ARTICLE





Antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of ZIF-67

Ramses Gallegos-Monterrosa 1 · Rodrigo Orozco Mendiola² · Yoselin Nuñez³ · Constance Auvynet³ · Kesarla Mohan Kumar² · Bin Tang⁴ · Leonardo I. Ruiz-Ortega^{2,5} · Víctor H. Bustamante¹

Received: 13 February 2023 / Revised: 5 May 2023 / Accepted: 20 May 2023 / Published online: 19 June 2023 © The Author(s) 2023. This article is published with open access

Abstract

Currently, antibiotic-resistant bacteria represent a serious threat to public health worldwide. Biofilm formation potentiates both virulence and antibiotic resistance of bacteria. Therefore, the discovery of new antibacterial and antibiofilm compounds is an issue of paramount importance to combat and prevent hard-to-treat bacterial infections. Zeolitic-imidazolate-frameworks (ZIFs) are metallo-organic compounds known to have various interesting chemical and biological applications, including antibacterial properties. In this study, we synthesized ZIF-67 nanoparticles, formed by imidazolate anions and cobalt cations, and found that they inhibit the growth of *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Sub-inhibitory concentrations of ZIF-67 were also able to significantly reduce the biomass of pre-established biofilms of these pathogenic bacteria. On the other hand, the ZIF-67 nanoparticles had null or low cytotoxicity in mammalian cells at those concentrations showing antibacterial or antibiofilm activities. Thus, our results reveal the potential of ZIF-67 nanoparticles to be used against pathogenic bacteria.

Introduction

Infections triggered by antibiotic resistant bacteria are considered a major global health threat by the World Health Organization (WHO). It was estimated that in 2019 1.27

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41429-023-00637-8.

- Leonardo I. Ruiz-Ortega lr3113@columbia.edu
- Víctor H. Bustamante victor.bustamante@ibt.unam.mx
- ¹ Departamento de Microbiología Molecular, Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, C.P. 62210 Cuernavaca, Morelos, México
- ² Instituto de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, C.P. 62210 Cuernavaca, Morelos, México
- ³ Departamento de Medicina Molecular y Bioprocesos, Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, C.P. 62210 Cuernavaca, Morelos, México
- ⁴ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, 1088 Xueyuan Avenue, Shenzhen 518055, PR China
- ⁵ Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

million deaths were attributable to infections caused by antibiotic resistant bacteria [1]. Without the development and implementation of new approaches to treat bacterial disease, the number of deaths is projected to reach 10 million people per year by 2050, which may represent up to US\$ 100 trillion in health care cost [2, 3]. The WHO has published a list of pathogenic bacteria for which new antibiotics and other antibacterial compounds are urgently needed, including Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Staphylococcus aureus [4]. These bacteria have emerged as important causative agents of hospitalacquired infections, especially in patients with weakened immune systems such as the elderly and patients with diverse chronic or terminal diseases. Clinical strains of A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus commonly show multi- or even pan-antimicrobial resistance, thus making their infections hard to treat. Furthermore, these bacterial species, among many others, are able to form biofilms [5-7]. Bacterial biofilms are communities characterized by the presence of a protective matrix of biopolymers that encases and protects the cells living within it, providing them with a remarkable resistance to external insults that allows them to persist in hospital environments [8]. Nowadays, pathogenic bacterial biofilms are recognized as a serious and costly problem for human health: their resistance to environmental threats makes them hard to eliminate, and they can thus establish chronic infections. It is

estimated that cells living in a biofilm can be up to 1000 times more resistant to antibiotics than their planktonic counterparts [9]. Bacterial biofilms can be formed on a variety of medical equipment and implants such as catheters, joint prostheses and pacemakers among others, medical devices with an associated biofilm infection can suffer a loss in their functionality. Since established biofilms are difficult to eliminate, these medical devices often have to be replaced, which causes extra costs and risks to the patients [10, 11]. As a consequence of this problematic, a new scientific field of antibiofilm materials research has developed, where diverse materials and strategies are being investigated to prevent the establishment of bacterial biofilms on medical devices [11, 12]. Additionally, there is a recognized need for compounds that can eliminate bacterial biofilms once they are established.

Nanomaterials have been investigated in recent years as alternative antibacterial compounds, in particular, metal and carbon-based complexes have shown the capacity to eliminate bacteria via the release of metal ions, generation of reactive oxygen species, and other mechanisms [13, 14]. However, the potential toxicity of these materials to the patient and environment, mainly due to released metal ions, must be carefully considered as well [15]. Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are a type of nanomaterials that exhibit different properties, including antibacterial activity. MOFs consist of microporous hybrid organic-inorganic crystalline structures, composed of transition metal nodes and polyfunctional organic linkers as subunits, which can selfassemble into 3D structures and can function as molecular carriers or delivery vehicles for drugs and other compounds [16]. Transition metal-based MOFs have also shown antibacterial activity through the release of Co, Cu, Zn, and Mn ions that affect bacterial cell walls [17, 18]. Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) are a class of 3D-structured MOFs that exhibit a zeolite type structure [19]. Imidazole derivative compounds are known to have antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activities [20, 21]. However, information regarding the antibacterial activity and the mechanism of action of ZIFs is scant. Zeolitic imidazolate framework-67 (ZIF-67), formed by bridging 2-methylimidazolate anions and cobalt cations, is known to have various interesting chemical applications such as CO₂ reduction [22] and water splitting [23]. Recently,

ZIF-67 was demonstrated to accelerate healing of infected diabetic chronic wounds [24], and to show antibacterial activity against a laboratory *Escherichia coli* strain when used as ZIF-67/alginate fibers [25].

Here, we synthesized ZIF-67 nanoparticles and analyzed their antibacterial and antibiofilm activities. Interestingly, our ZIF-67 nanoparticles exhibited growth-inhibitory and antibiofilm activities against the pathogenic bacteria *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* at concentrations that show null or low toxicity against tested eukaryotic cell types.

Materials and methods

Synthesis of ZIF-67

ZIF-67 was synthesized following a previously reported procedure [26]. Briefly, 0.45 g of cobalt nitrate hexahydrate were added to 3 ml of double distilled water (solution A) and 5.5 g of 2-methylimidazole were added to 20 ml of double-distilled water (solution B). Solutions A and B were mixed and stirred at room temperature for 6 h and then the purple precipitate was collected by centrifugation. The precipitate was dried in an oven at 80 °C overnight, thus obtaining ZIF-67 powder.

Characterization of ZIF-67

X-Ray diffraction pattern of synthetized ZIF-67 nanoparticles was recorded on a Burker D8 Advanced eco equipment between 5° and 50° with a step size of 0.02°. Morphological and elemental analysis were obtained using Scanning Electron Microscope JEOL JSM-IT 500 coupled with Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS).

Bacterial strains and growth media

Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Bacteria were grown in Mueller-Hinton broth (MH, Difco; 2 g beef extract, 17.5 g casein acid digest, and 1.5 g soluble starch, in 1 liter distilled water) at 37 °C with shaking at 200 r.p.m., unless otherwise stated. Medium was supplemented with Bacto agar (15 g l^{-1}) when solid plates were required.

Bacteria	Description	Source
Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC 17978	Reference strain; Amp ^R	ATCC
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213	Reference strain	ATCC
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01	Reference clinical strain; Cm ^R , Tet ^R	[27]
Pseudomonas aeruginosa 27853	Reference strain	ATCC

Antibiotics: ampicillin (Amp), chloramphenicol (Cm), and tetracycline (Tet) *ATCC* American Type Culture Collection

this study

Table 1 Bacterial strains used

When needed, antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: $20 \,\mu g \, ml^{-1}$ gentamycin, $30 \,\mu g \, ml^{-1}$ chloramphenicol, and $12 \,\mu g \, ml^{-1}$ tetracycline.

Bacterial growth inhibition on agar plates

Bacteria were grown in MH broth up to an optical density at 600 nm (O.D._{600nm}) of 0.6. Then, a sample of the culture was diluted in fresh MH broth up to $O.D._{600nm}$ 0.05. Subsequently, 1 ml of the diluted bacterial suspension was evenly spread on MH agar plates. After an incubation of 5 min, the excess liquid was discarded, and the inoculated plates were dried in a laminar flow hood for 10 min. Then, 10 µl of ZIF-67 aqueous solution at 1, 5, 10, or 50 mg ml⁻¹ were placed on the inoculated plates. As a positive control for bacterial growth inhibition, 1 µl of antibiotic gentamycin (25 mg ml⁻¹) was placed in the center of the plate. The plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight. The presence of an inhibition.

Bacterial growth inhibition in liquid medium

Bacteria were grown in MH broth up to an O.D._{600nm} of 0.6. After this, a sample of the cultures was diluted in fresh MH broth up to an O.D._{600nm} of 0.05. Then, 180 µl of the diluted bacterial suspensions were placed in the wells of a 96-well microplate. Immediately, 20 µl of ZIF-67 aqueous solution at 6, 7, 8, or 8.5 mg ml^{-1} were added for each bacterial strain tested. As a growth inhibition control, 20 µl of MH broth containing antibiotic chloramphenicol $(12 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1})$ were added instead of the ZIF-67 solutions, whereas as a positive control for growth, 20 µl of MH broth alone were added. 2-methylimidazole and cobalt nitrate hexahydrate were also assessed at equimolar amounts to those for ZIF-67. Growth kinetics of bacteria were obtained by incubating the inoculated plates at 37 °C and 237 r.p.m for 24 h and measuring absorbance at 600 nm every 30 min, in a microplate reader Epoch2 (BioTek).

Minimum inhibitory concentrations, defined as the lowest concentration that inhibits visible bacterial growth [27], were determined as the concentration that completely inhibited growth (no change in $O.D._{600nm}$) during our growth kinetics assays.

Bacterial kill rates

Bacteria were grown in MH broth up to an O.D._{600nm} of 0.6. Afterwards the cells from 3 ml of these cultures were pelleted with centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 3 min. The supernatant was discarded and the cells were softly resuspended in 1 ml of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 1.8 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2.7 mM KCl, and 137 mM NaCl, in distilled water, pH 7.4). This washing

step was repeated three times to eliminate all leftover MH medium. Then, the bacterial suspension was adjusted to O.D._{600nm} of 0.1 in a volume of 2 mL using sterile PBS. 450 µl of the diluted bacterial suspension were mixed with 50 µl of sterile PBS, ZIF-67 at 8 500 µg ml⁻¹, or chloramphenicol at 120 µg ml⁻¹. The samples were incubated at 37 °C and 200 rpm for 4 h in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. At timepoints 0 min, 30 min, and 1, 2, and 4 h, 10 µl of the samples were used to prepare 1:10 serial dilutions using PBS. Then, 5 µl drops of each sample and their corresponding dilutions were placed on MH agar plates, and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h. Finally, the plates were photographed to examine the bacterial kill rates.

Biofilm eradication

Bacteria were grown up to an O.D.600nm of 0.6 in 2×SG broth containing 16 g nutrient broth (Difco), 2 g KCl, 0.5 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1 g glucose, 1 mM $Ca(NO_3)_2$, 1 mM MnCl₂·4H₂O and 1 µM FeSO₄ per liter distilled water [28]. After this, the bacterial cultures were diluted in fresh 2×SG broth up to O.D._{600nm} 0.05. Subsequently, 200 µl of the diluted bacterial suspensions were placed in the wells of a 96well microplate, which was then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h without agitation to permit biofilm formation. The planktonic cells were then carefully removed from the wells of the microplate via aspiration with a micropipette and the attached cells were softly washed 3 times with 200 µl of PBS. Afterwards, 200 µl of 2×SG broth containing ZIF-67 at 0, 100, 500, or $1000 \,\mu g \,\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ were added into the wells. Antibiotics vancomycin (2 and 10 µg ml⁻¹), tetracycline (4 and $12 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1}$), gentamicin (2 and $10 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1}$), and chloramphenicol ($16 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$) were also assessed. The microplate was incubated at 37 °C for additional 24 h without agitation. Then, the amount of biofilm biomass that remained attached to the microplate wells was measured by crystal violet staining as described previously [29]. Absorbance at 570 nm was determined in a microplate reader Epoch2 (BioTek).

Ethics declaration

Human erythrocytes were obtained from healthy donors. Mouse erythrocytes were obtained from C57BL/6 mice. Institutional committees approved the acquisition and isolation of human and mouse erythrocytes.

Erythrocyte hemolysis

Cytotoxicity of ZIF-67 was analyzed in murine and human erythrocytes as described previously [30]. Briefly, 500 μ l of blood was gently mixed with 4.5 ml of PBS and 10 μ l heparin (1000 u ml⁻¹) at 4 °C. This mix was centrifuged at $800 \times g$ for 10 min to obtain the cell pellet, the supernatant

was carefully eliminated, and the cells were gently resuspended in 200 µl of PBS. 90 µl of the erythrocyte suspension were mixed with 10 µl of ZIF-67 aqueous solution at 8000, 40,000 or $80,000 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$. 2-methylimidazole and cobalt nitrate hexahydrate were also assessed at equimolar amounts to those for ZIF-67. 10 µl of water or Triton X-100 (1% v/v), instead of ZIF-67 solutions, were used as negative and positive hemolysis controls, respectively. The mixes were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and then centrifuged at $800 \times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C. Hemolysis was quantified by determining the absorbance at 550 nm of the supernatants. Hemolysis percentage was calculated using the following hemolysis = $100\% \times [(A - A_0)/(A_t - A_0)]$. % formula: Where A represents the absorbance of the sample at 550 nm, A₀ and A_t represent 0% and 100% of hemolysis, obtained with water and Triton X-100, respectively.

Cytotoxicity on human lung cells

Cell viability was determined as previously reported [31]. Shortly, A549 cells (ATCC CCL-185), a human lung carcinoma cell line, were seeded in a 96-well microplate at a density of 1.5×10^4 cells per well, in 100 µl of DMEM Advanced medium (Gibco®) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U of penicillin and $50 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1}$ streptomycin (Gibco[®]). The microplates with the cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in an atmosphere with 5% CO₂. The medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing ZIF-67 at 800, 4000 or $8000 \,\mu g \, m l^{-1}$. H₂O and DMSO 30%, instead of ZIF-67, were used as negative and positive cytotoxicity controls, respectively. The A549 cells were incubated for additional 3.5 h at 37 °C in an atmosphere with 5% CO₂. Then, the medium was removed and the A549 cells were carefully washed twice with PBS. The microplate containing the A549 cells was inverted and tapped gently to remove excess liquid. 50 µl of a 0.5% crystal violet staining solution in methanol were added to each well and the microplate was incubated at room temperature for 20 min. The staining solution was removed and the A549 cells were washed with 300 µl of deionized H₂O. The plate was then inverted and placed on a paper towel to air dry for 16 h. 200 µl of methanol were added to each well and the microplate plate was incubated for 20 min at room temperature to solubilize the crystal violet dye adhered to the cells. Then, absorbance of the samples was determined at 570 nm. A decrease in the absorbance values indicates lower amounts of viable cells that remained attached to the microplate wells.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance for our experimental results was determined by Student's *t* test, using GraphPad Prism

v8.0.1 software (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA.). A $p \le 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

X-Ray diffraction of synthesized ZIF-67 nanoparticles

To determine the structural properties of the synthesized ZIF-67 nanoparticles, their X-ray diffraction was recorded. The obtained signals were in agreement with previous reports [32, 33]; peaks corresponding to the crystal planes of ZIF-67 (011, 002, 112, 022, 222, 114, 233, and 134) were observed (Fig. 1a).

Microscopy analysis of synthesized ZIF-67 nanoparticles

Morphological analysis of the ZIF-67 nanoparticles was carried out by scanning electron microscopy. As shown in Figs. 1b, c, at different magnifications, particles with a uniform dodecahedral morphology and sizes ranging from 100 to 400 nm were clearly visible from the scanning electron micrographs. Figure 1d shows a model of ZIF-67 with a Co bridging two imidazolate residues. Energy-dispersive spectra (EDS) (Fig. 1e) and elemental mapping (Fig. 1f–i) showed the presence of C, N, Co, and O elements, indicating that the ZIF-67 sample is pure and free from contamination.

ZIF-67 nanoparticles show anti-bacterial activity

Antibacterial activity of the ZIF-67 nanoparticles was tested against pathogenic bacteria. First, different concentrations of ZIF-67 in aqueous solution (1, 5, 10, and 50 mg ml⁻¹) were directly dropped on pathogenic bacteria spreads on MH agar plates and the apparition of an inhibition halo was monitored. Interestingly, at concentrations of 10 and 50 mg ml⁻¹ ZIF-67 formed visible inhibition halos on the Gram-negative bacteria *Acinetobacter baumannii* 17978 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01, as well as on the Gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* 29213 (Fig. 2a–c). As expected, the antibiotic gentamycin, used as a positive control, also formed inhibition halos on the bacteria tested (Fig. 2a–c).

We then determined the antibacterial effect of ZIF-67 in liquid medium, by obtaining growth kinetics for the bacteria tested in the presence of different concentrations of ZIF-67. In agreement with the results obtained on solid medium, the ZIF-67 nanoparticles inhibited the growth of the *A. baumannii* 17978, *P. aeruginosa* PA01, and *S. aureus* 29213 strains, from a concentration of 700 µg ml⁻¹;



Fig. 1 Analysis of synthesized ZIF-67 nanoparticles. **a** X-ray diffraction pattern of ZIF-67, **b**, **c** scanning electron micrographs at different magnifications of ZIF-67 nanoparticles, **d** model for ZIF-67 molecule. Color-coded atoms: blue, nitrogen; gray, carbon; white, hydrogen;

pink, cobalt, \mathbf{e} energy-dispersive spectra of ZIF-67 nanoparticles, and elemental mapping of ZIF-67 nanoparticles: \mathbf{f} carbon, \mathbf{g} oxygen, \mathbf{h} nitrogen and, \mathbf{i} cobalt

as expected, the antibiotic chloramphenicol, used as a positive control, also inhibited the growth of the tested bacteria (Fig. 2d-f).

Finally, we evaluated the effect of ZIF-67 on cell viability through time of exposition. Bacterial suspensions of *A. baumannii* 17978, *P. aeruginosa* PA01, and *S. aureus* 29213 were exposed to ZIF-67 ($850 \mu g m l^{-1}$) or chloramphenicol ($12 \mu g m l^{-1}$), which is a bacteriostatic antibiotic. Then, cell viability was observed by spotting samples of serial 1:10 dilutions of the bacterial suspensions on MH agar plates at different exposition times. Interestingly, ZIF-67 completely killed *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *S. aureus* cells after 0.5, 1, and 4 h of exposition, respectively (Fig. 3), which indicates a bactericidal effect of ZIF-67. As expected, chloramphenicol did not affect cell viability (Fig. 3); but it inhibited the growth of the bacteria tested (Fig. 2d–f).

In all, these results show that the ZIF-67 nanoparticles have growth-inhibitory and bactericidal activities against pathogenic bacterial species.

ZIF-67 nanoparticles induce eradication of bacterial biofilms

Biofilm eradication is a major challenge to combat infection of bacteria such as *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *S.* aureus. We were interested in investigating whether the ZIF-67 nanoparticles can affect pre-formed biofilms of these bacteria on plastic surfaces. We allowed bacteria to establish biofilms in a 96-well microplate for 24 h; then, the planktonic cells were removed and the pre-formed biofilms were exposed to different concentrations of ZIF-67; after 24 h the biofilm biomass that remained attached to the wells was quantified by crystal violet staining. Notably, the ZIF-67 nanoparticles induced eradication of biofilm formed by A. baumannii 17978, P. aeruginosa 27853 (a better biofilm former strain than P. aeruginosa PA01 in the conditions tested), and S. aureus 29213, even at concentrations (100 or $500 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$) below of the concentration (700 $\mu g \,ml^{-1}$) that inhibited the growth of these bacteria (Fig. 4). For A. baumannii 17978 and S. aureus 29213 biofilms we observed a ~ 65 % reduction in the biofilm that remained attached to the wells, as compared to the control without ZIF-67. This was the case even with the lowest tested ZIF-67 concentration (100 μ g ml⁻¹). For *P. aeruginosa* 27853 we observed a reduction of biofilm biomass only at ZIF-67 concentrations higher than $500 \,\mu g \, ml^{-1}$. We analyzed the ability to eradicate pre-formed biofilms of various antibiotics commonly used against infections caused by the bacteria in study. The antibiotics were tested at concentrations defined as inhibitory against the examined species by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [34]. None



Fig. 2 Growth inhibitory effect of ZIF-67 against pathogenic bacteria. ZIF-67 was tested at 50, 10, 5 and 1 mg ml⁻¹ on bacteria spread on MH agar: **a** *A. baumannii* 17978, **b** *P. aeruginosa* PA01, and **c** *S. aureus* 29213. The formation of inhibition halos was observed after 24 h of incubation at 37 °C. Gentamycin at 25 µg ml⁻¹ was used as a positive control (C+) for the formation of growth inhibition halos. Growth kinetics were obtained for: **d** *A. baumannii* 17978, **e** *S. aureus*

29213, and **f** *P. aeruginosa* PA01, in MH broth containing or not different concentrations of ZIF-67. Chloramphenicol at $12 \,\mu g \, ml^{-1}$ was used as positive control for growth inhibition. Only medium or medium added with water (solvent for ZIF-67; $0 \,\mu g \, ml^{-1}$) were assessed as the conditions favorable for growth. Lines represent the average of triplicates; error bars represent SEM



Fig. 3 Kill rates of ZIF-67 against pathogenic bacteria. Bacterial suspensions of *A. baumannii* 17978, *P. aeruginosa* PA01, and *S. aureus* 29213 were exposed to ZIF-67 at $850 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$, chloramphenicol (Chl)

at 12 μ g ml⁻¹ or PBS for 4 h at 37 °C. Cell viability was determined by spotting samples of serial 1:10 dilutions of the bacterial suspensions on MH agar plates at different exposition times



of the tested antibiotics demonstrated a higher antibiofilm activity than that of ZIF-67 under our experimental conditions (Supplementary Fig. S1). Together, our results

◄ Fig. 4 Antibiofilm effect of ZIF-67. Preformed biofilms of a *A. baumannii* 17978, b *S. aureus* 29213, and c *P. aeruginosa* 27853, were treated with different concentrations of ZIF-67 for 24 h and then biofilm biomass retained in the wells was quantified by crystal violet staining. Columns represent the averages of triplicates; error bars represent SEM. Data statistically different with respect to that obtained in the absence of ZIF-67 (0 µg ml⁻¹) are indicated: ns not significant; $*P \le 0.05$; $**P \le 0.009$; $***P \le 0.0009$

indicate that the ZIF-67 nanoparticles can significantly reduce established biofilms.

Toxicity analysis on mammalian cells for the ZIF-67 nanoparticles

Having shown that the ZIF-67 nanoparticles have antibacterial and antibiofilm activities, we next aimed to determine whether they are harmful towards eukaryotic cells.

Firstly, assays to evaluate hemolysis activity on human and mice erythrocytes were performed. At a concentration of 800 μ g ml⁻¹ ZIF-67 did not cause hemolysis of the erythrocytes tested (Fig. 5a, b). Important to note, this is a concentration higher than those of ZIF-67 showing antibacterial or antibiofilm activities (100, 500 or 700 μ g ml⁻¹). At concentrations 5 and 10 times higher (4000 and 8000 μ g ml⁻¹, respectively) ZIF-67 caused significant hemolysis in human or in both human and murine erythrocytes; however, this hemolytic effect was still low compared with that produced by Triton X-100 used as a positive control for hemolysis (Fig. 5a, b).

Finally, we examined whether ZIF-67 affects viability of the lung carcinoma cell line A549, which is used as a model of alveolar pulmonary epithelium [35, 36]. Interestingly, at concentrations of 800 and 4000 μ g ml⁻¹ ZIF-67 did not affect the viability of the tested lung cells, whereas at a concentration of 8000 μ g ml⁻¹ ZIF-67 caused a ~50% loss of cell viability (Fig. 5c).

Our results support that the ZIF-67 nanoparticles are not harmful for mammalian cells at concentrations where they show antibacterial and antibiofilm effects.

Discussion

In this study, we synthesized ZIF-67 nanoparticles and found that these inhibit the growth and show bactericidal activity against *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *S. aureus* (Figs. 1–3). Previously, composite materials that incorporated ZIF-67 into alginate fibers or paper were shown to possess antibacterial activity against *E. coli* [25, 37]. These materials consist of two or more distinct components that combine to form a new material with improved properties. For instance, composite alginate-based antibacterial fibers



made up of alginic acid, a polysaccharide, are developed through blend spinning with ZIF-67. These fibers demonstrate high tensile strength and antibacterial properties, making them a promising candidate for high-performance antibacterial textiles [25]. Moreover, a biodegradable

◄ Fig. 5 Analysis of hemolytic and cytotoxic activities of ZIF-67 nanoparticles. **a** Murine and **b** human erythrocytes were incubated for 1 h with different concentrations of ZIF-67 and hemolytic activity was then assessed by quantifying the release of hemoglobin. 1% Triton X-100 was used as a positive control for hemolysis. **c** Human lung carcinoma A549 cells were incubated for 3.5 h with different concentrations of ZIF-67, cell viability was then determined by crystal-violet staining. 30% DMSO was used as a positive control for cytotoxicity. Columns represent the averages of triplicates; error bars represent SEM. Data statistically different with respect to that obtained in the absence of ZIF-67 (0 µg ml⁻¹) are indicated: ns, not significant; $*P \le 0.05$; $***P \le 0.0009$

paper-based composite material modified with ZIF-67 and composed of carboxylated cellulose nanofibers exhibits robust mechanical performance under varying humidity conditions and considerable bacteriostatic effects [37]. We observed antibacterial effect of ZIF-67 in aqueous solution, against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogenic bacterial species.

Interestingly, supporting a possible use of ZIF-67 as an antibacterial compound, our ZIF-67 nanoparticles did not cause hemolysis in human and murine erythrocytes, nor affected the viability of A549 lung cells, when tested at a concentration effective against bacteria $(800 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1})$. Indeed, even concentrations 5-fold higher $(4000 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1})$ showed no cytotoxic effect against A549 lung cells nor against murine erythrocytes, and only a moderate hemolytic effect against human erythrocytes (Fig. 5). The most notable difference between different ZIF configurations is the transition metal ions incorporated within their structure. ZIF-8 is considered the most well-known type of this MOF family. It is composed of the metal cation Zn^{2+} linked to 2-methylimidazole ligand species, resulting in large cavities of approx. 1.16 nm interconnected through windows of about 0.3 nm, as calculated by X-ray diffraction analysis [38]. ZIF-8 particle size and crystallinity can vary depending on the synthesis conditions, but in general they present high porosity and surface area, which confers them potential as drug delivery systems; although ZIF-8 has been shown to have an intrinsic dose-dependent cytotoxicity [21, 39]. Interestingly, the cytotoxicity of ZIF-8 can be managed by coating its nanoparticles with different materials, which controls its release of Zn and even allows for improved anticancer-drug@ZIF-8 composites by making use of the cytotoxicity of ZIF-8 against targeted tumoral cells [40]. The cytotoxicity of ZIF-67 has not been investigated thoroughly. Sun et al. showed that the toxicity of Co ions released by ZIF-67 was lower than that of CoCl₂ when both compounds were tested in a hydrogel preparation [41]. More studies are required to better determine the biocompatibility of ZIF-67.

Previous reports indicate that cobalt has antibacterial activity, probably due to its ability to cross bacterial membranes and to exert a strong oxidative effect [42, 43]. Accordingly, in our assays cobalt nitrate hexahydrate inhibited the growth of the bacteria tested, at equimolar concentrations of those of ZIF-67 showing antibacterial activity; 2-methylimidazole (the other substrate for the synthesis of ZIF-67) partially inhibited the growth of only *S. aureus* (Supplementary Fig. S2). Nevertheless, cobalt nitrate hexahydrate showed higher hemolytic activity than ZIF-67 (Supplementary Fig. S3). Therefore, it is reasonable to think that ZIF-67 favors cobalt-mediated antibacterial activity with less toxic effect for mammalians cells than cobalt ions.

We found that the ZIF-67 nanoparticles also have antibiofilm activity, even higher than some antibiotics tested; they removed bacterial biofilm attached to a plastic surface (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the ZIF-67 nanoparticles eradicated preformed biofilms of A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, and S. *aureus* strains at concentrations of 100 or $500 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1}$, which are concentrations below that showing antibacterial effect (700 μ g ml⁻¹). These results suggest that the antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of ZIF-67 are independent. Recently, ZIF-8 and ZIF-L have been reported to possess good dose-dependent antibiofilm activity against pathogenic bacteria such as methicillin-resistant S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and Porphyromonas gingivalis, either by themselves or in combination with other compounds [44-47]. The acidic pH commonly found in bacterial biofilms favors the disintegration of ZIFs frameworks, causing an abundant release of metallic ions which can penetrate the biofilm and exert their antibiofilm effect [44]. This mechanism of metal-ion release is similar to ones previously reported for different pH-sensitive MOFs [13, 16]. In 2023, Ge et al. reported that composite nanoparticles of Na₂S₂O₈@ZIF-67 with a glucose oxide coadjuvant were able to efficiently eradicate mixed biofilms of S. aureus and Candida albicans; this antibiofilm effect was due to the generation of reactive oxygen species by Na₂S₂O₈ after it was released from a ZIF-67 carrier by low pH [48].

To our knowledge, our work presents the first report of ZIF-67 as a bona fide antibiofilm agent, showing that it can eradicate preformed bacterial biofilms by itself. Our findings, together with previous reports [21, 49], support that ZIF-67 nanoparticles could have potentially broad applications as antibacterial compounds. However, further investigations are required to determine whether this MOF can be used, alone or in combination with other compounds, to combat bacterial infections and/or eradicate bacterial biofilms in vivo.

Acknowledgements We thank G. Soberón-Chávez, M. Cocotl-Yañez, R. Franco-Cendejas, J. Silva-Sánchez, J.J. Ramos-Hernández, M. Casales-Díaz, and A. Flores-Alcantar for resources, advice, and/or technical support. This work was supported by grants from

CONACYT - México (PN 2017-01-5182) to V.H.B, from PAPIIT - UNAM (IA103022) to L.I.R.-O, and from PAPIIT - UNAM (IA201321) to M.K.K. R.G.-M. received a postdoctoral fellowship from DGAPA – UNAM.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Murray CJ, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Robles Aguilar G, Gray A, et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. Lancet. 2022;6736. https:// linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673621027240.
- 2. Humphreys G, Fleck F. United Nations meeting on antimicrobial resistance. Bull World Health Organ. 2016;94:638–9.
- Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations. IICA.INT. https://www.iica.int/en/press/news/ tackling-drug-resistant-infections-globally-final-report-andrecommendations.
- Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A, Harbarth S, Mendelson M, Monnet DL, et al. Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18:318–27.
- Jurado-Martín I, Sainz-Mejías M, McClean S. *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa: an audacious pathogen with an adaptable arsenal of virulence factors. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:3128.
- Cheung GYC, Bae JS, Otto M. Pathogenicity and virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. Virulence 2021;12:547–69.
- Harding CM, Hennon SW, Feldman MF. Uncovering the mechanisms of *Acinetobacter baumannii* virulence. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2018;16:91–102.
- Flemming HC, Wuertz S. Bacteria and archaea on Earth and their abundance in biofilms. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17:247–60. http://www.nature.com/articles/s41579-019-0158-9.
- Del Pozo JL. Biofilm-related disease. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2018;16:51–65.
- Francolini I, Donelli G. Prevention and control of biofilm-based medical-device-related infections. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2010;59:227–38.
- Veerachamy S, Yarlagadda T, Manivasagam G, Yarlagadda PK. Bacterial adherence and biofilm formation on medical implants: a review. Proc Inst Mech Eng [H]. 2014;228:1083–99.
- Cattò C, Villa F, Cappitelli F. Recent progress in bio-inspired biofilmresistant polymeric surfaces. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2018;44:633–52.

- Yougbaré S, Mutalik C, Okoro G, Lin IH, Krisnawati DI, Jazidie A, et al. Emerging trends in nanomaterials for antibacterial applications. Int J Nanomed. 2021;16:5831–67.
- Xin Q, Shah H, Nawaz A, Xie W, Akram MZ, Batool A, et al. Antibacterial carbon-based nanomaterials. Adv Mater. 2019;31:1804838.
- Vimbela G, Ngo SM, Fraze C, Yang L, Stout DA. Antibacterial properties and toxicity from metallic nanomaterials. Int J Nanomed. 2017;12:3941–65.
- Li R, Chen T, Pan X. Metal–Organic-Framework-based materials for antimicrobial applications. ACS Nano. 2021;15:3808–48.
- Feng QL, Wu J, Chen GQ, Cui FZ, Kim TN, Kim JO. A mechanistic study of the antibacterial effect of silver ions on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Biomed Mater Res. 2000;52:662–8.
- Xie Y, He Y, Irwin PL, Jin T, Shi X. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of action of zinc oxide nanoparticles against *Campylobacter jejuni*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77:2325–31.
- Kaneti YV, Dutta S, Hossain MDSA, Shiddiky MJA, Tung K, Shieh F, et al. Strategies for improving the functionality of Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks: Tailoring nanoarchitectures for functional applications. Adv Mater. 2017;29:1700213.
- Paul A, Banga IK, Muthukumar S, Prasad S. Engineering the ZIF-8 pore for electrochemical sensor applications – a mini review. ACS Omega. 2022;7:26993–7003.
- Maleki A, Shahbazi M, Alinezhad V, Santos HA. The progress and prospect of Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks in cancer therapy, antibacterial activity, and biomineralization. Adv Health Mater 2020;9:2000248.
- Becerra J, Nguyen DT, Gopalakrishnan VN, Do TO. Chemically bonded plasmonic triazole-functionalized Au/zeolitic imidazole framework (ZIF-67) for enhanced CO₂ photoreduction. Chem-SusChem. 2022;15:e202201535.
- 23. Zheng Y, Hu H, Zhu Y, Rong J, Zhang T, Yang D, et al. ZIF-67-Derived (NiCo)S₂ @NC nanosheet arrays hybrid for efficient overall water splitting. Inorg Chem. 2022;61:14436–46.
- Chen J, Bao X, Meng T, Sun J, Yang X. Zeolitic imidazolate framework-67 accelerates infected diabetic chronic wound healing. Chem Eng J. 2022;430:133091.
- Zheng X, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Wang Y, Zou L, Zhou X, et al. Highly effective antibacterial zeolitic imidazolate framework-67/ alginate fibers. Nanotechnology 2020;31:375707.
- Qian J, Sun F, Qin L. Hydrothermal synthesis of zeolitic imidazolate framework-67 (ZIF-67) nanocrystals. Mater Lett. 2012;82:220–3.
- Andrews JM. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2001;48:5–16. suppl_1
- Kobayashi K. *Bacillus subtilis* pellicle formation proceeds through genetically defined morphological changes. J Bacteriol. 2007;189:4920–31.
- Stepanović S, Vuković D, Dakić I, Savić B, Švabić-Vlahović M. A modified microtiter-plate test for quantification of staphylococcal biofilm formation. J Microbiol Methods. 2000;40:175–9.
- Marques-Garcia F. Methods for hemolysis interference study in laboratory medicine - a critical review. EJIFCC. 2020;31:85–97.
- Feoktistova M, Geserick P, Leverkus M. Crystal violet assay for determining viability of cultured cells. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2016;2016:pdb.prot087379.
- Hu Y, Song X, Zheng Q, Wang J, Pei J. Zeolitic imidazolate framework-67 for shape stabilization and enhanced thermal stability of paraffin-based phase change materials. RSC Adv. 2019;9:9962–7.

- Zhang S, Zhao M, Li H, Hou C, Du M. Facile in situ synthesis of ZIF-67/cellulose hybrid membrane for activating peroxymonosulfate to degrade organic contaminants. Cellulose. 2021;28:3585–98.
- CLSI. M100 Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 33rd ed. Pennsylvania: CLSI; 2023.
- Yaqub N, Wayne G, Birchall M, Song W. Recent advances in human respiratory epithelium models for drug discovery. Biotechnol Adv. 2022;54:107832.
- Giard DJ, Aaronson SA, Todaro GJ, Arnstein P, Kersey JH, Dosik H, et al. In vitro cultivation of human tumors: establishment of cell lines derived from a series of solid tumors. JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst. 1973;51:1417–23.
- Qian L, Lei D, Duan X, Zhang S, Song W, Hou C, et al. Design and preparation of metal-organic framework papers with enhanced mechanical properties and good antibacterial capacity. Carbohydr Polym. 2018;192:44–51.
- Park KS, Ni Z, Côté AP, Choi JY, Huang R, Uribe-Romo FJ, et al. Exceptional chemical and thermal stability of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006;103:10186–91.
- Chen P, He M, Chen B, Hu B. Size- and dose-dependent cytotoxicity of ZIF-8 based on single cell analysis. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2020;205:111110.
- Abdelhamid HN. Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks (ZIF-8) for biomedical applications: a review. Curr Med Chem. 2021;28:7023–75.
- Sun Y, Liu X, Zhu Y, Han Y, Shen J, Bao B, et al. Tunable and controlled release of cobalt ions from Metal–Organic Framework hydrogel nanocomposites enhances bone regeneration. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2021;13:59051–66.
- 42. Abdal Dayem A, Hossain M, Lee S, Kim K, Saha S, Yang GM, et al. The role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the biological activities of metallic nanoparticles. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18:120.
- Abass AA, Abdulridha WM, Alaarage WK, Abdulrudha NH, Haider J. Evaluating the antibacterial effect of cobalt nanoparticles against multi-drug resistant pathogens. J Med Life. 2021;14:823–33.
- 44. Raju P, Arivalagan P, Natarajan S. One-pot fabrication of multifunctional catechin@ZIF-L nanocomposite: assessment of antibiofilm, larvicidal and photocatalytic activities. J Photochem Photobio B. 2020;203:111774.
- Balasamy RJ, Ravinayagam V, Alomari M, Ansari MA, Almofty SA, Rehman S, et al. Cisplatin delivery, anticancer and antibacterial properties of Fe/SBA-16/ZIF-8 nanocomposite. RSC Adv. 2019;9:42395–408.
- 46. Marji SM, Bayan MF, Jaradat A. Facile fabrication of methyl gallate encapsulated folate ZIF-L nanoframeworks as a pH responsive drug delivery system for anti-biofilm and anticancer therapy. Biomimetics. 2022;7:242.
- 47. Li X, Qi M, Li C, Dong B, Wang J, Weir MD, et al. Novel nanoparticles of cerium-doped zeolitic imidazolate frameworks with dual benefits of antibacterial and anti-inflammatory functions against periodontitis. J Mater Chem B. 2019;7:6955–71.
- 48. Ge G, Wu L, Zhang F, Wang T, Han L, Kong X, et al. Na2S2O4@Co-metal organic framework (ZIF-67) @glucose oxidase for biofilm-infecting wound healing with immune activation. Int J Biol Macromol. 2023;226:1533–46.
- 49. Shahsavari M, Mohammadzadeh Jahani P, Sheikhshoaie I, Tajik S, Aghaei Afshar A, Askari MB, et al. Green synthesis of Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks: a review of their characterization and industrial and medical applications. Materials. 2022;15:447.