COMMENT

Letters to the editor

Submit your Letters to the Editor via the online submission system: https://mts-bdj.nature.com/cgi-bin/main.plex. Priority will be given to letters less than 500 words long. Authors must sign the letter, which may be edited for reasons of space.

Oral health

The impact of intermittent fasting on oral health

Sir, having recently delved into the insightful paper by Yoldas et al., which explores the connection between blood biochemical parameters and oral health in children, particularly those with obesity/overweight,1 we are drawn to the broader spectrum of health interventions. Intermittent fasting (IF), a subject of increasing interest, has demonstrated potential health benefits, encompassing metabolic regulation, weight management, and potential reversal of insulin resistance and hypertension.² This practice, highlighted in various studies, has also shown positive effects on the gut microbiome³ and a reduction in risk factors associated with cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis.²

IF has been rooted in diverse cultural and religious practices such as Ramadan and Lent, spans centuries, with a historical presence evident in early twentieth century health literature and modern approaches like the 5:2 and '16/8' methods. Beyond metabolic benefits, it intertwines with oral health by reducing sugar intake, thereby lowering the risk of dental caries and limiting oral bacteria substrate. Fasting periods, accompanied by hydration, enhance saliva production, promoting enamel remineralisation and maintaining optimal oral pH. For individuals with Type 2 diabetes, intermittent fasting's potential to regulate blood sugar levels becomes crucial in mitigating risks of oral infections and periodontal diseases.⁴ Additionally, its anti-inflammatory effects and impact on the gut microbiome offer avenues for reducing periodontal complications exacerbated by excessive sugar consumption.⁵

In conclusion, intermittent fasting presents a promising, non-pharmacological strategy to improve oral health in overweight and diabetic individuals, addressing a range of issues from dental caries to periodontal diseases.

P. Lister, New Delhi, India; N. A. Sudharson, Ludhiana, India; P. Kaur, Ludhiana, India

References

- Yoldaş M A, Vural Yılmazel S, Bolu S, Danış A. The relationship between blood biochemical parameters and oral health in children with obesity/overweight. Br Dent J 2023; 235: 968–972.
- Mattson M P, Longo V D, Harvie M. Impact of intermittent fasting on health and disease processes. *Ageing Res Rev* 2017; 39: 46–58.
- Larrick J W, Mendelsohn A R, Larrick J W. Beneficial gut microbiome remodeled during intermittent fasting in humans. *Rejuvenation Res* 2021; 24: 234–237.
- Verhulst M J, Loos B G, Gerdes V E, Teeuw W J. Evaluating all potential oral complications of diabetes mellitus. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2019; doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2019.00056.
- Parveen S, Alhazmi Y A. Impact of intermittent fasting on metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease – a suggested preventive strategy to reduce the public health burden. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; doi: 10.3390/ijerph192114536.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-7240-z

Antimicrobial resistance

The importance of rational chlorhexidine use

Sir, for many years chlorhexidine has represented the most widely used antiseptic in mouthwashes for the prevention of bacterial biofilm formation and it is considered the gold standard for the treatment of biofilm-related oral diseases. In recent years, more and more practitioners have been using chlorhexidine within their clinical practice at varying concentrations and for long periods of time without any conditions to justify its use. This behaviour can lead to increased bacterial resistance to chlorhexidine.

There are several sources in the literature demonstrating the existence of resistance mechanisms of oral bacteria to antiseptics in ways similar to antibiotic resistance. Specifically, bacteria subjected to repeated courses of chlorhexidine treatment develop resistance mechanisms thanks to the presence of multidrug efflux pumps (MDR). These structures are acquired by the bacterial cell in response to the presence of drugs able to penetrate the phospholipid layer of the cell membrane. Following drug identification, the trans-membrane protein proceeds to eliminate the harmful molecule from the cytoplasmic environment.^{1,2}

This type of bacterial resistance is mediated at the genetic level by specific genes that code for these specific transmembrane proteins. In many cases, the genes that confer the microbial resistance phenotype are located in mobile genes that can, through extracellular transmission mechanisms of genetic material, transfer from bacterium to bacterium resulting in resistance via horizontal gene transfer. In addition, according to many studies, the oral cavity would appear to be an extremely rich environment for antibiotic resistance genes (AGR).^{3,4}

In light of this evidence, it is also important to consider, for the management of these cases and in the field of prevention, the use of other antiseptics whose effects are widely demonstrated, such as ozone; CPC; hydrogen peroxide and natural substances. This is to reduce the use of CHX only in clinical cases of strict necessity thus reducing the risk of bacterial resistance development. The creation of good practice on the rational use of chlorhexidine would be necessary in the dental world. In addition, the relationship between resistance of bacteria to antiseptics and that of resistance to antibiotics, which may have a possible correlation, needs to be further investigated.

> S. Sevi, E. Fiorini, S. Soldo, E. Vanoli, Varese, Italy

UPFRONT

References

- Ardila C M, Bedoya-García J A. Bacterial resistance to antiseptics used in dentistry: A systematic scoping review of randomized clinical trials. *Int J Dent Hyg* 2023; 21: 141–148.
- Schwarz S R, Hirsch S, Hiergeist A et al. Limited antimicrobial efficacy of oral care antiseptics in microcosm biofilms and phenotypic adaptation of bacteria upon repeated exposure. Clin Oral Investig 2021; 25: 2939–2950.
- Abbood H M, Hijazi K, Gould I M. Chlorhexidine resistance or cross-resistance, that is the question. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2023; 12: 798.
- Alekshun M N, Levy S B. Molecular mechanisms of antibacterial multidrug resistance. *Cell* 2007; **128**: 1037–1050.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-7243-9

Dental public health

Supervised brushing/parenting

Sir, whilst agreeing with Sharif Islam¹ wholeheartedly that logically it should be the role of parents to socialise their children, the simple fact is that parents don't. Nurseries, playgroups and other pre-school groups have been reported in the press as commenting and complaining about having to change nappies for untrained children, encourage or teach children to eat with utensils, and show them how to put on shoes and other clothing. It is not surprising therefore that basic oral hygiene procedures may also be neglected.

However, bearing in mind the considerable financial, resource, and psychological burden of dental disease in children, and the responsibility of governments to act for their populations, some action is required. Water fluoridation, the most cost-effective route to reducing decay, appears to be stagnating. Other methods need to be sought. The York Health Economics Consortium published a rapid review of evidence on the cost-effectiveness of interventions to improve the oral health of children aged 0-5 years in 2016.²

The results were summarised by Public Health England³ including an infographic (Fig. 1) summarising the return on an initial investment of £1. After water fluoridation and targeted provision of toothbrushes and pastes by health visitors, targeted supervised toothbrushing came third with a return of 366%.

The stated aim of health promotion is to enable people to be responsible for and have control over their health (and that of their children). Capabilities, opportunities, and motivations are given as the basic conditions for adopting appropriate behaviours. But as this appears not to be working in practice, surely it is the duty of government to do whatever it can, if only to reduce the financial burden on us, you and me who pay for the health service, and reduce the suffering of innocent children. J. Aukett, Seaford, UK

References

- Islam S. Supervised brushing/parenting. Br Dent J 2024; 236: 153.
- Public Health England. York Health Economics Consortium. A rapid review of evidence on the cost-effectiveness of interventions to improve the oral health of children aged 0–5 years. September 2016. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service. gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/ attachment_data/file/560972/Rapid_review_ROI_ oral_health_5_year_old.pdf (accessed February 2024).
- Public Health England. Improving the oral health of children: cost effective commissioning. 2016. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/

Targeted super-tooth brushing Targeted provision of toothbrushes and A targeted fluoride Water fluoridation Targeted provision of provides a univ varnish programme oothbrushes and programme programme paste by post paste by post and by £1= After 5 years t = £3.06 £1 spent = £2.29 £1 spent = £12.71 £1 spent = £1.03 £1 s = £4.89 £1 spent = £3.66 £1 spent = £1.54 £1 spent = £7,34 f1 spent = f2.74£1 spent = £21.98 After 10 years *All targeted programmes modelled on population decayed, missing or filled teeth (dmft) index of 2, and universal programme on dmft for England of 0.8. The modelling has used the PHE Return on Investment Tool for oral health interventions (PHE, 2016). The best available evidence has been used in this tool and where assumptions are made these have been clearly stated PHE Publications gateway number: 2016321 © Crown copyright 2016

Fig. T. Comparison of cost efficiencies of oral health promotion interventions (image courtesy of Public Health England. ©Crown copyright 2016, under the Open Government Licence v3.0) publications/improving-the-oral-health-of-childrencost-effective-commissioning (accessed February 2024).

. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-7241-y

Dental trauma

CDSTs for traumatic dental injuries

Sir, I read with interest the recent case report in the *BDJ* entitled 'Incorrect re-implantation following avulsion'.¹

The author mentions the importance of appropriate assessment and attention to detail particularly in high stress situations, with the aim being to maximise the chances of successful outcomes.¹

One such method to utilise, which has an evidence base, is clinical decision support tools (CDSTs). This is of particular importance since it is not always specialists who happen to attend cases of dental trauma on their first presentation.

There is evidence in the literature to support the fact that CDSTs aid in improving the diagnosis as well as management of dental trauma by expert paediatric dentists and novice clinicians/ dental as well as medical students.^{2,3}

These CDSTs may be utilised in different forms such as a print version or mobile app version.^{2,3} Adopting a pathway for decision making in traumatic dental injury scenarios, the utilisation of CDSTs may assist in enhancing outcomes.

The ToothSOS app created by the IADT is free to download on the Apple App Store (iOS) and is meant to provide information to both professionals and patients. It includes information for dental practitioners on treatment guidelines as well as that on prevention and emergency management of dental injuries.⁴

V. Sahni, New Delhi, India

References

- 1. Radia S. Incorrect re-implantation following avulsion. Br Dent J 2024; 236: 187.
- Machado J P, Lam X T, Chen J W. Use of a clinical decision support tool for the management of traumatic dental injuries in the primary dentition by novice and expert clinicians. *Dent Traumatol* 2018; 34: 120–128.
- Huh A J H, Chen J W, Bakland L, Goodacre C. Comparison of different clinical decision support tools in aiding dental and medical professionals in managing primary dentition traumatic injuries. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2022; doi: 10.1097/ PEC.00000000002409.
- Khehra A, Cohenca N, Cehreli Z C, Levin L. The International Association of Dental Traumatology ToothSOS mobile app: A 2-year report. *Dent Traumatol* 2021; **37**: 145–150.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-7242-x

Reviews of clinical effectiveness by NICE (PH55) and PHE (Commissioning Better Oral Health for Children and Young People, 2014) have found that the following programmes effectively reduced tooth decay in 5 year olds: