Correction: Population screening for BRCA1/BRCA2 founder mutations in Ashkenazi Jews: proactive recruitment compared with self-referral

Sari Lieberman, MSc, Ariela Tomer, MSc, Avi Ben-Chetrit, MD, Oded Olsha, MD, Shalom Strano, MD, Rachel Beeri, PhD, Sivan Koka, MSc, Hila Fridman, MSc, Karen Djemal, MD, Itzhak Glick, MD, Todd Zalut, MD, Shlomo Segev, MD, Miri Sklair, MD, Bella Kaufman, MD, Amnon Lahad, MD, MPH, Aviad Raz, PhD and Ephrat Levy-Lahad, MD

Genetics in Medicine (2020) 22:672; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0734-4>

Correction to: *Genetics in Medicine* **19**:2017; <https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2016.182>; published online 08 December 2016

This is not a correction for some minor errors in the paper. This was caused by a coding error revealed by the statistical review of a later paper of the same study. The corrections are as follows: The odds ratio (OR) in the text for the effect of enrollment type on compliance with counseling is 2.26 (the p value is unchanged, $p = 0.01$). For factors affecting response to the first questionnaire (Q1), the ORs that were indicated as ~1 are 1.29 for suggestive family history, and 1.62 for type of enrollment (this is alluded to in the Discussion). The p values are unchanged (0.03 and <0.001 respectively). In Table 4, the likelihood of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC) affected Impact of Events Scale (IES) score by 1.5 points (p value = 0.01). There are no changes to the level of statistical significance nor to the conclusions of the paper.