# **UPFRONT**

urgent dental care, ie management of facial swellings and dental trauma, 74% of referrals were accepted. These findings allude both to the lower threshold of accepting patients due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on patient access, as well as to the increased pressures faced by dental practices in managing the burden of disease that has amassed during the pandemic.

Twenty-four percent of parents reported their child was already on a dental referral pathway, which is likely to have been underreported. Many families were travelling outside their local boroughs to attend the appointment. These findings demonstrate the knock-on effect of limited patient access on tertiary dental services and the ethical dilemma surrounding the acceptance of patients directly while existing patient waiting lists continue to grow. While reassuring that extra funding has been allocated to dentistry, there is valid concern that this will remain underutilised as dental practices struggle to cope with existing targets of activity.2 It is hoped that the COVID-19 pandemic results in the actualisation of extensively debated NHS contract reform. This may prove to be the much-needed solution to dwindling patient access and reduce the need for urgent dental care in some of the most vulnerable members of society.

S. Mamdani, D. Pathak, N. Bhujel, London, UK

#### References

- Currie C, Stone S, Pearce M, Landes D, Durham J.
  Urgent dental care use in the North East and Cumbria:
  predicting repeat attendance. Br Dent J 2022; 232:
  164–171
- New funds allocated to dentistry must be 'just the start'. Br Dent J 2022; 232: 135.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-022-4208-8

# Military dentistry

## Some concern

Sir, I read with interest, but also with some concern, the article discussing the 'use of dental therapists within the UK Military Dental Service' (*BDJ* 2022; **232**: 232–238).

I retired from the Royal Army Dental Corps in 2010 after a 45-year career, 35 years spent in uniform and ten as a civilian dental practitioner continuing to work for the MOD. I saw a lot of changes during my career, many of which involved cuts in the uniformed manpower strength of dental officers, dental hygienists, dental technicians and DCPs. Some of these cuts obviously went hand in hand with the misplaced periodic reduction in the overall strength of our Armed Forces. Many of these cuts were made not always in the best interests of the organisation, but as a cost-cutting exercise – contracted civilian personnel being a much cheaper option than those in uniform.

Unless there are extenuating circumstances, any increase in the number of civilian dental staff has to be made through a compensating reduction in the number of uniformed personnel.

I wonder, with the present frightful ongoing situation in Ukraine, and an awakening and realisation that cuts in the UK Armed Forces have gone too far, if now is the right time to be even contemplating employing more civilians within any military organisation?

*J. H. Hardy, Farnham, UK* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-022-4209-7

# Water fluoridation

## **Dental fluorosis**

Sir, we write regarding the letter by Bland and Bland<sup>1</sup> and seek to reassure the authors and readers regarding fluoridation and dental fluorosis.

The World Health Organisation's recommendation<sup>2</sup> of a maximum fluoride concentration in drinking water of 1.5 mg/L is designed to be protective against any adverse effect, including dental fluorosis which might be unsightly. The target level for fluoridation in England is 1.0 mg/L and in some parts of the UK, developing teeth are exposed to fluoride in water occurring naturally at similar levels.

The most recent study of fluorosis in England (2016)<sup>3</sup> compared children in fluoridated Newcastle and Birmingham with non-fluoridated Liverpool and Manchester. A higher prevalence of any dental fluorosis was observed among children in the two fluoridated cities (61% vs 37%) and of fluorosis above the threshold generally considered to be aesthetically objectionable (10% vs 2%). There was, however, no significant difference in the degree of aesthetic concern held by the children themselves in the fluoridated and non-fluoridated cities.

Bland and Bland suggest that successive generations of children may find fluorosis more objectionable. This may be true, but research to date suggests a complex picture of mild fluorosis possibly making teeth more attractive<sup>4</sup> and fluorosis possibly diminishing with age.<sup>5</sup>

Swallowing excess fluoride toothpaste during tooth development is also a potential risk for dental fluorosis and recent guidance has re-stated the importance of avoiding excess ingestion.<sup>6</sup>

We agree that ongoing professional education is important regarding counselling and managing patients presenting with dental mottling. Where mottling is severe enough to have an aesthetic impact, differential diagnosis should include the possibility of alternative diagnoses such as systemic disease or amelogenesis imperfecta and a specialist opinion considered.

With many years of collective experience working in fluoridated and non-fluoridated areas, fluorosis has not been a general cause of concern for our communities but the impact of caries on individuals and services remains a significant burden, especially for non-fluoridated communities. Water fluoridation is an effective and safe public health measure.

A. J. Morris, R. O'Connor, R. Holmes, D. Landes, K. Shah, A. Tanday, C. Vernazza, Birmingham, UK

### References

- Bland R I, Bland G M. Fee for fluorosis. Br Dent J 2021; 231: 533.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinkingwater quality, 4th edition, incorporating the 1st addendum. 2017. Available at https://www.who. int/publications/i/item/9789241549950 (accessed February 2022).
- Pretty I A, Boothman N, Morris J et al. Prevalence and severity of dental fluorosis in four English cities. Community Dent Health 2016; 33: 292–296.
- Chankanka O, Levy S M, Warren J J, Chalmers J M. A literature review of aesthetic perceptions of dental fluorosis and relationships with psychosocial aspects/ oral health-related quality of life. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2010; 38: 97–109.
- Macey R, Tickle M, MacKay L, McGrady M, Pretty I A. A comparison of dental fluorosis in adult populations with and without lifetime exposure to water fluoridation. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2018; 46: 608–614.
- Office for Health Improvement and Disparities, Department of Health and Social Care, NHS England and NHS Improvement. Delivering better oral health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention. 2021. Available at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ delivering-better-oral-health-an-evidence-basedtoolkit-for-prevention (accessed February 2022).

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-022-4210-1