ARTICLE

genetics society



Adaptive signals of flowering time pathways in wild barley from Israel over 28 generations

Chaoju Qian¹ · Xia Yan² · Yong Shi³ · Hengxia Yin⁴ · Yuxiao Chang \mathbb{D}^5 · Jun Chen⁶ · Pär K. Ingvarsson \mathbb{D}^7 · Eviatar Nevo⁸ · Xiao-Fei Ma¹

Received: 16 May 2019 / Revised: 13 August 2019 / Accepted: 13 August 2019 / Published online: 16 September 2019 © The Author(s) 2019. This article is published with open access

Abstract

Flowering time is one of the most critical traits for plants' life cycles, which is influenced by various environment changes, such as global warming. Previous studies have suggested that to guarantee reproductive success, plants have shifted flowering times to adapt to global warming. Although many studies focused on the molecular mechanisms of early flowering, little was supported by the repeated sampling at different time points through the changing climate. To fully dissect the temporal and spatial evolutionary genetics of flowering time, we investigated nucleotide variation in ten flowering time candidate genes and nine reference genes for the same ten wild-barley populations sampled 28 years apart (1980–2008). The overall genetic differentiation was significantly greater in the descendant populations (2008) compared with the ancestral populations (1980); however, local adaptation tests failed to detect any single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)/ indel under spatial-diversifying selection at either time point. By contrast, the WFABC (Wright–Fisher ABC-based approach) that detected 54 SNPs/indels was under strong selection during the past 28 generations. Moreover, all these 54 alleles were segregated in the ancestral populations, but fixed in the descendent populations. Among the top ten SNPs/indels, seven were located in genes of *FT1 (FLOWERING TIME LOCUS T 1)*, *CO1 (CONSTANS-LIKE PROTEIN 1)*, and *VRN-H2 (VERNALIZATION-H2)*, which have been documented to be associated with flowering time regulation in barley cultivars. This study might suggest that all ten populations have undergone parallel evolution over the past few decades in response to global warming, and even an overwhelming local adaptation and ecological differentiation.

Supplementary information The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-019-0264-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Eviatar Nevo nevo@research.haifa.ac.il

⊠ Xiao-Fei Ma maxiaofei@lzb.ac.cn

- ¹ Department of Ecology and Agriculture Research, Key Laboratory of Stress Physiology and Ecology in Cold and Arid Regions, Gansu Province, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu, China
- ² School of Life Sciences, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, Jiangsu, China
- ³ Germplasm Bank of Wild Species in Southwest China, Kunming

Introduction

Overwhelming evidence supports the hypothesis that the climate has been changing rapidly since the early twentieth century (IPCC 2001; Jones and Osborn et al. 2001). Under different scenarios of greenhouse gas emission, the mean global temperature is predicted to increase by 1.8–4.0 °C by

Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China

- ⁴ State Key Laboratory of Plateau Ecology and Agriculture, Qinghai University, Xining 810016, Qinghai, China
- ⁵ Agricultural Genomics Institute at Shenzhen, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen 450002, China
- ⁶ College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China
- ⁷ Department of Plant Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala BioCenter, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden
- ⁸ Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Haifa 3498838, Israel

the end of this century (IPCC 2007a; IPCC 2007b). Such rapid global climate change has become one of the major environmental stressors threatening biodiversity and crop production (Lobell and Schlenker et al. 2011; Osborne and Rose et al. 2013; Thomas and Cameron et al. 2004; Thuiller and Lavorel et al. 2005). Based on 131 studies, ~7.9% of species are predicted to become extinct due to the global climate change by the end of 2050 (Urban 2015), with the situation being even worse in tropic and subtropical areas, where crop yields could decline by 10-20% (Ray and Gerber et al. 2015; Thornton and Cramer 2012). In response to this rapid climate change, plants could adjust their phenotypic variation, such as the allocation of biomass and the phenological phase (Bertin 2008; Jump and Penuelas 2005; Root et al. 2003; Wang and Ottle et al. 2014). In recent decades, a growing body of data has documented a warming spring in the Northern Hemisphere, and many spring events including flowering time in many wild plants now occur earlier than before (Bonsal and Zhang et al. 2001; Robeson 2004; Schwartz and Ahas et al. 2006).

For annual plants, flowering is the most important spring event, and it is critical for plants to have precise control over the time of transition from vegetative growth to reproductive growth to successfully reproduce. Flowering time is known to be affected by various environmental factors, such as temperature, photoperiod, and precipitation (Johansson and Bolmgren et al. 2013; Mouradov and Cremer et al. 2002), and numerous studies have predicted that under global warming, many wild species will flower earlier in the year compared with preceding decades, especially in annual and insect-pollinated plants, suggesting that early flowering is an effective strategy to mitigate the negative effects of climate change, especially to global warming (Bock and Sparks et al. 2014; Brunet and Larson-Rabin 2012; Craufurd and Wheeler 2009; Fitter and Fitter 2002; Franks and Sim et al. 2007; Hovenden and Williams et al. 2008; Miller-Rushing and Primack 2008; Totland 1997). Furthermore, studies in some wild-plant species, such as Brassica rapa, Centaurea cyanus, and Boechera stricta, also indicated that such early flowering is an effective adaptative phenological shift in response to global warming (Anderson and Panetta et al. 2012; Franks and Sim et al. 2007; Thomann and Imbert et al. 2015). To date, with the development of sequencing technologies, as well as novel statistical tools, detailed knowledge has been accumulated about the molecular regulation of flowering times in many model plants (Faure and Higgins et al. 2007; Greenup and Sasani et al. 2010; Griffiths and Dunford et al. 2003; Guo and Li et al. 2015; Johansson and Bolmgren et al. 2013; Lee and Ryu et al. 2013; Mouradov and Cremer et al. 2002; Shindo and Aranzana et al. 2005; Trevaskis and Hemming et al. 2007). Previous studies have documented that >180 genes participated in the flowering time pathways to orchestrate flowering time (Blümel and Dally et al. 2015; Flowers and Hanzawa et al. 2009; Sun and Chen et al. 2014), and the core flowering time pathways are believed to be conserved among short- and long-day plants, as well as among monocot and dicot species (Izawa and Takahashi et al. 2003; Peng and Hu et al. 2015). The two most important pathways regulating flowering time are the photoperiodic and vernalization response pathways, among which the former controls flowering by regulating the input and output of the circadian clock (Flowers and Hanzawa et al. 2009; Mouradov and Cremer et al. 2002; Sun and Chen et al. 2014), whereas the latter mostly relies on sensing temperature (Johanson and West et al. 2000; Michaels and Amasino 2001; Shindo and Aranzana et al. 2005). Signals of environmental change are sensed and integrated by these two pathways, and then are transmitted to the downstream genes CONSTANS (CO) and FLOWERING TIME LOCUS T (FT), which induce flowering (Bernier and Périlleux 2005; Corbesier and Vincent et al. 2007). Other studies have also highlighted that the genes FRI (FRIGIDA) and FLC (FLOWERING LOCUS C) play important roles in regulating flowering time in plants in response to divergent environments (Werner and Borevitz et al. 2005). Moreover, studies of standing genetic variation in non-model trees, such as aspen, spruce, and oak (Chen and Källman et al. 2016; Chen and Källman et al. 2012; Keller and Levsen et al. 2012; Ma and Hall et al. 2010), have shown that genes from the photoperiodic pathway were involved in local adaptation. Generally speaking, most studies have focused on the genetic mechanisms of flowering time changes between different populations that have been affected by spatial heterogeneity. However, few studies have sampled the same population sets across time periods with dramatically different climatic conditions, which could provide insights into the genetic mechanisms regulating long-term adaptation to shifting environments. Since too early anthesis and grain maturity may be highly related to the reduction of crop yield (Craufurd and Wheeler 2009; Olesen and Børgesen et al. 2012; Kristensen and Schelde et al. 2011; Patil and Laegdsmand et al. 2012), this scientific gap is important for the genetic improvement of crops to mitigate the risk of food shortage under global climate change.

Barley is one of the major annual cereals cultivated globally, and it is also an excellent model for investigating plants and agriculture in response to climate change (Dawson and Russell et al. 2015; Newton and Flavell et al. 2011). As the wild progenitor of cultivated barley (*H. vulgare ssp. vulgare*), wild barley (*Hordeum spontaneum* K. Koch) is believed to be an important resource for the genetic improvement of cultivated cereals under a changing climate (Nevo and Shewry 1992; Nevo and Zohary et al. 1979). By resurrecting ten wild-barley populations collected from Israel in 1980 (referred to as the ancestral populations

in this study) and again the same populations in 2008 (referred to as the descendant populations in this study), in common garden investigations, Nevo and Fu et al. (2012) detected profound adaptive changes in the flowering times of all of these populations after 28 generations. In detail, they found that the average flowering time of all ten wild-barley populations collected in 2008 was significantly advanced by ~10 days, compared with the ancestral populations. Furthermore, simple sequence repeat (SSR) analysis showed that the allelic frequencies of SSR genotypes have been shifted in the descendant populations, strongly suggesting that after 28 generations, the genetic diversity in *H. spontaneum* was altered to adapt to global warming (Nevo and Fu et al. 2012).

However, investigations of neutral markers cannot address the mechanisms of adaptive evolution of early flowering. To investigate whether genes associated with regulation of flowering time also show evidence of selection in response to climate change, we chose the same material of wild barley as in Nevo et al.'s work (2012) as a model to reveal the potential signals of adaptive evolution under 28 years of global climate change. By contrasting the spatial and temporal patterns of variation in putative candidate flowering time genes, together with reference genes that have no function with the regulation of flowering time, we address the following objectives: (i) whether the evolutionary fates of alleles differed between flowering time candidate genes and reference genes; (ii) despite the environmental heterogeneity, whether all ten populations have undergone parallel changes. Specifically, we question whether the same genetic mechanisms are involved in adaptation to global warming across the ten populations (independent parallel evolution) or whether different molecular pathways result in a similar shift in phenotypes (convergent evolution)?

By focusing on genetic variations over both spatial and temporal scales, this study will highlight the genetic basis underlying the phenological shifts in flowering of wild barley adapting to environmental changes. It supplies a new example for understanding the molecular mechanism underlying the flowering time shifts in annual plants in response to environmental changes within a relatively few generations. This study would also provide important insights for genetic conservation in crop breeding and agricultural management in facing global climate change.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and DNA isolation

All of the plant materials used in this study were provided by Prof. Nevo, Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa,



Fig. 1 Distribution of the ten populations sampled in 1980 and again in 2008

and all of the genotypes were matched to those sampled in the previous study (Nevo and Fu et al. 2012, Fig. 1). We planted these seeds in Shapotou Desert Research and Experiment Station from 2012 to 2014 and collected fresh leaves from a total of 275 individuals from both sample time points, with 4-8 individuals from each population (Supplementary Table S1). Fresh leaves were dried and preserved with silica gel, and all of the voucher specimens were deposited in the Key Laboratory of Stress Physiology and Ecology in Cold and Arid Regions, Department of Ecology and Agriculture Research, and Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The total genomic DNA was extracted from the dried leaf tissue using a Plant Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and was preserved at −80 °C.

PCR amplification, sequencing, and sequence alignment

A total of 54 pairs of PCR primers (one pair for each gene) were designed by the PRIMER3 software (http://frodo.wi. mit.edu/primer3/) from putative flowering time genes that were either retrieved from those annotated in the National Center for Biotechnology Information databases (NCBI,

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) or orthologs in barley identified from local BLAST alignments to the known flowering time genes from A. thaliana and rice (alignments with evalues $< 10^{-5}$, Supplementary Table S2). For preliminary screening, each fragment was sequenced in four DNA pools, two ancestral and two descendant populations, each of which contained ten individuals. To ensure the equimolarity of the individuals in each pool, we measured and diluted DNA from all of the individuals to a concentration of 20 ng/µl in the four pools with a Nanodrop instrument. After the preliminary screening, based on their high number of polymorphic sites (Supplementary Table S3), we finally selected ten core genes (FLOWERING TIME LOCUS T 1, FT1; FLOWERING TIME LOCUS T-LIKE PROTEIN 5, FT5; CONSTANS-LIKE PROTEIN 1, CO1; ZEITLUP, ZTL; PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 7, Ppd-H1(PRR7); PHYTOCHROME B, PHYB; EARLY FLOWERING PRO-TEIN 3. ELF3: FLOWERING LOCUS C. FLC: VERNALIZATION-H2, VRN-H2; TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1, TOC1 (Supplementary Table S2), and one promoter fragment of FT1, which are mostly conserved across seed plants, as the putative candidate genes for flowering time in wild barley. Similarly, we also chose nine unigene fragments from Bedada and Westerbergh et al. (2014) as the reference genes in this study, the functions of which have not been proved to be relevant to the flowering time (Supplementary Table S3).

All 20 final gene fragments were amplified in both the ancestral and descendant samples using 2× Taq Plus highfidelity PCR MasterMix (Tiangen, Beijing, China) in a Gene-Amp PCR system 9700 DNA Thermal Cycler (PE Applied Biosystems, Norwalk, USA) with the programs listed in Supplementary Table S3. PCR products were purified with TIAN quick Midi Purification Kits (Tiangen, Beijing, China) and were Sanger-sequenced with both forward and reverse primers on an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with ABI Prism BigDye Terminator Cycle, version 3.1. DNA sequences were edited using BioEdit software, version 7.1.3 (Hall 1999), and then were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar 2004). All heterozygous sites were visually checked in BioEdit and were separated by PHASE using DnaSP, version 5.10.01 (Librado and Rozas 2009; Stephens and Smith et al. 2001). The structures of all gene fragments were defined based on their best hits of BLAST on ESTs (Expressed Sequence tags) and genomic sequences of barley in NCBI, and new sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KX506100-KX506705.

Data analysis

Genetic diversity was estimated for each gene fragment at the two time points by calculating the number of haplotypes (*H*), haplotype diversity (Hd), the number of segregating sites (*S*), and nucleotide diversity (π (Nei 1987); Θ_w (Watterson 1975)) for all sites, silent sites, and nonsynon-ymous sites. We also estimated the ratios of replacement and synonymous polymorphisms (π_a/π_s) for each fragment at each time point. All of the statistics were computed using DnaSP software, version 5.10.01 (Librado and Rozas 2009).

Previous research has reported a high level of ecogeographical population structure in barley across Israel (Bedada and Westerbergh et al. 2014; Morrell and Lundy et al. 2003; Nevo and Beiles et al. 1986), reflecting that the ecological background of these populations would affect the population structure of barley in this area. To investigate whether the population structure of these ten populations has changed through the 28 generations, we utilized the Bayesian genotypic clustering method InStruct (Gao et al. 2007) to delineate clusters of individuals based on multilocus single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from candidate genes, reference genes, or the combined dataset across all populations in both ancestral and descendant samples. Polymorphic sites in strong linkage disequilibrium with other sites, as determined by a significant Fisher's exact test after Bonferroni's correction, were excluded from this analysis. To estimate the number of clusters, INSTRUCT was run for K = 2 to K = 10 in mode 2 for joint inference of population-selfing rate and population substructure for ten independent chains, each chain with 200,000 iteration steps, 100,000 burnins, and a thinning interval of ten steps, assuming different starting points. The graphical representations of population assignments from INSTRUCT were produced with DISTRUCT (Rosenberg 2002). Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was also used to estimate the genetic structure based on genetic distances. The genetic distance matrix used in PCoA was calculated using the FREQUENCY tool in GenAlex software, version 6.5, and then was visualized with the PCoA tool.

To detect any deviation in the site frequency spectrum caused by selection or population history, three neutrality tests were performed on the 20 gene fragments (11 putative candidate gene fragments and 9 reference gene fragments). These neutrality tests included Tajima's D (Tajima 1989), the DH test (Zeng and Fu et al. 2006), and the MFDM (the maximum frequency of derived mutations) test (Li 2011). Tajima's D is sensitive to low- and intermediate-frequency variants and was estimated with Arlequin, version 3.11 (Excoffier and Laval et al. 2005). As a compound test of Tajima's D and Fay and Wu's H (Fay and Wu 2000), the DH test is useful for detecting the potential signal of directional selection. Based on the maximum frequency of derived mutations, the MFDM test is a summary statistic calculated from a coalescent tree topology and is known to be robust to the confounding impacts of population structure and history events. The latter two tests were performed using *Aegilops tauschii* as an outgroup (Jia and Zhao et al. 2013).

To further distinguish whether signals obtained from different SNPs were the results of global warming or environmental heterogeneity, two methods were used to screen the SNPs putatively involved in local adaptation at the two time points. All of the indels were encoded as "pseudo-SNPs" for further analysis. First, to identify SNPs under spatialdiversifying selection, we used an F_{ST} -based outlier approach based on the multinomial-Dirichlet model implemented in BayeScan, version 2.1 (Foll and Gaggiotti 2008). Compared with neutral SNPs, SNPs under diversifying selection should have higher values of F_{ST} , while the balancing selection should result in lower F_{ST} values (Beaumont and Nichols 1996; Foll and Gaggiotti 2008). Second, we also used the BAYENV2 program (Günther and Coop 2013) in both ancestral and descendant populations to test for correlations between the differentiation of the allele frequencies and two environmental variables (mean annual temperature and mean annual rainfall). The program implemented a Bayesian generalized linear mixed model that assumes that the allele frequencies of all populations are correlated due to a shared ancestry (mean of multivariate normal distribution), and it also allows for variation caused by random drift (a correlation-covariance matrix). The environmental variable is introduced in an alternative model with a linear effect, and the significance is evaluated by a Bayes factor computed from a comparison of the likelihoods of the alternative model to the null model. After excluding sites that showed significant linkage or that had missing alleles, all of the SNPs from ancestral and descendant datasets were used to estimate the correlation-covariance matrix. The covariance matrices with a 1,000,000-step Monte carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) were used in the subsequent tests of the correlation of environment variables to allele frequency differences in all of the SNPs from ancestral and descendant populations, with ten runs of 1,000,000 MCMC steps with different random seeds, respectively. The top 5% Bayes factors (BFs) of the averaged results across the ten runs combined with high values of Spearman's ρ were then considered as robust candidates, as suggested by the manual.

Finally, temporal selection indices (*s*) were estimated for 392 SNPs, excluding triallelic SNPs or SNPs specific to the descendant populations using a Wright–Fisher ABC-based approach (WFABC (Foll and Shim et al. 2015)), which estimates the parameters of a Wright–Fisher model with selection by allele frequencies sampled at different time points. First, the effective population size (N_e) at every locus was estimated by an approximate Bayesian computation approach, and then simulations of *s* for each locus were performed by a Wright–Fisher model with the initial allele frequency and estimated N_e from the first step. Since directional selection would greatly shift the allele

frequencies between the ancestral and descendant populations, in this study, SNPs/indels with high values of *s* and high allele frequencies in each descendant population were regarded as target SNPs that were subjected to directional selection due to global warming.

On the other hand, to further analyze the effects of genetic drift on allele frequency change over the past 28 generations, the evolutionary fates of the SNPs/indels were also simulated with PopG genetic simulation program, version 4.03 (http://evolution.gs.washington.edu/popgen/), with a start allele frequency of 0.9 and N_e of 1000 for ten populations.

As a highly selfing plant (Brown and Zohary et al. 1978; Lin and Morrell et al. 2002), linkage disequilibrium (LD) should be common between SNPs and indels from the same network regulating flowering time in wild barley due to hitchhiking. Thus, to further verify the coadaptation of the target SNPs/indels, we compared patterns of LD between all SNPs/indels at the two time points, and between the top target SNPs/indels by Haploview (Barrett and Fry et al. 2005). According to the manual, LD was characterized by calculation of the squared correlation coefficient r^2 , a conventional measure of association that is equal to the square of the correlation coefficient between the two alleles (Weir 1996).

Results

Patterns of nucleotide diversity in the ancestral and descendant populations

A total of 8614-bp SNPs were sequenced for the 11 candidate fragments, with length ranging from 606 to 1564 bp and an average length of 783 bp (Supplementary Table S3). A total of 270 SNPs were identified in the materials sampled in 2008, with 63 located in coding regions. The nucleotide diversity per site (π) ranged from 7.3×10^{-4} to 1.6×10^{-2} , and diversity based on the number of segregating sites (Θ_w) ranged from 1.4×10^{-3} to 2.1×10^{-2} (Supplementary Table S4). In the ancestral populations, 255 SNPs were identified, with 54 located in coding regions (Supplementary Table S3) and with values of π and Θ_w ranging from 5.9×10^{-4} to 1.3×10^{-2} and from 2.0×10^{-3} to 1.9×10^{-2} , respectively (Supplementary Table S4). Compared with the descendant populations, all of the diversity parameters in the ancestral populations were slightly lower (p-value > 0.05, Supplementary Fig. S1), with the exception of silent sites. In both the ancestral and descendant populations, all of the values of π_a/π_s at candidate gene fragments were <1 except at CO1, which harbored a π_a/π_s over 1 in the descendant populations (Supplementary Table S4).

Table 1 Neutrality tests for 11 putative candidate genes and nine neutrality reference genes

Locus	Tajima's D		p-value of MFDM		<i>p</i> -value of the <i>DH</i> test	
	2008	1980	2008	1980	2008	1980
Flowering time get	nes					
FT1(p)	0.510	0.738	0.729	0.602	0.563	0.574
FT1 (CDS)	0.844	0.343	0.112	>0.005	0.835	0.559
FT5	-0.710	-0.368	>0.010	0.070	0.106	0.248
ELF3	-1.651 (0.020)	-1.207	>0.017	>0.013	0.049	0.031
TOC1	-0.991	-0.851	>0.025	0.195	0.041	0.063
ppD-H1	-0.754	-0.775	>0.010	>0.013	0.121	0.101
C01	-1.703 (0.018)	-1.482 (0.026)	0.016	1	0.004	0.177
ZTL	-1.779 (0.008)	-1.287	0.015	0.091	0.004	0.033
phyB	-0.172	-1.240	1	1	0.744	0.288
VRN-H2	-0.628	-0.882	>0.003	>0.004	0.143	0.123
FLC	-1.416 (0.041)	-1.545 (0.024)	1	1	0.375	0.340
Reference gene						
UG11973	-1.155	-1.332 (0.044)	0.039	0.042	0.015	0.005
UG13006	-0.152	0.657	>0.004	>0.007	0.345	0.703
UG14513	-1.411 (0.042)	-1.352	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
UG20519	1.815	2.242	>0.01	>0.01	0.935	0.971
UG22211	-1.582 (0.021)	-1.469 (0.035)	0.015	>0.013	0.012	0.022
UG23391	-1.153	-0.449	0.096	>0.013	0.032	0.199
UG23683	-0.978	-0.409	>0.017	0.043	0.186	0.491
UG27456	0.148	-0.863	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
UG32388	-0.789	-1.254	0.016	0.015	0.087	0.028

The total length of the nine reference gene fragments sequenced was 7133 bp (ranging from 656 to 950 bp for each gene fragment, Supplementary Table S3). Unlike the higher level of variation found in candidate genes in the descendant populations, the average nucleotide diversity for the reference gene fragments was slightly greater in the ancestral populations (*p*-values > 0.05, Supplementary Fig. S1), with π ranging from 1.9×10^{-4} to 1.1×10^{-2} in the ancestral populations and from 4.3×10^{-4} to 1.2×10^{-2} in the descendant populations. All of the values of π_a/π_s at these loci were <1 at both time points, except for *UG20519* in the ancestral populations (Supplementary Table S4).

Neutrality tests showed that in the ancestral populations, only one reference gene (UG11973) appeared to be under positive selection by all three methods (Table 1), while none of the candidate genes showed significant deviations from neutrality. In the descendant generation, however, two candidate genes (CO1 and ZTL) and one reference gene (UG22211) showed significant signs of positive selection in all three neutrality tests (Table 1).

Temporal changes in population differentiation and spatial-diversifying selection

INSTRUCT analysis predicated K = 3, K = 7, and K = 4 as the optimum population structure for reference genes, candidate genes, and the total dataset, respectively. Compared with the genetic components of the neutral dataset of reference genes, the genetic components of candidate genes were quite different between the two time points, and in descendant populations, different genetic components were detected in descendant populations 4, 5, and 8 compared with those ancestral populations (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the genetic components of most populations had also shifted during the last three decades in all the three datasets, and the greatest shifting was detected in the candidate flowering time genes for population 2. These results were also supported by PCoA analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2). Moreover, BayeScan results showed that in the ancestral populations, the average values of F_{ST} were 0.382 and 0.381 for candidate genes and reference genes, respectively, while in the descendant populations, the average values of F_{ST} were



Fig. 2 Population structure in ten wild-barley populations inferred with INSTRUCT based on SNPs/indels of reference genes, candidate genes, and concatenated genes of both reference genes and candidate genes, respectively. **a** Population structure based on SNPs/indels of

reference genes. **b** Population structure based on SNPs/indels of candidate genes. **c** Population structure based on SNPs/indels of concatenated genes

0.469 and 0.462 for candidate genes and reference genes, respectively (Fig. 2b). Based on the *t*-test, the significantly higher average values of F_{ST} for both candidate loci and reference loci in the descendant populations than in the ancestral populations suggest that genetic differentiation had been significantly enhanced over the past 28 generations.

As shown in the F_{ST} outlier analysis (Fig. 3), no SNP/indel was found to be under diversifying selection in either ancestral or descendant populations, whereas three SNPs/indels (*CO1_555–556*, *FLC_598*, and *UG13006_387*) were suggested to be under balancing selection in the descendant populations as their F_{ST} values were lower than the average F_{ST} values.

The BAYENV analyses showed that most of the SNPs/ indels that were found in the top 5% of BFs and with absolute values of Spearman's $\rho > 0.5$ were found in the reference genes. In particular, the allele frequencies at *UG32388_464* were strongly associated with heterogeneity of the mean annual temperature at both time points (BF = 7.74, $\rho = -0.60$ in ancestral populations, BF = 11.19, $\rho =$ -0.67 in descendant populations, Table 2).

Directional selection over the past three decades

The results of WFABC showed that the average value of N_e was >1000 (Fig. 4a), and the mean selection index *s* over the

last 28 generations was significantly lower in the reference SNPs/indels than in the candidate genes (p-value > 0.05,Fig. S3). Based on the positive relationships between the allele frequency changes and selection indices among the SNPs/indels fixed in the descendant populations (Supplementary Fig. S4), we found a total of 54 SNPs/indels (26 SNPs in candidate genes vs. 28 SNPs in reference genes) out of 392 SNPs/indels that were under strong selection with values of s > 0.4. To reduce the false-positive rate, we selected only the top ten SNPs/indels (including seven candidate and three reference genes) for further analysis (Table 3 and Fig. 4b). As illustrated in Fig. 4b, all of these SNPs/indels were fixed in the descendant populations, whereas seven of them were polymorphic in at least two ancestral populations. Nevertheless, the results of PopG simulations strongly suggested that given the estimated effective population sizes, no SNPs should be fixed by a drift over such a short time period (Fig. 4c).

Interestingly, five of the top ten SNPs/indels came from the FT1 gene, with two located in the promoter region and three in introns. We found that all of the remaining SNPs, with the exception of the nonsynonymous mutation $CO1_390$, were located in noncoding regions, suggesting that they might affect the pre- and/or post-transcriptional regulation of FT1.



Fig. 3 Results of BayeScan. **a** Boxplots of F_{ST} values based on candidate and reference loci in two-generation populations, respectively. **b** F_{ST} outliers of both ancestral and descendant populations. The *x*-axis represents the *q*-value, which standardized with log10, the *y*-axis represents the value of F_{ST} . Plots with black color represent the candidate SNPs/indels in the ancestral populations, gray color represents the reference SNPs/indels in the descendent populations, while blue and light blue represent the candidate SNPs/indels and reference SNPs/indels in the ancestral populations, respectively

The result of Haploview showed that the top ten SNPs/ indels with strong selection were not linked to each other in the ancestral populations, except for two SNPs from the *FT1* gene: *FT1P_526* and *FT1P_602*. Moreover, among the 54 SNPs/indels under strong selection, we found that 13 pairs of SNPs/indels were in strong linkage disequilibrium in the ancestral populations ($r^2 > 0.8$), with 11 of them located in the same genes (Supplementary Table S8).

Discussion

In this study, based on the same ten populations sampled at two time points, we investigated the evolutionary fates of the candidate and reference SNPs/indels in samples from the same wild-barley populations sampled in 1980 and 2008 (Nevo et al. 2012), and attempted to determine how local adaptation and parallel evolution have influenced the fates of allelic variations in response to global climate change.

Few local adaptation signals detected among Israeli wild-barley populations at two time points

Previous investigations have documented a strong population differentiation based on several neutral nuclear genes in wild barley (Bedada and Westerbergh et al. 2014; Morrell and Lundy et al. 2003; Nevo and Beiles et al. 1986). Here, we found a stronger population differentiation in the descendant populations than in the ancestral populations based on both candidate genes and reference genes (Fig. 3b), indicating that wild barley in Israel has highly diverged as a result of the ecogeographical adaptation (Bedada and Westerbergh et al. 2014; Morrell and Lundy et al. 2003; Nevo and Beiles et al. 1986; Nevo et al. 2012). However, to our surprise, based on the F_{ST} -based outlier approach, no SNP/indel was found to be under diversifying selection to adapt to the heterogeneous local environments, since a high level of ecogeographical population structure was found in barley across Israeli natural populations investigated. Only three outlier SNPs/indels with low values of F_{ST} were found, which suggested to be under a balancing selection (Beaumont and Nichols 1996; Foll and Gaggiotti 2008).

Basically, the high values of F_{ST} among wild-barley populations resulting from environmental heterogeneity would provide a great chance to detect signals of local adaptation among these populations. However, in this study, we failed to detect any strong signal of local adaptation based on high population differentiation for either the flowering time genes or the unannotated reference genes, which suggested that the drift could have increased the genetic structure of wild barley during the last 28 generations. We also realized that the power to detect outlier loci with significantly greater genetic differentiation compared with the genomic background is much higher in plant species with a lower F_{ST} , such as the outcrossing, windpollinated Populus tremula, Picea abies, and Populus balsamifera L. (Chen and Källman et al. 2016; Keller and Levsen et al. 2012; Ma and Hall et al. 2010). In this study, compared with these outcrossing plant species mentioned above, we found that the average F_{ST} of the genomic background was higher in wild barley, which would make it more difficult to detect significant outliers under spatialdiversifying selection from a statistical point of view. In

Table 2 Details of the top 10% SNPs/indels that correlated with mean annual temperature and mean annual rainfall

Mean annual temperature				Mean annual rainfall					
Rank	SNPs/indels	Bayes factors	Spearman's ρ	Annotations	Ranks	SNPs/indels	Bayes factors	Spearman's ρ	Annotations
Ancie	nt populations sa	mpled in 1	980						
1	UG32388_464	7.74	-0.60	T/C (SS)	1	ELF3_89-92	3.22	-0.22	TCTT (±) (Int.)
2	UG27456_409	4.21	-0.56	A/G (Int.)	2	VrnH2_247	3.21	0.13	C/G (SS)
3	FT1C_1477	3.12	0.65	C/T (SS)	3	UG27456_414	3.07	0.22	C/T (Int.)
4	ELF3_89-92	2.90	0.26	TCTT (CT) (Int.)	4	<i>CO1_</i> 596	2.09	-0.16	A (±) (Int.)
5	<i>UG27456</i> _414	2.86	-0.26	C/T (Int.)	5	<i>UG22211</i> _10	209	-0.16	A/G (Int.)
6	ELF3_219	2.47	-0.31	C/G (Int.)	6	<i>UG23391</i> _804	2.09	-0.16	G/C (Int.)
7	FT5_214	2.29	0.37	G/A (Int.)	7	ELF3_209	2.04	0.16	G (±) (Int.)
8	UG20519_166	2.10	-0.32	C/T (Int.)	8	<i>FT1C</i> _1369-1370	2.04	0.16	TG (±) (Int.)
9	<i>UG20519</i> _186	2.08	-0.51	T/C (Int.)	9	UG32388_464	2.03	0.31	T/C (SS)
Desce	ndant population	samples in	n 2008						
1	UG32388_464	11.19	-0.67	T/C (SS)	1	<i>UG22211</i> _179	13.10	-0.29	A/G (SS)
2	<i>UG22211</i> _179	10.54	0.35	A/G (SS)	2	<i>phyB</i> _103	5.76	-0.18	A/G (SS)
3	<i>UG23391_</i> 791	6.62	-0.41	C/T (Int.)	3	ELF3_9	4.62	0.19	C/T (SS)
4	VrnH2_228	4.12	-0.19	T/A (SS)	4	FT1P_25	4.62	0.19	G/A (5'UTR)
5	UG23683_294	4.12	-0.19	G/A (Int.)	5	UG13006_1	4.62	0.19	T/C (Int.)
6	<i>ELF3_</i> 9	4.08	-0.25	C/T (SS)	6	UG13006_603	4.62	0.19	G/T (Int.)
7	FT1P_25	4.08	-0.25	G/A (5'UTR)	7	<i>UG20519</i> _468	4.62	0.19	G/A (Int.)
8	UG13006_1	4.08	-0.25	T/C (Int.)	8	<i>FT1P_</i> 18	4.62	-0.19	T/C (5'UTR)
9	UG13006_603	4.08	-0.25	G/T (Int.)	9	FT5_278	4.62	-0.19	A/G (Int.)
10	<i>UG20519</i> _468	4.08	-0.25	G/A (Int.)	10	UG13006_120	4.62	-0.19	A/G (Int.)
11	<i>FT1P</i> _18	4.03	0.25	T/C (5'UTR)	11	UG13006_434	4.62	-0.19	G/C (Int.)

contrast, it is relatively easier to identify outliers showing reduced differentiation in populations with a high level of $F_{\rm ST}$, and we indeed detected three such loci in the ten barley populations. Actually, the shortcomings of the F_{ST} outlier approach have been reported in other studies because the method relies on a very specific model of population structure, and violations of these assumptions affect the power to detect outliers (Duforet-Frebourg and Bazin et al. 2014). Furthermore, environmental association analyses performed with BAYENV identified only one SNP in the reference gene sets that was associated with the variation in the annual mean temperature among the populations. Since a high population structure negatively affects the power to detect signals of local adaptation, it seems that this currently popular method suffers from practical limitations in species such as wild barley.

Adaptive signals of advanced flowering time of the ten wild-barley populations in Israel after 28 generations

Common garden investigations have shown that all the ten descendant populations flower at least 10 days earlier than

the ancestral populations, regardless of the heterogeneity of the environment (Nevo and Fu et al. 2012). We thus proposed that the local environment might contribute to the divergence of flowering time among populations, but has little influence on the early flowering phenotype in all the ten descendant populations of wild barley. Early flowering has also been found in numerous wild plants across many different environments in response to the global climate change (Bock and Sparks et al. 2014; Brunet and Larson-Rabin 2012; Craufurd and Wheeler 2009; Franks and Sim et al. 2007; Hovenden and Williams et al. 2008; Miller-Rushing and Primack 2008). Therefore, early flowering observed in all the ten descendant populations of wild barley is likely not related to small-scale variations among local environments but rather to the overall global climate change, as an effective strategy in facing the long-term trends of warmer climates (Munguía-Rosas et al. 2011).

To fully understand the effects of global warming on the early flowering phenology in wild barley, based on temporal changes in allele frequencies, we estimated the strength of selection acting on standing genetic variations over the intervening 28 years. To our surprise, we found that 54 out of 392 SNPs/indels (~13.8% of all the standing



Fig. 4 a Effective population size (Ne) of wild barley performed with WFABC software based on SNPs/indels from ten wild-barley populations sampled in 1980 and again in 2008. The *x*-axis represents the posterior for Ne, the *y*-axis represents the distribution density for Ne. **b** Plots of allele frequency for the top ten SNPs/indels under strong selection. Plots with light-blue color represent loci from the ancestral populations, while red color represents loci from the descendant

variation) could be under a strong directional selection (s > 0.4, Supplementary Table S7). For example, one of them (*CO1_390*, Table 3) came from *CO1*, which was also detected to be significantly under positive selection in the

populations. The *x*-axis represents the populations, while the *y*-axis represents the allele frequencies in populations. **c** Simulation of the effect of genetic drift on the allele frequency fixed in the descendant populations with the PopG software. The initial *A* allele frequency, *P* (*A*) was set to 0.9, the *x*-axis represents the generations, the number of evolving populations was 10, and no selection acts on them

descendant populations with multiple neutrality tests, including Tajima's *D*, the *DH* test, the MFDM test, and π_a/π_s (Table 1). Of course, we should be cautious in explaining the results of WFABC, because this software has not been

Rank	SNPs/indels	Selection index	Annotations	
1	VRN-H2_701	-0.610	C/G (Int.)	
2	UG32388_230	-0.602	C/G (Int.)	
3	<i>UG20519</i> _374	0.578	T/G (Int.)	
4	<i>FT1P</i> _602	0.572	A/G (5'UTR)	
5	<i>FT1P_</i> 526	-0.571	G/A (5'UTR)	
6	FT1C_1306-1347	-0.569	TAGGTACGTATATCCCGGG TGTACGTACATGTGTGTAGCTCA(±) (Int.)	
7	<i>UG23391_</i> 771	0.567	G/C (Int.)	
8	<i>CO1_</i> 390	-0.551	A/G (NSS:Val/Ile)	
9	FT1C_897	0.545	T/C (Int.)	
10	FT1C_1356-1362	-0.541	TAGCTCA (±) (Int.)	

used in plants yet, but only in a few viruses (Foll and Poh et al. 2014; Shim and Laurent et al. 2016). However, we noted that all of the SNPs/indels that deemed to be under strong selection were fixed in the descendant populations, while the initial frequencies varied among the different ancestral populations (Fig. 4b). Notably, genetic drift could enhance the genetic divergence between populations (Maruyama and Fuerst 1985), which we indeed witnessed in the descendant populations. Theoretically, genetic drift could also play a role in the fixation of the alleles during the last three decades. To our surprise, the results of PopG did not show any case results from genetic drift with 1000 times simulations in ten independent populations with a start frequency of 0.9. These data strongly supported the hypothesis that quick fixation of alleles in all the ten descendant populations could not result from genetic drift but rather by adaptive evolution. On the other hand, linkage disequilibrium among SNPs could facilitate the fixation of the alleles due to the hitchhiking effects (Hartfield and Otto 2011). Actually, we found only two out of 13 pairs of SNPs strongly linked to each other in the ancestral populations, which came from different genes (Supplementary Table S8). Considering the low-linkage disequilibrium of wild barley (Morrell et al. 2003), the hitchhiking effects thus could be ruled out in affecting the fixations of intergenic SNPs in the descendant populations. We thus propose that their fixations were mostly due to trans-regulation between genes from different chromosomes, which played coadaptation roles in mitigating the effects of rapid global climate change.

Table 3 Details of the top ten SNPs/indels with strong selection

Notably, seven out of the top ten SNPs/indels under strong selection were from three downstream flowering time genes: *CO1*, *VRN-H2*, and *FT1*. *CO1* is the closest barley ortholog of the *Arabidopsis CONSTANS* (*CO*) gene, which is a key photoperiod response gene that acts by promoting the transcription of *FT1*, which in turn promotes flowering (Campoli and Drosse et al. 2012; Griffiths and Dunford et al. 2003). FT1 is believed to be the key regulator of flowering, and it plays an important role in integrating flowering signals from multiple pathways and then triggering the developmental switch, turning the shoot apex from vegetative to reproductive growth in barley (Faure and Higgins et al. 2007). In winter barley, the vernalization gene VRN-H2 has been documented to be the major suppressor of flowering under long-day conditioning without vernalization. VRN-H2 acts by downregulating the expression of the key floral integrator FT1 on long days, while its activity was suppressed under short-day conditions (Dubcovsky and Chen et al. 2005; Trevaskis and Hemming et al. 2007; Yan and Loukoianov et al. 2004). Interestingly, recent research on the relationships of photoperiod and vernalization pathway genes in barley suggested that both the repressor VRN-H2 and the integrator FT1 were regulated by CO1 (Mulki and von Korff 2016). Altogether with our investigation on spatial and temporal nucleotide diversity in the wild-barley populations, we propose that these three downstream flowering time genes played a critical role in wild-barley adaptation to global warming. To better understand the allelic effects of these strongly selected SNPs/indels and the precise regulation of these genes in promoting early flowering, we should focus on association studies with larger populations and functional analyses in the future. Such studies could help us to construct a more comprehensive flowering time network, yielding a more detailed picture of the genetic mechanisms underlying early flowering of wild barley in response to global climate change.

Based on temporal analysis of allele frequency shifts by WFABC, we found that five out of the top ten SNPs/indels under strong selection were located in the *FT1* gene (two SNPs were located in the promoter region, while the other three were located in the intron regions), and they were all fixed in the descendant populations. Previous studies have identified a variation in the promoter (Casas and Djemel et al. 2011), the first intron (Yan and Fu et al. 2006), and

even the copy number of the FT1 gene (Nitcher and Distelfeld et al. 2013), all of which have been associated with shifts in flowering time in barley. One such variant (TC vs. AG alleles), located in the first intron, was shown to be related to early flowering (Casas and Djemel et al. 2011; Yan and Fu et al. 2006), and it was also found to be segregated in wild-barley populations. Although neither of these two alleles was found to be fixed in the descendant populations of wild barley in this study, a new SNP in the promoter region of FT1 (FT1P_526_(G/A)) under strong selection potentially affected the binding of transcription factors of the TALE homeodomain family of proteins, which have been suggested to be involved in developmental processes throughout the plant lifecycle (Hamant and Pautot 2010). As all of the individuals in the descendant populations harbored the G allele, which failed to form the TF motif TGACA (the resulting motif was TGGCA), we can speculate that the fixation of this Gallele in the descendant populations could affect the regulation of the developmental processes that orchestrated early flowering in response to global climate change. Of course, more mutagenesis work on the promoter of FT1 in barley could help us to test this hypothesis.

Conclusions and prospects

The observation of advanced flowering in ten populations of wild barley after 28 generations is hypothesized to be an adaptive consequence to avoid the increased stress of environment changes, such as global warming. In this study, by thoroughly investigating the evolutionary fates of allelic variations on flowering time genes over three decades of environment changes, we found that genetic differentiation was significantly increased among the descendant populations, but had negligible effects on their local adaptation. Based on temporal analysis of allele frequency changes, we identified multiple unlinked SNPs/indels that were under strong directional selection, especially those from key components of flowering time regulation (CO1, VRN-H2, and FT1), which were fixed in all of the descendant populations and would together orchestrate early flowering to adapt to environment changes. This endeavor provides a novel example of understanding the genetic basis of parallel evolution in natural populations, and it could provide instructive genetic information for crop improvement in face of the rapidly growing world population and continuous global climate changes.

Data archiving

All the new sequences can be archived in GenBank with accession numbers of KX506100–KX506705.

Acknowledgements We sincerely appreciate the heartfelt help in laboratory assistance of Guoxiong Chen, Pengshan Zhao, and Xin Zhao and in data analysis of Xiao-Ru Wang, Yongfeng Zhou, Dongrui Jia, Haipeng Li, and Jian Yuan. All the common garden investigations performed in this study from 2012 to 2014 were supported by Shapotou Desert Research and Experiment Station and Gaolan Station of Agricultural and Ecological Experiment Station. This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFC0500903), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant No. 31370395, 31500266, and 31770416), the Key Research and Development Program of Gansu Province (Grant No. 18YF1NA123), the "One Hundred Talents" project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. 29Y127E71), and Dapeng New District Special Fund for Industrial Development (Grant No. KY20150113). EN is thankful to the ANCELL-TEICHER Research Foundation for Genetics and Molecular Evolution for financial support of the initial study (Nevo et al. 2012), which provided the basis for the present study.

Author contributions X-FM and EN conceived the ideas and designed the investigations; C-JQ, XY, and X-FM analyzed the data and wrote the first version of the paper; C-JQ, H-XY, and YS collected the data and assisted to perform the experiments; Y-XC, JC, and PI provided improvements to the paper.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Anderson JT, Panetta AM, Mitchell-Olds T (2012) Evolutionary and ecological responses to anthropogenic climate change update on anthropogenic climate change. Plant Physiol 160:1728–1740
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005) Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics 21:263–265
- Beaumont MA, Nichols RA (1996) Evaluating loci for use in the genetic analysis of population structure. Proc R Soc Lond B: Biol Sci 263:1619–1626
- Bedada G, Westerbergh A, Nevo E, Korol A, Schmid KJ (2014) DNA sequence variation of wild barley *Hordeum spontaneum* (L.) across environmental gradients in Israel. Heredity 112:646–655
- Bernier G, Périlleux C (2005) A physiological overview of the genetics of flowering time control. Plant Biotechnol J 3:3–16

- Bertin RI (2008) Plant phenology and distribution in relation to recent climate change. J Torre Bot Soc 135:126–146
- Blümel M, Dally N, Jung C (2015) Flowering time regulation in crops —what did we learn from *Arabidopsis*? Curr Opin Biotechnol 32:121–129
- Bock A, Sparks TH, Estrella N, Jee N, Casebow A, Schunk C et al. (2014) Changes in first flowering dates and flowering duration of 232 plant species on the island of Guernsey. Glob Change Biol 20:3508–3519
- Bonsal BR, Zhang X, Vincent LA, Hogg WD (2001) Characteristics of daily and extreme temperatures over Canada. J Clim 14:1959–1976
- Brown A, Zohary D, Nevo E (1978) Outcrossing rates and heterozygosity in natural populations of *Hordeum spontaneum* Koch in Israel. Heredity 41:49–62
- Brunet J, Larson-Rabin Z (2012) The response of flowering time to global warming in a high-altitude plant: the impact of genetics and the environment. Botany 90:319–326
- Campoli C, Drosse B, Searle I, Coupland G, von Korff M (2012) Functional characterisation of *HvCO1*, the barley (*Hordeum vul-gare*) flowering time ortholog of *CONSTANS*. Plant J 69:868–880
- Casas AM, Djemel A, Yahiaoui S, Ponce LJ, Contreras-Moreira B, Gracia MP et al. (2011) *HvFT1* (*VrnH3*) drives latitudinal adaptation in Spanish barleys. Theor Appl Genet 122:1293–1304
- Chen J, Källman T, Ma X, Gyllenstrand N, Zaina G, Morgante M et al. (2012) Disentangling the roles of history and local selection in shaping clinal variation of allele frequencies and gene expression in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). Genetics 191:865–881
- Chen J, Källman T, Ma X-F, Zaina G, Morgante M, Lascoux M (2016) Identifying genetic signatures of natural selection using pooled population sequencing in Picea abies. G3: Genesl Genomesl Genetics 6:g3.116.028753
- Corbesier L, Vincent C, Jang SH, Fornara F, Fan QZ, Searle I et al. (2007) FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in floral induction of *Arabidopsis*. Science 316:1030–1033
- Craufurd PQ, Wheeler TR (2009) Climate change and the flowering time of annual crops. J Exp Bot 60:2529–2539
- Dawson IK, Russell J, Powell W, Steffenson B, Thomas WT, Waugh R (2015) Barley: a translational model for adaptation to climate change. New Phytol 206:913–931
- Dubcovsky J, Chen C, Yan L (2005) Molecular characterization of the allelic variation at the VRN-H2 vernalization locus in barley. Mol Breed 15:395–407
- Duforet-Frebourg N, Bazin E, Blum MG (2014) Genome scans for detecting footprints of local adaptation using a Bayesian factor model. Mol Biol Evol 31:2483–2495
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucl Acids Res 32:1792–1797
- Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S (2005) Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol Bioinform 1:47–50
- Faure S, Higgins J, Turner A, Laurie DA (2007) The FLOWERING LOCUS T-like gene family in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). Genetics 176:599–609
- Fay JC, Wu CI (2000) Hitchhiking under positive Darwinian selection. Genetics 155:1405–1413
- Fitter AH, Fitter RSR (2002) Rapid changes in flowering time in British plants. Science 296:1689–1691
- Flowers JM, Hanzawa Y, Hall MC, Moore RC, Purugganan MD (2009) Population genomics of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* flowering time gene network. Mol Biol Evol 26:2475–2486
- Foll M, Gaggiotti O (2008) A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both dominant and codominant markers: a Bayesian perspective. Genetics 180:977–993
- Foll M, Poh Y-P, Renzette N, Ferrer-Admetlla A, Bank C, Shim H et al. (2014) Influenza virus drug resistance: a time-sampled population genetics perspective. PLoS Genet 10:e1004185

- Foll M, Shim H, Jensen JD (2015) WFABC: a Wright–Fisher ABCbased approach for inferring effective population sizes and selection coefficients from time-sampled data. Mol Ecol Resour 15:87–98
- Franks SJ, Sim S, Weis AE (2007) Rapid evolution of flowering time by an annual plant in response to a climate fluctuation. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104:1278–1282
- Gao H, Williamson S, Bustamante CD (2007) An MCMC approach for joint inference of population structure and inbreeding rates from multi-locus genotype data. Genetics 176:1635–1651
- Greenup AG, Sasani S, Oliver SN, Talbot MJ, Dennis ES, Hemming MN et al. (2010) ODDSOC2 Is a MADS box floral repressor that is down-regulated by vernalization in temperate cereals. Plant Physiol 153:1062–1073
- Griffiths S, Dunford RP, Coupland G, Laurie DA (2003) The evolution of CONSTANS-like gene families in barley, rice, and Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 131:1855–1867
- Günther T, Coop G (2013) Robust identification of local adaptation from allele frequencies. Genetics 195:205–220
- Guo D, Li C, Dong R, Li X, Xiao X, Huang X (2015) Molecular cloning and functional analysis of the *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) homolog *GhFT1* from *Gossypium hirsutum*. J Integr plant Biol 57:522–533
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. In: Nucleic acids symposium series. 41:95–98. London: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979–c2000
- Hamant O, Pautot V (2010) Plant development: a TALE story. Comptes Rendus Biol 333:371-381
- Hartfield M, Otto SP (2011) Recombination and hitchhiking of deleterious alleles. Evol: Int J Org Evol 65:2421–2434
- Hovenden MJ, Williams AL, Pedersen JK, Vander Schoor JK, Wills KE (2008) Elevated CO₂ and warming impacts on flowering phenology in a southern Australian grassland are related to flowering time but not growth form, origin or longevity. Aust J Bot 56:630–643
- IPCC (2001) Climate change 2001: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- IPCC (2007a) Climate change 2007-the physical science basis: working group I contribution to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- IPCC (2007b) Climate Change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Izawa T, Takahashi Y, Yano M (2003) Comparative biology comes into bloom: genomic and genetic comparison of flowering pathways in rice and *Arabidopsis*. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6:113–120
- Jia J, Zhao S, Kong X, Li Y, Zhao G, He W et al. (2013) Aegilops tauschii draft genome sequence reveals a gene repertoire for wheat adaptation. Nature 496:91–95
- Johanson U, West J, Lister C, Michaels S, Amasino R, Dean C (2000) Molecular analysis of *FRIGIDA*, a major determinant of natural variation in *Arabidopsis* flowering time. Science 290:344–347
- Johansson J, Bolmgren K, Jonzén N (2013) Climate change and the optimal flowering time of annual plants in seasonal environments. Glob Change Biol 19:197–207
- Jones PD, Osborn TJ, Briffa KR (2001) The evolution of climate over the last millennium. Science 292:662–667
- Jump AS, Penuelas J (2005) Running to stand still: adaptation and the response of plants to rapid climate change. Ecol Lett 8:1010–1020
- Keller SR, Levsen N, Olson MS, Tiffin P (2012) Local adaptation in the flowering-time gene network of balsam poplar, *Populus* balsamifera L. Mol Biol Evol 29:3143–3152

- Kristensen K, Schelde K, Olesen JE (2011) Winter wheat yield response to climate variability in Denmark. J Agric Sci 149:33–47
- Lee JH, Ryu HS, Chung KS, Pose D, Kim S, Schmid M et al. (2013) Regulation of temperature-responsive flowering by MADS-Box transcription factor repressors. Science 342:628–632
- Li HP (2011) A new test for detecting recent positive selection that is free from the Cconfounding impacts of demography. Mol Biol Evol 28:365–375
- Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSPv5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25:1451–1452
- Lin JZ, Morrell PL, Clegg MT (2002) The influence of linkage and inbreeding on patterns of nucleotide sequence diversity at duplicate alcohol dehydrogenase loci in wild barley (*Hordeum vulgare ssp. spontaneum*). Genetics 162:2007–2015
- Lobell DB, Schlenker W, Costa-Roberts J (2011) Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. Science 333:616–620
- Ma XF, Hall D, St Onge KR, Jansson S, Ingvarsson PK (2010) Genetic differentiation, clinal variation and phenotypic associations with growth cessation across the *Populus tremula* photoperiodic pathway. Genetics 186:1033–1044
- Maruyama T, Fuerst PA (1985) Population bottlenecks and nonequilibrium models in population genetics. II. Number of alleles in a small population that was formed by a recent bottleneck. Genetics 111:675–689
- Michaels SD, Amasino RM (2001) Loss of FLOWERING LOCUS C activity eliminates the late-flowering phenotype of FRIGIDA and autonomous pathway mutations but not responsiveness to vernalization. Plant Cell 13:935–941
- Miller-Rushing AJ, Primack RB (2008) Global warming and flowering times in Thoreau's concord: A community perspective. Ecology 89:332–341
- Morrell PL, Lundy KE, Clegg MT (2003) Distinct geographic patterns of genetic diversity are maintained in wild barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp spontaneum) despite migration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:10812–10817
- Mouradov A, Cremer F, Coupland G (2002) Control of flowering time: interacting pathways as a basis for diversity. Plant Cell 14: S111–S130
- Mulki MA, von Korff M (2016) CONSTANS controls floral repression by up-regulating VERNALIZATION2 (VRN-H2) in barley. Plant Physiol 170:325–337
- Munguía-Rosas MA, Ollerton J, Parra-Tabla V, De-Nova JA (2011) Metaanalysis of phenotypic selection on flowering phenology suggests that early flowering plants are favoured. Ecol Lett 14:511–521
- Nei M (1987) Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia university press, New York
- Nevo E, Beiles A, Zohary D (1986) Genetic resources of wild barley in the Near East: structure, evolution and application in breeding. Biol J Linn Soc 27:355–380
- Nevo E, Fu YB, Pavlicek T, Khalifa S, Tavasi M, Beiles A (2012) Evolution of wild cereals during 28 years of global warming in Israel. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109:3412–3415
- Nevo E, Shewry P (1992) Origin, evolution, population genetics and resources for breeding of wild barley, *Hordeum spontaneum*, in the Fertile Crescent. Barley: genetics, biochemistry, molecular biology and biotechnology. C.A.B. International, The Alden Press, Oxford. pp. 19–43.
- Nevo E, Zohary D, Brown A, Haber M (1979) Genetic diversity and environmental associations of wild barley, *Hordeum spontaneum*, in Israel. *Evolution* 33:815–833
- Newton AC, Flavell AJ, George TS, Leat P, Mullholland B, Ramsay L et al. (2011) Crops that feed the world 4. Barley: a resilient crop? Strengths and weaknesses in the context of food security. Food Secur 3:141–178

- Nitcher R, Distelfeld A, Tan C, Yan L, Dubcovsky J (2013) Increased copy number at the *HvFT1* locus is associated with accelerated flowering time in barley. Mol Genet Genom 288:261–275
- Olesen JE, Børgesen CD, Elsgaard L, Palosuo T, Rötter, Skjelvåg AO et al. (2012) Changes in time of sowing, flowering and maturity of cereals in Europe under climate change. Food Addit Contam: Part A 29:1527–1542
- Osborne T, Rose G, Wheeler T (2013) Variation in the global-scale impacts of climate change on crop productivity due to climate model uncertainty and adaptation. Agr For Meteor 170:183–194
- Patil RH, Laegdsmand M, Olesen JE, Porter JR (2012) Sensitivity of crop yield and N losses in winter wheat to changes in mean and variability of temperature and precipitation in Denmark using the FAS-SET model. Acta Agric Scand, Sect B-Soil Plant Sci 62:335–351
- Peng FY, Hu Z, Yang R-C (2015) Genome-wide comparative analysis of flowering-related genes in *Arabidopsis*, wheat, and barley. Int J plant Genom 2015:874361
- Ray DK, Gerber JS, MacDonald GK, West PC (2015) Climate variation explains a third of global crop yield variability. Nat Commun 6:5989
- Robeson SM (2004) Trends in time-varying percentiles of daily minimum and maximum temperature over North America. Geophys Res Lett 31:L04203. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL019019
- Root TL, Price JT, Hall KR, Schneider SH, Rosenzweig C, Pounds JA (2003) Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. Nature 421:57–60
- Rosenberg NA (2002) Distruct: a program for the graphical display of structure results. Available from http://www.cmb.usc.edu/»noahr/ distruct.
- Schwartz MD, Ahas R, Aasa A (2006) Onset of spring starting earlier across the Northern Hemisphere. Glob Change Biol 12:343–351
- Shim H, Laurent S, Matuszewski S, Foll M, Jensen JD (2016) Detecting and quantifying changing selection intensities from time-sampled polymorphism data. G3: Genesl Genomesl Genet 6:893–904
- Shindo C, Aranzana MJ, Lister C, Baxter C, Nicholls C, Nordborg M et al. (2005) Role of *FRIGIDA* and *FLOWERING LOCUS C* in determining variation in flowering time of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 138:1163–1173
- Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P (2001) A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. Am J Hum Genet 68:978–989
- Sun CH, Chen D, Fang J, Wang PR, Deng XJ, Chu CC (2014) Understanding the genetic and epigenetic architecture in complex network of rice flowering pathways. Protein Cell 5:889–898
- Tajima F (1989) Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123:585–595
- Thomann M, Imbert E, Engstrand R, Cheptou PO (2015) Contemporary evolution of plant reproductive strategies under global change is revealed by stored seeds. J Evolut Biol 28:766–778
- Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE, Bakkenes M, Beaumont LJ, Collingham YC et al. (2004) Extinction risk from climate change. Nature 427:145–148
- Thornton P, and Cramer L (2012) Impacts of climate change on the agricultural and aquatic systems and natural resources within the CGIAR's mandate. CCAFS Working Paper 23. Copenhagen, Denmark: CCAFS.
- Thuiller W, Lavorel S, Araujo MB, Sykes MT, Prentice IC (2005) Climate change threats to plant diversity in Europe. P Natl Acad Sci USA 102:8245–8250
- Totland O (1997) Effects of flowering time and temperature on growth and reproduction in *Leontodon autumnalis* var. taraxaci a lateflowering alpine plant. Arct Alp Res 29:285–290
- Trevaskis B, Hemming MN, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ (2007) The molecular basis of vernalization-induced flowering in cereals. Trends Plant Sci 12:352–357

- Urban MC (2015) Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. Science 348:571–573
- Wang T, Ottle C, Peng SS, Janssens IA, Lin X, Poulter B et al. (2014) The influence of local spring temperature variance on temperature sensitivity of spring phenology. Glob Change Biol 20:1473–1480
- Watterson G (1975) On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without recombination. Theor Popul Biol 7:256–276
- Weir BS (1996) Genetic data analysis Ilmethods for discrete population genetic data. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland MA
- Werner JD, Borevitz JO, Uhlenhaut NH, Ecker JR, Chory J, Weigel D (2005) FRIGIDA-independent variation in flowering time

of natural *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. Genetics 170:1197–1207

- Yan L, Fu D, Li C, Blechl A, Tranquilli G, Bonafede M, et al. (2006) The wheat and barley vernalization gene *VRN3* is an orthologue of *FT*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103:19581–19586.
- Yan L, Loukoianov A, Blechl A, Tranquilli G, Ramakrishna W, San-Miguel P et al. (2004) The wheat *VRN2* gene is a flowering repressor down-regulated by vernalization. Science 303:1640–1644
- Zeng K, Fu YX, Shi SH, Wu CI (2006) Statistical tests for detecting positive selection by utilizing high-frequency variants. Genetics 174:1431–1439