ARTICLE

Open Access

Structural insights into the covalent regulation of PAPP-A activity by proMBP and STC2

Qihang Zhong^{1,2,3,4}, Honglei Chu^{1,2,3,4}, Guopeng Wang⁵, Cheng Zhang⁶, Rong Li^{1,2,3,4}, Fusheng Guo^{7,8}, Xinlu Meng¹, Xiaoguang Lei^{7,8,9}, Youli Zhou¹⁰, Ruobing Ren^{10,11}, Lin Tao¹², Ningning Li⁵, Ning Gao^{5,8}, Yuan Wei^{1,2,3,4,24}, Jie Qiao^{1,2,3,4,24} and Jing Hang^{1,2,3,4,24}

Abstract

Originally discovered in the circulation of pregnant women as a protein secreted by placental trophoblasts, the metalloprotease pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) is also widely expressed by many other tissues. It cleaves insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBPs) to increase the bioavailability of IGFs and plays essential roles in multiple growth-promoting processes. While the vast majority of the circulatory PAPP-A in pregnancy is proteolytically inactive due to covalent inhibition by proform of eosinophil major basic protein (proMBP), the activity of PAPP-A can also be covalently inhibited by another less characterized modulator, stanniocalcin-2 (STC2). However, the structural basis of PAPP-A proteolysis and the mechanistic differences between these two modulators are poorly understood. Here we present two cryo-EM structures of endogenous purified PAPP-A in complex with either proMBP or STC2. Both modulators form 2:2 heterotetramer with PAPP-A and establish extensive interactions with multiple domains of PAPP-A that are distal to the catalytic cleft. This exosite-binding property results in a steric hindrance to prevent the binding and cleavage of IGFBPs, while the IGFBP linker region-derived peptides harboring the cleavage sites are no longer sensitive to the modulator treatment. Functional investigation into proMBP-mediated PAPP-A regulation in selective intrauterine growth restriction (sIUGR) pregnancy elucidates that PAPP-A and proMBP collaboratively regulate extravillous trophoblast invasion and the consequent fetal growth. Collectively, our work reveals a novel covalent exosite-competitive inhibition mechanism of PAPP-A and its regulatory effect on placental function.

Introduction

Insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) play pleiotropic roles in cellular growth and its bioactivity could be fine-tuned by the direct binding of IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs)^{1,2}. Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) is a zinc metalloprotease that explicitly cleaves IGFBPs (primarily IGFBP4 as well as IGFBP2 and IGFBP5), thereby enhancing the local bioavailability of IGFs and promoting cell growth³. The proteolytic activity of PAPP-A could be inhibited by several modulators including proform of eosinophil major basic protein (proMBP)⁴, stanniocalcin-1 (STC1)⁵, and stanniocalcin-2 (STC2)⁶. Therefore, the modulator \rightarrow PAPP-A \rightarrow IGFBPs \rightarrow IGFs signaling cascade constitutes an elaborate regulatory axis in promoting embryonic development, osteogenesis, and metabolism, while the aberrance of this axis could result in various pathological consequences including tumor metastasis, neurodegeneration, and aging^{7–9}.

PAPP-A belongs to the pappalysin family and its catalytic domain shares only 25%–30% sequence identity with its homologous archetypes present in microorganisms¹⁰, while pappalysin structures are currently known only for the archaeal ulilysin and the bacterial mirolysin^{11,12}. The

Correspondence: Yuan Wei (weiyuanbysy@163.com) or

Jie Qiao (jie.qiao@263.net) or Jing Hang (hangjbysy@163.com)

¹Center for Reproductive Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, China

²Key Laboratory of Assisted Reproduction, Ministry of Education, Beijing, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article These authors contributed equally: Qihang Zhong, Honglei Chu, Guopeng Wang, Cheng Zhang

[©] The Author(s) 2022

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

middle tertile region of PAPP-A displays no global sequence similarity to any other metzincins, and its functions remain enigmatic¹³. In human gestation, placental trophoblasts produce prodigious PAPP-A and then release it into the circulation of both mother and fetus¹⁴. The concentration of PAPP-A in maternal blood accumulates more than hundreds-fold during the gestation process¹⁵ but in pathological pregnancies this elevation is dramatically reduced¹⁶. Accordingly, the concentration of PAPP-A in the first trimester has been widely used as a clinical biomarker in screening for Down syndrome and other pregnancy complications^{17,18}.

Interestingly, the vast majority of circulating PAPP-A in pregnancy is inactive and exists as a ~500 kDa disulfide-linked 2:2 heterotetramer with proMBP^{19,20}. ProMBP is encoded by *PRG2*, whose aberrant expression is closely related to adverse pregnancy outcomes²¹. *PRG2* is one of the most highly expressed genes in placental extravillous trophoblasts (EVTs)²², a differentiated type of placental trophoblasts that migrate deeply into decidual tissue and remodel the maternal spiral arteries, assuring the anchoring of the fetus and the blood supply at the maternal–fetal interface²³. The dysfunction of EVTs would lead to pregnancy complications²⁴ such as selective intrauterine growth restriction (sIUGR), in which one of two monochorionic twins becomes malnourished. Therefore, sIUGR is always widely used for the investigation of trophoblast function^{25,26}. Other than proMBP, STC2 is another physiological modulator of PAPP-A by forming a covalent complex with PAPP-A⁶. STC2 serves as a glycosylated hormone that exerts multiple physiopathologic functions especially in tumor progression^{27–29}.

Nevertheless, the molecular details concerning the differential interactions between PAPP-A and proMBP or STC2 are unclear. Additionally, the inhibition impact of proMBP towards PAPP-A on placental function and intrauterine fetal growth remains to be elucidated. In this study, we report the cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of PAPP-A.proMBP and PAPP-A.STC2 complexes by utilizing endogenous protein purification and depict the differential modes of inhibition in these two complexes. We also highlight the regulatory effect of PAPP-A activity on placental function. The complex structures together with the biological studies provide that the modulation of the IGF system by PAPP-A and proMBP could regulate EVT invasion and placental function, resulting in the imbalance of twin fetuses in sIUGR, therefore indicating the potential of PAPP-A modulation in clinical applications.





Results

Cryo-EM structural determination of the pregnant plasmapurified PAPP-A.proMBP complex

To assess the molecular mechanism(s) subserving PAPP-A activity and the modulation of proMBP, we purified endogenous PAPP-A·proMBP complex from pregnant human plasma and determined its crvo-EM structure. A combination of several ion-exchange and size-exclusion chromatographic separations was applied to isolate the complex (Supplementary Fig. S1a-d). The presence of full-length proMBP was confirmed by western blotting using a proMBP-specific antibody (Supplementary Fig. S1e) and non-reduced gels showed a major heterotetrameric form for PAPP-A·proMBP (Supplementary Fig. S1f). The cryo-EM analysis generated an initial dimeric 3D map at 3.64 Å resolution, and C2-symmetry expansion was subsequently applied to obtain a final 3.45-Å monomeric half map, which enabled de novo modeling of most of the PAPP-A residues (Supplementary Figs. S1g, h, S2 and Table S1). The dimer cryo-EM map contains two copies of the full-length mature PAPP-A and two copies of proMBP, exhibiting an overall butterfly-shaped structure with a dimension of $230 \text{ Å} \times 110 \text{ Å} \times 90 \text{ Å}$ (Fig. 1a). Considering the previous data that lin-12/Notch repeat (LNR) modules act *in trans* within the PAPP-A dimer³⁰, we modeled the PAPP-A-proMBP complex as a *trans*-crossed architecture (Fig. 1b; Supplementary Fig. S3a) and therefore the monomeric half model contains PAPP-A domains from two subunits (for simplicity, we used this half model in our manuscript hereafter). The proMBP subunit is tightly held by PAPP-A through multiple contacts, and only the mature region (residues 88–222) was traceable in the EM-density (Fig. 1a, c; Supplementary Video S1).

Based on the structure, we constructed a modified version of the schematic map for the multi-domain organization of PAPP-A: a laminin globular-like jellyroll fold domain (LGD, Arg1–Phe230), a proteolytic catalytic domain (PD, Leu243–Pro592) that contains two LNR modules³¹ (LNR1 and LNR2, Asn332–Tyr414), a crooked



Fig. 2 PAPP-A domain organization and the intramolecular interactions. a Color-coded overall structure of PAPP-A. Detailed features are zoomed-in in **b–e. b** The LGD contains fifteen β -strands. The hydrogen bonds between the side chains of Arg71 and Arg98 of the LGD and the main-chain hydroxyl groups of CCP2 are colored red. Phe1257 inserts into a hydrophobic pocket of LGD. **c** The PD is superimposed to its homologs ulilysin (PDB code: 2J83) and mirolysin (PDB code: 6R7V). The Zn²⁺ ion at the active site and the Ca²⁺ ions are shown in blue and green spheres, respectively. **d** The SD composed of 17 β -strands is shown in rainbow color from N-terminus to C-terminus as running from blue to red, respectively. **e** The β BD contains two β -sheets composed of eight β -strands and three small helices.

scarf domain (SD, Gly593-Gly915), a β-barrel domain (BBD, Asp916–Asp1134), a complement control protein domain (CCP, Cys1135-Cys1474) that contains five modules, and а C-terminal domain (CTD, Val1475-Gly1547) that contains the third LNR module (Fig. 1c). The central region between the PD and the CCP domain that named M1/M2 was previously poorly defined³, but in our structure, this region was unambiguously assigned and modeled as SD and BBD (Supplementary Fig. S3b-d). The density at the interface between proMBP and PAPP-A was sufficiently resolved to analyze the detailed interaction (Supplementary Fig. S3e). Besides, plenty of glycosylation sites could be unambiguously assigned (Supplementary Fig. S3f), and a few coordinated Ca^{2+} ions could be identified (Supplementary Fig. S3g). The structure not only confirmed intramolecular disulfide bonds of PAPP-A reported in previous studies³², but also identified several new disulfide pairs (Supplementary Fig. S3h, i).

The overall structure and the intramolecular interactions of PAPP-A

We first analyzed the overall architecture of PAPP-A using the half model (LGD, PD, SD, and β BD from one subunit and CCP and CTD from the other one) (Fig. 2a). The N-terminal LGD and the catalytic PD locate at both sides of SD, with a linker segment crossing through the SD, and the SD is embedded in the middle to serve as an assembly platform (Supplementary Fig. S4a). LGD consists of two antiparallel β -sheets with seven and eight strands, respectively, and interacts with CCP2 through the intervening loops (Fig. 2b). The side chains of Arg71 and Arg98 in LGD form hydrogen bonds with the main chain hydroxyl groups of CCP2, and the benzene ring of Phe1257 inserts into the hydrophobic cavity in LGD (Fig. 2b).

The catalytic PD could be superimposed onto its pappalysin homologs ulilysin and mirolysin with root-meansquare deviation (RMSD) values of 8.42 Å over 167 Ca atoms and 4.82 Å over 117 Cα atoms, respectively (Fig. 2c). The PD could also be divided into two subdomains: an N-terminal subdomain rich in secondary structure and a C-terminal subdomain mainly comprised of loops (Supplementary Fig. S4b). The active-site cleft is composed of an elongated zinc-finger motif and a functionally important Zn^{2+} ion (Supplementary Fig. S4c). A unique feature of the PAPP-A PD domain, when compared with other metzincins, is the existence of LNR1-2 modules inserted in the N-terminal subdomain which could be unambiguously assigned in the density (Supplementary Fig. S4d). The SD exhibits poor sequence identity to other proteins; based on our structure, it forms a notched dugout architecture and acts as a scaffold for the binding of PD and LGD on two sides. Seventeen β -strands comprise four antiparallel β -sheets and the first two of these are stacked into an N-subdomain and the latter two into C-subdomain (Fig. 2d).

Consisting of two antiparallel β -sheets, β BD locates at the central hub of the structure and links the main body to the following CCP wing (Fig. 2a, e). Due to the inherent flexibility of the five tandem CCP (CCP1–5) modules, only the first two are resolved at side-chain resolution. In combination with the predicted model for CCP3–5 by AlphaFold2³³, we generated a complete model for CCP1–5. There is an almost 180° bent between CCP4 and CCP5, resulting in the re-orientation of CTD for the binding to proMBP (Supplementary Fig. S4e).

Cryo-EM structure of the PAPP-A-STC2 complex

Next, we recombinantly overexpressed PAPP-A in suspended HEK293F cells to biochemically study the enzymatic properties of PAPP-A. The conserved core catalytic Glu483 residue was mutated to Alanine (E483A) to avoid auto-cleavage and serve as the loss-of-function control³⁴. After the proteins were purified to homogeneity (Supplementary Fig. S5a-c), cryo-EM was applied and a final 3.8 Å-resolution half-density map was obtained using a similar strategy as for PAPP-A.proMBP (Supplementary Fig. S5d-i). The map revealed an extra component in the structure (Supplementary Fig. S6a), which was then identified to be the co-purified endogenous STC2 (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Video S2). Unlike the two proMBP subunits that locate separately in the PAPP-A-proMBP complex, STC2 exits as a homodimer²⁷ and also forms 2:2 heterotetramer with PAPP-A (Fig. 3b; Supplementary Fig. S6b). Each STC2 contains nine α -helices and 14 cysteines, 12 of which form six pairs of intramolecular disulfide bonds and Cys211 residues from the two STC2 molecules form an intermolecular one to facilitate the dimerization (Fig. 3c). Besides, Cys120 links to Cys652 of PAPP-A and locates at the PAPP-A-STC2 interface (Supplementary Fig. S6c). All the inter- and intramolecular disulfide-bond positions in the two complexes were thoroughly analyzed based on the cryo-EM density and were also validated using mass spectrometry (Table 1; Supplementary Figs. S3h, i, S6c and Tables S3, S4).

PAPP-A alone is a highly flexible dimer

To eliminate the endogenous STC2 contamination and purify apo PAPP-A proteins, we constructed an STC2knockout (KO) HEK293T cell line utilizing the standard CRISPR-Cas9 technique (Supplementary Fig. S7a–c). Although apo PAPP-A has been purified to a high homogeneity (Supplementary Fig. S7d–f), structural analysis failed to generate a high-resolution map due to the large structural flexibility. 2D classification showed that apo PAPP-A is still a dimer but the two subunits are flexibly attached together (Supplementary Fig. S7g).



Similarly, during the data sorting of the two cryo-EM datasets, a population of particles suspiciously resembling monomer are actually PAPP-A dimer that presents pronounced instability between the two halves (Supplementary Figs. S2, S5). This observation indicates that both proMBP and STC2 play a role in stabilizing the PAPP-A dimer to adopt a relatively rigid conformation.

ProMBP and STC2 bind to PAPP-A using similar mechanisms

The overall binding properties of proMBP and STC2 are similar as they are both embedded in PAPP-A and PAPP-A uses a similar set of domains to interact with them (half of the dimer were shown for simplicity) (Supplementary Fig. S8a, b). In the PAPP-A-proMBP complex, the binding of proMBP induces a nearly 30° rotation of the β BD towards the PD (Supplementary Fig. S8c), generating an interface between the β BD and the C-terminal subdomain of PD (Supplementary Fig. S7d). In contrast, the PD from PAPP-A-STC2 complex is only attached to the SD without interacting with any other domains. As a result, the relative orientation of the LGD, PD, and SD remains unchanged in the two complexes, forming a rigid core of PAPP-A; but the β BD, CCP, and CTD have drastic translational and rotational differences and thus constitute a movable region (Supplementary Fig. S8e). The comparison of the two structures identified a twist motion for PAPP-A conformation similar to a "butterfly wing-flap" (Supplementary Video S3).

In detail, proMBP is surrounded by four parts of PAPP-A: the SD, LNR1–2 from the PD, β BD and the CTD (Fig. 4a). In addition to the previously reported covalent linkage between PAPP-A-Cys652 and proMBP-Cys16932, we also found that several other residues of PAPP-A in this region, such as Asn683 and His689, contribute to the stabilization of this interface (Fig. 4b). Besides, we identified three new interfaces that have not been reported yet: (i) the CTD-proMBP interface which is mainly composed of two pairs of cation $-\pi$ interactions (Arg214–Phe1481 and Arg208–Tyr1486) (Fig. 4c); (ii) the interaction of proMBP with LNR1–2 which is dominated by polar interactions involving a few highly charged acidic and basic residues from the two proteins (Fig. 4d); (iii) the stacking of proMBP on β BD which is formed by two perpendicular helices from the two proteins (Fig. 4e). However, as the pre-sequence (residues 1-87) of proMBP is invisible, we did not observe another previously reported disulfide bond between Cys51 of proMBP and Cys381 of PAPP-A³², yet its existence is confirmed by our disulfide-bond mass spectrometry (Table 1).

The rotation of βBD results in only three intermolecular interfaces between PAPP-A and STC2 that involve the SD,

Table 1	The cysteine residues and the dis	ulfide bonds in PAPP-A comple	xes.		
Protein	Domain	Cysteine pairing			
PAPP-A	ΓĊD				
	PD (LNR1-2)	C247-C507	C252-C577	C334–C348	C344-C360
		C377-C393	C381-C51PM	C394–C405	C503-C542
		C520-unpaired	C532-C563		
	SD	C630-C801	C633-C798	C652-C169PM/C120ST	
		C673-C755	C695-C701		
	ßBD	C867-C895	C880-C891	C903-C910	C919-C931
		C956-C990	C971-C1059	C1112-C1125	C1130-C1130
	CCP1-5	C1135-C1189	C1147-C1158	C1162–C1200	C1205-C1249
		C1220-C1230	C1234–C1262	C1266–C1319	C1282-C1293
		C1297-C1330	C1335–C1378	C1348-C1358	C1362–C1391
		C1398–C1459	C1412-C1422	C1426–C1474	
	CTD (LNR3)	C1478-C1496	C1487-C1503	C1504-C1528	C1520-C1526
proMBP	I	<u>C51–C381PA</u>	C89–C128	C104-C107	C125-C220
		C147-unpaired	C169-C652PA	C197-C212	C201-unpaired
STC2	I	C56-C70	C65-C85	C76-C125	C109-C139
		C120-C652PA	C146–C181	C197-C205	C211-C211

Bold: firstly identified in our structure; underlined: intermolecular; italic: weak density or invisible. PM proMBP, ST STC2, PA PAPP-A.



Fig. 4 Structural properties of the binding interfaces between PAPP-A and proMBP or STC2. a The representation of the four interfaces between PAPP-A and proMBP with PAPP-A shown in color-coded surface and proMBP in the cartoon. The boxes represent the close-up details shown in **b**–**e**. **b** The interface between proMBP and SD. Cys169 of proMBP and Cys652 of SD that form a disulfide bond are shown as ball-and-stick. The indole of Trp191 insets into a hydrophobic groove of the SD. Arg170 and Phe172 of proMBP form two hydrogen bonds with Val691 and His689 of SD, respectively (red dashed lines). **c** The interface between proMBP and CTD. Arg214–Phe1481 and Arg208–Tyr1486 form two cationic– π stacks (black dashed lines) and Ala187–Lys1512 form a hydrogen bond. **d** The interface between proMBP and LNR1–2. Side chains of His137 and Asn138 from proMBP and Arg338, Asn343, and Phe368 from LNR1–2 form several hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic stacks. **e** The interface between proMBP and BBD. Two perpendicular helices form several pairs of interactions that include Tyr143 of proMBP, Gln998 of βBD, Ser150 of proMBP, and Tyr996 of βBD. **f** Structural representation of the interactions between PAPP-A and STC2 (same view as in **a**). The close-up views are presented in **g** and **h**. **g** The interface between STC2 and SD (same view as in **b**). Cys120 of STC2 and Cys652 of PAPP-A form a disulfide bond. Two other hydrogen bonds are formed by Arg123 and His55 from STC2 and His689 and Asp726 from SD, respectively. **h** The interface between STC2 and CTD (same view as in **c**). The side chain of Lys104 from STC2 inserts into a groove formed by Phe1481, Tyr1486, and Phe1516, creating a strong interaction. The main chain of Met1518 of PAPP-A and Leu89 of STC2 form a hydrogen bond.

CTD, and LNR1–2 (Fig. 4f). The interface of SD with STC2 is similar to that with proMBP where Cys120 of STC2 is linked to the same Cys652 of PAPP-A (Fig. 4g), and the interface of STC2 with CTD comprises a hydrogen bond involving Met1518 and π -cation interactions involving Phe1481, which also resembles the CTD–proMBP interface (Fig. 4h). Unlike PAPP-A·proMBP complex, the LNR1–2 density in PAPP-A·STC2 complex is relatively less resolved (Supplementary Fig. S8f), indicating that its interaction with STC2 is probably weaker.

ProMBP or STC2 inhibits the substrate cleavage by steric hindrance

We next assessed the inhibitory properties of proMBP and STC2 towards PAPP-A. The STC2-KO cell line was used for preparing the PAPP-A variants (Supplementary Fig. S9a). As the full-length wild-type (WT) PAPP-A would efficiently cleave the substrate IGFBP4, the addition of either proMBP or STC2 strongly inhibited the cleavage reactions, to the extent of the inactive PAPP-A (E483A) (Fig. 5a). The binding properties of the modulators towards PAPP-A were then evaluated by microscale thermophoresis (MST), which demonstrated that the in vitro binding ability of STC2 was similar to that of STC1 (STC2 paralogue that also inhibits PAPP-A activity without formation of disulfide bonds⁵), with a dissociation constant (K_D) of ~0.3–0.4 μ M, over ten-fold higher than that of proMBP (K_D of ~6.15 μ M) (Fig. 5b), suggesting a more robust binding of STC2 are nearly 40 Å away



from the active-site cleft (Supplementary Fig. S9b), we hypothesize that these non-enzymatic domains of PAPP-A which the modulators interact with may also be involved in the binding of natural substrates. Each IGFBP substrate is composed of an N-lobe and a C-lobe connected by a flexible linker that contains the cleavage site (Supplementary Fig. S9c). PAPP-A-mediated cleavage of IGFBP4 is dependent on the presence of IGF, which is not the case for IGFBP5³⁵. We found that both substrates bind to PAPP-A with similar affinities (Fig. 5c). Since IGFBP4 and IGFBP5 possess different linkers, we constructed several peptides derived from their linker regions containing the cleavage site (4P1-4P3 for IGFBP4 and 5P1-5P3 for IGFBP5; Supplementary Fig. S9d), which were inspired from the references^{36,37}. Interestingly, their binding affinities were ~10-1000-fold lower than those of the full-length substrates; and with the gradual shortening in length and reduction of previously reported basic residues from 4P1 to 4P3 (or 5P1 to 5P3), their binding affinities to PAPP-A also decreased accordingly (Supplementary Fig. S9e, f). These results suggest that the binding of IGFBPs to PAPP-A is primarily mediated by the N- and C-lobes and that the interactions via the linker region are relatively weak.

To examine whether these peptides could be efficiently processed, we employed the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) technique and found that PAPP-A's proteolytic activity was most potent towards 4P1, followed by 5P1 and 4P2, and the other peptides were barely cleaved (Supplementary Fig. S9g). This indicates that PAPP-A is incompetent in processing peptides with significantly shortened lengths. Therefore, 4P1 was chosen as a peptide substrate model for further analysis. As negative controls, both inactive PAPP-A variant and WT PAPP-A with $ZnCl_2$ treatment had no cleavage activity (Fig. 5d). Interestingly, proMBP or STC2 conferred nearly no inhibition on the activity of WT PAPP-A towards 4P1 (Fig. 5d), which was sharply different from the cleavage of the intact substrate (Fig. 5a).

During the revision of our manuscript, Judge et al.³⁸ reported the cryo-EM structure of IGFBP5-bound PAPP-A complex (PAPP- A_{BP5}) and discovered that a so-called anchor peptide (Pro119 to Ser143) of the substrate binds at a substrate-binding groove. To test whether this is also the case for IGFBP4, we predicted the structure of the IGFBP4-bound PAPP-A complex using AlphaFoldmultimer (v2.2.0) with the smaller genetic database (reduced_dbs) selected³⁹. Indeed, a similar anchor peptide (Arg115 to Met135) is predicted to be embedded in the same groove in which one end is mediated by $\beta BD/SD$ and the other end by PD, and the N- or C-lobes of IGFBP4 are dangling outside (Fig. 5e). Overlay of this predicted IGFBP4·PAPP-A complex model with our cryo-EM structures demonstrated that the modulators sterically compete with the substrate for binding to PAPP-A outside the catalytic domain (namely exosites⁴⁰) (Fig. 5f). The K128_{BP5}/K120_{BP4} anchor residues interact with Asn683 and His781 of PAPP-A, both of which are exactly located at the interface between proMBP (or STC2) and PAPP-A (Figs. 4c, h, and 5g). Thus, upon the formation of the disulfide bond between Cys169 of proMBP and Cys652 of PAPP-A (or Cys120 of STC2 and Cys652 of PAPP-A), it is expected that the binding of the anchor peptide would be prohibited (Fig. 5g). In addition, the predicted model showed that LNR1-2 region interacts with IGFBP4 via several hydrogen bonds and CTD/LNR3 is too far away to form any interaction (Fig. 5h), but the relatively less defined densities of LNR1-2, LNR3, and the N/C-lobe of IGFBP5 prevented us from examining these predicted interactions in our density map. Nonetheless, we could conclude that the non-enzymatic domains of PAPP-A provide exosites to facilitate the binding of IGFBPs, and once proMBP or STC2 bind to PAPP-A via multiple interfaces, the induced conformational change, and the direct steric competition would lead to the inhibition of PAPP-A cleavage.

Substrate inhibition by proMBP or STC2 is independent of the oligomerization state of PAPP-A

Although the highly intrinsic flexibility at the interface between the two PAPP-A subunits results in a less resolvable density here, we still found that the dimeric interfaces contain not only the previously characterized intermolecular disulfide bond but also a strong hydrophobic pocket between the two β BD (Supplementary Fig. S10a). The monomeric variant (C1130S) was able to completely eliminate the formation of homodimer (Supplementary Fig. S10b, c, lanes 2 vs lanes 3), consistent with a prior result³². We then evaluated the complex formation and the inhibitory property of the modulators towards these PAPP-A variants. As shown in our structure (Fig. 1b), proMBP itself is a monomer. While proMBP formed a 2:2 tetramer with WT PAPP-A (Supplementary Fig. S10b, lane 4), it could only partially form a 1:1 dimer with C1130S variant (Supplementary Fig. S10b, lane 5). In contrast, the dimeric STC2 efficiently induced the formation of a 2:2 heterotetramer with the C1130S variant of PAPP-A (Supplementary Fig. S10c, lane 5).

The proteolytic assay revealed that C1130S still retained a comparable activity towards IGFBP4/IGF-2 as the WT PAPP-A (Supplementary Fig. S10d, top panel, lane 4–5), similar to a previous observation³⁰, suggesting that the covalent dimerization of PAPP-A is not necessary for the regulation of PAPP-A activity towards IGFBP4. Moreover, in the presence of either proMBP or STC2, the protease activity of the monomeric mutant is similarly inhibited as WT PAPP-A (Supplementary Fig. S10d, lane 4 vs lane 5), demonstrating that the modulator-mediated inhibition is not dependent on the dimer formation of PAPP-A. Taken together, our studies disclose that the modulators inhibit PAPP-A through direct competition with the substrate for the non-catalytic exosites independent of the dimerization of PAPP-A.

Aberrant regulation of PAPP-A activity by proMBP in EVT lineage is closely related to abnormal placentation

As PAPP-A and proMBP are abundantly expressed in the placenta, we then evaluated the function of PAPP-A proteolytic activity and the modulation of proMBP on placentation. The multilayered placenta is the only maternal-fetal interface that is composed of several specialized trophoblast cell types (Fig. 6a), while placental dysfunction results in various types of pregnancy complications and fetal anomalies⁴¹. We first examined the distribution of PAPP-A and proMBP using immunofluorescence (IF). PAPP-A is markedly co-localized with human leukocyte antigen G (HLA-G), a specific biomarker of EVTs in the placenta, from both first- and third-trimester pregnancies (Fig. 6b, d). A similar colocalization was observed for proMBP and HLA-G (Fig. 6c, e), indicating a possible regulatory function of PAPP-A and proMBP in EVTs. To gain insight into the effect of PAPP-A and proMBP on EVTs, we performed the transwell assay and found that the overexpression of WT PAPP-A promoted both migration and invasion of the HTR8/SVneo cells without influencing its proliferation, but this effect was abrogated by the presence of proMBP, similar to that of inactive PAPP-A (Fig. 6f, g; Supplementary Fig. S11a–c). As the inhibitory complex



formation was detected in the culture medium (Supplementary Fig. S11d), the abrogated promotion of proMBP may be caused by its covalent inhibition towards PAPP-A. The slightly compromised cell invasion by the presence of proMBP alone was probably caused by its inhibition of endogenous PAPP-A activity (Fig. 6f).

Since the sIUGR twins possess identical genetic backgrounds and maternal environments, sIUGR is usually characterized by attenuated trophoblast invasion⁴² and is widely used for the investigation of trophoblast function. Our high-throughput proteomic analysis of placentas from sIUGR exhibited little difference in the expression levels of PAPP-A between the IUGR-twin and its normal cotwin (Normal-cotwin), but proMBP was significantly elevated in the IUGR-twin (Fig. 6h; Supplementary Table S5). This phenomenon was also observed from the published single-cell transcriptomic data that showed extremely high transcriptional levels of PAPP-A and PRG2 in EVTs (Supplementary Fig. S11e)⁴³. We also found that IGF-2 is much more abundant in the EVTs (Supplementary Fig. S11e), consistent with the finding that IGF-2 is required for the continuous expansion of the placental microvasculature and fetal growth⁴⁴. The expression patterns of PAPP-A and proMBP in umbilical cord blood of sIUGR twins were similar to those in the placentas, which displayed unchanged PAPP-A levels but elevated proMBP concentration in the IUGR-twin (Fig. 6i, j; Supplementary Table S2). These results cooperatively suggest that the overexpression of proMBP in the IUGR-twin of sIUGR would suppress the PAPP-A activity and impair EVT implantation, therefore resulting in the imbalanced growth of sIUGR twins, highlighting the significance of proMBP regulation of PAPP-A proteolysis on placental function.

Discussion

As a key physiopathological molecule, PAPP-A and its downstream IGF signaling pathway members are promising diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets in pregnancy and cancer^{45,46,47}. The activity of PAPP-A is fine-tuned by proMBP or STC2 through covalent association, yet the structural and molecular basis remains largely elusive. In this study, the atomic cryo-EM structures of PAPP-A·proMBP and PAPP-A·STC2 complexes and the relevant biochemical and biological data reveal a wealth of information for the mechanistic interpretation



of the PAPP-A's proteolytic activity and functional involvement in placental disorders (Fig. 7).

In gestation, the autocrine function of PAPP-A expressed by EVTs is to promote the migration and invasion of the placenta. As proMBP is simultaneously expressed with PAPP-A, the complex assembly may either occur intracellularly or outside cells after secretion. Compared to the co-expression experiments described in Fig. 5a, the addition of the purified modulator proteins to PAPP-A-transfected cells completely failed to inhibit the activities of all variants (Supplementary Fig. S12a). This indicates that the extracellular environment, at least the medium, is not conducive to covalent complex formation. The superimposition of LG4 from laminin- α 2 (A2LG4) on LGD shows that the glycan-binding site of A2LG4⁴⁸ is co-localized with the interface between LGD and CCP2 (Supplementary Fig. S12b). If the LGD possesses oligosaccharide-binding ability as well, the CCP2-LGD interaction would mask its binding site toward cell surface glycosaminoglycan (GAG). As previously reported^{49,50}, the highly basic cluster of negatively charged residues located at CCP2-4 may also mediate the cell surface adhesion through electrostatic interactions with GAG (Supplementary Fig. S12c). The mature form of proMBP is known to bind heparin; and in the PAPP-A-proMBP complex, this binding site is partially blocked by the CTD interaction (Supplementary Fig. S12d). Depending on these structural observations, we propose that both the active PAPP-A and proMBP would be tethered to the cell surface; when proMBP (or STC2) meets PAPP-A: (i) CCP2-LGD association may abolish the GAG-binding ability of LGD, (ii) CCP2-4 may adopt conformational changes to dissociate from GAG, and (iii) the CTD-proMBP interaction may release the heparinbinding of proMBP. Accordingly, the assembled PAPP-A·proMBP complex is detached from the cell surface and released into circulation, resulting in 99% of circulatory PAPP-A inhibited by proMBP and supporting the celldependent detachment of the complex⁵¹. PAPP-A is also reported to mediate the distal adipose tissue remodeling⁵², yet we do not completely understand how a covalently linked complex disassembles when it reaches adipose tissue and how PAPP-A rescues its proteolysis. Another possibility is that its function in adipose tissue is only mediated by the locally produced PAPP-A. If so, we still do not know why the placenta secretes such abundant PAPP-A and releases it into circulation. This paradox requires further investigation to uncover the disassembly rationale and the function of the inhibitory PAPP-A·proMBP complex in pregnancy.

Upon the traditional PAPP-A overexpression, we occasionally obtained the PAPP-A protein co-purified with the endogenous STC2 and solved the complex structure. On the contrary, STC1 was not detected in our system (Supplementary Fig. S13), indicating a more abundant expression of STC2 in HEK293F cells. However, the STC2-contaminated PAPP-A only accounted for a small amount, and the majority appeared as apo PAPP-A dimer that is too dynamic for the high-resolution structure determination (Supplementary Figs. S5, S7). Considering that the expression patterns vary significantly for proMBP and STC2 (Supplementary Fig. S14), we hypothesize that their binding may not be competitive and may bring out differential outcomes. Therefore, the proteolysis of PAPP-A must be fine-tuned to adjust its versatile roles under various conditions. Apart from modulating placental anomalies, some studies have delineated other physiological roles for PAPP-A, proMBP, and STC2.

PAPP-A has a promoting function in cancer and longevity^{7,53}. The degradation of IGFBPs by PAPP-A in preovulatory follicles might constitute a critical factor in leading to the selection of dominant follicles^{54,55}. With the establishment of the tissue model and single-cell RNA profiles^{56,57}, more precise roles for PAPP-A activity will be discovered. ProMBP is abnormal in large-for-gestationalage (LGA) and shows mislocation in fetal membranes 58,59. STC2 is regarded to be highly related to human height, as some STC2 variants containing the height-increasing alleles display compromised proteolytic inhibition of PAPP-A, leading to increased cleavage of IGFBP4^{60,61}. These novel findings illustrate that regulation of PAPP-A activity constitutes an extraordinarily important signaling pathway, and in turn, highlight the significance of our present structural interpretations and biochemical analyses about PAPP-A complexes.

In summary, our determination of atomic structures of PAPP-A-proMBP and PAPP-A·STC2 complexes revealed the molecular basis for PAPP-A's proteolytic activity and the inhibitory mechanism underlying the covalent modulators. The correlation between PAPP-A–proMBP dysfunction and impaired EVT invasion in sIUGR provides the impetus for further studies on their cause-and-effect relationships. Combined with the key evolutionary role of the IGF system, our work provides a crucial framework for a proMBP(STC2) \rightarrow PAPP-A \rightarrow IGFBPs signaling cascade and suggests the possibility for future drug development and subsequent therapeutic applications.

Materials and methods

Protein purification of PAPP-A-proMBP complex from the pregnant plasma

We applied the endogenous purification as previously described¹⁹ with modifications. Approximately 20 mL plasma derived from ~50 pregnant women were added with 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, followed by the addition of PEG6000 (Merck, 807491) to a final concentration of 10%. A protease inhibitor cocktail containing aprotinin at 1.3 μ g/mL, pepstatin at 1 μ g/mL, leupeptin at 5 μ g/mL, $1 \,\mathrm{mM}$ phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, and Amresco, 0754) was added. After stirring at 4 °C for 1 h, the precipitate was removed by centrifugation at 4000 rpm at 4 °C for 20 min and further centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for another 20 min. The supernatant was filtered with a 0.45-µm filter membrane, loaded to ion exchange (SOURCE-15Q, GE Healthcare), and eluted with 20 mM Tris-Na, pH 8.0, and an increasing concentration of NaCl from 50 mM to 1 M as liner gradient. In order to determine which fractions contained the PAPP-A·proMBP complex, we applied western blot analysis with the PAPP-A antibody (Santa Cruz, sc-365226). Fractions that contained target proteins were collected and concentrated to 2 mL, and then MonoS Buffer A

(20 mM Citrate–Na, pH 4.5, 50 mM NaCl) of 30 mL was slowly added to reduce the salt concentration. The resulting solution was then applied to ion exchange (MonoS 5/50 GL, GE Healthcare) and eluted with an increasing concentration of NaCl from 50 mM to 1 M. Finally, the protein was concentrated and applied to gel filtration chromatography (Superose-6 10/30, GE Healthcare) in the phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.4). The peak fractions were concentrated and stored at -80 °C.

Plasmid construction, transient expression, and recombinant protein purification

The full-length human *PAPP-A* cDNA was optimized and synthesized, and the mature form (residues 1–1547) was sub-cloned into the pCAG vector with an IgG kappa signal peptide and an N-terminal maltose-binding protein (MBP)-His₁₀ tag. Point mutations were introduced using a standard two-step polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and homologous recombination. All constructs were confirmed precisely by sequencing.

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293F cells were cultured in SMM 293T-II medium (Sino Biological Inc.) at 130 rpm at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ in a Multitron-Pro shaker (Infors). When the cell density reached 2.0×10^6 cells/mL, 2 mg of the plasmid was transiently transfected with 4 mg polyethylenimine hydrochloride (Polysciences) for each liter cell. 72 h later, the cell pellets were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and filtered using 0.45-µm microporous membrane, and further concentrated by vivaflow[®]200 (Sartorious) equipped with peristaltic pump (LONGER).

For purification of the recombinant PAPP-A, the concentrated cell medium was loaded to the Ni Smart Beads 6FF (Smart Lifesciences) equilibrated with lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES-K, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂) and incubated at 4 °C for 15 min. The beads were washed three times with lysis buffer supplemented with 5 mM imidazole, and the bounded protein was eluted with lysis buffer plus 300 mM imidazole after incubation at 4 °C. The eluent was concentrated to ~5 mL and loaded on a HiTrap Heparin HP ion exchange (Cytiva) and separated with NaCl gradient. Finally, the eluted protein was applied to size exclusion chromatography (Superose-6 Increase 10/300 GL, GE Healthcare) in the PBS buffer.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and data acquisition

Holy-carbon gold grids (Quantifoil, R1.2/1.3) were treated with Solarus 950 plasma cleaner (Gatan) with a 4:1 ratio of O_2/H_2 for 60 s for glow-discharge before cryo-EM sample preparation. 4 µL aliquots of freshly prepared PAPP-A-proMBP or PAPP-A-STC2 (0.2 mg/mL) complex were applied on the grids, blotted with filter paper (Whatman No. 1) with force set to -2 for 0.5 s at 4 °C and

100% humidity, and plunge-frozen in the liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI).

The cryo-grids were screened on a 200 kV Talos Arctica microscope equipped with an FEI Ceta camera and a K2 Summit direct electron detector (Gatan). Data collection was carried out with Titan Krios electron microscope (FEI) operated at 300 kV.

Images were recorded with a K2 Summit direct electron detector (Gatan) in the super-resolution mode at a nominal magnification of 130,000× and a dose rate of 8 e⁻/s/pixel. Movies were recorded semi-automatically using the SerialEM software⁶². A GIF Quantum energy filter (Gatan), with a slit width of 20 eV was used at the end of the detector. The defocus range was set from -0.7 to $-1.2 \,\mu$ m. The total exposure time was 8.32 s, and intermediate frames were recorded every 0.26 s. 32 frames per image were acquired. Statistics for data collection are summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

Imaging processing

For the PAPP-A-proMBP complex, a total of 9228 movie stacks were recorded (calibrated pixel size 1.052 Å). Raw movie frames were aligned and averaged into motion-corrected summed images by MotionCor2⁶³. The Gctf program (v1.06)⁶⁴ was used to estimate the contrast transfer function (CTF) parameters of each motioncorrected image. All the following data processing was performed with Relion⁶⁵. 926 particles were manually picked and subjected to 2D classification to generate templates for automatic particle picking. A total of 1,497,910 particles were then auto-picked from the 8646 images that were manually selected from the motioncorrected images. The picked particles were subjected to one round of 2D classification, and 889,811 particles were selected for subsequent 3D classification. The initial model was generated from the selected particles with high quality. Two classes from the 3D classification were selected for 3D refinement with C2 symmetry imposed, resulting in a map at an overall 3.64 Å resolution after mask-based post-processing (gold-standard FSC 0.143 criteria). To optimize the local density, symmetry expansion and focused classification/refinement were further performed and a final map was produced at 3.45 Å resolution. The local resolution map was analyzed using Relion and displayed using UCSF Chimera⁶⁶. Workflow of the data processing was illustrated in Supplementary Fig. S2.

The processing of the PAPP-A·STC2 datasets (calibrated pixel size 1.055 Å) was similarly completed (Supplementary Fig. S5).

Atomic model building and refinement

The PAPP-A model was manually de novo built using Coot⁶⁷. Due to poorly resolved densities of the flexible

regions, residues 1265–1396 of PAPP-A (mainly corresponding to CCP3–5) were rebuilt from a reference model generated by AlphaFold2³³. The model of proMBP in the map of the PAPP-A·proMBP complex was homologously built based on a published structure (PDB code: 1H8U)⁶⁸. The model of STC2 in the map of the PAPP-A·STC2 complex was de novo built depending on the sequences and the density map. The models for the PAPP-A·STC2 and PAPP-A·proMBP complexes were further refined in real space using PHENIX⁶⁹. Model validation was summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

Purification of IGFBP4

The cDNA for *IGFBP4* was sub-cloned into the pCAG with a C-terminal His₆-tag. Similar to that of PAPP-A protein, the purification of the secreted IGFBP4 was achieved by Ni Smart Beads 6FF. After 15 min incubation of the supernatant, the beads were successively washed with lysis buffer plus 5 mM imidazole and eluted with lysis buffer plus 250 mM imidazole. The eluted protein was concentrated to ~2 mL with Amicon[®] Ultra-15 ultra-filtration tube (Millipore) and applied to Superdex-200 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) in PBS buffer.

Construction of the STC2-KO HEK293T cells

The HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM (C11995500BT, Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, 10099141C, Gibco). For transfection, cells were digested with 0.25% trypsin (Gibco) to single cells. The STC2-KO HEK293T cells were generated by traditional CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing. Two pairs of gRNAs (A1: TTGCAGCCCTTCACCGAATG(-TGG), A2: GGGTTT CGACCGGTCAGCTT(-GGG); and B1: CACCCGGATC CCTAATTAAA(-AGG), B2: GACCCTGGGATAAC CGAAGT(-GGG)) were pre-incubated with Cas9 protein to form ribonucleoproteins and transfected into 293T cells by electroporation (Celetrix LLC). The monoclonal cell population was selected by limiting dilution. The genotype of knockout cells was confirmed by PCR, sequencing, and western blotting (Supplementary Fig. S7). Three pairs of primers were used for PCR (F1: CTCTGCTCT ACCGGCTCTTG, R1: GGGCAATGCAGAGAGGTCAT; F2: CACTGTTTGGTCAACGCTGG, R2: CATCCTGT GGGATTGCCCTT; F3: CTCTGCTCTACCGGCTC TTG, R3: CATCCTGTGGGGATTGCCCTT). Colony C8 was identified as homozygote.

In vitro PAPP-A enzymatic cleavage of IGFBP4

We used the culture supernatant of various PAPP-A constructions and the recombinant IGFBP4 protein for enzymatic cleavage reaction. The protein expressions were standardized by western blot analysis using anti-MBP-tag antibody (EARTHOX, E022240-01) (Supplementary Fig. S9a). The empty vector was transfected and the

corresponding medium was used as a control (mock). IGFBP4 was pre-incubated with access to IGF-2 (Sino Biological Inc.) on ice for 30 min. Then IGFBP4/IGF-2 proteins were mixed with an equal amount of various PAPP-A and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. The total reaction volume was adjusted to 10 μ L with PBS. The final concentrations in cleavage reactions were 800 nM for IGFBP4 and 4 μ M for IGF-2. Reactions were then visualized by western blotting and probed for visualization with IGFBP4 antibodies (Abcam, ab205581). Background degradation was also assessed without the addition of any medium.

Western blot analysis

Protein samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (IPVH00010, Millipore). The membranes were blocked by 5% (w/v) Skim Milk (232100, BD) at room temperature for 1 h and incubated with the primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight with an appropriate dilution ratio. Then the membranes were washed with the TBS-T buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% (w/v) Tween-20) for three times. The horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated-secondary antibodies (P03S02M, Gene-Protein Link) were incubated for 1 h and washed similarly, and visualized under automatic chemiluminescence imaging analysis system (Tanon). All the uncropped gels were presented in Supplementary Fig. S15.

MST

The equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) values for protein-protein and protein-peptide interaction were measured using the Monolith NT.115 Capillaries (Nano-Temper Technologies, München, Germany). The purified PAPP-A was firstly adjusted to a concentration of 2 µM and then fluorescently labeled by Protein Labeling Kit **RED-NHS** (NanoTemper Technologies, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Peptides, substrates, and modulator proteins were serially diluted in binding buffer (PBS buffer containing 0.05% Tween-20) and then mixed and incubated with an equal volume of 24 nM labeled PAPP-A at room temperature for 30 min. The sample was loaded into the NanoTemper glass capillaries and micro-thermophoresis was carried out using 20% light excitation power and medium MST power. The data were obtained from triplicate independent experiments and the $K_{\rm D}$ values were calculated using the signal from an MST-on time of 1.5 s by the Nano-Temper Monolith affinity software using 1:1 binding mode. Flag peptide (DYKDDDDK) was used as a negative control without any signals (data not shown).

FRET

We selected the linker regions of IGFBP4 and IGFBP5 as peptide substrates. The modified peptides were

synthesized by Scilight-Peptide company (Beijing, China). In detail, modified peptides carry an *o*-aminobenzoic acidmodified lysine mutant residue [Lys(Abz)] at the position of Ser131 or Lys139, and a 3-nitrotyrosine-modified tyrosine mutant residue [Tyr(NO₂)] at the position of Gly139 or Gly147 for IGFBP4 and IGFBP5, respectively. For the enzyme kinetics test, we monitored peptide cleavage using a fluorescence plate reader (EnVisoin, PerkinElmer, USA) in a 384-well plate (code 3570, Corning) by following the increase in relative fluorescence units (RFU) at 420 nm emission with 310 nm excitation, with buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0 and 1 mM CaCl₂.

Mass spectrometry for the disulfide-bond formation

The PAPP-A protein samples (200 µg) were desugarized by PNGase F (P0704S, New England Biolabs) in ultracentrifuge tubes (PALL Corporation) at 37 °C overnight. After centrifugation at $11,000 \times g$ for 10 min, the free sulfhydryl group was blocked by N-ethylmaleimide (NEM, ES04260, JSENB). 10 µg Trypsin (V5117, Promega) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h. Then, chymotrypsin (C4129, LABLEAD) was added and incubated at 37 °C overnight for an enzymatic hydrolysis reaction. After the enzymatic hydrolysis reaction, the sample was divided into two tubes. One tube was acidified by adding 1% FA for disulfide bond analysis. The other tube was added with 10 mM DTT (0281, AMRESCO) for reduction reaction, and was then alkylated with iodoacetamide (VT335, JSENB) at a final concentration of 20 mM followed by acidification with 1% FA.

For liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) analysis, the peptides were separated by a 65-min gradient elution at a flow rate of 0.300 μ L/ min with the Thermo EASY-nLC1200 integrated nano-HPLC system which is directly interfaced with the Thermo Q Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer.

Ethical approval, participants, plasma and placenta collections

The present study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Peking University Third Hospital (No. 2020-310-02) and the included puerperants were informed of our objectives and signed the appropriate informed consent. The remaining plasma after routine blood examination from women in late pregnancy (\geq 34 weeks) was collected and pooled. Placentas in the first trimester were obtained from pregnant women undergoing elective termination of pregnancy at 8 weeks of gestation, and those in the third trimester were obtained from women undergoing age was determined by ultrasound. All the samples were obtained at the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Peking University Third Hospital.

IF analysis of paraffin-embedded tissues

Placental and decidual tissues were fixed with paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Serial sections were cut into 5 µm, deparaffinized and the antigens were retrieved. Subsequently, slides were blocked and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C, and then washed and incubated with secondary antibodies. Images were acquired by a fluorescence microscope (LSM710, Zeiss). The antibodies used were: murine monoclonal antibodies against PAPP-A (Santa Cruz, sc-365226)⁷⁰, rabbit monoclonal antibodies against PRG2 (Abcam, ab154655), and murine/rabbit monoclonal antibodies against HLA-G (Abcam, ab283260). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Tissues were classified as decidua basalis when the prominent invasion of $HLA-G^+$ EVTs was noticed. Three samples from each pregnancy group (first and third trimesters of pregnancy) were analyzed.

Cell proliferation, migration, and invasion assays

Human trophoblast HTR8/SVneo cell line was kindly provided by Dr. Hongmei Wang from the Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. HTR8/SVneo cells were cultured in 1640 medium supplemented with 10% characterized FBS, 10 units/mL penicillin and 10 mg/mL streptomycin (Millipore, USA) and maintained in humidified incubators at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen, USA). Empty vector (mock) was transfected as a control.

Cell proliferation was measured by a Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) detection kit (Cat # CK04, Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Japan). The cells were seeded in a 96-well plate and treated with plasmid transfection. At the indicated time points, 10 μ L of CCK-8 solution was added, followed by incubation at 37 °C for 2 h, and the absorbance at 450 nm was determined.

After being transfected for 36 h, 150 μ L resuspended cells (2 × 10⁵ per mL) were plated in the upper chamber of each transwell (Corning, New York), then inserted into the bottom chamber containing 800 μ L complete 1640 medium (10% FBS) for migration assay. After 48 h culture, the transwells were fixed in methanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution. Cells remaining in the upper chamber were removed. For invasion assay, transwells pre-coated with matrigel (Corning, New York) were used instead of normal ones, while the other procedures were similar to those of migration assay. The polycarbonate membrane carrying penetrated cells was cut off, sealed with resin, and then observed under 200× microscope. Cells in captured images were counted with ImageJ and measured with SPSS 21.0 software.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Umbilical cord blood of the IUGR-twin and Normalcotwin from sIUGR pregnancies were collected at delivery and frozen and stored at -80 °C. The thawed plasma was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min. Supernatants were analyzed with the Human PAPP-A ELISA Kit (AL-101-I, AnshLabs) and Human PRG2 ELISA Kit (BJ12242020, Aviva Systems Biology) following the manufacturer's procedures.

Acknowledgements

Cryo-EM data were collected in the Electron Microscopy Laboratory of Peking University. We thank Zhenxi Guo and Xia Pei for facilitating data collection. We thank Dr. Hongmei Wang for her help with data analysis. We thank Dr. Jiansuo Zhou for plasma collection. We thank Dr. Min Huang for facilitating mass spectrometry analysis. This work was supported by grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFC2702904 and 2018YFC1004403), the Beijing Nova Program (Z201100006820010 and 20220484160), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82071658 and 82272858), the National Clinical Research Center for Obstetrics and Gynecology (Peking University Third Hospital) (BYSYSZKF2021006), the Ganghong Youth Scholarship at the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, and the Beijing Outstanding Young Scientist Program (BJJWZYJH01201910001001).

Author details

¹Center for Reproductive Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, China. ²Key Laboratory of Assisted Reproduction, Ministry of Education, Beijing, China. ³Beijing Key Laboratory of Reproductive Endocrinology and Assisted Reproduction, Beijing, China. ⁴National Clinical Research Center for Obstetrics and Gynecology, Beijing, China. ⁵State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology, School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China. ⁶Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China. ⁷Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Ministry of Education, Department of Chemical Biology, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Synthetic and Functional Biomolecules Center, Peking University, Beijing, China. ⁸Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China. ⁹Institute for Cancer Research, Shenzhen Bay Laboratory, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China. ¹⁰School of Life and Health Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China. ¹¹Shanghai Key Laboratory of Metabolic Remodeling and Health, Institute of Metabolism and Integrative Biology, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. ¹²Department of Orthopedics, First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

Author contributions

This work was performed by all authors. J.H., J.Q., and Y.W. conceived and designed the experiments. Q.Z., H.C., G.W., and C.Z. performed the protein purification and the majority of biochemical experiments. G.W., N.G., and J.H. performed the cryo-EM data analysis. C.Z. performed cellular and histochemical experiments. F.G. and X.L. performed the FRET. Y.W. and X.M. collected samples and clinical patient information. R.R. and Y.Z. helped construct the cell lines. N.L. performed the AlphaFold prediction. R.L. and L.T. directed the study. Q.Z., H.C., G.W., N.G., J.Q., and J.H. analyzed the data. J.H. wrote and edited the manuscript with input from all authors.

Data availability

The cryo-EM maps of 2:2 PAPP-A-proMBP heterotetramer, 1:1 PAPP-A-proMBP subcomplex (half map), 2:2 PAPP-A-STC2 heterotetramer, and 1:1 PAPP-A-STC2 subcomplex (half map) have been deposited at the EMDB with the accession codes of EMD-34738, EMD-33621, EMD-34739, and EMD-33622, respectively. The corresponding atomic models have been deposited at the RCSB PDB with the accession codes of 8HGG, 7Y5N, 8HGH, and 7Y5Q, respectively.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-022-00502-2.

Received: 23 October 2022 Accepted: 25 November 2022 Published online: 22 December 2022

References

- 1. Junnila, R. K. et al. The GH/IGF-1 axis in ageing and longevity. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 9, 366–376 (2013).
- Pollak, M. The insulin and insulin-like growth factor receptor family in neoplasia: an update. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 12, 159–169 (2012).
- Monget, P. & Oxvig, C. PAPP-A and the IGF system. Ann. Endocrinol. (Paris) 77, 90–96 (2016).
- Overgaard, M. T. et al. Expression of recombinant human pregnancyassociated plasma protein-A and identification of the proform of eosinophil major basic protein as its physiological inhibitor. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 31128–31133 (2000).
- Kloverpris, S. et al. Stanniocalcin-1 potently inhibits the proteolytic activity of the metalloproteinase pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 21915–21924 (2015).
- Jepsen, M. R. et al. Stanniocalcin-2 inhibits mammalian growth by proteolytic inhibition of the insulin-like growth factor axis. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 3430–3439 (2015).
- Conover, C. A. & Oxvig, C. PAPP-A and cancer. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 61, T1–T10 (2018).
- Baxter, R. C. IGF binding proteins in cancer: mechanistic and clinical insights. Nat. Rev. Cancer 14, 329–341 (2014).
- Conover, C. A. & Oxvig, C. PAPP-A: a promising therapeutic target for healthy longevity. *Aging Cell* 16, 205–209 (2017).
- Tallant, C., Garcia-Castellanos, R., Seco, J., Baumann, U. & Gomis-Ruth, F. X. Molecular analysis of ulilysin, the structural prototype of a new family of metzincin metalloproteases. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 17920–17928 (2006).
- Guevara, T., Rodriguez-Banqueri, A., Ksiazek, M., Potempa, J. & Gomis-Ruth, F. X. Structure-based mechanism of cysteine-switch latency and of catalysis by pappalysin-family metallopeptidases. *IUCrJ* 7, 18–29 (2020).
- Garcia-Castellanos, R. et al. Substrate specificity of a metalloprotease of the pappalysin family revealed by an inhibitor and a product complex. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 457, 57–72 (2007).
- Boldt, H. B. & Conover, C. A. Pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A): a local regulator of IGF bioavailability through cleavage of IGFBPs. *Growth Horm. IGF Res.* 17, 10–18 (2007).
- Lin, T. M., Galbert, S. P., Kiefer, D., Spellacy, W. N. & Gall, S. Characterization of four human pregnancy-associated plasma proteins. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 118, 223–236 (1974).
- Folkersen, J., Grudzinskas, J. G., Hindersson, P., Teisner, B. & Westergaard, J. G. Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A: circulating levels during normal pregnancy. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 139, 910–914 (1981).
- Kirkegaard, I., Uldbjerg, N. & Oxvig, C. Biology of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A in relation to prenatal diagnostics: an overview. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 89, 1118–1125 (2010).
- Wald, N. J., Watt, H. C. & Hackshaw, A. K. Integrated screening for Down's syndrome based on tests performed during the first and second trimesters. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **341**, 461–467 (1999).
- Pillai, R. N., Konje, J. C., Tincello, D. G. & Potdar, N. Role of serum biomarkers in the prediction of outcome in women with threatened miscarriage: a systematic review and diagnostic accuracy meta-analysis. *Hum. Reprod. Update* 22, 228–239 (2016).
- Oxvig, C., Sand, O., Kristensen, T., Gleich, G. J. & Sottrup-Jensen, L. Circulating human pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A is disulfide-bridged to the proform of eosinophil major basic protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 12243–12246 (1993).
- Oxvig, C., Sand, O., Kristensen, T., Kristensen, L. & Sottrup-Jensen, L. Isolation and characterization of circulating complex between human pregnancyassociated plasma protein-A and proform of eosinophil major basic protein. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1201**, 415–423 (1994).

- 21. Weyer, K & Glerup, S. Placental regulation of peptide hormone and growth factor activity by proMBP. *Biol. Reprod.* **84**, 1077–1086 (2011).
- Windsperger, K et al. Extravillous trophoblast invasion of venous as well as lymphatic vessels is altered in idiopathic, recurrent, spontaneous abortions. *Hum. Reprod.* 32, 1208–1217 (2017).
- Kaufmann, P., Black, S. & Huppertz, B. Endovascular trophoblast invasion: implications for the pathogenesis of intrauterine growth retardation and preeclampsia. *Biol. Reprod.* 69, 1–7 (2003).
- 24. Lunghi, L., Ferretti, M. E., Medici, S., Biondi, C. & Vesce, F. Control of human trophoblast function. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **5**, 6 (2007).
- Chang, Y. L. et al. Clinical outcome and placental territory ratio of monochorionic twin pregnancies and selective intrauterine growth restriction with different types of umbilical artery Doppler. *Prenat. Diagn.* 29, 253–256 (2009).
- Gratacos, E. et al. A classification system for selective intrauterine growth restriction in monochorionic pregnancies according to umbilical artery Doppler flow in the smaller twin. Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. 30, 28–34 (2007).
- Joshi, A. D. New insights into physiological and pathophysiological functions of Stanniocalcin 2. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne) 11, 172 (2020).
- Hjortebjerg, R., Thomsen, K. L., Agnholt, J. & Frystyk, J. The IGF system in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with prednisolone or infliximab: potential role of the stanniocalcin-2/PAPP-A/IGFBP-4 axis. BMC Gastroenterol. 19, 83 (2019).
- Qie, S. & Sang, N. Stanniocalcin 2 (STC2): a universal tumour biomarker and a potential therapeutical target. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 41, 161 (2022).
- Weyer, K et al. A substrate specificity-determining unit of three Lin12-Notch repeat modules is formed in trans within the pappalysin-1 dimer and requires a sequence stretch C-terminal to the third module. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 10988–10999 (2007).
- Boldt, H. B. et al. The Lin12-notch repeats of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A bind calcium and determine its proteolytic specificity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 38525–38531 (2004).
- Overgaard, M. T. et al. Complex of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A and the proform of eosinophil major basic protein. Disulfide structure and carbohydrate attachment. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 2106–2117 (2003).
- Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 596, 583–589 (2021).
- Boldt, H. B. et al. Mutational analysis of the proteolytic domain of pregnancyassociated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A): classification as a metzincin. *Biochem. J.* 358, 359–367 (2001).
- Qin, X., Byun, D., Lau, K. H., Baylink, D. J. & Mohan, S. Evidence that the interaction between insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-II and IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-4 is essential for the action of the IGF-II-dependent IGFBP-4 protease. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **379**, 209–216 (2000).
- Laursen, L. S. et al. Substrate specificity of the metalloproteinase pregnancyassociated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) assessed by mutagenesis and analysis of synthetic peptides: substrate residues distant from the scissile bond are critical for proteolysis. *Biochem. J.* 367, 31–40 (2002).
- Gyrup, C., Christiansen, M. & Oxvig, C. Quantification of proteolytically active pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A with an assay based on quenched fluorescence. *Clin. Chem.* 53, 947–954 (2007).
- Judge, R. A. et al. Structure of the PAPP–ABP5 complex reveals mechanism of substrate recognition. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 5500 (2022).
- Evans, R. et al. Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.04.463034 (2021).
- Mikkelsen, J. H. et al. Inhibition of the proteolytic activity of pregnancyassociated plasma protein-A by targeting substrate exosite binding. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 16772–16780 (2008).
- Godfrey, K. M. The role of the placenta in fetal programming-a review. *Placenta* 23 (Suppl. A), S20–S27 (2002).
- Hack, K. E. et al. Placental characteristics of monochorionic diamniotic twin pregnancies in relation to perinatal outcome. *Placenta* 29, 976–981 (2008).
- Liu, Y. et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals the diversity of trophoblast subtypes and patterns of differentiation in the human placenta. *Cell Res.* 28, 819–832 (2018).
- Sandovici, I. et al. The imprinted lgf2-lgf2r axis is critical for matching placental microvasculature expansion to fetal growth. *Dev. Cell* 57, 63–79.e8 (2022).
- Hjortebjerg, R. IGFBP-4 and PAPP-A in normal physiology and disease. Growth Horm. IGF Res. 41, 7–22 (2018).
- Whigham, C. A. et al. The untapped potential of placenta-enriched molecules for diagnostic and therapeutic development. *Placenta* 84, 28–31 (2019).

- Jepsen, M. R. et al. Increased activity of the metalloproteinase PAPP-A promotes diabetes-induced glomerular hypertrophy. *Metabolism* 132, 155218 (2022).
- Hohenester, E. Laminin G-like domains: dystroglycan-specific lectins. *Curr. Opin.* Struct. Biol. 56, 56–63 (2019).
- Laursen, L. S. et al. Cell surface targeting of pregnancy-associated plasma protein A proteolytic activity. Reversible adhesion is mediated by two neighboring short consensus repeats. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 47225–47234 (2002).
- 50. Weyer, K et al. Cell surface adhesion of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A is mediated by four clusters of basic residues located in its third and fourth CCP module. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **271**, 1525–1535 (2004).
- Glerup, S. et al. Cell surface detachment of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A requires the formation of intermolecular proteinase-inhibitor disulfide bonds and glycosaminoglycan covalently bound to the inhibitor. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 1769–1778 (2007).
- Rojas-Rodriguez, R. et al. PAPPA-mediated adipose tissue remodeling mitigates insulin resistance and protects against gestational diabetes in mice and humans. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **12**, eaay4145 (2020).
- Mohrin, M. et al. Inhibition of longevity regulator PAPP-A modulates tissue homeostasis via restraint of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Aging Cell* 20, e13313 (2021).
- Rivera, G. M. & Fortune, J. E. Selection of the dominant follicle and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-binding proteins: evidence that pregnancy-associated plasma protein A contributes to proteolysis of IGF-binding protein 5 in bovine follicular fluid. *Endocrinology* **144**, 437–446 (2003).
- Gerard, N., Delpuech, T., Oxvig, C., Overgaard, M. T. & Monget, P. Proteolytic degradation of IGF-binding protein (IGFBP)-2 in equine ovarian follicles: involvement of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) and association with dominant but not subordinated follicles. *J. Endocrinol.* 182, 457–466 (2004).
- Cui, K. et al. Establishment of trophoblast-like tissue model from human pluripotent stem cells in three-dimensional culture system. *Adv. Sci. (Weinh)*, 9, e2100031 (2022).

- 57. Rasmussen, M. et al. RNA profiles reveal signatures of future health and disease in pregnancy. *Nature* **601**, 422–427 (2022).
- 58. Zhang, E. T. et al. PRG2 and AQPEP are misexpressed in fetal membranes in placenta previa and percretadagger. *Biol. Reprod.* **105**, 244–257 (2021).
- Assi, E. et al. Placental proteome abnormalities in women with gestational diabetes and large-for-gestational-age newborns. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res. Care* 8, e001586 (2020).
- Lango Allen, H. et al. Hundreds of variants clustered in genomic loci and biological pathways affect human height. *Nature* 467, 832–838 (2010).
- Marouli, E. et al. Rare and low-frequency coding variants alter human adult height. *Nature* 542, 186–190 (2017).
- 62. Mastronarde, D. N. Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements. *J. Struct. Biol.* **152**, 36–51 (2005).
- Zheng, S. Q. et al. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 14, 331–332 (2017).
- Zhang, K. Gctf: real-time CTF determination and correction. J. Struct. Biol. 193, 1–12 (2016).
- Zivanov, J. et al. New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. *Elife* 7, e42166 (2018).
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 25, 1605–1612 (2004).
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 486–501 (2010).
- Swaminathan, G. J. et al. Crystal structure of the eosinophil major basic protein at 1.8 angstrom—an atypical lectin with a paradigm shift in specificity. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 26197–26203 (2001).
- Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 213–221 (2010).
- Itoh, G. et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts educate normal fibroblasts to facilitate cancer cell spreading and T-cell suppression. *Mol. Oncol.* 16, 166–187 (2022).