COMMENT

Letters to the editor

Submit your Letters to the Editor via the online submission system: https://mts-bdj.nature.com/cgi-bin/main.plex.

Priority will be given to letters less than 500 words long. Authors must sign the letter, which may be edited for reasons of space.

Top tips

Unscientific statements

Sir, I read with interest the recent 'Top tips for managing implant complications in primary care' article (*Br Dent J* 2023; **235:** 299–301). This is an important topic which is why it was disappointing to find so many statements that are unscientific, not evidence-based or inaccurate. I have highlighted the most important below:

- 1. Alveolar ridge preservation (ARP) does not promote retention of alveolar bone or influence the bone remodelling process. Post-extraction remodelling is a natural process driven by haemostasis, inflammation, migration/proliferation of osteocompetent cells and remodelling. It is not promoted/influenced by ARP (or implant placement). What ARP provides, compared to natural healing alone, is a purely physical dimension advantage. The latest Cochrane systematic review identified the mean dimensional advantage as 1.18 mm horizontally and 1.35 mm vertically. Histomorphometry showed that most grafted sites demonstrated less new bone formation than non-grafted sites and many sites had high levels of residual graft and granulation tissue present1
- There is no evidence to support the concept of occlusal overload increasing the potential for marginal bone loss.² Various systematic reviews have failed to identify occlusal overload as a cause of marginal bone loss in subjects that maintain good oral hygiene^{2,3,4}
- Chronic sinusitis due to perforation
 of the Schneiderian membrane during
 implant placement is extremely rare.
 There are only a handful of case reports/
 case series from the global population of
 implantology. Most of these case reports,
 of chronic sinusitis are associated with

- implants where sinus lift procedures have been undertaken in combination with implant placement rather than implant placement alone
- 4. The 2017 World Workshop on the classification of periodontal and periimplant diseases characterised periimplantitis as inflammation in the peri-implant mucosa and progressive loss of the supportive bone.⁵ Clinically, this means BOP and any further bone loss after remodelling following abutment/ restoration connection. This is why a baseline radiograph, after abutment connection, is so important. It is only on subsequent follow-up (if no baseline radiograph is available) that the diagnostic criteria become a combination of bleeding and/or suppuration on gentle probing, probing depths ≥6 mm and bone loss ≥3 mm apical to the most coronal portion of the intra-osseous part of the implant5
- 5. The authors describe treatment of peri-implantitis as 'nonsurgical therapy', with surgical treatment described as 'pocket elimination, bone recontouring, implantoplasty and regenerative techniques'. Studies have shown that nonsurgical management of peri-implantitis is ineffective in the long-term.6 The purpose of a surgical approach in the management of peri-implantitis is to provide access to the implant to facilitate surface decontamination.7 There is low-level evidence to support surgical debridement over nonsurgical management.7 Systematic reviews have failed to show any benefit of chemical or physical adjunctive therapy (air-abrasion, laser or photodynamic therapy) or reconstruction of peri-implantitis defects over mechanical debridement alone.7,8,9

R. Adams, Cardiff, UK

References

- Adams R J. Is there clinical evidence to support alveolar ridge preservation over extraction alone? A review of recent literature and case reports of late graft failure. Br Dent J 2022; 233: 469–474.
- Naert I, Duyck J, Vandamme K. Occlusal overload and bone/implant loss. Clin Oral Implants Res 2012; doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2012.02550.x.
- Afrashtehfar K I, Afrashtehfar C D. Lack of association between overload and peri-implant tissue loss in healthy conditions. Evid Based Dent 2016; 17: 92–93.
- Bertolini M M, Del Bel Cury A A, Pizzoloto L, Acapa I R H, Shibli J A, Bordin D. Does traumatic occlusal forces lead to peri-implant bone loss? A systematic review. Braz Oral Res 2019; doi: 10.1590/1807-3107bor-2019. vol33.0069.
- Berglundh T, Armitage G, Araujo M G et al. Perimplant diseases and conditions: Consensus report of workgroup 4 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions. J Clin Periodontol 2018; doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12957.
- Almershed N, Adams R, Mort J, Farnell D, Thomas D W, Claydon N. The use of nonsurgical interventions in patients with peri-implantitis; A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Oral Surg 2021; 14: 179–190.
- Herrera D, Berglundh T, Schwarz F et al. Prevention and treatment of peri-implant diseases – The EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline. J Clin Periodontol 2023; doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13823.
- Zakir M, Thomas D W, Adams R, Farnell D, Claydon C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the clinical outcomes for adjunctive physical, chemical, and biological treatment of dental implants with periimplantitis. J Oral Implantol 2023; 49: 168–178.
- Al-Khadim K A H, Pritchard M F, Farnell D J J, Thomas D W, Adams R, Claydon N. Surgical therapy for periimplantitis: A systematic review and Meta-analysis. Br J Oral Surg 2018; 11: 200–212.

See the response to this letter at https://doi. org/10.1038/s41415-023-6618-7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-023-6609-8

Missing the point

Editor-in-Chief's note: We asked the authors if they wished to respond to R. Adam's letter, 'Unscientific statements'. They do so below, pointing out that the context of their article was not a compendium of the evidence, but now including comprehensive references to back their case, which we publish in full in this particular circumstance.

Sir, thank you for the opportunity to respond to the feedback from R. Adams. Unfortunately, R. Adams has completely missed the point of this series of very