Check for updates

PERSPECTIVE OPEN

Cellular and Molecular Biology

The Cyclin-dependent kinase 1: more than a cell cycle regulator

Giorgia Massacci ^[1], Livia Perfetto² and Francesca Sacco ^{[1]™}

© The Author(s) 2023

The Cyclin-dependent kinase 1, as a serine/threonine protein kinase, is more than a cell cycle regulator as it was originally identified. During the last decade, it has been shown to carry out versatile functions during the last decade. From cell cycle control to gene expression regulation and apoptosis, CDK1 is intimately involved in many cellular events that are vital for cell survival. Here, we provide a comprehensive catalogue of the CDK1 upstream regulators and substrates, describing how this kinase is implicated in the control of key 'cell cycle-unrelated' biological processes. Finally, we describe how deregulation of CDK1 expression and activation has been closely associated with cancer progression and drug resistance.

British Journal of Cancer (2023) 129:1707-1716; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-023-02468-8

INTRODUCTION

Progression throughout the cell cycle requires complex regulatory mechanisms that mainly rely on the oscillation of protein level and activity of cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), respectively. Widespread compensation among approximately 20 CDKs and 30 cyclins has been reported in mammals [1]. Interestingly, knock-out mice of several CDK genes (e.g., CDK2, CDK3, CDK4, CDK6) have been generated and found to be viable. In contrast, CDK1 conditional knockout mice are embryonic lethal, suggesting an essential role of this gene in cell cycle progression [2]. CDK1, the first identified member of the Cdk family, is conserved in all organisms and regulates the transition between the G2 phase and mitosis. The activity of CDK1 is modulated by its binding to cyclin B1 and by its phosphorylation on crucial residues. Specifically, during the late G2 phase, the gradual accumulation of cyclin B1 promotes the formation of the cyclin B1-CDK1 complex, the pre-Mitosis Promoting Factor (or pre-MPF), which is maintained in an inactive state in the cytoplasm by the phosphorylation of CDK1 on Tyr15 and Thr14 mediated by the WEE1 and MYT1 kinases, respectively [3, 4]. This preparatory step prevents premature entry into mitosis, allowing the cells to check for DNA replication errors through the G2/M checkpoint control governed by the ATM/ATR kinases and grants a ready-to-use pool of cyclin B1-CDK1 complex to use if the cell successfully passes the checkpoints. At the end of the G2 phase, the MPF is activated by two consequent events: the dephosphorylation of Tyr15 and Thr14 residues mediated by the CDC25B/C phosphatases and the phosphorylation of Thr161 mediated by the cyclin H-CDK7 complex [5]. Interestingly, although more than 13,000 reports have been published in the last decades, many questions about CDK1 are still open. By taking advantage of manually annotated signalling resources and recently reported findings, here we provide a comprehensive catalogue of CDK1 upstream regulators and substrates. Our literature screening confirmed that CDK1 is more than a cell cycle regulator, as it was originally identified, and it is involved in a variety of crucial biological processes. Interestingly, these functions are controlled by CDK1 alone or in complex with cyclin B1 and additional cyclins such as cyclin A and cyclin E, suggesting alternative modalities of activation [6]. Finally, alteration of CDK1 expression level has been widely associated to cancer progression, as already extensively reviewed [7, 8]. Here we exploited pan-cancer (phospho)proteomic dataset stored in different databases to clarify the correlation between the phosphorylation of specific regulatory sites of CDK1 and consequently its activation with tumorigenesis.

The CDK1 upstream kinome

Phosphorylation is the fundamental mechanism controlling CDK1 kinase activity. The concerted activity of WEE1 and PKMYT1 kinases and CDC25A, CDC25B and CDC25C phosphatases controls the phosphorylation level of the two inhibitory Thr14 and Tyr15 residues whereas CDK7 phosphorylates the activatory Thr361 (Fig. 1a). Many others phosphorylation sites, mainly located on the kinase domain of CDK1, have been identified in large-scale highthroughput experiments [9]. Apart from Tyr4 and Ser39 phosphorylated by EIF2AK2 and CK2, respectively, the upstream kinases as well as the functional role of the remaining 16 phosphorylation sites are still unknown (Table 1). Interestingly, Johnson and collaborators recently embarked on the characterisation of the human kinome atlas, a very recent tour-de-force study to profile the substrate specificities for 300 human serine/ threonine kinases, and were able to identify high-confidence kinases capable of phosphorylating every reported phosphorylation site in the human Ser/Thr phosphoproteome [10]. In Fig. 1a we display for each uncharacterised phosphosite of CDK1 the top predicted kinases (with a percentile score greater than 90%) in the kinome atlas (Fig. 1a). As shown, 12 out of 33 predicted CDK1

¹Department of Biology, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome, Italy. ²Department of Biology and Biotechnologies "Charles Darwin", University of Rome La Sapienza, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy. ^{Se}email: francesca.sacco@uniroma2.it



Fig. 1 The CDK1 upstream kinome. a Schematic representation of the CDK1 regulatory sites and upstream regulators. Known regulatory kinases and phosphatases are represented in green and blue, respectively. Predicted Ser/Thr kinases are represented in light green and white (dark kinases). b Heatmap displaying the Log2 fold-change of gene expression level between tumour and healthy tissues of CDK1 and its upstream regulators according to The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) GEPIA database.

kinases are understudied and classified as dark genes [11] GO biological processes enrichment analysis of the CDK1 kinases reveals that many kinases are involved in RTK signalling pathways, including MAPKs, AKT-mTOR as well as DNA repair. By taking advantage of GEPIA, a database of RNA-seq expression data from tumour samples and normal tissues derived from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx), upstream CDK1 regulators sharing a highly correlated expression profile across cancer tissues were reported. As shown in Fig. 1b, correlated genes include both well-characterised modulators of the CDK1 activity (eg. PKMYT1, CDC25A/C and WEE1) and some of the kinome atlas-predicted kinases (GSK3A and GRK6). Interestingly, the receptor tyrosine kinase ERBB2 was also found among the CDK1-related genes. This is in line with the study of Tan and colleagues which demonstrates that ERBB2 binds to and colocalizes with cyclin B-CDK1 complexes and phosphorylates Tyr15 of CDK1 in breast cancer cells [12]. These observations suggest that CDK1 may be activated by alternative pathways.

The CDK1 substrates landscape

CDK1 is a hub kinase that directly phosphorylates approximately 200 proteins, as reported in the Signor database (Table 2) [13]. GOterm enrichment analysis reveals that CDK1 substrates are significantly associated with molecular functions mostly implicated in signalling propagation (eg. kinase, GTPase and protein binding activities) and gene expression modulation (DNA and RNA binding activities) (Fig. 2a). In addition, many of the CDK1 substrates are implicated in the regulation of transcription and to a lesser extent translation. The analysis reveals that besides its well-characterised role in cell division, CDK1 regulates different biological processes, phosphorylating proteins implicated in apoptosis, Golgi organisation and protein transport (Fig. 2b). Although the canonical cell cycle-dependent activity of CDK1 mainly occurs in the nucleus and in the cytosol [14], the ability to coordinate many different processes relies on the complex and dynamin shuttling of CDK1 through the different subcellular compartments. The subcellular compartmentalisation analysis of CDK1 substrates revealed that

Table 1. The CDK1 regulatory kinome.

Gene name	CDK1 phosphosite	Effect	Evidence (PMID)
CDK7	Thr161	up-regulates activity	8344251
CDC25A	Thr14-Tyr15	up-regulates activity	10454565
CDC25C	Thr14-Tyr15	up-regulates activity	19574738
EIF2AK2	Tyr4	down- regulates	20395957
PKMYT1	Thr14-Tyr15	down- regulates	9001210
ERBB2	Tyr15	down- regulates activity	12049736
WEE1	Tyr15	down- regulates activity	16096060
GRK7	Thr5	unknown	36631611
PLK2/3	Thr5	unknown	36631611
OSR1	Thr240	unknown	36631611
SLK	Thr240	unknown	36631611
TAO1/3	Thr240	unknown	36631611
DYRK4	Thr222	unknown	36631611
JNK1/2/3	Thr222	unknown	36631611
МОК	Thr222	unknown	36631611
MEKK2	Thr141	unknown	36631611
MST4	Thr141	unknown	36631611
МҮОЗА	Thr141	unknown	36631611
GSK3A	Ser46	unknown	36631611
PRP4	Ser46	unknown	36631611
TTBK2	Ser46	unknown	36631611
FAM20C	Ser39	unknown	36631611
GRK6	Ser39	unknown	36631611
AURC	Ser277	unknown	36631611
MYLK4	Ser277	unknown	36631611
PKG2	Ser277	unknown	36631611
ERK5	Ser265	unknown	36631611
TTBK1	Ser265	unknown	36631611
NDR2	Ser2498	unknown	36631611
MTOR	Ser248	unknown	36631611
PAK4/5/6	Ser248	unknown	36631611
CK1G3/2	Ser233	unknown	36631611
IKKA	Ser233	unknown	36631611
PLK2	Ser233	unknown	36631611
САМК2В	Ser208	unknown	36631611
CHK1	Ser208	unknown	36631611
CK2A1/2	Ser208	unknown	36631611
CDKL5	Ser178	unknown	36631611
DSTYK	Ser178	unknown	36631611
ERK1/5/7	Ser178	unknown	36631611
CDK12/13/19	Ser171	unknown	36631611
CDK8/9	Ser171	unknown	36631611
P38A	Ser171	unknown	36631611
CSNK2A1	Ser39	up-regulates	15788687

Well-established and predicted kinases phosphorylating specific residues of CDK1 were extracted from SIGNOR and PhosphositePlus databases are reported [9, 13].

some of the CDK1 substrates are located in the mitochondria, ER and Golgi compartments (Fig. 2c). As the functional role of CDK1 in the regulation of mitosis has been already extensively reviewed, here we describe how CDK1 alone or in complex with cyclin B1 controls crucial 'unconventional' biological functions through the phosphorylation of a highly connected signalling network (Fig. 2d).

Gene expression regulation. During mitosis, the nuclear envelope breakdown and the chromatin condensation globally down-regulate transcription. Consistently, CDK1 controls a network of transcription factors and chromatin regulators, regulating the expression of about 8,000 mitosis-specific genes [15]. Interestingly, recent studies revealed that CDK1 phosphorylates and modulates the activity of crucial transcription factors, including RUNX2, SIRT1/2, NPM1 and SREBF1 (Fig. 2d). The resultant stabilisation and activation of these transcription factors by CDK1 mediates cell proliferation and apoptosis by modulating differentiation and metabolic processes [16, 17].

Signal transduction. CDK1 plays a crucial role in promoting cell proliferation by directly phosphorylating key signalling proteins, such as MAPK6, or ERK3, and MAP2K2 [18]. The CDK1-mediated phosphorylation of MAPK6 leads to the activation of the cascade of MAPKs signalling pathways. Additionally, CDK1 is known to phosphorylate serine residues on RPTOR and RPS6KB1, indicating a key role of CDK1 in regulating mTORC1 activity [19, 20]. Tendentially, phosphorylation by CDK1 can modulate the activity, localisation, and interactions of signalling kinases, promoting downstream signalling events involved in cell growth, differentiation, and survival.

Apoptosis. The cyclinB1-CDK1 complex localises in the mitochondria, playing a crucial and complex role in the regulation of apoptosis. Contrasting observations have been reported about the pro-apoptotic or anti-apoptotic role of the cyclinB1-CDK1 complex. While it inhibits apoptosis through the phosphorylation of caspase-9 and BIRC5 proteins [21, 22], it has been reported that the complex promotes cell death by directly phosphorylating and activating Bcl-2 family members. Specifically, CDK1 activates BAD by phosphorylating it on Ser128 and impairing its interaction with 14-3-3 proteins. Consequently, BAD can translocate to the mitochondria promoting mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and apoptosis [23, 24]. Moreover, CDK1 phosphorylates BCL2L1, BCL2 and MCL1, suppressing their anti-apoptotic functions. Based on these data, the conflicting role of CDK1 in either protecting cells from apoptosis or inducing apoptosis can be affected by different experimental conditions and specific cellular contexts. From a clinical point of view, understanding the contradictory role of CDK1 in apoptosis could be an important achievement in identifying new therapeutic strategies. However, data from animal models and clinical trials are incomplete and the CDK1-mediated regulation of apoptosis remains still poorly investigated.

Mitochondrial processes. Beyond apoptosis, CDK1 regulates other crucial mitochondrial processes, including mitochondrial dynamics through the phosphorylation of specific proteins involved in mitochondrial fusion and fission. For instance, CDK1 phosphorylates Ser585 of Drp-1, inducing its mitochondrial translocation and triggering fission [25]. CDK1 contributes to maintaining cellular redox balance and protects cells from oxidative stress. Indeed, mitochondria-translocated CDK1 phosphorylates Ser106 of the Manganese Superoxide Dismutase (MnSOD) enzyme, stabilising its protein level and enhancing its antioxidant activity [26]. Additionally, it has been shown that CDK1 mediates the upregulation of the oxidative phosphorylation process by phosphorylating Thr150 and Ser159 of SIRT3 [27] and activating a cluster of subunits of the Complex I, which increases the mitochondrial metabolism and ATP production [28].

Gene name	Effect	Phosphosite	Evidence (PMID)
ABI1	inhibition	S216	21900237
AKAP12	activation	T767	23063527
ANAPC1	activation	S355	14657031
APLP2	unknown	T736	9109675
AR	activation	S83	21799006
ATAD5	inhibition	S653	31875566
BAD	activation	S91	24677263
BCL2	activation	T56	10766756
BCL2L11	activation	S104	22071694
BIRC5	activation	T34	11861764
BRCA1	activation	S1191-S1189-S1497	19683496
BUB1	activation	T609	16760428
BUB1B	activation	T620	17785528
CASP8	inhibition	\$387	20937773
CASP9	inhibition	T125	16287866
CC2D1A	activation	\$208	20171170
CDC16	activation	S560	14657031
CDC23	activation	T565	14657031
CDC254	activation	S116-S18	12411508
CDC25R	activation	S321-S160	20801879.12107172
CDC25C		S214-S168-T48-T67-S122-T130	10864927 10037602
CDC27	activation	T446-S426	14657031
CDC7	activation	T376	10846177
CDKN1B	inhibition	T187	10931950
CEP55	inhibition	\$428-\$425	16198290
CHFK1	activation	S301-S286	21765472
CKAP2	activation	T623	19369249
CSNK2A1	activation	S362-S370-T360-T344	19941816.7592773
CSNK2R	activation	\$209	7578274
CUX1	inhibition	\$1237-\$1270	11584018
DCTN6	activation	T186	23455152
	inhibition	T323-T204	16280325
DIGI	unknown	S443-S158	19066288
DNMT1	activation	S154	21565170
DUT	activation	S11	8631817
F2F1	activation	\$337-\$332	8087847
FCT2		T848-T444-T373	16247472: 16170345
FFF1D	unknown	S133	12551973
FFF2K	inhibition	\$359	18337751
FGFR	inhibition	S1026	8360196
EIF4EBP1	inhibition	T70	11553333
FIF4G2	activation	T508	29530922
FPN1	inhibition	\$382	10764745
ERCC6L	activation	T1063	17218258
ESPL1	inhibition	S1126	11747808
EZH2	inhibition	T487-T345	21659531
FANCG	activation	\$387	15367677
FEN1	inhibition	S187	12853968
FOXK2	activation	S428-S373	20810654
FOXM1	activation	T611-\$251	19737929
FOXO1	inhibition	\$249	18408765
			10100/05

Table 2. continued			
Gene name	Effect	Phosphosite	Evidence (PMID)
GOLGA2	inhibition	S37	9753325
GORASP1	inhibition	S274	15834132
HMGA1	inhibition	T53-T78-S36	17960875;1939057
HMGA2	inhibition	S59-S44	10636877
INCENP	activation	T412	16378098
IREB2	inhibition	S157	18574241
KAT5	activation	S90-S86	16103124
KAT7	activation	T88-T85	18250300
KHDRBS1	unknown	T317	9315091
KIF11	activation	T926	9235942
KIF20B	activation	T1644	11470801
KIF22	activation	T463	12727876
KIF2C	inhibition	T537	20368358
KIF4A	activation	T1161	29771379
KMT5A	activation	S100	20966048
KRT18	activation	S34	9524113
KRT8	activation	S432	9524113
LATS1	activation	S613	12372621
LBR	inhibition	S71	14718546
LIG1	activation	\$76	12851383
LMNA	activation	S390-S392-S22	18815303
MAP2K1	inhibition	T292-T286	8114697
MAP4	inhibition	S696-S787	9398320;10791892
МАРК6	activation	S684-T698-S688-ST05	20236090
MASTL	activation	T194-T207	22354989
MCL1	inhibition	T92	20526282
MCM4	inhibition	T19	12714602
MDM4	inhibition	S96	15735705
MPLKIP	activation	T120-S104-S93	17310276
MYOD1	inhibition	S200-S5	21902831;14749395
NCOA3	inhibition	S728	22163316
NFAT5	activation	T135	21209322
NIFK	activation	T238	16244663
NINL	activation	\$185	20890132
NME1	activation	\$120	18234856
NPM1	inhibition	\$70-T237-T234-T199	19933706;12058066;
NSFL1C		\$140	12810701
NUCKST		5181	12413487
NUMAT		12055	23921553
NUP210	activation	51881	8672508
NUP50		5221	19/6//51
NUP98		5612-5623-1670	21335236
NUSAPI		1338-1300	22101338
ORCI	activation	13/5-5258-52/3	11931/5/
PAPULA		555/-5558-5545 To	34048550
PDK DW2C2A	unknown		10041388
PIK3CZA	inhibition	5259 T150	12/19431
			20313426
	activation	5382-1287	10120830
	inhibition	14039	12202401
PPPICA	inhibition	1320	12202491
PKDXT	Innibition	190	11986303

1712

Table 2. continued

Gene name	Effect	Phosphosite	Evidence (PMID)
PRPS1	activation	S103	31253668
PTHLH	inhibition	T121	10373465
PTPN1	unknown	S386	8491187
PTPN2	unknown	S304	15030318
PTTG2	activation	S165	10656688
RAB5B	unknown	S123	10403367
RAD9A	activation	S277-S328-T355-S336-T292	12734188
RANBP2	activation	S2276-S2251-S2246-S2280	26051540
RANGAP1	activation	S428-T409-S442-T2153	15037602
RAP1GAP	unknown	S484	1406653
RB1	inhibition	S249-S811-S807-T252-T373	1756735
RCC1	activation	S2-S381-S11-T274	15014043
REPS2	inhibition	S463	10764745
RFC1	inhibition	T506	12930972
RNMT	activation	Т77	26942677
RPA2	activation	S23-S29	1318195
RPS3	activation	T221	21871177
RPS6KB1	activation	T444-S434-S394	11705993;12586835;9271440
RPTOR	unknown	S696-T706	20169205
RRM2	inhibition	S20-T33	9990288;22632967
RUNX1	opposite effects	S276-S21-T273-S266-S249-S397	1705873; 12058866; 11278991
RUNX2	activation	S465	16407259
SAMHD1	inhibition	T592	23602554
SGO1	activation	T346	24055156
SIRT1	activation	S540	19107194
SIRT2	inhibition	S368	17488717
SLBP	inhibition	T62	18490441
SP1	activation	T739	20150555
SQSTM1	activation	T269-S272	20974803
SREBF1	activation	S439	16880739
STIM1	inhibition	S668	19881501
STIP1	inhibition	T332-S189-T198	14754904
SUN1	inhibition	S334-S48	25482198
SYN3	activation	S470	14732590
TK1	inhibition	S13	14697231
TOP2A	unknown	S1247-S1361-S1354-S1393	7635160
TP53	activation	S315	24173284
TP53BP1	inhibition	S1678	30685087
TP73	inhibition	T86	12676926
TPX2	inhibition	T72	25688093
TSC1	inhibition	T1047-T417-S584	14551205
UBA1	activation	S835-S4	7724583;9099746
UBE2A	activation	S120	11953320
UBXN2B	inhibition	S56-T59	23500464
USP16	activation	S552	24013421
VCPIP1	inhibition	T761-S768	23500464
VIM	inhibition	S55	7983050
WAC	activation	T244-T471-T482-T457	30021153
WEE1	inhibition	S123	16085715
ZC3HC1	inhibition	S395	17389604

CDK1 direct substrates were extracted from the SIGNOR database [13] and reported.



Fig. 2 The CDK1 substrates landscape. a–c Circular bar plots showing the GO-term enrichment analysis of the CDK1 substrates in terms of molecular function (a), biological processes (b) and cell compartment (c). d Signalling network of CDK1 substrates extracted from SIGNOR database and connected in Cytoscape. GO-term enrichment analysis was performed to group and classify the substrates according to the biological processes and cell compartment localisation. The edges connecting CDK1 to its substrates are red if the interaction is annotated as inhibitory, blue if activatory, black if unknown.

Golgi remodelling. Evidence also suggests a role of the CDK1 in modulating Golgi-related topological and structural changes. Golgi-located CDK1 phosphorylates GRASP65, GM130 and the small RAS GTPase RAB1 inducing the disassembly of the Golgi network and blocking the vesicle fusion with the ER. CDK1 can also regulate N-glycosylation enzymes, such as MANI. During mitosis, Golgi fragmentation blocks the intra-Golgi transport causing the accumulation of cargo molecules and enzymes. The inhibitory phosphorylation on S12 by CDK1 inhibits MANI activity to limit the aberrant glycosylation of the molecular entities trapped together in the Golgi compartment [29, 30].

Transport. CDK1 phosphorylates and modulates the activity of different transport-related proteins. CDK1-mediated phosphorylation of Rab5B regulates the dynamics and the maturation of early endosomes, impacting the sorting and recycling of internalised membrane proteins. Moreover, CDK1 phosphorylates EPN1, a key regulator of the endocytic processes [31]. Specifically, the CDK1-dependent phosphorylation EPN1 affects its interaction with clathrin and other endocytic proteins, modulating the assembly and dynamics of clathrin-coated pits and vesicles. Additionally, CDK1 phosphorylates nuclear transport factors, including importins and exportins, which are responsible for the recognition and transport of cargo molecules into and out of the nucleus. For

instance, the CDK1-mediated phosphorylation of RANBP2 and NUP50, which are compliant with the nuclear export and import pathways, respectively, influences the efficiency and dynamics of nucleocytoplasmic transport processes.

1713

Intermediate-filament organisation. Finally, CDK1 can phosphorylate several intermediate-filament proteins, including vimentin (VIM), lamin A/C (LMNA), and keratin 8 (KRT8). These phosphorylation events serve as crucial regulatory mechanisms that not only influence cell cycle-related alterations in cell morphology and structure but can also play a pivotal role in facilitating cell migration during immune responses or metastasis [32].

In summary, recent studies have implicated CDK1 in a wide variety of cell cycle-independent roles. Although it was originally believed that CDK1 must partner with cyclin B1 to become active, ample demonstration of functions for CDK1 alone has been reported.

CDK1 in cancer

Deregulation of CDK1 has been closely associated with cancer. Interestingly, oncogenic alterations of CDK1 can be considered rare genetic events, suggesting that complex molecular mechanisms contribute to the aberrant regulation of CDK1 in cancer. Indeed, CDK1 mutations (mostly SNPs) were identified in 0.74% of cancer



Fig. 3 Pan-cancer analysis of CDK1 alteration. a Bar plot showing the most common alterations in CDK1 and their frequency in cancer according to the UCSC Genome Browser. **b** Boxplots representing the mRNA, the total protein and the phosphorylation levels of CDK1 according to data available in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) GEPIA and CPTAC databases. Data are reported as *z*-score between primary tissues of the selected tumours and normal tissues.

patients, with the highest frequency in Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma (UCEC), Colon adenocarcinoma (COAD) and Skin Cutaneous Melanoma (SKCM) (Fig. 3a). According to The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (Fig. 2a) and Clinical Proteomic Tumour Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) (Fig. 3b, c), CDK1 is upregulated in many cancerous tissues compared to normal tissues, at both transcript and protein levels. Its overexpression has been correlated with inferior survival rate and poor clinical outcome [33–38]. Noteworthy, thanks to the growing availability of patient-specific phosphoproteomic data, it is possible to evaluate the activation of the state of CDK1 by monitoring the phosphorylation level of its regulatory residues. Specifically, by comparing Thr14, Tyr15 and Thr161 levels in different cancer types, it appears that CDK1 is likely to be fully active only in breast cancer tissue (BRCA in Fig. 3b), where

the two inhibitory residues appear hypo-phosphorylated, whereas it seems to be inactive (with Thr14 and Tyr15 hyper-phosphorylated or Thr161 hypo-phosphorylated) in the remaining cancer types. Altogether, these observations suggest that while the CDK1 protein level is high in most of the cancer tissues, its activity, as revealed by its phosphorylation status on regulatory sites, seems to be suppressed. Although these observations may seem contradictory, it is important to consider that the biological significance of CDK1 phosphorylation on its function is more complex than previously thought. Despite the expected inhibitory effect on CDK1 activity, the phosphorylation of Tyr15 has been found to be increased in several cancer types and has been associated with the development of drug resistance. This discovery suggests that the impact of CDK1 phosphorylation on its function goes beyond simple inhibition. The

1714

upregulation of Tyr15 phosphorylation in cancer may contribute to the dysregulation of CDK1 activity and potentially play a role in the acquisition of resistance to anticancer drugs. The receptor tyrosine kinase ERBB2 receptor, SRC kinase and the non-receptor tyrosine kinase Breast Tumour Kinase (BRK) have been shown to phosphorylate Tyr15 of CDK1 [12]. In breast cancer cell lines and primary tumours, the ERRB2-mediated increased phosphorylation of Tyr15 of CDK1 leads to the inactivation of BAD and consequently resistance to taxol-induced apoptosis and drive cells to mitotic slippage and prolonged cell cycle arrest. This allows breast cancer cells to survive microtubule-targeting agent treatment. Additionally, signalling and cell growth and consequently to the onset of drug resistance. Interestingly, the results of our recent study demonstrated a clear link between increased phosphorylation levels of CDK1 at Tyr15 and the development of resistance to FLT3 inhibitors in acute myeloid leukaemia cells carrying FLT3-ITD mutations, the most common genetic alterations [39]. Collectively, this evidence reveals the complex interplay between CDK1 phosphorylation, activation and crucial cancer-related processes such as apoptosis, cell cycle regulation, drug resistance, and invasive potential.

Despite its unclear activation state in cancer, CDK1 has emerged as an attractive target for therapeutic intervention. Although firstgeneration pan-CDK inhibitors (e.g., flavopiridol and roscovitine) have demonstrated efficacy in inducing G1/G2 phase arrest and ultimately apoptosis of cancer cells [27-29], their low specificity and high toxicity have hindered their clinical approval. Recently, highly selective, second-generation inhibitors, RO-3306 and NU6102, have been developed [40, 41]. Despite their potential, to date, limited preclinical studies have been performed to assess their efficacy in targeting alterations of CDK1 in cancer. Combination therapy seems to be an effective approach to enhance the efficacy of CDK1associated inhibitors in clinical trials. The inhibition of CDK1 induces cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase where cells are most vulnerable to radiation-induced DNA damage (i) and dysfunction of the DNA repair process, leading to the accumulation of DNA damage and increasing the susceptibility to DNA-damaging agents [42, 43].

CONCLUSIONS

Over the past 2 decades, growing evidence has shown that CDK1 possesses functions that extend beyond its traditional role in regulating cell cycle progression. In this review, we interrogate signalling databases to obtain a comprehensive catalogue of CDK1 substrates. The "CDK1 substratome" is implicated in a variety of crucial biological processes, ranging from gene expression regulation, apoptosis, mitochondrial fission and fusion and Golgi structural remodelling. Interestingly, these substrates are not localised in the nucleus and cytosol compartments, suggesting the mitochondrial and Golgi translocation of CDK1 in certain conditions. In this review, we also examined proteins controlling the phosphorylation and consequently the activity of CDK1. Besides the well-characterised modulators of CDK1, our analysis highlights a novel potential role for MYO3A, GSK3A and GRK6 kinases, whose expression profile is highly correlated with CDK1 itself and its modulators across cancer tissues. Finally, by taking advantage of cancer patient-specific transcriptomic, proteomic and phosphoproteomic data stored in different databases, we report that while CDK1 protein is clearly upregulated in tumours, its activity seems to be suppressed, as revealed by its phosphorylation status on regulatory sites. Indeed, CDK1-associated inhibitors failed to demonstrate sufficient efficacy in cancer patients. Future studies will be necessary to understand the functional consequences of targeting CDK1 and its upstream modulators in combination with standard chemotherapeutic drugs. Finally, our perspective highlights that the study of the non-canonical functions of CDK1 is certainly a far cry from being a mature field and the continuous pursuit towards identifying the complete repertoire of its modulators can bring many surprises along the way.

REFERENCES

- Martínez-Alonso D, Malumbres M. Mammalian cell cycle cyclins. In: Clotet J, Dodding M, Fagotto F, editors. Seminars in cell and developmental biology. vol. 107. Elsevier Ltd; 2020. p. 1–190.
- Santamaría D, Barrière C, Cerqueira A, Hunt S, Tardy C, Newton K, et al. Cdk1 is sufficient to drive the mammalian cell cycle. Nature. 2007;448:811–5.
- Gavet O, Pines J. Progressive activation of CyclinB1-Cdk1 coordinates entry to mitosis. Dev Cell. 2010;18:533–43.
- Krek W, Nigg EA. Differential phosphorylation of vertebrate p34(cdc2) kinase at the G1/S and G2/M transitions of the cell cycle: Identification of major phosphorylation sites. EMBO J. 1991;10:305–16.
- 5. Solomon MJ, Lee T, Kirschner MW. Role of phosphorylation in p34cdc2 activation: Identification of an activating kinase. Mol Biol Cell. 1992;3:13–27.
- Lim S, Kaldis P. Cdks, cyclins and CKIs: roles beyond cell cycle regulation. Development (Cambridge). 2013;140:3079–93.
- Yang Y, Liu Q, Guo X, Yuan Q, Nian S, Kang P, et al. Systematic pan-cancer analysis identifies cdk1 as an immunological and prognostic biomarker. J Oncol. 2022;2022:8115474.
- 8. Liu X, Wu H, Liu Z. An integrative human pan-cancer analysis of cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1). Cancers (Basel). 2022;14:2658.
- Hornbeck PV, Zhang B, Murray B, Kornhauser JM, Latham V, Skrzypek E. PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:D512–20.
- Johnson JL, Yaron TM, Huntsman EM, Kerelsky A, Song J, Regev A, et al. An atlas of substrate specificities for the human serine/threonine kinome. Nature. 2023;613:759–66.
- Berginski ME, Moret N, Liu C, Goldfarb D, Sorger PK, Gomez SM. The dark kinase knowledgebase: an online compendium of knowledge and experimental results of understudied kinases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:D529–35.
- Tan M, Jing T, Lan KH, Neal CL, Li P, Lee S, et al. Phosphorylation on tyrosine-15 of p34Cdc2 by ErbB2 inhibits p34Cdc2 activation and is involved in resistance to taxol-induced apoptosis. Mol Cell. 2002;9:993–1004.
- Lo Surdo P, Iannuccelli M, Contino S, Castagnoli L, Licata L, Cesareni G, et al. SIGNOR 3.0, the SIGnaling network open resource 3.0: 2022 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51:D631–7.
- Gavet O, Pines J. Activation of cyclin B1-Cdk1 synchronizes events in the nucleus and the cytoplasm at mitosis. J Cell Biol. 2010;189:247–59.
- Palozola KC, Donahue G, Liu H, Grant GR, Becker JS, Cote A, et al. Mitotic transcription and waves of gene reactivation during mitotic exit. Science. 2017;358:119–22.
- Chen YJ, Dominguez-Brauer C, Wang Z, Asara JM, Costa RH, Tyner AL, et al. A conserved phosphorylation site within the forkhead domain of FoxM1B is required for its activation by cyclin-CDK1. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:30695–707.
- 17. Slee EA, Lu X. Requirement for phosphorylation of P53 at Ser312 in suppression of chemical carcinogenesis. Sci Rep. 2013;3:3105.
- Tanguay PL, Rodier G, Meloche S. C-terminal domain phosphorylation of ERK3 controlled by Cdk1 and Cdc14 regulates its stability in mitosis. Biochem J. 2010;428:103–11.
- Papst PJ, Sugiyama H, Nagasawa M, Lucas JJ, Maller JL, Terada N. Cdc2-cyclin B phosphorylates p70 S6 kinase on Ser411 at mitosis. J Biol Chem. 1998;273: 15077–84.
- 20. Gwinn DM, Asara JM, Shaw RJ. Raptor is phosphorylated by cdc2 during mitosis. PLoS One. 2010;5:e9197.
- O' Connor DS, Grossman D, Plescia J, Li F, Zhang H, Villa A, et al. Regulation of apoptosis at cell division by p34 cdc2 phosphorylation of survivin [Internet]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.240390697.
- Allan LA, Clarke PR. Phosphorylation of caspase-9 by CDK1/Cyclin B1 protects mitotic cells against apoptosis. Mol Cell. 2007;26:301–10.
- Zhou L, Cai X, Han X, Xu N, Chang DC. CDK1 switches mitotic arrest to apoptosis by phosphorylating Bcl-2/Bax family proteins during treatment with microtubule interfering agents. Cell Biol Int. 2014;38:737–46.
- 24. Konishi Y, Lehtinen M, Donovan N, Bonni A. Cdc2 phosphorylation of BAD links the cell cycle to the cell death machinery. Mol Cell. 2002;9:1005–16.
- Taguchi N, Ishihara N, Jofuku A, Oka T, Mihara K. Mitotic phosphorylation of dynamin-related GTPase Drp1 participates in mitochondrial fission. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:11521–9.
- Candas D, Fan M, Nantajit D, Vaughan AT, Murley JS, Woloschak GE, et al. CyclinB1/Cdk1 phosphorylates mitochondrial antioxidant MnSOD in cell adaptive response to radiation stress. J Mol Cell Biol. 2013;5:166–75.
- Liu R, Fan M, Candas D, Qin L, Zhang X, Eldridge A, et al. CDK1-mediated SIRT3 activation enhances mitochondrial function and tumor radioresistance. Mol Cancer Ther. 2015;14:2090–102.
- Wang Z, Fan M, Candas D, Zhang TQ, Qin L, Eldridge A, et al. Cyclin B1/Cdk1 coordinates mitochondrial respiration for cell-cycle G2/M progression. Dev Cell. 2014;29:217–32.

- 29. Wang Y, Seemann J, Pypaert M, Shorter J, Warren G. A direct role for GRASP65 as a mitotically regulated Golgi stacking factor. EMBO J. 2003;22:3279–90.
- Huang S, Haga Y, Li J, Zhang J, Kweon HK, Seino J, et al. Mitotic phosphorylation inhibits the Golgi mannosidase MAN1A1. Cell Rep. 2022;41:111679.
- Kariya K, Koyama S, Nakashima S, Oshiro T, Morinaka K, Kikuchi A. Regulation of complex formation of POB1/Epsin/adaptor protein complex 2 by mitotic phosphorylation. J Biol Chem. 2000;275:18399–406.
- Kraxner J, Köster S. Influence of phosphorylation on intermediate filaments. Biol Chem. 2023; 404:821–7.
- Xing Z, Wang X, Liu J, Zhang M, Feng K, Wang X. Expression and prognostic value of CDK1, CCNA2, and CCNB1 gene clusters in human breast cancer. J Int Med Res. 2021;49:300060520980647.
- Bednarek K, Kiwerska K, Szaumkessel M, Bodnar M, Kostrzewska-Poczekaj M, Marszalek A, et al. Recurrent CDK1 overexpression in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Tumor Biol. 2016;37:11115–26.
- Li M, He F, Zhang Z, Xiang Z, Hu D. CDK1 serves as a potential prognostic biomarker and target for lung cancer. J Int Med Res. 2020;48: 0300060519897508.
- Sung WW, Lin YM, Wu PR, Yen HH, Lai HW, Su TC, et al. High nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio of cdk1 expression predicts poor prognosis in colorectal cancer patients. BMC Cancer. 2014;14:1–7.
- Dong S, Huang F, Zhang H, Chen Q. Overexpression of BUB1B, CCNA2, CDC20, and CDK1 in tumor tissues predicts poor survival in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Biosci Rep. 2019;39:BSR20182306.
- Yang Y, Liu Q, Guo X, Yuan Q, Nian S, Kang P, et al. Systematic pan-cancer analysis identifies CDK1 as an immunological and prognostic biomarker. 2022;2022:8115474. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8115474
- Massacci G, Venafra V, Latini S, Bica V, Pugliese GM, Graziosi S, et al. A key role of the WEE1-CDK1 axis in mediating TKI-therapy resistance in FLT3-ITD positive acute myeloid leukemia patients. Leukemia. 2023;37:288–97.
- Davies TG, Bentley J, Arris CE, Boyle FT, Curtin NJ, Endicott JA, et al. Structurebased design of a potent purine-based cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor. Nat Struct Biol. 2002;9:745–9.
- Vassilev LT, Tovar C, Chen S, Knezevic D, Zhao X, Sun H, et al. Selective smallmolecule inhibitor reveals critical mitotic functions of human CDK1 [Internet]. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006;103:10660-5.
- Liao H, Ji F, Geng X, Xing M, Li W, Chen Z, et al. CDK1 promotes nascent DNA synthesis and induces resistance of cancer cells to DNA-damaging therapeutic agents [Internet]. Oncotarget. 2017;8: 90662–73.
- Prevo R, Pirovano G, Puliyadi R, Herbert KJ, Rodriguez-Berriguete G, O'Docherty A, et al. CDK1 inhibition sensitizes normal cells to DNA damage in a cell cycle dependent manner. Cell Cycle. 2018;17:1513–23.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Veronica Venafra for her help in the plots showed in Fig. 2A-B-C. This research was funded by the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC) with a grant to FS (Start-Up Grant n. 21815) and by the Italian Minister of University and Research (MUR) with a PRIN grant (n. 2022L8RAKN). GM is supported by the AIRC Start-up grant number 21815.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GM, FS designed the study and wrote the manuscript; GM, FS performed the analyses; LV revised the study; FS provided funding.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Francesca Sacco.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023