Mouth cancer

More defensive dentistry

Sir, I note, with concern, the observations raised by Bradley, Barratt *et al.*¹ regarding the inappropriate referral of suspected oral malignancies, and the proposed reasons for such a pattern developing.

I speak as a GDP with hospital experience and an interest in the subject, and would note that the sentiments expressed are commonly voiced by surgical colleagues who find their departments swamped with common, benign conditions which have been fast-tracked with all the attendant worries, fears and inconvenience that this engenders.

My view is simple: what else could we possibly expect? The much-publicised antics of the GDC and the medical negligence lawyers have created a climate of fear and defensive dentistry of unprecedented proportions. No-one dares run the risk of missing a malignancy, the consequences for all involved can be disastrous. There has been a tendency for postgraduate courses to stress the need for immediate referral of any white patch as it could be a deadly cancer. This, coupled with the comparative paucity of oral medicine training in an increasingly crowded undergraduate curriculum, means that younger colleagues have, quite literally, never seen any of the common oral lesions, or had any experience in their management.

I would note that there is a world of difference between an 80-year-old, non-smoking, teetotal lady with bilateral reticular LP and a firm white patch in the sublingual pouch of a 50-year-old alcoholic, but, in the utter chaos of regulations, compliance, protocols, endless, mindless templates, threats and fears, the finer points are lost. Refer, stat; it's safer; you've Done Your Bit. It is also far easier to hit the refer button than invest the effort and clinical time taken to fully assess a suspect lesion, when the NHS is only interested in targets. A referral takes one time unit, a full evaluation plus biopsy may take several.

I feel that this pattern will continue to evolve to a point where any and all slight variations from the absolutely normal will be referred on to secondary care. The only way to circumvent this inevitability is a fundamental change in the system. Mentoring by experienced colleagues, roving senior colleagues to observe and advise practices which have a high referral base, not

with the aggressive, punitive approach of the GDC, but a trusted helper who can really guide those starting out on their professional journey, would be one idea. Electronic referral and not the unwieldy and clumsy Rego, with a dedicated assessment and two-way communication, is another. This will mean a move away from this infernal target-based mentality, which is, perhaps, a step too far for the current establishment. It could all be so different, but, with the current adversarial set-up, things can only get worse. And who can blame them?

R. Bate, Warwick, UK

Reference

1. Bradley H, Barratt O, Simms M L, Atkin P A. Inappropriate referrals. *Br Dent J* 2020; **228**: 906.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-2416-7>

Aesthetic dentistry

Fashionable dentitions

Sir, social media and the fashion industry are regularly regarded as having an impact on mental health and perceptions of what is attractive. It has become easier to compare and idolise celebrities, models and influencers with 'perfect' dentitions. This can be seen by the growth in demand for straighter, whiter teeth.

However, fashion brands with high visibility on social media now appear to be acknowledging the diversity in dentitions amongst the population. This has been shown in recent lipstick advertisements by Gucci centred around a model with hypodontia, resulting in missing lateral incisors. Furthermore, large brands such as Urban Outfitters, Primark and MAC cosmetics have used a model with a missing central incisor as a result of trauma. Models with a midline diastema have been used regularly and this has been reflected with the potential positive outcome to change the public's perceptions.¹

It is important to consider patients holistically. For some, there may be a detrimental long-term impact of trauma or hypodontia on patients' confidence and psychosocial wellbeing, affecting overall quality of life.² The push from large companies to show a wider range of models indicates a progressive portrayal of what is deemed desirable, evidencing the positive impact social media and fashion brands can have. These campaigns may be beneficial to those affected, helping

to change the public's perceptions on what is deemed the 'perfect smile'.

J. A. Bell, Manchester, D. Winstanley, Sheffield,
F. Coulthard, Leeds, UK

References

1. Anari S. Aesthetic dentistry: Changing public perceptions. *Br Dent J* 2017; **223**: 390.
2. Azami-Aghdash S, Azar F E, Azar F P *et al.* Prevalence, etiology, and types of dental trauma in children and adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med J Islam Repub Iran* 2015; **29**: 234.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-2419-4>

Paediatric dentistry

Tongue-tied

Sir, ankyloglossia or 'tongue-tie' is a condition affecting the attachment of the tongue to the floor of the mouth via the lingual frenulum. It has a cited prevalence of up to one in ten newborns in the UK. Despite working in several oral and maxillofacial units in England and Wales over the past six years, it was not a condition I had routinely encountered, or even treated. Discussion with colleagues would often present a very mixed picture of opinions ranging from the die-hard proponents for swift surgical intervention, to those who believed there was no objective benefit from doing so.

It was only when I was presented with personal experience of the condition in our own newborn that the minefield of risks, benefits, treatment options and their sequelae facing parents and patients became evident. The range of professionals advertised as having a hand in this was also a potential for confusion; the Association of Tongue-tie Practitioners (ATP) lists ten types of healthcare professionals including dentists, doctors, registered midwives, registered nurses, advanced neonatal practitioners, lactation consultants and breastfeeding counsellors. Coupled with the sudden challenges a newborn can bring to a household, particularly for mothers trying to breastfeed, this can add an unwanted level of strain. Discerning who to contact for advice and who can provide the best care is not always straightforward, and that is speaking as someone working in the profession.

The decision to treat is based on early history and assessment, examining any feeding difficulty, mastitis, low milk production, dread of feeding time, latch problems and colic. A subsequent clinical examination will follow which often involves observation of feeding for

signs such as clicking.

If treatment is indicated, it will commonly involve surgical division of the frenulum, either under local anaesthetic in the early months or under general anaesthetic after this. Common risks include pain, swelling, bleeding, infection, relapse and need for further treatment. I would advise that as ever the first port of call for new parents be your midwife or healthcare visitor, who will be best placed to refer you onto secondary care services if necessary.

There is however a wide range of excellent resources available that we can direct patients toward, including the NHS website, the ATP website, and professionally, the NICE guidance published in 2005 entitled 'Division of ankyloglossia (tongue-tie) for breastfeeding'. This is definitely an area in which I hope further rigorous research and evidence can be completed to aid both clinicians and parents in the consent process to quite an invasive procedure in their newborns.

O. Rees-Stoner, Plymouth, UK

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-2418-5>

Emergency dentistry

TikTok vampire fangs

Sir, the ever-increasing trend to 'go viral' amongst social media users can result in accidental injury and risk-taking behaviour, particularly among young people. It seems every week I stumble upon a news article divulging the gory details of yet another social media challenge gone wrong. From eating corn on the cob off a drill, to suctioning your lips to mimic Kylie Jenner, the risk of oral injury seems high in a population where 'likes' have become the new Blue Peter badge.

I witnessed this first-hand recently in the early hours of A&E. A fit and healthy 15-year-old boy presented with an intra oral foreign body, accompanied by his mother. They opted to attend following several failed attempts at retrieval at home. Examination revealed a large white mass extending bilaterally from the upper buccal sulcus, over the occlusal surfaces of the teeth to the hard palate (Fig. 1). History confirmed that the material was thermoplastic which had been softened in boiling water before being moulded intra orally and solidifying in its current position. The motivation behind this endeavour was to recreate a custom removable splint with vampire fangs as demonstrated on TikTok, a popular smartphone video app.

Fig. 1 Large white mass extending bilaterally from the upper buccal sulcus, over the occlusal surfaces of the teeth to the hard palate

Unfortunately, the material was set firmly *in situ*, engaged in undercuts with little to no mobility. Attempts at retrieval resulted in pain and discomfort and the patient refused local anaesthetic infiltrations. Consented for the risk of iatrogenic trauma and loss of teeth, the patient underwent a general anaesthetic where the foreign body was removed uneventfully using a piezoelectric system. A window was cut between the upper right lateral incisor and upper right first premolar, extending over the canine bulge. The subsequent loss of retention allowed for retraction and dissection of the remaining foreign body resulting in complete removal with minimal trauma to the adjacent hard and soft tissues.

The ultimate challenge in the management of social media is to maximise its benefits and minimise its risks. Video sharing platforms allow their users to share content widely with little verification or accountability. In addition to this, adolescents and young people make up a significant portion of users. Accidental injury is estimated to cost the NHS more than £2 billion per annum and despite a general trend toward more indoor play, seems to be on the increase.¹ Dentists must be aware of the potential oral implications of viral social media trends and challenges in order to advise, educate and treat patients accordingly. Treatment options in such cases may require innovative and creative techniques in an area where evidence-based practice studies will be limited.

S. Harkness, Belfast, UK

Reference

1. Thomas J, Kavanagh J, Tucker H, Burchett H, Tripney J, Oakley A. Accidental injury, risk-taking behaviour and the social circumstances in which young people live: a systematic review. London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, University of London, 2007.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-2417-6>

Ethics

Recreational drugs and consent

Sir, having worked as a dental foundation trainee last year, and a dental core trainee this year, I have encountered multiple patients openly admitting to taking recreational drugs.

As we know, the human nervous system is an intricate, elaborate system controlled by the brain. The neurone transmits information onto adjacent neurones, which in turn release neurotransmitters that have different effects depending on the receptors they act upon. Recreational drugs, however, have been proven to disrupt this neurotransmitter system; cocaine and methamphetamine overstimulate receptors leading to acute effects such as increased energy, euphoria and even mental alertness.¹ Marijuana has been seen to disrupt attention, memory and cause changes to white and grey matter, affecting brain development.²

I have therefore found valid consent taking much more ambiguous than I imagined. Consent is valid when a patient with capacity understands the treatment proposed, weighs up the risks and benefits, retains the information and can relay this back to the practitioner.³ However, I have had encounters with patients who meet these requirements, while simultaneously admitting to being on recreational drugs at the same visit.

If a patient fulfils all aspects of consent, but admits to not being in a sober mental state, is consent really still valid? Admittedly, when the appointment requires immediate pain relief or emergency aid, the patient's best interest is put first and the answer is clearer. However, the question poses itself: can valid consent be provided for routine treatment of patients who are regularly on recreational drugs?

T. El-Roz, Manchester, UK

References

1. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. Treatment for Stimulant Use Disorders. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (US), 1999. (Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series, No. 33.) Chapter 2 - How Stimulants Affect the Brain and Behavior. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK64328/> (accessed November 2020).
2. Jacobus J, Tapert S F. Effects of cannabis on the adolescent brain. *Curr Pharm Des* 2014; **20**: 2186–2193.
3. Mental Capacity Act 2005. 1st ed. Section 16A. Available at: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2005/9/contents> (accessed 7 July 2017).

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-2420-y>