digital planning and remote consultation! It was nice to see confirmation of my own contribution into orthodontic teaching.⁴ When inspired and encouraged by Chris, some of us 'up north' undertook an RCT into CAL in orthodontic teaching using programs developed by Chris.

Chris Stephens was truly ahead of his time in promoting and developing computers in teaching into what is now 'the norm' and he should be recognised for his pioneering work.

R. Hobson, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

References

1. Stephens C, Grigg P. A computer-based orthodontic learning package: report of a trial. *Dent Update* 1994; **21**: 64-68.
2. Grigg P, Stephens C D. Computer-assisted learning in dentistry. A view from the UK. *J Dent* 1998; **26**: 387-395.
3. Stephens C D, Cook J. Attitudes of UK consultants to teledentistry as a means of providing orthodontic advice to dental practitioners and their patients. *J Orthod* 2002; **29**: 137-142.
4. Hobson R S, Carter N E, Hall F A, Atkins M J. A study into the effectiveness of computer assisted learning in comparison with conventional seminar teaching of orthodontics. *Eur J Dent Educ* 1998; **2**: 154-159. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-1805-2>

Law and ethics

Expert witnesses

Sir, experts called to criminal or civil courts observe, respectively: Parts 33 and 35 of the Criminal and Civil Procedure Rules. In whichever domain, the expert must remain independent, uninfluenced, provide an opinion on the facts, referring to the latest evidence-based and peer-reviewed literature, provide options capable of surviving logical scrutiny before arriving at an outcome on which the court can decide whose opinion carries the side over the threshold of proof.^{1,2}

The documented increase in Registrants Referring Registrants to the GDC (R3) invariably demands the involvement of expert witnesses, with peculiar problems for the dental expert witness, who must resist the inclination to partisan persuasion.³ Despite dento-legal training, the expert is neither a resident, nor a participant, but only a visitor to law. Becoming an expert after mastering clinical skills comes after a recommended minimum five years of consultancy.² But this is not an invitation to provide legal advice, to become adversarial or stoop to unattractive court-room rhetoric.^{2,4} One fundamental truth is the expert-witness carries no legal authority. Another is, despite defined

parameters of practice, there is no agreed definition of what an expert is.

The only control restricting the R3-reaction is the GDC Standard 9.1.1 stating: '*All team members, other colleagues and members of the public must be treated fairly, with dignity and in line with the law*'.⁵ Expert or otherwise, if we are not prepared to deal with the consequences of having gloves laid on us by lawyers not bound by Standard 9.1.1, we should treat our colleagues as fairly as we wish to be treated ourselves.

J. Laszlo, M. McGowan, London, UK

References

1. Dental Defence Union, Acting as an Expert Witness 2018. Available at: <https://www.theddu.com/guidance-and-advice/guides/the-expert-witness> (accessed 4 March 2020).
2. The Royal College of Surgeons of England. The Surgeon as an Expert Witness – A Guide to Good Practice. Professional Clinical Standards Publication Series 2019 at: <https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/standards-and-research/standards-and-guidance/good-practice-guides/expert-witness/> (accessed 5 March 2020)
3. D'Cruz L. Blue on blue. *Br Dent J* 2020; **228**: 13-14.
4. Royal College of Surgeons England. The Royal College of Surgeons issues new guidance for surgeons acting as expert witnesses 2019. Available at: <https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/news-and-events/media-centre/press-releases/expert-witness-guidance> (accessed 7 March 2020).
5. General Dental Council. Standards for the dental team, Principle 9. Available at: <https://standards.gdc-uk.org/pages/principle9/principle9.aspx> (accessed 7 March 2020).

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-1806-1>

Volunteering

A valuable experience

Sir, a recent return from a dental aid programme in rural Bangladesh has highlighted the invaluable experience that dental professionals can gain from volunteering.

With the support of the Dental Aid Network charity, a team of six dentists triaged over 1,500 adults and children across rural villages in limited facilities. We provided extractions, delivered oral health advice, and distributed antibiotics and analgesia to those that required them. The gratitude expressed by the patients and families treated was overwhelming; many had been in pain for months, unable to afford basic medical or dental help and pain had become a part of their everyday lives.

A notable proportion of the patients we treated had significant dental side effects from the frequent use of betel nut. This is used widely throughout Asia, and there are ever increasing numbers of communities

within the UK that are now using betel nut and various forms of smokeless tobacco. With betel nut being the world's fourth most commonly used addictive substance, education on its potential to cause oral cancers and diseases was imperative, and a key theme of our programme.¹

As dental professionals, we are fortunate to have a unique skill set that enables us to relieve people of pain. In some situations, this can be almost life changing for those who would not otherwise have access to the medical and dental facilities that we often take for granted.

There is no doubt that the clinical experience we gained on this programme will add to our skill set and benefit our patients in the long term. We would wholeheartedly encourage anyone who would be interested to take the opportunity to contact these charities and get involved with similar programmes, whether it be abroad or closer to home.

E. Swinnerton, O. Barraclough, M. R. Miah, Leeds, UK

Reference

1. Wu F, Parvez F, Islam T *et al*. Betel quid use and mortality in Bangladesh: a cohort study. *Bull World Health Organ* 2015; **93**: 684-692.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-1807-0>

Periodontology

Are we recording BPE?

Sir, a colleague and I recently carried out an audit at our respective dental practices looking at whether clinicians were performing Basic Periodontal Examinations (BPEs) on patients aged between 7–17-years-old. After looking at patient records, only seven out of 40 showed that a BPE had been recorded.

The British Society of Periodontology (BSP) states that the BPE gives clinicians guidance on whether further periodontal treatment is required for a patient during a routine examination. They advise that the BPE should be recorded on all patients from the age of seven at every check-up.¹

The Child Dental Health Survey 2013 stated that around 40% of 15-year-olds in the UK have gingivitis.² A BPE is a useful tool to demonstrate this to a child and/or parent, to motivate them to improve their brushing. Additionally, there are medico-legal implications of not recording