

ARTICLE Variant curation expert panel recommendations for *RYR1* pathogenicity classifications in malignant hyperthermia susceptibility

Jennifer J. Johnston ^[1]^[2], Robert T. Dirksen², Thierry Girard³, Stephen G. Gonsalves¹, Philip M. Hopkins⁴, Sheila Riazi⁵, Louis A. Saddic⁶, Nyamkhishig Sambuughin⁷, Richa Saxena⁸, Kathryn Stowell⁹, James Weber¹⁰, Henry Rosenberg¹¹ and Leslie G. Biesecker^{1,12}

PURPOSE: As a ClinGen Expert Panel (EP) we set out to adapt the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)/ Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP) pathogenicity criteria for classification of *RYR1* variants as related to autosomal dominantly inherited malignant hyperthermia (MH).

METHODS: We specified ACMG/AMP criteria for variant classification for *RYR1* and MH. Proposed rules were piloted on 84 variants. We applied quantitative evidence calibration for several criteria using likelihood ratios based on the Bayesian framework. **RESULTS:** Seven ACMG/AMP criteria were adopted without changes, nine were adopted with *RYR1*-specific modifications, and ten were dropped. The in silico (PP3 and BP4) and hotspot criteria (PM1) were evaluated quantitatively. REVEL gave an odds ratio (OR) of 23:1 for PP3 and 14:1 for BP4 using trichotomized cutoffs of ≥ 0.85 (pathogenic) and ≤ 0.5 (benign). The PM1 hotspot criterion had an OR of 24:1. PP3 and PM1 were implemented at moderate strength. Applying the revised ACMG/AMP criteria to 44 recognized MH variants, 29 were classified as pathogenic, 13 as likely pathogenic, and 2 as variants of uncertain significance. **CONCLUSION:** Curation of these variants will facilitate classification of *RYR1*/MH genomic testing results, which is especially important for secondary findings analyses. Our approach to quantitatively calibrating criteria is generalizable to other variant curation expert panels.

Genetics in Medicine (2021) 23:1288-1295; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01125-w

INTRODUCTION

Malignant hyperthermia susceptibility (MHS) is a potentially lethal inherited disorder of skeletal muscle calcium signaling, predisposing individuals to a hypermetabolic reaction triggered by exposure to inhalational anesthetics or depolarizing muscle relaxants such as succinvlcholine.^{1,2} Inheritance of MHS is predominantly autosomal dominant, although autosomal recessive inheritance has been reported³ and non-Mendelian models proposed.⁴ Variants in RYR1 (MIM: 180901; MHS1, MIM: 145600) and CACNA1S (MIM: 114208; MHS5, MIM: 601887) have been associated with MH, and both genes are in the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) return of secondary findings recommendations.^{5,6} RYR1 variants account for ~76% of MH events while $\sim 1\%^7$ are attributable to CACNA1S and < 1% are attributable to STAC3 (MIM: 615521; Bailey-Bloch myopathy, MIM: 255995). Four additional loci have been mapped (MHS2, MIM: 154275; MHS3, MIM: 154276; MHS4, MIM: 600467; MHS6, MIM: 601888). RYR1 has a complex gene-to-phenotype relationship, being associated with several apparently distinct disorders and both autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive inheritance. Overlapping conditions include central core disease (CCD, MIM: 117000) and King-Denborough syndrome (MIM: 145600) and individuals with these disorders may be at risk for MH. Generally, these disorders result from monoallelic *RYR1* variants while biallelic variants cause other myopathies; however, this correlation is evolving.⁸

Classification of RYR1 variants is complicated by variable expressivity, reduced penetrance, and high allelic heterogeneity. While the European Malignant Hyperthermia Group (EMHG; http:// www.emhg.org/home/) has assessed 48 RYR1 variants as diagnostic of MHS, more than 165 additional variants have been reported as disease variants/pathogenic/likely pathogenic for MH in the literature and databases including HGMD⁹ and ClinVar.¹⁰ While the ACMG/Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP) guidelines¹¹ provided general criteria that can be used to classify variants, many of the criteria require adaptation to be accurately applied. As part of ClinGen, we convened an RYR1-related malignant hyperthermia variant curation expert panel (https:// clinicalgenome.org/affiliation/50038/) to adapt the general ACMG/ AMP pathogenicity guidelines to autosomal dominantly inherited RYR1/MH, with gene-specific recommendations, to improve classification of RYR1 variant pathogenicity.

We first reviewed each ACMG/AMP criterion to determine applicability to autosomal dominantly inherited *RYR1/MH* and then adapted them with gene/disease-specific guidelines, if appropriate. We piloted these guidelines on 84 variants: 44

¹Medical Genomics and Metabolic Genetics Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ²Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Rochester Medical School, Rochester, NY, USA. ³Department of Anesthesiology, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland. ⁴MH Unit, Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St James's, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. ⁵Department of Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. ⁶Department of Anesthesiology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁷Consortium for Health and Military Performance, Uniformed Services University Health Science, Bethesda, MD, USA. ⁸Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ⁹School of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. ¹⁰Prevention Genetics, Marshfield, WI, USA. ¹¹MH Association of the United States, Sherburne, NY, USA. ¹²NIH Intramural Sequencing Center, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ^{Ee}email: jjohnsto@mail.nih.gov

versions will be maintained at clinicalgenome.org).

See Supplemental information for details.

Criteria used according to general guidelines: PS1/PS2/PM5/PM6/ PP1/BP2/BP7

variants from the EMHG list of "diagnostic mutations" and 40

The RYR1/MH expert panel (EP) is composed of clinical molecular geneticists, clinical geneticists, anesthesiologists, biochemists, and physiol-

ogists to provide a balance of expertise relevant to RYR1 variant

classification. The RYR1/MH EP met monthly via conference calls over a

The general ACMG/AMP pathogenicity guidelines¹¹ were evaluated for

relevance to autosomal dominantly inherited *RYR1/MH* and nonrelevant criteria were dropped. ClinGen-recommended amendments to the criteria were incorporated when applicable. Lastly, applicable criteria were further

assessed to determine if gene-specific recommendations were warranted. Proposed changes were discussed among the full EP by emails and

conference calls. Approval of revised rules required consensus of the full EP. Draft rules were piloted on a subset of *RYR1* variants representing the

EMHG "diagnostic mutation" list. Individual panel members scored variants

using the draft guidelines and variant classifications were presented to the full panel. Areas of disagreement were used to refine the draft guidelines.

Per the ClinGen FDA-approved process, rules were reviewed by the

ClinGen Sequence Variant Interpretation (SVI) committee (L.G.B. recused).

Population data was ascertained from gnomAD v2.1.1.¹² REVEL scores

(v0.19.1) were used for bioinformatic predictions for single-nucleotide

variants (SNVs).¹³ The literature was searched for relevant data including

case information and functional data. For case information, the number of

unrelated probands with either a personal or family history of an MH event

was recorded (see Supplemental information). Care was taken to avoid

double counting cases reported multiple times. Reports were examined for

Revised ACMG/AMP criteria were used to assess 44 EMHG MH "diagnostic

mutations." Four of 48 EMHG variants were excluded because they were

associated with RYR1-related myopathies and not MH. An additional 40

ClinVar RYR1 variants were also classified. Individual criteria were weighted based on available evidence and weighted criteria were combined using

The ACMG/AMP guidelines¹¹ are generic and broadly useful for all

Mendelian genes and disorders. These generic rules may over- or

underestimate evidence for any specific gene and must be

adapted for specific implementations. As an EP, we suggest

guidelines to be used/dropped, guidelines to be refined, and

weight adjustments where appropriate. A summary of revised

guidelines is in Table 1 and a full description is in Table S1 with

gene/disease-specific adaptations highlighted below (updated

Criteria dropped for MH/RYR1: PVS1/PM2/PM3/PM4/PP2/PP4/BS4/

instances of de novo inheritance and/or segregation.

the Bayesian framework for variant scoring.

Evaluation and adaptation of the ACMG/AMP pathogenicity

variants with MH pathogenicity classifications in ClinVar.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

two-year period.

Data collection methods

Pathogenicity assessment

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

BP1/BP3/BP5

auidelines

ClinGen's RYR1/MH expert panel

These criteria were retained in the *RYR1*/MH-specific guidelines including adaptations as recommended by the ClinGen SVI Committee (PS2/PM6, weighting of de novo observations,

https://clinicalgenome.org/site/assets/files/3461/svi_proposal_for_ de_novo_criteria_v1_0.pdf) and the Cardiomyopathy EP (PP1, weighting segregation events).¹⁵ We made further modifications to the ACMG/AMP criteria, which may not be specific to RYR1/MH. The PS1 (same amino acid change, different nucleotide change) and PM5 (different amino acid change, same codon) criteria were modified such that to use either of them, a previously classified variant must have been classified as pathogenic without the use of PS1 or PM5. Furthermore, for PM5, we added a requirement that the Grantham score difference compared with reference of the new variant must be greater than that for the previously identified pathogenic variant compared with reference. For criterion BP2 (evidence against pathogenicity based on presence of known pathogenic variant) it is suggested that only variants identified in cis with the variant under review be considered. Because the occurrence of biallelic pathogenic *RYR1* variants has been described in MHS,^{3,16} two variants in *trans* is not considered evidence against pathogenicity. Finally, as RYR1/MH primarily results from missense alterations, BP7 (synonymous variant without splicing effect) is used as recommended.

Criteria specified for *RYR1*/MH: BA1/BS1/PS4/BS2/PS3/BS3/PM1/ PP3/BP4

Allele frequency specificiations: BA1/BS1/PS4. BA1 and BS1 use minor allele frequencies (MAF) in population data sets to support benign classification for common variants. The BA1 criterion is considered standalone and was originally set to 0.05 (5%) MAF.¹¹ It has been suggested that BA1 can be defined as the combined MAF for all pathogenic variants in the population for the gene/disease dyad with the understanding that any one variant should have a lower MAF than the combined total. To determine a gene/diseasespecific cutoff for BA1, disease prevalence, penetrance, and gene contribution need to be considered. This can be estimated by the formula: ([disease prevalence]x[% gene contribution]]).¹⁵ The prevalence of MH penetrance (defining the disorder as MH, not MHS) in the population can be estimated using the frequency of MH events in individuals exposed to triggering agents. The frequency of events is as high as 1/10,000 pediatric anesthesias.² The rate of adult MH events seems lower than that of children¹⁷ but the underlying genetic risk is assumed to be the same. The gene contribution of RYR1 to MH is ~76% depending on ethnicity.⁷ Calculating thresholds for BA1 relies on an accurate estimate of penetrance, which is difficult to determine for MHS.¹⁸ In lieu of using an estimate for MHS penetrance, we instead substituted a value of 1%, as it is a reasonable boundary between the penetrance of a Mendelian disorder variant and that of a risk allele. This value is nearly certain to be lower than the actual penetrance of MHS, but underestimating this value is conservative with respect to the outcome in that it will numerically raise BA1, which would lead to fewer variants being classified as benign based on this single criterion. Using 0.01 to adjust our calculated BA1 allows for a BA1 MAF of 0.0038 (0.38%).

In addition to a standalone MAF (BA1), BS1 defines the MAF at which a variant is considered to have strong evidence against pathogenicity. The field has been moving to define BS1 based on the contribution of the most common pathogenic allele for a disorder. For *RYR1/MH*, we calculated BS1 considering the frequency of MH reactions in children (1/10,000) a value of 0.01 substituted for penetrance (as explained above), and a maximum individual allele contribution of 16% (variant c.7300G>A was identified in 118/722 MH families, 16.3%).⁷ Correcting for alleles/person gives a BS1 value of 0.0008 (0.08%).

While a high MAF of a variant in controls can be used to refute pathogenicity, criterion PM2 gives weight for absence or very low frequency in control populations. Based on observations that the majority of possible *RYR1* missense variants (~30,000 variants) are not represented in gnomAD v2.1.1 (2,800 *RYR1* missense variants) and many known pathogenic variants (classified without the use of 1290

Table 1. Modified American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)/Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP) criteria suggested for autosomal dominantly inherited *RYR1*/MH^a.

Criteria	Criteria description	Specification	Specifying group
Pathogenic criteria			
Very strong criteria			
PS2/PM6_Very Strong	Each proven de novo occurrence, 2 points, each assumed de novo occurrence, 1 point, ≥ 8 points.	Strength ^b	SVI^{d}
Strong criteria			
PS1	Same amino acid change as a previously established pathogenic variant regardless of nucleotide change. • Previously established pathogenic variant must reach a classification of pathogenic without PS1.	None	
PS2/PM6_Strong	Each proven de novo occurrence, 2 points, each assumed de novo occurrence, 1 point, a total of 4–7 points.	Strength ^b	SVI ^d
PS3	 Well-established functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on protein function. Knock-in mouse showing MH reaction in response to RYR1 agonist AND increased sensitivity to RYR1 agonists in ex vivo tissue/cells. 	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP
PS4	The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls. • ≥7 MH case points. Probands with a personal or family history ^c of an MH event are awarded 0.5 points, probands with a personal or family history of a positive (MHS) IVCT/CHCT are awarded an additional 0.5 points. Popmax in gnomAD ≤0.00006. • For variants with popmax MAF gnomAD >0.00006, an odds ratio of ≥18.7 when comparing MH case points to allele count in gnomAD can qualify. Popmax in gnomAD must be <0.0038.	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP
PP1_Strong	• Cosegregation with disease in \ge 7 reported meioses.	Strength ^b	CMP EP ^e
Moderate criteria			
PM1	Located in a mutational hotspot and/or critical and well-established functional domain. • Residues 1–552 (N-terminal region) and 2,101–2,458 (central region).	Disease-specific	RYR1/MHS EP
PM5	 Missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense variant was previously determined to be pathogenic. Previously established pathogenic variant must reach a classification of pathogenic without PM5. Grantham score for alternate pathogenic variant must be less than for variant being classified. 	None	RYR1/MHS EP
PS2/PM6_Moderate	Each proven de novo occurrence, 2 points, each assumed de novo occurrence, 1 point, a total of 2–3 points.	Strength ^b	SVI ^d
PS3_Moderate	Well-established functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on protein function. • Increased sensitivity to RYR1 agonist in HEK293 in vitro assay, Ca^{2+} release significantly increased compared with WT, controls to include known pathogenic and benign variants, $n \ge 3$. • Three or more independent ex vivo studies all showing release of Ca^{2+} in response to RYR1 agonist. • Knock-in mouse showing MH reaction in response to RYR1 agonist OR increased sensitivity to RYR1 agonists in ex vivo tissue/cells (but not both, which would be PS3_strong).	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP
PS4_Moderate	The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls. • 2–6 MH case points. Probands with a personal or family history ^c of an MH event are awarded 0.5 points, probands with a personal or family history of a positive (MH5) IVCT/CHCT are awarded an additional 0.5 points. Popmax in gnomAD ≤0.00006. • For variants with popmax MAF in gnomAD >0.00006, an odds ratio of ≥4.33 when comparing MH case points to allele count in gnomAD can qualify. Popmax in gnomAD must be <0.0038.	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP
PP1_Moderate	Cosegregation with disease in 5–6 reported meioses.	Strength ^b	CMP EP ^e
PP3_Moderate	Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product. • Use REVEL score of \geq 0.85.	Strength ^b	RYR1/MHS EP
Supporting criteria			
PP1	Cosegregation with disease in 3–4 reported meioses.	Strength ^b	CMP EP ^e
PS2/PM6_Supporting	Each proven de novo occurrence, 2 points, each assumed de novo occurrence, 1 point, a total of 1 point.	Strength ^b	SVI ^d
PS3_Supporting	Well-established functional studies studies supportive of a damaging effect on protein function.	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP

Table 1 continued					
Criteria	Criteria description	Specification	Specifying group		
	$$ Two independent ex vivo studies all showing release of \mbox{Ca}^{2+} in response to RYR1 agonist.				
PS4_Supporting	The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls. • 1 MH case point. Probands with a personal or family history ^c of an MH event are awarded 0.5 points, probands with a personal or family history of a positive (MHS) IVCT/CHCT are awarded an additional 0.5 points. Popmax in gnomAD ≤0.00006 • For variants with popmax MAF in gnomAD >0.00006, an odds ratio of ≥2.08 when comparing MH case points to allele count in gnomAD can qualify. Popmax in gnomAD must be <0.0038.	Strength ^b , Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
PM1_Supporting	Located in a mutational hotspot and/or critical and well-established functional domain. • Residues 4,631–4,991 (C-terminal region).	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
Benign criteria					
Standalone criterion					
BA1	Popmax allele frequency >0.0038 (0.38%).	Disease-specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
Strong criteria					
BS1	Popmax allele frequency >0.0008 (0.08%).	Disease-specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
BS2	Observed in a healthy adult individual for a recessive (homozygous), dominant (heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous) disorder with full penetrance expected at an early age. • Two or more variant-positive individuals with a negative IVCT/CHCT test.	Disease-specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
Moderate criteria					
BS2_Moderate	Observed in a healthy adult individual for a recessive (homozygous), dominant (heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous) disorder with full penetrance expected at an early age. • One variant-positive individual with a negative IVCT/CHCT test.	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
BS3_Moderate	Well-established functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function. • Three or more independent ex vivo studies, NO significant release of Ca ²⁺ in response to agonist.	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
Supporting criteria					
BP2	Observed in cis with a pathogenic variant in any inheritance pattern.	None	RYR1/MHS EP		
BP4	Computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product, REVEL score of ≤ 0.5 .	Disease-specific	RYR1/MHS EP		
BP7	A synonymous (silent) variant for which splicing prediction algorithms predict no impact to the splice consensus sequence nor the creation of a new splice site AND the nucleotide is not highly conserved.	None			
BS3_Supporting	Well-established functional studies studies show no damaging effect on protein function. • No significant increased sensitivity to RYR1 agonist in an approved in vitro assay, Ca^{2+} release measured, $n \ge 3$. • One or two independent ex vivo studies, NO significant release of Ca^{2+} in response to agonist. • Knock-in mouse showing no MH reaction in response to RYR1 agonist AND no increased sensitivity to RYR1 agonists in ex vivo tissue/cells.	Strength, ^b Disease- specific	RYR1/MHS EP		

"Disease-specific" indicates disease-specific modifications based on what is known about MHS. "Strength" indicates increasing or decreasing strength of criteria based on the amount of evidence. "None" indicates no changes made to existing criteria definitions.

CHCT caffeine-halothane contracture test, IVCT in vitro contracture test, MAF minor allele frequency, MH malignant hyperthermia, MHS MH susceptibility, N/A not applicable for MHS, WT wild type.

^aTable S6 presents this information grouped by criteria rather than by strength; this supplemental table may be more useful in laboratory practice.

^bFor criteria that can be assigned different levels of strength based on evidence, only the highest applicable strength level should be used. For example, if PS4 is met, then PS4_Moderate and PS4_Supporting are not used.

^cPositive family history defined by variant-positive family member with MH reaction and/or positive IVCT/CHCT.

^dSequence Variant Interpretation Committee, ClinGen.

^eCardiomyopathy Expert Panel.¹⁵

PM2) are present in gnomAD, it is unlikely that the absence of a variant in gnomAD is support for pathogenicity. While the absence or low frequency of a variant in gnomAD has little value alone, it is important in weighting PS4. PS4 takes into consideration the prevalence of the variant in affected individuals compared with controls. For *RYR1/MH*, we modified the PS4 criterion using a point system, awarding 0.5 case points for each unrelated proband

reported to have undergone an MH event and awarding an additional 0.5 case points for a positive in vitro contracture test (IVCT) or caffeine–halothane contracture test (CHCT) in either the proband or a variant-positive family member. The strength level of PS4 is based on odds ratios comparing total case points, an approximation of the total number of cases of MH investigated in the literature (3,000) and the number of alleles in the gnomAD

1292

continental population with the highest MAF (popmax). When the popmax MAF is ≤0.00006 (~7/113,000 alleles), strength levels are awarded according to the following system: PS4 for ≥7 MH case points, PS4 Mod for 2-6 MH case points, and PS4 Sup for one MH case point. When gnomAD popmax MAF is >0.00006, case points can be counted and compared with alleles in the gnomAD population with the highest MAF by calculating an odds ratio (OR, MedCalcs online calculator (https://www.medcalc.org/calc/ odds_ratio.php), awarding PS4 for an $OR \ge 18.7$; PS4_Mod for an $OR \ge 4.33$; and PS4_Sup for an $OR \ge 2.08$. Every effort needs to be made to avoid double counting of cases reported in multiple studies. The Bayesian framework for the classification of variants using the ACMG/AMP criteria was used to set the OR value for each strength level.¹²

Disease-specific phenotype: BS2. The IVCT/CHCT diagnostic tests have low false negative rates^{19,20} and can be used to determine MHS status in individuals who carry *RYR1* variants. A negative IVCT or CHCT result supports benign status. Two or more unrelated individuals with a negative result allow BS2 to be applied. One individual with a negative result allows BS2_Mod.

Functional assay specifications: PS3/BS3. Functional characterization is considered a crucial determinant of the pathogenicity of RYR1 variants.²¹ Within the ACMG/AMP guidelines, functional assay results are used for PS3 (well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies supportive of a damaging effect) and BS3 (wellestablished in vitro or in vivo functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or splicing). RYR1 is a homotetrameric calcium channel in the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) of skeletal muscle important in excitation-contraction coupling. Volatile anesthetics and depolarizing muscle relaxants can cause increased release of SR calcium in a dysfunctional RYR1 channel resulting in MH. When considering functional assays for variant assessment it is desirable to identify assays that are closely related to the physiologic defect causative of disease. For RYR1/ MH, assays that measure release of calcium in response to pharmacologic agents are considered good representations of the disease mechanism. Well-recognized assays include transfection of RYR1 complementary DNA (cDNA) into HEK293 cells, CHO cells, or RYR1 knockout myotubes followed by SR calcium release measurements in response to caffeine, halothane, voltage/ potassium, or 4-chloro-m-cresol. A significant decrease in the EC₅₀ for the sensitivity of calcium release compared with wild-type (WT) RYR1, is considered evidence for pathogenicity. Multiple replicates for each variant within a single instance of the assay are necessary to determine significance of these values. Positive

(pathogenic) and negative (benign) controls support that the assay categorizes the variants accurately. For the purpose of assessing RYR1 transfection studies to weight PS3, results were dichotomized into pathogenic EC50 values that are significantly decreased as compared to WT versus benign EC₅₀ values that are not significantly decreased. For RYR1 pathogenicity assessment, the whole of prior published work (Fig. 1, Table S2)²² allows us to consider transfection assays in HEK293 cells using photometry/ imaging to measure calcium release a well-defined functional test. However, recommendations for increased stringency in analyses of functional data have recently been suggested.²³ To determine the appropriate PS3 weight based on HEK293 transfection assays we have considered published results including results for 35 variants assessed to be likely pathogenic or pathogenic (LP/P) without the use of functional data, and ten control variants including eight variants associated with CCD and two common variants. Of the 35 LP/P variants, 29 have been shown to reduce the calcium release EC50 in response to RYR1 agonists. Five variants have shown discordant results across assays, and one variant has shown an EC₅₀ increase. Of the ten control variants, one variant has shown an EC₅₀ reduction in response to agonist and nine variants have either shown no response to agonist (6) or a response similar to WT (3). This set of variants suggests a likelihood ratio for an EC₅₀ reduction of 9.11:1 with a 95% confidence interval of 1.4:1 to 59:1. This level of support is above the threshold for moderate evidence (4.33:1 odds). We suggest that functional evidence supporting pathogenicity from HEK293 cells be used at the level of moderate. When the field generates additional data for control variants the weighting of PS3 for this assay should be reconsidered.

While positive evidence (reduced EC_{50}) is considered moderate support for pathogenicity, reduced penetrance and the limitations of expression systems²⁴ suggest a nonsignificant change in EC_{50} values may not support benign status at a moderate level (Fig. 2). It was decided that lack of response to agonists be weighted as supporting evidence (BS3_Sup). Regarding other in vitro assays that test calcium release in response to agonists, where historical data were limited, we suggest that multiple controls be run in parallel and statistical analyses be used to determine the level of strength for PS3 according to the Bayesian framework.

In addition to in vitro assays, the *RYR1*/MH field has established ex vivo assays measuring calcium release in patient cells. These assays do not isolate the *RYR1* variant from other potential variants (in *RYR1*, *CACNA1S*, or other MHS-associated genes), which may affect calcium release. Rather, these assays are a measure of the cellular phenotype in the patient. Although we recognize this limitation of ex vivo studies, we also recognize that they have



Fig. 1 Cumulative HEK293 transfection assay data for RYR1 variants from the literature. Variants are grouped according to pathogenicity assessment without consideration of PS3/BS3 (functional data). CCD central core disease, MHS malignant hyperthermia susceptibility, P/LP pathogenic/likely pathogenic, SNP single-nucleotide polymorphism, VUS variant of uncertain significance.



Fig. 2 Decision tree for weighting functional evidence PS3/BS3. cDNA complementary DNA, MH malignant hyperthermia, SR sarcoplasmic reticulum.

utility. As the main concern for such assays is the potential presence of other variants, this concern is mitigated if multiple unrelated individuals with the same primary variant are shown to exhibit enhanced ex vivo sensitivity to agonist. Two unrelated individuals with ex vivo tests showing increased sensitivity of calcium release in response to agonist allow PS3_Sup. For variants where \geq 3 unrelated individuals had ex vivo tests showing increased sensitivity of calcium release, PS3_Mod can be applied. Ex vivo tests that do not show increased sensitivity of calcium release in response to agonist (negative result) support a benign classification of the variant. BS3_Sup can be applied if one or two unrelated individuals are tested with negative results, when \geq 3 unrelated individuals are tested and all results are negative BS3_Mod can be applied.

Knock-in mouse models created to date to test *RYR1* variants have shown MH reactions in response to volatile anesthetic and ex vivo studies of muscle samples from these mice show increased ligand sensitivity of calcium release as compared with WT.^{25–28} When knock-in mice have an MH reaction in response to agonist, and where ex vivo studies show increased calcium release compared with WT in response to agonist, PS3 can be awarded. For mouse models where either an MH crisis can be triggered by agonist or ex vivo assays show increased calcium release, but both conditions are not met, PS3_Mod can be awarded. For mouse models that do not exhibit an MH reaction when exposed to agonist and ex vivo studies do not show increased release of calcium, BS3_Sup can be awarded.

Hotspot specifications: PM1. The ACMG/AMP criteria includes moderate weight for variation in critical protein domains or mutational hotspots (PM1). While critical domains may be welldefined for a protein, the concept of mutational hotspots is less clearly defined. A general rule for consideration of a mutational hotspot would be an excess of pathogenic variation as compared with benign variation. In MH, variants have been noted to cluster in three regions of RYR1 identified as hotspots historically: the Nterminal region (residues 1-552), the central region (residues 2,101–2,458) and the C-terminal region (4,631–4,991).²⁹ Rather than defining clear functional domains, these regions are defined by an increase in variation identified in individuals with MH. We assessed this criterion using a test set of 19 variants (Table S3) assessed to be pathogenic for MH without the use of PM1 and 27 benign variants (Table S4) that met criterion BA1. This set of variants suggests a likelihood ratio for hotspots of 24:1 with a 95% confidence interval of 3.4:1 to 163:1 (Table 2). This level of support is above the threshold for strong evidence (18.7:1 odds) and the lower bound of that confidence interval is above supporting (2.1:1). This would suggest that PM1 could be modified to PM1_strong. However, because there is a significant bias in the literature toward identifying pathogenic variants in the hotspots, and to avoid the possibility of overestimating pathogenicity, we suggest using PM1 at its default level of moderate for variants in the N-terminal and central regions. As variants in the C-terminal region may be associated with CCD and not cause MH, we suggest using PM1_supporting for variants in this region. Future studies that interrogate the gene without these biases should provide additional data on the positional skewing of pathogenic variants, which could allow upgrading PM1 to strong in the future.

Computational evidence: PP3/BP4. The PP3 and BP4 criteria consider computational evidence estimating the impact of a variant on protein function. REVEL is an ensemble method based on a number of individual tools and precomputed scores are available for all missense variants (https://omictools.com/reveltool).¹³ Importantly, REVEL does not consider population frequency, which reduces double counting of evidence. Using a set of 20 pathogenic variants determined to be pathogenic without the use of PP3 and 27 benign variants described above, we tested

Table 2. Distribution of 19 pathogenic and 27 benign variants in relation to position of defined RYR1/MH hotspots.						
Presence in hotspot	Pathogenic	Benign	LR	Inverse LR	95% CI (inverse)	
Hotspot (1-552; 2,101-2,458; 4,631-4,991)	16	1 ^a	23.58		3.41–163.18	
Nonhotspot	3	27	0.164	6.10	0.06-0.46 (2.17-16.7)	

Cl confidence interval, LR likelihood ratio, MH malignant hyperthermia.

Likelihood ratios calculated based on distribution.

1294

^aNo benign variants were identified in the hotspot regions; for calculation of LR we used a value of 1.

Table 3. REVEL score distribution for 20 pathogenic and 27 benign variants for RYR1/MH.					
REVEL score	Pathogenic	Benign	LR	Inverse LR	95% CI
≥0.85	17	1 ^a	22.68		3.27-157.08
>0.5 - <0.85	3	8	0.50	2.00	0.15–1.66
≤0.5	1 ^a	19	0.07	14.29	0.01–0.48

Cl confidence interval, LR likelihood ratio, MH malignant hyperthermia.

Likelihood ratio for separation of pathogenic and benign variants based on REVEL scores using cutoff values of ≥0.85 and ≤0.5.

^aNo benign variants were identified with a REVEL score \geq 0.85 and no pathogenic variants were identified with a REVEL score \leq 0.5, for calculation of LR we used a value of 1.

the likelihood ratios of the predictive power of REVEL in several iterations. We settled on a trichotomization of scores with PP3, (computational evidence supporting pathogenicity), requiring a REVEL score of ≥0.85 and BP4, (computational evidence against pathogenicity), requiring a REVEL score of ≤0.5 (Table 3). Based on the Bayesian model for weighting criteria, these results suggest that PP3 and BP4 could be employed at the strong level. However, based on wide confidence intervals of the likelihood ratios for this conditional probability, we chose to weight PP3 as moderate and BP4 as supporting.¹⁴ Based on piloting these criteria it was determined that BP4 should only be implemented with other criteria. Using the Bayesian framework, BP4 in isolation results in an assessment of likely benign (LB) and it was determined that additional evidence should be available for a LB classification. For a fuller explanation of deriving such likelihood ratios, see Supplemental information.

Piloting RYR1/MH classification criteria

We applied these modified criteria to 44 variants EMHG determined to be "diagnostic mutations" and 40 *RYR1* variants with pathogenicity classifications for MH in ClinVar. The classification of each of the variants is shown in Table S3 and Table S5. Of the 44 EMHG variants, we classified 29 as P, 13 as LP, and 2 as variants of uncertain significance (VUS). Variant c.1589G>A p. (Arg530His) was classified as VUS and had limited functional data including a single ex vivo sample,³⁰ which did not meet PS3_Sup based on the requirement for a minimum of two unrelated individuals. Variant c.1598G>A p.(Arg533His) was classified as VUS based on functional data (PS3_Mod) and presence in a hotspot (PM1). PS4 was not met by this variant based on a high allele count (32 alleles) in gnomAD.

The revised criteria were applied to 40 additional variants with pathogenicity classifications for MH in ClinVar. Ten variants had conflicting pathogenicity classifications for MH (pathogenicity classifications for disorders other than MH were not considered), nine B/LB/VUS, and one P/LP/VUS. Five variants with B/LB/VUS classifications in ClinVar were determined to be B/LB based on BA1/BS1. The remaining five discordant variants were classified as VUS. Of the remaining 30 variants, 14 were classified as P/LP, 11 as B/LB, and 5 as VUS. Applying the revised ACMG/AMP criteria 12/14 variants with a classification of P/LP in ClinVar and 3/11 variants

with an classification of B/LB in ClinVar were classified as VUS. All variants classified as B/LB (13) using our criteria had ether BA1 or BS1 applied. The 19/24 variants classified as VUS had limited data; only 5 VUS variants had data that refuted pathogenicity (5/ 24, 21%).

Conclusions

As a ClinGen expert panel, we set out to adapt the ACMG/AMP pathogenicity criteria for classification of RYR1 variants as related to autosomal dominantly inherited MH. Combining expertise of anesthesiologists, physiologists, biochemists, and geneticists allowed for a thorough evaluation of factors that should be considered. It is also important to recognize that we successfully unified the efforts of the American-based ACMG/AMP criteria with the extensive expertise and experience of the EMHG, benefiting from both. In revising these guidelines, we have considered the statistical evidence weight as it relates to the Bayesian adaptation of the ACMG/AMP scoring system. Weighting of evidence using statistical measures should allow for a more robust and consistent pathogenicity classification framework and is broadly applicable to other disease/gene systems. The revised RYR1/MHS specific criteria should allow clinical laboratories to more consistently classify these variants based on expert guidelines and should increase the consistency of classifications, as has been demonstrated for the generic ACMG/AMP pathogenicity recommendations.³¹ These recommendations should be especially useful to laboratories that classify RYR1 variants as secondary findings. That MH is a pharmacogenetic trait with relatively low penetrance makes it especially challenging to classify for laboratories that do not perform a high volume of diagnostic RYR1 testing. The availability of three star ClinGen classifications in ClinVar should significantly reduce the amount of time that secondary findings evaluations consume. As well, the RYR1/MH expert panel will continue to curate variants and deposit classifications into ClinVar. Moving forward, the field should strive to increase relevant data through functional studies and shared case documentation allowing variants to move from a classification of VUS to either LB/B or LP/P. Beyond secondary findings, ClinGen classifications of RYR1 variant pathogenicity will allow the field to consider presurgical screening of patients toward elimination of MH morbidity and mortality.³²

DATA AVAILABILITY

Any variant classification described herein that has not been posted to ClinVar (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/?term=ryr1%5Bgene%5D) at the time of publication will be made available upon request.

Received: 1 December 2020; Revised: 7 February 2021; Accepted: 9 February 2021;

Published online: 25 March 2021

REFERENCES

- Gonsalves, S. G. et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline for the use of potent volatile anesthetic agents and succinylcholine in the context of RYR1 or CACNA1S genotypes. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **105**, 1338–1344 (2019).
- Rosenberg, H., Sambuughin, N., Riazi, S. & Dirksen, R. in *GeneReviews* (eds Adam, M. P. et al.) Malignant hyperthermia susceptibility (University of Washington, Seattle, 1993).
- Monnier, N. et al. Presence of two different genetic traits in malignant hyperthermia families: implication for genetic analysis, diagnosis, and incidence of malignant hyperthermia susceptibility. *Anesthesiology*. 97, 1067–1074 (2002).
- Carpenter, D. et al. Genetic variation in RYR1 and malignant hyperthermia phenotypes. Br. J. Anaesth. 103, 538–548 (2009).
- Green, R. C. et al. ACMG recommendations for reporting of incidental findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing. *Genet. Med.* 15, 565–574 (2013).
- Kalia, S. S. et al. Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. *Genet. Med.* 19, 249–255 (2017).
- 7. Miller, D. M. et al. Genetic epidemiology of malignant hyperthermia in the UK. *Br. J. Anaesth.* **121**, 944–952 (2018).
- Jungbluth, H. et al. Congenital myopathies: disorders of excitation-contraction coupling and muscle contraction. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 14, 151–167 (2018).
- Stenson, P. D., Mort, M., Ball, E. V., Shaw, K., Phillips, A. & Cooper, D. N. The Human Gene Mutation Database: building a comprehensive mutation repository for clinical and molecular genetics, diagnostic testing and personalized genomic medicine. *Hum. Genet.* **133**, 1–9 (2014).
- Landrum, M. J. et al. ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting evidence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D1062–D1067 (2018).
- Richards, S. et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet. Med.* 17, 405–424 (2015).
- Karczewski, K. J. et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*. 581, 434–443 (2020).
- Ioannidis, N. M. et al. REVEL: an ensemble method for predicting the pathoqenicity of rare missense variants. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 99, 877–885 (2016).
- Tavtigian, S. V. et al. Modeling the ACMG/AMP variant classification guidelines as a Bayesian classification framework. *Genet. Med.* 20, 1054–1060 (2018).
- Kelly, M. A. et al. Adaptation and validation of the ACMG/AMP variant classification framework for MYH7-associated inherited cardiomyopathies: recommendations by ClinGen's Inherited Cardiomyopathy Expert Panel. *Genet. Med.* 20, 351–359 (2018).
- Kraeva, N. et al. Ryanodine receptor type 1 gene mutations found in the Canadian malignant hyperthermia population. *Can. J. Anaesth.* 58, 504–513 (2011).
- 17. Halliday, N. J. Malignant hyperthermia. J. Craniofac. Surg. 14, 800-802 (2003).
- Ibarra Moreno, C. A. et al. An assessment of penetrance and clinical expression of malignant hyperthermia in individuals carrying diagnostic ryanodine receptor 1 gene mutations. *Anesthesiology.* 131, 983–991 (2019).
- Ording, H. et al. In vitro contracture test for diagnosis of malignant hyperthermia following the protocol of the European MH Group: results of testing patients surviving fulminant MH and unrelated low-risk subjects. The European Malignant Hyperthermia Group. Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand. 41, 955–966 (1997).
- Allen, G. C., Larach, M. G. & Kunselman, A. R. The sensitivity and specificity of the caffeine–halothane contracture test: a report from the North American Malignant Hyperthermia Registry. The North American Malignant Hyperthermia Registry of MHAUS. *Anesthesiology.* 88, 579–588 (1998).
- Hopkins, P. M. et al. European Malignant Hyperthermia Group guidelines for investigation of malignant hyperthermia susceptibility. Br. J. Anaesth. 115, 531–539 (2015).
- Lawal, T. A., Wires, E. S., Terry, N. L., Dowling, J. J. & Todd, J. J. Preclinical model systems of ryanodine receptor 1-related myopathies and malignant

hyperthermia: a comprehensive scoping review of works published 1990-2019. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **15**, 113 (2020).

- Brnich, S. E. et al. Recommendations for application of the functional evidence PS3/BS3 criterion using the ACMG/AMP sequence variant interpretation framework. *Genome Med.* 12, 3 (2019).
- Sato, K., Roesl, C., Pollock, N. & Stowell, K. M. Skeletal muscle ryanodine receptor mutations associated with malignant hyperthermia showed enhanced intensity and sensitivity to triggering drugs when expressed in human embryonic kidney cells. *Anesthesiology*. **119**, 111–118 (2013).
- Feng, W. et al. Functional and biochemical properties of ryanodine receptor type 1 channels from heterozygous R163C malignant hyperthermia-susceptible mice. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **79**, 420–431 (2011).
- Andronache, Z., Hamilton, S. L., Dirksen, R. T. & Melzer, W. A retrograde signal from RyR1 alters DHP receptor inactivation and limits window Ca2+ release in muscle fibers of Y522S RyR1 knock-in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 4531–4536 (2009).
- Lopez, J. R., Kaura, V., Diggle, C. P., Hopkins, P. M. & Allen, P. D. Malignant hyperthermia, environmental heat stress, and intracellular calcium dysregulation in a mouse model expressing the p.G2435R variant of RYR1. *Br. J. Anaesth.* **121**, 953–961 (2018).
- Yuen, B. et al. Mice expressing T4826I-RYR1 are viable but exhibit sex- and genotype-dependent susceptibility to malignant hyperthermia and muscle damage. FASEB J. 26, 1311–1322 (2012).
- Maclennan, D. H. & Zvaritch, E. Mechanistic models for muscle diseases and disorders originating in the sarcoplasmic reticulum. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1813, 948–964 (2011).
- Zullo, A. et al. Functional characterization of ryanodine receptor (RYR1) sequence variants using a metabolic assay in immortalized B-lymphocytes. *Hum. Mutat.* 30, E575–E590 (2009).
- Amendola, L. M. et al. Performance of ACMG-AMP variant-interpretation guidelines among nine laboratories in the Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research Consortium. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 99, 247 (2016).
- Biesecker, L. G. et al. Genomic screening for malignant hyperthermia susceptibility. *Anesthesiology*. 133, 1277–1282 (2020).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ClinGen is funded by the National Human Genome Research Institute (U41HG006834, U41HG009649, U41HG009650). ClinGen receives support from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (U24HD093483, U24HD093486, U24HD093487). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. J.J.J. and L.G.B. were supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant HG200359–12. R.T.D. is supported by grant R01 AR053349. P.M.H. is supported by the National Institute of Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases: 2P01 AR-05235, 1R01AR068897–01A1. S.R. is funded by merit award from the Department of Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, University of Toronto, Canada.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: L.G.B. Data curation: J.J.J., S.G.G., K.S., L.G.B. Formal analysis: J.J.J., L. G.B. Methodology: J.J.J., R.T.D., T.G., S.G.G., P.M.H., S.R., L.A.S., N.S., R.S., K.S., J.W., N.R., L. G.B. Project administration: J.J.J., L.G.B. Writing—original draft: J.J.J., L.G.B. Writing—review & editing: R.T.D., T.G., S.G.G., P.M.H., S.R., L.A.S., N.S., R.S., K.S., J.W., H.R.

COMPETING INTERESTS

L.G.B. has received in kind research support from ArQule, Inc (now wholly owned by Merck, Inc) and honoraria from Cold Spring Harbor Press. The other authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01125-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.J.J.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.