

BRIEF COMMUNICATION Functional visual symptoms in children and young people: mental health profiles and case review

Anna E. Coughtrey ¹, Moritz Claudius Daniel^{2,3}, Annegret Dahlmann-Noor<sup>2,4
2</sup> and Isobel Heyman¹

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to The Royal College of Ophthalmologists 2021

Eye (2022) 36:1111-1112; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-021-01640-9

Functional presentations—sometimes called perplexing presentations, or medically unexplained symptoms—include a wide range of physical symptoms which are not fully explained by clinical findings [1]. In children and young people (CYP) with functional symptoms there may be problems in the family or at school, preexisting physical illness or psychological disorders such as anxiety, low-mood or behavioural difficulties [2, 3].

Yet, little is known about psychiatric co-morbidity in CYP presenting with functional visual symptoms (FVS), a presentation common to eye clinics [4]. In CYP, FVS is generally considered to resolve spontaneously, and treatment typically includes reassurance. Children with other functional symptoms (e.g. medically unexplained headache or seizures) may benefit from psychological interventions, but it not currently clear whether CYP with FVS may also benefit [1]. We identified 85 CYP aged 5-16 years (54 females; median age 9 years) with FVS presenting to Moorfields Eye Hospital during the calendar year of 2015. In 2016/2017, following NHS-HRA approval (16/LO/0837), we reviewed the case notes. We invited all families for a face-toface interview and assessment of the CYP's mental health. 6 families consented to take part (Fig. 1). Five families completed the online Development and Wellbeing Assessment (DAWBA [5]) questionnaire (age 7-13 years, 3 girls, Table 1), a validated computerised clinical assessment for mental health problems in children. Four also attended a semi-structured clinical interview with a child and adolescent psychiatrist (IH) and clinical psychologist (AC).

FVS had included intermittent blurred vision, double vision and difficulties with reading. In four children, the symptoms had resolved; one still had intermittent blurred vision. The DAWBA did not identify any current emotional, behavioural, social or educational difficulties. Two reported other functional symptoms (e.g. abdominal pain, nausea or headaches). Interviews indicated that in all cases there was a potential identifiable initial trigger of FVS, including difficulties at school and bereavements, on a background of parents describing their child as having a sensitive personality.

The participation rate was lower than anticipated (5/41, 12.2%). However, case note review showed that 84 of the originally identified 85 CYP (99%) had been discharged after their first episode of FVS or were under follow-up for treatable eye conditions such as strabismus (Fig. 1), with a median number of consultations of 2 (interquartile range, 1–3), and median follow-up of 35 days (IQR 0–118). Four CYP (5%) had re-attended with another episode of FVS, after a median interval of 265 days (IQR 203–332) after discharge. In all four, the subsequent episode resolved with re-assurance. One 14-year old girl was still under follow-up for ongoing subjective reduction in vision, 30 months after initial presentation.

In summary, none of the children who underwent detailed mental health assessment met criteria for a psychiatric disorder, and in all of them, the FVS had resolved. The spontaneous remission and absence of psychiatric problems may have contributed to the apparent reluctance of families to participate in this study. Our finding of a high rate of discharge from clinics and low rate of re-attendances may confirm that in most children, FVS are at the milder end of the spectrum of functional symptoms, and that the re-assurance ophthalmologists provide is sufficient.





¹Psychological Medicine Team, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ²NIHR Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK. ³Eye Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany. ⁴Paediatric Service, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ^{Semail:} Annegret.dahlmann-noor@nhs.net

1	1	1	2
+	-	-	~

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, visual symptoms, outcomes and psychological findings of the five study participants.

Age at study participation, gender	Vision loss symptoms at presentation	Symptoms resolved or persistent at time of current assessment	Mental health symptoms	Any other medically unexplained physical symptoms	Clinical impression
13 years, girl	Intermittent blurred vision	Persistent—some continued blurred vision but family reported that this caused no undue concern or impairment	No current emotional, behavioural, social or educational difficulties	None	Change of school and family bereavement predated vision loss symptoms. Child reported a desire to achieve
10 years, girl	Blurred and double vision	Resolved	No current emotional, behavioural, social or educational difficulties	Tummy aches, nausea when nervous	Parent reported that child had a sensitive personality and was easily worried
9 years, boy	Blurring and darkening of vision	Resolved	No current emotional, behavioural, social or educational difficulties	Tummy aches, headaches	Had previously been bullied at school; parent described child as 'emotional' and sometimes lacking in confidence
7 years, boy	Reduced vision on reading	Resolved	No current emotional, behavioural, social or educational difficulties	None	Parents explained that there had been a classroom discussion about 'going blind' prior to the onset of vision loss symptoms
10 years, girl	Blurred vision	Resolved	No current emotional, behavioural, social or educational difficulties	None	Did not complete clinical interview

REFERENCES

- Bonvanie IJ, Kallesøe KH, Janssens KA, Schröder A, Rosmalen JG, Rask CU. Psychological interventions for children with functional somatic symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pediatr. 2017;187:272–81. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.03.017.
- Toldo I, Pinello L, Suppiej A, Ermani M, Cermakova I, Zanin E, et al. Nonorganic (psychogenic) visual loss in children: a retrospective series. Neuro-Ophthalmo.l 2010;30:26–30. https://doi.org/10.1097/WNO.0b013e3181c252b9.
- Lieb R, Pfister H, Mastaler M, Wittchen HU. Somatoform syndromes and disorders in a representative population sample of adolescents and young adults: prevalence, comorbidity and impairments. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2000;101:194–208. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0447.2000.101003194.
- Daniel MC, Coughtrey A, Heyman I, Dahlmann-Noor AH. Medically unexplained visual loss in children and young people: an observational single site study of incidence and outcomes. Eye. 2017;31:1068–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/ eye.2017.37.
- Goodman R, Ford T, Richards H, Gatward R, Meltzer H. The development and wellbeing assessment: description and initial validation of an integrated assessment of child and adolescent psychopathology. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2000;41:645–55.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was funded by Moorfields Eye Charity (ST 15 07K). ADN and MD are employed by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, and as such the work was supported by the NIHR. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or

the Department of Health. The research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust and University College London.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by A Coughtrey and M Daniel. The first draft of the manuscript was written by A Dahlmann-Noor and all authors commented on the manuscript and developed the final version.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.D-N.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.