## ARTICLE

### **Open Access**

# JAC1 suppresses proliferation of breast cancer through the JWA/p38/SMURF1/HER2 signaling

Yanlin Ren<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Dongyin Chen<sup>3</sup>, Zurong Zhai<sup>1,2</sup>, Junjie Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Aiping Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Yan Liang<sup>2,4</sup> and Jianwei Zhou<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Abstract

The overexpression of HER2 is associated with a malignant proliferation of breast cancer. In this study, we developed a non-cytotoxic *JWA* gene activating compound 1 (JAC1) to inhibit the proliferation of HER2-positive breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo experimental models. JAC1 increased the ubiquitination of HER2 at the K716 site through the E3 ubiquitin ligase SMURF1 which was due to the decreased expression of NEDD4, the E3 ubiquitin ligase of SMURF1. In conclusion, JAC1 suppresses the proliferation of HER2-positive breast cancer cells through the JWA triggered HER2 ubiquitination signaling. JAC1 may serve as a potential therapeutic agent for HER2-positive breast cancer.

#### Introduction

Breast cancer poses a huge threat to female health, with the highest morbidity and mortality among female cancers worldwide<sup>1</sup>. Breast cancer will affect as many as one in eight women in high-income countries and remains the leading cancer-related cause of disease burden for women<sup>2,3</sup>. High-income countries represent most of the countries with top incidence rates, whereas low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) represent most of those with the highest mortality rates<sup>4</sup>. The low survival rates in developing countries are interpreted by the scarcity of early detection programs, adequate diagnosis, and treatment facilities, resulting in a high proportion of women presenting with the late-stage disease at diagnosis<sup>5</sup>. Therefore, breast cancer has become a major problem in public health and well concerned in biomedical research<sup>6</sup>. Breast cancer is classified into four subtypes including luminal A, luminal B, HER2-positive, and basal like<sup>7</sup>. Human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER2/ErbB2), a member of the epidermal growth factor

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Cell Biology & Toxicology, Center for Global Health, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, 211166 Nanjing, China <sup>2</sup>Jiangsu Key Lab of Cancer Biomarkers, Prevention and Treatment, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Nanjing Medical University, 211166 Nanjing, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article The authors contributed equally: Yanlin Ren, Dongyin Chen Edited by Pier Paolo D'Avino

© The Author(s) 2021

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

receptor (EGFR) family, is negatively or weakly expressed in normal cells. However, it is abundantly expressed in some cases of breast cancer and associated with proliferation and invasion of cancer cells<sup>8,9</sup>. In the past 20 years, breast cancer patients have significantly benefited from monoclonal antibodies targeting HER2 (such as trastuzumab) and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (such as lapatinib)<sup>10–13</sup>. Unfortunately, abnormal activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway could promote cancer cells resistant to anti-HER2 therapies and the side effects (e.g., bone marrow suppression and cardiomyopathy) severely restrict the therapeutic efficacy<sup>14-16</sup>. Therefore, the development of the drugs or therapies by appropriately downregulating the overexpressed HER2 (instead of completely blocking HER2) may provide new opportunities for better management of breast cancer cases.

JWA, also known as ARL6IP5, is originally cloned from a retinoic acid-induced Human Bronchial Epithelial cells (HBE cell) differentiation model. The functions of JWA are involved in regulating oxidative stress, DNA repair, cell apoptosis, and differentiation<sup>17,18</sup>. High expression of JWA protein in cancerous tissues is a favorable prognostic indicator for gastric and breast cancer<sup>19,20</sup>. Patients with low expression of JWA in tumor tissues usually suffer worse survival than those with high expression<sup>21,22</sup>. JWA negatively regulates HER2 expression and inhibits cell proliferation and migration in human gastric cancer cells



Correspondence: Jianwei Zhou (jwzhou@njmu.edu.cn)

through transcription and ubiquitination-related mechanisms<sup>23,24</sup>. However, it is unclear whether JWA regulates HER2 expression in breast cancer cells.

In the present study, we identified JAC1, an agonist of *JWA* gene, that could down-regulate HER2 expression in breast cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo models. We demonstrated that JAC1 suppressed the proliferative potential via activating JWA expression and then triggering the two-stage ubiquitination modification on HER2.

#### Materials and methods

#### Cell lines and culture

BT474 and SKBR3 human breast cancer cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 20% and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), respectively at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. 100 units/mL penicillin and streptomycin (Cellgro, Hemdon, VA) were supplemented in the culture medium. The cell lines were regularly verified by identification tests and were free of mycoplasma contamination. Cyclohex-imide (CHX) (Sigma-Aldrich, StLouis, MO, USA) and MG132 (Selleck Chemicals, USA) were used at the indicated concentrations.

#### Western blotting

Western blot assays were performed based on the previously reported protocols<sup>25</sup>. The following antibodies were used: Anti- $\beta$ -actin, anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin, anti-GAPDH, anti-HA (1;1000, Beyotime, Jiangsu, China); anti-HER2, anti-HER3, anti-p-p38(Thr180/Thr182); anti-Ub (1:1000, CST, USA); anti-JWA (1:100, Laboratory-made); anti-GATA-1, anti-NEDD4, anti-CBL, anti-SMURF1, anti-ITCH, anti-HER1, and anti-HER4 (1:1000, proteintech, China).

#### Colony formation assay

BT474 and SKBR3 cells were seeded into six-well plates with 2 mL DMEM containing 20% and 10% FBS, respectively. Cells were dispersed evenly by slightly shaking the plates. And then BT474 and SKBR3 cells were treated with 1 or 10  $\mu$ M JAC1 for 10–14 days and not harvested until the formation of visible colonies. Before harvest, the cell colonies were washed twice with PBS, fixed with methanol, and stained with crystal violet. Only the colonies with >50 cells were counted.

#### Immunofluorescence microscopy

BT474 and SKBR3 cells were induced with JAC1 or vehicle (DMSO) for 24 h. Subsequently, the cells were fixed with methanol for 30 min, washed with PBST, and 10% normal goat serum for 1 h aiming to block non-specific signals. The cells were incubated with anti-JWA

antibody (1:200) and anti-HER2 (1:250) overnight at 4 °C. After washing with PBST, the FITC goat anti-mouse IgG and CY3 goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:100, Beyotime, Jiangsu, China) were used to incubate with cells for 2 h. After washing three times with PBST, the cells were stained with DAPI (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China) for 20 min. The confocal images of the cells were captured using Zeiss AIM software on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope system (Carl Zeiss Jena, Oberkochen, Germany).

#### CRISPR/Cas9 system

The CRISPR/Cas9 system was used to disrupt the expression of the *JWA* gene, as described elsewhere<sup>26</sup>. In brief, a sgRNA sequence was selected using an Optimized CRISPR Design (http://crispr.mit.edu/). The sgRNA sequence for *JWA* was 5'-CCGCGTAGTGAGCAACCT GCTCT-3'. For sequence analysis of the *JWA* gene, the following primer set was used: 5'-CACTCAGTCCCTTG TTCTGTC-3' (forward) and 5'-GCACCAAGGGCTGA GACTT-3' (reverse).

#### Quantitative real-time PCR assay

Total RNA was extracted from harvested cells using the Trizol reagent (Gibreast cancero, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Approximately 500 ng of RNA was reversely transcribed with Hiscript Q RT SuperMix for qPCR (Vazyme, Jiangsu, China). And the PCR primers were listed in Supplementary Table 1. Quantitative RT-PCR was carried out with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa Bio, Japan) using an ABI Prism 7900 Sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Canada). The following thermal cycling conditions were used: denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 36 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 35 s, annealing at 56 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 35 s. The values were calculated as 2<sup>-ddCT</sup>, and the relative fold change was compared to the control groups after being normalized to GAPDH.

#### Plasmids and siRNA transfection

The construction of Flag-JWA and Ub plasmids were introduced in our previous study<sup>27</sup>. The HA-HER2 plasmid and corresponding mutants were subcloned into a pcDNA3.1 vector (Generay, Shanghai, China) using HindIII/Xhol sites. The siRNA sequence (5'-CGAGC UAUUUCCUUAUCUC-3') were used for the *JWA*; the siRNA sequence (5'-GCAGAACAGGCUGAGGAAUT T-3') were used for the *NEDD4*; the siRNA sequence (5'-CCAGGGAGUGGCUUUACUUTT-3') were used for the *SMURF1* and a nonsense control siRNA were synthesized by Ribobio (Guangzhou, China). The plasmids and siRNA were transfected into cells with Lipofectamine 3000 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA).

#### Immunoprecipitation assay

Cells were washed with PBS twice and incubated with pre-cooled immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer at 4 °C for 30 min. Next, cell lysates were centrifuged at 12,000×*g* for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was immediately transferred into a new centrifugal tube, and then anti-NEDD4 antibody, anti-SMURF1 antibody, anti-HER2 antibody, and appropriate control IgG (mouse, rabbit IgG, corresponding to the host species of the primary antibody) were added in 500 µg total protein and incubated at 4 °C, respectively. After 1 h, the cell lysate was mixed with 20 µL of protein A/G Plus-Agarose (Santa Cruz, USA) at 4 °C overnight. The complex was washed with pre-cooled PBS four times and centrifuged at 4 °C, 1000×*g* for 5 min. Finally, immunoprecipitate was determined by western blot assay.

#### Ubiquitination assay

BT474 and SKBR3 cells transiently transfected with Ub for 72 h were incubated with 10  $\mu$ M JAC1 for 24 h, followed by MG132 (10  $\mu$ M) induction for another 6 h. The cells were harvested for extracting proteins. Protein samples were incubated with anti-HER2 antibody (1:200, CST, USA) at 4 °C for 1 h, and then added 20  $\mu$ L Protein A/G Plus-Agarose overnight. A pre-cooled IP buffer was used to wash cells four times. After centrifugation at 4 °C, 1000×g for 5 min, the obtained IP product was examined by western blot assay.

## Tissue microarray (TMA) and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining

Human BC tissue microarray (TMA, numbered Brc1802) contained 90 paired cases of cancer tissues and adjacent non-cancerous ones, which was purchased from Shanghai Zhuoli Bio (Shanghai, China). The TMA also provided relevant clinical immunohistochemistry and Fish data of HER2. Tissues samples in the TMA were immune-stained with an anti-JWA monoclonal antibody (1:50). The assessment of the IHC was employed by a semi-quantitative immunoreactivity score (IRS) as previously reported<sup>17,28</sup>. Category A documented the intensity of immunostaining as 0-3 (0, negative; 1, weak; 2, moderate; 3, strong). Category B documented the percentage of immune-reactive cells as 1 ( $\leq 25\%$ ), 2  $(\leq 50\%)$ , 3  $(\leq 75\%)$ , and 4  $(\leq 100\%)$ . Multiplication of category A and B resulted in an IRS ranging from 0 to 12 for each sample, respectively. The concordance for the IRS of the JWA staining scores between the two pathologists was 82 (91.1%) in 90 cancer cases of the TMA cohort, and a few discrepancies were resolved by consensus using a multi-head microscope. The optimum value of cut-off points of the JWA IRS was 4 in this study. All the samples were classified and analyzed according to these criteria.

#### Tumor xenografts

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University (IACUC-1811067).  $5 \times 10^{6}$ BT474 cells suspended in 120 µL of PBS were injected subcutaneously between the shoulder blades of 6-weekold, female severe combined immune deficiency (SCID)-Balb/c mice from Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University (Nanjing, China). When tumors reached an average size between 100 and 125 mm<sup>3</sup>, the mice were randomly divided into 4 groups, with 9 mice per group. Tumor xenografts were measured with calipers every three days, and calculated using the following formula: Tumor volume = width<sup>2</sup> × length/2. JAC1 (0, 50, 100 mg/kg) was administered daily by oral gavage in 0.5% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose and 0.1% Tween 80 (Sigma). The animal model was terminated when tumors reached an average size between 2500 and 3000 mm<sup>3</sup>. Half of each tumor tissue was fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and the other half was frozen at -80 °C for further study. Serum biochemical indicators were tested using an automatic biochemical analyzer (HITACHI, Japan) according to the manufacturer's operating instructions. Pathological examination of the major organs was performed by routine H&E staining.

#### Statistical analysis

The experiments were repeated at least three times. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 23.0, Inc., Chicago, IL) and GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The data were presented as the means  $\pm$  SEM. The differences between the two independent groups were analyzed by Student's *t*-test (unpaired, two-tailed). For each significantly ectopically expressed molecule, Kaplan–Meier overall survival and relapse-free survival analyses were performed using a log-rank test by comparing differences between curves. *P* < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant (\**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001).

#### Results

# JWA negatively regulates HER2 expression and cell proliferation in breast cancer

To identify the potential biological mechanisms of JWA involved in HER2-positive breast cancer, we firstly compared with normal breast epithelium using data from the interrogated TCGA database and found that JWA was significantly downregulated in breast cancer tissues (n = 1218; Fig. 1a) (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/). Patients with high JWA expression in cancer tissues have longer overall survival (OS) than those with low expression. Patients with high HER2 expression had shorter OS than those with low HER2 expression (n = 1402; Fig. 1b, c). To verify these findings, we analyzed survival data from other databases (GSE88770 and GES42568, n = 221). The



of JWA IHC staining in breast cancer lesions with absent (–), weak (+), moderate (++), strong (+++) expression of HER2 (original magnification,  $x^2$  and  $x^200$ ). **f** Transfection of either Flag-JWA plasmid or si-JWA and their control into BT474 cells for 72 h, the expressions of HER2 and JWA proteins were determined by western blot. **g** Representative images of the colony formation assay for BT474 after transfection of Flag-JWA, si-JWA or their control. **h** Immunofluorescence imaging of JWA (green), HER2 (red), nucleus labeled as DAPI (blue), the co-localization of the three signals (merge) in BT474 cells transfected with si-JWA (right) or Flag-JWA for 72 h.

data consistently showed that the patients with low expression of JWA or high expression of HER2 in cancer tissues were unfavorable to the prognosis. In contrast, cases with high expression of JWA and low expression of HER2 in breast cancer tissues had a better outcome (logrank test, \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; Fig. 1d). We further determined the protein expressions of JWA and HER2 in human breast cancer tissue microarray (TMA). As predicted, JWA was significantly downregulated in cancer tissues with HER2 overexpression (Fig. 1e). As shown in Supplementary Fig. 1a, b, 32/44 cases showed low expression of JWA in HER2-positive samples. In contrast, 27/46 cases displayed high expression of JWA in HER2-negative ones (n = 90; P < 0.005).

To determine the potential role of JWA on HER2 expression, Flag-JWA, si-JWA and their controls were constructed and transfected into human breast cancer BT474 cells, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1f, HER2 was downregulated in cells transfected with Flag-JWA; whereas upregulation of HER2 was observed in cells transfected with si-JWA. To determine the regulatory effect of JWA on the proliferation of breast cancer cells, we conducted a colony formation assay. An obvious inhibition of proliferation was observed in BT474 cells transfected with Flag-JWA. On the contrary, increased proliferation was determined in BT474 cells with si-JWA (P < 0.005; Fig. 1g). Confocal imaging assay also showed that JWA negatively regulated the intensity of HER2 in BT474 cells (Fig. 1h).

## JAC1 downregulates expression of HER2 and inhibits cell proliferation in breast cancer

To find the potent agonist of JWA gene, we constructed JWA promoter-containing reporter gene plasmid and stably transfected it into HBE cells. A series of highthroughput screening assays on 40,000 compounds were conducted in the national compound library, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). And 650 compounds were obtained for further investigation. Finally, based on the molecular weights, lipid water partition coefficients, and chemical structures of the compounds, six compounds (JAC1~-JAC6) were identified to have the ability to activate JWA expression (Fig. 2a). As shown in Supplementary Fig. 2a, b, JAC1 indicated the best effect on inhibiting breast cancer cell proliferation compared to the others. In addition, JAC1 displayed better capacities in activating JWA and downregulating HER2 in BT474 cells than others (Supplementary Fig. 2c). The chemical structure formula of JAC1 was shown in Supplementary Fig. 3a. The effects of JAC1 on the expressions of JWA and HER2 were dosedependent in both BT474 and SKBR3 cells (Fig. 2b). The  $IC_{50}$  values of JAC1 were between 25 and 50  $\mu$ M in BT474 and SKBR3 cells (Supplementary Fig. 3a, b).

To confirm whether JWA was necessary for JAC1 to induce the downregulation of HER2 in breast cancer cells, we constructed JWA knockout (KO) SKBR3 cells by CRISPR/Cas9 technique. DNA sequencing results showed that the 313th base (A) of JWA DNA sequence was replaced by CT bases (Supplementary Fig. 3d). An offtarget assay of JAC1 on HER2 expression was also carried out in JWA KO SKBR3 cells. As indicated in Fig. 2c, JAC1 activated JWA and significantly suppressed HER2 expression in JWA wild-type (WT) SKBR3 cells; however, JAC1 was unable to down-regulate HER2 expression in JWA KO SKBR3 cells. Colony formation assay showed that JAC1 inhibited the proliferation of BT474 and SKBR3 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2d, e). The dosedependent induction of JWA intensity, as well as an inhibitory effect of HER2 intensity, were observed by confocal imagining. JAC1 treatment showed no effect on HER2 localization in both BT474 and SKBR3 cells (Fig. 2f).

# JAC1 downregulates expression of HER2 via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway

To determine how JAC1 downregulates HER2 in breast cancer cells, we examined mRNA expression of *HER2* by RT-PCR. The data showed that JAC1 increased JWA, but had no effect on HER2 at mRNA expressions in both BT474 and SKBR3 cells (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). At protein expression, HER2 degradation was obviously accelerated by JAC1 treatment in both BT474 and SKBR3 cells at the indicated time points (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 4c). We further demonstrated that ubiquitinmodified HER2 was obviously upregulated in both BT474 and SKBR3 cells after JAC1 exposure (Fig. 3b, c). The potential E3 ubiquitination ligase of HER2 was subsequently searched in the UbiBrowser (ubibrowser.ncpsb. org/<sup>29</sup>. The data indicated that NEDD4, CBL, ITCH, and SMURF1 may be involved in ubiquitin-mediated degradation of HER2 triggered by JAC1 (Fig. 3d). As a result, among the four candidates, only SMURF1 expression was upregulated but NEDD4 was downregulated by JAC1 treatment in both BT474 and SKBR3 cells (Fig. 3e and Supplementary Fig. 4d). To confirm this, JWA transfection assay was completed. Similar results were obtained after transfection of either Flag-JWA or si-JWA in SKBR3 cells (Supplementary Fig. 4e). These data suggested that SMURF1 may be the E3 ubiquitin ligase for HER2. We then conducted co-immunoprecipitation experiments to confirm the potential interaction between SMURF1 and HER2. As predicted, an interaction between HER2 and SMURF1 was identified in BT474 and SKBR3 cells (Fig. 3f and Supplementary Fig. 4f). Unfortunately, there was no interaction between HER2 and NEDD4, HER2 and CBL or HER2 and ITCH (Supplementary Fig. 4g-l). Furthermore, the inhibition of SMURF1 expression by si-SMURF1 could



according to their molecular weights, lipid water partition coefficients, and chemical structures. **b** Protein expressions of the HER2 and JWA were determined by western blot. **c** JWA/wild-type or JWA/KO cells were treated with JAC1 (10  $\mu$ M) for 24 h. HER2 and JWA protein expressions were determined by western blot. **d**, **e** Representative images of the colony formation assay for BT474 and SKBR3 cells after treatment with different concentrations of JAC1 (0, 1, 10  $\mu$ M). **f** BT474 and SKBR3 cells were treated with JAC1 (0, 1, 10  $\mu$ M) for 24 h, immunofluorescence imaging showed expressions of JWA (green), HER2 (red), nucleus (blue), the co-localization of the three signals (merge).

reverse the degradation of HER2 by JAC1 treatment (Fig. 3g).

#### K716 of HER2 is the ubiquitination target by SMURF1

To identify the potential target amino acids in HER2 by SMURF1 ubiquitination, we searched an online database (http://www.phosphosite.org/). As shown in Fig. 4a, there were 10 candidate sites in the amino acid sequence of the HER2 protein. We then constructed all or separated

10 amino acids mutants in the HER2 sequence and replaced lysine (K) with arginine (R), respectively. Next, wild or mutant HER2 plasmids were transfected into SKBR3 cells respectively, followed by JAC1 treatment. As a result, only HER2 (K716R) and HER2 (ALL) (all 10 sites mutant) were resistant to degradation of HER2 (Fig. 4b). To confirm this finding obtained from SKBR3 cells, HER2 (K716R), HER2 (WT), and HER2 (ALL) mutants were transfected into BT474 cells, respectively, followed by



treatment of JAC1. Similar results were obtained that JAC1 only accelerated degradation of HER2 (WT), rather than HER2 (K716R) and HER2 (mutant ALL) (Fig. 4c). Then, the ubiquitination of HA-HER2 was attenuated by MG132 treatment in HER2 (K716R) SKBR3 cells compared to those with HER2 (WT) cells (Fig. 4d). JAC1

 $(10 \,\mu\text{M})$  treatment obviously suppressed cell proliferation in both HER2 (WT) and non-transfected control BT474 cells, but it had no effect on HER2 (K716R) BT474 cells (Fig. 4e–f). Thus, the effect of JAC1 on the proliferation of breast cancer cells depended on the presence of amino acid K716 in HER2.



#### JAC1 modulates SMURF1 through JWA-p38-GATA-1-NEDD4 axis

Given that JAC1 was a potent activator of SMURF1, we desired to identify how JAC1 upregulates SMURF1. As predicted, NEDD4 was the most crucial E3 ubiquitin

ligase of SMURF1 (Supplementary Fig. 5a) since it was dose-dependently downregulated by JAC1 treatment (Fig. 3e and Supplementary Fig. 4d). The expression patterns of SMURF1 and NEDD4 were quite opposite after JAC1 exposure. In addition, co-IP experiments manifested the



GATA-1, NEDD4, SMURF1, and HER2 of SKBR3 cells treated with JAC1 or SB203580. i The inhibition of JAC1 on colony formation via the p38 MAPK pathway was determined in SKBR3 cells.

interaction between SMURF1 and NEDD4 (Fig. 5a, b). However, the interaction between SMURF1 and NEDD4L was not identified (Supplementary Fig. 5b). When SKBR3 cells were transfected with si-NEDD4, the expression of NEDD4 was decreased while the expression of SMURF1 was increased significantly. However, no change of

NEDD4 expression was observed after transfection of si-SMURF1 in SKBR3 cells. These results indicated that NEDD4 was an upstream negative regulatory molecule of SMURF1 (Fig. 5c).

To elucidate how JAC1 downregulates NEDD4 expression, we determined mRNA expression of NEDD4 by RT-PCR. The data showed that JAC1 dosedependently downregulated mRNA expression of NEDD4 (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 5c). Further, online prediction (UCSC and ALGGEN-PROMO) indicated that several transcription factors (GATA-1, ERalpha, STAT4, STAT1-beta, GR-alpha, and TFIID) may be involved in JAC1-mediated NEDD4 downregulation (Supplementary Fig. 5d). RT-PCR results showed that JAC1 downregulated mRNA expression of GATA-1, but had no effect on the mRNA expression of STAT4, STAT1beta, GR-alpha, and TFIID (Fig. 5e). Western Blot results showed that JAC1 treatment induced a dose-dependent increase of JWA and a decrease of GATA-1 expression (Fig. 5f). Recent studies have revealed that JWA inhibits cell migration by activating the MAPK signaling pathway<sup>18,30</sup>, and TNF- $\alpha$  represses GATA-1 through the activation of p38 MAPK signaling<sup>31</sup>. Here we identified that expression of GATA-1 was negatively regulated by p38 MAPK signaling, which was mediated by JAC1 (Fig. 5g). To confirm this speculation, p38 inhibitor SB203580 was used to block p38. Data showed that the expression of p-p38 induced by JAC1 was mostly prevented by SB203580. Furthermore, the inhibitory effects of JAC1 on the expressions of GATA-1, NEDD4, and HER2 were mostly reversed by SB203580 treatment; meanwhile, the positive effect of JAC1 on the expression of SMURF1 was also suppressed by SB203580 in SKBR3 cells (Fig. 5h). To further confirm the involvement of this pathway in JAC1regulated proliferation in breast cancer, a colony formation assay was conducted. The data showed that the inhibition of JAC1 on the proliferation of SKBR3 cells was partly reversed by SB203580 (Fig. 5i). Taken together, we have revealed that JAC1 inhibited the proliferation of breast cancer cells by activating SMURF1.

#### JAC1 suppresses tumor growth in breast cancer xenografted mice

To evaluate the translational significance of JAC1, we established a mouse xenograft model of breast cancer BT474 cells in vivo. As shown in Fig. 6a, JAC1 dose-dependently inhibited the growth of xenografted tumors in mice. A similar trends of tumor weight/body weight were shown in Fig. 6b. The tumor inhibition rate by JAC1 alone was 31.22% and 46.21% in 50 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg groups, respectively (Fig. 6c). In addition, compared to the mock group, the solvent showed no significant effect on tumor growth (Fig. 6a–c and Supplementary Fig. 6a, b). The mechanism of inhibiting breast cancer by JAC1

in vivo was also determined. As shown in Fig. 6d, expression of HER2 was dose-dependently reduced by JAC1 treatment. Importantly, the mechanistic biomarkers including JWA, p-p38, GATA-1, NEDD4, and SMURF1 were correspondingly changed in tumor tissues. H&E staining revealed that JAC1 treatment did not show obvious injuries in mice organs, including lung, liver, spleen, kidney, and myocardium (Supplementary Fig. 6c). The serum biochemical parameters indicated a favorable improvement in antioxidant (SOD), liver function (ALT/AST), myocardial enzymes (CKMB/CK), and lipid metabolisms (TG) after JAC1 treatment (Table 1). The mechanism of JAC1 in the inhibition of breast cancer cell proliferation has been summarized in Fig. 6e.

HER2 is known as a member of the EGFR family, and the K716 site was identified as a homologous amino acid in the protein sequence of all four EGFR members (Supplementary Fig. 7a). We then determined the expression of HER1, HER3, and HER4 in JAC1-treated SKBR3 cells. As predicted, JAC1 dose-dependently suppressed expressions of all these EGFR members (Supplementary Fig. 7b).

#### Discussion

Ubiquitination is one of the mechanisms by which cells maintain homeostasis of protein expression levels. Overexpression of HER2 in some cancers, such as breast cancer, may be caused by failure or insufficiency of ubiquitination. Although previous studies have reported the regulatory role of E3 ubiquitin in breast cancer cells, the relationship between the inactivation of HER2 E3 ubiquitin ligases and breast cancer progression has not been investigated. In the present study, we identified for the first time that JAC1, the JWA gene agonist, could inhibit the proliferation of breast cancer cells by enhancing the ubiquitin modification of HER2 at the K716 site and accelerating its degradation through SMURF1 E3 ligase. The in vivo data showed that JAC1 dose-dependently suppresses proliferation of HER2-positive breast cancer without toxic side effects in the experimental mouse. It is suggested that JAC1 has potential in drug development and may be utilized as a fundamental therapy for HER2positive breast cancer.

*JWA* gene is biologically conserved in evolution and involves several essential functions, including regulations of cell differentiation, DNA repair, cytoskeleton organization, and maintenance of the homeostasis of glucose and lipid metabolism<sup>23,32,33</sup>. The biological functions of the JWA are achieved largely by regulating the MAPK signaling pathway, which is essential for maintaining basic life activities in organisms<sup>34</sup>.

HER2 is known as a member of the EGFR family, which contains four members, including EGFR (HER1), HER2 (ErbB2), HER3, and HER4<sup>35</sup>. HER2-positive breast cancer



cells usually contain homo- or heterodimers of EGFR members, such as HER2/HER2 or HER2/HER3<sup>36–38</sup>. In the present study, we identified that K716 is the common amino acid in all four EGFR family members, and JAC1 downregulated expressions of HER1, HER3, and HER4 in breast cancer cells.

The current HER2-targeted therapies (trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and lapatinib) for clinical treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer have largely improved the prognosis of patients<sup>39</sup>. Unfortunately, the therapies are always accompanied by inevitable side effects and drug resistance, which have become a challenge in clinical application<sup>40,41</sup>. Although the antibody-drug conjugates (ADC) drugs have shown powerful therapeutic effects than HER2-target antibody alone<sup>42,43</sup>, their side effects

have become the bottleneck and need to be concerned. In addition, none of the above drugs or therapies can degrade overexpressed HER2 or HER3, which may inevitably contribute to the development of drug resistance and side effects. Therefore, the urgent need for treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer is to develop novel drugs or therapies to degrade the overexpressed HER2 in cancer cells, thereby reversing HER2-mediated excessive proliferation and metastasis in several cancers.

In this study, JAC1 was identified to degrade the overexpressed HER2 and other EGFR members in breast cancer cells. This may provide new insight into the clinical therapy for breast cancer with overexpressed EGFR. EGFR family mutations or rearrangements lead to drug

 Table 1
 The serum biochemical indexes (21 parameters) in control and 100 mg/kg JAC1-treated mice.

Analysis	Groups		
	Mock	0 mg/kg JAC1	100 mg/kg JAC1
[ALT]	29.33 ± 2.52	30 ± 4.24	23.75 ± 2.22*
[AST]	134.75 ± 18.46	$136 \pm 16.19$	97 ± 19.61*
[TP]	39.03 ± 3.44	$42.24 \pm 5.07$	42.16±5.21
[ALB]	26.23 ± 0.81	27.35 ± 3.48	29.95 ± 1.18**
[TBIL]	$0.47 \pm 0.15$	$0.43 \pm 0.15$	$0.58 \pm 0.1$
[ALP]	$212.25 \pm 41.05$	235.75 ± 68.86	186.8 ± 33.89
[GGT]	4.67 ± 1.15	$4.01 \pm 0.01$	$4.22 \pm 1.41$
[GLU]	8.6 ± 2.27	$8.53 \pm 3.87$	$11.05 \pm 4.03$
[BUN]	$8.93 \pm 2.4$	10.1 ± 1.42	12.2 ± 1.83
[CREA]	19.33 ± 1.15	19.5 ± 1.29	$20.75 \pm 0.96$
[UA]	204.67 ± 52.6	187.33 ± 23.97	169.5 ± 24.12
[Ca]	$2.44 \pm 0.17$	2.4 ± 0.12	$2.39 \pm 0.11$
[P]	$2.72 \pm 0.65$	$2.9 \pm 0.34$	$2.5 \pm 0.37$
[CHOI]	$2.58 \pm 0.17$	$2.48 \pm 0.19$	$2.85 \pm 0.48$
[TG]	$1.25 \pm 0.37$	1.17 ± 0.32	0.63 ± 0.12*
[HDLC]	$1.48 \pm 0.23$	$1.65 \pm 0.18$	$1.69 \pm 0.24$
[LDLC]	$0.46 \pm 0.04$	$0.48 \pm 0.04$	$0.44 \pm 0.07$
[LDH]	1777.25 ± 519.45	2010.25 ± 308.79	1344.83 ± 490.88
[CKMB]	437 ± 127.14	513.25 ± 26.99	296.17 ± 48.52*
[SOD]	51 ± 18.19	70.5 ± 14.62	92.17 ± 15.3**
[CK]	$845.5 \pm 135$	948±163.81	478.2 ± 82.87***

Data were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 4).

\**P* < 0.05.

. \*\*Р < 0.01.

\*\*\*P < 0.001.

resistance, which is the current challenge for targeted drugs. Fortunately, some mutations in the *EGFR* gene in lung cancer cells bring patients the opportunity to receive targeted therapy and improve their prognosis. However, further studies are needed to clarify whether JAC1 is also effective against HER2 or EGFR mutations, as well as the drug resistance of related cancer cells. NEDD4 is associated with breast cancer progression and predicts a poor prognosis. Upregulation of NEDD4 mediates cell migration in lung cancer cells, while downregulation of NEDD4 inhibits cell growth and invasion, and induces cell apoptosis in bladder cancer cells<sup>44–46</sup>. These evidences support that the anticancer effect of JAC1 may be associated with its indirect inhibition on NEDD4.

We acknowledge that the clues found in this study are very preliminary because epidermal growth factor receptors such as HER2 are often allosteric as hetero/ homodimers adaptations to targeted drug therapy. Future research will focus on the regulatory role of ubiquitinrelated mechanisms in cancers that have developed resistance to HER2-targeted therapy.

In conclusion, JAC1 was identified as an indirect inhibitor of HER2. Its mechanism of action in breast cancer was through the cascade regulations of the two E3 ubiquitin ligases (NEDD4 and SMURF1), which eventually enhanced the ubiquitination modification of HER2 at K716. JAC1 may have equal significance in EGFR positive cancer therapy.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81520108027, 81673219, 81521004, 81973156, and 30930080).

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Cell Biology & Toxicology, Center for Global Health, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, 211166 Nanjing, China. <sup>2</sup>Jiangsu Key Lab of Cancer Biomarkers, Prevention and Treatment, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Nanjing Medical University, 211166 Nanjing, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Nanjing Medical University, 211166 Nanjing, China. <sup>4</sup>Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, 211166 Nanjing, China. <sup>5</sup>Present address: Nantong Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 226007 Nantong, China

#### Author contributions

Conception and design: Y.R. and J.Z.; development of methodology: Y.R., J.C., Z.Z., D.C., A.L., Y.L.; acquisition of data: Y.R., Z.Z., J.C., D.C., Y.L.; analysis and interpretation of data: Y.R., J.C., Z.Z., D.C.; writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: Y.R., J.C., and J.Z.; administrative, technical, or material support: Y.R., J.C., Z.Z., D.C., A.L. and study supervision: J.Z.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81520108027, 81673219, 81521004, 81973156 and 30930080).

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-021-00426-y.

Received: 1 November 2020 Revised: 31 January 2021 Accepted: 5 February 2021

Published online: 19 April 2021

#### References

- Bray, F. et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 68, 394–424 (2018).
- Britt, K. L., Cuzick, J. & Phillips, K. A. Key steps for effective breast cancer prevention. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 20, 417–436 (2020).
- Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 29 cancer groups, 1990 to 2016: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. JAMA Oncol. 4, 1553–1568 (2018).

- Milosevic, M., Jankovic, D., Milenkovic, A. & Stojanov, D. Early diagnosis and detection of breast cancer. *Technol. Health Care* 26, 729–759 (2018).
- DeSantis, C., Ma, J., Bryan, L. & Jemal, A. Breast cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J. Clin. 64, 52–62 (2014).
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* 490, 61–70 (2012).
- Chi, F., Wu, R., Jin, X., Jiang, M. & Zhu, X. HER2 induces cell proliferation and invasion of non-small-cell lung cancer by upregulating COX-2 expression via MEK/ERK signaling pathway. *OncoTargets Ther.* 9, 2709–2716 (2016).
- Xia, W., Lu, C., Wang, X., Zhu, W. & Zhang, H. Over-expressed HER2 enhances proliferation, migration and invasion of melanoma B16 cells. *Xi bao yu fen zi mian yi xue za zhi* **33**, 1067–1072 (2017).
- Wang, J. & Xu, B. Targeted therapeutic options and future perspectives for HER2-positive breast cancer. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* 4, 34 (2019).
- Ponde, N., Brandao, M., El-Hachem, G., Werbrouck, E. & Piccart, M. Treatment of advanced HER2-positive breast cancer: 2018 and beyond. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 67, 10–20 (2018).
- 12. Loibl, S. & Gianni, L. HER2-positive breast cancer. Lancet 389, 2415–2429 (2017).
- 13. Waks, A. G. & Winer, E. P. Breast cancer treatment: a review. JAMA 321, 288–300 (2019).
- Wardley, A. M. et al. Randomized phase II trial of first-line trastuzumab plus docetaxel and capecitabine compared with trastuzumab plus docetaxel in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 28, 976–983 (2010).
- Giordano, S. H. et al. Systemic therapy for patients with advanced human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. J. Clin. Oncol. 32, 2078–2099 (2014).
- Wilks, S. T. Potential of overcoming resistance to HER2-targeted therapies through the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway. *Breast* 24, 548–555 (2015).
- 17. Wang, S. et al. Prognostic and predictive role of JWA and XRCC1 expressions in gastric cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **18**, 2987–2996 (2012).
- Bai, J. et al. JWA regulates melanoma metastasis by integrin alphaVbeta3 signaling. Oncogene 29, 1227–1237 (2010).
- Xu, L. et al. JWA suppresses the invasion of human breast carcinoma cells by downregulating the expression of CXCR4. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **17**, 8137–8144 (2018).
- Chen, J. J. et al. JP3, an antiangiogenic peptide, inhibits growth and metastasis of gastric cancer through TRIM25/SP1/MMP2 axis. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 39, 118 (2020).
- Lu, J. et al. JWA inhibits melanoma angiogenesis by suppressing ILK signaling and is an independent prognostic biomarker for melanoma. *Carcinogenesis* 34, 2778–2788 (2013).
- Wu, X. et al. Downregulation of JWA promotes tumor invasion and predicts poor prognosis in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Mol. Carcinog.* 53, 325–336 (2014).
- Qian, J. et al. JWA loss promotes cell migration and cytoskeletal rearrangement by affecting HER2 expression and identifies a high-risk subgroup of HER2-positive gastric carcinoma patients. *Oncotarget* 7, 36865–36884 (2016).
- Ma, L. et al. JWA down-regulates HER2 expression via c-Cbl and induces lapatinib resistance in human gastric cancer cells. *Oncotarget* 7, 71790–71801 (2016).
- Zhou, J., Ye, J., Zhao, X., Li, A. & Zhou, J. JWA is required for arsenic trioxide induced apoptosis in HeLa and MCF-7 cells via reactive oxygen species and mitochondria linked signal pathway. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 230, 33–40 (2008).

- 26. Ran, F. A. et al. Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 2281–2308 (2013).
- Qiu, D. et al. RNF185 modulates JWA ubiquitination and promotes gastric cancer metastasis. Biochimica et biophysica acta. *Mol. Basis Dis.* 1864, 1552–1561 (2018).
- Weichert, W. et al. Association of patterns of class I histone deacetylase expression with patient prognosis in gastric cancer: a retrospective analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 9, 139–148 (2008).
- Li, Y. et al. An integrated bioinformatics platform for investigating the human E3 ubiquitin ligase-substrate interaction network. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 347 (2017).
- Chen, H. et al. JWA as a functional molecule to regulate cancer cells migration via MAPK cascades and F-actin cytoskeleton. *Cell Signal.* 19, 1315–1327 (2007).
- Bibikova, E. et al. TNF-mediated inflammation represses GATA1 and activates p38 MAP kinase in RPS19-deficient hematopoietic progenitors. *Blood* 124, 3791–3798 (2014).
- Chen, R. et al. Identification of JWA as a novel functional gene responsive to environmental oxidative stress induced by benzo[a]pyrene and hydrogen peroxide. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 42, 1704–1714 (2007).
- Wang, S. et al. JWA regulates XRCC1 and functions as a novel base excision repair protein in oxidative-stress-induced DNA single-strand breaks. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 1936–1950 (2009).
- Sun, Y. et al. Signaling pathway of MAPK/ERK in cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, senescence and apoptosis. J. Receptor Signal Transduct. Res. 35, 600–604 (2015).
- Yarden, Y. The EGFR family and its ligands in human cancer. signalling mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Eur. J. Cancer* **37** (Suppl 4), S3–S8 (2001).
- Hendriks, B. S., Opresko, L. K., Wiley, H. S. & Lauffenburger, D. Quantitative analysis of HER2-mediated effects on HER2 and epidermal growth factor receptor endocytosis: distribution of homo- and heterodimers depends on relative HER2 levels. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 23343–23351 (2003).
- Roskoski, R. Jr. Small molecule inhibitors targeting the EGFR/ErbB family of protein-tyrosine kinases in human cancers. *Pharmacol. Res.* 139, 395–411 (2019).
- Lee, L., Ramos-Alvarez, I., Moody, T. W., Mantey, S. A. & Jensen, R. T. Neuropeptide bombesin receptor activation stimulates growth of lung cancer cells through HER3 with a MAPK-dependent mechanism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **1867**, 118625 (2020).
- Murthy, R. K et al. Tucatinib, trastuzumab, and capecitabine for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 597–609 (2020).
- Chun, K. H., Park, J. H. & Fan, S. Predicting and overcoming chemotherapeutic resistance in breast cancer. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1026, 59–104 (2017).
- Vernieri, C. et al. Resistance mechanisms to anti-HER2 therapies in HER2positive breast cancer: Current knowledge, new research directions and therapeutic perspectives. *Crit. Rev. Oncol./Hematol.* 139, 53–66 (2019).
- Thomas, A., Teicher, B. A. & Hassan, R. Antibody-drug conjugates for cancer therapy. *Lancet Oncol.* 17, e254–e262 (2016).
- Beck, A., Goetsch, L., Dumontet, C. & Corvaia, N. Strategies and challenges for the next generation of antibody-drug conjugates. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 16, 315–337 (2017).
- Shao, G. et al. The E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4 mediates cell migration signaling of EGFR in lung cancer cells. *Mol. Cancer* 17, 24 (2018).
- Wen, W. et al. Inhibition of NEDD4 inhibits cell growth and invasion and induces cell apoptosis in bladder cancer cells. *Cell Cycle* 16, 1509–1514 (2017).
- Wan, L. et al. NEDD4 expression is associated with breast cancer progression and is predictive of a poor prognosis. *Breast Cancer Res.* 21, 148 (2019).