

ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

Gemcitabine and APG-1252, a novel small molecule inhibitor of BCL-2/BCL-XL, display a synergistic antitumor effect in nasopharyngeal carcinoma through the JAK-2/STAT3/MCL-1 signaling pathway

Fan Luo^{1,7}, Fei-Teng Lu^{2,7}, Miao-Zhen Qiu^{2,7}, Ting Zhou², Wen-Juan Ma³, Min Luo¹, Kang-Mei Zeng², Qiu-Yun Luo¹, Wen-Tao Pan⁴, Lin Zhang⁵, Zeng-Fei Xia¹, Zhong-Han Zhang², Jia-Xin Cao², Hong-Yun Zhao^{6 K}, Li Zhang^{2 M} and Da-Jun Yang^{1 K}

© The Author(s) 2021

Advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) has a poor prognosis, with an unfavorable response to palliative chemotherapy. Unfortunately, there are few effective therapeutic regimens. Therefore, we require novel treatment strategies with enhanced efficacy. The present study aimed to investigate the antitumor efficacy of APG-1252-M1, a dual inhibitor of BCL-2/BCL-XL, as a single agent and combined with gemcitabine. We applied various apoptotic assays and used subcutaneous transplanted NPC model to assess the in vitro and in vivo antitumor activity. Moreover, phospho-tyrosine kinase array was used to investigate the combined therapy's potential synergistic mechanism. In addition, further validation was performed using immunohistochemistry and western blotting. In vitro, we observed that APG-1252-M1 had moderate antitumor activity toward NPC cells; however, it markedly improved gemcitabine exhibited even remarkable antitumor activity in vivo. Mechanistically, the drug combination synergistically suppressed NPC by activating caspase-dependent pathways, blocking the phospho (p)-JAK-2/STAT3/MCL-1 signaling pathway, and inhibiting epithelial-mesenchymal transition. In conclusion, the results indicated that the combination of APG-1252 and gemcitabine has synergistic anticancer activities against NPC, providing a promising treatment modality for patients with NPC.

Cell Death and Disease (2021)12:772; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-021-04042-7

INTRODUCTION

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is the most frequently diagnosed nasopharyngeal cancer, and is highly prevalent in Southeast Asia and Southern China. Of the 87,000 newly diagnosed NPC cases annually, more than 15% are advanced disease at primary diagnosis [1, 2]. The prognosis for patients with recurrent or metastatic NPC is poor, with a median overall survival (OS) of approximately 20 months [3]. Chemotherapy plays a vital role in advanced NPC. Currently, platinum-based treatment has been proven as the basic regimen for palliative care as a first-line treatment [4, 5]. Unfortunately, there is no standard salvage therapy for patients whose tumor progresses after initial platinumbased regimen failure. Therefore, novel therapeutic approaches with better efficacy are required. The fluorinated pyrimidine nucleoside analog, gemcitabine, has a broad antitumor activity in various solid tumors, including nonsmall cell lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, breast cancer, and NPC [6, 7]. The landmark GEM20110714 study demonstrated that gemcitabine plus cisplatin (GP) regimen, as the standard first-line treatment, showed a high response rate and long-term efficacy in recurrent or metastatic NPC [8]. Furthermore, several phase II trials suggested that gemcitabine monotherapy has potent efficacy and tolerable toxicities in NPC, with reported response rates ranging from 28 to 44% [9, 10]. The above studies identified that gemcitabine has positive efficacy and favorable toxicities when used in patients with NPC. However, its survival benefit is still unsatisfactory. Besides, current therapeutic strategies are limited because of a lack of biomarkers for patient selection, low efficacy,

Received: 1 March 2021 Revised: 15 July 2021 Accepted: 19 July 2021 Published online: 05 August 2021

¹Department of Experimental Research, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat- Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ²Department of Medical Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ³Department of Intensive Care Unit, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ³Department of Intensive Care Unit, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ⁴Ascentage Pharma (Suzhou) Co, Ltd, 218 Xinghu Street, Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, China. ⁵Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat- Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ⁶Department of Clinical Research, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat- Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ⁶Department of Clinical Research, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat- Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ⁷These authors contributed equally: Fan Luo, Fei-Teng Lu, Miao-Zhen Qiu. ^{Ke}email: zhaohy@sysucc.org.cn; zhangli@sysucc. org.cn; yangdj@sysucc.org.cn Edited by A. Peschiaroli

physiological side effects, and risks of recurrence. Recently, it has been proposed that targeted therapy would be promising for advanced NPC [11–13]. However, only the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors cetuximab and nimotuzumab have been recommended by the NCCN [14]. Therefore, there is still a need for biomarker-driven therapy to enhance the efficacy and durable response of gemcitabine in patients with advanced NPC.

Cancer is characterized by apoptosis resistance, leading to tumor development and progression [15]. The BCL-2 apoptosis regulator (BCL-2) and BCL-2 like 1 (BCL-XL) proteins have been proven to be critical apoptosis inhibitors that are closely correlated with cancer chemoresistance by regulating apoptosis, proliferation, differentiation, and tumor progression [16, 17]. The mammalian BCL-2 protein family is characterized by the presence of four clustered homology domains called BCL-2 homology (BH) domains [18]. BH1, BH2, and BH3 form a hydrophobic groove as the surface binding pocket of BCL-2 and N-terminal BH4 stabilizes the structure [19]. To target BCL-2 family proteins in apoptotic pathways, certain small molecule inhibitors have been investigated [20-22]. APG-1252 is a new BCL-2 homology BH3-mimetic that binds specifically to BCL-2 or BCL-XL's hydrophobic pocket, and changes to its reactive metabolite, named APG-1252-M1, in vivo can disrupt the antiapoptotic function of these proteins with potent antitumor effects [23]. Previous studies showed that APG-1252 is active against several types of tumor, such as small cell lung cancer (SCLC), lymphoma, gastric cancer (GC), and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [23-25]. Currently, to assess its efficacy against cancer, five clinical trials are underway with APG-1252 as a single agent or in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs (NCT03387332, NCT04354727, NCT04001777, NCT04210037, and NCT03080311).

Until now, whether APG-1252 is active against NPC and could promote the antitumor activity of gemcitabine has remained unknown. In the current study, we used various in vitro and in vivo assays to study APG-1252's preclinical efficacy, either as a solo agent or combined with gemcitabine. The findings demonstrated that APG-1252 combined with gemcitabine had a synergistic effect in the treatment of NPC, and thus represented a promising strategy to improve the therapeutic response of patients with NPC.

RESULTS

APG-1252-M1 has anti-NPC activity and exhibits synergy with gemcitabine

The novel BCL-2/BCL-XL inhibitor, APG-1252, can bind to BCL-XL and BCL-2 with high affinity. We investigated its therapeutic potential toward NPC by studying the effect of APG-1252-M1 in CNE2, HNE1, TW03 cells, three commonly used NPC cell lines. APG-1252-M1 exhibited excellent anticancer activity, as measured by the CCK-8 assay, with 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) values of 9.672, 8.346, and 10.042 µM, respectively, which compared slightly above with those of gemcitabine (6.047, 4.099 and 9.566 µM for CNE2, HNE1 and TW03 cells, respectively; Fig. 1a-c). Furthermore, we assessed the activity of APG-1252-M1 combined with gemcitabine. The results showed that APG-1252-M1 significantly enhanced gemcitabine's anti-NPC activity in a dosedependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 1a-c). Moreover, the Chou-Talalay method in the Calcusyn software (Biosoft) was used to evaluate their synergistic indexes, which produced a CI value < 0.9, indicating potent synergy between the activities of APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine (Table 1).

To evaluate the anti-proliferation activity of the APG-1252-M1gemcitabine combination further, we explored their effects on CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells over time using RTCA. Gemcitabine plus APG-1252-M1 significantly suppressed NPC cell growth in a more potent and durable manner compared with either drug alone (P < 0.05; Fig. 1d–f). In the colony formation assay, the application of gemcitabine or APG-1252-M1 individually resulted in only moderate suppression of colony formation. Contrastingly, in combination, the drugs reduced the number of colonies formed to a significantly larger extent (P < 0.05; Fig. 1g–i). These observations revealed that APG-1252-M1 has antitumor activity against NPC and could improve the therapeutic effect of gemcitabine significantly.

APG-1252-M1 enhances the apoptotic effect of gemcitabine in NPC

The induction of cancer cell apoptosis is a significant anticancer mechanism. Therefore, we sought to determine if APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine have this therapeutic potential. To that end, we applied Annexin-V staining to evaluate the apoptosis of CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells after treatment using APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine, alone or in combination. Both APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine resulted in significant apoptosis of tumor cells after treatment for 24 h, which was further increased when the two drugs were applied together (P < 0.05; Fig. 2a–d; Supplementary Fig. 2a, b). We next examined whether the combination of APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine led to cell death dependent on caspases. Analysis using western blotting indicated that in NPC cells treated with gemcitabine and APG-1252-M1 in combination, increased cleavage of PARP, caspase-3, and caspase-9 occurred compared with that caused by either treatment alone (P < 0.05; Fig. 2e–q; Supplementary Fig. 2c, d). We further determined the roles of caspases in the apoptosis induced by the combination therapy. It was observed that the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VADfmk remarkably decreased the drug combination-induced apoptosis (Fig. 2h-k; Supplementary Fig. 2e, f) and growth inhibition (Supplementary Fig. 2g-j), demonstrating that this drug combination-induced cancer cell apoptosis in a caspasedependent manner.

APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine suppress NPC cell invasion and migration

NPC metastasis and progression are related to increased tumor cell invasion and motility, which explains the mortality and morbidity of patients with NPC. Next, we evaluated APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine's effects on the invasion and migration of NPC cells. Using Transwell assays, we showed that the combined treatment induced a much more potent inhibitory effect on NPC cell migration than that induced by administering either drug alone (P < 0.05; Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 3a). APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine individually only inhibited NPC cell invasion moderately (P < 0.05), while the combined treatment suppressed NPC cell invasion to a much greater extent (P < 0.05; Fig. 3b). Collectively, these findings suggested that APG-1252-M1 combined with gemcitabine-induced significantly enhanced anti-metastatic activity against NPC cells.

Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a biological process in which cells convert from an epithelial phenotype to a mesenchymal phenotype, resulting in the loss of cell-cell junctions and enhanced invasion and metastasis [26]. EMT makes a vital contribution to tumor cell metastasis and invasiveness; therefore, we investigated whether APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine could influence NPC cell EMT. Treatment with either drug alone only resulted in moderate upregulation of the expression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin, whereas it downregulated the levels of the mesenchymal markers β -catenin, N-cadherin, ZEB1, Vimentin, and Snail (Fig. 3c, d; Supplementary Fig. 3c, d). Combined treatment with APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine inhibited EMT to a much greater extent (Fig. 3c, d; Supplementary Fig. 3c, d). Thus, APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine demonstrated a marked synergistic effect on the inhibition of NPC cell EMT.

APG-1252 and gemcitabine vigorously inhibit the tumor growth in vivo

To examine the in vivo antitumor activity of APG-1252 and gemcitabine, CNE2 and HNE1 xenograft models were established



Fig. 1 APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine inhibit the proliferation and oncogenic growth of NPC cells synergistically. a–c Various concentrations of gemcitabine, APG-1252, or their combination were used to treat CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells for 72 h. Cell proliferation was determined using a CCK-8 assay in triplicate. The IC50 values of gemcitabine, APG-1252, and their combination treatment in NPC cells were also analyzed. d–f Gemcitabine and APG-1252, alone or in combination, were used to treat CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells for different times. NPC cell proliferation was detected using RTCA. g–i The combination of gemcitabine and APG-1252 showed enhanced inhibition of NPC cell colony formation. Gemcitabine, APG-1252 or their combination were used to treat CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells at the indicated concentrations for approximately 14 days. The number of colonies stained by crystal violet was used to determine the anti-proliferative effects. The results shown are representative of three independent experiments. All data are presented as the mean±SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; CCK-8, Cell Counting Kit-8; RTCA, real-time cell analysis.

in nude mice. Mice-bearing tumors were divided into four groups randomly, and subjected to treatment for 3 weeks with the vehicle control, gemcitabine, APG-1252, or their combination (n = 6 per group). Throughout the experiment, the tumor volume was measured in each group to estimate the antitumor activity. As expected, the antitumor activity of either gemcitabine or

APG-1252 alone was low (Fig. 4a–c, e–g). By contrast, the combination of gemcitabine plus APG-1252 generated a much higher anticancer activity compared with that of either drug alone, leading to very little tumor growth (Fig. 4a–c, e–g). Notably, the body weight of all treated mice showed no significant changes compared with that of the untreated animals, suggesting that the

4	4	
-		

APG-1252 (μM)	Gemcitabine (µM)	CNE2		HNE1		TW03				
		Fa	CI	Fa	CI	Fa	CI			
0.3125	0.3125	0.177	1.006	0.214	0.180	0.113	2.109			
0.625	0.625	0.250	1.004	0.364	0.137	0.187	1.410			
1.25	1.25	0.369	0.824	0.628	0.068	0.332	0.677			
2.5	2.5	0.633	0.298	0.775	0.055	0.664	0.105			
5	5	0.785	0.182	0.879	0.043	0.800	0.056			
10	10	0.849	0.182	0.899	0.066	0.864	0.048			
20	20	0.857	0.328	0.900	0.130	0.872	0.085			

Table 1. The CI calculated using the CalcuSyn software.

Cl combination index, CNE2, HNE1, TW03 human cell lines, Fa fraction affected.

combination of APG-1252 and gemcitabine was well-tolerated (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). In addition, hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining was performed on the organs of mice under different treatments, such as the brain, heart, lung, stomach, gut, liver, kidney, and spleen to pathologically investigated the toxicity profile, and no obvious structural abnormalities were observed (Supplementary Fig. 4c). To further confirm that APG-1252 plus gemcitabine effectively suppressed tumor growth in a caspasedependent manner, we collected protein lysates from the tumor tissues of mice harboring HNE1 cell xenografts from various treatments and used western blotting to detect the level of caspase cleavage. The combined treatment increased the cleaved caspase-3 and caspase-9 levels markedly compared with those induced by either treatment alone (Fig. 4i, j). Moreover, we used immunochemistry to evaluate the level of Ki-67 (a proliferation marker) in tumor sections from the experimental mice and observed minimal cell proliferation in the combined treatment group (Fig. 4d, h). These data demonstrated that APG-1252 significantly promoted the anticancer activity of gemcitabine in vivo.

APG-1252 and gemcitabine trigger synergistic anticancer activity through p-JAK-2/STAT3/MCL-1 signaling

To investigate the mechanism underlying the combined therapy's induction of tumor apoptosis in NPC, we first detected the effect on the BCL-2 family members. Unexpectedly, the levels of BCL-2 family members, including BCL-2, BCL-XL, BAK, and XIAP did not change significantly; however, the levels of BAD were elevated in CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells (Fig. 5a, b; Supplementary Fig. 5a). To further identify the synergistic antitumor mechanism mediated by APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine, we examined the phosphorylation status of 43 different kinases via human phosphor-kinase analyses (Fig. 5c, d). In the combination group, the phosphorylation of several proteins, especially STAT3(Y705) and YES, was observed to be markedly downregulated. There is currently no antibody to detect specifically the phosphorylated form of YES. Therefore, we can merely use the antibody anti-FYN (phospho Y530) + YES (phospho Y537) to further examined the phosphorylation level of FYN + YES. The verified results of western blotting showed that APG-1252-M1 plus gemcitabine markedly reduced the levels of phosphorylated STAT3 (Y705) in all three NPC cells, while it did not change the levels of p-FYN+p-YES in NPC cells (Fig. 5e, f; Supplementary Fig. 5b). Furthermore, to evaluate which molecule preferentially mediates the downregulation of p-STAT3 in this drug combination, we detected the expression of Janus kinase (JAK) family members simultaneously in NPC cells under different treatments. Consistent with the alteration of p-STAT3, levels of phosphorylated JAK2 were also significantly reduced by the drug combination. We next confirmed the levels of MCL-1 to demonstrate whether the synergistic effect of APG-1252-M1 with gemcitabine was related to STAT3/MCL-1 signaling. We observed that the combination therapy of APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine

significantly reduced the level of p-STAT3(Y705) as well as that of MCL-1 compared with either APG-1252-M1 or gemcitabine alone (Fig. 5e, f; Supplementary Fig. 5c, d). MCL-1 has been reported as a member of the BCL-2 family with anti-apoptotic characteristics, and inhibition of MCL-1 results in activation of the pro-apoptotic BCL-2 proteins, such as BAD, to induce cell apoptosis [27]. Given that the protein level of BAD was elevated by the combination therapy, we further established stable BAD knockdown NPC cells using shRNAs. Among four shRNAs tested, shBAD#1, referred to as BAD sh1, was used in all subsequent experiments (Supplementary Fig. 5e, f). We applied Annexin-V staining to evaluate the apoptosis in scramble control as well as BAD knockdown cells treated with APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine. The results showed that genetic downregulation of BAD could partially weaken the synergistic effect of gemcitabine and APG-1252-M, indicating the contribution of BAD to the drug combination-induced apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. 5g, h).

STAT3 elevation is critical for cell proliferation. Activated STAT3 becomes dimerized and then translocates to the nucleus, where it binds to specific sites on DNA and upregulates the expression of its target proliferation-associated genes (e.g., those encoding cyclin D1, p21, and c-myc), and pro-survival BCL-2 genes (those encoding BCL-XL, MCL-1, and BCL-2 Like 2 (BCL-W)) [28, 29]. The combined therapy could downregulate MCL-1 levels by suppressing the phosphorylation of STAT3; therefore, we sought to determine whether altering the expression of STAT3 in NPC cells would affect tumor cell apoptosis induced by APG-1252-M1 plus gemcitabine. We established stable STAT3 knockdown NPC cells (CNE2, TW03, and HNE1) using shRNAs. Among three shRNAs tested, we used STAT3 Sh2 for CNE2 cell and used STAT3 Sh3 for HNE1 and TW03 cells in subsequent experiments (Fig. 5q, i). Consistent with the aforementioned results, we observed that both the protein level of MCL-1 was potently decreased in STAT3 knockdown cells (Fig. 5g). Moreover, when shSTAT3 NPC cells were treated with APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine, colony formation (Fig. 6c, d; Supplementary Fig. 6c, d) and cell proliferation (Fig. 6e, f; Supplementary Fig. 6e) were further inhibited and higher levels of tumor cell apoptosis were observed in comparison with those in the controls (Fig. 6a; Supplementary Fig. 6a). Besides, we transfected NPC cells (CNE2, HNE1, and TW03) with the PCDNA3.1/STAT3 plasmid to overexpress STAT3 (Fig. 5h, j). Although the combination of APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine exhibited significant anticancer activity in the empty vector group, involving a reduced number of tumor colonies and cell growth, and increased tumor apoptosis, we observed no significant difference compared with that in the group overexpressing STAT3 (Fig. 6b-f; Supplementary Fig. 6b-e). Further immunohistochemical staining demonstrated that the combined treatment reduced p-STAT3 levels (Fig. 4d, h). These results suggested that the synergistic anticancer activity of APG-1252-M1 combined with gemcitabine functions in a STAT3-dependent manner.



Fig. 2 APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine depend on caspase to induce NPC cell apoptosis. a–**d** Annexin V/PI analysis of CNE2 and HNE1 cells following 24 h of exposure to different treatments. The experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the data are shown as the mean±SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.01, ***P < 0.01, **P < 0.01, **

DISCUSSION

The clinical outcomes for patients with recurrent or metastatic NPC are poor. Chemotherapy has played a significant role in palliative therapy for advanced NPC. Among which platinum-based chemotherapeutic regimens are the most frequently used approaches. However, the serious toxicities of prior platinum-based

combination therapy make it difficult for patients who undergo disease progression to receive further treatment [30, 31]. Several phase II and III clinical trials have identified that gemcitabine monotherapy has potent efficacy and favorable toxicities when used in patients with NPC; however, the median survival with single gemcitabine alone in advanced NPC is only 5–16 months



Fig. 3 APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine suppress the biological processes of NPC such as cell migration, invasion, and EMT. a CNE2 and HNE1 cells were exposed to different treatments for 24–48 h, including APG-1252-M1, gemcitabine, or in their combination. To evaluate the NPC cells' migratory ability, Transwell migration assays were carried out. The experiments were conducted in triplicate, and all data are presented as the mean±SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. b CNE2 and HNE1 cells were exposed to different treatments for 24–48 h, including APG-1252-M1, gemcitabine, or their combination. To evaluate the NPC cells' invasive ability, Transwell invasion assays were carried out. The experiments were conducted in triplicate, and all data are presented as the mean±SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. b CNE2 and HNE1 cells were exposed to different treatments for 24–48 h, including APG-1252-M1, gemcitabine, or their combination. To evaluate the NPC cells' invasive ability, Transwell invasion assays were carried out. The experiments were performed three times independently, and the data are shown as mean±SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. c, d Cells (CNE2 and HNE1) were exposed to different treatments for 24–48 h, including APG-1252-M1, gemcitabine, or their combination. Western blotting was applied to examine the expression of EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, β -catenin, ZEB1, Vimentin, and Snail). β -tubulin was used as a loading control. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three independently performed experiments. NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma, EMT epithelial–mesenchyme transition.



[9, 32, 33]. Hence, novel combination approaches are urgently needed to improve the efficacy of gemcitabine. Combined treatment is a valuable strategy to increase antitumor capabilities together with reducing the potential adverse effects resulting from decreasing dosage of the drug when used as a single agent.

Furthermore, it might also decrease the occurrence of drug resistance related to the distinct mechanism of each drug's action. Strategies to boost the anticancer activity of gemcitabine include simultaneously inhibiting the processes of EMT [34], autophagy [35], and cell stemness [36]. In the present study, APG-1252, as a

7

Fig. 4 APG-1252 and gemcitabine potently inhibit in vivo NPC tumor growth. a, b, e, f Images and volumes of tumors harvested at the end of the experiment; statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. **c**, **g** Nude mice with CNE2 and HNE1 xenograft tumors were treated with APG-1252, gemcitabine, alone or in combination, or with the control (saline control). On the indicated days, the tumor volumes were measured (n = 6). All data are shown as the mean±SD. Statistical analyses were performed using two-way ANOVA, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. **d**, **h** HE and IHC staining were carried out in the indicated xenograft tumors. Data are shown as the mean±SD of three independently performed experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. **i**, **j** Western blotting analysis of the levels of cleaved Caspase-3, cleaved caspase-9, p-JAK2, and p-STAT3 from HNE1 xenograft tumors from the four different treatment groups. The loading control was β -tubulin. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three independently performed experiments. ANOVA analysis of variance, HE hematoxylin and eosin, IHC immunohistochemistry, PARP poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase, p-JAK2 phosphorylated Janus kinase 2, p-STAT3 phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3.

dual inhibitor of BCL-2 and BCL-XL, was employed to enhance gemcitabine's antitumor activity based on the consideration that overexpression of anti-apoptotic proteins like BCL-2, BCL-XL, and MCL-1, which play a vital role in the process of tumor survival and chemoresistance [37, 38]. The BH3-mimetic APG-1252 is an orally bioavailable BCL-2 family protein inhibitor that binds to antiapoptotic BCL-2 family proteins BCL-XL and BCL-2 [23]. Our laboratory has reported APG-1252's antitumor ability against gastric cancer and AML, and its effect in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs [24, 25]. Previous data demonstrated that APG-1252 had a synergistic effect with the chemotherapeutic drug 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in gastric cancer cell lines and xenograft animal models via the induction of apoptosis [24]. In addition, several clinical studies are currently underway to test the efficacy of APG-1252 in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and other advanced cancers (NCT03387332, NCT04354727, NCT04001777, NCT04210037, and NCT03080311). Moreover, it has received approval of FDA as an orphan drug for SCLC. The present study demonstrated that, in vitro and in vivo, APG-1252 and gemcitabine demonstrated marked synergistic activity against NPC. This combined treatment approach might be further developed to produce a new therapy for NPC, and perhaps other solid tumors.

The JAK/STAT signaling pathway is responsible for transmitting the extracellular information from the cell membrane into the nucleus to trigger DNA transcription, and mediates various important physiological functions including cell proliferation, survival, differentiation, apoptosis, and metastasis [39, 40]. In the signaling pathway, JAK2, an important JAKs family member, functions upstream, and is the major activator, of STAT3 [41]. Persistent STAT3 activation is associated with tumor progression of head and neck cancer, NPC, prostate cancer, and lung cancer, implying that phosphorylation of STAT3 is a favorable marker of prognosis of various types of cancer [42-44]. Besides, constitutively activated STAT3 can also upregulate the expression of antiapoptotic genes, including BCL-XL and MCL-1, in human cancer cells [45]. However, there is also study showing that STAT3 inhibition reduces the MCL-1 expression while not changes the protein level of BCL-XL [46], which is consistent with our findings. Zhou et al. reported that STAT3 could regulate MCL-1 at the transcriptional level in lung cancer cell lines [47]. The antiapoptotic protein and member of the BCL-2 family, MCL-1, is regulated by several growth factors [48]. Furthermore, MCL-1 overexpression has been observed in various tumors, and is associated with escape from cell apoptosis and acquisition of chemoresistance [49]. Yang et al. revealed that the BCL-2 inhibitor TW-37 could enhance chemosensitivity by inhibiting STAT3/MCL-1 signaling [50]. Next, we guestioned whether the synergy between APG-1252 and gemcitabine exhibited in NPC by regulating the JAK-2/p-STAT3/MCL-1 pathway. Consequently, we performed phospho-tyrosine kinase array and RTK array experiments, as well as western blotting validation, to explore the underlying mechanism. In line with the evidence that phosphorylated STAT3 lies upstream of MCL-1 signaling, our study showed that the combined treatment of gemcitabine and APG-1252 led to downregulation of JAK-2/p-STAT3/MCL-1 signaling, together with activation of the apoptotic cascade, consisting of caspases and the pro-apoptotic protein BAD, in NPC cells. Previous studies demonstrated BAD binds to and neutralizes anti-apoptotic proteins BCL-2, BCL-XL, and BCL-W, would make for a diversion of BAX proteins from BCL/BCL-XL oligomerization and promoting cell death [51–53]. Meanwhile, MCL-1 is a critical apoptosis-regulatory molecule, whose reduced expression in cooperation with BAD induces apoptosis [27].

The combined therapy attenuated MCL-1 expression dependent on interrupting STAT3 phosphorylation. In addition, previous studies showed that pharmacological or genetic interruption of STAT3 could enhance anti-NPC activity [50, 54]. Hence, we further established both *STAT3* knockdown and overexpression models in vitro to determine whether STAT3 could influence the NPC cell apoptosis caused by the combined therapy. We demonstrated that knockdown of *STAT3* reduced MCL-1 expression and augmented the cell death induced by APG-1252 plus gemcitabine, while *STAT3* overexpression weakened the synergistic antitumor effect of APG-1252 and gemcitabine. Thus, our data revealed that the cooperative antitumor effect of this combined treatment is probably dependent on the suppression of STAT3 signaling.

Recent research has suggested that EMT has an important function in tumor metastasis and contributes to resistance to both radiotherapy and chemotherapy in NPC [30, 55]. Notably, NPC is invariably associated with EBV infection. Increasing evidence indicates that EBV-encoded oncoproteins, such as latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) activate several intracellular signaling pathways, including JAK2/STAT3, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT), and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways [56, 57]. All of these signaling pathways could deliver signals that promote EMT in NPC [58]. The combined therapy of gemcitabine and APG-1252 suppressed NPC cell migration and invasion to a greater extent than either drug alone, demonstrating that they are particularly effective to treat of metastatic and invasive advanced NPC. Under normal conditions, cell-cell association is based on a complex formed between β-catenin and E-cadherin. Here, the combination therapy reduced EMT, as indicated by the markedly decreased N-cadherin and β -catenin levels and increased E-cadherin levels. The combination of gemcitabine and APG-1252 potently restrained EMT, which was in accordance with their inhibitory action on NPC cell migration and invasion.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study revealed that a combination of APG-1252 and gemcitabine synergistically inhibited the in vitro and in vivo invasion, migration, and proliferation of NPC cells. Furthermore, they induced NPC cells to undergo apoptosis by inactivating the JAK-2/STAT3/MCL-1 signaling pathway (Fig. 7). These observations identified a potential strategy to treat NPC and will be pivotal for translation into the clinic in the future, with the aim of reducing the mortality and morbidity of patients with NPC.



METHODS

Cells culture and regents

The present study used the human NPC cell lines CNE2, HNE1, and TW03. Professor Kaitai Yao (Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China) kindly donated the HNE1 cells. Professor Musheng Zeng (Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China) kindly provided the CNE2 and TW03 cells. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Gibco Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco Life Technologies) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to culture all NPC cells in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO_2 at 37 °C. APG-1252 and APG-1252-M1 were purchased from Ascentage

Cell Death and Disease (2021)12:772

9

10

Fig. 5 APG-1252-M1 and gemcitabine exhibit a synergistic antitumor effect via inhibiting the JAK2/p-STAT3/MCL-1 signaling pathway. a, **b** Western blotting analysis of the levels of BCL-2, BCL-XL, XIAP, BAK, and BAD in the indicated CNE2 and HNE1 cells. The loading control was β -tubulin. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three independently performed experiments. **c**, **d** A phospho-kinase array kit was used to analyze the protein lysates of CNE2 cells after different treatments involving control, gemcitabine, APG-1252-M1, and their combination. HSP27, AMPKα2, STAT5b, Chk-2, YES, and STAT3 proteins showed markedly altered levels of phosphorylation (highlighted by red boxes). **e**, **f** Western blotting analysis of the levels of p-JAK-2, JAK-2, p-STAT3(Y705), STAT3, and MCL-1 in the indicated CNE2 and HNE1 cells. The loading control was β-tubulin. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three independently performed experiments. **g**, **h** Western blotting assessment of the abundances of STAT3 and MCL-1 in the indicated CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells transfected with *STAT3* shRNAs, scrambled control, and STAT3 overexpression vectors. The loading control was GAPDH. **i**, **j** qRT-PCR assessment of the mRNA levels of *STAT3* in the indicated CNE2, HNE1, and TW03 cells transfected with the *STAT3* shRNAs, scrambled control, was *GAPDH.* **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001. Error bars represent the SD of three independent experiments. SC scrambled control, p-JAK2 phosphorylated Janus kinase 2, p-STAT3 phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, BCL-2 BCL-2 apoptosis regulator, BCL-XL BCL-2 like 1, XIAP X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis, BAK BCL-2 apoptosis regulator, BCL-2 Like 1, XIAP X-linked inhibitor of transcription 5b, Chk-2 checkpoint kinase AMP-activated catalytic subunit alpha 2, STAT5b signal transducer and activator of transcription 5b, Chk-2 checkpoint kinase 2, shRNA short hairpin RNA.

Pharma Group Inc (Jiangsu, China). For the in vitro experiments APG-1252-M1 was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 10 μ M and kept at -20 °C. For the in vivo experiments, APG-1252 was dissolved in 10% polyethylene glycol 4000 (PEG400)/5% Castor oil ethoxylated (EL)/85% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Gemcitabine and z-VAD-fmk were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA), and were prepared as a stock concentration at 10 mM in DMSO and stored at -20 °C.

Western blotting

Cells were treated with various concentrations of the drugs (detailed in the figures). After 48 h, the cells were harvested and washed twice with cold PBS. Cell Lysis Buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) was used to lyse the cells, and a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to measure the protein concentration of the lysates. Electrophoresis using 8-15% SDS-PAGE was used to separate the total proteins, which were then electrotransferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). 5% non-fat milk was used to block the membranes, which were then incubated with primary antibodies (1:1000) recognizing STAT3, p-STAT3, Janus kinase 2 (JAK-2), phosphor (p)-JAK-2, BCL-2, BCL-XL, BCL-2 associated agonist of cell death (BAD), MCL-1 apoptosis regulator BCL-2 family member (MCL-1), X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP), BCL-2 antagonist/ killer 1 (BAK), Caspase-3, Cleaved Caspase-3, Caspase-9, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), E-cadherin, N-cadherin, β-catenin, Zinc Finger E-Box Binding Homeobox 1 (ZEB1), Vimentin, Snail, YES, Anti-Fyn (phospho Y530) + Yes (phospho Y537) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and β-tubulin (Cell Signaling Technology) were used as controls. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)conjugated goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibodies (1:5000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) were used as secondary antibodies. A Bio-Rad Clarity[™] western ECL substrate (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was used to visualize the immunoreactive protein bands and Image Lab (Bio-Rad) was used to quantify the protein levels.

Cell viability assays

Cells (3 × 10³ cells per well) were cultured in wells of in 96-well plates containing 200 µL of culture medium for 12 h. After the cells had adhered, they were pretreated with the indicated concentrations of APG-1252-M1, gencitabine, or their combination for 72 h, respectively. Then, the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; 20 µL) reagent (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) was added to 100 µL of culture medium per well and incubated for 2–4 h at 37 °C. The absorbance value was then measured using a spectrophotometer at 450 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate per trial and conducted at least three times. Nonlinear regression in GraphPad Prism version 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was used to analyze the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values and dose-response curves. Moreover, drug-combination effects were analyzed using CalcuSyn software (Biosoft, Cambridge, UK) to calculate the combination index (CI) for each concentration tested, whereby CI values < 0.9, = 0.9, and > 0.9 indicate synergy, additivity, and antagonism, respectively.

Assay of colony formation

NPC cells $(2 \times 10^3$ cells per well) were cultured in 6-well plates for 12 h before being added with the indicated nominal concentrations of drugs.

Ten or 14 days later, the colonies were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and stained using crystal violet for 30 min, after which the crystal violet was washed out. Finally, an inverted microscope was used to acquire images of the cells in the wells and the observable colonies (those with more than 50 cells) were recorded. In the figures, the presented images are representative of three independent experiments.

Migration and invasion assays

CNE2, HNE1 and TW03 cells (5×10^4 cells in serum-free DMEM (200μ L)) were added to the top chamber of a 24-well Transwell plate (Corning, NY, USA), and treated with control (PBS), APG-1252-M1, gemcitabine, or their combination, respectively. For invasion assays, the upper chamber membranes were coated with Matrigel (Corning). Then, 700 µL of DMEM with 20% FBS were placed in the lower chamber and cultured in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 18–36 h. Methanol was then used to fix the cells for 10 min, after which 1% crystal violet staining was carried out for 30 min at room temperature. The cells were counted under a microscope in five randomly chosen fields per well. Each assay was carried out independently three times.

Real-time cell analysis

Real-Time Cell Analysis (RTCA) was conducted using the RTCA S16 (ACEA Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) to monitor the process of cell proliferation. The microelectrodes were attached at the bottom of the modified 16-well E-plates (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) for impedance-based detection during cell attachment, and the proliferation of the cells was indicated by the cell index value. The cell suspension (200 µL) was plated in the 16-well E-plates at a density of 1×10³ cells/well. The E-plate was first incubated at room temperature for 30 min, and then transferred into the humidified incubator for 12 h until a stable baseline was reached. The cells were then treated as four groups (control, APG-1252-M1, gemcitabine, and their combination) at nominal concentrations, and the cell index recording was continued. The cell index was recorded every 15 min for 120 h to measure cell proliferation inhibition.

Analysis of apoptosis via flow cytometry

An Annexin V-propidium iodide (PI) apoptosis detection kit (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) was used to determine cell apoptosis via flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Cells (2 × 10⁶ per well) were cultured in 6-well plates before being treated with the indicated drug concentrations for 48 h. After treatment, we harvested the cells, washed them twice with PBS, and stained them using Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and PI in the dark following the manufacturer's protocol, followed by analysis using Beckman flow cytometry. The upper right quadrant represents late apoptotic cells, and the lower right quadrant represents early apoptotic cells. The assessment of the apoptosis rate was the sum of early and late apoptosis.

Transfection of shRNA and plasmid DNA

According to the manufacturer's instructions, vectors expressing *STAT3* short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) and an shRNA scrambled control (Supplementary Table S1) were transiently transfected using a pSIH-H1-puro Lentivector Packaging Kit (System Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The *STAT3* cDNA (Supplementary Table S2) was amplified and cloned into the



Fig. 6 Gene manipulation of STAT3 affects the enhanced antitumor effect of APG-1252 in combination with gencitabine. a, b The apoptotic rate of the indicated CNE2, HNE1 cells transfected with the *STAT3* shRNA, scrambled control, and STAT3 overexpression vectors after treatment with or without the combination therapy were determined using an Annexin V/PI assay. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three independently performed experiments. **c**, **d** Typical images and enumeration of the indicated cells (scramble control, *STAT3* shRNA, vector, STAT3 overexpression) treated with or without the combination therapy after crystal violet staining. Data are shown as the mean±SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. **e**, **f** the CCK-8/IC50 values of the indicated CNE2 and HNE1 cells transfection with the *STAT3* shRNA, scrambled control, and STAT3 overexpression vectors after treatment with the combination therapy. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, #**P < 0.001, #**P < 0.001, **e**, **f** the CCK-8/IC50 values of the indicated CNE2 and HNE1 cells transfection with the *STAT3* shRNA, scrambled control, and STAT3 overexpression vectors after treatment with the combination therapy. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, #**P < 0

PCDNA3.1 plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Transfections of HEK293T cells at approximately 80% confluency were performed in 10 cm dishes with the aid of the Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocols. *BAD* expression was inhibited using shRNAs (HSH015538-31-LVRU6MP for *BAD* shRNA and LPP-CSHCTR001-LVRU6MP for relative scramble control; GeneCopoeia).

Fresh growth medium replaced the transfection medium at 5 h after transfection. At 48 h after transfection, we collected the viral supernatant and filtered it through a 0.45-nm filter (System Biosciences). The viral particles were used to infect CNE2, HNE1 and TW03 cells overnight at 37 °C. Forty-eight hours later, the cells were selected using growth medium supplemented with puromycin at 5 μ g/mL. The medium was changed



Fig. 7 The inhibitory mechanism of APG-1252 and gemcitabine against nasopharyngeal carcinoma. APG-1252 plus gemcitabine synergistically suppressed NPC by activating caspase-dependent pathways, blocking the phosphor (p)JAK2/STAT3/MCL-1 signaling pathway, and inhibiting EMT process.

every 2 days for 2–3 weeks, until isolated colonies (~2 mm diameter) formed on the plate. Individual clones were then transferred to 12-well dishes containing 1 μ g/mL puromycin and expanded for further analysis. Stable inhibition of *STAT3* and *BAD* expressions were verified using quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) and western blotting.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR

Total cellular RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen) for mRNA analysis. A Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Roche Applied Science, Madison, WI, USA) was used to reverse transcribe the RNA to cDNA. The cDNA was then used as the template in quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) reactions. The FastStart SYBR Green Master (ROX) reagent (Roche Applied Science) was used to perform the qPCR reactions following the manufacturer's protocols. The Bio-Rad CFX96 system with SYBR green (Bio-Rad) and the appropriate primers were used for qPCR to estimate the *STAT3* mRNA expression level. Triplicate data were normalized to the *GAPDH* mRNA levels in the samples. The primers used were as follows: *STAT3* forward: CTCAGAACACGGTACCTGGA; reverse: CTCATCACTCG TCGTCCT; *GAPDH* forward: GTGAAAGGTCGGAGTCAACGG; reverse: CCCATCCCTTCG GAAGATGGTGATGGGATT.

Human phosphor-kinase array

CNE2 cells were placed at 5×10^6 cells per 10 cm dish and four groups were treated with the indicated concentrations of drugs for 48 h. The Human Phospho-Kinase Array kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was used to analyze the cells following the manufacturer's protocol. Quantity One Software (Bio-Rad) was used to image and quantify the chemiluminescent signals.

Histology and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Mouse tumor tissues were fixed with formalin, embedded in paraffin, and cut into slices at 3-µm-thick for IHC analysis, which was carried out in accordance with standard procedures. Primary antibodies recognizing

marker of proliferation Ki-67 (Ki-67) (1:500) and p-STAT3 (1:500) (Abcam) were used. The positive signals were captured under a fluorescence microscope.

In vivo mouse studies

All mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd, (Beijing, China), and raised in a specific pathogen-free (SPF) experimental animal room under standard conditions. All animal protocols were approved by the institutional animal care and use committee at Sun Yat-Sen University cancer center. Female BALB/c nude mice (weighing 14–18 g; 6 weeks old) were injected with 5×10^6 CNE2 or HNE1 cells in 200 µL of PBS subcutaneously. The mouse tumor sizes were measured using electronic calipers and estimated using the formula: Tumor volume (cm³) = (length × width²)/2.

The mice bearing tumors were assigned randomly to four groups when their tumor sizes increased to ~200 mm³: Control group, APG-1252 group, gemcitabine group, and the combination of APG-1252 and gemcitabine group (each group, n = 6). For the APG-1252-treated mice, 50 mg/kg of APG-1252 was injected via the caudal vein twice a week. For the gemcitabine-treated mice, 50 mg/kg of gemcitabine was delivered via intraperitoneal injection twice a week. Tumors were measured three times a week using calipers until a terminal event endpoint was reached (tumor volume = 2000 mm³). Kaplan–Meier survival curves were created, and among the different groups, the log-rank test was used to assess the statistical differences among the survival curves.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism statistical analysis software v8.0 used to perform the statistical analysis (two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), one-way ANOVA, Student's *t* test). Data were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) from at least three independent experiments. Differences in *P*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data generated and analyzed will be made from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The authenticity of this article has been validated by uploading

REFERENCES

- Pan JJ, Ng WT, Zong JF, Lee SW, Choi HC, Chan LL, et al. Prognostic nomogram for refining the prognostication of the proposed 8th edition of the AJCC/UICC staging system for nasopharyngeal cancer in the era of intensity-modulated radiotherapy. Cancer. 2016;122:3307–15.
- Tang LQ, Chen QY, Fan W, Liu H, Zhang L, Guo L, et al. Prospective study of tailoring whole-body dual-modality [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography with plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA for detecting distant metastasis in endemic nasopharyngeal carcinoma at initial staging. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:2861–9.
- 3. Wei WI, Sham JS. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Lancet. 2005;365:2041-54.
- 4. Chan AT, Teo PM, Johnson PJ. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Ann Oncol.
- 2002;13:1007–15.
 5. O'Sullivan B. Nasopharynx cancer: therapeutic value of chemoradiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;69:S118–121.
- Scagliotti GV, Parikh P, von Pawel J, Biesma B, Vansteenkiste J, Manegold C, et al. Phase III study comparing cisplatin plus gemcitabine with cisplatin plus pemetrexed in chemotherapy-naive patients with advanced-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:3543–51.
- Telli ML, Jensen KC, Vinayak S, Kurian AW, Lipson JA, Flaherty PJ, et al. Phase II study of gemcitabine, carboplatin, and iniparib as neoadjuvant therapy for triplenegative and BRCA1/2 mutation-associated breast cancer with assessment of a tumor-based measure of genomic instability: PrECOG 0105. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33:1895–901.
- Zhang L, Huang Y, Hong S, Yang Y, Yu G, Jia J, et al. Gemcitabine plus cisplatin versus fluorouracil plus cisplatin in recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2016;388:1883–92.
- Foo KF, Tan EH, Leong SS, Wee JT, Tan T, Fong KW, et al. Gemcitabine in metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma of the undifferentiated type. Ann Oncol. 2002;13:150–6.
- Ngan RK, Yiu HH, Lau WH, Yau S, Cheung FY, Chan TM, et al. Combination gemcitabine and cisplatin chemotherapy for metastatic or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma: report of a phase II study. Ann Oncol. 2002;13:1252–8.
- Ali SM, Yao M, Yao J, Wang J, Cheng Y, Schrock AB, et al. Comprehensive genomic profiling of different subtypes of nasopharyngeal carcinoma reveals similarities and differences to guide targeted therapy. Cancer. 2017;123:3628–37.
- Zhang HJ, Yuan GL, Liang QL, Peng XX, Cheng SA, Jiang L. Addition of bevacizumab to systemic therapy for locally advanced and metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Oncol Lett. 2018;15:7799–805.
- Zhu S, Chen J, Xiong Y, Kamara S, Gu M, Tang W, et al. Novel EBV LMP-2-affibody and affitoxin in molecular imaging and targeted therapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16:e1008223.
- Pfister DG, Spencer S, Adelstein D, Adkins D, Anzai Y, Brizel DM, et al. Head and neck cancers, version 2.2020, nccn clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Cancer NetW. 2020;18:873–98.
- 15. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011;144:646–74.
- Hellemans P, van Dam PA, Weyler J, van Oosterom AT, Buytaert P, Van Marck E. Prognostic value of bcl-2 expression in invasive breast cancer. Br J Cancer. 1995;72:354–60.
- Trask DK, Wolf GT, Bradford CR, Fisher SG, Devaney K, Johnson M, et al. Expression of Bcl-2 family proteins in advanced laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma: correlation with response to chemotherapy and organ preservation. Laryngoscope. 2002;112:638–44.
- Czabotar PE, Lessene G, Strasser A, Adams JM. Control of apoptosis by the BCL-2 protein family: implications for physiology and therapy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014;15:49–63.
- 19. Siddiqui WA, Ahad A, Ahsan H. The mystery of BCL2 family: Bcl-2 proteins and apoptosis: an update. Arch Toxicol. 2015;89:289–317.
- Soderquist RyanS, Eastman A. BCL2 inhibitors as anticancer drugs: a plethora of misleading BH3 mimetics. Mol Cancer Therapeutics. 2016;15:2011–7.
- Souers AJ, Leverson JD, Boghaert ER, Ackler SL, Catron ND, Chen J, et al. ABT-199, a potent and selective BCL-2 inhibitor, achieves antitumor activity while sparing platelets. Nat Med. 2013;19:202–8.
- 22. Radha G, Raghavan SC. BCL2: A promising cancer therapeutic target. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev Cancer. 2017;1868:309–14.
- Bai L, Chen J, Liu L, McEachern D, Aguilar A, Zhou H, et al. 338 BM-1252 (APG-1252): a potent dual specific Bcl-2/Bcl-xL inhibitor that achieves complete tumor regression with minimal platelet toxicity. Eur J Cancer. 2014;50:109–10.

- Yi H, Qiu M-Z, Yuan L, Luo Q, Pan W, Zhou S, et al. Bcl-2/Bcl-xl inhibitor APG-1252-M1 is a promising therapeutic strategy for gastric carcinoma. Cancer Med. 2020;9:4197–206.
- Wang J, Yang D, Luo Q, Qiu M, Zhang L, Li B, et al. APG-1252-12A induces mitochondria-dependent apoptosis through inhibiting the antiapoptotic proteins Bcl-2/Bcl-xl in HL-60 cells. Int J Oncol. 2017;51:563–72.
- Yilmaz M, Christofori G. EMT, the cytoskeleton, and cancer cell invasion. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2009;28:15–33.
- Pareja F, Macleod D, Shu C, Crary JF, Canoll PD, Ross AH, et al. PI3K and Bcl-2 inhibition primes glioblastoma cells to apoptosis through downregulation of Mcl-1 and Phospho-BAD. Mol Cancer Res: MCR. 2014;12:987–1001.
- Jarnicki A, Putoczki T, Ernst M. Stat3: linking inflammation to epithelial cancermore than a "gut" feeling? Cell Div. 2010;5:14–14.
- 29. Masuda M, Suzui M, Yasumatu R, Nakashima T, Kuratomi Y, Azuma K, et al. Constitutive activation of signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 correlates with cyclin D1 overexpression and may provide a novel prognostic marker in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2002;62:3351–5.
- Chen YP, Chan ATC, Le QT, Blanchard P, Sun Y, Ma J. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Lancet. 2019;394:64–80.
- Tsao SW, Tsang CM, Lo KW. Epstein-Barr virus infection and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 2017;372:20160270. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0270
- Ma BB, Tannock IF, Pond GR, Edmonds MR, Siu LL. Chemotherapy with gemcitabine-containing regimens for locally recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer. 2002;95:2516–23.
- 33. Zhang L, Zhang Y, Huang PY, Xu F, Peng PJ, Guan ZZ. Phase II clinical study of gemcitabine in the treatment of patients with advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma after the failure of platinum-based chemotherapy. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2008;61:33–38.
- Gu Y, Zhang B, Gu G, Yang X, Qian Z. Metformin increases the chemosensitivity of pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine by reversing EMT through regulation DNA methylation of miR-663. OncoTargets Ther. 2020;13:10417–29.
- Ando T, Ichikawa J, Fujimaki T, Taniguchi N, Takayama Y, Haro H. Gemcitabine and rapamycin exhibit additive effect against osteosarcoma by targeting autophagy and apoptosis. Cancers. 2020;12:3097. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers12113097
- Tsai LH, Hsu KW, Chiang CM, Yang HJ, Liu YH, Yang SF, et al. Targeting interleukin-17 receptor B enhances gemcitabine sensitivity through downregulation of mucins in pancreatic cancer. Sci Rep. 2020;10:17817.
- Delbridge AR, Strasser A. The BCL-2 protein family, BH3-mimetics and cancer therapy. Cell Death Differ. 2015;22:1071–80.
- Choi BH, Yoon HS. FKBP38-Bcl-2 interaction: a novel link to chemoresistance. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2011;11:354–9.
- Alvarez JV, Greulich H, Sellers WR, Meyerson M, Frank DA. Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 is required for the oncogenic effects of non-small-cell lung cancer-associated mutations of the epidermal growth factor receptor. Cancer Res. 2006;66:3162–8.
- Bowman T, Garcia R, Turkson J, Jove R. STATs in oncogenesis. Oncogene. 2000;19:2474–88.
- Campbell PJ, Scott LM, Buck G, Wheatley K, East CL, Marsden JT, et al. Definition of subtypes of essential thrombocythaemia and relation to polycythaemia vera based on JAK2 V617F mutation status: a prospective study. Lancet. 2005;366:1945–53.
- Lee JH, Kim C, Ko JH, Jung YY, Jung SH, Kim E, et al. Casticin inhibits growth and enhances ionizing radiation-induced apoptosis through the suppression of STAT3 signaling cascade. J Cell Biochem. 2019;120:9787–98.
- Lee JH, Kim C, Lee SG, Sethi G, Ahn KS. Ophiopogonin D, a steroidal glycoside abrogates STAT3 signaling cascade and exhibits anti-cancer activity by causing GSH/GSSG imbalance in lung carcinoma. Cancers. 2018;10:427. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/cancers10110427
- Wong ALA, Hirpara JL, Pervaiz S, Eu JQ, Sethi G, Goh BC. Do STAT3 inhibitors have potential in the future for cancer therapy? Expert Opin Investigational Drugs. 2017;26:883–7.
- Turkson J. STAT proteins as novel targets for cancer drug discovery. Expert Opin Therapeutic Targets. 2004;8:409–22.
- 46. Dai W, Liu S, Wang S, Zhao L, Yang X, Zhou J, et al. Activation of transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase DDR1-STAT3 cascade by extracellular matrix remodeling promotes liver metastatic colonization in uveal melanoma. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6:176.
- Zhou M, Zhao J, Zhang Q, Jin X, Liao M, Zhang L, et al. Nicotine upregulates the level of Mcl-1 through STAT3 in H1299 Cells. J Cancer. 2020;11:1270–6.
- De Blasio A, Vento R, Di Fiore R. Mcl-1 targeting could be an intriguing perspective to cure cancer. J Cell Physiol. 2018;233:8482–98.
- Quinn BA, Dash R, Azab B, Sarkar S, Das SK, Kumar S, et al. Targeting Mcl-1 for the therapy of cancer. Expert Opin Investigational Drugs. 2011;20:1397–411.

- 14
- Yang IH, Hong SH, Jung M, Ahn CH, Yoon HJ, Hong SD, et al. Cryptotanshinone chemosensitivity potentiation by TW-37 in human oral cancer cell lines by targeting STAT3-Mcl-1 signaling. Cancer Cell Int. 2020;20:405.
- Yang E, Zha J, Jockel J, Boise LH, Thompson CB, Korsmeyer SJ. Bad, a heterodimeric partner for Bcl-XL and Bcl-2, displaces Bax and promotes cell death. Cell. 1995;80:285–91.
- 52. Kuwana T, Newmeyer DD. Bcl-2-family proteins and the role of mitochondria in apoptosis. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2003;15:691–9.
- Holmgreen SP, Huang DC, Adams JM, Cory S. Survival activity of Bcl-2 homologs Bcl-w and A1 only partially correlates with their ability to bind pro-apoptotic family members. Cell Death Differ. 1999;6:525–32.
- Zheng YQ, Cui YR, Yang S, Wang YP, Qiu YJ, Hu WL. Opa interacting protein 5 promotes metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells by promoting EMT via modulation of JAK2/STAT3 signal. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2019;23:613–21.
- 55. Luo M, Wu C, Guo E, Peng S, Zhang L, Sun W, et al. FOXO3a knockdown promotes radioresistance in nasopharyngeal carcinoma by inducing epithelialmesenchymal transition and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Cancer Lett. 2019;455:26–35.
- Yoshizaki T, Kondo S, Wakisaka N, Murono S, Endo K, Sugimoto H, et al. Pathogenic role of Epstein-Barr virus latent membrane protein-1 in the development of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Lett. 2013;337:1–7.
- Yang Z, Wang J, Zhang Z, Tang F. Epstein-Barr virus-encoded products promote circulating tumor cell generation: a novel mechanism of nasopharyngeal carcinoma metastasis. OncoTargets Ther. 2019;12:11793–804.
- Guan X. Cancer metastases: challenges and opportunities. Acta Pharmaceutica Sin B. 2015;5:402–18.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: FL, HYZ, LZ, DJY; Conducting experiments: FL, FTL, MZQ, WJM, TZ; Acquisition of data: FL, FTL, WJM; Analysis of data: LZ, ZHZ, ML; Writing the manuscript: FL, HYZ, LZ, DJY; All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the 5010 Clinical Research Foundation of Sun Yat-sen University [grant number 2016001]; the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province of China [grant number 2018A0303130243, 2020A1515011464, 2018A030310260]; the Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province of China [grant number 2017B020227001]; the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number 82073377, 82073396, 81872201, 81772587, 82003268]; and the Cancer Immunotherapy Research Foundation of Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO)—Junshi [grant number Y-JS2019-046]. The funding sources had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation

of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Da-Jun Yang has ownership interest (including patents) in Ascentage Pharma Group Corp. Limited. Wen-Tao Pan is an employee of Ascentage Pharma Group Corp. Limited. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The Guangdong Provincial Animal Care and Use Committee approved all the in vivo experiments.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-021-04042-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.-Y.Z., L.Z. or D.-J.Y.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021