

## EDITORIAL



## What's new in genetics in June 2022?

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to European Society of Human Genetics 2022

*European Journal of Human Genetics* (2022) 30:633–634; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01122-w>

In recent years there have been tremendous advances in genomic technologies, with resultant increases in the utilisation of these in Clinical Genetic practice. Despite this, there is no uniform, international pattern of genetic healthcare provision—in some countries there are formal training programmes for Physicians in Clinical (Medical) Genetics and for the training of Genetic Counsellors. This has clear potential to limit the access to Clinical Genetic testing and the benefits for patients and families. To help standardise training, an ad hoc committee of the European Society of Human Genetics has documented proposed training standards for relevant professionals and for all European Union member states to recognise the various types of genetic health professionals [1].

Equity of access to genetic tests and genetic healthcare advice has long been recognised as problematic. Best et al. report a systematic review to identify factors which reduce access to clinical genetics services, depending upon geographical location [2]. Such factors include service model designs, logistical issues and workforce capacity. Possible strategies to improve things could include better use of 'virtual' clinics and increased workforce capacity.

This month we present a series of papers characterising novel disease genes or unusual presentations of known genes. Rofes et al. revisit the phenotypic effects of mosaic *PTEN* variants; with a report of a patient who presented with a phenotype resembling Peutz–Jeghers syndrome [3]. Zhou et al. describe bi-allelic variants in *TCTE1* as a cause of male infertility [4]. Despite only reporting a single case, the clear overlaps between the patient and a *TCTE1* null mouse support the clinical relevance of the genetic variant. Kang et al describe an adult with an atypical presentation of Alexander disease; and provide evidence for pathogenicity of in frame deletions affecting *GFAP* [5]. We rarely accept case reports in the *European Journal of Human Genetics*; we require a high degree of novelty or extensive functional work to add to our understanding of phenotypes and variant interpretation.

Given the sheer scale of human genome variation, identifying causal variants is challenging. Identifying novel genes in undiagnosed patients ('gene hunting') is the core mission of many geneticists. Protasova presents a novel method to identify variants in biologically relevant genes, by using analysis of spatial and temporal expression of paralogs [6]. They present the use of this method to identify novel genes in childhood ataxia. Over 5% of our genome is composed of segmental duplications. These predispose to chromosome rearrangements and are difficult to map with whole-genome sequencing. Nicolle et al report the use of optical genome mapping to overcome this and define 16p13.11 triplication syndrome [7]. Once variants are identified, functional studies are often needed to confirm pathogenicity. In Boring–Opitz syndrome, DNA methylation studies on peripheral blood are reported as aiding classification of relevant genetic variants [8].

Classically, Clinical Geneticists make diagnoses by recognising facial dysmorphism. The work of Rouxel et al. shows that computerised analysis of facial images can distinguish between 2 genotypes of Kabuki syndrome [9]. Could this be yet another tool to distinguish pathogenic from non-pathogenic variants?

Non-invasive prenatal testing for genomic conditions is a contentious issue. Perrot and Horn review the literature to describe how reproductive autonomy is understood and implemented in different European countries [10]. Garcia et al describe a study showing that women do not view utilisation of non-invasive prenatal testing as an obligation of responsible motherhood - one reason being that foetal anomaly scans can provide information on actionable anomalies [11]. In keeping with this, a Dutch study reports that women do not, in the majority of cases, feel pressured into using non-invasive prenatal testing [12]. An expert comment on these issues is also provided by Ruth Horn [13].

Genomics also aids our understanding of health and disease at the population level. Khan et al characterise migration patterns in the Kho population; identifying possible regions of positive genomic selection [14]. Laville presents a novel method for quantifying gene-lifestyle interactions in human diseases [15]. Mendelian randomisation has emerged as a powerful technique—de Leeuw provides a helpful review of the field [16].

Alisdair McNeill<sup>1,2</sup>✉

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK. <sup>2</sup>Sheffield Clinical Genetics Department, Sheffield Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK.

✉email: [a.mcneill@sheffield.ac.uk](mailto:a.mcneill@sheffield.ac.uk)

## REFERENCES

1. Paneque M, Liehr T, Serra Juhé C, Moog U, Melegh B, Carreira I. The need for recognition of core professional groups in genetics healthcare services in Europe. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01080-3>
2. Best S, Vidic N, An K, Collins F, White SM. A systematic review of geographical inequities for accessing clinical genomic and genetic services for non-cancer related rare disease. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-021-01022-5>
3. Rofes P, Teulé Á, Feliubadaló L, Salinas M, Cuesta R, Iglesias S, et al. Mosaicism in *PTEN*-new case and comment on the literature. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01052-7>
4. Zhou S, Wu H, Zhang J, He X, Liu S, Zhou P, et al. Bi-allelic variants in human *TCTE1/DRC5* cause asthenospermia and male infertility. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01095-w>
5. Kang YR, Lee SH, Lin NH, Lee SJ, Yang AW, Chandrasekaran G, et al. A novel in-frame *GFAP* p.E138\_L148del mutation in Type II Alexander disease with atypical phenotypes. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01073-2>
6. Protasova MS, Gusev FE, Andreeva TV, Klyushnikov SA, Illarionovskiy SN, Rogayev EI. Novel genes bearing mutations in rare cases of early-onset ataxia with cerebellar hypoplasia. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01088-9>
7. Nicolle R, Siquier-Pernet K, Rio M, Guimier A, Ollivier E, Nitschke P, et al. 16p13.11p11.2 triplication syndrome: a new recognizable genomic disorder characterized by optical genome mapping and whole genome sequencing. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01094-x>

8. Awamleh Z, Chater-Diehl E, Choufani S, Wei E, Kianmahd RR, Yu A, et al. DNA methylation signature associated with Bohring-Opitz syndrome: a new tool for functional classification of variants in ASXL genes. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01083-0>
9. Rouxel F, Yauy K, Boursier G, Gatinois V, Barat-Houari M, Sanchez E, et al. Using deep-neural-network-driven facial recognition to identify distinct Kabuki syndrome 1 and 2 gestalt. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-021-00994-8>
10. Perrot A, Horn R. The ethical landscape(s) of non-invasive prenatal testing in England, France and Germany: findings from a comparative literature review. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-021-00970-2>
11. Garcia E, Henneman L, Gitsels-van der Wal JT, Martin L, Koopmanschap I, Bekker MN, et al. Non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) and pregnant women's views on good motherhood: a qualitative study. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-021-00945-3>
12. van der Meij KRM, Njio A, Martin L, Gitsels-van der Wal JT, Bekker MN, van Vliet-Lachotzki EH, et al. Routinization of prenatal screening with the non-invasive prenatal test: pregnant women's perspectives. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-021-00940-8>
13. Horn R. NIPT and the concerns regarding 'routinisation'. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01053-6>
14. Khan A, Vallini L, Aziz S, Khan H, Zaib K, Nigar K, et al. Cross-continental admixture in the Kho population from northwest Pakistan. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01057-2>
15. Laville V, Majarian T, Sung YJ, Schwander K, Feitosa MF, Chasman DI, et al. Gene-lifestyle interactions in the genomics of human complex traits. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01045-6>
16. de Leeuw C, Savage J, Bucur IG, Heskes T, Posthuma D. Understanding the assumptions underlying Mendelian randomization. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01038-5>

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AMcN conceived and wrote this editorial.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

The author declares no competing interests.

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Alisdair McNeill.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.