ARTICLE

Open Access

Loss or duplication of key regulatory genes coincides with environmental adaptation of the stomatal complex in *Nymphaea colorata* and *Kalanchoe laxiflora*

Meizhi Xu¹, Fei Chen², Shilian Qi¹, Liangsheng Zhang^{1,2} and Shuang Wu¹

Abstract

The stomatal complex is critical for gas and water exchange between plants and the atmosphere. Originating over 400 million years ago, the structure of the stomata has evolved to facilitate the adaptation of plants to various environments. Although the molecular mechanism of stomatal development in *Arabidopsis* has been widely studied, the evolution of stomatal structure and its molecular regulators in different species remains to be answered. In this study, we examined stomatal development and the orthologues of *Arabidopsis* stomatal genes in a basal angiosperm plant, *Nymphaea colorata*, and a member of the eudicot CAM family, *Kalanchoe laxiflora*, which represent the adaptation to aquatic and drought environments, respectively. Our results showed that despite the conservation of core stomatal regulators, a number of critical genes were lost in the *N. colorata* genome, including EPF2, MPK6, and AP2C3 and the polarity regulators BASL and POLAR. Interestingly, this is coincident with the loss of asymmetric divisions during the stomatal development of *N. colorata*. In addition, we found that the guard cell in *K. laxiflora* is surrounded by three or four small subsidiary cells in adaxial leaf surfaces. This type of stomatal complex is formed via repeated asymmetric cell divisions and cell state transitions. This may result from the doubled or quadrupled key genes controlling stomatal development in *K. laxiflora*. Our results show that loss or duplication of key regulatory genes is associated with environmental adaptation of the stomatal complex.

Introduction

Stomata are a pore-like structure in multiple organs, including leaves and stems, which facilitates gas and water exchange. When environmental conditions are unfavourable, plants can regulate water evapotranspiration and reduce CO_2 uptake by opening and closing the stomata. For instance, Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants are adapted to arid conditions¹. The stomata in

Correspondence: Shuang Wu (wus@fafu.edu.cn)

CAM plants remain closed during the day to reduce evapotranspiration while staying open at night to absorb CO_2 . These physiological traits make CAM plants resistant to diverse stresses, including strong irradiance and drought².

Stomatal structure is highly conserved across land plants. The basic core structure with two guard cells surrounding the stomatal pore has remained unchanged during evolution³. However, the patterning of the mature stomatal structure differs among plant groups and can be generally summarized by three classes: anomocytic, stephanocytic, and paracytic⁴. The widely used model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* exhibits anomocytic stomata. However, there are a few species (for example, CAM families) among the eudicots with paracytic stomata⁵.

¹College of Horticulture, FAFU-UCR Joint Center and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Haixia Applied Plant Systems Biology, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China

²State Key Laboratory of Ecological Pest Control for Fujian and Taiwan Crops; Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for Genetics, Breeding and Multiple Utilization of Crops, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China These authors are contributed equally: Meizhi Xu, Fei Chen

[©] The Author(s) 2018

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Most grass species have paracytic mature stomata⁶. *Amborella trichopoda* in ANITA possesses stephanocytic stomata⁷. The diverse architecture of mature stomatal structures may suggest the evolution of their different developmental regulations and their adaption to different environments.

In *A. thaliana*, meristem mother cells (MMCs) undergo up to three asymmetrical divisions to form guard mother cells (GMCs). In grasses, meristemoids divide asymmetrically to form GMCs, and the lateral neighbouring axial cell lineage surrounding the GMC undergoes asymmetric division to give rise to lateral subsidiary cells (LSCs)⁸. In *A. trichopoda*, however, protodermal cells can directly become GMCs or divide asymmetrically to produce a GMC⁹. Hence, the regulation of stomatal development is highly diverse in different groups of land plants.

In the past, A. thaliana and Oryza sativa were often used as model systems to study stomatal patterning and development. Based on those studies, we now have a good understanding of the basic molecular network behind stomatal development. In A. thaliana, a complex signalling cascade of several genes has been identified to promote stomatal development. The secreted peptides of the EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR (EPF)/EPF-LIKE (EPFL) family act with a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade to regulate the activity of basic-helixloop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors¹⁰. EPF1 and EPF2 specifically bind to leucine-rich repeat receptor (LRR) kinase complexes that include members of TOO MANY MOUTHS receptor-like protein (TMM) and the ERECTA family (ER). EPF1 is expressed in late-stage meristemoids, GMCs and young guard cells, whereas EPF2 is expressed in early-stage protodermal cells^{11,12}. In the downstream pathway, a number of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases, including MAPKKK YODA, MPKK4/5, MPKK7/9, and MAPK MPK3/6, were found to transduce the signalling for stomatal development¹³. Five bHLH transcription factors positively regulate the stomatal-lineage transition and differentiation. For example, SPEECHLESS (SPCH), MUTE, and FAMA act sequentially to promote the cellular transition in a stagespecific manner. SPCH regulates asymmetric divisions in MMC and MUTE involved in GMC differentiation^{14,15}. FAMA promotes the last step to form GCs¹⁶. Two additional bHLH proteins, SCREAM/ICE1 and SCREAM2, act redundantly to heterodimerize SPCH, MUTE, and FAMA to coordinate the regulation¹⁷.

Polarity information is critical in stomatal development and directs asymmetric cell division and possibly cell fate determination. In *A. thaliana*, two unique polarity proteins, POLAR LOCALIZATION DURING ASYM-METRIC DIVISION AND REDISTRIBUTION (POLAR) and BREAKING OF ASYMMETRY IN THE STOMA-TAL LINEAGE (BASL), show mostly overlapping localization during asymmetric stomatal divisions^{18,19}. In the grass, the asymmetric division taking place in the lateral neighbouring cell to produce the subsidiary cell relies on two LRR receptor-like kinases, PANGLOSS1 (PAN1) and PAN2^{20,21}. PAN proteins are located at the poles in SMCs at the site of contact with GMCs, which precedes the polar accumulation of small GTPases (ROPs) and F-actin²². Interestingly, recent observations in *Brachypodium distachyon* found that BdMUTE regulates subsidiary cells through cell-to-cell movement²³. In contrast, the MUTE homologue in *A. thaliana* is immobile^{23,24}.

Although stomata morphologies across land plants have been widely examined, questions on the early evolution of angiosperms and the adaptation of stomata to diverse environments remain to be answered. It is not clear how molecular regulation of stomatal development evolved and how that relates to the diverse stomata morphologies among the land plants. Immediately above the root node of angiosperm evolution is the ANITA grade (basal angiosperms), which includes *Amborella*, *Nymphaeales*, *Illiciaceae*, *Trimeniaceae* and *Austrobaileyaceae*⁷. In this study, we took advantage of the newly sequenced genome of *Nymphaea colorata* (not released yet), a typical base angiosperm, to examine stomata regulation in early angiosperm evolution.

The structure and function of stomata are important for environmental adaptation. In some species, stomata underwent radical modifications to facilitate habituation to a particular environment. A recent study indicated that Z. marina lost all the genes involved in stomatal differentiation, which is coincident with its marine habituation. Nymphaea colorata is also an aquatic plant, so it is interesting to know if its stomata-related genes also changed during evolution. By contrast, Kalanchoe laxiflora, a CAM species, has adapted to drought conditions and has evolved specialized stomata functions. To understand how the evolution of the molecular regulation of stomatal development is associated with environmental adaptation, we analysed stomatal morphologies and related regulatory cascades in both Nymphaea colorata and K. laxiflora. Our analysis showed that although generally conserved, loss or duplication of key genes could be associated with structural and physiological renovations required for individual adaptation of plants to local environments.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth condition

A. thaliana Columbia seeds were germinated and grown on 1/2 MS medium with 1% agar, 1% sucrose and 0.05% (wt/vol) morpholinoethansulfonic acid monohydrate (pH 5.7) under a 16/8-h light/dark cycle at 23 °C. Plants were imaged 3–4 days after planting. *O. sativa* and *K. laxiflora*

were grown at 28 °C with a 16/8-h light/dark photoperiod. *N. colorata* were cultivated in water at 23 °C in the greenhouse. Leaves of *Spirodela polyrhiza* were collected in winter 2017 at the Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University.

Methods

Microscopy and image processing

For Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) imaging, the protocol was modified slightly according to Raissig et al.^{23,25}. Samples from the mid-regions of leaves were cut into small squares and cleared using a solution (ethanol: acetic acid glacial, in proportions 4:1 by volume) to remove chlorophyll; then, samples were subjected to a basic solution (a mixture of 7% NaOH in 60% ethanol). Finally, samples were washed briefly with 40% ethanol and mounted in water for visualization and microscopy analysis. Samples were examined using a Nikon ECLIPSE Ni-U microscope fitted with a Nikon DS-Ri 2 digital camera. Images were processed using ImageJ.

Phylogenetic analysis

We surveyed a number of genomes, such as *A. thaliana*, *K. laxiflora*, *Sorghum bicolor*, *O. sativa*, *Zea mays*, *Ananas comosus*, *S. polyrhiza*, and *A. trichopoda*, from Phytozome v12. *Nelumbo nucifera* and *Phalaenopsis equestris* were retrieved from ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/. *Ginkgo biloba* was found from GigaDB (http://gigadb.org/). *N. colorata* was recently sequenced by Liangsheng Zhang's Lab in Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, and sequences were available in the water lily genome database (eplant.org). To obtain probable orthologous genes, we performed BLASTp (protein query–proteins database) and tBLASTn (protein query–nucleic acid database)



Fig. 1 Stomatal structures and development process in Nymphaea colorata. a The upper epidermis of N. colorata with anomocytic stomata. b Abaxial hydropote complex structures of N. colorata with base (b) formed by anticlinal contact cell walls, the lens-shaped cell (L), and the bowl-shaped cell (Bc). c-e Micrograph of stomata at different developmental stages in adaxial leaf surfaces. c Squared patterning, a protodermal cell. d Large round cells are putative GMCs (orange arrow). e Stage with maturing stomata (red arrow). Schematic diagram of stomatal development. A protodermal cell (pale blue) that differentiated directly into a guard mother cell (orange); then, the GMC divided into GCs (red) searches to selectively look for similar protein sequences from these genomes²⁶. A MAFFT (Multiple Sequence Alignment program) was chosen to produce an alignment of all amino-acid sequences with a BLAST score of at least 60 against *A. thaliana*²⁷. The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the maximum likelihood (ML) method in FastTree2²⁸.

Protein domains were identified using the National Center for Biotechnology Information conserved domain search tool. PEST domains were identified using emboss. bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/epestfind.

Results

Loss of stomatal development genes in N. colorata

It was reported that different stomatal development patterns occur in plants of the ANITA grade. *A. trichopoda* possesses mostly perigenous and mesoperigenous stomata⁹. In this species, protodermal cells can directly become GMCs or divide asymmetrically to produce GMCs and stomatal lineage ground cells⁹. However, in *Nymphaea*, protodermal cells seemed to skip asymmetric divisions and directly gave rise to GMCs^{7,9}. It is still to be determined whether asymmetric division is an ancestral stomata-forming step during evolution.

To gain a deeper understanding of the ancestral development of stomatal structure, we performed anatomic observation of the stomatal structure in *N. colorata*. We found that *N. colorata* stomata are only present on the adaxial surface of the floating leaf, with each stoma surrounded by 4–8 neighbouring cells (Fig. 1a). On the abaxial surface of *N. colorata*, we only found hydropote complexes with lens-shaped cells and bowl-shaped cells, which appeared to be surrounded by specialized rosettes of epidermal cells (Fig. 1b). It was hypothesized that the hydropote in *Nymphaea colorata* is homologous to stomatal complexes, and its functions and morphologies are highly associated with aquatic habitats²⁹. Similarly, another floating plant, *S. polyrhiza*, has lost stomata on



Fig. 2 Phylogenetic trees of stomatal bHLH genes in representative species. a The molecular tree summarizes the phylogenetic relationships of representative species, including gymnosperms (e.g., *Ginkgo biloba*), basal angiosperms (e.g., *Amborella trichopoda* and *Nymphaea colorata*), monocots (e.g., *Oryza sativa* and *Spirodela polyrhiza*), and eudicots (e.g., *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Kalanchoe laxiflora*). **b-e** Gene trees of master regulatory bHLH transcription factors SPCH (**b**), MUTE (**c**), FAMA (**d**) and ICE1/2 (**e**) in stomatal development. Amino-acid sequences from *G. biloba* (Gb), *A. trichopoda* (Atr, grey shade), *N. colorata* (Nc, blue shade), *S. polyrhiza* (Spipo), *Phalaenopsis equestris* (Peq), *Zea mays* (Zm), *O. sativa* (Loc_Os, green circle), *Nelumbo nucifera* (NNU), *K. laxiflora* (Kalax, peachy shade) and *A. thaliana* (AT, peachy circle) were used to generate trees



domain (grey) and has a shorter MAPK target domain (yellow). Both NcMUTE and AtMUTE genes have a unique conserved region (MUTE unique, dark blue) and lack some residues preceding the bHLH domain that are present in all the other bHLH la members with various lengths. Both NcFAMA and AtFAMA genes have high AA sequence similarity and harbour three unique domains (FAMA unique 1, red; FAMA unique 2, blue; la extension, brown). Both NcICE-like and AtICE1/2 have highly conserved bHLH domains, potential PEST domains and ACT domains (green)



Fig. 4 Phylogenetic analysis of genes lost in *N. colorata*. Phylogenetic trees constructed using amino-acid sequences of selected *A. thaliana* EPF2 (a), MPK3/MPK6 (b), AP2C3 (c), BASL (d) and POLAR (e) gene family members. Amino-acid sequences from *G. biloba* (Gb), *A. trichopoda* (Atr, grey shade), *N. colorata* (Nc, blue shade), *S. polyrhiza* (Spipo), *P. equestris* (Peq), *Z. mays* (Zm), *O. sativa* (Os, green circle), *N. nucifera* (NNU), *K. laxiflora* (Kalax, peachy shade) and *A. thaliana* (AT, peachy circle) were used to generate trees

the abaxial surface (Figure S1). These results reveal that floating plants tend to lose stomata or create special stomata-like structures to adapt to the aquatic environment. It can also be exemplified by seagrass, *Zostera marina*, in which no stomata are present on leaves, and coincidently, entire stomatal genes are lost to adapt to the marine lifestyle³⁰. Although anatomical descriptions of stomatal development have been reported for many taxa, little is known about the evolution of the molecular machine of stomatal formation across land plants.

One way to understand the evolution of these essential regulators of stomatal development is to analyse their phylogenies. This is currently feasible based on the genome sequences for many species, including the eudicots A. thaliana and K. laxiflora; the monocot plants O. sativa and Z. mays. To facilitate our understanding of the early evolution of these regulators, we included basal angiosperms A. trichopoda, and we recently sequenced the genome of an early-divergent angiosperm N. colorata (see Materials and methods for information on genome data) (Fig. 2a). To understand some special features of stomata formation in N. colorata, we analysed the potential orthologues of A. thaliana genes involved in stomatal formation using the unique unpublished genome data of water lily. In line with A. thaliana, we found high conservation of the core genes required for stomatal formation in *N. colorata*, including an orthologue of an SPCH-like gene, NcSPCH (Fig. 2b); orthologue of a MUTE-like gene, NcFAMA (Fig. 2c); orthologue of a FAMA-like gene, NcFAMA (Fig. 2d), and two orthologues of an ICE/SCRM-like gene, NcICE1 and NcSCRM2 (Fig. 2e). We further analysed the conservation of the homologous domain of these proteins and found a high degree of domain conservation (Fig. 3). However, we also found a number of genes missing from the *N. colorata* genome, including the peptide ligands EPF2, MPK6, and AP2C3 and the polarity controllers BASL and POLAR (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the function of lost genes seems to be highly specific to the asymmetric stomatal development stages.

Stomatal development gene duplications in K. laxiflora

Whole-genome duplications (WGDs) are a common phenomenon during evolution, and the resulting gene duplications (GDs) provide redundant functions or specified novel functions^{31–34}. WGDs are the source of functional diversity or novelty in the genome for adaption to environmental changes³⁵. It has been suggested that two distinct WGDs occur in the *K. laxiflora* lineage and generate four gene copies across the genome³⁶.

To understand the evolution of CAM stomata-related genes, we performed genome phylogenetic analysis in *K*.





Table 1 Gene involved in ston	nata deve	elopment in <i>N</i> .	<i>colorata</i> compa	red with othe	r representati	ve plant			
Gene name	Symbol	A. thaliana	K. laxiflora	N. nucifera	O. sativa	S. polyrhiza	N. colorata	A. trichopoda	G. biloba
Differentiation genes									
SPEECHLESS	SPCH	AT5G53210	Kalax.006650097 Kalax.0943s0016 Kalax.0277s0021	NNU 010414 NNU 013503	LOC Oso6g33450 LOC Oso2g15760	Spipo6G0039300	NC1 G01 80400	Atr scaffold00015.95	Gb 32351
MUTE	MUTE	AT3G06120	Kalax.0004s0103 Kalax.0418s0025 Kalax.0268s0032 Kalax.0539s0032	NNU 007035	LOC Os05g51820		NC2G0006530	Atr scaffold00025.64	
FAMA	FAMA	AT3G24140	Kalax.0693s0014 Kalax.1863s0001 Kalax.0693s0015 Kalax.0693s0014	NNU 012009 NNU 025179	LOC Os05g50900	ЧN	NC3G0207550	Atr scaffold00089.12	
SCREAM/ICE1 SCREAM2	SCRM SCRM2	AT3G26744 AT1G12860	Kalax.034750049 Kalax.002950087 Kalax.080150007 Kalax.023450011	NNU 003962 NNU 003217	LOC Os11g32100 LOC Os01g70310	Spipo4G0062100 Spipo0G0129300	NC2G0294460 NC7G0236530	Atr scaffold00016.130	Gb 18877Gb 40304
FOUR LIPS MYB88	FLP MYB88	AT1G14350 AT2G02820	Kalax.0757s0004 Kalax.0556s0006 Kalax.0031s0030 Kalax.0089s0020	NNU 022886 NNU 000781	LOC Os07g43420	Spipo0G0157900	NC2G0034590	Atr scaffold00010.370	Gb 06045
HOMEODOMAIN GLABROUS2	HDG2	AT1 G05230	Kalax.0393s0043 Kalax.0069s0102 Kalax.1016s0007 Kalax.1527s0001	NNU 019425 NNU 014296	LOC Os04953540 LOC Os08908820 LOC Os08904190	Spipo7G0015400	NC1G0306950	Atr scaffold00004.265	Gb 18862Gb 16030
Spacing and patterning genes	Ĺ				-				
EPIDEKMAL PALIEKNING FACIORI	<u>—</u> Д	A12620875	Kalax. 016850064 Kalax. 113650002 Kalax. 014050018 Kalax. 042150001	62/420 UNN	LOC Os04g54490 LOC Os04g38470	0058800	NC11G0122180 NC11G0122180	Atr scaffold00003.277	مەددد/ مى 00388Gb 38060

$\overline{\mathbf{n}}$
ð
<u>اط</u>
Ē
0
J
-
Ð
ā
a
_

Gene name	Symbol	A. thaliana	K. laxiflora	N. nucifera	O. sativa	S. polyrhiza	N. colorata	A. trichopoda	G. biloba
			Kalax.0001s0052 Kalax.0002s0022						
EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR2	EPF2	AT1G34245	Kalax.014950034 Kalax.031450026	NNU 010187		Spipo15G0006400	ШZ	Atr scaffold00010.278	
		STOMAGEN/EPF- LIKE9	EPFL9	AT4G12970		Kalax.0185s0039 Kalax.0025s0112 Kalax.0033s0049 Kalax.0297s0025	NNU 022462 NNU 008635	LOC 0:01 g68598	
Spipo7G0057500		NC3G0208820	Atr scaffold00020	Gb 18813					
CHALLAH/EPF-LIKE6	EPFL6	AT2G30370	Kalax.0093s0085 Kalax.0322s0055	ЧZ	LOC 0s01g60900 LOC 0s05g39880	Spipo29G0014100	NC3G0226990 NC4G0199650	Atr scaffold 00065.47	Gb 05485
ERECTA	ER	AT2G26330	Kalax.0387s0036 Kalax.0284s0052	NNU 018228 NNU 010627	LOC Os06g10230 LOC Os02g53720	Spipo15G0047400	NC10G0163170	Atr scaffold00024.267	Gb 26881
ERECTA-LIKE1 ERECTA-LIKE2	ERL1 ERL2	AT5G62230 AT5G07180	Kalax.0858s0013 Kalax.1180s0007	NNU 001410 NNU 020430	LOC Os06g03970	Spipo11G0029800	NC9G0271680	Atr scaffold00069.214	Gb 15512
too many mouths	MMT	AT1 G80080	Kalax.0093s0024 Kalax.0058s0095	NNU 003757	LOC Os01g43440	Spipo18G0010300	NC4G0153390	Atr scaffold00051.26	Gb 10165
STOMATAL DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION1	SDD1	AT1G04110	Kalax.0525s0015 Kalax.0155s0004	010999	LOC Os03g04950	Spipo1G0013100	NC4G0239300	Atr scaffold00039.113	Gb 35657
CO2 RESPONSE SECRETED PROTEASE	CRSP	AT1G20160	NF	NNU 013210	LOC Os09g30458	Spipo3G0019800	NC2G037260	Atr scaffold00152.21	Gb 39463
YODA	YDA	AT1 G63700	Kalax.0027s0088 Kalax.0305s0041 Kalax.0021s0011 Kalax.0119s0027	NNU 019513 NNU 006681	LOC 0s02g44642 LOC 0s04g47240	Spipo24G0030800	NC4G0020020 NC12G0094120	Atr scaffold0009.178	Gb 12558
MPK3	MPK3	AT3G45640	Kalax.0014s0191 Kalax.0055s0143	NNU 009572 NNU 005597	LOC 0s03g17700	ЦZ	NC2G0053420	Atr scaffold00023.237	Gb 14122

Table 1 (continued)									
Gene name	Symbol	A. thaliana	K. laxiflora	N. nucifera	O. sativa	S. polyrhiza	N. colorata	A. trichopoda	G. biloba
MPK6	MPK6	AT2G43790	Kalax.001950087 Kalax.002250025 Kalax.008250002 Kalax.013350041	щ	LOC Oso6g06090	Spipo14G0031100	L Z	Atrlscaffold00058.238	Gb 26499Gb 15256
MKK4 MKK5	MKK4 MKK5	AT1G51660 AT3G21220	Kalax.0510s0006 Kalax.0445s0039	NNU 012790	LOC 0s02g54600	Spipo12G0053200 Spipo28G0018300	NC3G0229970	Atr scaffold00011.127 Atr scaffold00011.128	Gb 36141
			Kalax.0283s0053 Kalax.0104s0058		LOC Os06g09180				
MKK7 MKK9	MKK7 MKK9	AT1G18350 AT1G73500	Kalax.0543s0017 Kalax.0216s0025 Kalax.0039s0095 Kalax.1433s0007	NNU 016426 NNU 000259	LOC Os03g12390	Spipo15G0037300	NC8G0217780 NC10G0164550	Atrlscaffold00176.2	Gb 41213Gb 20818
ARABIDOPSIS PROTEIN PHOPHATASE 2C	AP2C3	AT2G40180	Kalax.0107s0048 Kalax.0170s0065 Kalax.0441s0007	NNU 012318 NNU 005774	LOC Os03g18150	Spipo22G0031600 Spipo23G0037200	Ц Z	Atr scaffold00065.64 Atr scaffold00078.152 Atr scaffold0007.121	Gb 40834Gb 22266
Polarity and division asymmetry genes			Kalax.0527s0028					-	
PANGLOSS1	PAN1	AT2G42290, AT3G57830	Kalax.0222s0039 Kalax.0637s0020	NNU 012890	LOC 0s08g39590	Spipo12G0035200	NC1G0088630	Atr scaffold00022.305	Gb 28844
PANGLOSS2	PAN2	AT4G20940	Kalax.0016s0247 Kalax.0114s0005	NNU 026348	LOC Os07g05190	Spipo32G0003300 Spipo0G0142000	NC14G0281210	Atr scaffold00175.33	Gb 30406Gb 18587
RHO-RELATED PROTEIN FROM PLANTS 9	ROP9	AT4G28950	Kalax.0192s0051 Kalax.0015s0042 Kalax.1214s0006	NNU 005916 NNU 003451	LOC 0s05g43820	Spipa26G0003200	NC6G0252910	Atr scaffold00002.129	Gb 09833
Breaking of Asymmetry in the stomatal linegae	BASL	At5g60880	Kalax.0236s0002 Kalax.1000s0001	NNU 008250	LOC Os04g39240	ЦZ	NF	Atr scaffold00006.135	Gb 36330
Polar Localization During Asymmetric Division and Redistribution	POLAR	AT4G31805	Kalax.0020s0126 Kalax.0107s0095 Kalax.0250s0038 Kalax.0530s0021	NNU 019396NNU 013094	LOC 0s06g08520 LOC 0s02g55190	Spipo10G0014700	ц Z	Atr scaffold00065.195	Gb 00304
Mitosis and cytokinesis genes STOMATAL CYTOKINESIS DEFECTIVE 1	SCD1	AT1G49040	Kalax.0061s0068 Kalax.0190s0062	NNU 012674	LOC 0s01g39380	Spipo21G0025200	NC3G0202830	Atr scaffold00104.16	Gb 36258

Table 1 (continued)									
Gene name	Symbol	A. thaliana	K. laxiflora	N. nucifera	O. sativa	S. polyrhiza	N. colorata	A. trichopoda	G. biloba
Hormone and environmental signalling <u>c</u>	genes								
CRYPTOCHROME	CRY1	AT4G08920	Kalax.0428s0010 Kalax.1365s0004 Kalax.0290s0014 Kalax.0239s0053	NNU 001876 NNU 015266	LOC Os04g37920 LOC Os02g36380	Spipo15G0011900	NC8G0218290	Atr scaffold00038.124	Gb 13122
	CRY2	AT1G04400	Kalax.0094s0015 Kalax.0075s0050	NNU 010890 NNU 018834	LOC Os02g41550	Spipo1G003600	NC12G0249420	Atr scaffold00148.69	Gb 13122
PHYTOCHROME	РНҮА	AT1 G09570	Kalax.0106s0002 Kalax.0005s0079 Kalax.0038s0184 Kalax.0172s0035	NNU 026354	LOC 0s03g51030	Spipo6G0014200	NC10G0166490	Atrlscaffold00045.165	Gb 21967
	РНҮВ	AT2G18790	Kalax.0613s0014 Kalax.0391s0019 Kalax.0996s0003	NNU 014452	LOC 0s03g19590	Spipo6G0031800	NC5G0160900	Atr scaffold00003.45	Gb 17897
PYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR	PIF4	AT2G43010	Kalax.0495s0020 Kalax.0759s0011	NNU 026428	LOC Os03943810 LOC Os07905010 LOC Os03956950	Spipo13G0048400	NC10G0166270	Atr scaffold00039.9	Gb 07156
CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC	COP1	AT2G32950	Kalax.0049s0041 Kalax.0049s0041	NNU 005078 NNU 015709	LOC Os02g53140	Spipo31G000500	NC1G0178350	Atr scaffold00074.24	Gb 15627
CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC	COP10	AT3G13550	Kalax.0340s0003 Kalax.0021s0072	NNU 019762	LOC 0s07g38940	Spipo2G0063200	NC1G0193740	Atr scaffold00061.43	Gb 07763
HIGH CARBON DIOXIDE	HIC1	AT2G46720	Kalax.001850006 Kalax.009050007 Kalax.101550012 Kalax.001350142 Kalax.101550014	NNU 006085 NNU 003630	LOC Oso5949900 LOC Oso2911070 LOC Oso6939750	Spipo14G0001700 Spipo21G0006400	NC6G0254440 NC1G0129310	Atr scaffold00052.41	Gb 23820
BRI SUPPRESSOR1	BSU1	AT1G03445	Kalax.0084s0077 Kalax.1286s0001	NNU 001649 NNU 024344	LOC 0s05g05240	Spipo6G0007500	NC1G0193170	Atr scaffold00004.204	Gb 36990

ਰ
h
<u>⊇</u> .
1 T
ō
9
-
e
þ
Ĥ.

Gene name	Symbol	A. thaliana	K. laxiflora	N. nucifera	O. sativa	S. polyrhiza	N. colorata	A. trichopoda	G. biloba
			Kalax.0045s0074						
			Kalax.0289s0053						
BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSTIVIE 2	BIN2	AT4G18710	Kalax.0092s0006	NNU 025519	LOC	Spipo18G0019800	NC9G0114290	Atr scaffold00170.9	Gb 21469
			Kalax.0164s0037		Os01g10840	Spipo14G0030500	NC13G0028550		
			Kalax.1441s0002		LOC				
			Kalax.0375s0036		Os05g11730				
			Kalax.0283s0042		LOC				
			Kalax.0104s0069		Os02g14130				
					LOC				
					Os06g35530				
ME not found									

touna not ₹

laxiflora. Intercellular signalling networks, such as peptide ligands, transmembrane receptors TMM/ER, MAPK modules, and bHLH transcription factors, are important for stomatal patterning¹¹⁻¹⁷. In the EPF/TMM/ER module, our phylogenetic analysis shows that EPF2, EPFL6, TMM and ER/ERL have two copies, whereas EPF1 and EPFL9 have six and four orthologous genes, respectively, in K. laxiflora (Figs. 4a, 5). Furthermore we found each YODA, MKK4/MKK5, MKK7/MKK9, MAPKs MPK3/ MPK6, and AP2C3 gene has only one copy in A. thaliana while expanded to four homologous genes in K. laxiflora (Figs. 4b, c, 5). Similarly, the group of bHLH transcription factors in K. laxiflora has also expanded to four orthologous (Fig. 2). In addition, the copy of the cell fate determining regulators, HDG2 and FLP/MYB88 also became quadrupled in K. laxiflora (Figures S2A, B). To understand if the asymmetric division is also associated with polarity in K. laxiflora, we analysed polar genes in K. laxiflora. Our analysis indicates that K. laxiflora genome contains homologous genes for PAN1, PAN2, POLAR, BASL, and ROP (Figures S2C, S2D, S2E; Fig. 4d, e). Together, these findings suggest that four copies of stomatal orthologous genes in K. laxiflora possibly derived from maximally two rounds of genome duplication (Table 1).

Novel formation of subsidiary cells in K. laxiflora

CAM increases water-use efficiency and drought resistance in plants, which is characterized by nocturnal opening and diurnal closing of the stomata³⁶. Therefore, stomatal control in the leaves is particularly important for this type of plant to reduce evapotranspiration in the daytime and increase carbon dioxide (CO₂) collection at night^{2,36}. The physiological traits probably improve the resistance of CAM plants to diverse environmental stresses, including drought^{1,2}.

To gain a better understanding of the stomatal complex in CAM plants, we performed anatomical observation of K. laxiflora, a member of the eudicot CAM family. In K. laxiflora, stomata are surrounded by three to four small subsidiary cells in adaxial leaf surfaces (Fig. 6a). Similarly, we found that the stomata of Phalaenopsis equestris, another CAM monocot species, is also surrounded by approximately four subsidiary cells (Figure S3). This innovation of stomatal architecture could derive from differential regulation of stomatal formation. We found that in K. laxiflora, stomata formed via a series of asymmetric cell divisions and cell state transitions: protodermal cells entered the stomatal lineage and took on a MMC identity: the MMC underwent three or four asymmetrical divisions to form GMC and Stomatal lineage ground cell (SLGC) (Fig. 6d-g). The GMC underwent a symmetric division to form a pair of guard cells, and SLGCs eventually became subsidiary cells surrounding the guard cell (Fig. 6b, c).



adaxial leaf surfaces; the guard cells are surrounded by three (blue arrow) or four subsidiary cells (red arrow). **b** A stoma with three subsidiary cells. **c** A stoma with four subsidiary cells. **d-g** DIC of different stages with asymmetric division finally form two mature stomatal types. Meristemoid (green star), surrounding cells (white star), guard mother cell (orange star), guard cells (red star), and subsidiary cells (blue star)

It is widely accepted that different stomatal patternings reflect the asymmetric division of precursor cells and lateral divisions of neighbouring cells³⁷. For example, in anomocytic stomata occurring in the eudicot A. thaliana (Fig. 7a, b), the MMC underwent three asymmetric divisions to give rise to a GMC and SLGCs, which was followed by a transition from SLGCs to pavement cells (Fig. 7c). Although both A. thaliana and K. laxiflora are eudicots, K. laxiflora possesses stephanocytic stomata (Fig. 7d, e). Developmentally, there is a similarity between these two types of stomata: meristemoids undergo a series of asymmetric divisions to produce SLGCs surrounding guard cells (Fig. 7f), and different cell fate choices of SLGCs finally give rise to different stomatal complexes (Figure S4). In monocot species such as O. sativa, the type of mature stomata is named the paracytic type, in which the guard cell is surrounded by two subsidiary cells (Fig. 7g, h). In this type, the stomatal meristemoid divides asymmetrically to form a larger SLGC and a smaller meristemoid that directly forms the GMC. Before the GMC divides, it induces neighbouring cell files to adopt an SMC identity, which subsequently forms SCs via asymmetric divisions. The GMC then undergoes symmetric mitosis to eventually form guard cells (Fig. 7i). Therefore, subsidiary cells can develop through different ways: one is through asymmetric division in O. sativa, and the other is through SLGC differentiation in K. laxiflora. In K. laxiflora, subsidiary cells are noticeably visible, but little is known about the factors defining subsidiary cell identity. In Brachypodium distachyon, subsidiary cells are formed through asymmetric divisions. BdMUTE is an orthologue of A. thaliana MUTE that has been identified as sufficient for SC formation based on its acquisition of cell-to-cell mobility²³. In A. thaliana, AtMUTE, which is associated with GMC identity, is nonmobile. The question is whether the KalaxMUTE could also specify SC identity by being mobile. To address this, we compared MUTE orthologues of the representative species with B. distachyon, A. thaliana and K. laxiflora to test potential mobility motifs in K. laxiflora (Fig. 8). Our results show high conservation in the bHLH functional domain. The differences in potential mobility residues of KalaxMUTE



Fig. 7 Mature stomatal types and development in diverse species. a, d, g Mature stomatal types. Diagrams show the guard cell pair (red) and subsidiary cells (green). a Anomocytic stomata lack subsidiary cells. d Stephanocytic stomata possess a ring of subsidiary cells. g Paracytic stomata possess one pair of lateral subsidiary cells oriented parallel to the quard cells. **b**, **c** Example of eudicot stomata in *A. thaliana*. **b** The upper epidermis of A. thaliana with anomocytic stomata. c Schematic diagram of stomatal development transitions. A subset of protodermal cells (pale blue) enter the stomatal lineage and take on an MMC identity; the MMC (pale green) undergoes asymmetric cell division producing a smaller meristemoid (green) and larger SLGCs (white). Then, the meristemoid differentiates into a GMC (orange), and the GMC undergoes a symmetric division to form a pair of guard cells (red). e, f Example of eudicot stomata in K. laxiflora. e The upper epidermis of K. laxiflora with stephanocytic stomata. f Schematic diagram of stomatal development. Protodermal cells (pale blue) take on an MMC identity. The MMC (pale green) divides through three or four asymmetric divisions to give rise to a GMC (orange), and a round of neighbouring cells (dark blue) eventually become subsidiary cells (blue) surrounding the guard cells (red). h, i Example of monocot stomata in O. sativa. h The upper epidermis of O. sativa with linear cell files and paracytic stomata. Diagrams illustrating stomatal development for the stomatal complex. Cell protoderm files (pale blue) asymmetrically divide to create a meristemoid (green), and the meristemoid differentiates into a GMC (orange). Then, neighbouring cell files (SMC, pale purple) divide asymmetrically to form SCs (blue). Finally, the GMC divides once symmetrically to form GCs (red), and the GCs and SCs terminally differentiate and form mature dumbbell-shaped stomata. Key: protodermal cell that will give rise to the stomatal lineage, pale blue; MMC (meristemoid mother cell), pale green; meristemoid, green; SLGCs (stomatal-lineage ground cell), white; GMC (guard mother cell), orange; GCs (guard cells), red; SMC (subsidiary mother cell), pale purple; SCs (subsidiary cells), blue

from its homologue in *B. distachyon* are similar to those in *A. thaliana*. Thus, the subsidiary cells in *K. laxiflora* may not be specified by KalaxMUTE mobility.

Discussion

Stomatal patterning is diverse among different land plants. In *Physcomitrella patens*, stomata exhibit partial or complete division to form a single GC or paired GCs, respectively³⁸. Moss does not have genes encoding MUTE or SPCH and uses genes encoding two bHLH proteins, PpSMF1 and PpSCRM1, to promote stomatal formation³⁹. In *A. thaliana*, the stomata are surrounded by two kidney-shaped guard cells, and polar localization of BASL is required for a series of asymmetric divisions to form the stomatal structure⁴⁰. In *O. sativa*, polar localization of PAN protein is responsible for subsidiary cell asymmetry in the stomatal complex¹⁰. In *B. distachyon*, BdMUTE is necessary and sufficient for SC formation. However, AtMUTE in *A. thaliana* defines GC precursor fate²³.

Overall, it appears that the function of most genes is conserved during stomatal formation across plant evolution, but there are novel genes recruited to regulate unique aspects of stomatal patterning in some species.

The regulatory machine of stomata development appeared to be flexible and adaptable during evolution. The adaptation pressure could quickly change the division and differentiation pattern during stomata formation. For example, all the genes involved in stomatal differentiation are lost in seagrass Zostera to enhance its adaptation to marine lifestyle³⁰. Plants of the ANITA grade form specialized structures in the epidermal cells to adapt to its habitat²⁹. Similarly, *N. colorata* has lost genes, which could be associated with its unique stomatal development. However, further molecular and genetic manipulations are needed for functional verification.

Compared with our understanding of stomatal development in model systems, little is known about the molecular evolution of stomatal morphology, particularly

Eudicot Kalax.0004s0103 Kalax.0418s0025 Kalax.0418s0025 MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLRVLRSLTPSFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIEFVKELHQVLQVLDSKK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPSFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIEFVKELHLVLQVLDSKK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPSFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIDFIKELHQVLQVLDSQK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPSFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIDFIKELHQVLQVLDSQK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKSLRSLTPCFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIDFIKELHQVLQVLDSQK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPSFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIDFIKELHQVLQVLDSQK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPSFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIDFIKELHQVLQVLDSQK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPSFYIKKGDQASIIGGVIDFIKELQQLVQVLESKK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPAFYKKGDQASIIGGAIDFIKELQLVQVLESKK MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPAFYKKGDQASIIGGAIDFIKELQLVQVLESKK MSHIAVERNRRQMNDHLKVLRSLTPAFYKKGDQASIIGGAIDFIKELQTLLQSLEAQK ************************************
Kalax.0539s0032 MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPSFYIKRGDQASIIGGVIDFIKELHQVLQVLDSQK grass Bradilg18400.1 Loc_0s05g51820 MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKVLRSLTPAFYIKRGDQASIIGGAUDFIKELHQVLQVLESKK Kalax.0004s0103 RRKRSLSPSSNPSPRPLLQLCTPSSDSSPSPRLQQ
grass Bradilg18400.1 LOC_0s05g51820 MSHIAVERNRRQMNEHLKTLRSLTPALYVKRGDQASIIGGAVDFIRELHVLLEALQANK MSHIAVERNRRQMNDHLKVLRSLTPAFYIKRGDQASIIGGAIDFIKELQTLLQSLEAQK ************************************
Kalax, 0004s0103 RRKRSLSPSSNPSPRPLLQLCTPSSDSSPSPRLQQ
Kalax.0004s0103 RRKRSLSPSSNPSPRPLLQLCTPSSDSSPSPRLQQ
Kalay 0418s0025 REKRSLSPSSNPSPERDIOLOGAPSSDSSPSPERDO
Fudicot Kalax.0268s0032 RKESLSPSPNPSPRPLIOLGTSSDSSPRLE-
Kalax. 0539s0032 RRKESLSPSPNPSPRPLLQLGTPSSDSSPSPRLLE
AT3G06120 RRKTLNRPSFPYDHQTIEPSSLGAATTRVPFSRIENV
grass Bradilg18400.1 RRRLNNLHPCSTPTTPSPRSLPTNNINSSSPGSGCSSSAASNTGSGGG
STATE TLOC_0805g51820 ARR/Q4PGARLISPASISA55665P5PTP5PR5LIT5C5PTAAA655A6555515PADEWA
······ + ···· ++
Kalax.0004s0103GFKELĠACSNSLVADVEAKLSGANVVLRÍVCRRAGG-QVGKIVSVLERLČĚDVLQL
Kalax.0418s0025GFKELGACSNSLVADVEAKLSGANVVLRIVCRRAGG-QVGKIVSVLERLCFDVLQL
Eudicot Kalax. 026850032GFKELGACCNSPVADWAKLSGSNWVLRIICFRAGG-QVGKIVSELERLCFDVLQL
A 31 32. U05 35 30 52
Bradilg18400.1 VNKEKARELAACCSSAAAEVEARISGANLLLRTLSGRAPPGQAAKMVGLLQALHLEVLHL
Grass Loc_0s05g51820 QQLQLVAELAACCNSPMADVEARISGANVLLRTLSRRAPPVRIIALLESLHLEVLHL
*: <mark>.</mark> ** * *:* *::**:*::**::. * :::. * :::*: *:::*
Kalax, 0004s0103 NISSMEDTVLYSTVIKIGLECOLSVEELAHVIOKSLYODEIATASDNATDTLLANYL
Kalax.0418s0025 NISSMEDTVLYSIVIKIGLECQLSVEELAHVIQKSLYQDEIATASDNATDTLLANYL
Eudicot Kalax. 0268s0032 NISSMEDTVLYSFVVKIGLECLISVEELALEIQKSLYHDEITTASTASDSLLAHHL
Kalax.0539s0032 NISSMEDTVLYSFVVKIGLECLISVEELALEIQKSLYQDEITTASTASDSLLAHHS
AT3G06120 NISSMEETVLYFFVVKIGLECHLSLEELITLEVQKSFVSDEVIVSTN
grass LOC 0s05551820 NITTINDTVL/SEVLKIGLOCH_SVDDL/MEVHOSEMPPPAAHDDNHLHS
:::::*: :*::*: :*:: :::::
Keley 0004e0102
Kalax, 000450105
Fudicot Kalax. 0268s0032
Kalax.0539s0032
AT3G06120
Grass Local Store
grado L0C_0805g51820
Fig. 8 Alignment of grass and eudicot MUTE orthologues to identify potential mobility residues. MUTE orthologues of the representation
grass species Brachypodium (BdMUTE—Bradi1g18400) and rice (OsMUTE—LOC_Os05g51820) were aligned with the MUTE orthologues of the
representative eudicot species Arabidopsis (AtMUTE—AT3G06120) and Kalax.0004s0103/Kalax.0418s0025/Kalax.0268s0032/Kalax.0539s0032 using

grass species *Brachypolium* (BdMOTE—Brading 18400) and fice (OSMOTE—LOC_OSOS(31820) were aligned with the MOTE orthologues of the representative eudicot species *Arabidopsis* (AtMUTE—AT3G06120) and Kalax.0004s0103/Kalax.0418s0025/Kalax.0268s0032/Kalax.0539s0032 using ClustalW (http://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw). The bHLH domain spans the first 50 amino acids and is indicated. Green shaded amino acids represent high similarity, whereas yellow shaded amino acids represent intermediate similarity. Candidate amino acids that are either consistently different between grasses and eudicots or are conserved among grasses but not in eudicots, or vice versa, are marked with a red asterisk and represent potential mobility motifs

in basal angiosperms. Alongside the completion of the genome, we are beginning to find the comparative molecular basis of the evolution of stomatal development and identify orthologues of stomatal regulator genes in a selected range of phylogenetic taxa. However, it is still technically difficult to analyse the function of orthologues. In the *N. colorata* genome, we found that a number of the genes that are highly specific to the stomatal asymmetric division were missing. Taken together, these results suggest that most core regulators of stomata formation remain conserved during evolution, whereas some gene loss events can occur to modify stomata formation processes, such as asymmetric division. These changes at the

genetic and morphological levels of individual species may result from adaptation to inhabitant environments rather than evolutionary changes.

ive

Recent studies have indicated that WGD events are ubiquitous in the evolution of angiosperms, and WGDs tend to retain multiple family duplications to increase the frequency of multiplication and the function of genes⁴¹. Thus, WGDs are widely thought to provide genomic novelties and complexities to promote plant adaptation to environments⁴². Large-scale GDs involved in stomata development through WGDs in *K. laxiflora* have been identified³⁶.

Analysis of the genes involved in stomata formation showed that the protein sequences of the core genes required to instigate and pattern stomata are conserved in *K. laxiflora* (Table 1). It is unclear whether the expression or protein modification of these regulators is different in *K. laxiflora* compared with that in *A. thaliana*. Indeed, the duplication of stomata regulator genes appears to be a common theme in *K. laxiflora*, but the extent to which this represents a divergence in gene function requires further studies.

It seemed that genes encoding critical developmental regulators were more likely to be retained during evolution^{43,44}. For stomatal development, subsidiary cells can occur from an adjacent cell file or the same cell as the guard cells. Based on sequence conservation, the mobility of KalaxMUTE could be similar to its homologue in *Arabidopsis*. Thus, it is less likely that the modification of KalaxMUTE leads to featured stomatal subsidiary cells in *K. laxiflora*. Further work is needed to investigate whether the gene gains in *K. laxiflora* are associated with subsidiary cell establishment.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the 1000-Talents Plan from China for young researchers (grant KXR15012A), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0100700) and a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31722006) to S.W.

Author contributions

S.W. and L.Z. designed the research; M.X. and S.Q. performed the experiments; M.X., S.Q., F.C., and S.W. analysed the data; M.Z. and S.W. wrote the paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41438-018-0048-8.

Received: 14 January 2018 Revised: 22 April 2018 Accepted: 26 April 2018 Published online: 01 August 2018

References

- Luttge, U. Ability of crassulacean acid metabolism plants to overcome interacting stresses in tropical environments. *AoB Plants* 2010, plq005 (2010).
- Borland, A. M. et al. Engineering crassulacean acid metabolism to improve water-use efficiency. *Trends Plant. Sci.* 19, 327–338 (2014).
- Vatén, A. & Bergmann, D. C. Mechanisms of stomatal development: an evolutionary view. *EvoDevo* 3, 11 (2012).
- Peterson, K. M., Rychel, A. L. & Torii, K. U. Out of the mouths of plants: the molecular basis of the evolution and diversity of stomatal development. *Plant Cell* 22, 296–306 (2010).
- Males, J. & Griffiths, H. Stomatal biology of CAM plants. *Plant Physiol.* 174, 550–560 (2017).
- Chen, Z. et al. Molecular evolution of grass stomata. Trends Plant. Sci. 22, 124–139 (2017).
- Carpenter, K. J. Stomatal architecture and evolution in basal angiosperms. Am. J. Bot. 92, 1595–1615 (2005).
- Gallagher, K. & Smith, L. G. Roles for polarity and nuclear determinants in specifying daughter cell fates after an asymmetric cell division in the maize leaf. *Curr. Biol.* **10**, 1229–1232 (2000).
- Rudall, P. J. & Knowles, E. V. W. Ultrastructure of stomatal development in earlydivergent angiosperms reveals contrasting patterning and pre-patterning. *Ann. Bot.* **112**, 1031–1043 (2013).

- Pillitteri, L. J. & Torii, K. U. Mechanisms of stomatal development. Annu. Rev. Plant. Biol. 63, 591–614 (2012).
- Hara, K., Kajita, R., Torii, K. U., Bergmann, D. C. & Kakimoto, T. The secretory peptide gene EPF1 enforces the stomatal one-cell-spacing rule. *Genes Dev.* 21, 1720–1725 (2007).
- Hunt, L. & Gray, J. E. The signaling peptide EPF2 controls asymmetric cell divisions during stomatal development. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 864–869 (2009).
- Wang, H., Ngwenyama, N., Liu, Y., Walker, J. C. & Zhang, S. Stomatal development and patterning are regulated by environmentally responsive mitogen-activated protein kinases in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 19, 63–73 (2007).
- Pillitteri, L. J., Sloan, D. B., Bogenschutz, N. L. & Torii, K. U. Termination of asymmetric cell division and differentiation of stomata. *Nature* 445, 501–505 (2007).
- Pillitteri, L. J., Bogenschutz, N. L. & Torii, K. U. The bHLH protein, MUTE, controls differentiation of stomata and the hydathode pore in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol.* 49, 934–943 (2008).
- Ohashi-Ito, K. & Bergmann, D. C. Arabidopsis FAMA controls the final proliferation/ differentiation switch during stomatal development. *Plant Cell* 18, 2493–2505 (2006).
- Kanaoka, M. M. et al. SCREAM/ICE1 and SCREAM2 specify three cell-state transitional steps leading to *Arabidopsis* stomatal differentiation. *Plant Cell* 20, 1775–1785 (2008).
- Dong, J., MacAlister, C. A. & Bergmann, D. C. BASL controls asymmetric cell division in *Arabidopsis. Cell* 137, 1320–1330 (2009).
- Pillitteri, L. J., Peterson, K. M., Horst, R. J. & Torii, K. U. Molecular profiling of stomatal meristemoids reveals new component of asymmetric cell division and commonalities among stem cell populations in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 23, 3260–3275 (2011).
- Cartwright, H. N., Humphries, J. A. & Smith, L. G. PAN1: a receptor-like protein that promotes polarization of an asymmetric cell division in maize. *Science* 323, 649–651 (2009).
- Zhang, X. et al. Identification of PAN2 by quantitative proteomics as a leucinerich repeat-receptor-like kinase acting upstream of PAN1 to polarize cell division in maize. *Plant Cell* 24, 4577–4589 (2012).
- Humphries, J. A. et al. ROP GTPases act with the receptor-like protein PAN1 to polarize asymmetric cell division in maize. *Plant Cell* 23, 2273–2284 (2012).
- Raissig, M. T. et al. Mobile MUTE specifies subsidiary cells to build physiologically improved grass stomata. *Science* 355, 1215–1218 (2017).
- Cai, S., Papanatsiou, M., Blatt, M. R. & Chen, Z. H. Speedy grass stomata: emerging molecular and evolutionary features. *Mol. Plant* 10, 912–914 (2017).
- Raissig, M. T., Abrash, E., Bettadapur, A., Vogel, J. P. & Bergmann, D. C. Grasses use an alternatively wired bHLH transcription factor network to establish stomatal identity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. **113**, 8326–8331 (2016).
- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410 (1990).
- Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 26, 1641–1650 (2009).
- Carpenter, K. J. Specialized structures in the leaf epidermis of basal angiosperms: morphology, distribution, and homology. *Am. J. Bot.* **93**, 665–681 (2006).
- Olsen, J. L. et al. The genome of the seagrass Zostera marina reveals angiosperm adaptation to the sea. *Nature* 530, 331–335 (2016).
- Pontes, O. et al. Chromosomal locus rearrangements are a rapid response to formation of the allotetraploid *Arabidopsis* suecica genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **101**, 18240–18245 (2004).
- Mitchell-Olds, T. & Schmitt, J. Genetic mechanisms and evolutionary significance of natural variation in Arabidopsis. Nature 441, 947–952 (2006).
- Madlung, A. et al. Genomic changes in synthetic Arabidopsis polyploids. Plant J. 41, 221–230 (2005).
- 34. Long, M., Betrán, E., Thornton, K. & Wang, W. The origin of new genes: glimpses from the young and old. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **4**, 865–875 (2003).
- Jiao, Y. et al. Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* 473, 97–100 (2011).

- Yang, X. et al. The *Kalanchoë* genome provides insights into convergent evolution and building blocks of crassulacean acid metabolism. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1899 (2017).
- Abrash, E. B. & Bergmann, D. C. Asymmetric cell divisions: a view from plant development. *Dev. Cell.* 16, 783–796 (2009).
- Merced, A. & Renzaglia, K. S. Patterning of stomata in the moss Funaria: a simple way to space guard cells. Ann. Bot. 117, 985–994 (2016).
- Chater, C. C. et al. Origin and function of stomata in the moss *Physcomitrella* patens. Nat. Plants 2, 16179 (2016).
- 40. Shao, W. & Dong, J. Polarity in plant asymmetric cell division: division orientation and cell fate differentiation. *Dev. Biol.* **419**, 121–131 (2016).
- Ren, R. et al. Wide-spread whole genome duplications contribute to genome complexity and species diversity in angiosperms. *Mol. Plant* 18, 30022–30024 (2018).
- Hegarty, M. J. & Hiscock, S. J. Genomic clues to the evolutionary success of polyploid plants. *Curr. Biol.* 18, R435–R444 (2008).
- McGrath, C. L., Gout, J., Johri, P., Doak, T. G. & Lynch, M. Differential retention and divergent resolution of duplicate genes following whole-genome duplication. *Genome Res.* 24, 1665–1675 (2014).
- 44. Aury, J. M. et al. Global trends of whole-genome duplications revealed by the ciliate Paramecium tetraurelia. *Nature* **444**, 171–178 (2006).