Managing tooth wear with respect to quality of life: an evidence-based decision on when to intervene

Shamir B. Mehta, *1,2,3 Bas A. C. Loomans, 1 Roos M. F. van Sambeek, 1 Tatiana Pereira-Cenci¹ and Saoirse O'Toole²

Key points

Reviews the evidence relating to the effects of tooth wear on oral health-related quality of life and the available information about the impact of restorative intervention on oral health-related quality of life. Gives an insight into how the time and costs associated with tooth wear rehabilitation may be barriers.

Highlights the need for new guidelines for restorative intervention for patients with tooth wear, with an emphasis on a shared decisionmaking approach.

Abstract

Patients with more severe forms of tooth wear may require restorative rehabilitation. The decision to commence treatment must be taken carefully and there are a multitude of factors to consider. Alongside the clinical signs and symptoms typically associated with tooth wear, there is also the need to assess the impact of the condition on the patient's oral health-related quality of life. As part of the discussions relating to the attainment of informed consent for the restoration of the worn dentition, not only is it relevant to appropriately appraise the risks, benefits, costs, reasonable alternatives and likely prognosis of the proposed treatments, but to also elaborate on the expected impact of the intervention on the patient's oral health-related quality of life. The aim of this article is to review the evidence relating to the impact of the quality of life with the management of tooth wear, with the introduction of the concept of an evidence-based approach to decision-making when planning care.

Introduction

Tooth wear is usually the result of the effect of multiple aetiological factors and a plethora of mechanical and chemical causative factors have been reported.^{1,2} With a mean estimated global prevalence of erosive tooth wear of up to 45% in permanent teeth,³ signs of tooth wear with varying levels of severity are likely to be frequently encountered in general dental practice. Patients with severe tooth wear may report symptoms such as impaired oro-facial aesthetics, challenges with effective phonetics and mastication, and/or pain and discomfort.⁴ Aesthetic concerns have been described as the most common reasons for patients with

¹Department of Dentistry, Radboud University Medical Centre, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ²Faculty of Dentistry, Oral and Craniofacial Sciences, King's College London, Guy's Campus, London, UK; ³College of Medicine and Dentistry, Birmingham Campus, Ulster University, UK. *Correspondence to: Shamir B. Mehta Email address: shamir.mehta@kcl.ac.uk

Refereed Paper. Submitted 8 August 2022 Revised 18 November 2022 Accepted 22 November 2022 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-023-5620-4 tooth wear to be referred to secondary care settings and concerns with appearance and function may often motivate patients to seek professional help.^{5,6}

Alongside physical wellbeing and the absence of disease or infirmity, there is also the need to consider psychological and social wellbeing.7 Conditions involving the oral cavity may adversely impact on occupational prospects, social acceptability and interpersonal relationships, as well as levels of self-confidence and self-esteem.8 Given the biological and functional roles attributed to the oro-facial structures, the presence of conditions with clear physical manifestations, such as tooth wear, may also culminate in negative emotional or social consequences. The term 'oral health-related quality of life' (OHRQoL) is used to refer to the impact of oral health or disease on an individual's daily functioning, wellbeing, and overall quality of life. However, as OHRQoL may also be influenced by subjective factors, such as personal feelings, perspectives, personality traits, or opinions, patients with similar clinical levels of tooth wear may report significantly different effects on their OHRQoL.9 When planning dental care, it would seem prudent to consider the patient's self-perception of their oral health, together with any clinical findings.¹⁰

Many patients with tooth wear may be effectively managed by a targeted preventive approach with appropriate counselling and monitoring, emphasising the importance of early diagnosis, risk assessment and appropriate care planning.^{4,11} In the presence of pathological and/ or severe tooth wear, restorative intervention may, however, be required. A range of materials and methods for the rehabilitation of the worn dentition have been described in the contemporary literature.12 Some data relating to the clinical performance of restorations for the treatment of tooth wear are also available.13,14,15,16,17 However, determining when it may be most appropriate to initiate restorative intervention, may be challenging. The latter should consider several other factors beyond the clinical presentation to include the likely treatment time and financial costs of the proposed treatment, as well as the impact of the tooth wear on the patient's OHRQoL. The attainment of valid informed consent for restorative rehabilitation of the worn dentition should also include an appropriate appraisal of the

GENERAL

merits, risks, likely prognosis of the proposed treatment and maintenance needs, as well as the potential impact of the intervention on the patient's OHRQoL. Where possible, the temptation to thrust a patient into unnecessary restorative treatment must be resisted.^{4,11}

The aim of this paper is to review the evidence relating to the effects of tooth wear on OHRQoL, as well as the available information concerning the impact of restorative intervention on the OHRQoL and elaborate on the importance of the patient-led decision with treatment planning for the worn dentition. Such information may help provide an evidence-based approach to decisionmaking relating to the initiation of restorative rehabilitation of the worn dentition.

The effect of tooth wear on OHRQoL – a review of the evidence base

Several questionnaire-based tools have been developed to measure the quality of life related to oral health. Among these are the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP), the Oral Impacts on Daily Performance (OIDP) and the Dental Impacts on Daily Living (DIDL).¹⁸ Many of these tools are based on Locker's interpretation of the World Health Organisation's model of health, with five consequences of oral disease: impairment; functional limitation; pain/discomfort; disability; and handicap.¹⁹

Using the DIDL, among a cohort of 76 tooth wear patients and an analogous number of control subjects, Al-Omiri *et al.*,²⁰ observed tooth wear patients to be nine times more likely to report dissatisfaction with their teeth in general compared to the control subjects. Significantly higher levels of dissatisfaction with all five domains of the DIDL were also observed among the tooth wear group. Of note, the levels of dissatisfaction expressed were independent of the tooth wear severity or any personal factors.

The OHIP-49, comprising 49 statements (or focused versions of the OHIP adapted for tooth wear) has also been used in several investigations to evaluate the impact of tooth wear on OHRQoL.^{10,21,22,23} Three of these investigations have recorded a negative impact of tooth wear on OHRLoQ. In 2020, Mehta *et al.*,¹⁰ reported higher levels of tooth wear (assessed using the Basic Erosive Tooth Wear Examination) to be significantly associated with a deteriorating OHRQoL among a sample of 319 new dentate adult patients



Fig. 1 a, b) Restorative intervention may improve the quality of life with severe tooth wear in the anterior region. Pictures from the Radboud Tooth Wear Project, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

attending a general dental practitioner in either Malta, the UK, or Australia. In this study, a focused version of the OHIP - the OHIP-26 - was used. Also applying a shorter and focused version of the OHIP-49 - the OHIP-14 - a previous study using data from the UK 2009 Adult dental health survey (5,654 participants), similarly observed a negative impact between the presence of severe tooth wear affecting anterior teeth and the psychological impact of the condition (domains of psychological discomfort and psychological disability).²¹ The questions included in the psychological domains, thus, feeling self-conscious or tense and difficulty relaxing and embarrassment, may have been related to the poor appearance of the aesthetic zone, which is sometimes associated with the presence of severely worn anterior teeth. A restorative treatment may therefore have a positive effect on the quality of life (Fig. 1).

The original English version of the OHIP-49 has also been translated and validated into other languages. Using a Dutch version of the OHIP-49 - OHIP-NL - a negative impact from tooth wear was also reported on patients' quality of life.22 Furthermore, the impact of tooth wear was not significantly different to the impact of edentulousness; however, the impact of painful temporomandibular disorders was higher. In contrast, an investigation involving a convenience sample of university students using the OHIP-49 reported the absence of any significant differences between the presence of tooth wear (irrespective of the severity) and the overall OHIP score. However, higher domain scores for functional limitation were observed among participants with severe tooth wear.23 University students are perhaps less likely to have longer-term experience of the effects of tooth wear compared to a general population. A more recent investigation using the OIDP

noted the presence of a complex relationship between the quality of life, personality and general psychological wellbeing among subjects with tooth wear. In the latter study, reduced levels of general psychological wellbeing and increased levels of neuroticism had independent effects on the quality of life, independent of tooth wear severity.⁹ This makes sense when considering that similar levels of tooth wear will impact patients very differently.

While it would be imperative to consider the clinical findings and the impact on OHRQoL when deciding if and when to commence restorative rehabilitation of the worn dentition (noting that the OHRQoL may not consistently be related to the severity of the presenting tooth wear), it is likely that the decision-making process will require an understanding of broader factors (listed above).9 Some of these factors may fall beyond the scope of a dental professional. However, for some patients, where the rate of tooth wear is excessive for age and a clear diagnosis has been made, earlier intervention (especially using minimally invasive techniques) may help to not only yield significant improvements in their OHRQoL, but also help to protect the residual tissues from further wear. This may also optimise the restorative outcome, where the further loss of healthy tissue may otherwise compromise the longevity of the restorative intervention by rendering bonding less predictable,²⁴ and perhaps, ultimately, necessitate the prescription of more costly and invasive treatment protocols.

When using tools such as the OHIP (or focused versions), it is also important to note that this may not always give exclusivity for a particular dental condition; an example may include the presence of a discoloured anterior tooth in a patient with signs of severe tooth wear reporting aesthetically related concerns.

The impact of restorative intervention on OHRQoL

As discussed above, tooth wear may have a substantial negative impact on the patient's quality of life. The effect may be marked, for instance, among patients with tooth wear related to an eating disorder or in the case of an adolescent patients with addiction to erosive beverages. For a proportion of these patients (especially where the pattern of wear may be limited to a more localised presentation), treatment may be successfully provided in a time- and a cost-effective manner, using minimally invasive techniques. Together with the likely survival and success of the proposed restorative treatment intervention, it is also important to consider the benefits a patient with tooth wear may expect to enjoy with improvements in their OHRQoL post treatment.

While the available information is limited (and longer-term information is currently unavailable), significant improvements in OHRQoL and in oro-facial appearance were observed among a sample of patients referred to a Dutch dental university, one year after the completion of full rehabilitation using composite resin restorations. Parameters were assessed using two questionnaires: the OHIP-NL and the Orofacial Esthetic Scale.^{25,26} The reported outcomes in this study may have been related to the improvements in selfconfidence, which may have been previously compromised due to impairment of the patient's aesthetic zone, as well as a reduction in symptoms of pain and sensitivity that may be expected following the application of restorative material across worn tooth surfaces.²⁶ The presence of symptoms of pain has been previously reported to be associated with higher OHIP scores, with higher OHIP scores usually indicative of an undesirable effect.²⁷ A separate investigation noted significant improvements in the self-perception in the quality of speech function.²⁸ In this study, the Dutch Speech Handicap Index was used to evaluate changes following full-mouth occlusal rehabilitation for tooth wear using direct and indirect resin composite, where a mean increase in the vertical dimension of occlusion (VDO) of 2.7 ± 0.73 mm and a mean increase in the length of the maxillary central incisor teeth of 2.6 ± 1.2 mm were provided.

Applying a focused version of OHIP-49 questionnaire, Kalaykova *et al.* in 2019²⁹ noted

self-reported (subjective) improvements in the ability to eat and chew following direct, full-mouth, composite resin restorations for the treatment of generalised tooth wear. Treatment involved a planned increase in the VDO with marked changes to the occlusal anatomy. However, when using a comminution test (objective) to evaluate masticatory performance, no significant differences were seen between the baseline scores and one-month post treatment with the level of breakdown of a food bolus. This highlights the importance of the individual's psychological interpretation about the impact of tooth wear on their life.

In the above investigations, treatment provision was undertaken by experienced operators. However, information relating to the impact on the post-treatment OHRQoL of tooth wear patients, who may have received restorative care where the performance of the restorations may have been less successful, is unknown. Furthermore, the precise relationship between the severity of the presenting wear and the level of change in the OHRQoL remains to be established; this is an area of further research. Hopefully, in the future, longer-term data, as well as data relating to the impact of other forms of restorative treatments for the rehabilitation of tooth wear (such as the prescription of indirect, fixed restorations, removable dentures and treatment plans involving a combination of differing types of restoration for a patient), will also become available and aid the overall decision-making processes.

The cost of tooth wear rehabilitation

For many patients, the time required to complete the proposed treatment plan and the cost of intervention may be important barriers for care. Pre-treatment discussions should also include a clear appraisal of the maintenance requirements. Treatment of the worn dentition may be highly time-consuming and technically challenging. Loomans et al., in 2018,30 documented the need for five to seven (up to three hour) treatment sessions, including intake and registrations, to enable the execution of full mouth rehabilitation with direct resin composite application. A similar number of appointments were also needed for full mouth rehabilitation using indirect 3D computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing nano ceramic restorations.³¹

GENERAL

A service evaluation by O'Toole et al. in 2018³² reported an average treatment time of 20.8 months for the prosthodontic rehabilitation of severe erosive tooth wear within an NHS hospital setting (range: 8-44 months), with the need for 8-48 clinical visits (mean: 24.3 visits). Treatment sessions were generally 1.5 hours long. This study also reported the total cost for the completion of the treatment plan (excluding staff, materials and laboratory overheads) to range from £675 to £4,807 (mean cost: £2,371) and estimated the cost of providing similar treatments under private arrangements by specialist practitioners based in London, UK, to range from £4,737 to £31,224 per patient (mean cost per patient: £13,353). While the costs and treatment scheduling may vary between differing countries, with factors such as the arrangements under which the care is funded having an impact, for many patients, such costs and time constraints will undoubtedly be prohibitive. Furthermore, access to statefunded facilities may also be inconsistent or unavailable, with the risk of increasing the oral health inequality between individuals who may and may not be able to afford the economic cost of care. It would also be important to consider the cost implications of delaying care, especially if this may impact on the prognosis of the intervention and require further and frequent contingency planning.

Currently, there is no information available about the impact on a patient's OHRQoL where treatment for tooth wear may not be readily accessible.

Conclusions

Restoration of the worn dentition is by no means consistently straightforward. The decision to intervene may be initially clinically led, but the psychological profile of the patient may determine success. For patients where there may be the need to restore a previously rehabilitated worn dentition with the need to prescribe concomitant occlusal changes, deciding the timing of intervention may be less cumbersome. However, under circumstances where the patient is yet to embark on the restorative pathway, the pre-treatment assessment must take into consideration the impact of the patient's tooth wear on their daily quality of life. Pre-treatment discussions should also ensure the patient is appropriately informed about the likely prognosis, the estimated cost and the expected treatment

GENERAL

time and the likely maintenance levels of their treatment options, to ensure they have the necessary information to make an informed decision about their healthcare needs. Together with expected clinical outcomes, preliminary discussions should also encompass the possible benefits with any realistic improvements that may be expected post treatment.

The need for new guidelines for restorative intervention for patients with tooth wear, with an emphasis on making shared decisions with the patients supported by appropriate clinical assessment and appraisal, is indicated. This should take into consideration not just the OHRQoL factors, but also the impact of psychological wellbeing improvements with better appearance.

Ultimately, given that tooth wear progression may be effectively prevented, the need for early diagnosis and risk assessment cannot be overemphasised; however, based on the available evidence, there is clear scope for improvement with this.33 In the absence of demand for treatment, commencing restorative intervention should be delayed, with the implementation of appropriate counselling and monitoring, using appropriate tools. However, exceptions may apply to this approach, where there may be substantial levels of tooth tissue loss for age which may have an impact on the patient's oral health and quality of life, where the use of additive minimally invasive techniques may offer a conservative, time- and cost-effective approach.

Ethics declaration

The authors did not receive any financial support and declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Author contributions

Shamir B. Mehta contributed to the investigation, writing the original draft, preparation and project administration. Saoirse O'Toole contributed to the conceptualisation and review and editing of the draft. Bas Loomans, Tatiana Pereira-Cenci and Roos van Sambeek contributed to writing – review and editing.

References

- Addy M, Shellis R P. Interaction between attrition, abrasion and erosion in tooth wear. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2006; 20: 17–31.
- 2. Mehta S B, Banerji S. The Prevention of Tooth Wear. *Dent Update* 2020; **47:** 813–820.
- Schlueter N, Luka B. Erosive tooth wear a review on global prevalence and on its prevalence in risk groups. Br Dent J 2018; 224: 364–370.
- Loomans B, Opdam N, Attin T et al. Severe Tooth Wear: European Consensus Statement on Management Guidelines. J Adhes Dent 2017; 19: 111–119.
- Wazani B E, Dodd M N, Milosevic A. The signs and symptoms of tooth wear in a referred group of patients. *Br Dent J* 2012; DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.840.
- Hemmings K W, Howlett J A, Woodley N J, Griffiths B M. Partial dentures for patients with advanced tooth wear. Dent Update 1995; 22: 52–59.
- World Health Organisation. Basic Documents. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 1966.
- Kershaw S, Newton J T, Williams D M. The influence of tooth colour on the perceptions of personal characteristics among female dental patients: comparisons of unmodified, decayed and 'whitened' teeth. *Br Dent J* 2008; DOI: 10.1038/bdi.2008.134.
- Kalsi H, Khan A, Bomfim D, Tsakos G, McDonald A V, Rodriguez J M. Quality of life and other psychological factors in patients with tooth wear. *Br Dent J* 2021; DOI: 10.1038/s41415-021-3168-8.
- Mehta S B, Loomans B A C, Banerji S, Bronkhorst E M, Bartlett D. An investigation into the impact of tooth wear on the oral health related quality of life among adult dental patients in the United Kingdom, Malta and Australia. J Dent 2020; 99: 103409.
- 11. Loomans B, Opdam N. A guide to managing tooth wear: the Radboud philosophy. *Br Dent J* 2018; **224**: 348–356.
- Mehta S B, Banerji S, Millar B J, Suarez-Feito J-M. Current concepts on the management of tooth wear: part 4. An overview of the restorative techniques and dental materials commonly applied for the management of tooth wear. *Br Dent J* 2012; **212**: 169–177.
- Mesko M E, Sarkis-Onofre R, Cenci M S, Opdam N J, Loomans B, Pereira-Cenci T. Rehabilitation of severely worn teeth: A systematic review. J Dent 2016; 48: 9–15.
- Milosevic A, Burnside G. The survival of direct composite restorations in the management of severe tooth wear including attrition and erosion: A prospective 8-year study. J Dent 2016; 44: 13–19.
- Mehta S B, Lima V P, Bronkhorst E M *et al.* Clinical performance of direct composite resin restorations in a full mouth rehabilitation for patients with severe tooth wear: 5.5-year results. *J Dent* 2021; **112**: 103743.
- Kassardjian V, Andiappan M, Creugers N H J, Bartlett D. A systematic review of interventions after restoring the occluding surfaces of anterior and posterior teeth that are affected by tooth wear with filled resin composites. *J Dent* 2020; 99: 103388.
- 17. Crins L A M J, Opdam N J M, Kreulen C M *et al.* Randomized controlled trial on the performance of direct

and indirect composite restorations in patients with severe tooth wear. *Dent Mater* 2021; **37:** 1645–1654.

- Spanemberg J C, Cardoso J A, Slob E M G B, López-López J. Quality of life related to oral health and its impact in adults. J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg 2019; 120: 234–239.
- Allen P F, McMillan A S, Walshaw D, Locker D. A comparison of the validity of generic- and diseasespecific measures in the assessment of oral healthrelated quality of life. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1999; 27: 344–352.
- Al-Omiri M K, Lamey P-J, Clifford T. Impact of tooth wear on daily living. Int J Prosthodont 2006; 19: 601–605.
- Li M H M, Bernabé E. Tooth wear and quality of life among adults in the United Kingdom. J Dent 2016; 55: 48–53.
- Papagianni C E, van der Meulen M J, Naeije M, Lobbezoo F. Oral health-related quality of life in patients with tooth wear. J Oral Rehabil 2013; 40: 185–190.
- Daly B, Newton J T, Fares J *et al.* Dental tooth surface loss and quality of life in university students. *Prim Dent Care* 2011; 18: 31–35.
- Mehta S B, Bronkhorst E M, Lima V P et al. The effect of pre-treatment levels of tooth wear and the applied increase in the vertical dimension of occlusion (VDO) on the survival of direct resin composite restorations. J Dent 2021; 111: 103712.
- Larsson P, John M T, Nilner K, Bondemark L, List T. Development of an Orofacial Esthetic Scale in prosthodontic patients. *Int J Prosthodont* 2010; 23: 249–256.
- Sterenborg B A M M, Bronkhorst E M, Wetselaar P, Lobbezoo F, Loomans B A C, Huysmans M-C D N J M. The influence of management of tooth wear on oral health-related quality of life. *Clin Oral Investig* 2018; 22: 2567–2573.
- Slade G D, Foy S P, Shugars D A, Phillips C, White R P Jr. The impact of third molar symptoms, pain, and swelling on oral health-related quality of life. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2004; 62: 1118–1124.
- Sterenborg B A M M, Kalaykova S I, Knuijt S, Loomans B A C, Huysmans M-C D N J M. Speech changes in patients with a full rehabilitation for severe tooth wear, a first evaluation study. *Clin Oral Investig* 2020; 24: 3061–3067.
- Kalaykova S I, Sterenborg B A M M, Loomans B A C, Huysmans M-C D N J M. Impact of restorative treatment of tooth wear upon masticatory performance. *J Dent* 2019; 88: 103159.
- Loomans B A C, Kreulen C M, Huijs-Visser H E C E et al. Clinical performance of full rehabilitations with direct composite in severe tooth wear patients: 3.5 Years results. J Dent 2018; 70: 97–103.
- Crins L A M J, Opdam N J M, Kreulen C M et al. Prospective Study on CAD/CAM Nano-Ceramic (Composite) Restorations in the Treatment of Severe Tooth Wear. J Adhes Dent 2022; 24: 105–116.
- O'Toole S, Pennington M, Varma S, Bartlett D W. The treatment need and associated cost of erosive tooth wear rehabilitation – a service evaluation within an NHS dental hospital. Br Dent J 2018; 224: 957–961.
- Mehta S B, Loomans B A C, Bronkhorst E M, Banerji S, Bartlett D. A study to investigate habits with tooth wear assessments among UK and non-UK dental practitioners. Br Dent J 2020; 228: 429–434.



Open Access

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0.