

ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

Ionizing radiation induces cells with past caspase activity that contribute to the adult organ in *Drosophila* and show reduced Loss of Heterozygosity

Sarah Colon Plaza¹ and Tin Tin Su 1^{\square}

© The Author(s) 2024

There is increasing recognition that cells may activate apoptotic caspases but not die, instead displaying various physiologically relevant consequences. Mechanisms that underlie the life-or-death decision in a cell that has activated apoptotic caspases, however, are incompletely understood. By optimizing a published reporter for past caspase activity, we were able to visualize cells that survived caspase activation specifically after exposure to ionizing radiation in *Drosophila* larval wing discs. We found that cells with *X*-ray-induced *past active caspases* (XPAC) did not arise at random but were born at specific locations within the developing wing imaginal discs of *Drosophila* larvae. Inhibiting key components of the apoptotic pathway decreased XPAC number, suggesting that apoptotic signaling is needed to induce XPAC cells. Yet, XPAC cells appeared in stereotypical patterns that did not follow the pattern of IR-induced apoptosis, suggesting additional controls at play. Functional testing identified the contribution of *wingless* (*Drosophila* Wnt1) and Ras signaling to the prevalence of XPAC cells. Furthermore, by following irradiated larvae into adulthood, we found that XPAC cells contribute to the adult wing. To address the relationship between XPAC and genome stability, we combined a reporter for past caspase activity with *mwh*, an adult marker for Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH). We found a lower incidence of LOH among XPAC compared to cells that did not activate the reporter for past caspase activity. In addition, at time points when wing disc cells are finishing DNA repair, XPAC cells show an anti-correlation with cells with unrepaired IR-induced double-stranded breaks. Our data suggest that non-lethal caspase activity safeguards the genome by facilitating DNA repair and reducing LOH after transient exposure to X-rays. These results identify a physiological role for non-lethal caspase activity during recovery from radiation damage.

Cell Death Discovery (2024)10:6; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-023-01769-4

INTRODUCTION

An exciting emerging field is based on the recognition that cells may activate apoptotic caspases but not die and, instead, display various physiologically relevant consequences such as axon pruning and reduction of the cytoplasm during sperm maturation (for reviews [1-4]). In one variation, a cell may return to life from the brink of apoptotic death in a process called anastasis [2, 5-7], which is seen in cultured cells exposed to a variety of death stimuli including ethanol [8], a broad-spectrum kinase inhibitor Staurosporine and a small molecule BCL-2 antagonist [9], or ion beam radiation [10]. Removal of death-inducing stimuli before apoptosis is completed allows some cells to recover even after displaying apoptotic features such as cleaved caspases, mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization, or phosphatidylserine flipping. Cells that underwent anastasis are not only alive but are capable of proliferation and initiating tumors. How cells can activate apoptotic caspases but not die is still poorly understood. Dissecting this phenomenon requires the ability to detect cells that activated caspases but did not die. Two genetic reporters for past caspase activity have been described in Drosophila melanogaster [11, 12]. CasExpress and Caspase Tracker rely on the G-trace lineage tracing system driven by the transcriptional activator GAL4 with a cell-membrane tether that includes a recognition sequence, DQVD, for effector caspase Drice (*Drosophila* caspase 3/7) [13]. Upon Drice activation, the tether is cleaved and GAL4 enters the nucleus to initiate the expression of UAS-FLP recombinase, which catalyzes a recombination event to cause permanent GFP expression. Both sensors show widespread non-lethal caspase activity in nearly every tissue during *Drosophila* development [11, 12]. The CasExpress reporter was used in a screen for regulators of developmental non-lethal caspase activity that identified apical caspase Dronc, caspase inhibitor p35, prosurvival signaling component Akt, transcription factor dCIZ1, and Ras [14]. One of these, dCIZ1, was shown to promote survival after caspase activation by heat shock, oncogenic stress, and X-rays.

The use of CasExpress and Caspase Tracker to detect IR-induced non-lethal caspase activation has been challenging due to strong developmental signals from these biosensors even when GAL4 activity is temporally controlled with the temperature-sensitive repressor GAL80^{ts} [15]. We wanted to study cells with past caspase activity induced by ionizing radiation (IR) and not by developmental events. IR is used to treat more than half of cancer patients where therapeutic success relies on IR's ability to induce apoptosis. Therapy failure can result if cells initiate but do not complete apoptosis after IR exposure, making it important to understand the molecular mechanisms that regulate the

¹Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0347, USA. ¹²mail: tin.su@colorado.edu

Received: 30 May 2023 Revised: 1 December 2023 Accepted: 7 December 2023 Published online: 05 January 2024

production of such cells. Here, we report an optimized temperature shift protocol that out-performs published protocols by increasing the ratio of IR-induced to constitutive signal from ~2-4:1 to ~20:1. This allows us to detect cells with past caspase activity that results specifically from exposure to X-rays, a type of IR. We found that cells with X-ray-induced past active caspases (XPAC) do not arise at random but are born at specific locations within the developing wing imaginal discs of Drosophila larvae. Inhibition of apoptotic signaling reduced the prevalence of XPAC cells. Yet, XPAC cells appear in stereotypical patterns that do not follow the pattern of IR-induced apoptosis, suggesting additional controls at play. Functional testing identified the Wg (Wnt1) pathway and Ras signaling as such controls. By following irradiated larvae into adulthood, we found that XPAC cells contribute to the adult wing. Anastasis has been associated with increased genome instability in immortalized mammalian cells [7, 9, 10]. On the other hand, widespread non-lethal caspase activity is detected during normal Drosophila development, raising the possibility that such activity may be neutral or even beneficial to healthy cells. We found that XPAC cells show reduced Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH), suggesting that non-lethal caspase activity safeguards the genome after transient exposure to X-rays in Drosophila.

RESULTS

Optimizing temperature shift conditions to detect cells with past ionizing radiation-induced caspase activity

In our published work with Caspase Tracker, we raised the larvae at 18 °C and shifted them to 29 °C to inactivate $GAL80^{ts}$ from 0 to 6 h

after exposure to 4000 R (40 Gy) of X-rays [15] (Fig. 1A, T = 29 °C). 4000 R is typically used in *Drosophila* studies (e.g. [16],) because it kills about half of the cells in a wing disc (see cleaved caspase data below) but is still compatible with regeneration and survival as viable adults can emerge [17]. We chose a 6 h temperature shift based on the literature. *hid* and *rpr*, which encode SMAC orthologs and are essential for IR-induced caspase activation, are transcriptionally induced at 2 h after IR [18]. Caspase cleavage and staining with the vital dye Acridine Orange (AO) are detectable at 3 h after IR; AO stains apoptotic and not necrotic cells in *Drosophila* [19]. By 4 h after IR, *hid/ rpr* expression has declined compared to the 2 h time point, although cleaved caspases and AO staining remain visible for up to 24 h after IR [18]. Based on these results, a 6 h window immediately after IR would capture the bulk of IR-induced caspase activation.

Even with limited (6 h) activation of GAL4, wing discs from unirradiated larvae show GFP⁺ cells (Fig. 1C', quantified in Fig. 1B, 29° –IR datasets), as we reported before [15]. Although the GFP⁺ area increased significantly with irradiation by 3-fold for Caspase Tracker and 4-fold for CasExpress (Fig. 1D', quantified in B), the high constitutive signal means about 66% of Caspase Tracker>GFP cells and about 75% of CasExpress > GFP cells in irradiated discs are X-ray-induced while the remainder is from developmental caspase activation. To eliminate the GFP signal from the latter, we tried using lower temperatures to inactivate GAL80 in the protocol in Fig. 1A (Fig. 1E–G'), using CasExpress that showed smaller variance than Caspase Tracker –IR (Fig. 1B). We found that we could go as high as 26 °C and still keep the CasExpress > GFP area to near zero in unirradiated discs (Fig. 1G', quantified in B) while IR increased the GFP⁺ area significantly (Fig. 1H', quantified in B).



Fig. 1 Optimizing temperature shift conditions to detect cells with X-ray-induced past caspase activity. Larvae were treated as in Fig. 1A where $T = 29^{\circ}$, 26°, 22° or 18 °C as indicated on the panels. Wing discs were dissected at 72 h after exposure to 0 R (–IR) or 4000 R (+IR), fixed, stained for DNA, and imaged for DNA and GFP. CasTrk = caspase tracker, CasEx = CasExpress. The genotype of the wing discs shown was CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+ in all panels except (I) which shows a control CasExpress mutant with a DQVD > DQVA mutation that renders the GAL4 membrane tether caspase-resistant. Scale bar = 120 microns and applies to all image panels. A The experimental protocol. B Fractional area of each disc that is GFP⁺ was quantified in Image J. *p*-values were calculated using a 2-tailed *t*-test. The numbers in the brackets are the number of discs analyzed in two to four biological replicate experiments per sample. Error bars show mean \pm 15EM. C–C' An unirradiated disc shows GFP⁺ cells even after a short (6 h) pulse of heat inactivation of GAL80^{ts} at 29 °C. D–D' An irradiated disc shows more GFP⁺ cells with the same temperature shift as in (C). E–G' Unirradiated discs show few GFP⁺ cells when the temperature shift for 6 h is to 18 °C (E), 22 °C (F), or 26 °C (G–G'). H–H' An irradiated disc from larvae shifted to 26 °C shows a robust GFP signal. I Discs from caspase-resistant CasExpress mutant larvae treated exactly as in (H). J Discs from larvae treated exactly as in (H) but with no temperature shift.



Fig. 2 XPAC cells are capable of proliferating. Larvae of the genotype CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+ were treated as in Fig. 1A where T = 26 °C. Wing discs were dissected at 24, 48, and 72 h after exposure to 4000 R, fixed, and stained for DNA. The discs were imaged for GFP (A–C), DNA (A'–C'), and RFP (A"–C"). N = number of discs examined in three biological replicates for 24 h and 2 biological replicates each for 48 and 72 h. The arrow points to a singlet GFP⁺ cell and the arrowhead points to a doublet of GFP⁺ cells in panel (A). Scale bar = 120 microns and applies to all panels.

A control CasExpress sensor with a DQVD \rightarrow DQVA mutation showed no GFP⁺ cells even with IR (compare Fig. 1I–H'), indicating that GFP⁺ cells report effector caspase activity specifically. Returning the larvae back to 18 °C immediately after irradiation (that is, without any temperature shift) eliminated GFP⁺ cells (Fig. 1J), indicating that the production of GFP⁺ cells is GAL4dependent. Because of the low GFP⁺ area without IR at 26 °C, the 'signal to noise' ratio increased to 20-fold, meaning most (>90%) of GFP⁺ cells +IR discs were cells with X-ray-induced past active caspases (XPAC). Subsequent experiments use the protocol in Fig. 1A with T = 26 °C.

XPAC cells proliferate

The data in Fig. 1 were from 3 days (d) after irradiation. To study GFP⁺ XPAC cells soon after they form, we performed a time course (Fig. 2). GFP⁺ cells were not observed at 6 h after IR but were readily detectable at 24 h after IR (Fig. 2A). At this timepoint, most GFP⁺ cells were either singlets or in small groups of 2–5 cells. These results agree with the published timings of checkpoint arrest, recovery, and cell doubling in the wing disc. 4000 R of X-rays stop the cell cycle via checkpoint activation, with the S phase and mitosis resuming at about 6 h after irradiation [16]. Cell doubling times in 3rd instar wing discs average 12 h [20]. Therefore, at 24 h after irradiation, cells would have divided on average just once, which can be seen as the appearance of singlets (arrow) and doublets (arrowhead) in Fig. 2A. At 48 h after irradiation, single GPF+ cells were rare, and most cells were in clones of 4-30 cells each (Fig. 2B). Clone size increased further at 72 h after IR, which was the last time point taken before we lost the larvae to pupariation (Fig. 2C). We conclude that XPAC cells are capable of clonogenic proliferation. Real time marker RFP was not observed presumably because the return to 18 °C restored GAL80^{ts} and repressed GAL4.

The prevalence and the location of XPAC cells change with development

Our collection of larvae spanned 24 h in age and showed a range of developmental stages as seen in wing discs of different sizes and degrees of epithelial folding (Fig. 3A–D, arrowheads). We noticed three trends in the prevalence and the location of GFP⁺ XPAC cells at 24 h after IR. First, smaller discs showed more GFP⁺

area relative to the disc size than larger, more developed discs (compare Fig. 3D' to Fig. 3A'). A plot of % GFP⁺ disc area against disc size illustrates this trend (Fig. 3G '6–7 d at IR'). The area serves as a surrogate for cell number because we are analyzing a singlelayer columnar epithelium in the wing disc. We quantified clone area instead of clone number to avoid errors in assigning cells to clones, especially as XPAC cells appear close together (Fig. 2A-C). By using an early (24 h) time point, we were catching XPAC cells soon after recovery and initiation of CasExpress expression, but before cell division made a significant contribution to the clone area (see preceding section). We interpreted the dependence of XPAC prevalence on disc size to mean that as the disc increased in developmental age, X-rays were able to induce fewer cells that activated caspases and survived. This correlation was confirmed by aging the larvae for an additional 24 h at 18 °C before irradiation. This produced larger, more developed discs. Importantly, the same relationship between disc size and % GFP⁺ area was seen in the older cohort (Fig. 3G, '7-8d at IR'), except that overall values shifted to the right as expected for older discs. Separating discs based on the absence or presence of epithelial folds, a criterion used before to separate discs according to development [21], led to the same conclusion; more developed discs had fewer XPAC cells (Fig. 3H).

The second trend we noticed was that the distribution of GFP⁺ cells in each disc was not homogenous. The notum (future body wall or 'n' in Fig. 3A') had fewer GFP⁺ cells than the future wing ('w' in Fig. 3A' includes the hinge and the pouch). This was true regardless of disc size. The third trend was that when the number of GFP⁺ cells declined in larger discs, their loss began in the distal pouch (* in Fig. 3A'-C'), leaving a ring of GFP⁺ cells (Fig. 3C', arrows).

Apoptosis signaling can increase or decrease XPAC prevalence

We next investigated the role of apoptotic signaling in XPAC cells. Using UAS-transgenes, we increased apoptotic signaling with hid and rpr together or rpr alone and decreased apoptotic signaling with a dominant negative version of apical/initiator caspase Dronc or p35 (effector caspase inhibitor) (Fig. 3I). This meant that only in cells that had initiated caspase activation and translocated GAL4 into the nucleus as part of the CasExpress system would express the transgenes cell autonomously. Thus, we were specifically studying mechanisms that kept cells alive after they began to activate apoptotic caspases. We also used heterozygotes for the H99 chromosomal deficiency that removes hid, rpr, and grim and has been shown to reduce and delay IR-induced apoptosis [18]; the effect of H99 would be throughout the organism and not just cellautonomous or conditional. We analyzed discs with no folds because their robust XPAC incidence (>20%) provides room to detect changes up or down. Expressing UAS-dsRNA against a neutral gene (w RNAi) had little effect, ruling out the possibility that any effect we saw with apoptosis pathway genes was due to the dilution of GAL4 with additional UAS sites (Fig. 3J).

We found that both increased and decreased apoptotic signaling reduced XPAC prevalence (Fig. 3I). This seemingly paradoxical result could be explained by the threshold model wherein active caspases cleave and activate other caspases [22, 23], thus amplifying caspase activity to reach a certain level needed for cell death [24]. We propose a second, lower threshold for successful recombination and permanent GFP expression (Fig. 3K). Here, initial Drice activity translocates GAL4 into the nucleus but Dronc^{DN} and p35 become expressed to prevent the amplification needed to reach the threshold for successful recombination and permanent GFP expression. H99 would reduce effector caspase activity, preventing some cells from activating CasExpress, thus also reducing the number of GFP⁺ cells. At the other end of the continuum, increasing effector caspase activity with hid/rpr would push cells over the threshold for cell death, reducing the number of GFP⁺ cells that activated apoptotic caspases but lived. In sum, we propose that decreasing or



Fig. 3 XPAC prevalence in development and the effect of apoptotic signaling. Larvae of the genotype CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+ were treated as in Fig. 1A where T = 26 °C. Wing discs were dissected at 24 h after exposure to 4000 R, fixed, and stained for DNA. Scale bar = 120 microns and applies to all image panels. *p*-values were calculated using a 2-tailed t-test. Error bars show mean ± 1SEM. **A**–**D**' The discs were imaged for DNA (**A**–**D**) or GFP (**A**'–**D**'). Hinge folds are visible in DNA images of more developed discs and are indicated with arrowheads in (**C** and **D**). n = notum and w = future wing that includes the hinge and the pouch. The most distal region of the future wing is indicated with '' in (**A**'–**C**'); GFP⁺ cells clear from this region before the rest of the future wing. A ring of GFP⁺ cells is indicated with arrows (**C**'). **E**–**E**' CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+ larvae that also carried one copy of UAS-hid, rpr on the X chromosome were treated as in (**A**–**D**). **F**–**F**' CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/UAS-w RNAi larvae were treated as in (**A**–**D**). **G** The % of disc area that is GFP⁺ was quantified from discs such as those shown in **A**'–**D**' and plotted against the total disc area. The number of discs analyzed is in parentheses. The data are from 3 (6–7 d) and 2 (7–8 d) biological replicates each. **H** The % of disc area that is GFP⁺ was quantified from discs such as those in **A** and **B**) and discs with epithelial folds (more developed discs such as those in **C** and **D**). The data are from six biological replicate samples of larvae (FA[±] and T) The % of disc area that is GFP⁺ was quantified from discs such as those shown in **E**' and **F**¹ and plotted against the total disc area. The number of CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+. Hid, rpr = UAS-hid, UAS-rpr/+ or Y; CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+. Tr = UAS-rpr/+ or Y; CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+. DroncDN = CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/UAS-porc^{DN}. H99 = CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/H99. p35 = CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}

increasing apoptotic signaling will keep a cell out of the intermediate zone of caspase activity needed to produce a GFP^+ cell, thus explaining the results.

Developmental changes in XPAC induction does not correlate with apoptosis or cell proliferation

We next addressed why the prevalence of GFP⁺ cells declined in more developed discs. One possibility is cell cycle exit; non-

dividing cells are known to be refractory to apoptosis, for example in *Drosophila* eye disc [25]. Unlike in the eye disc, however, cells in the wing disc continue to proliferate throughout larval stages except for a 4-cell wide Zone of Non-proliferating Cells (ZNC) along the dorsoventral boundary in the late 3rd instar wing disc that arrests in G1/G2 [26]. Cell cycle exit is unlikely to explain the reduction in XPAC prevalence with developmental stage for two reasons. First, at 24 h after IR when cells have already recovered



Fig. 4 Apoptosis and mitosis are widespread throughout the wing disc. The larvae of the genotype CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+ were treated as in Fig. 1A where T = 26 °C. Scale bar = 120 microns and applies to all panels. **A**–**C**["] Wing discs were fixed and stained with an antibody to phospho-S10 on Histone H3, a mitotic marker. The discs were also stained for DNA and visualized for CasExpress>GFP. The arrows point to the notum and the dashed lines mark the pouch. **D**–**F**["] Wing discs were fixed and stained with an anti-cleaved-Dcp1 antibody to detect cells that activated apoptotic caspases. The discs were also stained for DNA and visualized for CasExpress > GFP. The arrows point to the notum and the * marks the distal pouch. **G**–**J** Wing discs were stained live with the vital dye Acridine Orange which reports on apoptotic cells. The brackets mark the hinge region that is resistant to IR-induced apoptosis. The arrows point to the notum and the * marks the distal pouch.

from checkpoint arrest [16], antibody staining for phospho-Histone H3, a mitotic marker, showed robust and wide-spread mitoses including in most developed discs in our samples where we see no XPAC cells (Fig. 4C–C"). Specifically, we noted robust mitotic activity in the notum (arrows) and the pouch (between dashed lines) that includes the future ZNC. Second, the notum and the pouch have similar cell doubling times [21]. Yet, as the wing disc develops, XPAC cells are lost from the notum before they are lost from the pouch (Fig. 4B"). We conclude that cell cycle exit is unlikely to be the cause for XPAC reduction that accompanies development.

The possibility that X-rays were less efficient at inducing caspase activity in more developed discs is also unlikely. Cleaved active effector caspase Dcp1 (caspase 3/7) was absent without irradiation (Fig. S1) but was readily discernable in irradiated discs regardless of whether they showed robust XPAC induction (Fig. 4D" and E") or only a few detectable GFP⁺ cells (Fig. 4F"). The notum (arrow) and the distal pouch (*) in particular showed robust cleaved Dcp1 signal yet displayed reduced XPAC prevalence as the discs matured. AO staining 4 h after IR confirmed the induction of widespread cell death at the developmental stages we study (Fig. 4G–J; the brackets indicate the hinge cells that we showed before are refractory to IR-induced apoptosis [25]). We conclude that while apoptotic caspase activity is necessary to generate XPAC cells (Fig. 3), it is not sufficient to explain the spatiotemporal distribution of XPAC cells, which does not match the spatiotemporal distribution of IR-induced caspase activation. We infer that other mechanisms operate on top of caspase activation to decide whether a cell with active caspases lives or dies.

Wg and Ras signaling modulate XPAC prevalence

The changing pattern of XPAC cells, as discs develop, suggests that developmental signaling pathways may play a role. We focused on Wg (Wnt1) and Ras because they are active in the regions of the wing disc with dynamic XPAC prevalence and are known to influence cell survival. For example, XPAC cells clear

from the distal pouch around a line of Wg-expressing cells (yellow arrowhead in Fig. 5C) while they persist near the Wg Inner Ring (white arrowhead in Fig. 5C). As we did for apoptosis genes in Fig. 3, we used UAS-transgenes to express Wg, Axin (Wg inhibitor) or RAS^{G12V} (constitutively active RAS) specifically in CasEx-GAL4active cells for 6 h after IR. All three transgenes significantly lowered the XPAC prevalence (Fig. 5E-H), which is reminiscent of how both increased and decreased apoptotic signaling lowered XPAC prevalence (Fig. 3I). The lower XPAC prevalence we saw after inhibition of Wg is unlikely to be indirect via delayed development because less developed discs showed more XPAC. Given the known anti-apoptotic role of Wg [25], the model in Fig. 3K could explain the Wg/Axin results. Specifically, inhibiting Wg with Axin could drive cells over the threshold for cell death while increased Wg could keep the cell below the threshold for CasEx > GFP. Staining for cleaved active Dcp1 supported this idea (Fig. 5I); active Dcp1 was detected in approximately 30% of the disc area and Wg reduced while Axin increased this number. The threshold model can also explain the results with RAS^{G12V}. Ras/MAPK signaling is known to inhibit caspase activation, for example by direct phosphorylation and inhibition of Hid during Drosophila eye development [27]. Inhibition of Drice activity by RAS^{G12V} could keep the cell below the threshold for CasEx>GFP, lowering XPAC prevalence (Fig. 5G, H). RAS^{G12V} reduced the cleaved Dcp1 signal (Fig. 5I), supporting this idea.

Cells with past caspase activity show reduced IR-induced LOH

We next addressed the consequences of past IR-induced caspase activity. Cultured human cells exposed to 0.5 Gy (50 R) of particle radiation, a type of IR, show persistent caspase activation for up to 2 weeks without dying, elevated DNA breaks, and oncogenic transformation [10]. X-rays on the other hand induce transient caspase activation, with DNA breaks clearing within 24 h post IR exposure in larval wing discs [16, 25]. To assay for long-term effects of non-lethal caspase activity under these conditions, we followed XPAC cells into adult wings where they appeared in an IR-dependent manner (Fig. 6) regardless of larval age at the time

S. Colon Plaza and T.T. Su



Fig. 5 Developmental signaling pathways regulate XPAC cell prevalence. A–G' Larvae of the genotypes indicated below were treated as in Fig. 1A where T = 26 °C. The discs were dissected 24 h after exposure to 4000 R, fixed, and stained with an antibody against Wg (A–D"') or cleaved active Dcp1 (I). The discs were also stained for DNA and visualized for CasExpress > GFP. Arrows indicate the notum and * indicates the distal pouch. Arrowheads indicate the Wg Inner Ring (white) or the D/V boundary (yellow). The numbers in the brackets are the number of discs analyzed in three biological replicate experiments per sample. Error bars show mean ± 1SEM. *p*-values were calculated using a 2-tailed *t*-test. **H** The GFP⁺ areas were quantified and expressed as % of the total disc area. I Areas with cleaved Dcp1 staining were quantified and expressed as % of the total disc area. Scale bar = 120 microns and applies to all image panels. The genotypes were: Control = CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80¹⁵/LAS-Ras^{G12V}.

of irradiation (6–7 or 7–8 d; corresponding to the larval XPAC data in Fig. 3G). This allows us to use the *multiple wing hair (mwh)* adult marker to quantify Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH), a type of genome aberration. LOH of a recessive *mwh* allele in heterozygotes results in homozygous mutant cells with more than one hair each due to defective actin organization [28]. Previously, we showed that *mwh* LOH is absent without IR but is induced when larvae are irradiated with 4000 R of X-rays [29]. At appropriate magnification, it is possible to assign GFP and *mwh* status to each cell (Fig. 6H). We found that *mwh* incidence was lower for GFP⁺ relative to GFP⁻ areas of the same wing (Fig. 6I).

There are two possible interpretations of the data that cells with XPAC show reduced LOH. Non-lethal caspase activity may facilitate DNA repair to reduce genome instability or non-lethal caspase activity and chromosome breaks may act in a synthetic lethal combination to take the cell above the threshold for apoptosis, thereby eliminating such cells. To distinguish between these possibilities, we monitored DNA repair kinetics after irradiation and correlated them with non-lethal caspase activity. y-H2Av signal (fly y-H2Ax) is detected in all cells of the wing disc after exposure to 4000 R of X-rays, indicative of DNA double-strand breaks induction (4 h timepoint shown in Fig. S1) but returns to background levels 24 h after IR as repair is completed [16, 25]. Consistent with these data, only a subset of cells shows y-H2Av staining (Fig. 6J-L"") at 20-22 h after IR, which we interpret as cells that have yet to complete DNA repair. CasEx > GFP, which becomes detectable at similar times, shows mutual exclusion with γ -H2Av; cells that show both are rare (arrowheads in Fig. 6J""). We interpret these results to mean that XPAC cells complete DNA repair more efficiently than their GFPcounterparts. More efficient repair in XPAC cells, we propose, could explain the observed reduction in LOH in the adult wing.

DISCUSSION

We report here a study of cells with X-ray-induced past active caspases (XPAC) during wing development in Drosophila. An optimized temperature shift protocol allowed us to minimize cells with spontaneous, developmental caspase activity and to focus specifically on XPAC cells. Such cells, we found, were able to proliferate and contribute to the adult organ and show reduced genome aberrations as measured by LOH, which is thought to result at least in part from an uploidy of whole or segments of chromosomes in Drosophila [30]. In mouse embryo fibroblasts and immortalized human epithelial cells and fibroblasts (MCF10A and IMR90) exposed to ^{56}F ion particle radiation, caspase activity, $\gamma\text{-}$ H2Ax signal, and DNA fragmentation persisted for weeks [10]. Irradiated MCF10A cells with high caspase reporter activity formed more colonies in soft agar compared to irradiated cells with low reporter activity. Inhibition of caspase 3 using shRNA or a dominant negative construct reduced the colony number, suggesting that caspase activity was causing the transformation. While contrary to the findings in mammalian cells, our LOH results are consistent with the widespread non-lethal caspase activity observed during development in Drosophila and other metazoans [11, 12]. Clearly, caspase activity in these cases is not causing DNA fragmentation or it would have been detected in multiple studies that monitored DNA breaks (e.g. [16, 25]). We interpret our data to mean that non-lethal caspase activity protects the genome from X-ray-induced chromosome breaks. Unlike with ⁵⁶F radiation, Xray-induced DSBs are cleared within 24 h, even with much higher IR doses of 4000 R (40 Gy) [16, 25]. DNA repair may be more efficient in wing disc cells and in this context, non-lethal caspase activity may be beneficial. The question is, how? Caspase-driven cellular transformation in the above-cited studies was proposed to



Fig. 6 Cells with past caspase activity show reduced IR-induced LOH. A-F Adult wings from larvae of the genotype CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/mwh¹. The experimental protocol shown in Fig. 1A was followed but larvae were aged for 6 d at 18 °C after egg collection to get 6-7 d old larvae (A-C) or aged for 7 d at 18 °C after egg collection to get 7-8 d old larvae at the time of irradiation. Larvae were irradiated with 0 or 4000 R of X-rays. After incubation at 26 °C, larvae were returned to 18 °C for the remainder of the experiment. The two +IR wings shown for each group represent the range of clone size and number observed in two biological replicate experiments. The number of wings examined was 12 (6-7 d -IR), 9 (6-7 d +IR). 6 (7-8 d -IR), and 11 (7-8 d +IR), in two biological replicate experiments each. Error bars show mean ± 1SEM. G A region of the wing was imaged at ×4 magnification relative to (A-F). It is possible to discern the top (in-focus, arrow) and the bottom (out-of-focus, arrowhead) surfaces of the wing in such images. H A region of the wing is shown magnified ×16 relative to (A-F). It is possible to assign mwh state to individual GFP⁺ cells, for example, 3 cells within dashed lines. In a patch of mwh cells (white line), none are GFP⁺. I Percent of the image area that is mwh was quantified from images such as G, for the GFP⁺ and GFP⁻ portion of the surface in focus. The data include wings from animals irradiated as 6-7 d (gray) or 7-8 d (black) old larvae. Each dataset also shows a significant difference in mwh between GFP⁻ and GFP⁺ areas: p = 0.04 for 6–7 d and 0.03 for 7–8 d. p-values were computed using a 2-tailed t-test. J-K^{""} Larvae of the genotype CasExpress/G-trace; GAL80^{ts}/+ were treated as in Fig. 1A. Wing discs were dissected at 20-22 h after irradiation with 4000 R, fixed and stained with an antibody against γ-H2Av. The discs were also stained for DNA and imaged for GFP. Arrowheads in J"" indicate rare cells in which GFP and γ -H2Av signals overlap to appear white. The boxed area in J" is magnified in (K-K"). L-L" shows a magnified area of another disc, to demonstrate reproducibility. A total of 52 discs were examined in three biological replicate experiments. Scale bar = 960 microns in A-F, 120 microns in J and 48 microns in (K and L).

S. Colon Plaza and T.T. Su

occur because caspases work through endonuclease G to unravel the genome to allow access to oncogenic transcription factors such as KRAS/E1A used in these studies [10]. Likewise, caspases could make the genome more accessible to DNA repair enzymes instead of transformation factors in the wing disc. In other words, consequences of non-lethal caspase activity may depend on the cellular context, specifically, which proteins are present and active to access the DNA. In cells predisposed to transformation such as immortalized cell lines, the outcome may be transformation. In cells that lack transformation factors but are robustly expressing DNA repair enzymes, improved repair may be the outcome.

A prior study used the CasEx>GFP reporter and found that Dronc and p35 affect developmental non-lethal caspase activity [14], similar to what we see for XPAC, and identified Akt and transcription factor dClZ1 as new regulators of developmental CasEx>GFP induction. One striking difference, however, concerns the effect of oncogenic Ras. It preserved cells with developmental caspase activation; expression of Ras^{V12} in the posterior compartment of wing discs *increased* CasEx > GFP area by 2-fold. In contrast, Ras^{V12} decreased the XPAC area by 2-fold (Fig. 5H), which we attribute to its ability to prevent caspase amplification like Wg, Dronc^{DN}, and p35 (Fig. 3K). We conclude that retention of cells with past caspase activity shows common as well as different regulatory controls depending on whether cells are subject to developmental or IR-induced caspase activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila stocks

Drosophila stocks are listed in Table S1, include Caspase Tracker [12], UAShid/rpr [31], UAS-Wg [32], and UAS-Dronc^{DN} [33], and are described in Flybase [34]. The following stocks were generated using standard *Drosophila* techniques:

Caspase Tracker/CyO-GFP; GAL80^{ts}/GAL80^{ts}

Caspase Tracker/CyO-GFP; mwh¹/TM6 Tb

G-trace/CyO-GFP; UAS-X/TM6 Tb where X was UAS-Wg, UAS-Axin, UAS-p35, UAS-Dronc^{DN}, UAS-Ras^{V12}, or H99

G-trace/CyO-GFP; GAL80^{ts}/GAL80^{ts} UAS-hid, UAS-rpr/UAS-hid, UAS-rpr or Y; G-trace/CyO-GFP

UAS-rpr/UAS-rpr or Y; G-trace/CyO-GFP

Larvae culture, temperature shift, and irradiation

After crossing virgins and males, the adults were fed on Nutri-Fly German Formula food (Genesee Scientific) for 3 d at 25 °C to boost egg production, before transferring them to 18° C. Embryos were collected and larvae were raised on Nutri-Fly Bloomington Formula food (Genesee Scientific). The cultures were monitored daily for 'dimples' on the food surface that denote crowding and split as needed. Larvae in food were placed in Petri dishes and irradiated at room temperature (rt) in a Faxitron Cabinet X-ray System Model RX-650 (Lincolnshire, IL) at 115 kV and 5.33 rad/s. Irradiated larvae in food were placed in new vials in a temperature bath filled with Lab Armor beads (Thermo Fisher), which we found gave more consistent and reproducible data than water baths. After the temperature shift to inactivate GAL80, larvae were returned to 18 °C until dissection.

Tissue preparation, staining, and imaging

For Acridine Orange staining, Larvae were dissected in PBS, and imaginal discs were incubated for 5 min in PBS + 0.5 mM AO (Sigma) at rt, washed twice with PBS, mounted in PBS, and imaged immediately. For γ -H2Av staining, wing discs were dissected in PBS, fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 45 min, washed twice with PBS, and permeabilized in PBS + 0.5% Triton X-100 for 12.5 min. For other antibodies, wing discs were dissected in PBS for 30 min, washed thrice in PBS, permeabilized in PBS + 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 min, and rinsed in PBTx (0.1% Triton X-100). The discs were blocked in 5% Normal Goal Serum in PBTx for at least 1 h and incubated overnight at 4°C in the primary antibody in the block. Primary antibodies were to cleaved Dcp1 (1:100, rabbit monoclonal, Upstate Biotech), Wg (1:100, mouse monoclonal, DSHB#4D4) and γ -H2Av (1:1000, mouse monoclonal, DSHB#UNC93-5.2.1).

The discs were rinsed thrice in PBTx and blocked for at least 30 min before incubation in secondary antibody in the block for 2 h at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were used at 1:400–500 (Jackson). The discs were washed in PBTx and stained with 10 μ g/ml Hoechst33258 in PBTx for 2 min, washed three times, and mounted on glass slides in Fluoromount G (SouthernBiotech).

For adult wings, newly eclosed adults with unfurl wings were collected and kept at rt. The wings were collected within 30 min of unfurling, submerged in PBTx, mounted in Fluoromount G (SouthernBiotech), and imaged immediately. Any delay meant the loss of fluorescence as wing epithelial cells are eliminated in a developmentally regulated wing maturation step [28, 29].

Wing discs and wings were imaged on a Leica DMR compound microscope using a Q-Imaging R6 CCD camera and Ocular or Micro-Manager software. Images were processed and analyzed using ImageJ software.

Statistical analysis

For sample size justifications, we used a simplified resource equation from [35]; E = Total number of animals—Total number of groups, where E value of 10–20 is considered adequate. When we compare two groups (e.g., ±IR), n = 6 per group or E = 11 would be adequate. All samples exceed this criterion. A two-tailed Student *t*-test with equal variance was used.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data are included in this published article and its supplementary information files. Raw images used to generate the data are available from the corresponding author upon written request.

REFERENCES

- Chakraborty S, Mir KB, Seligson ND, Nayak D, Kumar R, Goswami A. Integration of EMT and cellular survival instincts in reprogramming of programmed cell death to anastasis. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2020;39:553–66.
- Gudipaty SA, Conner CM, Rosenblatt J, Montell DJ. Unconventional ways to live and die: cell death and survival in development, homeostasis, and disease. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2018;34:311–32.
- 3. Su TT. Non-apoptotic roles of apoptotic proteases: new tricks for an old dog. Open Biol. 2020;10:200130.
- 4. Tang HM, Tang HL. Anastasis: recovery from the brink of cell death. R Soc Open Sci. 2018;5:180442.
- McArthur K, Kile BT. Apoptotic caspases: multiple or mistaken identities? Trends Cell Biol. 2018;28:475–93.
- Nakajima YI, Kuranaga E. Caspase-dependent non-apoptotic processes in development. Cell Death Differ. 2017;24:1422–30.
- Tang HL, Tang HM, Mak KH, Hu S, Wang SS, Wong KM, et al. Cell survival, DNA damage, and oncogenic transformation after a transient and reversible apoptotic response. Mol Biol Cell. 2012;23:2240–52.
- Tang HM, Talbot CC Jr., Fung MC, Tang HL. Molecular signature of anastasis for reversal of apoptosis. F1000Res. 2017;6:43.
- Ichim G, Lopez J, Ahmed SU, Muthalagu N, Giampazolias E, Delgado ME, et al. Limited mitochondrial permeabilization causes DNA damage and genomic instability in the absence of cell death. Mol Cell. 2015;57:860–72.
- Liu X, He Y, Li F, Huang Q, Kato TA, Hall RP, et al. Caspase-3 promotes genetic instability and carcinogenesis. Mol Cell. 2015;58:284–96.
- Ding AX, Sun G, Argaw YG, Wong JO, Easwaran S, Montell DJ. CasExpress reveals widespread and diverse patterns of cell survival of caspase-3 activation during development in vivo. Elife. 2016;5:e10936.
- Tang HL, Tang HM, Fung MC, Hardwick JM. In vivo CaspaseTracker biosensor system for detecting anastasis and non-apoptotic caspase activity. Sci Rep. 2015;5:9015.
- Evans CJ, Olson JM, Ngo KT, Kim E, Lee NE, Kuoy E, et al. G-TRACE: rapid Gal4based cell lineage analysis in Drosophila. Nat Methods. 2009;6:603–5.
- Sun G, Ding XA, Argaw Y, Guo X, Montell DJ. Akt1 and dClZ1 promote cell survival from apoptotic caspase activation during regeneration and oncogenic overgrowth. Nat Commun. 2020;11:5726.
- 15. Verghese S, Su TT. lonizing radiation induces stem cell-like properties in a caspase-dependent manner in Drosophila. PLoS Genet. 2018;14:e1007659.
- 16. Wells BS, Johnston LA. Maintenance of imaginal disc plasticity and regenerative potential in Drosophila by p53. Dev Biol. 2012;361:263–76.
- 17. Jaklevic BR, Su TT. Relative contribution of DNA repair, cell cycle checkpoints, and cell death to survival after DNA damage in Drosophila larvae. Curr Biol. 2004;14:23–32.

- 18. Wichmann A, Jaklevic B, Su TT. Ionizing radiation induces caspase-dependent but Chk2- and p53-independent cell death in Drosophila melanoaaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 2006:103:9952-7.
- 19. Abrams JM, White K, Fessler LI, Steller H. Programmed cell death during Drosophila embryogenesis. Development. 1993;117:29-43.
- 20. Neufeld TP, de la Cruz AF, Johnston LA, Edgar BA. Coordination of growth and cell division in the Drosophila wing. Cell. 1998;93:1183-93.
- 21. Tozluoglu M, Duda M, Kirkland NJ, Barrientos R, Burden JJ, Munoz JJ, et al. Planar differential growth rates initiate precise fold positions in complex epithelia. Dev Cell 2019:51:299-312 e4
- 22. McComb S, Chan PK, Guinot A, Hartmannsdottir H, Jenni S, Dobay MP, et al. Efficient apoptosis requires feedback amplification of upstream apoptotic signals by effector caspase-3 or -7. Sci Adv. 2019;5:eaau9433.
- 23. Fujita E, Egashira J, Urase K, Kuida K, Momoi T. Caspase-9 processing by caspase-3 via a feedback amplification loop in vivo. Cell Death Differ. 2001:8:335-44.
- 24. Florentin A, Arama E. Caspase levels and execution efficiencies determine the apoptotic potential of the cell | Cell Biol 2012:196:513-27.
- 25. Verghese S, Su TT. Drosophila Wnt and STAT define apoptosis-resistant epithelial cells for tissue regeneration after irradiation. PLoS Biol. 2016;14:e1002536.
- 26. Johnston LA, Edgar BA. Wingless and Notch regulate cell-cycle arrest in the developing Drosophila wing. Nature. 1998;394:82-4.
- 27. Bergmann A. Agapite J. McCall K. Steller H. The Drosophila gene hid is a direct molecular target of Ras-dependent survival signaling. Cell. 1998;95:331-41.
- 28. Lu Q, Schafer DA, Adler PN. The Drosophila planar polarity gene multiple wing hairs directly regulates the actin cytoskeleton. Development 2015;142:2478-86.
- 29. Brown J, Bush I, Bozon J, Su TT. Cells with loss-of-heterozygosity after exposure to ionizing radiation in Drosophila are culled by p53-dependent and p53independent mechanisms. PLoS Genet. 2020;16:e1009056.
- 30. Baker BS, Carpenter AT, Ripoll P. The utilization during mitotic cell division of loci controlling meiotic recombination and disjunction in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics, 1978:90:531-78.
- 31. Zhou L, Schnitzler A, Agapite J, Schwartz LM, Steller H, Nambu JR. Cooperative functions of the reaper and head involution defective genes in the programmed cell death of Drosophila central nervous system midline cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997:94:5131-6.
- 32. Lawrence PA, Sanson B, Vincent JP. Compartments, wingless and engrailed: patterning the ventral epidermis of Drosophila embryos. Development. 1996;122:4095-103.
- 33. Verghese S, Bedi S, Kango-Singh M. Hippo signalling controls Dronc activity to regulate organ size in Drosophila. Cell Death Differ. 2012;19:1664-76.
- 34. Gramates LS, Agapite J, Attrill H, Calvi BR, Crosby MA, Dos Santos G, et al. FlyBase: a guided tour of highlighted features. Genetics. 2022;220:ivac035.
- 35. Charan J, Kantharia ND. How to calculate sample size in animal studies? J Pharm Pharmacother. 2013;4:303-6.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Drosophila stocks from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40 OD018537) were used in this study. The authors thank Hardwick and Tang labs for Caspase Tracker stocks, Montell lab for CasExpress stocks, and Kristin White for the critical reading of the manuscript. This work was funded by an NIH grant and a diversity supplement to TTS (R35 GM130374) and an NIH fellowship to SCP (F31 GM149184). Monoclonal antibodies developed by Joshua Sanes, Stephen Cohen, and R. Scott Hawley were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA. Publication of this article was funded by the University of Colorado Boulder Libraries Open Access Fund.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: SCP, TTS. Performed the experiments: SCP, TTS. Analyzed the data: SCP, TTS. Wrote the paper: SCP, TTS.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-023-01769-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Tin Tin Su.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024