ARTICLE

Open Access

NEDDylation negatively regulates ERR^β expression to promote breast cancer tumorigenesis and progression

Sanoj K. Naik¹, Eric W.-F. Lam[®]², Monalisa Parija¹, Surya Prakash¹, Yannasittha Jiramongkol², Amit K. Adhya³, Dilip K. Parida⁴ and Sandip K. Mishra¹

Abstract

Estrogen-related receptor beta (ERR β) is downregulated in breast cancer cells and its overexpression in breast cancer patients is positively correlated with an improved prognosis and prolonged relapse-free survival. Here, we unravelled a molecular mechanism for ERR β downregulation in breast cancer. We found that ERR β is a key substrate of the SCF complex and that NEDDylation can activate the Cullin subunits of the SCF complex to target ERR β for degradation in breast cancer. Consistently, using in vitro and in vivo models, we demonstrated that MLN4924, a specific small molecule inhibitor of NEDDylation, can restore ERR β expression and culminate in a reduction in cell proliferation and migration of breast cancer cells. We also showed that increased ERR β expression promotes the upregulation of its target genes, including the tumour suppressors p21^{Cip1/Waf1} and E-cadherin, involved in cell proliferation and migration arrest at the gene promoter level. Interestingly, this tumour suppressive role of ERR β does not depend on the expression of ERa in breast cancer. Moreover, our data revealed that the ERR β recruits the transcription co-activator p300 to its targeted gene promoters to upregulate their expression. Collectively, our work revealed that restoration of ERR β expression using the NEDDylation inhibitor MLN4924 can be a novel and effective strategy for breast cancer treatment.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the predominant cause of cancer deaths in underdeveloped countries, representing 14.3% of all cancer deaths. For patients with advanced breast cancer that developed acquired drug resistance and/or disease recurrence or metastasis following first-line chemotherapy, their therapeutic options are very limited¹. Estrogen has long been known to play a significant role in the development of breast cancer. It is believed that the direct estrogenic effect is mediated by estrogen receptors (ERs), ER α and ER β , by regulating the expression of their target

Correspondence: Sandip K. Mishra (sandipkmishra@ils.res.in)

¹Cancer Biology Lab, Gene Function and Regulation Group, Institute of Life Sciences, Nalco square, Chandrasekharpur, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751023, India ²Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial Centre for Translational and Experimental Medicine (ICTEM), Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College London, Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article \mbox{Edited} by S. Tait

genes¹. Estrogen-related receptors (ERRs)^{2,3} share high levels of homology with ERs in their protein structures and are capable of inducing transcription of the estrogeninducible genes via the ERE and ERRE elements in the promoter region^{4,5}. Besides this, estrogen-related receptor beta (ERR β) has also been proposed to have multiple antiproliferative properties in breast cancer cells⁶.

NEDDylation is the process of conjugating an ubiquitin-like molecule, NEDD8 (neuronal precursor cell-expressed developmentally downregulated protein 8) to target proteins via a three-step enzymatic reaction, catalysed sequentially by NEDD8-activating enzyme E1 (NAE), NEDD8-conjugating enzyme E2s (UBC12/UBE2M or UBE2F) and substrate-specific NEDD8-E3 ligases⁷. Hitherto, the best-characterised physiological NEDDylation substrates are Cullin family members (Cul-1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, and 5, other two cullins, Cullin-7 and -9 are less studied), which are scaffold subunits of

© The Author(s) 2020

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. Cullin–RING ligases (CRLs)⁸. CRLs are the largest family of multiunit E3 ubiquitin ligases, which upon activation by NEDDylation ubiquitinates about 20% of all cellular proteins for targeted degradation via ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS)⁸.

MLN4924, also known as pevonedistat, is a specific inhibitor of NAE, the E1 enzyme of NEDDylation pathway⁹. Pevonedistat is currently in phase I clinical trial for the treatment of several solid tumours and haematological malignancies¹⁰. The underlying mechanism of action of MLN4924 is effectively blocking the NEDDylation of CRL complex, resulting in the accumulation of different CRL substrates¹¹. Here, we investigated the role of NEDDylation and its impact on ERR β expression in breast cancer. Furthermore, we explored the molecular mechanism by which ERR β induces cell cycle arrest and migration inhibition.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Breast cancer cell lines MCF7, T47D and MDA-MB-231 were purchased from National Centre for Cell Sciences (NCCS, Pune, India). MCF7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), whereas T47D and MDA-MB-231 cells in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin–streptomycin (MP Biomedicals, Bengaluru, India) at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ and 95% humidity. MCF10A, a kind gift from Dr. Annapoorni Rangarajan (IISC, Bangalore, India), was maintained in DMEM F12 containing horse serum supplemented with hydrocortisone, EGF, insulin, cholera toxin and penicillin–streptomycin at 37 °C, 5% CO2 and 95% humidity. The cells were grown until 70–80% confluence and subcultured using Trypsin-EDTA.

Chemicals

MLN4924 (pevonedistat) was purchased from Merck (5054770001; Bengaluru, India). The stock solution of MLN4924 was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The final DMSO concentration for MLN4924 treatment was maintained below 0.01% in the culture medium.

Cell viability assay

The effect of MLN4924 on viability of cells was examined by 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5 Diphenyltetrazolium Bromide (MTT) assay (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bengaluru, India) (see also Supplementary Materials and Methods).

Colony forming assay

For colony forming assay, 0.6×10^3 of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded in triplicates in 60 mm-plates (Corning, Pune, India) and after 24 h of cell attachment,

the cells were treated with $1\,\mu\text{M}$ of MLN4924. (See also Supplementary Materials and Methods).

Transwell-migration and invasion assay

Transwell-migration assay was performed following manufacturer's protocol (BD Falcon, Bhubaneswar, India). (See also Supplementary Materials and Methods).

Western blot analysis: For western blot, whole-cell lysate of cells were prepared using RIPA buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na3VO4 and $1 \mu g/mL$ leupeptin] as previously described¹². The lysed samples were collected after centrifugation for 15 min at $12,000 \times g$, 4 °C. Equal amount (30 µg) of proteins were loaded after Bradford method of protein quantification. The samples were run in 10% SDS-PAGE gel, transferred on PVDF membrane (Millipore) and blocked with 5% (w/v) non-fat milk (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). Blots were then incubated with primary antibody overnight [ERR β (1:5000) (Sc-68879) (Santa Cruz, CA, USA), NEDD8 (1:5000) (GTX54567) (Zeeland, Michigan, United States), App-Bp1 (1:5000) (PAC219Hu01 Cloud-Clone Corp. USA), UBA3 (1:5000) (HPA034873) (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), GAPDH (1:10000) (sc-365062) (Santa Cruz, CA, USA), $p21^{\rm CIP1}$ (1:5000) (CST-99323) (Europe, B.V), $\alpha\text{-tubulin}$ (1:1000) (T9026) (Sigma St Louis, MO, USA), E-Cadherin (1:5000) (CST-9782T) (Europe, B.V), p300 (1:5000) (P2859) (Sigma St Louis, MO, USA), Thereafter, 1 h with their respective HRP conjugated secondary antibody [anti-rabbit (1:5000, Sigma Aldrich) or anti-mouse (1:5000, Sigma Aldrich)], the blots were subjected to chemi-luminescent detection reagent for visualisation and the bands were detected by using Gel Doc[™] XR + Imager. Densitometry analyses of the protein bands were calculated by using ImageJ software.

Transfection and luciferase assays: MCF7 cells were grown in 24 well-plates in phenol red free DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) charcoal treated FBS, 24 h prior to estrogen (E2) treatment. Cells were transfected with, pEYFP C1-ERR β , pGL2-P21, pGL3-E-Cadherin, and pRL-Renilla luciferase constructs (Promega Biotech, Bhubaneswar, India) in different combinations using jetPRIMEpolyplus-transfection reagent (Polyplus transfection, New York, NY, USA) according to manufacturer's protocol. Luciferase assay was performed using Dual luciferase assay detection kit (Promega) according to manufacturer's protocol. Luciferase readings were obtained and were normalised with Renilla luciferase activity. The graph was plotted with normalised readings using GraphPad Prism software version 6.01.

Gene silencing with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs): To perform gene silencing, Culin-1 (5'-GGUUAUAUCAG UUGUCUAA-3') and non-targeting control siRNAs



Fig. 1 ERRß protein expression is downregulated by NEDD8 activity in breast cancer. a Relative ERRß mRNA expression level of MCF10A, MCF7, T47D and MDA-MB-231 cells were analysed using qRT-PCR. Three technical repeats (N = 3) were performed and the data represent the means \pm SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant: ns no significant difference) (upper panel). Representative western blot analysis of the ERRß in MCF10A, MCF7, T47D and MDA-MB-231 cells. GAPDH was used as a loading control (lower panel). **b** MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 1 μ M MG132 and Chloroquine independently for 12 h and the ERRß protein expression was analysed by western blotting. Representative Western blot is shown. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (N = 3). **c** Co-immunoprecipitation was performed with antibodies against Ubiquitin (Ub) and Cullin1 and analysed with ERRß antibodies using western blotting. Anti-IgG antibody was used as a negative control. **d** Western blot analysis of the NEDD8 in in MCF7, MCF10A, T47D and MDA-MB-231 cells. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (N = 3). **e** APP-BP1 and UBA3 expression was analysed by western blotting in MCF10A, MCF7, T47D and MDA-MB-231 cells. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (N = 3). **f** g Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of normal (left) and carcinoma (right) breast tissue samples using the APP-BP1 and NEDD8 antibody, respectively. Graphical representation (below) was the IHC composite score of each tissue microarray sample. A composite score (<3 = low; 3–5 = moderately; ≥6 = highly categorised) was calculated for each sample using intensity score and percentage of APP-BP1 and and NEDD8 stained-positive cells. (2-sample *t* test; significant: ****p < 0.001, very significant). Bar = 100 μ m.

(Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium) were transfected into the cells using INTERFERin® PolyPlus siRNA transfection reagent (Polyplus transfection, France; Ref# 409–10) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Tissue microarray: Breast cancer tissue microarray slides (Cat No. BR 246a) were purchased from US Biomax (Rockville, MD, USA). The slides were stained by anti-APP-BP1(PAC219Hu01 Cloud-Clone Corp. USA) and anti-NEDD8 (GTX54567) (Zeeland, Michigan, United States) antibody at 1:50 dilution and were further processed using ABC system (Vector Laboratories, Bulingame, CA, USA) as described previously¹³. (See also Supplementary Materials and Methods).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP): Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as previously described with minor modifications¹². (See also Supplementary Materials and Methods).

Co-immunoprecipitation: Cells were washed with PBS pH 7.4 twice and lysed with NP40 buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40). Lysates were precleared by the addition of 50 μ l of agarose beads for 30 min. Total protein (600 μ g) and 4 μ g of antibody were used for each IP and rotated overnight in 4 °C. Beads (30 μ g) were added to each IP and rotated for 2 h, followed by centrifugation at 500 × g for 3 min. Supernatants were removed, and pellets were washed four times with NP40 buffer. Complexes were eluted in SDS lysis buffer.

Results

$\text{ERR}\beta$ protein expression is downregulated in breast cancer

Previously, we have reported the expression levels of ERR β are lower in breast cancer patients as well as in cell lines compared to their normal counterparts¹⁴. To explore the mechanism associated with ERR β downregulation, we first analyzed the transcript levels of ERR β in a non-tumorigenic epithelial cell line (MCF10A), two ERpositive (MCF7 and T47D) and a triple-negative (MDA-MB-231) breast cancer cell lines. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed insignificant differences in ERR β transcript levels between these cell lines, but western blot

analysis showed that ERRB is substantially downregulated at the protein level in the three breast cancer cell lines when compared with the non-cancerous MCF10A breast epithelial line (Fig. 1a). At the post-transcriptional level, protein degradation is primarily mediated through an ubiquitin-proteasome- or a lysosomal-dependent pathway, which can be responsible for the downregulation of ERR β in breast cancer cells¹⁵. To test this conjecture, we treated the MDA-MB-231 with 1 µM MG132 and chloroquine independently and determined the expression of ERRβ by western blotting. An increase in ERRβ expression was evident in cells treated with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 but not in cells cultured with the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine (Fig. 1b), suggesting that $ERR\beta$ expression is modulated by protein degradation mediated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. To further confirm the downregulation of ERR β is predominantly at the protein but not the transcript level, we analysed the ERR β mRNA levels in a number of cancer microarrays in ONCOMINE¹⁶. The mRNA levels of ERRβ from breast cancer patients were not significantly different from the normal controls in most microarrays studied (significance: n.s. P > 0.05, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 1). However, analysis of the TGCA breast samples from normal and cancer patients showed that there is a small but significant difference in ERR^β mRNA levels between the two groups (Significant: *P < 0.05)¹⁷ (Supplementary Fig. 1). Altogether, these data suggested that ERR β is at least partially downregulated at the protein level by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in breast cancer.

NEDD8 and NEDD8-activating enzymes are overexpressed and positively correlated with breast cancer poor prognosis

To study the molecular mechanism of ERR β downregulation at the protein level, we first sought to identify the regulator of ERR β degradation. Co-immunoprecipitation assay revealed an interaction of ERR β with ubiquitin (UB) and Cullin1 (Fig. 1c). As Cullin1 is a component of SCF complex which belongs to a large family of E3 ubiquitin



ligases¹⁸, this result suggested that the Skp, Cullin, F-box containing (SCF) complex may play a vital role in the downregulation of ERR β in breast cancer. NEDDylation of Cullin1 has been shown to enhance the ubiquitination activity of the SCF complex and promote target protein turnover¹⁹. To investigate the status of NEDDylation pathway in breast cancer, we first determined the expression levels of NEDD8 in the MCF7, T47D, MDA-MB-231 and MCF10A cells. The result showed that NEDD8 was over-expressed in the breast cancer cell lines tested compared to the non-cancerous breast epithelial MCF10A cells (Fig. 1d).

We further investigated the expression of NEDD8activating enzyme (NAE), a heterodimer of APP-BP1 and UBA3, which determines the activity of NEDD8 and the NEDDylation pathway. Western blot analysis showed that the expression levels of both NAE enzymes were significantly higher in the breast cancer cells (Fig. 1e), with the highest expression of APP-BP1 and UBA3 observed in the estrogen receptor alpha (ER α) positive MCF7 cells (Fig. 1e). As APP-BP1 catalyses the rate-limiting step of NEDDylation²⁰, we, next, determined APP-BP1 and NEDD8 expression in tissue microarrays from breast cancer patients and the result revealed that both APP-BP1 and NEDD8 are upregulated in breast cancer (Fig. 1f, g), suggesting breast cancer cells exhibit higher levels of NEDDylation than normal cells. In concordance with a tumour suppressive role for NEDD8, Kaplan–Meier analysis using the K–M plotter²¹ also showed a poorer disease-free survival rate in patients, significantly associated with elevated UBA3, APP-BP1 and NEDD8 mRNA expression ($P = 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$, 2.0 × 10^{-13} and 2.2 × 10^{-5} , respectively) (Supplementary Fig. S2). Taken together, these data proposed that an elevated NEDDylation in breast cancer promotes ERRβ downregulation through ubiquitination mediated by the Cullin1containing SCF complex.

Inhibition of NEDDylation by MLN4924 restores $\text{ERR}\beta$ expression in breast cancer in vitro and in vivo

NEDDylation of the Cullin subunit promotes the assembly of the SCF complex and the induction of ubiquitin ligase activity²⁰. To test if NEDDylation is involved in the downregulation of ERR β expression, we first treated



Fig. 3 MLN4924 (pevonedistat) restores ERRβ expression in breast cancer through reducing its ubiquitination and proteasomal-mediated degradation. a MCF-7, T47D and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 25 µg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) in the presence of 0.3 µM MLN4924 or DMSO as a control. Cells were harvested in 3 h intervals (0, 3, 6, 9, 12 h) for western blot analysis of ERRβ protein expression. α-Tubulin was used as a loading control. Densitometry was used to quantify the ERRβ and α-Tubulin levels from which independent background readings were subtracted. Western blots are representative of three independent experiments. The relative expression levels (lower panels) are shown. **b** MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 25 µg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) in the presence of 0.3 µM MLN4924 or DMSO as a control. Cells were harvested after 24 h for western blot analysis of ERRβ protein expression. GAPDH was used as a loading control. Densitometry was used to quantify the ERRβ and GAPDH levels from which independent background readings were subtracted. Western blots are representative of three independent experiments. The relative expression levels (lower panels) are shown. **b** MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 25 µg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) in the presence of 0.3 µM MLN4924 or DMSO as a control. Cells were harvested after 24 h for western blot analysis of ERRβ protein expression. GAPDH was used as a loading control. Densitometry was used to quantify the ERRβ and GAPDH levels from which independent background readings were subtracted. Western blots are representative of three independent experiments. The relative expression levels (lower panels) are shown. Data represent means ± SD. (n = 3; t tests). Significant *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. **c** Western blot analysis of ERRβ expression in different combinations of MLN4924, MG132 and chloroquine-treated MCF7 (left) and MDA-MB-231 (right) cells. GAPDH and α-Tubulin were used as a loading control, respectively. (N = 3). **d** Co-immunoprecipita

the MCF7 cells with MLN4924 (pevonedistat), a selective small molecule inhibitor of NAE²², for 24 h to investigate its effect on Cullin1 NEDDylation²⁰. Cullin1 is the major NEDDylation target in MCF7 cells. The characteristic predominant band (just below 80 kD) correspond to the NEDD8-modified Cullin1 was revealed after probing with an anti-NEDD8 antibody (Fig. 2a; left panel). The result showed that Cullin1 NEDDylation decreased after MLN4924 treatment in a dose-dependent manner. To confirm this, MCF7 cells were treated with varying concentrations of MLN4924 for 48 h prior to western blotting for hyper-NEDDylated and hypo-NEDDylated Cullin1 with an anti-Cullin1 antibody. The result showed that the slower migrating hyper-NEDDylated Cullin1 species decreased with MLN4924 treatment (Fig. 2a; right panel). Together, the results showed that MLN4924 reduced the levels of NEDDylated Cullin1 in a dose-dependant manner, confirming that Cullin1 are NEDDylated in MCF7 cells (Fig. 2a).

To confirm that elevated Cullin1 NEDDylation downregulates ERR β expression in breast cancer, we treated different breast cancer cell lines (MCF7, T47D and MDA-MB-231) with varying concentrations of MLN4924 (0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 1.0 μ M) for 48 h and determined the expression of ERR β by western blotting. Here, the expression of ERR β was upregulated by MLN4924 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2b). To further confirm that ERR β is a substrate of the SCF complex, we examined the effects of knockdown of Cullin1, an essential component of the SCF complex. The results showed that Cullin1 depletion caused an upregulation in ERR β expression (Fig. 2c). Together the data suggested that NEDDylation promotes the ubiquitylation activity of SCF complex to limit ERR β expression in breast cancer.

MLN4924 reduces ERR β ubiquitination to protect it from proteasomal-mediated degradation

We next performed cycloheximide (CHX) experiments to block protein translation and determined the ERR β

turnover rates upon MLN4924 treatment. We found that NEDDylation inactivation by MLN4924 decreased the rates of ERR^β degradation in the breast cancer MCF7, T47D and MDA-MB-231 cell lines (Fig. 3a, b). Next, we tested whether MLN4924 regulates ERRB expression at the transcriptional level. Indeed, the transcription of ERR β was not significantly affected by the MLN4924 treatments in both the MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). These results suggested that ERR β is stabilised upon NEDDylation inactivation by MLN4924 at the post-translational level. We next examined whether ERR β is downregulated by proteasomal or lysosomal degradation, and treated both MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 and the lysosome inhibitor chloroquine in the absence or presence of MLN4924. The results showed that ERR β expression was upregulated by MG132 alone and also with MG132 and MLN4924 but not with chloroquine alone or with chloroquine and MLN4924 (Fig. 3c). These results further supported our previous findings that MLN4924 inhibits the SCF complex to reduce $ERR\beta$ ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation and that, ERR β is a substrate of SCF complex. To confirm this, a co-immunoprecipitation assay was employed to examine the levels of ERR^β ubiquitination and interaction with Cullin1 in MLN4924treated and untreated (control) MCF7 cells (Fig. 3d). The results showed that $ERR\beta$ bound to Cullin1 but was no longer ubiquitinated in the presence of MLN4924 and confirmed that MLN4924 promotes ERR^β protein accumulation through a repression of the SCF complex activity and, ERR^β ubiquitination and degradation.

Upregulation of ERR β expression is required for MLN4924induced cell growth suppression

A previous report suggested that the downregulation of ER α expression is necessary for the MLN4924-induced cell proliferation suppression²³. However, the study did not address the effect of MLN4924 in ER α -negative and triple-negative breast cancer cells. To investigate this,



Fig. 4 Upregulation of ERRß expression is required for MLN4924-induced cell growth suppression. a MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with varying concentrations of MLN4924 (0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 µM) for 48 h and analyse for the cell proliferation rates with MTT assay. Graphical representation was the optical density (wavelength = 570 nM) of the MLN4924-treated MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells relative to the untreated control counterparts. (N = 3). b MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with varying concentrations of MLN4924 (0, 0.1, 0.3 and 1.0 μM) for 10 days. The representative images showed cell clonogenic survival of the MLN4924-treated MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (left panels). Data represent means ± SD. (n = 3; t tests). Significant *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. c MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with varying concentrations (0, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 µM) of MLN4924 and injected onto the chorioallantoic membrane of 9 days old fertilised chick egg xenograft model (left panel). The representative images showed the dissected tumour after a 5 days incubation (central panel). (N = 3). Data are representative of three independent experiments. Data represent means \pm SD. (n = 3; t tests). Significant **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. **d** MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 1 μ M MLN4924 for 24 h and the protein expression of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ and $p27^{Kip1}$ were analysed by western blotting. α -Tubulin was used as a loading control. (N = 3). **e** MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with ERRß shRNA and/or treated with 1.0 µM MLN4924. The representative images showed cell clonogenic survival of the MLN4924-treated, with and without ERR β -knockdown, MDA-MB-231 cells. (N = 3) (top right). Data represent means \pm SD. (n = 3; t tests). Significant; *P < 0.05; ns non-significant. (bottom right), **f** MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 1.0 µM MLN4924 in the presence or absence of p21^{Waf1/Clp1} shRNA-mediated knockdown. The protein expression of p21^{Waf1/Cip1} was analysed by western blotting with or without p21^{Waf1/Cip1} depletion in the presence of 1.0 µM MLN4924. GAPDH was used as a loading control. The representative images showed cell clonogenic survival of the MLN4924-treated, with and without p21^{Waf1/Cip1}knockdown, MDA-MB-231 cells. (N = 3) (middle). Data represent means ± SD. (n = 3; t tests). Significant; *P < 0.05 (right).

MCF7 (ERα-positive) and MDA-MB-231 (triple-negative) breast cancer cell lines were chosen for proliferative and clonogenic survival analysis (Fig. 4a, b). The result revealed that MLN4924 significantly reduced the cell proliferation and clonogenic survival in both MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines (Fig. 4a, b). We, next, studied the consequence of MLN4924 on the induction of ERRß expression in vivo using the chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) xenograft model. The untreated and MLN4924-treated MDA-MB-231 cells were injected onto the chorioallantoic membrane of 9 days old fertilised chick egg through a window opened on the eggshell. In concordance, the chick CAM xenograft model also showed that MLN4924 inhibits tumour growth in vivo from MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 4c), further confirming that MLN4924 has anti-proliferative functions in both ER-positive as well as ER-negative breast cancer cells. To further validate MLN4924 anti-proliferative functions, MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 1 µM MLN4924 for 24 h and the proteins were extracted for western blot analysis. In agreement with a previous study showing that MLN4924 causes an accumulation of the negative cell cycle regulators p21^{Waf1/Cip1} and p27^{Kip1} to induce proliferative arrest²⁴, our western blot data suggested that MLN4924 treatment promotes p21^{Waf1/Cip1} and p27^{Kip1} expression to reduce cell proliferation of MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. S4). To determine whether the MLN4924induced ERR β expression is required for the proliferative arrest, we silenced ERR β and evaluated the effect of MLN4924-induced cell growth inhibition. ERRB depletion caused a downregulation in p21^{Waf1/Cip1} expression (Fig. 4e). The knockdown of ERR β partially overrode the decrease in clonogenicity induced by MLN4924 in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 4e), suggesting that ERR β has a role in the anti-proliferative functions of MLN4924. Similarly, we also found that $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ knockdown partially overcame the inhibition in clonogenicity by MLN4924 in MDA-MB-231 cells, also suggesting that $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ is a key downstream target of the NEDD8 inhibitor MLN4924 (Fig. 4f).

MLN4924 upregulates $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ through ERR β to inhibit breast cancer growth

We and others have previously reported that overexpression of ERR β leads to an upregulation of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ at the transcriptional level^{5,14}. We next tested if MLN4924 treatment also modulates p21^{Waf1/Cip1} expression. To investigate this, we treated MCF7 cells with increasing doses (0, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 µM) of MLN4924 and determined the mRNA expression level of p21^{Waf1/Cip1} by qRT-PCR. The results revealed p21^{Waf1/Cip1} mRNA levels were induced by MLN4924 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5a). To verify whether ERR β is essential for the induction of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ expression after MLN4924 treatment, we depleted ERRB using shRNA vector and, treated MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells with 1 µM MLN4924 prior to qRT-PCR analysis. The results showed that ERR β depletion is sufficient to abolish the $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ upregulation by MLN4924 treatment in both MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines at the mRNA level (Fig. 5b, c, respectively), suggesting that ERR β is responsible for the upregulation of p21^{Waf1/Cip1} expression at the transcriptional level by MLN4924 in both ER-positive and -negative breast cancer cells. We, next, performed luciferase reporter assay using a putative promoter region of the p21^{Waf1/Cip1} gene cloned upstream of the pGL2 luciferase reporter in MCF7 cells. The promoter assays again revealed ectopic expression of ERRB causes an upregulation of the luciferase activity driven by the $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ promoter (Fig. 5d). To confirm further that $ERR\beta$ is directly involved with the induction of p21^{Waf1/Cip1} transcription at the promoter level, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation



Page 11 of 16

Fig. 5 MLN4924 upregulates p21^{Waf1/Cip1} through ERRβ to inhibit Breast cancer growth. a MCF7 cells were treated varying concentrations of MLN4924 (0, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 μM) for 48 h, and relative mRNA expression level of p21^{Waf1/Cip1} were analysed with qRT-PCR. (N = 3) The data represent the means ± SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant: **P < 0.01, significant) b MCF7 and c MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with ERRβ shRNA and relative mRNA levels of p21^{Waf1/Cip1} were analysed after 1 µM MLN4924 treatment for 48 h. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant: *P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ***P < 0.001; significant). d MCF7 cells were transfected with p21^{Waf1/Cip1} gene cloned upstream of the pGL2 luciferase reporter and ERRβ shRNA, with and without 1.0 µM MLN4924 treatment. Graphical representation showed relative luciferase unit of the Renilla luciferase reporter activity. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD (One-way ANOVA test; Significant: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***p < 0.001, significant). d MCF7 cells were region of endogenous $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ and analysed with Electrophoresis (left; image colour inverted) and qRT-PCR (right). Anti-IgG antibody was used as a negative control. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant: **p < 0.001, significant). f Co-immunoprecipitation was performed with ERRβ and p300 using western blotting. Anti-IgG antibody was used as a negative control. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant: **p < 0.001, significant). f Co-immunoprecipitation was performed with p300 antibody on the promoter region of endogenous p21^{Waf1/Cip1} and analysed with electrophoresis (left; image colour inverted) and qRT-PCR (right). Anti-IgG antibody was used as a negative control. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant: **p < 0.001, significant). f Co-immunoprecipitation was performed with p300 antibody in untreated and

assay using a specific ERR β antibody and showed ERR β is recruited to the promoter region of the endogenous p21^{Waf1/} Cip1 gene in MCF7 cells (Fig. 5e; left panel). Moreover, the binding of ERR β to the promoter region of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ was also increased significantly after treatment with MLN4924 as revealed by ChIP-qPCR (Fig. 5e; right panel). Previous studies have shown that the transcription co-activator p300 is involved in the transcriptional function of ER β and ERR β^{25-} ²⁷. To further confirm that ERR β is involved in the regulation of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ transcription and explore the mechanism involved, we investigated whether p300 interacts with ERR β and whether ERR β is recruited to the $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ gene promoter in the presence of MLN4924 in MCF7 cells. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments showed that the coactivator p300 bound to ERRβ, but the ERRβ levels did not increase substantially with MLN4924 treatment, indicating p300 levels are limiting in these cells (Fig. 5f). Notably, the molecular weight of p300-bound ERRß species was higher than the majority of the unbound ERR β (Fig. 5f), suggesting that the p300-bound $\text{ERR}\beta$ is post-translationally modified. ChIP-qPCR analysis showed that p300 was recruited directly to the $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ promoter region (Fig. 5g; left panel). Notably, the binding of p300 was enhanced after MLN4924 treatment, suggesting that ERR β recruits p300 to the $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ gene promoter to augment its expression (Fig. 5g; right panel). Together these data suggested that MLN4924 induces ERRB accumulation and that ERRB recruits the transcription co-activator p300 to promote $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ gene expression to restrict breast cancer growth.

MLN4924 inhibits ERR β -mediated breast cancer cell migration

Cancer invasion and migration are prerequisite steps for metastasis^{28,29}. To explore whether MLN4924 inhibits breast cancer cell migration, transwell-migration assays were performed with 5% serum as a chemoattractant on untreated and 1.0 μ M MLN4924-treated MCF7 and

MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. Consistent with our findings, MLN4924 significantly reduced cell migration after 24 h of MLN4924 treatment in both MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines (Fig. 6a, b). Next, we performed matrigel-coated transwell invasion assay to study the effect of MLN4924 on MDA-MB-231 cell invasion. Similar to cell migration, invasion was significantly reduced after MLN4924 treatment $(1.0 \,\mu\text{M})$ (Fig. 6c), suggesting further that MLN4924 has an anti-metastatic role in breast cancer cells. Next, we studied the effects of ERR^β knockdown on the clonogenicity of MLN4924-treated MDA-MB-231 cells. The results demonstrated that ERR^β silencing could partially reverse the effect of MLN4924 on migration (Fig. 6d). To confirm that further, the expression of E-Cadherin, N-Cadherin, and Vimentin were studied after ERR^β knockdown and the results showing the upregulation of N-Cadherin and Vimentin and the downregulation of E-Cadherin, were consistent with a switch to epithelialto-mesenchymal transition (EMT) phenotype after ERR β knockdown (Fig. 6e). Cell cycle analysis by FACS following propidium iodide staining also revealed an increase in population of cells at G2/M phase of the cell cycle in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells after MLN4924 but the significance of this is not clear at this point (Supplementary Fig. S5).

To explore the potential underlying mechanism by which MLN4924 exerts its anti-metastatic function, we examined the expression and the regulation of E-Cadherin, an established negative modulator of EMT, a process important for cancer metastasis²⁹. To this end, MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 1 μ M MLN4924 for 24 h. Western blot analysis showed that MLN4924 increased the expression of E-Cadherin in MDA-MB-231 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 7a). Next, we studied the effect of MLN4924 on E-Cadherin expression at the transcript level by qRT-PCR and found that MLN4924 dramatically increased E-Cadherin expression at the mRNA level in both MCF7 and MDA-MB-231



Fig. 6 MLN4924 inhibits ERRβ-mediated breast cancer cell invasion and migration. a MDA-MB-231 and **b** MCF7 cells were treated with 1 μ M MLN4924 for 24 h prior to Transwell-migration analysis. Graphical representation determined the average number of migrated cells. (N = 3). The data represent the means \pm SD. (One-way ANOVA test; Significant: **P < 0.01, significant). **c** MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 1 μ M MLN4924 for 24 h prior to invasion analysis. Graphical representation determined the average number of cells. (N = 3). The data represent the means \pm SD. (One-way ANOVA test; Significant: *P < 0.01, significant). **c** MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with 1 μ M MLN4924 for 24 h prior to invasion analysis. Graphical representation determined the average number of cells. (N = 3). The data represent the means \pm SD. (One-way ANOVA test; Significant: *P < 0.05, significant) **d** MDA-MB-231 cells were untreated, treated with 1 μ M MLN4924 and/or ERR β shRNA prior to invasion analysis. Graphical representation determined the average number of cells. (N = 3). The data represent the means \pm SD. (One-way ANOVA test; Significant: *P < 0.05, significant). Size bar:100 μ m. **e** MDA-MB-231 cells were untreated, treated with 1 μ M MLN4924 and/or ERR β shRNA prior to western blot analysis for N-Cadherin, E-Cadherin, Vimentin, ERR β and GAPDH expression. Representative blots are shown.

breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 7b). These results suggested that MLN4924 promotes E-Cadherin gene transcription and thus, increases E-Cadherin expression at least partially to limit breast cancer cell migration and metastasis.

To study the involvement of ERR β in the MLN4924mediated E-Cadherin upregulation, we performed qRT-PCR on MLN4924-treated MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 breast cancer cells with and without ERR β knockdown and found that the knockdown of ERR β significantly reduced E-cadherin mRNA levels even after MLN4924 treatment (Fig. 7c). This suggested that ERR β is required for the MLN4924-induced E-Cadherin expression.

To confirm that the E-cadherin is transcriptional regulated by ERR β , we performed luciferase reporter assay with a putative promoter region of E-cadherin cloned upstream of the luciferase reporter in MDA-MB-231 cells. The results showed that MLN4924 elevated the promoter activity of E-Cadherin and that overexpression of ERRB also induced the *E-Cadherin* promoter activity (Fig. 7d). Moreover, treatment with MLN4924 increased further the effect of ERRB overexpression on E-Cadherin promoter activity (Fig. 7d). Next, we sought to confirm the direct regulation of *E-Cadherin* gene expression by ERRβ using ChIP-qPCR (Fig. 7e) and found that ERR β bound to the promoter region of E-Cadherin. Consistent with our promoter-reporter assay findings, ChIP analysis also demonstrated that MLN4924 treatment induced a further increase in the binding of ERR β to the *E-cadherin* promoter (Fig. 7e).

As E-cadherin has been shown to be essential for suppressing cell migration and invasion, our data, therefore, suggested that the MLN4924-induced ERR β accumulation directly inhibits cell migration and invasion at least partly through an upregulation of E-Cadherin at the transcriptional level. Previously, we showed that the co-activator p300 is recruited by ERR β to the promoter region of $p21^{Cip1}$ in MCF7 cells. To identify whether p300 is also involved in the upregulation of E-Cadherin expression, we performed ChIP-qPCR analysis and found that ERR β can also promote the recruitment of p300 to the endogenous *E-cadherin* promoter in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 7f). Collectively, our data suggested that MLN4924 promotes ERR β expression and thereby, augments the recruitment of p300 to the promoter of *E-cadherin*, culminating in the transcriptional upregulation of E-Cadherin expression to restrict breast cancer migration.

Discussion

ERR β is an orphan nuclear receptor whose regulation is vastly unknown³⁰. Here, for the first time, we reported that ERR β is downregulated primarily at the protein level in breast cancer. In this study, we also uncovered that ERRB degradation is mediated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway³¹. In mammalian cells, an estimated 500-1000 E3 ubiquitin ligases are responsible for targeting different proteins for proteasomal degradation³². The RING-finger E3 ligases are the largest family which contains ligases, such as the anaphase-promoting complex and SCF complex (Skp1-Cullin-F-box protein $complex)^{33}$. We demonstrated an interaction of ERR β with the Cullin1 subunit, suggesting that ERRB is a substrate of SCF complex, which is involved in the downregulation of ERR β in breast cancer. Previous studies have shown that NEDDylation of the Cullin subunits of the SCF complex enhances its activity³⁴. We examined the components of the NEDDylation pathway in breast cancer tissue microarrays and different breast cancer cell lines and found that the components of NEDDylation cascade is overexpressed and the pathway hyperactivated in breast cancer. Consistent with our findings, NEDDylation is positively correlated with poor prognosis in different cancers^{7,35,36}.

MLN4924 is a small molecule inhibitor of NAE which is a dimer of UBA3 and APP-BP1²². It has recently been reported that tumour regression properties of MLN4924 in ER α -positive breast cancer is dependent on the downregulation of ERa expression and its transcription activity²³. In this study, we found that the MLN4924 inhibits Neddylation to block ERRß ubiquitination and degradation, resulting in an accumulation of ERRB in both ERα-positive and triple-negative breast cancer cells. We also showed that MLN4924 upregulates the expression of downstream ERRβ-target genes, including $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ and *E-cadherin*, which have a role in restricting cancer cell proliferation, clonogenicity and migration³⁷. Specifically, we showed that Neddylation inhibition by MLN4924 causes an increase in ERR β and a decrease in the proliferative potential and clonogenicity of breast cancer cells. We also confirmed that ERR β and



In generative decomposition of MLN4924 for 24 h prior to western blob analysis. α-Tubulin was used as a loading control. (N = 3). **b** MDA-MB-231 (left panel) and MCF7 (right panel) cells were treated with 1 µM MLN4924 for 24 h prior to qRT-PCR analysis. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD. (Two-tailed *t* test; Significant: **P < 0.01; ****p < 0.001, significant). **c** MDA-MB-231 (right) and MCF7 (left) cells were transfected with ERRβ shRNA and relative mRNA level of E-Cadherin were analysed after 1 µM MLN4924 treatment for 48 h. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant: *P < 0.01; significant) **d** MCF7 cells were transfected with E-Cadherin promoter cloned upstream of the pGL3 luciferase reporter and ERRβ shRNA, with and without 1.0 µM MLN4924 treatment. Graphical representation showed relative luciferase unit of the Renilla luciferase reporter activity. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD (One-way ANOVA test; Significant: **P < 0.01, significant). **e** Chromatin Immunoprecipitation was performed with the ERRβ antibody on the promoter region of E-Cadherin and analysed with electrophoresis (left; image colour invested) and qRT-PCR (right). Anti-IgG antibody was used as a negative control. (N = 3). **f** Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed with a p300 antibody on the promoter region of endogenous E-Cadherin and analysed with electrophoresis (left; image colour invested) and qRT-PCR (right). Anti-IgG antibody was used as a negative control. (N = 3). The data represent the means ± SD. (two-tailed *t* test; Significant). *P < 0.05, significant).

the Neddylation inhibitor MLN4924 limit the proliferation and clonogenicity of breast cancer cells by upregulating the expression of p21^{Waf1/Cip1}. In particular, we showed that ERR β binds directly the promoter region of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ and recruit its co-activator p300 to promote the transcription of $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$. MLN4924 has been demonstrated to possess anti-migratory properties in breast cancer³⁸. In agreement, we have previously reported that ERR β can restrict cancer cell migration through up-regulating the expression of E-cadherin³⁹. As for p21^{Waf1/Cip1}, we showed here that ERR β binds directly to the promoter region of E-cadherin and enlist the cotranscription factor p300 to promote its transcription.

Previous proteomic analyses using MLN4924 and recombinant NEDD8 proteins have identified many direct and indirect Neddylation-targets important for cancer cell tumorigenesis, proliferation, migration and drug resistance^{40–42}; however our data showed that ERR β is a key mediator of the anti-proliferative and anti-migratory function of MLN4924 as ERR β silencing can overcome its ability to induce the expression of key anti-proliferative and anti-migratory genes, such as $p21^{Waf1/Cip1}$ and *E-cadherin*.

 $ERR\beta$ has been shown to be a tumour suppressor and is frequently downregulated in breast cancer³⁰. Collectively, our work uncovered a critical mechanism of ERRB downregulation in breast cancer. Overactivation of the NEDDylation pathway is common in breast cancer and can induce ERR β degradation through ubiquitination, leading to breast cancer progression. The Neddylation inhibitor MLN4924 restores ERR^β protein expression in breast cancer cells and can facilitate ERRβ-mediated cancer regression. Although MLN4924 has been reported to be an activator of the oncogenic AKT pathway, which is responsible for tumour progression and drug resistance, in HER2-positive and triple-negative breast cancer cell lines³⁸, our data showed that the NEDDylation inhibitor can effectively repress ER-positive and triple-negative breast cancer cell proliferation, clonogenicity and cell migration. Consistent with our results, previous studies have demonstrated a role for the NEDDylation in breast cancer development and that the NEDDylation inhibitor MLN4924 has anti-breast cancer activity alone and in combination therapy^{38,42,43}. Our findings on ERR^β regulation, mechanism of action and function can have a critical impact on the therapeutic intervention for breast cancer.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to our Director, Institute of Life Sciences (ILS), Bhubaneswar, Department of Biotechnology, Govt. Of India. We acknowledge the Core Grant support from ILS for the project. We also acknowledge Ms Sanghamitra Dash and Mr Shashi Bhushan Sahoo for their help and support. S.K.N. and M.P. work is supported by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)—CSIR JRF and S.P. by Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology (DBT)—DBT JRF. Eric W.F. Lam's. work is supported by MRC (MR/N012097/1), CRUK (C37/A12011; C37/18784), Breast Cancer Now (2012MayPR070; 2012NovPhD016; 2014NovPhD326).

Author details

¹Cancer Biology Lab, Gene Function and Regulation Group, Institute of Life Sciences, Nalco square, Chandrasekharpur, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751023, India. ²Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial Centre for Translational and Experimental Medicine (ICTEM), Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College London, Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK. ³Department of Pathology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41419-020-02838-7).

Received: 23 October 2019 Revised: 6 July 2020 Accepted: 9 July 2020 Published online: 24 August 2020

References

- Rivera, E. & Gomez, H. Chemotherapy resistance in metastatic breast cancer: the evolving role of ixabepilone. *Breast Cancer Res.* 12, S2 (2010).
- Divekar, S. D., Tiek, D. M., Fernandez, A. & Riggins, R. B. Estrogen-related receptor beta (ERRbeta)—renaissance receptor or receptor renaissance? *Nucl. Recept. Signal.* 14, e002 (2016).
- Giguere, V. To ERR in the estrogen pathway. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 13, 220–225 (2002).
- Lu, D., Kiriyama, Y., Lee, K. Y. & Giguere, V. Transcriptional regulation of the estrogen-inducible pS2 breast cancer marker gene by the ERR family of orphan nuclear receptors. *Cancer Res.* **61**, 6755–6761 (2001).
- Yu, S. et al. Orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor-beta suppresses in vitro and in vivo growth of prostate cancer cells via p21(WAF1/CIP1) induction and as a potential therapeutic target in prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 27, 3313–3328 (2008).
- Ariazi, E. A., Clark, G. M. & Mertz, J. E. Estrogen-related receptor alpha and estrogen-related receptor gamma associate with unfavorable and favorable biomarkers, respectively, in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 62, 6510–6518 (2002).
- Zhou, L., Zhang, W., Sun, Y. & Jia, L. Protein neddylation and its alterations in human cancers for targeted therapy. *Cell Signal.* 44, 92–102 (2018).
- Liu, X. et al. Cand1-mediated adaptive exchange mechanism enables variation in F-Box protein expression. *Mol. Cell* 69, 773–786.e776 (2018).
- Tong, S. et al. MLN4924 (pevonedistat), a protein neddylation inhibitor, suppresses proliferation and migration of human clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 5599 (2017).
- Bhatia, S. et al. A phase I study of the investigational NEDD8-activating enzyme inhibitor pevonedistat (TAK-924/MLN4924) in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Investig. New Drugs* 34, 439–449 (2016).
- Kandala, S., Kim, I. M. & Su, H. Neddylation and deneddylation in cardiac biology. Am. J. Cardiovasc. Dis. 4, 140–158 (2014).
- Intuyod, K. et al. FOXM1 modulates 5-fluorouracil sensitivity in cholangiocarcinoma through thymidylate synthase (TYMS): implications of FOXM1-TYMS axis uncoupling in 5-FU resistance. *Cell Death Dis.* 9, 1185 (2018).
- Lopez, I. A. et al. Immunohistochemical techniques for the human inner ear. Histochem. Cell Biol. 146, 367–387 (2016).
- Madhu Krishna, B. et al. Estrogen receptor alpha dependent regulation of estrogen related receptor beta and its role in cell cycle in breast cancer. BMC Cancer 18, 607 (2018).
- Jang, H. H. Regulation of protein degradation by proteasomes in cancer. J. Cancer Prev. 23, 153–161 (2018).

- 17. Chandrashekar, D. S. et al. UALCAN: a portal for facilitating tumor subgroup gene expression and survival analyses. *Neoplasia* **19**, 649–658 (2017).
- Soucy, T. A., Dick, L. R., Smith, P. G., Milhollen, M. A. & Brownell, J. E. The NEDD8 conjugation pathway and its relevance in cancer biology and therapy. *Genes Cancer* 1, 708–716 (2010).
- Rabut, G. & Peter, M. Function and regulation of protein neddylation. 'Protein modifications: beyond the usual suspects' review series. *EMBO Rep.* 9, 969–976 (2008).
- Enchev, R. I., Schulman, B. A. & Peter, M. Protein neddylation: beyond cullin-RING ligases. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 16, 30–44 (2015).
- Nagy, A., Lanczky, A., Menyhart, O. & Gyorffy, B. Validation of miRNA prognostic power in hepatocellular carcinoma using expression data of independent datasets. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 9227 (2018).
- Soucy, T. A. et al. An inhibitor of NEDD8-activating enzyme as a new approach to treat cancer. *Nature* 458, 732–736 (2009).
- Jia, X. et al. Neddylation inactivation facilitates FOXO3a nuclear export to suppress estrogen receptor transcription and improve fulvestrant sensitivity. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25, 3658–3672 (2019).
- Lin, S. et al. Neddylation inhibitor MLN4924 induces G2 cell cycle arrest, DNA damage and sensitizes esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells to cisplatin. *Oncol. Lett.* 15, 2583–2589 (2018).
- Bouchal, J. et al. Transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP stimulate estrogen receptor-beta signaling and regulate cellular events in prostate cancer. *Prostate* **71**, 431–437 (2011).
- Smith, C. L., Nawaz, Z. & O'Malley, B. W. Coactivator and corepressor regulation of the agonist/antagonist activity of the mixed antiestrogen, 4hydroxytamoxifen. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **11**, 657–666 (1997).
- Ghosh, A. K. & Varga, J. The transcriptional coactivator and acetyltransferase p300 in fibroblast biology and fibrosis. J. Cell. Physiol. 213, 663–671 (2007).
- van Zijl, F., Krupitza, G. & Mikulits, W. Initial steps of metastasis: cell invasion and endothelial transmigration. *Mutat. Res.* **728**, 23–34 (2011).
- Schaeffer, D., Somarelli, J. A., Hanna, G., Palmer, G. M. & Garcia-Blanco, M. A. Cellular migration and invasion uncoupled: increased migration is not an inexorable consequence of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 34, 3486–3499 (2014).

- Kumari, K., Adhya, A. K., Rath, A. K., Reddy, P. B. & Mishra, S. K. Estrogenrelated receptors alpha, beta and gamma expression and function is associated with transcriptional repressor EZH2 in breast carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 18, 690 (2018).
- Babst, M. & Odorizzi, G. The balance of protein expression and degradation: an ESCRTs point of view. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 25, 489–494 (2013).
- Nakayama, K. I. & Nakayama, K. Ubiquitin ligases: cell-cycle control and cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 6, 369–381 (2006).
- Sun, Y. Targeting E3 ubiquitin ligases for cancer therapy. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 2, 623–629 (2003).
- Sela, N., Atir-Lande, A. & Kornitzer, D. Neddylation and CAND1 independently stimulate SCF ubiquitin ligase activity in Candida albicans. *Eukaryot. Cell* 11, 42–52 (2012).
- Yu, J. et al. Overactivated neddylation pathway in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Med.* 7, 3363–3372 (2018).
- Tian, D. W. et al. Neural precursor cell expressed, developmentally downregulated 8 promotes tumor progression and predicts poor prognosis of patients with bladder cancer. *Cancer Sci.* **110**, 458–467 (2019).
- Megger, D. A., Abou-Eid, S., Zulch, B. & Sitek, B. Systematic analysis of synergistic proteome modulations in a drug combination of cisplatin and MLN4924. *Mol. Omics* 14, 450–457 (2018).
- Chen, X. et al. AKT inhibitor MK-2206 sensitizes breast cancer cells to MLN4924, a first-in-class NEDD8-activating enzyme (NAE) inhibitor. *Cell Cycle* 17, 2069–2079 (2018).
- Sengupta, D. et al. ERRbeta signalling through FST and BCAS2 inhibits cellular proliferation in breast cancer cells. *Br. J. Cancer* **110**, 2144–2158 (2014).
- Fotouhi, O. et al. Proteomics identifies neddylation as a potential therapy target in small intestinal neuroendocrine tumors. *Oncogene* 38, 6881–6897 (2019).
- Jones, J. et al. A targeted proteomic analysis of the ubiquitin-like modifier nedd8 and associated proteins. J. Proteome Res. 7, 1274–1287 (2008).
- Zhu, T. et al. Neddylation controls basal MKK7 kinase activity in breast cancer cells. Oncogene 35, 2624–2633 (2016).
- Yang, D., Tan, M., Wang, G. & Sun, Y. The p21-dependent radiosensitization of human breast cancer cells by MLN4924, an investigational inhibitor of NEDD8 activating enzyme. *PLoS ONE* 7, e34079 (2012).