## AUTHOR CORRECTION

## Open Access

## Author Correction: Synergetic coordination and catecholamine chemistry for catalytic generation of nitric oxide on vascular stents

Xiangyang Li, Hua Qiu, Peng Gao, Ying Yang, Zhilu Yang and Nan Huang

Correction to: NPG Asia Materials https://doi.org/10.1038/s41427-018-0052-3 published online 06 June 2018

The published version of this article contains errors in Fig. 5a, Fig. 6a and Fig. 7a.

- In the donor (-) group of Fig. 5a the SEM images of platelets on the samples of 12.5 and 50 are the same. However, this mistake would not cause comprehension difficulty and affect the present conclusions. Because there were no NO releasing between these two groups without the supplement of donor. Thus the adhesion and spreading of platelets on these samples were almost the same.
- 2. In the donor (+) group of Fig. 6a, the fluorescence staining of HUASMCs on the samples of 25 and 50 are the same. However, this mistake would not cause comprehension difficulty and affect the present conclusions. The result of CCK-8 (Fig. 6c) showed no significant difference of cell viability for these two groups. Moreover, as we reported recently<sup>1</sup>, the surface releasing NO with a rate above  $4 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> show little difference of inhibition efficiency of HUSMCs. The catalytic generating NO

rate of both sample of 25 and 50 are above  $4 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> in this study.

In the donor (-) group of Fig. 7a, the rhodamine staining images of HUVECs (2 h) on the samples of 3.125 and 6.25 are the same, as well as the 12.5 and 25. However, this mistake would not cause comprehension difficulty and affect the present conclusions, because there were no NO releasing for these groups, thus it has little difference on adhesion and proliferation of HUVECs between these groups.

Considering all the mistakes will not affect the conclusion of this study, we want to replace the wrong images with the correct ones that provide below but would not do any change to the text.

The original article has been corrected. The authors apologize for these errors!

Published online: 15 March 2021

## Reference

 Gao, P. et al. Metal-catechol-(amine) networks for surface synergistic catalytic modification: therapeutic gas generation and biomolecule grafting. *Biomaterials* 248, 119981 (2020).

© The Author(s) 2021

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.





(left) and with (right) addition of NO donor for 2 h. Proliferation of HUASMCs cultured in cell media without (**C**) and with (**D**) addition of NO donor for 24 and 72 h. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 4) and analyzed using a one-way ANOVA, \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.





**GSH).** A Rhodamine staining, **B** cell count (calculated from at least 12 images) and **C** projected area per cell (it is calculated from at least 100 cells from six different fields) of HUVECs cultured on the samples for 2 h. Proliferation of HUVECs cultured in cell media (**C**) with and (**D**) without addition of NO donor for 24 and 72 h. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 4) and analyzed using a one-way ANOVA, \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.