previously reported^{19,25}, tRNA-IPTs have been found in all major clades of bacteria and eukaryotes but not in archaea. In contrast, adenylate IPTs show a fragmented distribution. The functionally confirmed ATP/ADP-IPTs are found exclusively in flowering plants^{14,26}. Based on the tree topology and taxonomic composition of the *IPT* gene family, Lindner et al.²⁵ have suggested that eukaryotic IPTs most likely can be traced back to an ancestral α -proteobacterium that was involved in the initial endosymbiotic event that led to extant mitochondria. The ancestral eukaryotic *IPT* gene was duplicated, which resulted in two classes of tRNA-IPTs (Class I and II). The Class II tRNA-IPTs subsequently lost the capability to bind tRNAs and diversified into the extant ADP/ATP-IPTs found in flowering plants. Recently, Nishii et al.²⁷ performed a broader sampling of *IPT* genes and hypothesized that Class I tRNA-IPTs represent the direct successors of bacterial *miaA* genes, while Class II tRNA-IPTs are derived from eukaryotic genes.

In this study, we comprehensively analyzed the differences between ATP/ADP-IPTs and tRNA-IPTs, including

their taxonomic distribution, origin, evolutionary history, duplication mechanism, gene/protein structure, and expression patterns in tissues/organs and under various environmental stresses. Our results strongly suggest that tRNA-IPTs in angiosperms can be traced back to the initial endosymbiotic event, while ATP/ADP-IPTs are derived from tRNA-IPTs. In flowering plants, tRNA-IPTs are conservatively retained and constitutively expressed in all tissues and under various environmental conditions. On the other hand, ATP/ADP-IPTs have extensively expanded and functionally diverged, and their expression is tissue-/organ-specific and environmental stress-responsive. Our findings plus previously published results demonstrate that in angiosperms, tRNA-IPT genes and the associated *cZ*-type CKs most likely play house-keeping roles, while ATP/ADP-IPT genes and the associated iP/*tZ*-type CKs may be involved in regulating organ development and responses to environmental stresses.

Results

Genome-wide identification of *IPT* genes among the three domains of life

IPT genes have been identified in various groups of bacteria and eukaryotes but not in archaea¹⁹. To verify the absence of *IPT* homologs in archaea, we used TBLASTN to identify the IPPT domain in archaeal nucleotide databases. Putative IPPT domains were found in two archaeal species, *miscellaneous Crenarchaeota group archaeon SMTZ-80* and *candidate division MSBL1 archaeon SCGC-AAA382N08*, indicating that *IPT* homologs are also present in archaea. The former archaeal species containing an *IPT* homolog belongs to the TACK superphylum, which has recently been proposed to be the origin of eukaryotes, and the latter is a member of the unclassified euryarchaeota.

We next performed a broad and balanced sampling of a total of 59 representative species from major lineages of all three domains of life (eukaryotes, bacteria, and archaea, Table S1). *IPT* homologs in these species were identified. We found that the number of *IPT* homologs varies considerably among the species in Plantae, ranging from one copy in *C. reinhardtii* (green algae) and *C. merolae* (red algae) to nine copies in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (eudicot) and 11 copies in *Z. maize* (monocot). By comparison, the number of *IPT* homologs in the other organisms, i.e., nonplant eukaryotes, bacteria, and archaea, does not vary greatly and ranges from 0 to 3 copies (Table S1). More than 90% (20/22) of the bacteria and nonplant eukaryotes sampled contain putative *IPT* gene(s) (mostly a single copy), while none of the *IPT* homologs could be found in the sampled archaea except the two identified by TBLASTN. Taken together, our results show that *IPT* homologs are distributed

sporadically in archaea but widely throughout bacteria and eukaryotes, and show significant expansions in land plants.

Differences in the origin and distribution of tRNA-IPTs and ATP/ADP-IPTs

To explore the evolutionary history of the *IPT* genes, an unrooted ML phylogeny comprised of *IPT* homologs from 59 representative species was constructed using PhyML (Fig. 1). Based on *IPT*s with known functions, the entire *IPT* gene family could be divided into three subfamilies, designated tRNA-IPT, ADT/ATP-IPT, and AMP-IPT¹⁹.

According to our phylogeny, the AMP-IPT subfamily includes only members of *D. discoideum* and several bacteria, including *Agrobacteria*, and the ATP/ADP-IPT subfamily is confined to seed plants (Table 1). In comparison, the tRNA-IPT subfamily has a much wider taxonomic distribution.

The two classes of tRNA-IPT genes (referred to as Class I and II hereafter) categorized by Lindner et al.²⁵ are located in two clades and include members of various species (Fig. 1). The Class I tRNA-IPT homologs can be found in all supergroups of eukaryotes except Excavata (Table 1). In particular, every species containing plastids

Table 1 Phyletic distribution of *ATP/ADP-IPT*, *AMP-IPT*, and Class I and Class II *tRNA-IPT* homologs

Domain	Supergroup	Lineage	<i>ATP/ADP -IPT</i>	<i>tRNA-IPT</i>		<i>AMP -IPT</i>
				I	II	
Eukaryota	Plantae	Angiosperms	+	+	+	—
		Gymnosperms	+	+	+	—
		Lycophytes	—	+	—	—
		Liverworts	—	+	—	—
		Mosses	—	+	—	—
		Green algae	—	+	+	—
		Red algae	—	+	—	—
	SAR		—	+	+	—
	Excavata		—	—	+	—
	Amoebozoa		—	+	+	—
	Opisthokonta		—	+	+	—
	Incertae sedis		—	+	+	—
Bacteria			—	+	+	+
Archaea			—	—	+	—

Note: Plus (+) and minus (–) indicate whether the gene was detected in the corresponding lineage/supergroup/domain

has at least one Class I *tRNA-IPT* (Table S1), revealing the universal distribution of this type of *IPT* gene in photosynthetic organisms. Within the phylogeny, all eukaryotic Class I *tRNA-IPTs* form a clade that branches with the *tRNA-IPT* homologs from bacterial species belonging to proteobacteria and four other phyla (Fig. 1 and Table S1). It is worth noting that these five bacterial phyla have relatively close relationships in the recent tree of life²⁸. Therefore, the bacterial homologs of Class I *tRNA-IPTs* may be traced back to the ancestor of these proteobacteria-related bacterial species.

In contrast, the Class II *tRNA-IPT* subfamily includes homologs from seed plants and two prasinophyte algae in Plantae and all nonplant eukaryotic supergroups (Table 1). In particular, the Class II *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs* in seed plants form a clade that is a sister to that of the putative Class II *tRNA-IPTs* in prasinophyte algae and nonplant eukaryotes. This phylogenetic relationship indicates that these two types of *IPT* genes in seed plants have a common ancestor, and the *ATP/ADP-IPTs* are likely derived from the Class II *tRNA-IPTs*. Additionally, the eukaryotic Class II *tRNA-IPT* clade branches with a cluster of *IPTs*, including *AMP-IPTs* and bacterial and archaeal *tRNA-IPTs*. Hence, we categorized these bacterial and archaeal *tRNA-IPTs* as putative Class II members. The bacterial species containing these putative Class II *tRNA-IPTs* include cyanobacteria, actinobacteria, and other species except proteobacteria and those species that are phylogenetically closely related to proteobacteria.

In other words, all the bacteria sampled contain only one type of *tRNA-IPT* homolog, and those with the same type of *tRNA-IPT* homolog are phylogenetically more closely related than those without a homolog. In the sampled bacteria, there are four bacterial species with two *IPT* copies, all of which have one *AMP-IPT* homolog and one *tRNA-IPT* homolog of either of the two classes. In contrast, all the nonplant eukaryotes with more than one *IPT* copy contain both types of *tRNA-IPTs*, with only one exception. Moreover, these nonplant eukaryotic species belong to different supergroups that diverged at the base of the eukaryote phylogenetic tree, indicating that the coexistence of the two classes of *tRNA-IPTs* probably originated before the divergence of these supergroups.

Differences in evolutionary patterns between *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs* in land plants

Our identification shows that the *IPT* genes have undergone considerable expansions, specifically in land plants. To further investigate the evolution of *IPT* genes in land plants, we identified 171 *IPTs* from 19 sequenced species belonging to every major lineage of land plants (Table S2) and constructed an unrooted ML tree using PhyML (Fig. 2a). According to the tree topology and that of the known *IPTs*, the land plant *IPT* gene family was classified into four groups: *tRNA-IPT* I, *tRNA-IPT* II, *ATP/ADP-IPT* I, and *ATP/ADP-IPT* II. The members of the *tRNA-IPT* I group are distributed throughout all the main lineages of land plants, while those of the *tRNA-IPT*

II group are confined to seed plants, which is consistent with the distribution of the two *tRNA-IPT* classes in the phylogeny shown in Fig. 1. The total number of *tRNA-IPT* genes in each flowering plant species is either two or three (Table 1), revealing that a conserved number of this type of *IPT* gene is retained in angiosperm genomes. However, six and five *tRNA-IPT* genes were found in *Physcomitrella patens* (moss) and *Picea abies* (gymnosperm), respectively, indicating that lineage-specific expansions have occurred in these two species/lineages.

The number of *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes varies from two copies in *Amborella trichopoda* to 21 copies in *Medicago truncatula*, which accounts for 40% of *IPTs* in the former and 91.3% of *IPTs* in the latter (Table 2). The high and increased percentages of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* indicate that the increased number of *IPT* genes in flowering plants is mainly due to the expansion of *ATP/ADP-IPTs*. According to the phylogeny, the two groups *ATP/ADP-IPT* I and II contain each of the two *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes in the basal angiosperm *A. trichopoda* (Fig. 2a), respectively, suggesting that two ancestral *ATP/ADP-IPTs* were likely present in the last common ancestor of angiosperms. In addition, the *ATP/ADP-IPT* I group can be further divided into four subgroups, *I_a*, *I_b*, *I_c*, and *I_d*. The *ATP/ADP-IPT I_a-I_c* subgroups contain only eudicot members, while the *ATP/ADP-IPT I_d* subgroup contains exclusively monocots, reflecting the lineage-specific duplications of angiosperm *ATP/ADP-IPTs* (Fig. 2b and Table S3). Notably, *PaIPT3*, the *P. abies* gene that is located in the *ATP/ADP-IPT* clade of the phylogeny shown in Fig. 1, branches with the *tRNA-IPT* II group in the land plant

trees, which is supported by the low bootstrap value (Fig. 2a). Therefore, whether gymnosperms contain *ATP/ADP-IPT* homologs could not be determined from the phylogenetic analyses. Nevertheless, the existence and expansion of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* in flowering plants could be determined.

We further explored the duplication mechanisms responsible for the expansion of *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes in the 15 angiosperms using the MCScanX package²⁹. Each *ATP/ADP-IPT* gene was assigned to one of the five modes, including WGD/segmental duplication, tandem duplication, proximal duplication, dispersed duplication, and singleton (Table 2). Approximately 47.5% of the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes from every dicot or monocot were shown to be involved in WGD gene duplications, demonstrating that WGD/segmental duplication is a primary mechanism. In contrast, tandem and proximal duplications accounted for 13.2% and 5.7% of the *ATP/ADP-IPT* duplications, respectively. In particular, 21 of the 23 tandem/proximal duplications, which belong to the *ATP/ADP-IPT I_a* subgroup, are derived from only two species, *M. truncatula* and *S. lycopersicum*. These results indicate that WGD/segmental duplication has extensively contributed to the expansion of *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes, while tandem duplication has given rise to lineage-specific expansions of the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes in flowering plants.

Differences in the gene and protein structures of *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs*

We next compared the structural differences between *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs*, beginning with the

Table 2 Number of *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs* resulting from different duplication mechanisms in flowering plants

Species	<i>IPTs</i>	<i>tRNA-IPTs</i> (ancient)	<i>ATP/ADP-IPTs</i> (non-ancient)					
			Total	WGD	Tandem	Proximal	Dispersed	Singleton
<i>Medicago truncatula</i> (Mt)	23	2	21	2	8	5	6	0
<i>Glycine max</i> (Gm)	14	3	11	11	0	0	0	0
<i>Prunus persica</i> (Pr)	7	2	5	2	0	0	3	0
<i>Malus domestica</i> (Md)	12	3	9	6	1	0	2	0
<i>Fragaria vesca</i> (Fve)	7	2	5	2	0	0	3	0
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i> (Pt)	9	2	7	4	0	0	3	0
<i>Citrus clementina</i> (Cc)	7	2	5	2	0	0	3	0
<i>Brassica rapa</i> (Br)	13	3	10	10	0	0	0	0
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> (At)	9	2	7	2	0	0	5	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> (Vv)	7	2	5	2	0	0	3	0
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (Sl)	15	2	13	2	6	2	3	0
<i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> (Nn)	8	3	5	4	1	0	0	0
<i>Zea mays</i> (Zm)	11	2	9	5	0	0	3	1
<i>Oryza sativa</i> (Os)	10	2	8	4	0	0	4	0
<i>Amborella trichopoda</i> (Am)	5	3	2	0	0	0	2	0
Total	157	35	122	58	16	7	40	1

investigation of the exon–intron organization of *IPT* genes in 19 land plants (Fig. 2a). It is interesting to note that 77.7% of the 121 *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes contain no introns, and 85.2% of the remaining *ATP/ADP-IPTs* contain only a single intron. By comparison, 90% of the 50 *tRNA-IPT* genes have 6–8 introns. These results suggest that *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes are probably derived from an intron-free ancestral *tRNA-IPT* gene.

We further explored the motif composition of *IPT* proteins from four representative flowering plants (Figs. 3 and S1). Fifteen conserved motifs were identified. All three types of *IPTs*, Class I and Class II *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs*, show conserved motif structures among the members of every type. Motifs 11, 13, and 15 and motif 14 could be specifically detected in the Class I and Class II *tRNA-IPTs*, respectively, while no particular motif could be identified in the *ATP/ADP-IPTs*. The Class II *tRNA-IPT* proteins demonstrate a similar motif composition to the *ATP/ADP-IPTs* rather than the Class I *tRNA-IPTs*. In fact, the consensus motif structure of the *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and the Class II *tRNA-IPTs* is the same, except for motifs 12 and 14, providing direct evidence to support our above-described hypothesis that *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes are derived from the Class II *tRNA-IPTs*.

Differences in the tissue/organ expression patterns of *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs*

To investigate the differences in the expression patterns of *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs*, we analyzed RNA-seq data from the basal angiosperm *A. trichopoda* and the eudicot woodland strawberry. In *A. trichopoda*, *AmIPT2* and *AmIPT4* belong to the *ATP/ADP-IPT* subfamily. The mRNA level of *AmIPT4* was low in vegetative organs and meristems and undetectable in female buds, while *AmIPT2* was highly expressed in vegetative organs and weakly expressed in meristems and female buds (Fig. S2). In *Fragaria vesca*, five genes (*FveIPT1*, *FveIPT3–6*) belong to the *ATP/ADP-IPT* subfamily. *FveIPT1*, *FveIPT3*, and *FveIPT4* were highly expressed in the various stages in carpel and anther. *FveIPT5* was highly expressed in gynoecium and in some stages of cortex and pith, and the mRNA level of *FveIPT6* was high in style and some stages of pith (Fig. 4). These different expression patterns indicate that functional diversification of the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes after their expansion occurred in eudicots. By comparison, the three genes (*AmIPT1*, *AmIPT3*, and *AmIPT5*) in *A. trichopoda* and two genes (*FveIPT2* and *FveIPT7*) in *F. vesca*, which belong to the *tRNA-IPT* gene family, were relatively highly and stably expressed in all tissues, suggesting that *tRNA-IPTs* have a constitutive expression pattern similar to that of housekeeping genes.

We further used qRT-PCR to compare the differences in the expression patterns of the *F. vesca* *ATP/ADP-IPT* and *tRNA-IPT* genes in six stages of fruits (little green, big green, white, preturning, pink, and red) and three vegetative organs (leaves, immature roots, and mature roots, Fig. 5). Similar to the results of the above transcriptomic analyses, *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes showed quite diversified expression patterns. Although the transcript levels of *FveIPT1*, *FveIPT5*, and *FveIPT6* gradually increased during fruit ripening, *FveIPT1* exhibited higher expression levels in leaves, and *FveIPT5* and *FveIPT6* were highly expressed in immature roots. The expression of *FveIPT3/4* was undetectable in all these samples ($<5 \times 10^{-5}$). Meanwhile, the *tRNA-IPT* genes were constitutively expressed in different developmental stages of fruits, leaves, and roots. We further compared the variation amplitude of *FveIPT* gene expression in different organs. The variation amplitude of the expression of the *tRNA-IPT* genes (~2-fold) was substantially smaller than that of the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes (30- to 130-fold). Therefore, the qPCR results showed that the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes have

quite diversified expression patterns, while the expression of the *tRNA-IPT* genes is relatively consistently high throughout the plant.

Differences in the environmental stress responses of *tRNA-IPTs* and *ATP/ADP-IPTs*

CKs produced by IPT enzymes also play important roles in the regulation of plant responses to environmental stresses³⁰. We further investigated the expression profiles of the *ATP/ADP-IPT* and *tRNA-IPT* genes under salt, dehydration, hot, and cold stress conditions (Fig. 6). Among the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes, the expression of *FveIPT1* was significantly decreased (>4-fold and $P < 0.001$) after salt stress, and the expression of *FveIPT5* was significantly decreased after salt (>7-fold) and drought stress at 8 h (>3-fold). The transcription of *FveIPT6* was significantly decreased by more than 2–3-fold after salt, dehydration, and cold stress and was increased by 2-fold under heat stress. *FveIPT3/4* was undetectable after all four types of stress ($<4 \times 10^{-5}$). For the *tRNA-IPT* genes, the transcription of *FveIPT7* was reduced by ~2-fold after

Fig. 4 Expression profiles of *FveIPT* genes in different stages of *F. vesca* flowers and early-stage fruits. The x-axis indicates the different stages of *F. vesca* flowers and early-stage fruits, and the y-axis represents the mRNA length in kilobases per million mapped reads. Data were retrieved from the SGR database (<http://bioinformatics.towson.edu/strawberry/>). Expression levels were calculated according to the log2 scale. For a detailed description of the stages, please see http://bioinformatics.towson.edu/strawberry/newpage/Tissue_Description.aspx

salt and dehydration stress (Fig. 6). The changes in *ATP/ADP-IPT* gene expression levels were drastic in response to environmental stresses, but much smaller changes were observed in the expression levels of *tRNA-IPT* genes under the same stress conditions. These results show that *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes may be involved in plant responses to environmental stresses, whereas *tRNA-IPTs* are minimally involved in stress responses.

Discussion

IPT enzymes catalyze the first step of CK biosynthesis²⁶. *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs* use different substrates and produce distinct biologically active CKs in flowering plants³¹. In the present study, we performed comprehensive analyses of the differences between these two types of *IPT* genes in terms of their taxonomic distribution, copy number variation, evolutionary history, duplication mechanism, tissue/organ expression, and environmental stress responses (Table 3). Based on the results here and those previously

published by others, we hypothesize that *ATP/ADP-IPTs* are more important in regulating organ development and environmental stress responses, while *tRNA-IPTs* mainly play a housekeeping role in angiosperms.

Different origins of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs*

According to phylogenetic analyses, Lindner et al.²⁵ hypothesized that Class I *tRNA-IPTs* have a mitochondrial origin and that Class II *tRNA-IPTs* are derived from a duplication of a Class I *tRNA-IPT* gene in the plant lineages. Nishii et al.²⁷ later proposed that Class II *tRNA-IPTs* originated from eukaryotic genes. However, based on a broad and balanced sampling of species from major lineages of all three domains of life (eukaryotes, bacteria, and archaea), our analyses indicate that the coexistence of Class I and II *tRNA-IPTs* can be traced back to the last common ancestor of eukaryotes. Nonplant eukaryotes, except *D. discoideum*, were found to contain both Class I and Class II *tRNA-IPT* homologs for the first time in this

study. The reason that Nishii et al.²⁷ did not observe this is likely because of their limited sampling of nonplant eukaryotic species. These nonplant species belong to different supergroups that are considered to have diverged from the base of the eukaryotic tree³²; thus, our results show that the two classes of *tRNA-IPTs* coexisted in the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA).

In this study, we identified two *tRNA-IPT* homologs in archaeal species for the first time. *tRNA-IPTs* have been previously reported to be widely distributed in other kingdoms but not in archaea^{19,26,31}. Although it is unclear whether the archaeal *tRNA-IPTs* are functional, their identification reveals that *tRNA-IPT* sequences are distributed throughout all three kingdoms and suggests the possibility of an archaeal origin for *tRNA-IPTs*. Our phylogeny indicates that bacterial or archaeal species contain only one type of *tRNA-IPT* homolog (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The Class I *tRNA-IPTs* are likely to have a closer relationship with the homologs in proteobacteria and proteobacteria-related bacteria, whereas the Class II *tRNA-IPTs* are more closely related to other bacterial groups and the two archaeal species. Eukaryotes are believed to have resulted from the initial endosymbiotic

event involving α -proteobacterial and archaeal cells²⁸. Therefore, we propose that Class I *tRNA-IPTs* may be derived from the α -proteobacteria that were involved in the initial endosymbiotic event. The Class II *tRNA-IPT* gene in the LECA appears to have two alternative origins; it is derived from either the archaeal ancestor of eukaryotes or a nonproteobacteria ancestral homolog that was introduced into the LECA via horizontal gene transfer. Because *tRNA-IPTs* are present in the latest common ancestor of eukaryotes, these *tRNA-IPTs* are 'ancient' CK biosynthesis genes in angiosperms.

Our phylogenetic and motif analyses indicate that *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes are derived from Class II *tRNA-IPTs*. Most *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes contain no or only one intron, whereas Class II *tRNA-IPTs* contain many introns. This difference in gene structure suggests that either the original *ATP/ADP-IPT* gene was generated via a retroposition duplication of a Class II *tRNA-IPT* gene or that intron loss occurred soon after its emergence. Intronless daughter gene(s) and sequences of short direct repeats are the two main molecular features of retroposition^{33,34}. We searched the ~ 100 kb regions upstream to downstream of all the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes in *Arabidopsis* but did not

find any featured short direct repeat sequences. Consequently, although Class II *tRNA-IPTs* account for the origin of *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes, the duplication mechanism is still unclear. Nevertheless, because the evidence from previous studies and the current study clearly demonstrates that the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes emerged in flowering plants, we call them ‘non-ancient’ CK biosynthetic genes relative to the *tRNA-IPTs*, which we call ‘ancient’ CK biosynthetic genes.

In addition, *ATP/ADP-IPTs* were previously proposed to only be present in flowering plants¹⁹. In our phylogeny of *IPTs* from all three domains of life, four of the five gymnosperm *IPTs* branch with *tRNA-IPTs*, while the rest (*PaIPT3*) phylogenetically clusters with angiosperm *ATP/ADP-IPTs* (Fig. 1). Although the support for this clustering is not great, this close relationship suggests that *PaIPT3* is probably an *ATP/ADP-IPT* gene. *ATP/ADP-IPTs* are responsible for the biosynthesis of *tZ*-type CKs. It has been observed that *tZs*, rather than *cZs*, are predominant in vegetative shoots/leaves in most gymnosperms and angiosperms³⁵. By comparison, in seedless plants, whose *IPTs* all phylogenetically branch with *tRNA-IPTs*, *cZs* are the most abundant CKs. The general CK composition of gymnosperms, which is similar to that of angiosperms but distinct from that of seedless plants, suggests that gymnosperms have a similar *IPT* gene composition to that of angiosperms; that is, gymnosperms may already contain *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes. Future

investigations on the biochemical characteristics, including preferred substrates and products, of gymnosperm *IPT* proteins are needed to functionally confirm that *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes originate from gymnosperms.

***tRNA-IPTs* and *cZ*-type CKs likely play housekeeping roles, while *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *iP/tZ*-type CKs may be involved in organ development and stress responses**

Based on the following lines of evidence, we hypothesize that in angiosperms, *tRNA-IPTs* and associated *cZ*-type CKs mainly play housekeeping roles to maintain basic cellular functions, whereas *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and their products, the *iP*-type and *tZ*-type CKs, are more likely to be involved in the regulation of organ development and stress responses.

First, expression profiling indicates the functional differences between *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs*. Our genomic transcriptome and qPCR analyses of *F. vesca*, for instance, demonstrated that *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes display drastic changes at the expression level in various tissues/organs and developmental stages (Figs. 4 and 5). In contrast, *tRNA-IPTs* are constitutively and relatively stably expressed in all tissues and developmental stages throughout the plant. Similar expression patterns of the *ATP/ADP-IPT* and *tRNA-IPT* genes have also been observed in the tissues/organs of *Arabidopsis*³⁶, *Z. mays*³⁷ (summarized in Figs. S3 and S4, respectively) and other species. Therefore, the expression patterns of the *IPT*

Table 3 Comparison of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs* in flowering plants

	<i>ATP/ADP-IPTs</i> (non-ancient)	<i>tRNA-IPTs</i> (ancient)
Substrate	ADP or ATP	tRNA
Product	iPs and tZs	cZs
Gene structure ^a	Intron-less	Intron-rich
Origin ^a	Last common ancestor of flowering plants	Last common ancestor of eukaryotes
Copy number ^a	2–21 (average 8.13)	2–3 (average 2.33)
Expression pattern ^a	Tissue-specific	Constitutive
Abiotic stress responses ^a	Highly responsive	Generally non-responsive
Mutant phenotypes	Increased lateral root primordia and lateral root numbers ²¹ , decreased numbers of vascular bundles and cells ²² , reduced shoot apical meristem size, reduced inflorescence ¹⁴ , inability to form cambium ²² , higher tolerance to drought or salt stress ²³	Small and chlorotic plants ¹⁴ , reduced root growth but similar lateral root number compared with the wild-type ²⁰
Overexpression phenotypes	Enhanced shoot branching and increased floral numbers along with abnormal floral organ development ³⁹	Not reported
Effects of exogenous application	Effects of exogenous iP/tZ-type cytokinins: promotion of callus formation ²² , shoot initiation ⁴¹ , lateral root formation ²¹ , and the development of vascular cambium, shoot apical meristem, inflorescence stems, and ovules ^{14,22}	Effects of exogenous cZ-type cytokinins: slight promotion of callus formation, shoot regeneration ^{22,41} , callus growth and the retention of chlorophylls ^{15,16,42} , but requires much higher concentrations than tZs
Proposed roles in angiosperms	Regulating organ development and abiotic stress responses	Housekeeping: maintaining basic cellular functions

^aBased on the analyses in this study

genes suggest that *ATP/ADP-IPTs* play regulatory roles in organ development in angiosperms, while *tRNA-IPTs* most likely act as housekeeping genes.

Second, the evolutionary histories of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs* in angiosperms support their different roles. Our results demonstrate that *tRNA-IPTs* are conservatively retained as two or three copies in flowering plants, while *ATP/ADP-IPTs* have undergone considerable expansions and are highly variable in gene number (from 2 to 21) among species. Moreover, we found that the *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes (*AmIPT2* and *4*) were rarely expressed in the flower buds of *A. trichopoda* (Fig. S2), an extant basal flowering plant with primitive flower tissues³⁸. In contrast, most *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes are highly expressed in different floral tissues or developmental stages in core angiosperms, such as *F. vesca*, *Arabidopsis*, and maize. This discrepancy suggests that the expansion and functional diversification of *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes in eudicots and monocots have contributed to their diverse regulatory roles in floral organs.

Third, the manipulation of the expression of different *ATP/ADP-IPT* and *tRNA-IPT* genes gives rise to different phenotypic variations. The *tRNA-atipt9* single and *tRNA-atipt2/9* double mutant plants of *Arabidopsis* exhibited an overall small and chlorotic phenotype with no obvious

alterations in organ differentiation or development^{14,20}. In contrast, the *ATP/ADP-IPT*-mutant plants *atipt3* or *atipt5* had increased numbers of lateral roots and lateral root primordia²¹. The triple mutant *atipt3;5;7* exhibited a decrease in dedifferentiation ability, which resulted in the reduced formation of callus tissues from root explants after wounding²². The quadruple *ATP/ADP-IPT* mutant *atipt1;3;5;7* produced fewer leaves, altered inflorescence¹⁴, and was unable to develop cambium in the root and stem²². Additionally, the overexpression of an *ATP/ADP-IPT* gene resulted in reduced root growth, enhanced shoot differentiation, increased branching, and increased flower number along with abnormal floral organ development³⁹. Altogether, the phenotypic changes of these mutant or transgenic plants indicate that *ATP/ADP-IPTs* regulate organ development in angiosperms but that *tRNA-IPTs* do not.

Fourth, the iP/tZ-type and cZ-type CKs produced by *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs*, respectively, have been shown to be differentially involved in developmental processes in flowering plants. Higher levels of iPs and tZs than cZs have been detected in apical meristems, fertilized embryos and other tissues with high dedifferentiation and differentiation activities^{16,40}. Furthermore, tZs were much more potent than cZs in an assay of callus and shoot

differentiation^{15,16,41,42}. It has been shown that the endogenous content of *tZs* was substantially elevated within 12 h after the wounding of root explants, which reflected a similar but earlier increase in comparison with the expression of core cell cycle regulator genes key for callus formation²². A decrease in *tZs* and *iPs* in the *ATP/ADP-IPT* mutant *atipt3;5;7* resulted in reduced callus formation, while an increase in *tZs* and *iPs* by the overexpression of *Agrobacterium IPT* (*AMP-IPT*) dramatically enhanced the production of callus and shoots^{43,44}. Additionally, *tZs* and *iPs* have been shown to be important in regulating the development of shoot apical meristem, vascular cambium, lateral roots, inflorescence stems, and ovules^{14,21,22}. On the other hand, few changes in *cZ* levels were detected during wound-induced callus formation, indicating that endogenous *cZs* were unlikely to play a significant role. The effects of the overproduction of *cZs* or prenylated tRNAs remain unknown, as there has been no report on *tRNA-IPT* overexpression so far. However, the exogenous application of *cZs* are relatively ineffective in stimulating shoot and other organ development under in vitro conditions^{15,16,41,42}. *cZ*-deficient *tRNA-ipt* mutant plants of *Arabidopsis* are chlorotic and show much reduced plant sizes^{14,20}, suggesting that *cZ*-type CKs may be essential for maintaining the basic functions of cells. Taken together, the results suggest that *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and the associated *iP*-type and *tZ*-type CKs function as important regulators of angiosperm organ development, whereas *tRNA-IPTs* and the associated *cZ*-type CKs play basic housekeeping roles in angiosperm cells.

Fifth, *ATP/ADP-IPTs* and *tRNA-IPTs* catalyze different biochemical reactions that produce two distinct types of CKs, the *iPs/tZs*- and the *cZs*, respectively¹⁴. *ATP/ADP-IPTs* catalyze the rate-limiting step of the de novo biosynthesis of CKs, directly generating *iP* and *tZ* nucleotides or nucleosides that are subsequently converted into their active free-base forms¹⁹. By comparison, the *tRNA-IPTs* in angiosperms primarily catalyze the isopentenylation of adenine in tRNA to produce *cZs* from the degradation of prenylated tRNAs^{14,19}. Although the function of prenylated tRNA remains unclear in plants, studies in bacteria, yeast, and mammalian cells have shown that tRNA prenylation is important for translational efficiency and fidelity⁴⁵ by preventing frameshifts and the nonsense suppression of the codon UAA^{40,46}. Moreover, despite the relatively high expression of *tRNA-IPTs* throughout the plant, the content of endogenous *cZs* is generally low¹⁶. The application of *cZ* to *35S:AtCKX7* plants that had reduced *cZ* levels could correct their shorter root phenotype, whereas the application of *cZ* to *tRNA-atipt2/9* plants could not²⁰. These results indicate that the reduced root growth observed in *tRNA-atipt2/9* mutants may not be due to the loss of *cZ* production but more likely results from the loss of tRNA prenylation, suggesting that

another housekeeping function of *tRNA-IPTs* is to maintain translational accuracy, which is essential for normal cellular activities.

Sixth, similar to their roles in organ development, angiosperm *ATP/ADP-IPTs* have also diversified in response to environmental stresses. Our results show that the expression levels of all three expressed *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes in *F. vesca* are substantially reduced under heat, cold, drought, or salt stress (Fig. 6). Studies in other angiosperms have observed similar findings. In rice, salinity suppressed, whereas cold or drought stress enhanced the expression of most *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes⁴⁷. In *Arabidopsis*, the expression patterns of *ATP/ADP-IPT* genes under stress conditions were also differentially regulated in shoots and roots⁴⁷. Moreover, the *tZ*-type CKs that are produced by *ATP/ADP-IPT* vary upon environmental stress, depending on the type and intensity of the stress treatment⁴⁰. In contrast, *tRNA-IPT* genes display relatively little variation in their expression level when plants are subjected to environmental stresses (Fig. 6), as shown in previous studies^{37,40}. Although the elevation of *cZ* levels has been found under stress conditions, it is generally recognized that *cZs* are only a byproduct of increased prenylated tRNA turnover but are not produced from the expression of the *tRNA-IPT* genes^{40,48}. These observations indicate that *tRNA-IPTs* are more likely to play a housekeeping role, whereas *ATP/ADP-IPTs* make an important contribution to the regulation of plant responses to environmental stresses.

Additionally, along with a reduction in the expression levels of *ATP/ADP-IPTs* under abiotic stress conditions, *ATP/ADP-IPT* mutant plants that contain deficient *iP/tZ*-CK levels but little changed *cZ* levels, such as the quadruple *atipt1;3;5;7 Arabidopsis* mutant, display increased tolerance to drought and salt stress²³. There have been few experiments that have shown the effects of altered *cZ* content on plant responses to abiotic stress. However, the overexpression of *AtCKX* isoforms, which led to substantial decreases in *iP/tZ*-CKs but either largely reduced or unchanged *cZ* levels, resulted in improved levels of tolerance to drought or salt stress²³. Therefore, compared with *iPs/tZs*, variations in *cZ* content appear to have little effect on plant tolerance to abiotic stress. It has been suggested that *cZs* produced by *tRNA-IPTs* are more involved in the maintenance of a basal level of CK activity under growth-limiting conditions^{16,40}. Accordingly, it is more likely that the elevation of *cZ* levels in stressed conditions is associated with their housekeeping role in plant growth and development, whereas *iP/tZ*-type CKs produced by *ATP/ADP-IPTs* play an important role in the regulation of plant adaptation to environmental stresses.

In summary, based on our results that are presented in this manuscript and previously published data, we

hypothesize that *tRNA-IPTs* (ancient CK biosynthesis genes) and the associated *cZs* play a housekeeping role, whereas *ATP/ADP-IPTs* (non-ancient CK biosynthetic genes) and the associated *iP/tZ*-type CKs play regulatory roles in organ development and stress responses in angiosperms. An accompanying paper in this issue provides additional evidence to support this hypothesis⁴⁹. It is our hope that this hypothesis will stimulate more interest in elucidating the origins, evolutionary significance, and roles of different types of *IPTs* (*tRNA-IPTs* vs. *ATP/ADP-IPTs*) and the associated different types of CKs (*iP/tZ* vs. *cZ* types) in regulating organ development and responses/adaptation in angiosperms.

Materials and methods

Homolog identification

A total of 59 whole-genome-sequenced species sampled from major lineages of all three domains of life (eukaryotes, bacteria, and archaea, Table S1) were first examined for the presence of *IPT* genes. To explore the evolution of *IPT* genes in land plants, 19 sequenced species belonging to every major lineage of land plants (Table S2) were next sampled and analyzed. The complete genomic sequences and corresponding annotation information for all these species were downloaded from the JGI and NCBI databases.

The hidden Markov model profile of the IPPT domain (PF01715) was downloaded from the Pfam database⁵⁰, which was used as a query to search for homologous sequences in the proteome data sets. Sequences with an expected value (*E*-value) $< 10^{-4}$ were considered candidates. The chromosomal locations of all candidates were verified to remove redundant sequences. Short proteins with lengths < 100 aa were removed⁵¹. We further confirmed the presence of the IPPT domain in each candidate using the PFAM (<http://pfam.xfam.org/search>)⁵⁰ and SMART databases (<http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/>)⁵² with an *E*-value cut off $< 10^{-10}$. For archaea, TBLASTN was first used to find potential hits for the IPPT domain under the threshold of 1.0. For the significant hits, the corresponding amino acid sequences of the high-scoring segment pairs (HSPs) were retrieved to assess the presence or absence of the IPPT domain via the PFAM and SMART databases.

Phylogenetic analyses

The full-length amino acid sequences of all identified *IPTs* were aligned using ClustalX 2.0⁵³. The best-fit model for protein evolution was selected using the Model-Generator program⁵⁴. A phylogenetic tree of the *IPT* family was constructed via the maximum-likelihood (ML) method using PhyML (version 3.0) software⁵⁵ with the JTT evolutionary model. The tree topology was reconstructed using the best of nearest-neighbor interchange (NNI) and subtree pruning and regrafting (SPR) methods⁵⁵. Branch

supports were estimated using an approximate likelihood ratio test with a Shimodaira–Hasegawa-like procedure⁵⁵. The phylogenetic trees were visualized in FIGTREE.

Gene structure, protein motif, and synteny analyses

The relative intron positions in the *IPT* genes were extracted from the annotated whole-genome data sets of 19 land plants. The gene structure was viewed in the online software Evolview (<http://www.evolgenius.info/evolview/>).

MEME⁵⁶ was used to discover the conserved motifs in *IPT* proteins from the four representative flowering plants, *A. trichopoda*, *Zea mays*, *A. thaliana*, and *F. vesca*. The parameters were set as follows: the maximum number of motifs, 15; minimum motif width, 6 aa; maximum motif width, 50 aa.

Synteny analyses of the 15 flowering plant genomes were conducted locally using a method similar to that developed for the PGDD (<http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/duplication/>)⁵⁷. BLASTP was used to search for potential homologous gene pairs ($E < 10^{-10}$, top five matches) across multiple genomes. These homologous pairs were then used as the input for MCScanX to identify the syntenic regions^{29,58}. MCScanX was further used to identify the *IPT* genes resulting from WGD/segmental, tandem, proximal, and dispersed duplications (<http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/duplication/index/downloads>).

Transcriptome data analyses

Transcriptome data from different tissues and developmental stages of *F. vesca*^{59,60} and *A. trichopoda* were downloaded from the SGR (<http://bioinformatics.towson.edu/strawberry/>) and NCBI (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA212863>) databases, respectively. The reads per kilobase per million (RPKM-mapped reads) values were directly retrieved from the website, and the expression levels for the *IPT* genes were plotted on a $\log_2$ scale.

Plant growth conditions, stress treatments, and material collection

All plant materials were collected from a seventh-generation inbred line of *F. vesca*, Ruegen (kindly provided by Janet Slovin). For the strawberry fruit collection, strawberry plants were grown in 10 cm $\times$ 10 cm pots in a greenhouse with a 12 h photoperiod at 25 °C with 65% relative humidity. Strawberry fruits at the little green (2–4 days after anthesis), big green (8–10 days after anthesis), white, preturning, pink (slight pink flesh and red seeds) and red stages (2–3 days after the pink stage) as well as leaves, immature roots, and mature roots were collected prior to immediate submersion in liquid nitrogen. The tissues from at least three samples were combined to form one biological replicate, and each tissue type utilized three biological replicates.

For the environmental stress experiments, sterile strawberry seedlings were grown in magenta boxes for 2 months in a growth chamber with a 16 h photoperiod at 22 °C and 3000 lx. For the heat shock treatment, the seedlings were transferred to a growth chamber at 38 °C and 3000 lx and were collected at 1, 3, 4 (3 h heat shock and 1 h recovery at 22 °C) and 8 h (3 h heat shock and 5 h recovery at 22 °C). Prior to the salinity stress treatment, the seedlings were transferred to 1/2 MS liquid media and cultivated with gentle agitation (100 rpm). After 12 h, the seedlings were transferred to 1/2 MS liquid media supplemented with 150 mM sodium chloride. For drought treatment, the seedlings were placed on filter paper under dim light at 22 °C with 65% relative humidity. For cold treatment, the seedlings were transferred to a growth chamber set at 4 °C (in the dark). Salinity-stressed, cold-stressed, and dehydration-stressed seedlings were collected at 1, 3, and 8 h after the beginning of the treatment. All collected plant materials were immediately submerged in liquid nitrogen prior to RNA processing.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis

A modified CTAB method was used for RNA isolation from all the samples mentioned above. The isolated RNAs were treated with DNase I and used for cDNA synthesis using the Primerscript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara). qRT-PCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Tag (Takara) with the cDNA as the template. The IDT website (<http://sg.idtdna.com/site>) was used to design the qRT-PCR primers for the *FveIPT* genes (Table S3). The sequence similarity between *FveIPT3* and *FveIPT4* is >90%; thus, we used a single primer set to investigate the combined expression of both genes. The results were analyzed using the $-\Delta\Delta CT$ method⁶¹ with *GAPDH*⁶² as the control locus. Three biological and three technical replicates were analyzed.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Janet Slovin (United States Department of Agriculture, USDA) for providing seeds of *Fragaria vesca* 'Ruegen'. We also thank members of the Y.L. Laboratory for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 31672124 (to L.G.) and 31471860 (to J.D.).

Authors' contributions

Y.L. and J.D. designed the experiments; X.W. and S.L. performed the experiments and data analyses; D.L. participated in the data analyses; J.D., X.W. and Y.L. wrote the manuscript; Y.L., L.G. and R.M. edited and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Germplasm Enhancement and the College of Horticulture, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, P. R. China.

²College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, P. R. China.

³Department of Plant Science and Landscape Architecture, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-019-0211-x>).

Received: 1 June 2019 Revised: 4 June 2019 Accepted: 5 June 2019

Published online: 01 March 2020

References

- Kim, H. J. et al. Cytokinin-mediated control of leaf longevity by AHK3 through phosphorylation of ARR2 in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 814–819 (2006).
- Werner, T. et al. Cytokinin-deficient transgenic Arabidopsis plants show multiple developmental alterations indicating opposite functions of cytokinins in the regulation of shoot and root meristem activity. *Plant Cell* **15**, 2532–2550 (2003).
- Werner, T., Motyka, V., Strnad, M. & Schmulling, T. Regulation of plant growth by cytokinin. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 10487–10492 (2001).
- Shimizu-Sato, S., Tanaka, M. & Mori, H. Auxin–cytokinin interactions in the control of shoot branching. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **69**, 429–435 (2009).
- Higuchi, M. et al. In planta functions of the Arabidopsis cytokinin receptor family. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 8821–8826 (2004).
- Kurakawa, T. et al. Direct control of shoot meristem activity by a cytokinin-activating enzyme. *Nature* **445**, 652–655 (2007).
- Nishimura, C. et al. Histidine kinase homologs that act as cytokinin receptors possess overlapping functions in the regulation of shoot and root growth in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **16**, 1365–1377 (2004).
- Bartrina, I., Otto, E., Strnad, M., Werner, T. & Schmulling, T. Cytokinin regulates the activity of reproductive meristems, flower organ size, ovule formation, and thus seed yield in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* **23**, 69–80 (2011).
- Nardozza, S. et al. Exogenous cytokinin application to *Actinidia chinensis* var. *deliciosa* 'Hayward' fruit promotes fruit expansion through water uptake. *Hortic. Res.* **4**, 17043 (2017).
- Samuelson, M. E. & Larsson, C. M. Nitrate regulation of zeatin riboside levels in barley roots - effects of inhibitors of N assimilation and comparison with ammonium. *Plant Sci.* **93**, 77–84 (1993).
- Takei, K., Sakakibara, H., Taniguchi, M. & Sugiyama, T. Nitrogen-dependent accumulation of cytokinins in root and the translocation to leaf: Implication of cytokinin species that induces gene expression of maize response regulator. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **42**, 85–93 (2001).
- Giron, D., Frago, E., Glevarec, G., Pieterse, C. M. J. & Dicke, M. Cytokinins as key regulators in plant-microbe-insect interactions: connecting plant growth and defence. *Funct. Ecol.* **27**, 599–609 (2013).
- Ha, S., Vankova, R., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Shinozaki, K. & Tran, L. S. P. Cytokinins: metabolism and function in plant adaptation to environmental stresses. *Trends Plant Sci.* **17**, 172–179 (2012).
- Miyawaki, K. et al. Roles of Arabidopsis ATP/ADP isopentenyltransferases and tRNA isopentenyltransferases in cytokinin biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 16598–16603 (2006).
- Schmitz, R. Y. & Skoog, F. Cytokinins: synthesis and biological activity of geometric and position isomers of zeatin. *Plant Physiol.* **50**, 702–705 (1972).
- Gajdosova, S. et al. Distribution, biological activities, metabolism, and the conceivable function of *cis*-zeatin-type cytokinins in plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* **62**, 2827–2840 (2011).
- Hirose, N. et al. Regulation of cytokinin biosynthesis, compartmentalization and translocation. *J. Exp. Bot.* **59**, 75–83 (2008).
- Stirk, W. & Van Staden, J. Flow of cytokinins through the environment. *Plant Growth Regul.* **62**, 101–116 (2010).
- Frebort, I., Kowalska, M., Hluska, T., Frebortova, J. & Galuszka, P. Evolution of cytokinin biosynthesis and degradation. *J. Exp. Bot.* **62**, 2431–2452 (2011).
- Köllmer, I. et al. Overexpression of the cytosolic cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase (*CKX7*) from Arabidopsis causes specific changes in root growth and xylem differentiation. *Plant J.* **78**, 359–371 (2014).
- Chang, L., Ramireddy, E. & Schmulling, T. Lateral root formation and growth of Arabidopsis is redundantly regulated by cytokinin metabolism and signalling genes. *J. Exp. Bot.* **64**, 5021–5032 (2013).
- Matsumoto-Kitano, M. et al. Cytokinins are central regulators of cambial activity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 20027–20031 (2008).
- Nishiyama, R. et al. Analysis of cytokinin mutants and regulation of cytokinin metabolic genes reveals important regulatory roles of cytokinins in drought,

- salt and abscisic acid responses, and abscisic acid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **23**, 2169–2183 (2011).
24. Mackova, H. et al. Enhanced drought and heat stress tolerance of tobacco plants with ectopically enhanced cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase gene expression. *J. Exp. Bot.* **64**, 2805–2815 (2013).
  25. Lindner, A. C. et al. Isopentenyltransferase-1 (IPT1) knockout in *Physcomitrella* together with phylogenetic analyses of IPTs provide insights into evolution of plant cytokinin biosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **65**, 2533–2543 (2014).
  26. Sakakibara, H. Cytokinins: activity, biosynthesis, and translocation. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **57**, 431–449 (2006).
  27. Nishii, K., Wright, F., Chen, Y. Y. & Moller, M. Tangled history of a multigene family: the evolution of ISOPENTENYLTRANSFERASE genes. *PLoS One* **13**, e0201198 (2018).
  28. Hug, L. A. et al. A new view of the tree of life. *Nat. Microbiol.* **1**, 16048 (2016).
  29. Wang, Y. et al. MCSanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, e49 (2012).
  30. Argueso, C. T., Ferreira, F. J. & Kieber, J. J. Environmental perception avenues: the interaction of cytokinin and environmental response pathways. *Plant Cell Environ.* **32**, 1147–1146 (2009).
  31. Kamada-Nobusada, T. & Sakakibara, H. Molecular basis for cytokinin biosynthesis. *Phytochemistry* **70**, 444–449 (2009).
  32. Adl, S. M. et al. The revised classification of eukaryotes. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* **59**, 429–514 (2012).
  33. Magadum, S., Banerjee, U., Murugan, P., Gangapur, D. & Ravikesavan, R. Gene duplication as a major force in evolution. *J. Genet.* **92**, 155–161 (2013).
  34. Brosius, J. Retroposons-seeds of evolution. *Science* **251**, 753 (1991).
  35. Montalban, I. A., Novak, O., Rolcik, J., Strnad, M. & Moncalean, P. Endogenous cytokinin and auxin profiles during in vitro organogenesis from vegetative buds of *Pinus radiata* adult trees. *Physiol. Plant.* **148**, 214–231 (2013).
  36. Miyawaki, K., Matsumoto-Kitano, M. & Kakimoto, T. Expression of cytokinin biosynthetic isopentenyltransferase genes in *Arabidopsis*: tissue specificity and regulation by auxin, cytokinin, and nitrate. *Plant J.* **37**, 128–138 (2004).
  37. Vyroubalova, S. et al. Characterization of new maize genes putatively involved in cytokinin metabolism and their expression during osmotic stress in relation to cytokinin levels. *Plant Physiol.* **151**, 433–447 (2009).
  38. Buzgo, M., Soltis, P. S. & Soltis, D. E. Floral developmental morphology of *Amborella trichopoda* (Amborellaceae). *Int. J. Plant Sci.* **165**, 925–947 (2004).
  39. Li, X. G. et al. Cytokinin overproduction-caused alteration of flower development is partially mediated by *CUC2* and *CUC3* in *Arabidopsis*. *Gene* **450**, 109–120 (2010).
  40. Schafer, M. et al. The role of *cis*-zeatin-type cytokinins in plant growth regulation and mediating responses to environmental interactions. *J. Exp. Bot.* **66**, 4873–4384 (2015).
  41. Pertry, I. Identification of *Rhodococcus fascians* cytokinins and their *modus operandi* to reshape the plant. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 929–934 (2009).
  42. Kamínek, M., Vaněk, T. & Motyka, V. Cytokinin activities of *N*⁶-benzyladenosine derivatives hydroxylated on the side-chain phenyl ring. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **6**, 113–120 (1987).
  43. Li, Y., Hagen, G. & Guilfoyle, T. J. Altered morphology in transgenic tobacco plants that overproduce cytokinins in specific tissues and organs. *Dev. Biol.* **153**, 386–395 (1992).
  44. Smigocki, A. C. & Owens, L. D. Cytokinin gene fused with a strong promoter enhances shoot organogenesis and zeatin levels in transformed plant cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **85**, 5131–5135 (1988).
  45. Persson, B. C., Esberg, B., Olafsen, O. & Björk, G. R. Synthesis and function of isopentenyl adenosine derivatives in tRNA. *Biochimie* **76**, 1152–1160 (1994).
  46. Chimnaronk, S. et al. Snapshots of dynamics in synthesizing *N*⁶-isopentenyladenosine at the tRNA anticodon. *Biochemistry* **48**, 5057–5065 (2009).
  47. Ghosh, A. et al. Evolutionary variation and expression profiling of Isopentenyl transferase gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. and *Oryza sativa* L. *Plant Gene* **15**, 15–27 (2018).
  48. Endres, L., Dedon, P. C. & Begley, T. J. Codon-biased translation can be regulated by wobble-base tRNA modification systems during cellular stress responses. *RNA Biol.* **12**, 603–614 (2015).
  49. Wang, X. et al. Evolution and roles of cytokinin genes in angiosperms 2: Do ancient CKXs play housekeeping roles while non-ancient CKXs play regulatory roles? *Hortic Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-020-0246-z>.
  50. Finn, R. D. et al. Pfam: the protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D222–D230 (2014).
  51. Bennett, T. et al. Paralogous radiations of PIN proteins with multiple origins of noncanonical PIN structure. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **31**, 2042–2060 (2014).
  52. Letunic, I., Doerks, T. & Bork, P. SMART 7: recent updates to the protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, D302–D305 (2012).
  53. Larkin, M. A. et al. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 2947–2948 (2007).
  54. Keane, T. M., Creevey, C. J., Pentony, M. M., Naughton, T. J. & McLnerney, J. O. Assessment of methods for amino acid matrix selection and their use on empirical data shows that ad hoc assumptions for choice of matrix are not justified. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **6**, 29 (2006).
  55. Guindon, S. et al. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* **59**, 307–321 (2010).
  56. Bailey, T. L., Johnson, J., Grant, C. E. & Noble, W. S. The MEME suite. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, W39–W49 (2015).
  57. Lee, T. H., Tang, H., Wang, X. & Paterson, A. H. PGDD: a database of gene and genome duplication in plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D1152–D1158 (2013).
  58. Tang, H. B. et al. Unraveling ancient hexaploidy through multiply-aligned angiosperm gene maps. *Genome Res.* **18**, 1944–1954 (2008).
  59. Li, Y., Pi, M., Gao, Q., Liu, Z. & Kang, C. Updated annotation of the wild strawberry *Fragaria vesca* V4 genome. *Hortic Res.* **6**, 61 (2019).
  60. Kang, C. et al. Genome-scale transcriptomic insights into early-stage fruit development in woodland strawberry *Fragaria vesca*. *Plant Cell* **25**, 1960–1978 (2013).
  61. Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2⁻(Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods* **25**, 402–408 (2001).
  62. Amil-Ruiz, F. et al. Identification and validation of reference genes for transcript normalization in strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) defense responses. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e70603 (2013).